



University of Johannesburg

Masters Dissertation

# A NEAT Inspired GEP Algorithm

*Author:*

Louis John Hassett

*Supervisor:*

Prof. Duncan A. Coulter

*Co-supervisor:*

Daniel Ogwok

*A dissertation submitted in fulfillment of the requirements  
for the degree of Master in Computer Science*

*in the*

Faculty of Science

Academy of Computer Science and Software Engineering



*“It is not the strongest of the species that survives, nor the most intelligent that survives. It is the one that is most adaptable to change. In the struggle for survival, the fittest win out at the expense of their rivals because they succeed in adapting themselves best to their environment.”*

Charles Darwin

### **Acknowledgements**

I would like to sincerely thank my supervisor, Prof Coulter, for his guidance and support throughout this research. His expertise and feedback were invaluable.

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>11</b>
1.1	Background	11
1.2	Structure	13
1.3	Research Questions	14
1.4	Publications Resulting from this Work	15
<b>2</b>	<b>Research Methodology</b>	<b>17</b>
2.1	Design Science Research	17
<b>3</b>	<b>Sectioning Examples</b>	<b>19</b>
3.1	Section Title	19
3.1.1	Subsection Title	19
<b>4</b>	<b>In-text Element Examples</b>	<b>23</b>
4.1	Referencing Publications	23
4.2	Link Examples	23
4.3	Lists	23
4.3.1	Numbered List	23
4.3.2	Bullet Point List	24

4.3.3	Descriptions and Definitions	24
<b>4.4</b>	<b>International Support</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>4.5</b>	<b>Ligatures</b>	<b>24</b>

I	<b>Part Two Title</b>
---	-----------------------

<b>5</b>	<b>Mathematics</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>5.1</b>	<b>Theorems</b>	<b>27</b>
5.1.1	Several equations	27
5.1.2	Single Line	27
<b>5.2</b>	<b>Definitions</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>5.3</b>	<b>Notations</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>5.4</b>	<b>Remarks</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>5.5</b>	<b>Corollaries</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>5.6</b>	<b>Propositions</b>	<b>28</b>
5.6.1	Several equations	28
5.6.2	Single Line	29
<b>5.7</b>	<b>Examples</b>	<b>29</b>
5.7.1	Equation Example	29
5.7.2	Text Example	29
<b>5.8</b>	<b>Exercises</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>5.9</b>	<b>Problems</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>5.10</b>	<b>Vocabulary</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Presenting Information and Results with a Long Chapter Title</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>6.1</b>	<b>Table</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>6.2</b>	<b>Figure</b>	<b>31</b>

<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>33</b>
Articles	33
Books	33
<b>Index</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>Appendices</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>A Appendix Chapter Title</b>	<b>37</b>
A.1 Appendix Section Title	37
<b>B Appendix Chapter Title</b>	<b>39</b>
B.1 Appendix Section Title	39



## List of Figures

2.1 Design Science Research Process Diagram (adapted from Hevner et al. 2004) .....	18
6.1 Figure caption. ....	32
6.2 Floating figure. ....	32





## List of Tables

6.1	Table caption. ....	31
6.2	Floating table. ....	32



# 1. Introduction

## 1.1 Background

The theory of evolution by natural selection, first introduced by Charles Darwin, has profoundly influenced our understanding of the life and adaption in the natural world. Darwin's insight that species evolved over generations through the survival and reproduction of individuals with advantageous traits has not only shaped the biological sciences, but has also inspired computational models that emulate these adaptive processes (Li et al. 2024). Over millions of years, evolution has given rise to complex biological systems, among which the human brain stands as one of the most intricate. Composed of billions of neurons, the brain processes information through electrochemical signaling across complex interconnected networks, enabling perception, reasoning, and decision-making (Engelbrecht 2007). These biological mechanisms have served as a blueprint for the development of artificial intelligent systems, particular in the field of evolutionary computation and neural networks.

In computer science, evolutionary algorithms simulate the process of natural selection to solve complex optimisation problems. These algorithms operate on populations of candidate solutions, applying genetic operators such as mutation, crossover, and selection to iteratively improve the problem's solution. In conjunction to the expanding field of evolutionary computing, artificial neural networks (ANNs) which are inspired by the structure and function of biological neurons have become foundational in machine learning.

These networks consist of interconnected nodes that process information in layers, enabling machines to learn from data and perform tasks such as classification, prediction, and control (Russell and Norvig 2016). The intersection of evolutionary algorithms and neural networks has given rise to the field of neuroevolution, which seeks to evolve both the structure and parameters of neural networks using evolutionary principles.

Among the many algorithms developed within the field of evolutionary computing and neuroevolution, Gene Expression Programming (GEP) and the NeuroEvolution of Augmenting Topologies (NEAT) stand out due to their unique and complementary approaches to evolving computational structures. Gene Expression Programming (GEP) is an evolutionary algorithm that evolves computer programs or symbolic expressions. It represents solutions as linear chromosomes, which are then expressed as expression trees through an effective genotype-to-phenotype mapping scheme. This approach allows the evolution of tree-like structures in a more robust and flexible manner than traditional genetic programming techniques (Ferreira 2006). NEAT, in contrast, focuses on evolving the topology and weights of neural networks. It introduces several key innovations, including historical markings (innovation numbers) to track structural changes, speciation to preserve diversity within the population, and incremental growth of network complexity to efficiently explore the search space. These features enable NEAT to evolve increasingly sophisticated neural architectures over time (Stanley and Miikkulainen 2002).

This dissertation introduces a novel hybrid algorithm, GEP-NEAT, which seeks to combine the structural expressiveness of GEP with the adaptive topology evolution of NEAT. The motivation for developing GEP-NEAT arises from specific limitations observed in both NEAT and GEP-based neural network approaches. While NEAT has demonstrated success in evolving neural network topologies, it suffers from computational inefficiencies, particularly due to the overhead introduced by topological sorting during network evaluation which becomes increasingly problematic as networks grow in complexity. On the other hand, GEP-NN, an approach that applies GEP to evolve neural networks, offers promising alternative by representing neural structures as expression trees, but it remains relatively underexplored in the literature and lacks the methodological maturity and empirical validation seen in other neuroevolutionary techniques. GEP-NEAT is proposed a response to these challenges, aiming to combine the structural flexibility of GEP with the evolutionary

dynamics of NEAT. At the heart of GEP-NEAT is a new representation scheme in which innovation numbers are encoded as sub-tree configurations. This approach allows for a more expressive and hierarchical encoding of neural structures, facilitating the reuse of functional subcomponents and promoting the emergence of modular architectures. By integrating GEP's symbolic representation with NEAT's evolutionary dynamics, GEP-NEAT aims to provide a more powerful and flexible tool for evolving neural networks.

## 1.2 Structure

This dissertation begins with this introductory chapter, which outlines the research motivation, and key research questions. It also highlights the academic contributions of the work, including publications that have emerged from the research process. Following the introduction, a dedicated chapter is presented on the research methodology, which adopts a design science approach. This chapter details the methodological framework used to guide the development, implementation, and evaluation of the proposed algorithm.

The core of the dissertation presents a comprehensive literature review, divided into three chapters. The first of these explores the foundations of evolutionary computing, providing context for the broader field in which the work is situated. The second focuses on neuroevolution, examining how evolutionary algorithms have been applied to the development of neural networks. The third chapter delves into gene expression programming, detailing its mechanisms, advantages, and relevance to the proposed approach.

After establishing the theoretical foundation, the dissertation introduces the GEP-NEAT algorithm in detail. This chapter covers the theoretical underpinnings of the algorithm, its practical implementation, and the experimental setup used to evaluate its performance. The results of these experiments are then presented and analysed, with a focus on assessing the algorithm's effectiveness, efficiency, and potential advantages over existing methods. The final chapter concludes the dissertation by summarising the key findings, discussing their implications, and outlining directions for future research.

## 1.3 Research Questions

The development of algorithms that evolve neural network architectures remains a dynamic and evolving area of research. While various approaches have been proposed to automate the design of neural networks through evolutionary computation, several open questions persist regarding the efficiency, expressiveness, and adaptability of these methods. This dissertation is driven by a set of research questions that aim to explore and address specific limitations in existing neuroevolutionary techniques, particularly GEP and NEAT.

The first research question investigates the structural limitations of current gene expression programming when applied to neural networks. Traditional neural networks typically include architectural features such as bias nodes and non-linear activation functions, which are essential for enhancing representational capacity. However, many implementations of gene expression programming for neural networks do not incorporate these features. This leads to the first question:



*How can gene expression programming be extended to evolve neural networks that closely resemble traditional architectures, including the incorporation of bias nodes and activation functions?*

The second question addresses a known computational bottleneck in topology-based neuroevolutionary algorithms. Specifically, algorithms that evolve network structures often rely on topological sorting to ensure valid signal flow during evaluation. While effective, this process can become increasingly inefficient as networks grow in size and complexity. This raises the question:



*Can the computational inefficiencies associated with topological sorting in neural network evaluation be mitigated through alternative representations or evaluation strategies?*

A third area of inquiry concerns the role of innovation numbers in NEAT. Traditionally, innovation numbers are used to track structural changes and align genomes during crossover, however, this usage is largely historical and does not contribute directly to the functional behavior of the algorithm. This leads to the question:



*Is it possible to redefine innovation numbers in NEAT to represent meaningful and reusable structural components?*

Building on this idea, the fourth question explores the practical implications of such a redefinition. If innovation numbers can be used to encode modular structures, it is important to understand how this can be leveraged to improve algorithmic performance. Thus, the next question is:



*Provided that innovation numbers are redefined as reusable structural components, how can this representation be exploited to improve the performance, modularity, or evolutionary dynamics of the algorithm?*

The fifth question considers the broader hypothesis that combining distinct evolutionary strategies may lead to improved outcomes. Specifically, it examines whether integrating symbolic expression-based representations (GEP) with topological representations (NEAT) can result in a more effective approach to evolving neural networks. This gives rise to the question:



*Does the integration of symbolic-based representations (GEP) with topology-evolving strategies (NEAT) result in improved performance, scalability, or expressiveness compared to using either approach in isolation?*

Finally, the sixth question addresses a practical limitation in many symbolic neuroevolutionary systems, that is, the difficulty of evolving neural networks with multiple outputs. Many real-world tasks require networks to produce more than one output simultaneously, yet existing representations often struggle to accommodate this. This leads to the final question:



*How can expression trees be adapted to support the evolution of neural networks with multiple outputs, and what are the implications for multi-output learning tasks?*

Together, these research questions form the foundation of this dissertation. They aim to explore the theoretical and practical challenges of evolving neural networks using symbolic and structural representations, and to investigate whether new approaches can overcome the limitations in existing methods.

## 1.4 Publications Resulting from this Work

A peer-reviewed conference paper derived from this research was published in the proceedings of the **8th International Conference on Information Science and Systems**

(ICISS 2025). As an established forum in its eight iteration, ICISS maintains rigorous academic standards through its double-blind peer review process, where both author and reviewer identities are concealed to remove bias and ensure impartial evaluation based solely on scholarly merit. The conference brings together leading researchers across ten interdisciplinary tracks spanning artificial intelligence, data science, and information systems.

The accepted paper, which contributes to the Machine Learning and Artificial Intelligence track, presents the algorithm GEP-NEAT with its innovation number novelty, showcasing the ability to solve the XOR and Cart Pole problem effectively. ICISS 2025 facilitated valuable scholarly exchange through keynote presentations by field leaders, technical workshops, and interdisciplinary discussion bridging academic and real-world application. The conference proceedings are to be published into **Communications in Computer and Information Science (Electronic ISSN: 1865-0937 & Print ISSN: 1865-0929)** as a proceedings book volume and indexed by EI Compendex, Scopus, INSPEC, SCImago and other databases.



## 2. Research Methodology

This chapter outlines the methodological framework used to guide the development, implementation, and evaluation of the GEP-NEAT algorithm, a novel hybrid approach that combines Gene Expression Programming (GEP) and NeuroEvolution of Augmenting Topologies (NEAT). To ensure methodological relevance, the research is structured around the Design Science research (DSR) paradigm, which supports the iterative development of innovative artifacts to be used in real-world application. This is complemented by Experimental Design, which provided a structured approach in testing and validating the generated artifact, and Quantitative Analysis which offers objective metrics for performance evaluation. This chapter is organized in three sections. The first presents the design science methodology and application. The second discusses the role of experimental design in structuring the evaluation process. The third outlines quantitative methods that can be used to assess the hybrid algorithm's performance.

### 2.1 Design Science Research

Design Science Research (DSR) is a research paradigm centered on the creation of innovative artifacts that contribute meaningfully to the existing body of scientific knowledge within a specific domain. According to Hevner et al. 2004, DSR integrates the principles of relevance, rigor, and iterative design to produce solutions that are both practically useful and theoretically grounded. This methodology is particularly well-suited to algorithmic research, where the objective is to construct novel solutions and evaluate their effectiveness

through cycles of design, implementation, and refinement. In the context of this research, the artifact developed is the GEP-NEAT hybrid algorithm, which aims to address the specific limitations in existing neuroevolutionary approaches by combining the strengths of GEP and NEAT.

The use of design science as the chosen research methodology can be examined as 6 process elements as follows with reference to Figure 2.1:




Figure 2.1: Design Science Research Process Diagram (adapted from Hevner et al. 2004)

## 3. Sectioning Examples

### 3.1 Section Title

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit<sup>1</sup>. Praesent porttitor arcu luctus, imperdiet urna iaculis, mattis eros. Pellentesque iaculis odio vel nisl ullamcorper, nec faucibus ipsum molestie. Sed dictum nisl non aliquet porttitor. Etiam vulputate arcu dignissim, finibus sem et, viverra nisl. Aenean luctus congue massa, ut laoreet metus ornare in. Nunc fermentum nisi imperdiet lectus tincidunt vestibulum at ac elit. Nulla mattis nisl eu malesuada suscipit.

Aliquam arcu turpis, ultrices sed luctus ac, vehicula id metus. Morbi eu feugiat velit, et tempus augue. Proin ac mattis tortor. Donec tincidunt, ante rhoncus luctus semper, arcu lorem lobortis justo, nec convallis ante quam quis lectus. Aenean tincidunt sodales massa, et hendrerit tellus mattis ac. Sed non pretium nibh. Donec cursus maximus luctus. Vivamus lobortis eros et massa porta porttitor.

#### 3.1.1 Subsection Title

Fusce varius orci ac magna dapibus porttitor. In tempor leo a neque bibendum sollicitudin. Nulla pretium fermentum nisi, eget sodales magna facilisis eu. Praesent aliquet nulla ut bibendum lacinia. Donec vel mauris vulputate, commodo ligula ut, egestas orci.

---

<sup>1</sup>Footnote example text. . . Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Praesent porttitor arcu luctus, imperdiet urna iaculis, mattis eros. Pellentesque iaculis odio vel nisl ullamcorper, nec faucibus ipsum molestie.

Suspendisse commodo odio sed hendrerit lobortis. Donec finibus eros erat, vel ornare enim mattis et. Donec finibus dolor quis dolor tempus consequat. Mauris fringilla dui id libero egestas, ut mattis neque ornare. Ut condimentum urna pharetra ipsum consequat, eu interdum elit cursus. Vivamus scelerisque tortor et nunc ultricies, id tincidunt libero pharetra. Aliquam eu imperdiet leo. Morbi a massa volutpat velit condimentum convallis et facilisis dolor.

In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Curabitur mattis elit sit amet justo luctus vestibulum. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Pellentesque lobortis justo enim, a condimentum massa tempor eu. Ut quis nulla a quam pretium eleifend nec eu nisl. Nam cursus porttitor eros, sed luctus ligula convallis quis. Nam convallis, ligula in auctor euismod, ligula mauris fringilla tellus, et egestas mauris odio eget diam. Praesent sodales in ipsum eu dictum. Mauris interdum porttitor fringilla. Proin tincidunt sodales leo at ornare. Donec tempus magna non mauris gravida luctus. Cras vitae arcu vitae mauris eleifend scelerisque. Nam sem sapien, vulputate nec felis eu, blandit convallis risus. Pellentesque sollicitudin venenatis tincidunt. In et ipsum libero.

#### 3.1.1.1 Subsubsection Title

Maecenas consectetur metus at tellus finibus condimentum. Proin arcu lectus, ultrices non tincidunt et, tincidunt ut quam. Integer luctus posuere est, non maximus ante dignissim quis. Nunc a cursus erat. Curabitur suscipit nibh in tincidunt sagittis. Nam malesuada vestibulum quam id gravida. Proin ut dapibus velit. Vestibulum eget quam quis ipsum semper convallis. Duis consectetur nibh ac diam dignissim, id condimentum enim dictum. Nam aliquet ligula eu magna pellentesque, nec sagittis leo lobortis. Aenean tincidunt dignissim egestas. Morbi efficitur risus ante, id tincidunt odio pulvinar vitae.

**Paragraph Title** Nullam mollis tellus lorem, sed congue ipsum euismod a. Donec pulvinar neque sed ligula ornare sodales. Nulla sagittis vel lectus nec laoreet. Nulla volutpat malesuada turpis at ultricies. Ut luctus velit odio, sagittis volutpat erat aliquet vel. Donec ac neque eget neque volutpat mollis. Vestibulum viverra ligula et sapien bibendum, vel vulputate ex euismod. Curabitur nec velit velit. Aliquam vulputate lorem elit, id tempus nisl finibus sit amet. Curabitur ex turpis, consequat at lectus id, imperdiet molestie augue. Curabitur eu eros molestie purus commodo hendrerit. Quisque auctor ipsum nec mauris malesuada, non fringilla nibh viverra. Quisque gravida, metus quis semper pulvinar, dolor

nisl suscipit leo, vestibulum volutpat ante justo ultrices diam. Sed id facilisis turpis, et aliquet eros.

In malesuada ullamcorper urna, sed dapibus diam sollicitudin non. Donec elit odio, accumsan ac nisl a, tempor imperdiet eros. Donec porta tortor eu risus consequat, a pharetra tortor tristique. Morbi sit amet laoreet erat. Morbi et luctus diam, quis porta ipsum. Quisque libero dolor, suscipit id facilisis eget, sodales volutpat dolor. Nullam vulputate interdum aliquam. Mauris id convallis erat, ut vehicula neque. Sed auctor nibh et elit fringilla, nec ultricies dui sollicitudin. Vestibulum vestibulum luctus metus venenatis facilisis. Suspendisse iaculis augue at vehicula ornare. Sed vel eros ut velit fermentum porttitor sed sed massa. Fusce venenatis, metus a rutrum sagittis, enim ex maximus velit, id semper nisi velit eu purus.

## Unnumbered Section

### Unnumbered Subsection

#### Unnumbered Subsubsection



## 4. In-text Element Examples

### 4.1 Referencing Publications

This statement requires citation Jones and Smith [2022](#); this one is more specific Smith and Jones [2021](#), page 162.

### 4.2 Link Examples

This is a URL link: [LaTeX Templates](#). This is an email link: [example@example.com](mailto:example@example.com). This is a monospaced URL link: <https://www.LaTeXTemplates.com>.

### 4.3 Lists

Lists are useful to present information in a concise and/or ordered way.

#### 4.3.1 Numbered List

1. First numbered item
  - a. First indented numbered item
  - b. Second indented numbered item
    - i. First second-level indented numbered item
2. Second numbered item
3. Third numbered item

### 4.3.2 Bullet Point List

- First bullet point item
  - First indented bullet point item
  - Second indented bullet point item
    - First second-level indented bullet point item
- Second bullet point item
- Third bullet point item

### 4.3.3 Descriptions and Definitions

**Name** Description

**Word** Definition

**Comment** Elaboration

## 4.4 International Support

àáâãäåæçèéêëìíîïðóôõöøùúûüýñçšž

ÀÁÂÃÄÅÆÇÈÉÊËÌÍÎÏÐÓÔÕÖØÙÚÛÜÝÑ

ßÇÆĈŠŽ

## 4.5 Ligatures

fi fj fl ffi ffii Ty





# Part Two Title

<b>5</b>	<b>Mathematics</b>	<b>27</b>
5.1	Theorems	27
5.2	Definitions	27
5.3	Notations	28
5.4	Remarks	28
5.5	Corollaries	28
5.6	Propositions	28
5.7	Examples	29
5.8	Exercises	29
5.9	Problems	29
5.10	Vocabulary	29
<b>6</b>	<b>Presenting Information and Results</b>	
	<b>with a Long Chapter Title</b>	<b>31</b>
6.1	Table	31
6.2	Figure	31



## 5. Mathematics

### 5.1 Theorems

#### 5.1.1 Several equations

This is a theorem consisting of several equations.

**Theorem 5.1 — Name of the theorem.** In  $E = \mathbb{R}^n$  all norms are equivalent. It has the properties:

$$||\mathbf{x}|| - ||\mathbf{y}|| \leq ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|| \quad (5.1)$$

$$||\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i|| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n ||\mathbf{x}_i|| \quad \text{where } n \text{ is a finite integer} \quad (5.2)$$

#### 5.1.2 Single Line

This is a theorem consisting of just one line.

**Theorem 5.2** A set  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  is dense in  $L^2(G)$ ,  $|\cdot|_0$ .

### 5.2 Definitions

A definition can be mathematical or it could define a concept.

**Definition 5.1 — Definition name.** Given a vector space  $E$ , a norm on  $E$  is an applica-

tion, denoted  $|| \cdot ||$ ,  $E$  in  $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, +\infty[$  such that:

$$||\mathbf{x}|| = 0 \Rightarrow \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0} \quad (5.3)$$

$$||\lambda \mathbf{x}|| = |\lambda| \cdot ||\mathbf{x}|| \quad (5.4)$$

$$||\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}|| \leq ||\mathbf{x}|| + ||\mathbf{y}|| \quad (5.5)$$

## 5.3 Notations

■ **Notation 5.1** Given an open subset  $G$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the set of functions  $\varphi$  are:

1. Bounded support  $G$ ;
2. Infinitely differentiable;

a vector space is denoted by  $\mathcal{D}(G)$ .

## 5.4 Remarks

This is an example of a remark.

**R** The concepts presented here are now in conventional employment in mathematics. Vector spaces are taken over the field  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ , however, established properties are easily extended to  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ .

## 5.5 Corollaries

**Corollary 5.1 — Corollary name.** The concepts presented here are now in conventional employment in mathematics. Vector spaces are taken over the field  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ , however, established properties are easily extended to  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ .

## 5.6 Propositions

### 5.6.1 Several equations

**Proposition 5.1 — Proposition name.** It has the properties:

$$|||\mathbf{x}|| - ||\mathbf{y}||| \leq ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|| \quad (5.6)$$

$$||\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i|| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n ||\mathbf{x}_i|| \quad \text{where } n \text{ is a finite integer} \quad (5.7)$$

## 5.6.2 Single Line

**Proposition 5.2** Let  $f, g \in L^2(G)$ ; if  $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(G)$ ,  $(f, \varphi)_0 = (g, \varphi)_0$  then  $f = g$ .

## 5.7 Examples

### 5.7.1 Equation Example

■ **Example 5.1** Let  $G = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |x| < 3\}$  and denoted by:  $x^0 = (1, 1)$ ; consider the function:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} e^{|x|} & \text{si } |x - x^0| \leq 1/2 \\ 0 & \text{si } |x - x^0| > 1/2 \end{cases} \quad (5.8)$$

The function  $f$  has bounded support, we can take  $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |x - x^0| \leq 1/2 + \varepsilon\}$  for all  $\varepsilon \in ]0; 5/2 - \sqrt{2}[$ . ■

### 5.7.2 Text Example

■ **Example 5.2 — Example name.** Aliquam arcu turpis, ultrices sed luctus ac, vehicula id metus. Morbi eu feugiat velit, et tempus augue. Proin ac mattis tortor. Donec tincidunt, ante rhoncus luctus semper, arcu lorem lobortis justo, nec convallis ante quam quis lectus. Aenean tincidunt sodales massa, et hendrerit tellus mattis ac. Sed non pretium nibh. Donec cursus maximus luctus. Vivamus lobortis eros et massa porta porttitor. ■

## 5.8 Exercises

■ **Exercise 5.1** This is a good place to ask a question to test learning progress or further cement ideas into students' minds. ■

## 5.9 Problems

**Problem 5.1** What is the average airspeed velocity of an unladen swallow?

## 5.10 Vocabulary

Define a word to improve a students' vocabulary.

■ **Vocabulary 5.1 — Word.** Definition of word.



## 6. Presenting Information and Results with a Long Chapter Title

### 6.1 Table

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Praesent porttitor arcu luctus, imperdiet urna iaculis, mattis eros. Pellentesque iaculis odio vel nisl ullamcorper, nec faucibus ipsum molestie. Sed dictum nisl non aliquet porttitor. Etiam vulputate arcu dignissim, finibus sem et, viverra nisl. Aenean luctus congue massa, ut laoreet metus ornare in. Nunc fermentum nisi imperdiet lectus tincidunt vestibulum at ac elit. Nulla mattis nisl eu malesuada suscipit.

Treatments	Response 1	Response 2
Treatment 1	0.0003262	0.562
Treatment 2	0.0015681	0.910
Treatment 3	0.0009271	0.296

Table 6.1: Table caption.

Referencing Table 6.1 in-text using its label.

### 6.2 Figure

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Praesent porttitor arcu luctus, imperdiet urna iaculis, mattis eros. Pellentesque iaculis odio vel nisl ullamcorper, nec

Treatments	Response 1	Response 2
Treatment 1	0.0003262	0.562
Treatment 2	0.0015681	0.910
Treatment 3	0.0009271	0.296

Table 6.2: Floating table.

faucibus ipsum molestie. Sed dictum nisl non aliquet porttitor. Etiam vulputate arcu dignissim, finibus sem et, viverra nisl. Aenean luctus congue massa, ut laoreet metus ornare in. Nunc fermentum nisi imperdiet lectus tincidunt vestibulum at ac elit. Nulla mattis nisl eu malesuada suscipit.



Figure 6.1: Figure caption.

Referencing Figure 6.1 in-text using its label.



Figure 6.2: Floating figure.



# Bibliography

## Articles

- Hevner, Alan R et al. (2004). “Design science in information systems research”. In: *MIS quarterly*, pages 75–105 (cited on pages 17, 18).
- Jones, A. B. and J. M. Smith (Mar. 2022). “Article Title”. In: *Journal title* 13.52, pages 123–456. DOI: [10.1038/s41586-021-03616-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03616-x) (cited on page 23).
- Stanley, Kenneth O and Risto Miikkulainen (2002). “Evolving neural networks through augmenting topologies”. In: *Evolutionary computation* 10.2, pages 99–127 (cited on page 12).

## Books

- Engelbrecht, Andries P (2007). *Computational intelligence: an introduction*. John Wiley & Sons (cited on page 11).
- Ferreira, Cândida (2006). *Gene expression programming: mathematical modeling by an artificial intelligence*. Volume 21. Springer (cited on page 12).
- Russell, Stuart J and Peter Norvig (2016). *Artificial intelligence: a modern approach*. Pearson (cited on page 12).
- Smith, J. M. and A. B. Jones (2021). *Book Title*. 7th. Publisher (cited on page 23).



# Index

- chapter:introduction, 11
- chapter:research\_methodology, 17
- Citation, 23
- Corollaries, 28
- Definitions, 27
- Examples, 29
  - Equation, 29
  - Text, 29
- Exercises, 29
- Figure, 31
- Links, 23
- Lists, 23
  - Bullet Points, 24
  - Descriptions and Definitions, 24
  - Numbered List, 23
- Notations, 28
- Problems, 29
- Propositions, 28
  - Several Equations, 28
  - Single Line, 29
- Remarks, 28
- sec:publication\_from\_resulting\_work, 15
- Sectioning, 19
  - Paragraphs, 20
  - Sections, 19
  - Subsections, 19
  - Subsubsections, 20
  - Table, 31
  - Theorems, 27
    - Several Equations, 27
    - Single Line, 27
  - Vocabulary, 29





## A. Appendix Chapter Title

### A.1 Appendix Section Title

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Aliquam auctor mi risus, quis tempor libero hendrerit at. Duis hendrerit placerat quam et semper. Nam ultricies metus vehicula arcu viverra, vel ullamcorper justo elementum. Pellentesque vel mi ac lectus cursus posuere et nec ex. Fusce quis mauris egestas lacus commodo venenatis. Ut at arcu lectus. Donec et urna nunc. Morbi eu nisl cursus sapien eleifend tincidunt quis quis est. Donec ut orci ex. Praesent ligula enim, ullamcorper non lorem a, ultrices volutpat dolor. Nullam at imperdiet urna. Pellentesque nec velit eget est euismod pretium.





## B. Appendix Chapter Title

### B.1 Appendix Section Title

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Aliquam auctor mi risus, quis tempor libero hendrerit at. Duis hendrerit placerat quam et semper. Nam ultricies metus vehicula arcu viverra, vel ullamcorper justo elementum. Pellentesque vel mi ac lectus cursus posuere et nec ex. Fusce quis mauris egestas lacus commodo venenatis. Ut at arcu lectus. Donec et urna nunc. Morbi eu nisl cursus sapien eleifend tincidunt quis quis est. Donec ut orci ex. Praesent ligula enim, ullamcorper non lorem a, ultrices volutpat dolor. Nullam at imperdiet urna. Pellentesque nec velit eget est euismod pretium.