

Assignment 2

Ruofei HUANG(z5141448) Anqi ZHU(z5141541)

May 1, 2018

1 Task 1

1.1 Prime

We define a number n to be a prime if it is a natural number greater than 1 and cannot be formed by multiplying two natural numbers (bigger than 1) smaller than itself¹. Hence we can describe the set containing all primes as:

$$Prime = \{n \in \mathbb{N} | \neg(\exists x \in (1..n-1) (x|n)) \wedge n > 1\}$$

GMP provides a function called `ISPRIME()` to check if a certain number n is a prime or not. The procedure of this function can be expressed as:

```
proc ISPRIME(value  $n$ , result  $p$ ).  
 $n, p : [TRUE, (n_0 \in Prime \wedge p > 0) \vee (n_0 \notin Prime \wedge p \leq 0)]$ 
```

1.1.1 Procedure Call Simplification

We shall simplify its procedure call sugar, **result** $p = ISPRIME(\mathbf{value} \ n)$, to verify primes later in our refinement.

According to its original procedure call sugar, we can explain the verification in toy

¹ Reference from Wikipedia: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Prime_number

language as:

```
var  $c$ ;  
 $ISPRIME(n, c)$ ;  
if  $c > 0$  then  
     $\{n \in Prime\}$   
    ...  
else  
     $\{n \notin Prime\}$   
    ...  
fi
```

This can be replaced by our homemade procedure call sugar $\tilde{~}$:

```
if  $ISPRIME(n) > 0$  then  
     $\{n \in Prime\}$   
    ...  
else  
     $\{n \notin Prime\}$   
    ...  
fi
```

to simplify our proof. We can also use this simplified sugar in while rules as:

```
while  $ISPRIME(n) > 0$  do  
     $\{n \in Prime\}$   
    ...  
od
```

1.2 Reverse

By the spec in verifying a number v which is the reverse of the number n ², we can have the following mathematical definition:

$$v = rev(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{c(n)} (S_i 10^i)$$

²A proof provided by Lecturer in Control of this course on <https://www.cse.unsw.edu.au/~cs2111/18s1/lec/reverse.pdf>

where:

$$\begin{aligned} c(n) &= \lfloor \log_{10}(n) \rfloor, \\ S &= [10]^*, \\ n \in \mathbb{N} \wedge n &= \sum_{i=0}^{c(n)} (S_i 10^{(c(n)-i)}) \end{aligned}$$

Then we can simplify the spec of **proc** *reversen*(**value** $n : \mathbb{N}$, **result** $v : \mathbb{N}$) given by the lecturer, as

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{proc} \text{ reversen}(\mathbf{value} \ n : \mathbb{N}, \mathbf{result} \ v : \mathbb{N}). \\ &r, v : [\text{TRUE}, v = \text{rev}(r_0)] \end{aligned}$$

1.3 Emirp

An emirp n is a prime number that results in a different prime when its decimal digits are reversed³. The definition of emirp can be construct in mathematical semantics as follows:

$$n \in \text{Emirp} \iff n \in \text{Prime} \wedge \text{rev}(n) \in \text{Prime} \wedge n \neq \text{rev}(n)$$

Then we can define a function to check if the specified number n is an emirp. The function is such as:

$$\text{isEmirp}(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \in \text{Prime} \wedge \text{rev}(n) \in \text{Prime} \wedge n \neq \text{rev}(n) \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

We use 0 and 1 as our returning value of the function, so that we can find out how many emirps are found in the range of 2 .. n according to the following mathematics semantics:

$$\mathbf{the \ number \ of \ emirps \ found} = \sum_{i=0}^n \text{isEmirp}(i)$$

where:

$$n \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$$

1.3.1 Derivation of ISEMIRP() Procedure Call

We want to transfer the isEmirp() function into a procedure so that we can use it in our later refinement. We start with a spec of the procedure.

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{proc} \text{ ISEMIRP}(\mathbf{value} \ n : \mathbb{N}, \mathbf{result} \ w). \\ &\llbracket n, w : [\text{TRUE}, \left(\begin{aligned} &(w = 1 \wedge \text{rev}(n_0) \neq n_0 \wedge n_0 \in \text{Prime} \wedge \text{rev}(n_0) \in \text{Prime}) \vee \\ &(w = 0 \wedge \neg(\text{rev}(n_0) \neq n_0 \wedge n_0 \in \text{Prime} \wedge \text{rev}(n_0) \in \text{Prime})) \end{aligned} \right)] \rrbracket^{-(A)} \end{aligned}$$

³ Another reference from Wikipedia :<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Emirp>

(A) \sqsubseteq $\langle \mathbf{c\text{-}frame} \rangle$

$w : [\text{TRUE}, \left(\begin{array}{l} (w = 1 \wedge \text{rev}(n) \neq n \wedge n \in \text{Prime} \wedge \text{rev}(n) \in \text{Prime}) \vee \\ (w = 0 \wedge \neg(\text{rev}(n) \neq n \wedge n \in \text{Prime} \wedge \text{rev}(n) \in \text{Prime})) \end{array} \right)]$

\sqsubseteq $\langle \mathbf{i\text{-}loc} \rangle$

$\mathbf{var} \ r \cdot r, w : [\text{TRUE}, \left(\begin{array}{l} (w = 1 \wedge \text{rev}(n) \neq n \wedge n \in \text{Prime} \wedge \text{rev}(n) \in \text{Prime}) \vee \\ (w = 0 \wedge \neg(\text{rev}(n) \neq n \wedge n \in \text{Prime} \wedge \text{rev}(n) \in \text{Prime})) \end{array} \right)]$

\sqsubseteq $\langle \mathbf{seq2} \rangle$

$\llcorner r : [\text{TRUE}, r = \text{rev}(n)]; \lrcorner(B)$

$\llcorner r, w : [r = \text{rev}(n), \left(\begin{array}{l} (w = 1 \wedge \text{rev}(n) \neq n \wedge n \in \text{Prime} \wedge \\ \text{rev}(n) \in \text{Prime}) \vee (w = 0 \wedge \neg(\text{rev}(n) \neq n \\ \wedge n \in \text{Prime} \wedge \text{rev}(n) \in \text{Prime})) \end{array} \right)] \lrcorner(C)$

(B) \sqsubseteq $\langle \mathbf{proc} \rangle$

$\text{reversen}(n, r);$

(C) \sqsubseteq $\langle \mathbf{c\text{-}frame} \rangle$

$\llcorner w : [r = \text{rev}(n), \left(\begin{array}{l} (w = 1 \wedge \text{rev}(n) \neq n \wedge n \in \text{Prime} \wedge \\ \text{rev}(n) \in \text{Prime}) \vee (w = 0 \wedge \neg(\text{rev}(n) \neq n \\ \wedge n \in \text{Prime} \wedge \text{rev}(n) \in \text{Prime})) \end{array} \right)] \lrcorner(C*)$

(C*) \sqsubseteq $\langle \mathbf{s\text{-}post, justified below in 1.3.2} \rangle$

$\llcorner w : [r = \text{rev}(n), \left(\begin{array}{l} (w = 1 \wedge r \neq n \wedge n \in \text{Prime} \wedge r \in \text{Prime}) \vee \\ (w = 0 \wedge \neg(r \neq n \wedge n \in \text{Prime} \wedge r \in \text{Prime})) \end{array} \right)] \lrcorner(C')$

(C') \sqsubseteq $\langle \mathbf{if} \rangle$

$\mathbf{if} \ r \neq n$

$\mathbf{then} \ \llcorner w : [r \neq n \wedge \text{pre}(C'), \text{post}(C')] \lrcorner(D)$

$\mathbf{else} \ \llcorner w : [r = n \wedge \text{pre}(C'), \text{post}(C')] \lrcorner(E)$

\mathbf{fi}

(D) \sqsubseteq $\langle \mathbf{if} \rangle$

$\mathbf{if} \ \text{ISPRIME}(n) > 0$

$\mathbf{then} \ \llcorner w : [\text{pre}(D) \wedge n \in \text{Prime}, \text{post}(C')] \lrcorner(F)$

$\mathbf{else} \ \llcorner w : [\text{pre}(D) \wedge n \notin \text{Prime}, \text{post}(C')] \lrcorner(G)$

\mathbf{fi}

(F) \sqsubseteq $\langle \mathbf{if} \rangle$

$\mathbf{if} \ \text{ISPRIME}(r) > 0$

$\mathbf{then} \ \llcorner w : [\text{pre}(F) \wedge r \in \text{Prime}, \text{post}(C')] \lrcorner(H)$

$\mathbf{else} \ \llcorner w : [\text{pre}(F) \wedge r \notin \text{Prime}, \text{post}(C')] \lrcorner(I)$

\mathbf{fi}

$$\begin{aligned}
(E)(G)(I) &\sqsubseteq \quad \langle \text{ass, justified below in 1.3.3} \rangle \\
&\quad w := 0 \\
(H) &\sqsubseteq \quad \langle \text{ass, justified below in 1.3.4} \rangle \\
&\quad w := 1
\end{aligned}$$

We gather the code for the procedure body of ISEMIRP:

```

var  $r$ ;
 $reversen(n, r)$ ;
if  $r \neq n$  then
  if  $ISPRIME(n) > 0$  then
    if  $ISPRIME(r) > 0$ 
      then  $w := 1$ ;
    else  $w := 0$ ;
  fi
  else  $w := 0$ ;
fi
else  $w := 0$ ;
fi

```

1.3.2 Proof of $r = rev(n)[^{w_0}/_w] \wedge post(C*)$

$$\begin{aligned}
&r = rev(n)[^{w_0}/_w] \wedge post(C') \\
\Leftrightarrow &\quad \langle \text{Substitue and expand } post(C') \rangle \\
&r = rev(n) \wedge \left(\begin{array}{l} (w = 1 \wedge rev(n) \neq n \wedge n \in Prime \wedge \\ rev(n) \in Prime) \vee (w = 0 \wedge \neg(rev(n) \neq n) \\ \wedge n \in Prime \wedge rev(n) \in Prime) \end{array} \right) \\
\Leftrightarrow &\quad \langle \text{Substitute } r = rev(n) \rangle \\
&\left(\begin{array}{l} (w = 1 \wedge r \neq n \wedge n \in Prime \wedge r \in Prime) \vee \\ (w = 0 \wedge \neg(r \neq n \wedge n \in Prime \wedge r \in Prime)) \end{array} \right) \\
\Leftrightarrow &\quad \langle \text{definition of } post(C) \rangle \\
&post(C)
\end{aligned}$$

1.3.3 Proof of $(E)(G)(I) \sqsubseteq w := 0$

Before proving this assertion, we observe that in the $post(C')$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\neg(r \neq n \wedge n \in Prime \wedge r \in Prime) \\
\Leftrightarrow &r = n \vee n \notin Prime \vee r \notin Prime \\
\Rightarrow &w = 0
\end{aligned}$$

Then this assertion is valid:

$$r = n \vee n \notin \text{Prime} \vee r \notin \text{Prime} \Rightarrow \text{post}(C')^0/w]$$

By observe the $\text{pre}(E)$, $\text{pre}(G)$, $\text{pre}(I)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pre}(E) &\Rightarrow r = n \Rightarrow \text{post}(C')^0/w] \\ \text{pre}(G) &\Rightarrow n \notin \text{Prime} \Rightarrow \text{post}(C')^0/w] \\ \text{pre}(I) &\Rightarrow r \notin \text{Prime} \Rightarrow \text{post}(C')^0/w] \end{aligned}$$

Which all prove the validity.

1.3.4 Proof of $(H) \sqsubseteq w := 1$

Similar to 1.3.3 we have:

$$\text{pre}(H) \Leftrightarrow (r \neq n \wedge n \in \text{Prime} \wedge r \in \text{Prime}) \Rightarrow \text{post}(C')^1/w]$$

1.4 Specification of the Main Procedure

The job of our main procedure, **proc** *EMIRP*(**value** $n : \mathbb{N}$, **result** r), is to find and return the n^{th} emirp, where n is a given positive parameter. Using the function *isEmirp*() which is defined and proved above, we can specify our main procedure as:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{proc } \text{EMIRP}(\text{value } n : \mathbb{N}, \text{result } r). \\ &\quad \textcolor{red}{\sqsubseteq} n, r : [n > 0, \sum_{i=2}^r \text{isEmirp}(i) = n_0 \wedge r \in \text{Emirp}] \textcolor{red}{\sqsubseteq}_{(1)} \end{aligned}$$

2 Task 2

$$\begin{aligned} (1) &\sqsubseteq \langle \textcolor{green}{\text{c-frame}} \rangle \\ &\quad r : [n > 0, \sum_{i=2}^r \text{isEmirp}(i) = n \wedge r \in \text{Emirp}] \\ &\sqsubseteq \langle \textcolor{green}{\text{i-loc}} \rangle \\ &\quad \text{var } \text{count} \cdot \text{count}, r : [n > 0, \sum_{i=2}^r \text{isEmirp}(i) = n \wedge r \in \text{Emirp}] \\ &\sqsubseteq \langle \textcolor{green}{\text{seq}} \rangle \\ &\quad \textcolor{red}{\sqsubseteq} \text{count}, r : [n > 0, \text{Inv}] \textcolor{red}{\sqsubseteq}_{(2)}; \\ &\quad \textcolor{red}{\sqsubseteq} \text{count}, r : [\text{Inv}, \sum_{i=2}^r \text{isEmirp}(i) = n \wedge r \in \text{Emirp}] \textcolor{red}{\sqsubseteq}_{(3)} \end{aligned}$$

Where the loop invariant is defined by:

$$Inv = (\text{count} = \sum_{i=2}^r \text{isEmirp}(i) \wedge n > 0 \wedge 0 \leq \text{count} \leq n \wedge r \geq \text{count})$$

- (2) \sqsubseteq $\langle \text{Routine work: initialize the variables in the loop} \rangle$
 $r := 1; \text{count} := 0;$
- (3) \sqsubseteq $\langle \text{s-post, justified below in 2.1} \rangle$
 $\text{count}, r : [Inv, Inv \wedge (\text{count} = n \wedge r \in \text{Emirp})]$
 \sqsubseteq $\langle \text{while, this simplification proof is above in 1.1.1} \rangle$
while $\text{count} \neq n \vee \text{ISEMIRP}(r) \neq 1$ **do**
 $\sqsubseteq \text{count}, r : [Inv \wedge (\text{count} \neq n \vee r \notin \text{Emirp}), Inv] \sqsubseteq_{(4)}$
od
- (4) \sqsubseteq $\langle \text{s-post, justified below in 2.2} \rangle$
 $\sqsubseteq \text{count}, r : [Inv \wedge (\text{count} \neq n \vee r \notin \text{Emirp}), Inv^{[\text{count} + \text{isEmirp}(r) / \text{count}]}[r+1/r]] \sqsubseteq_{(5)}$
- (5) \sqsubseteq $\langle \text{seq2} \rangle$
 $\sqsubseteq r : [Inv \wedge (\text{count} \neq n \vee r \notin \text{Emirp}), Inv^{[r+1/r]}] \sqsubseteq_{(6)}$
 $\sqsubseteq \text{count}, r : [Inv^{[r+1/r]}, Inv^{[\text{count} + \text{isEmirp}(r) / \text{count}]}[r+1/r]] \sqsubseteq_{(7)}$
- (6) \sqsubseteq $\langle \text{ass} \rangle$
 $r := r + 1;$
- (7) \sqsubseteq $\langle \text{ass} \rangle$
 $\text{count} := \text{count} + \text{ISEMIRP}(r);$

We gather the code for the procedure body of EMIRP:

```

var count;
r := 1;
count := 0;
while count  $\neq$  n  $\vee$  ISEMIRP(r)  $\neq$  1 do
  r := r + 1;
  count := count + ISEMIRP(r);
od

```

2.1 Proof of

$$pre(3)[^{count_0}/_{count}][^{r_0}/_r] \wedge (Inv \wedge (count = n \wedge r \in Emirp)) \Rightarrow post(3)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& pre(3) \wedge Inv \wedge (count = n \wedge r \in Emirp) \\
\Leftrightarrow & \quad \langle \text{Expand } pre(3) \rangle \\
& Inv[^{count_0}/_{count}][^{r_0}/_r] \wedge (Inv \wedge (count = n \wedge r \in Emirp)) \\
\Leftrightarrow & \quad \langle \text{Substitute } Inv \text{ and } Inv[^{count_0}/_{count}][^{r_0}/_r] \rangle \\
& \left(\begin{array}{l} (count_0 = \sum_{i=2}^{r_0} isEmirp(i) \wedge n > 0 \wedge 0 \leq count_0 \leq n \wedge r_0 \geq count_0) \wedge \\ (count = \sum_{i=2}^r isEmirp(i) \wedge n > 0 \wedge 0 \leq count \leq n \wedge r \geq count \wedge \\ (count = n \wedge r \in Emirp)) \end{array} \right) \\
\Rightarrow & \quad \langle \text{Simplify and remove } count. \rangle \\
& \left(\begin{array}{l} (count_0 = \sum_{i=2}^{r_0} isEmirp(i) \wedge n > 0 \wedge 0 \leq count_0 \leq n \wedge r_0 \geq count_0) \wedge \\ (n = \sum_{i=2}^r isEmirp(i) \wedge r \geq n \wedge r \in Emirp) \end{array} \right) \\
\Rightarrow & \quad \langle \text{Directly imply from second line.} \rangle \\
& \sum_{i=2}^r isEmirp(i) = n \wedge r \in Emirp \\
\Leftrightarrow & \quad \langle \text{Definition of } post(3) \rangle \\
& post(3)
\end{aligned}$$

2.2 Proof of

$$pre(4)[count_0 / count][r_0 / r] \wedge Inv[count + isEmirp(r) / count][r+1 / r] \Rightarrow post(4)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& pre(4)[count_0 / count][r_0 / r] \wedge Inv[count + isEmirp(r) / count][r+1 / r] \\
\Leftrightarrow & \quad \langle \text{Expand } pre(4) \text{ and substitute} \rangle \\
& (Inv \wedge (count_0 \neq n \vee r_0 \notin Emirp)) \wedge Inv[count + isEmirp(r) / count][r+1 / r] \\
\Leftrightarrow & \quad \langle \text{Expand Inv and substitute} \rangle \\
& \left(\begin{aligned} & ((count_0 = \sum_{i=2}^{r_0} isEmirp(i) \wedge n > 0 \wedge 0 \leq count_0 \leq n \wedge r_0 \geq count_0) \\ & (count_0 \neq n \vee r_0 \notin Emirp)) \wedge \\ & (count + isEmirp(r+1) = \sum_{i=2}^{r+1} isEmirp(i) \wedge n > 0 \wedge \\ & 0 \leq count + isEmirp(r+1) \leq n \wedge r+1 \geq count + isEmirp(r+1)) \end{aligned} \right) \\
\Rightarrow & \quad \langle \text{Separate } \sum_{i=2}^{r+1} isEmirp(i) \rangle \\
& \left(\begin{aligned} & ((count_0 = \sum_{i=2}^{r_0} isEmirp(i) \wedge n > 0 \wedge 0 \leq count_0 \leq n \wedge r_0 \geq count_0) \\ & (count_0 \neq n \vee r_0 \notin Emirp)) \wedge \\ & (count + isEmirp(r+1) = \sum_{i=2}^r isEmirp(i) + isEmirp(r+1) \wedge n > 0 \wedge \\ & 0 \leq count + isEmirp(r+1) \leq n \wedge r+1 \geq count + isEmirp(r+1)) \end{aligned} \right) \\
\Rightarrow & \quad \langle \text{Merge } count_0 \text{ and } count, r_0 \text{ and } r \rangle \\
& (count = \sum_{i=2}^r isEmirp(i) \wedge n > 0 \wedge 0 \leq count \leq n \wedge r \geq count) \\
\Leftrightarrow & \quad \langle \text{Definition of Inv} \rangle \\
& Inv \\
\Leftrightarrow & \quad \langle \text{Definition of } post(4) \rangle \\
& post(4)
\end{aligned}$$

3 Task 3

```

1 #include <gmp.h>
2 #include "reverse.h"
3
4 // int isEmirp(mpz_t n);
5 void emirp( unsigned long long n );
6 int isEmirp(mpz_t n);
7 int main(int argc, char const *argv[]) {
8     unsigned long long n;
9
10    if (scanf("%llu",&n) == 1){
11        // call the procedure to find nth emirp
12        emirp(n);
13    }

```

```

14
15     return 0;
16 }
17
18
19 void emirp( unsigned long long n ) {
20     // initial the r
21     mpz_t r;
22     mpz_init(r);
23     // r = 1
24     mpz_set_ui(r,1);
25
26     // count = 0
27     unsigned long long count =0 ;
28     while (count != n || isEmirp(r)!= 1) {
29         // r = r+1;
30         mpz_add_ui(r,r,1);
31         // count = count + ISEMIRP(r)
32         count += isEmirp(r);
33     }
34     gmp_printf("%Zd\n",r );
35
36 }
37 //
38 int isEmirp(mpz_t n){
39     // var w ,r
40     int w ;
41     mpz_t r;
42     // r = reversen(n)
43     mpz_init(r);
44     reversen(n,r);
45
46
47     if(mpz_cmp(n,r) !=0 ){
48         // {n != r}
49         if (mpz_probab_prime_p(n,50) >0) {
50             // {n \in Prime}
51             if(mpz_probab_prime_p(r,50) >0){
52                 // {r \in Prime}
53
54                 // {n != r \&\& n \in Prime \&\& r \in Prime} \Implies {w = 1}
55                 w =1;
56             }
57         else{
```

```

58         // { $r \notin Prime$ }
59         w = 0;
60     }
61 }
62 else{
63     // { $n \notin Prime$ }
64     w = 0;
65 }
66 }
67 else{
68     // { $n = r$ }
69     w = 0;
70 }
71 return w;
72 }

```

4 Task 4

We have implement procedure call *ISEMIRP* and *EMIRP* to our C functions and for the *ISPRIME* function we are using a library function in GMP (`mpz_probab_prime_p`). We are pretend the library function will always give back a correct check for whether the number is prime or not, which we have test it for correctly finding 1000 emirp by our config.

We have setted the r to have an initial value of 1, then it would be start searching *Emirp* from 2 because it is $r := r + 1$ before $count := count + 1$. Also we found it difficult to prove when the loop is break then it will implies $r \in Prime$, so we have add a check in the while loop. Other place just a exact implement of C.