# **Assignment 3**

Ruofei HUANG(z5141448) Anqi ZHU(z5141541) May 29, 2018

# 1 Task 1

# 1.1 Some useful symbol definitions about manipulating words

A word, as mentioned in the assignment specification, is defined as a finite sequence of letters over L = 'a', ..., 'z'. The specification already defines the relationship of ' $\leq$ ' between a word v and a word w (which means v is a prefix of w or w itself if  $v \leq w$ ).

So we would like to further this definition and define a relationship of '<' when v is a proper prefix of w which cannot be w itself if v < w. That means:

$$v < w \Leftrightarrow v \le w \land v \ne w$$

We also would like to define a symbol |w| that represents the length of a word w. We formally define this by:

$$|w| = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } w = \epsilon \\ 1 + |w'| & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

where  $\exists l \in L \{w = w' \ l\}$ 

# 1.2 Syntactic(Abstract) Data Type Dict

Inspired by the program sketch and the assignment statement, we could describe the syntactic data type Dict as below (the encapsulated state would be a dictionary word set W).

$$Dict = (W = \phi,$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{proc} \ addword^{Dict}(\mathbf{word} \ w) \cdot b, W : [\mathtt{TRUE}, b = b_0 \land W = W_0 \cup \{w\}] \\ \mathbf{func} \ checkword^{Dict}(\mathbf{word} \ w) : \\ \mathbb{B} \cdot \mathbf{var} \ b \cdot b, W : [\mathtt{TRUE}, b = (w \in W) \land W = W_0]; \ \mathbf{return} \ b \\ \mathbf{proc} \ delword^{Dict}(\mathbf{word} \ w) \cdot b, W : [w \in W, b = b_0 \land W = W_0 \backslash \{w\}] \end{pmatrix})$$

# 2 Task 2

## 2.1 Data Type Refinement

Now we would like to refine Dict to a second data type DictA where we replace W with a trie t. We would also like to define the domain of a t as  $\mathbf{dom}(t)$ . We shall use this definition later in our refinement.

#### 2.1.1 Inductive Relation Predicate

The correspondence between the two state space W and t is captured by the inductively defined predicate.

$$r = (W = \{w \in \mathbf{dom}(t) | t(w) = 1\})$$

which we can translate into a relation function that transfers a concrete state space t to an abstract state space W:

$$f(t) = \{ w \in \mathbf{dom}(t) | t(w) = 1 \}$$

With that in mind, we can propose the initialisation predicate and corresponding operations of Dict A.

#### 2.1.2 Initialisation Predicate

We would like to define the initialisation predicate of DictA as follows:

$$init^{DictA} = (t := \{\epsilon \mapsto 0\})$$

### 2.1.3 Operations

We would like to define the operations of DictA as follows:

```
\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{proc}\ addword^{DictA}(\mathbf{word}\ w) \cdot b, t: \\ &[\mathsf{TRUE}, b = b_0 \wedge t = t_0 \backslash \{w \mapsto 0\} \cup \{w \mapsto 1\} \cup \{w' < w \wedge w' \notin \mathbf{dom}(t) | w' \mapsto 0\}] \\ &\mathbf{func}\ checkword^{DictA}(\mathbf{word}\ w) : \mathbb{B} \cdot \mathbf{var}\ b \cdot b, t: \\ &[\mathsf{TRUE}, t = t_0 \wedge b = (\forall w' \leq w \ (w' \in \mathbf{dom}(t)) \wedge t(w) = 1)];\ \mathbf{return}\ b \\ &\mathbf{proc}\ delword^{DictA}(\mathbf{word}\ w) \cdot b, t: \\ &[\mathsf{TRUE}, b = b_0 \wedge (w \notin \mathbf{dom}(t) \vee t := (t : w \mapsto 0))] \end{aligned}
```

#### 2.2 Proof of Refinement

Now we would like to start proving the refinements of the initialization and each operation from t to W.

We start from proving the refinement between  $init^{DictA}$  and  $init^{Dict}$ .

$$init^{DictA} \Rightarrow init^{Dict}[f^{(t)}/W]$$
  
 $\Leftrightarrow \quad \langle \text{Definition of } init^{DictA} \text{ and } init^{Dict} \rangle$   
 $\forall w \in \mathbf{dom}(t) (t(w) = 0) \Rightarrow W = \phi$ 

Since all our precondition of concrete is trivial which all of them are TRUE, we don't need to proof the condition  $(3_f)$ . But condition  $(4_f)$  must be checked for all three operations. For the addword we proof:

$$pre_{addword^{Dict}}[f^{(t_0)}/w] \wedge post_{addword^{DictA}}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \langle \text{Definition of } addword^{Dict} \text{ and } addword^{DictA} \rangle$$

$$\text{TRUE}[f^{(t_0)}/w] \wedge b = b_0 \wedge t = t_0 \cup \{w \mapsto 1\} \cup \{w' < w \wedge w' \notin \mathbf{dom}(t) | w' \mapsto 0\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \langle \text{Definition of } f \rangle$$

$$f(t) = f(t_0 \cup \{w \mapsto 1\} \cup \{w' < w \wedge w' \notin \mathbf{dom}(t) | w' \mapsto 0\})$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \langle \text{Logic} \rangle$$

$$f(t) = f(t_0) \cup \{w\}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \langle \text{Definition of } addword^{Dict} \text{ and } addword^{DictA} \rangle$$

$$post_{addword^{Dict}}[f^{(t_0),f(t)}/w_{0,W}]$$

For the *checkword* we proof:

$$pre_{checkword^{Dict}}[f^{(t_0)}/w] \wedge post_{checkword^{DictA}}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \langle \text{Definition of } checkword^{DictA} \text{ and } checkword^{Dict} \rangle$$

$$\text{TRUE}[f^{(t_0)}/w] \wedge t = t_0 \wedge b = (\forall w' \leq w \, (w' \in \mathbf{dom}(t)) \wedge t(w) = 1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \langle \text{Definition of } f \rangle$$

$$b = (w \in f(t) \wedge t(w) = 1) \wedge t = t_0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \langle \text{Definition of } checkword^{DictA} \text{ and } checkword^{Dict} \rangle$$

$$post_{checkword^{Dict}}[f^{(t_0)},f^{(t)}/w_0,w]$$

For the *delword* we proof:

$$pre_{delword^{Dict}}[f^{(t_0)}/W] \wedge post_{delword^{DictA}}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \langle \text{Definition of } delword^{DictA} \text{ and } delword^{Dict} \rangle$$

$$w \in f(t_0) \wedge b = b_0 \wedge (w \notin \mathbf{dom}(t) \vee t := t : w \mapsto 0)$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \langle \text{Definition of } f \rangle$$

$$f(t) = f(t_0) \backslash \{w\}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \langle \text{Definition of } delword^{DictA} \text{ and } delword^{Dict} \rangle$$

$$post_{delword^{Dict}}[f^{(t_0),f(t)}/W_0,W]$$

# 3 Task 3

#### 3.1 Pre-defined function calls

Before refining the operations in DictA into toy language, we would like to first define some useful function calls that helps building our later refinement more close to the real c program constructions.

#### **3.1.1** *POPWORD*

The semantic function POPWORD returns a substring w' of the word w starting from the first letter to the index'th letter of w. The definition of the function and corresponding function call is as below:

#### Definition of POPWORD

$$POPWORD(w, i) = w'$$
 where  $w' \le w \land |w'| = i$ 

Definition of the function call popWord

```
func popWord(\mathbf{value}\ w, \mathbf{value}\ i) : \mathbf{word} \cdot \mathbf{var}\ w' \cdot \mathbf{w}' : [i \leq |w|, w' \leq w \wedge |w'| = i]; \mathbf{u}_{(P1)}\mathbf{return}\ w'
```

Refinement of the procedure in popWord

$$(P1) \sqsubseteq \langle |w[0..i-1]| = i \wedge w[0..i-1] \le w \rangle$$
$$w' := w[0..i-1]$$

This function helps us to build our refinement as close to the trie construction in c programs as possible. (In c programs, words are splitted into prefixes in increasing length and checked in the trie recursively.)

#### **3.1.2** doAddword

Using the previous definition of POPWORD, we would like to develop a corresponding function call named  $doAddword(\mathbf{var}\ w, \mathbf{var}\ index)$  that allows using a variable i to locate which prefix of  $w(\mathbf{or}\ say$  which sub-trie of t) the procedure currently is checking at during the entire word-adding operation.

All the prefixes of w should exist in t eventually, so if the current prefix POPWORD(w,i) does not exist, doAddword will add the new prefix into t and continue searching for the next prefix POPWORD(w,i+1). Considering this and the fact that t always initializes with  $\epsilon$  included, it is guaranteed that every doAddword operation at i level will already have all prefixes of w with length no longer than i exist in t, which satisfies the precondition of the function itself. The definition of the function and the refinement of its linking procedure is as follows.

#### Definition of doAddword

#### Refinement of the procedure in doAddword

$$(A2) \sqsubseteq \quad \langle \text{if} \rangle$$

$$\text{if } index < |w|$$

$$\text{then} \bot : [index < |w| \land pre(A2), post(A2)] \bot_{(A3-1)}$$

$$\text{else} \bot : [index \ge |w| \land pre(A2), post(A2)] \bot_{(A3-2)}$$

$$\text{fi}$$

$$(A3-1) \sqsubseteq \quad \langle \text{seq} \rangle$$

$$\bot t : \begin{bmatrix} index \ge |w| \land pre(A2), \\ index \ge |w| \land pre(A2), \land POPWORD(w, index + 1) \in \text{dom}(t) \end{bmatrix} \bot_{(A3-3)}$$

$$\bot t : \begin{bmatrix} index \ge |w| \land pre(A2) \land POPWORD(w, index + 1) \in \text{dom}(t), \\ post(A2) \end{bmatrix} \bot_{(A3-4)}$$

$$(A3-3) \sqsubseteq \quad \langle \text{if} \rangle$$

$$\text{if } (POPWORD(w, index + 1) \in \text{dom}(t))$$

$$\text{then } \bot t : \begin{bmatrix} pre(A3-3) \land POPWORD(w, index + 1) \in \text{dom}(t), \\ post(A3-3) \end{bmatrix} \bot_{(A3-3-1)}$$

$$\text{else } \bot t : \begin{bmatrix} pre(A3-3) \land POPWORD(w, index + 1) \notin \text{dom}(t), \\ post(A3-3) \end{bmatrix} \bot_{(A3-3-2)}$$

$$\text{fi}$$

$$(A3-3-1) \sqsubseteq \quad \langle POPWORD(w, index + 1) \in \text{dom}(t) \Rightarrow post(A3-3) = \text{TRUE} \rangle$$

$$skip;$$

$$(A3-3-2) \sqsubseteq \quad \langle Ass \rangle$$

$$t := t \cup \{popWord(w, index) \mapsto 0\}$$

$$(A3-4) \sqsubseteq \quad \langle proc \rangle$$

$$doAddword(w, index + 1);$$

$$(A3-2) \sqsubseteq \quad \langle index \ge |w| \land index \le |w| \Rightarrow index = |w| \text{ and definition of } post(A2) \rangle$$

$$t := t_0 \backslash \{w \mapsto 0\} \cup \{w \mapsto 1\};$$

We gather the code for the body of doAddword

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{if} \quad index < |w| \\ \textbf{then} \\ \quad \textbf{if} \quad POPWORD(w,index+1) \in \textbf{dom}(t) \\ \textbf{then} \quad skip; \\ \textbf{else} \\ \quad \quad t := t \cup \{popWord(w,index) \mapsto 0\} \\ \quad \textbf{fi} \\ \quad \quad doAddword(w,index+1); \\ \textbf{else} \\ \quad \quad t := t_0 \backslash \{w \mapsto 0\} \cup \{w \mapsto 1\}; \\ \textbf{fi} \end{array}
```

#### **3.1.3** doCheckword

Similarly to doAddword, we would also develop a corresponding function call named  $doCheckword(\mathbf{var}\ w, \mathbf{var}\ index)$  which will search the complete word w in t based on the precondition that all prefixes of w with length no longer than index are guaranteed to exist in t. The definition of the function and the refinement of its linking procedure is as follows.

#### Definition of doCheckword

```
\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{func} \ doCheckword(\mathbf{value} \ w, \mathbf{value} \ index : \mathbb{N}) : \mathbb{B} \cdot \\ & \mathbf{var} \ b \cdot \mathbf{L}b : \begin{bmatrix} 0 \leq index \leq |w| \wedge \\ \forall w' \leq w \wedge |w'| \leq index \, (w' \in \mathbf{dom}(t)) \, , \\ b = (\forall w' \leq w \, (w' \in \mathbf{dom}(t)) \wedge t(w) = 1) \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{l}_{(C2)}; \mathbf{return} \ b \end{aligned}
```

### Refinement of the procedure in doCheckword

```
(C2) \square
                       \langle if \rangle
                  if index < |w|
                  then b : [index < |w| \land pre(C2), post(C2)] \rfloor (C3-1)
                  else_b : [index \ge |w| \land pre(C2), post(C2)] \rfloor_{(C3-2)}
    (C3-1) \square
                       \langle if \rangle
                  if (popWord(w, index + 1) \in \mathbf{dom}(t))
                 fi
                     (ass, func)
(C3 - 1 - 1) \sqsubseteq
                  b := doCheckword(w, index + 1);
(C3-1-2) \square (Ass and popWord(w, index + 1) \notin \mathbf{dom}(t) \Rightarrow b = \text{FALSE})
                  b := \text{False}
    (C3-2) \sqsubseteq \qquad \langle index \geq |w| \wedge index \leq |w| \Rightarrow index = |w| \text{ and definition of } post(C2) \rangle
                  b := (t(w) = 1)
```

#### **3.1.4** *doDelword*

Similarly to doCheckword, we would also develop a corresponding function call named  $doDelword(\mathbf{var}\ w, \mathbf{var}\ index)$  which will set the result of t(w) as 0 based on the precondition that all prefixes of w with length no longer than index are guaranteed to exist in t. The definition of the function and the refinement of its linking procedure is as follows.

#### Definition of doDelword

```
proc doDelword^{DictA}(\mathbf{value}\ w, \mathbf{value}\ index)
t: [0 \le index \le |w| \land \forall w' \le w \land |w'| \le index (w' \in \mathbf{dom}(t)), \\ (w \notin \mathbf{dom}(t) \lor t = t_0 : w \mapsto 0)]
```

#### Refinement of the procedure in doDelword

```
(D2) \sqsubseteq
                                                                                                         \langle if \rangle
                                                                                   if index < |w|
                                                                                   then t: [index < |w| \land pre(D2), post(D2)] \rfloor_{(D3-1)}
                                                                                   else_{L}t : [index \ge |w| \land pre(D2), post(D2)]_{(C3-2)}
                    (D3-1) \sqsubseteq
                                                                                                           \langle if \rangle
                                                                                   if (POPWORD(w, index + 1) \in \mathbf{dom}(t))
                                                                                then \[ \] then \[ \
(D3 - 1 - 1) \sqsubseteq
                                                                                                            (ass, func)
                                                                                   doDelword(w, index + 1);
                                                                                                   (Ass and POPWORD(w, index + 1) \notin \mathbf{dom}(t) \Rightarrow post(D2) = \text{TRUE})
                                                                                   skip;
                    (D3-2) \sqsubseteq \langle index \ge |w| \land index \le |w| \Rightarrow index = |w| \text{ and definition of } post(D2) \rangle
                                                                                   t := t : w \mapsto 0;
```

### 3.2 Refinement of *init*

From the spec we have:

$$\mathbf{dom}(t) = \{\epsilon\} \land f(t) = \phi$$

$$\sqsubseteq \quad \langle \text{ass} \rangle$$

$$t := \{\epsilon \mapsto 0\}$$

### **3.3** Refinement of addword

From the spec<sup>1</sup> we have:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Definition of this is in the Assignment 3 requirements of cs2111.

### **3.4 Refinement of** *checkword*

From the spec we have:

```
func checkword^{DictA}(\mathbf{value}\ w): \mathbb{B} \cdot \mathbf{var}\ b \cdot \mathsf{L}b, t: [\mathsf{TRUE}, b = (\forall w' \leq w\ (w' \in \mathbf{dom}(t)) \land t(w) = 1)]; \mathsf{L}_{(C1)}\ \mathbf{return}\ b
(C1) \sqsubseteq \quad \langle c\text{-frame} \rangle \\ b: [\mathsf{TRUE}, b = (\forall w' \leq w\ (w' \in \mathbf{dom}(t)) \land t(w) = 1)]; \\ \sqsubseteq \quad \langle \operatorname{proc}, \ 0 \leq |w| \ \text{and}\ \epsilon \in \mathbf{dom}(t) \ \text{since}\ init^{DictA} \rangle \\ b: = doCheckword(w, 0);
```

### **3.5** Refinement of delword

From the spec we have: