
Isabelle's meta-logic

Basic constructs

Implication \implies ($==>$)

For separating premises and conclusion of theorems

Basic constructs

Implication \implies ($==>$)

For separating premises and conclusion of theorems

Equality \equiv ($==$)

For definitions

Basic constructs

Implication \implies ($==>$)

For separating premises and conclusion of theorems

Equality \equiv ($==$)

For definitions

Universal quantifier \wedge ($!!$)

For binding local variables

Basic constructs

Implication \implies ($==>$)

For separating premises and conclusion of theorems

Equality \equiv ($==$)

For definitions

Universal quantifier \wedge ($!!$)

For binding local variables

Do not use *inside* HOL formulae

Notation

$$\llbracket A_1; \dots ; A_n \rrbracket \Longrightarrow B$$

abbreviates

$$A_1 \Longrightarrow \dots \Longrightarrow A_n \Longrightarrow B$$

Notation

$$[A_1; \dots ; A_n] \Longrightarrow B$$

abbreviates

$$A_1 \Longrightarrow \dots \Longrightarrow A_n \Longrightarrow B$$

$;$ \approx “and”

The proof state

$$1. \bigwedge x_1 \dots x_p. [A_1; \dots ; A_n] \Longrightarrow B$$

$x_1 \dots x_p$ Local constants

$A_1 \dots A_n$ Local assumptions

B Actual (sub)goal

Type and function definition in Isabelle/HOL

Type definition in Isabelle/HOL

Introducing new types

Keywords:

- **typedekl**: pure declaration
- **types**: abbreviation
- **datatype**: recursive datatype

typedefcl

typedefcl *name*

Introduces new “opaque” type *name* without definition

typedefcl

typedefcl *name*

Introduces new “opaque” type *name* without definition

Example:

typedefcl *addr* — An abstract type of addresses

types

types *name* = τ

Introduces an *abbreviation* *name* for type τ

types

types *name* = τ

Introduces an *abbreviation* *name* for type τ

Examples:

types

name = *string*

('a','b')foo = *'a list* \times *'b list*

types

types *name* = τ

Introduces an *abbreviation* *name* for type τ

Examples:

types

name = *string*

('a, 'b)foo = *'a list* \times *'b list*

Type abbreviations are expanded immediately after parsing
Not present in internal representation and Isabelle output

datatype

The example

datatype *'a list* = *Nil* | *Cons* *'a* (*'a list*)

Properties:

- **Types:** $Nil :: 'a\ list$
 $Cons :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ list$
- **Distinctness:** $Nil \neq Cons\ x\ xs$
- **Injectivity:** $(Cons\ x\ xs = Cons\ y\ ys) = (x = y \wedge xs = ys)$

The general case

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \mathbf{datatype} \ (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)\tau & = & C_1 \ \tau_{1,1} \dots \tau_{1,n_1} \\ & | & \dots \\ & | & C_k \ \tau_{k,1} \dots \tau_{k,n_k} \end{array}$$

- *Types:* $C_i :: \tau_{i,1} \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow \tau_{i,n_i} \Rightarrow (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)\tau$
- *Distinctness:* $C_i \dots \neq C_j \dots$ if $i \neq j$
- *Injectivity:*
 $(C_i \ x_1 \dots x_{n_i} = C_i \ y_1 \dots y_{n_i}) = (x_1 = y_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_{n_i} = y_{n_i})$

The general case

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \text{datatype } (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)\tau & = & C_1 \tau_{1,1} \dots \tau_{1,n_1} \\ & | & \dots \\ & | & C_k \tau_{k,1} \dots \tau_{k,n_k} \end{array}$$

- *Types:* $C_i :: \tau_{i,1} \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow \tau_{i,n_i} \Rightarrow (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)\tau$
- *Distinctness:* $C_i \dots \neq C_j \dots$ if $i \neq j$
- *Injectivity:*
 $(C_i x_1 \dots x_{n_i} = C_i y_1 \dots y_{n_i}) = (x_1 = y_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_{n_i} = y_{n_i})$

Distinctness and Injectivity are applied automatically
Induction must be applied explicitly

Function definition in Isabelle/HOL

Why nontermination can be harmful

How about $f\ x = f\ x + 1$?

Why nontermination can be harmful

How about $f\ x = f\ x + 1$?

Subtract $f\ x$ on both sides.

$$\implies 0 = 1$$

Why nontermination can be harmful

How about $f\ x = f\ x + 1$?

Subtract $f\ x$ on both sides.

$$\implies 0 = 1$$

! All functions in HOL must be total **!**

Function definition schemas in Isabelle/HOL

- Non-recursive with **definition**
No problem

Function definition schemas in Isabelle/HOL

- Non-recursive with **definition**
No problem
- Primitive-recursive with **primrec**
Terminating by construction

Function definition schemas in Isabelle/HOL

- Non-recursive with **definition**
No problem
- Primitive-recursive with **primrec**
Terminating by construction
- Well-founded recursion with **fun**
Automatic termination proof

Function definition schemas in Isabelle/HOL

- Non-recursive with **definition**
No problem
- Primitive-recursive with **primrec**
Terminating by construction
- Well-founded recursion with **fun**
Automatic termination proof
- Well-founded recursion with **function**
User-supplied termination proof

definition

Definition (non-recursive) by example

definition $sq :: nat \Rightarrow nat$ **where** $sq\ n = n * n$

Definitions: pitfalls

definition *prime* :: *nat* \Rightarrow *bool* where
prime *p* = ($1 < p \wedge (m \text{ dvd } p \longrightarrow m = 1 \vee m = p)$)

Definitions: pitfalls

definition *prime* :: *nat* \Rightarrow *bool* where
prime *p* = ($1 < p \wedge (m \text{ dvd } p \longrightarrow m = 1 \vee m = p)$)

Not a definition: free *m* not on left-hand side

Definitions: pitfalls

definition *prime* :: *nat* \Rightarrow *bool* where
prime *p* = ($1 < p \wedge (m \text{ dvd } p \longrightarrow m = 1 \vee m = p)$)

Not a definition: free *m* not on left-hand side

! Every free variable on the rhs must occur on the lhs !

Definitions: pitfalls

definition *prime* :: *nat* \Rightarrow *bool* where
prime *p* = (*1* < *p* \wedge (*m dvd p* \longrightarrow *m* = *1* \vee *m* = *p*))

Not a definition: free *m* not on left-hand side

! Every free variable on the rhs must occur on the lhs !

prime *p* = (*1* < *p* \wedge ($\forall m. m \text{ dvd } p \longrightarrow m = 1 \vee m = p$))

Using definitions

Definitions are not used automatically

Using definitions

Definitions are not used automatically

Unfolding the definition of *sq*:

apply(*unfold sq_def*)

primrec

The example

primrec *app* :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list where

app Nil *ys = ys* |

app (Cons x xs) ys = Cons x (app xs ys)

The general case

If τ is a datatype (with constructors C_1, \dots, C_k) then
 $f :: \dots \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow \tau'$ can be defined by *primitive recursion*:

$$f\ x_1 \dots (C_1\ y_{1,1} \dots y_{1,n_1}) \dots x_p = r_1 \mid$$

\vdots

$$f\ x_1 \dots (C_k\ y_{k,1} \dots y_{k,n_k}) \dots x_p = r_k$$

The general case

If τ is a datatype (with constructors C_1, \dots, C_k) then
 $f :: \dots \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow \tau'$ can be defined by *primitive recursion*:

$$f\ x_1 \dots (C_1\ y_{1,1} \dots y_{1,n_1}) \dots x_p = r_1 \mid$$

\vdots

$$f\ x_1 \dots (C_k\ y_{k,1} \dots y_{k,n_k}) \dots x_p = r_k$$

The recursive calls in r_i must be *structurally smaller*,
i.e. of the form $f\ a_1 \dots y_{i,j} \dots a_p$

nat is a datatype

datatype *nat* = 0 | Suc *nat*

nat is a datatype

datatype *nat* = 0 | Suc *nat*

Functions on *nat* definable by primrec!

primrec *f* :: *nat* \Rightarrow ...

f 0 = ...

f(Suc *n*) = ... *f* *n* ...

More predefined types and functions

Type option

datatype *'a option = None | Some 'a*

Type option

datatype *'a option = None | Some 'a*

Important application:

$\dots \Rightarrow 'a\ option \approx$ partial function:

None \approx no result

Some a \approx result *a*

Type option

datatype *'a option* = *None* | *Some 'a*

Important application:

$\dots \Rightarrow 'a \text{ option} \approx$ partial function:

None \approx no result

Some a \approx result *a*

Example:

primrec *lookup* :: *'k* \Rightarrow (*'k* \times *'v*) *list* \Rightarrow *'v option* where

Type option

datatype *'a option* = *None* | *Some 'a*

Important application:

$\dots \Rightarrow 'a \text{ option} \approx$ partial function:

None \approx no result

Some a \approx result *a*

Example:

primrec *lookup* :: *'k* \Rightarrow (*'k* \times *'v*) *list* \Rightarrow *'v option* where
lookup k [] = *None*

Type option

datatype 'a option = None | Some 'a

Important application:

... \Rightarrow 'a option \approx partial function:

None \approx no result

Some a \approx result a

Example:

primrec lookup :: 'k \Rightarrow ('k \times 'v) list \Rightarrow 'v option where

lookup k [] = None |

lookup k (x#xs) =

(if fst x = k then Some(snd x) else lookup k xs)

case

Datatype values can be taken apart with case expressions:

(case xs of [] \Rightarrow ... | y#ys \Rightarrow ... y ... ys ...)

case

Datatype values can be taken apart with case expressions:

(case xs of [] \Rightarrow ... | y#ys \Rightarrow ... y ... ys ...)

Wildcards:

(case xs of [] \Rightarrow [] | y#_ \Rightarrow [y])

case

Datatype values can be taken apart with case expressions:

(case xs of [] \Rightarrow ... | y#ys \Rightarrow ... y ... ys ...)

Wildcards:

(case xs of [] \Rightarrow [] | y#_ \Rightarrow [y])

Nested patterns:

(case xs of [0] \Rightarrow 0 | [Suc n] \Rightarrow n | _ \Rightarrow 2)

case

Datatype values can be taken apart with case expressions:

$(\text{case } xs \text{ of } [] \Rightarrow \dots \mid y\#ys \Rightarrow \dots y \dots ys \dots)$

Wildcards:

$(\text{case } xs \text{ of } [] \Rightarrow [] \mid y\#_ \Rightarrow [y])$

Nested patterns:

$(\text{case } xs \text{ of } [0] \Rightarrow 0 \mid [Suc\ n] \Rightarrow n \mid _ \Rightarrow 2)$

Complicated patterns mean complicated proofs!

case

Datatype values can be taken apart with case expressions:

$(\text{case } xs \text{ of } [] \Rightarrow \dots \mid y\#ys \Rightarrow \dots y \dots ys \dots)$

Wildcards:

$(\text{case } xs \text{ of } [] \Rightarrow [] \mid y\#_ \Rightarrow [y])$

Nested patterns:

$(\text{case } xs \text{ of } [0] \Rightarrow 0 \mid [Suc\ n] \Rightarrow n \mid _ \Rightarrow 2)$

Complicated patterns mean complicated proofs!

Needs () in context

Proof by case distinction

If $t :: \tau$ and τ is a datatype

apply(*case_tac* t)

Proof by case distinction

If $t :: \tau$ and τ is a datatype

apply(*case_tac* t)

creates k subgoals

$$t = C_i \ x_1 \ \dots \ x_p \Longrightarrow \dots$$

one for each constructor C_i of type τ .

Demo: trees

fun

*From primitive recursion
to arbitrary pattern matching*

Example: Fibonacci

fun fib :: nat \Rightarrow nat where

fib 0 = 0 |
fib (Suc 0) = 1 |
fib (Suc(Suc n)) = fib (n+1) + fib n

Example: Separation

fun sep :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list where

sep a [] = [] |

sep a [x] = [x] |

sep a (x#y#zs) = x # a # sep a (y#zs)

Example: Ackermann

fun ack :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat where

ack 0 n = Suc n |
ack (Suc m) 0 = ack m (Suc 0) |
ack (Suc m) (Suc n) = ack m (ack (Suc m) n)

Key features of fun

- Arbitrary pattern matching

Key features of fun

- Arbitrary pattern matching
- Order of equations matters

Key features of fun

- Arbitrary pattern matching
- Order of equations matters
- Termination must be provable
by lexicographic combination of size measures

Size

- $\text{size}(n::\text{nat}) = n$

Size

- $\text{size}(n::\text{nat}) = n$
- $\text{size}(xs) = \text{length } xs$

Size

- $\text{size}(n::\text{nat}) = n$
- $\text{size}(xs) = \text{length } xs$
- size counts number of (non-nullary) constructors

Lexicographic ordering

Either the first component decreases, or it stays unchanged and the second component decreases:

Lexicographic ordering

Either the first component decreases, or it stays unchanged and the second component decreases:

$$(5, 3) > (4, 7) > (4, 6) > (4, 0) > (3, 42) > \dots$$

Lexicographic ordering

Either the first component decreases, or it stays unchanged and the second component decreases:

$$(5, 3) > (4, 7) > (4, 6) > (4, 0) > (3, 42) > \dots$$

Similar for tuples:

$$(5, 6, 3) > (4, 12, 5) > (4, 11, 9) > (4, 11, 8) > \dots$$

Lexicographic ordering

Either the first component decreases, or it stays unchanged and the second component decreases:

$$(5, 3) > (4, 7) > (4, 6) > (4, 0) > (3, 42) > \dots$$

Similar for tuples:

$$(5, 6, 3) > (4, 12, 5) > (4, 11, 9) > (4, 11, 8) > \dots$$

Theorem If each component ordering terminates, then their *lexicographic product* terminates, too.

Ackermann terminates

$$\mathit{ack} \ 0 \ n = \mathit{Suc} \ n$$

$$\mathit{ack} \ (\mathit{Suc} \ m) \ 0 = \mathit{ack} \ m \ (\mathit{Suc} \ 0)$$

$$\mathit{ack} \ (\mathit{Suc} \ m) \ (\mathit{Suc} \ n) = \mathit{ack} \ m \ (\mathit{ack} \ (\mathit{Suc} \ m) \ n)$$

Ackermann terminates

$$\text{ack } 0 \ n = \text{Suc } n$$

$$\text{ack } (\text{Suc } m) \ 0 = \text{ack } m \ (\text{Suc } 0)$$

$$\text{ack } (\text{Suc } m) \ (\text{Suc } n) = \text{ack } m \ (\text{ack } (\text{Suc } m) \ n)$$

because the arguments of each recursive call are lexicographically smaller than the arguments on the lhs.

Ackermann terminates

$$\mathit{ack}\ 0\ n = \mathit{Suc}\ n$$

$$\mathit{ack}\ (\mathit{Suc}\ m)\ 0 = \mathit{ack}\ m\ (\mathit{Suc}\ 0)$$

$$\mathit{ack}\ (\mathit{Suc}\ m)\ (\mathit{Suc}\ n) = \mathit{ack}\ m\ (\mathit{ack}\ (\mathit{Suc}\ m)\ n)$$

because the arguments of each recursive call are lexicographically smaller than the arguments on the lhs.

Note: order of arguments not important for Isabelle!

Computation Induction

If $f :: \tau \Rightarrow \tau'$ is defined by fun, a special induction schema is provided to prove $P(x)$ for all $x :: \tau$:

Computation Induction

If $f :: \tau \Rightarrow \tau'$ is defined by **fun**, a special induction schema is provided to prove $P(x)$ for all $x :: \tau$:

for each equation $f(e) = t$,
prove $P(e)$ assuming $P(r)$ for all recursive calls $f(r)$ in t .

Computation Induction

If $f :: \tau \Rightarrow \tau'$ is defined by fun, a special induction schema is provided to prove $P(x)$ for all $x :: \tau$:

for each equation $f(e) = t$,
prove $P(e)$ assuming $P(r)$ for all recursive calls $f(r)$ in t .

Induction follows course of (terminating!) computation

Computation Induction: Example

fun $\text{div2} :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ where
 $\text{div2 } 0 = 0$ |
 $\text{div2 } (\text{Suc } 0) = 0$ |
 $\text{div2 } (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) = \text{Suc } (\text{div2 } n)$

Computation Induction: Example

fun *div2* :: *nat* \Rightarrow *nat* where
 div2 0 = 0 |
 div2 (Suc 0) = 0 |
 div2 (Suc (Suc n)) = Suc (*div2* n)

\leadsto induction rule *div2.induct*:

$$\frac{P(0) \quad P(\text{Suc } 0) \quad P(n) \Longrightarrow P(\text{Suc}(\text{Suc } n))}{P(m)}$$

Demo: fun