

# COMP20007 Design of Algorithms

## Hashing

---

Daniel Beck

Lecture 15

Semester 1, 2020

# Dictionaries - Recap

- Abstract Data Structure: collection of (key, value) pairs.

# Dictionaries - Recap

- Abstract Data Structure: collection of *(key, value)* pairs.
- Required operations: Search, Insert, Delete

# Dictionaries - Recap

- Abstract Data Structure: collection of *(key, value)* pairs.
- Required operations: Search, Insert, Delete
- Last lecture: Binary Search Trees (and extensions)

$$\Theta(n)$$

$$\Theta(\log n)$$

# Dictionaries - Recap

- Abstract Data Structure: collection of *(key, value)* pairs.
- Required operations: Search, Insert, Delete
- Last lecture: Binary Search Trees (and extensions)
- This lecture: Hash Tables.

# Hash Tables

- A hash table is a continuous data structure with  $m$  preallocated entries.

array

# Hash Tables

- A hash table is a continuous data structure with  $m$  preallocated entries.
- Average case performance for Search, Insert and Delete:  
 $\Theta(1)$

# Hash Tables

- A hash table is a continuous data structure with  $m$  preallocated entries.
- Average case performance for Search, Insert and Delete:  $\Theta(1)$
- Requires a hash function:  $h(K) \rightarrow i \in [0, m - 1]$ .



# Hash Tables

- A hash table is a continuous data structure with  $m$  preallocated entries.
- Average case performance for Search, Insert and Delete:  $\Theta(1)$
- Requires a *hash function*:  $h(K) \rightarrow i \in [0, m - 1]$ .
- A hash function should:
  - Be efficient ( $\Theta(1)$ ).
  - Distribute keys evenly (uniformly) along the table.

# Identity Hash Function

STUDENT ID

737687  $\rightarrow$  POSITION

**Question:** if keys are integers, why do I need a hash function?  
I could just use the key as the index, no?

# Identity Hash Function

**Question:** if keys are integers, why do I need a hash function?  
I could just use the key as the index, no?

- This is the *identity* hash function:  $h(K) = K.$

# Identity Hash Function

**Question:** if keys are integers, why do I need a hash function?  
I could just use the key as the index, no?

- This is the *identity* hash function:  $h(K) = K$ .
- Note that  $K \in [0, m - 1]$ . In other words we need to know the maximum number of keys in advance.

# Identity Hash Function

**Question:** if keys are integers, why do I need a hash function?  
I could just use the key as the index, no?

- This is the *identity* hash function:  $h(K) = K$ .
- Note that  $K \in [0, m - 1]$ . In other words we *need to know the maximum number of keys in advance*.
- Sometimes this is possible: postcodes, for example.

4 DIGITS  
0-9999

# Identity Hash Function

**Question:** if keys are integers, why do I need a hash function?  
I could just use the key as the index, no?

- This is the *identity* hash function:  $h(K) = K$ .
- Note that  $K \in [0, m - 1]$ . In other words we need to know the maximum number of keys in advance.
- Sometimes this is possible: postcodes, for example.
- Many times it is not:
  - $m$  is too large (need to preallocate)
  - Unbounded integers (student IDs)
  - Non-integer keys (games)

↪ 10500 PREALLOCATE

# Hashing Integers

- For large/unbounded integers, an alternative function is

$$h(K) = \underline{K \bmod m}$$

$$m = 9 \quad 2 \bmod 9 \rightarrow 2$$

$$17 \bmod 9 = 8$$

$$23 \bmod 9 = 2$$

# Hashing Integers

- For large/unbounded integers, an alternative function is
$$h(K) = K \bmod m$$
- Allow us to set the size  $m$ .



# Hashing Integers

- For large/unbounded integers, an alternative function is  $h(K) = K \bmod m$
- Allow us to set the size  $m$ .
- Small  $m$  results in lots of collisions, large  $m$  takes excessive memory. Best  $m$  will vary.

# Hashing Strings

- Assume  $A \mapsto 0$ ,  $B \mapsto 1$ , etc.  $0 - 25$
- Assume 26 characters and  $m = 101$ .
- Each character can be mapped to a binary string of length 5 ( $2^5 = 32$ ).  $> 26$

# Hashing Strings

- Assume  $A \mapsto 0$ ,  $B \mapsto 1$ , etc.
- Assume 26 characters and  $m = 101$ .
- Each character can be mapped to a *binary* string of length 5 ( $2^5 = 32$ ).

We can think of a string as a long binary number:

$$\underline{\text{M Y K E Y}} \mapsto 01100\underbrace{11000}_4\underbrace{01010}_4\underbrace{00100}_4\underbrace{11000}_4 (= \underline{13379736})$$

$$\underline{13379736 \bmod 101} = \underline{64}$$

So 64 is the position of string M Y K E Y in the hash table.

# Hashing Strings

We deliberately chose  $m$  to be prime.

$$13379736 = 12 \times 32^4 + 24 \times 32^3 + 10 \times 32^2 + 4 \times 32 + 24$$

With  $m = 32$ , the hash value of any key is the last character's value!

$$m = 2^5$$

# Hashing Long Strings

Assume *chr* be the function that gives a character's number, so for example,  $chr(c) = 2$ .

# Hashing Long Strings

Assume  $chr$  be the function that gives a character's number, so for example,  $chr(c) = 2$ .

Then we have

$$h(s) = \left( \sum_{i=0}^{|s|-1} chr(s_i) \times 32^{|s|-i-1} \right) \bmod m,$$

where  $m$  is a prime number. For example,

$$\underline{h(\text{VERY LONG KEY})} = (21 \times 32^{10} + 4 \times 32^9 + \dots) \bmod 101$$

# Hashing Long Strings

Assume  $chr$  be the function that gives a character's number, so for example,  $chr(c) = 2$ .

Then we have

$$h(s) = (\sum_{i=0}^{|s|-1} chr(s_i) \times 32^{|s|-i-1}) \bmod m,$$

where  $m$  is a prime number. For example,

$$h(\text{VERY LONG KEY}) = (21 \times 32^{10} + 4 \times 32^9 + \dots) \bmod 101$$

The term between parenthesis can become quite large and result in overflow.

# Horner's Rule

Instead of

$$21 \times 32^{10} + 4 \times 32^9 + 17 \times 32^8 + 24 \times 32^7 \dots$$

factor out repeatedly:

$$(\dots ((21 \times 32 + 4) \times 32 + 17) \times 32 + \dots) + 24$$

$$21 \times \boxed{32^9} \times 32 + 4 \times \boxed{32^9} =$$

$$= (21 \times 32 + 4) \times 32^9 \dots \dots \dots$$




# Horner's Rule

Instead of

$$21 \times 32^{10} + 4 \times 32^9 + 17 \times 32^8 + 24 \times 32^7 \dots$$

factor out repeatedly:


$$(\dots ((21 \times 32 + 4) \times 32 + 17) \times 32 + \dots) + 24 \pmod m$$

Now utilize these properties of modular arithmetic:

$$(x + y) \bmod m = ((x \bmod m) + (y \bmod m)) \bmod m$$

$$(x \times y) \bmod m = ((x \bmod m) \times (y \bmod m)) \bmod m$$

So for each sub-expression it suffices to take values modulo  $m$ .

# Collisions

Happens when the hash function give identical results to two different keys.

# Collisions

Happens when the hash function give identical results to two different keys.

We saw two solutions:

- Separate Chaining
- Linear Probing

# Collisions

Happens when the hash function give identical results to two different keys.

We saw two solutions:

- Separate Chaining
- Linear Probing

Practical efficiency will depend on the table **load factor:**

$$\alpha = n/m$$

$n = \# \text{ TOTAL OF RECORDS}$

## Separate Chaining

Assign multiple records per cell (usually through a linked list)

# Separate Chaining

Assign multiple records per cell (usually through a linked list)

- Assuming even distribution of the  $n$  keys.

# Separate Chaining

$$0 < \alpha < 1 \quad \alpha \geq 1$$

Assign multiple records per cell (usually through a linked list)

- Assuming even distribution of the  $n$  keys.
- A successful search requires  $1 + \alpha/2$  operations on average.

$$\begin{aligned} & \hookrightarrow \sim 1 \text{ operation} \\ & \hookrightarrow \sim \alpha = 2 \\ & \quad \hookrightarrow 2 \text{ operations} \end{aligned}$$

# Separate Chaining

Assign multiple records per cell (usually through a linked list)

- Assuming even distribution of the  $n$  keys.
- A successful search requires  $1 + \alpha/2$  operations on average.
- An unsuccessful search requires  $\alpha$  operations on average.



# Separate Chaining

Assign multiple records per cell (usually through a linked list)

- Assuming even distribution of the  $n$  keys.
- A successful search requires  $1 + \alpha/2$  operations on average.
- An unsuccessful search requires  $\alpha$  operations on average.
- Almost same numbers for Insert and Delete.

# Separate Chaining

Assign multiple records per cell (usually through a linked list)

- Assuming even distribution of the  $n$  keys.
- A successful search requires  $1 + \alpha/2$  operations on average.
- An unsuccessful search requires  $\alpha$  operations on average.
- Almost same numbers for Insert and Delete.
- Worst case  $\Theta(n)$  only with a bad hash function (load factor is more of an issue).

# Separate Chaining

Assign multiple records per cell (usually through a linked list)

- Assuming even distribution of the  $n$  keys.
- A successful search requires  $1 + \alpha/2$  operations on average.
- An unsuccessful search requires  $\alpha$  operations on average.
- Almost same numbers for Insert and Delete.
- Worst case  $\Theta(n)$  only with a bad hash function (load factor is more of an issue).
- Requires extra memory.

# Linear Probing

Populate successive empty cells.

# Linear Probing

Populate successive empty cells.

- Much harder analysis, simplified results show:
- A successful search requires  $(1/2) \times (1 + 1/(1 - \alpha))$  operations on average.
- An unsuccessful search requires  $(1/2) \times (1 + 1/(1 - \alpha)^2)$  operations on average.

ONLY MAKES  
SENSE FOR

$$0 < \alpha < 1$$

# Linear Probing

Populate successive empty cells.

- Much harder analysis, simplified results show:
- A successful search requires  $(1/2) \times (1 + 1/(1 - \alpha))$  operations on average.
- An unsuccessful search requires  $(1/2) \times (1 + 1/(1 - \alpha)^2)$  operations on average.
- Similar numbers for Insert. Delete virtually impossible.

# Linear Probing

Populate successive empty cells.

- Much harder analysis, simplified results show:
- A successful search requires  $(1/2) \times (1 + 1/(1 - \alpha))$  operations on average.
- An unsuccessful search requires  $(1/2) \times (1 + 1/(1 - \alpha)^2)$  operations on average.
- Similar numbers for Insert. Delete virtually impossible.
- Does not require extra memory.

# Linear Probing

Populate successive empty cells.

- Much harder analysis, simplified results show:
- A successful search requires  $(1/2) \times (1 + 1/(1 - \alpha))$  operations on average.
- An unsuccessful search requires  $(1/2) \times (1 + 1/(1 - \alpha)^2)$  operations on average.
- Similar numbers for Insert. Delete virtually impossible.
- Does not require extra memory.
- Worst case  $\Theta(n)$  with a bad hash function and/or clusters.



# Double Hashing

A generalisation of Linear Probing.

Apply a second hash function in case of collision.

# Double Hashing

A generalisation of Linear Probing.

Apply a second hash function in case of collision.

- First try:  $h(K)$
- Second try:  $(h(K) + s(K)) \bmod m$
- Third try:  $(h(K) + 2s(K)) \bmod m$
- ...

$$s(K) = 1$$

$$(h(K) + 0 \times s(K)) \bmod m = h(K)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (h(K) + 1 \times s(K)) \bmod m = \\ & = (h(K) + 1 \times 1) \bmod m = h(K) + 1 \end{aligned}$$

UNLESS  $h(K) + 1 = m$   
 $= 0$

# Double Hashing

A generalisation of Linear Probing.

Apply a second hash function in case of collision.

- First try:  $h(K)$
- Second try:  $(h(K) + s(K)) \bmod m$
- Third try:  $(h(K) + 2s(K)) \bmod m$
- ...

Another reason to use prime  $m$  in  $h(K)$ : will guarantee to find a free cell if there is one.

# Double Hashing

A generalisation of Linear Probing.

Apply a second hash function in case of collision.

- First try:  $h(K)$
- Second try:  $(h(K) + s(K)) \bmod m$
- Third try:  $(h(K) + 2s(K)) \bmod m$
- ...

Another reason to use prime  $m$  in  $h(K)$ : will guarantee to find a free cell if there is one.

Both Linear Probing and Double Hashing are sometimes referred as Open Addressing methods.

# Rehashing

- High load factors deteriorate the performance of a hash table (for linear probing, ideally we should have  $\alpha < 0.9$ ).

# Rehashing

- High load factors deteriorate the performance of a hash table (for linear probing, ideally we should have  $\alpha < 0.9$ ).
- *Rehashing* allocates a new table (usually around double the size) and move every item from the previous table to the new one.

# Rehashing

- High load factors deteriorate the performance of a hash table (for linear probing, ideally we should have  $\alpha < 0.9$ ).
- *Rehashing* allocates a new table (usually around double the size) and move every item from the previous table to the new one.
- Very expensive operation, but happens infrequently.

# Summary

Hash Tables:



# Summary

Hash Tables:

- Implement dictionaries.

# Summary

Hash Tables:

- Implement dictionaries.
- Allow  $\Theta(1)$  Search, Insert and Delete in the average case.

# Summary

Hash Tables:

- Implement dictionaries.
- Allow  $\Theta(1)$  Search, Insert and Delete in the average case.
- Preallocates memory (size  $m$ ).

# Summary

## Hash Tables:

- Implement dictionaries.
- Allow  $\Theta(1)$  Search, Insert and Delete in the average case.
- Preallocates memory (size  $m$ ).
- Requires good *hash functions*.

# Summary

## Hash Tables:

- Implement dictionaries.
- Allow  $\Theta(1)$  Search, Insert and Delete in the average case.
- Preallocates memory (size  $m$ ).
- Requires good *hash functions*.
- Requires good collision handling.

# Pros and Cons

If Hash Tables are so good, why bother with BSTs?

# Pros and Cons

If Hash Tables are so good, why bother with BSTs?

- Hash Tables ignore key ordering, unlike BSTs.
- Queries like “give me all records with keys between 100 and 200” are easy within a BST but much less efficient in a hash table.

# Pros and Cons

If Hash Tables are so good, why bother with BSTs?

- Hash Tables ignore *key ordering*, unlike BSTs.
- Queries like “give me all records with keys between 100 and 200” are easy within a BST but much less efficient in a hash table.
- Also: memory requirements of a hash table are much higher.



# Pros and Cons

If Hash Tables are so good, why bother with BSTs?

- Hash Tables ignore *key ordering*, unlike BSTs.
- Queries like “give me all records with keys between 100 and 200” are easy within a BST but much less efficient in a hash table.
- Also: memory requirements of a hash table are much higher.

That being said, if hashing is applicable, a well-tuned hash table will typically outperform BSTs.

Python dictionaries (*dict* type)

# In Practice

Python dictionaries (*dict* type)

- Open addressing using *pseudo-random probing*
- Rehashing happens when  $\alpha = 2/3$

Python dictionaries (*dict* type)

- Open addressing using *pseudo-random probing*
- Rehashing happens when  $\alpha = 2/3$

C++ *unordered\_maps*

# In Practice

Python dictionaries (*dict* type)

- Open addressing using *pseudo-random probing*
- Rehashing happens when  $\alpha = 2/3$

C++ *unordered\_maps*

*maps → COMPLEX QUERIES*

- Uses chaining.
- Rehashing happens when  $\alpha = 1$

Python dictionaries (*dict* type)

- Open addressing using *pseudo-random probing*
- Rehashing happens when  $\alpha = 2/3$

C++ *unordered\_maps*

- Uses chaining.
- Rehashing happens when  $\alpha = 1$

**Next lecture:** what happens if records/data is too large?