ANALYSIS OF PANEL DATA

An Introduction

datascience@berkeley

Using OLS Regression Model on Panel Data

Structure of the Data

- We need to pay attention to the structure of the data.
- This (crime2) is a panel dataset in which each of the 46 cities was observed two times (1982 and 1987).
- We cannot simply treat these 46 "once repeated" observations as 92 independent observations.

OLS Regression Revisit

$$n \times (k+1) \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{1} \\ \mathbf{x}_{2} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}_{n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (1) & 1 \\ 1 & x_{21} & x_{22} & \dots & x_{1k} \\ 1 & x_{21} & x_{22} & \dots & x_{2k} \\ \vdots & & & & & \\ 1 & x_{n1} & x_{n2} & \dots & x_{nk} \end{bmatrix}$$

X is $n \times (k+1)$ and β is $(k+1) \times 1$, **X\beta** is $n \times 1$.

Assumptions:

- 1. Linearity (in parameters)
- 2. \mathbf{X} has rank (k+1)
- 3. E(u|X) = 0
- 4 $\operatorname{Var}(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{X}) = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n$, where \mathbf{I}_n is the $n \times n$ identity matrix.
- 5. **u** ~ Normal($(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n)$)

Potential Violations of Underlying Assumptions

- The independence of the independent and identically distribution (iid) is violated due to the repeated observations.
- In fact, the Durbin–Watson test confirms the violation of the independence assumption.

```
Durbin-Watson test

data: crmrte ~ unem

DW = 1.2074, p-value = 3.681e-05

alternative hypothesis: true autocorrelation is greater than 0
```

• When this assumption is violated, OLS standard errors and test statistics are not valid. Statistical inference becomes unreliable.

Berkeley school of information