

What if, at some stage of the elim, the pivot element (i.e., the diagonal element used as the denominator of the multiplier) is zero?

e.g.,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 \\ 1 & 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad L_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$L_1 A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Cannot use row $\hat{2}$ to elim \hat{a}_{32} from row $\hat{3}$.

Solution: Swap rows $\hat{2}$ and $\hat{3}$.

$$P_2(L_1 A) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (\text{Now } \Delta^*)$$

↑
permutation matrix

A similar problem occurs if \hat{a}_{22} is very small in magnitude (which is more common).

e.g., (not same as above)

$$L_1 A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 10^{-16} & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$L_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -10^{-16} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$L_2(L_1 A) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 10^{-16} & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix}, \quad * = 2 - 10^{-16} \cdot 3,$$

very large relative to the other elements in the matrix.

This could even be an entire submatrix that gets amplified.

Problem: This amplifies roundoff of $*$ obscenely.

Roundoff error can be shown to be directly proportional

to the largest element that occurs during the factorization.

Solution: row (partial) pivoting

When eliminating the k^{th} column, first look for row j , $j > k$, with the largest-magnitude element in column k , then swap rows j and k .

e.g., (continuing from previous)

$$P_2(L_1 A) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 10^{-16} & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$L_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -10^{-16} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$L_2(P_2 L_1 A) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{where } * = 3 - 10^{-16} \cdot 2 \approx 3. \quad \text{😊}$$

e.g., $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 6 & 6 \\ 3 & 5 & 12 \\ 6 & 6 & 12 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 25 \\ 30 \end{bmatrix}$

Step 1 pivot (i.e., swap rows)

exchange rows $\hat{2}$ & $\hat{3}$ (6 is largest in 1st col)

$$P_1 A x = P_1 b, \quad P_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 6 & 6 & 12 \\ 3 & 5 & 12 \\ 2 & 6 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 30 \\ 25 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix}$$

Now subtract $\frac{1}{2} R_1$ from R_2 , and $\frac{1}{3} R_1$ from R_3 .

Note that all multipliers are at most 1 in magnitude. (Good for roundoff error propagation)

$$L_1(P_1 A x) = L_1(P_1 b)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 6 & 6 & 12 \\ 0 & 2 & 6 \\ 0 & 4 & 2 \end{bmatrix} x = \begin{bmatrix} 30 \\ 10 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$P_2(L_1 P_1 A x) = P_2(L_1 P_1 b)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 6 & 6 & 12 \\ 0 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 6 \end{bmatrix} x = \begin{bmatrix} 30 \\ 10 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$L_2(P_2 L_1 P_1 A x) = L_2(P_2 L_1 P_1 b)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 6 & 6 & 12 \\ 0 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix} x = \begin{bmatrix} 30 \\ 10 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\underbrace{L_2 P_2 L_1 P_1 A x}_U = \underbrace{L_2 P_2 L_1 P_1 b}_{\tilde{b}}$$

How to extract a factorization when row pivoting is used to control roundoff error propagation?

From the above example,

$$L_2 P_2 L_1 P_1 A = U$$

$$\Leftrightarrow L_2 P_2 L_1 \underbrace{P_2 P_1 A}_I = U$$

$$\Leftrightarrow L_2 \underbrace{(P_2 L_1 P_1)}_{\tilde{L}_2} P_2 A = U$$

Claim: \tilde{L}_2 is a modified Gauss transform.

e.g.,

$$P_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$L_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ l_{21} & 1 & 0 \\ l_{22} & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$((P_2 L_2) P_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ l_{21} & 0 & 1 \\ l_{22} & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ l_{21} & 1 & 0 \\ l_{22} & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

← interchanges 2nd & 3rd cols, fixing the structure back to being a Gauss transform

\tilde{L}_2

Note: \tilde{L}_2 is L_2 with the selected multipliers swapped.

Can prove this in general — see A2! Similar proof technique as for Lemma 1 and Lemma 2.

(In the book)

Continuing,

$$L_2 P_2 L_1 P_1 A = U$$

$$\Leftrightarrow L_2 P_2 L_1 \underbrace{P_2 P_1 A}_I = U$$

$$\Leftrightarrow L_2 \underbrace{(P_2 L_1 P_1)}_{\tilde{L}_2} P_2 A = U$$

$$\Leftrightarrow L_2 \tilde{L}_2 P_2 P_1 A = U$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \underbrace{P_2 P_1 A}_P = \underbrace{\tilde{L}_2^{-1} L_2^{-1}}_L U$$

$$\Leftrightarrow PA = LU.$$

How to solve $Ax = b$ given $PA = LU$?

$$Ax = b \Leftrightarrow PAx = Pb$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \underbrace{LU}_d x = \tilde{b}$$

Then:

(i) solve $Ld = \tilde{b}$ for d , forwards (recall L is unit lower Δ^* and U is upper Δ^*)

(ii) solve $Ux = d$ for x , backwards.

What if, at some stage k of the elim, the diagonal and everything below it is exactly zero?

$$L_{k-1} L_{k-2} \dots L_1 A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

↑
 k^{th} column

Don't have to touch this column! It's already zeroed.

However, $u_{kk} = 0 \Rightarrow U$ is singular (not invertible). (This means A is singular.)

We still have a factorization, but when using it to solve $Ax = b$,

... $Ux = d$ but U is singular.

Either no solⁿ, or infinite family of solⁿs, depending on what d is.

e.g., $Ux = d$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \\ d_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow 2x_3 = d_3 \wedge x_3 = d_2.$$

If $d_3 = 2d_2$, then x_3 is a free parameter. (For any x_3 , there is a solⁿ.)

Or, system is inconsistent.