

Stacy's Card Formatting Guide ♥

The original text:

The stuff I want to read should my name is Stacy and I like chicken be like this. If you like to do triple-layer cutting with highlighting the stuff you want to read I don't want to read this stuff so it's in point 6 font and underlining the stuff that is important, do that on your own. If I have to add a word, then I will use brackets around the word that I used to replace the former word. Do the same for capitalization and in Dropbox, the cards should be like this.

notice that I include the ENTIRE paragraph (ellipses ... are bad)

The cut card:

Tagline - a brief statement of what the card is about (First Last)

Last Name, First Name [author qualifications]. "Article title." *Source*. Volume #. Month Day, Year. url. page number.

The stuff I want to read should my name is Stacy and I like chicken be like this. If you like to do triple-layer cutting with highlighting the stuff you want to read I don't want to read this stuff so it's in point 6 font and underlining the stuff that is important, do that on your own. If I have to add a word, then I will use brackets around the word that I used to replace the former word. Do the same for capitalization and [I]n Dropbox, the cards should [look] like this.

Example:

Statelessness has been common throughout history (Stacy Liu)

Stringham, Edward P. [Professor for the Study of Capitalism and Free Enterprise at Fayetteville State University]. "Repelling states: Evidence from upland Southeast Asia." *Review of Austrian Economics*, *Forthcoming*. Nov. 25, 2010. http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1715223. p. 25-26.

In this article we analyzed some of the mechanisms Zomian people successfully used against statecraft. Their [Zomian] history demonstrates that societies can repel states over very long periods of time. Historically, Zomians successfully employed locational, economic, and cultural mechanisms for repelling states. These mechanisms, though changed in particulars, are similar in form today, and many have likely been in use throughout history by many peoples. It is easy to

look at the modern world and conclude that states must be ubiquitous. Yet, [F]or much of human history (and until quite recent history in many regions), the option of relocating one's self outside of a state's borders, or outside of states altogether, was a real and active one. As Scott points out: Until shortly before the common era, the very last 1 percent of human history, the social landscape consisted of elementary, self-governing kinship units that might, occasionally, cooperate in hunting, feasting, skirmishing, trading, and peacemaking. It did not contain anything that one could call a state. (2009, p.3) Statelessness is far more common than most people believe. It did not take place in only a few select instances in medieval Iceland or modern Somalia. If [H]umans have lived without states for the vast majority of human history, how much sense does it make to say that states are something that cannot be avoided?

Tagline: Times New Roman, Bold, Underline, 16

Citation: Times New Roman, 9

Unread text: Times New Roman, 6

Read text: Times New Roman, Bold, Underline, 12