國立臺灣大學社會科學院經濟學系
碩士論文
Department of Economics
College of Social Science
National Taiwan University
Master Thesis

檢視中國之債務陷阱
Examining the Debt Trap from China

陳家威
Chia-Wei Chen

指導教授：何泰寬博士
Advisor: Tai-kuang Ho, Ph.D.

中華民國 112 年 6 月
June, 2023

Chapter 1

Introduction

Chapter 2

Literature Review

Chapter 3

Model

International debt often lacks perfect enforcement, therefore the government holds the decision of whether to repay the debts or go default, based on the comparison of the future values (Eaton and Gersovitz, 1981). Thus, default can be considered an optimal policy for a country. If a country chooses to default, it faces the consequence of being excluded from the international credit market for a period of time and would have to rely solely on its own financial resources. However, it would also benefit from not having to pay the interest on the debt. Moreover, studies have pointed out that sovereign debt defaults are often accompanied by a devaluation of the currency; Reinhart (2002) refers to this phenomenon as "Twin Ds." Empirical analysis by Na et al. (2018) further observes that the devaluation rate often decreases after the time of default, suggesting that the Twin Ds phenomenon is the joint result of an optimal policy. They proposed a model that incorporates two key frictions: limited commitment to repay external debts and downward nominal wage rigidity. It is a decentralized version of the Eaton-Gersovitz sovereign debt model. The model predicts that default will occur only after a series of increasingly negative output shocks. Prior to default, domestic absorption experiences a severe contraction, which leads to a decline in demand for labor. However, due to downward nominal wage rigidity, real wages fail to adjust downward, resulting in involuntary unemployment. To prevent

this situation, the optimal policy is to devalue the domestic currency, thereby reducing the real value of wages. As a result, both the model and the data show that default episodes are usually accompanied by significant currency devaluations (Na et al., 2018).

For the sovereign debt model, I closely follow Na et al. (2018), as it allows me to match certain stylized facts about the sovereign debt defaults and examine the set of conditions where default is the optimal decision. The calibrated model will then serve as a benchmark metric that allows us to examine whether China had set the heavily indebted poor counties into a default trap, following the method proposed by Hinrichsen (2020).

3.1   Households

The model assumes that the economy is populated by a large number of representative households who maximize their expected lifetime utility

$$E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t U(c_t), \tag{1}$$

where $\beta \in (0,1)$ denotes the discount factor, and c_t represents the consumption good, which is composed of tradable consumption c_t^T and nontradable consumption c_t^N. Assume that c_t follows an aggregate technology

$$c_t = A(c_t^T, c_t^N), \tag{2}$$

where A is an increasing, concave, and linearly homogeneous function that captures characteristics such as the ratio or elasticity of substitution between tradable and nontradable consumption. The period utility function $U(c_t)$ follows the standard assumption, which is a strictly increasing and strictly concave function.

Assume that households only have access to the one-period and state non-contingent bond. The households spend on consumption of tradable and untradable goods, along with

6

their debt which is realized at this period. Their resources consist of labor incomes, dividend incomes, lump-sum transfers from the government, and debt incomes from foreign lenders. The households are also endowed with tradable goods, which follow a stochastic process. The budget constraint of the representative household is then

$$P_t^T c_t^T + P_t^N c_t^N + P_t^T d_t = P_t^T \tilde{y}_t^T + W_t h_t + (1 - \tau_t^d) P_t^T q_t^d d_{t+1} + F_t + \Phi_t, \qquad (3)$$

where $P_t^T(P_t^N)$ denotes the nominal price of tradable (nontradable) goods, d_t the bond denominated in tradable goods which is due in period t, q_t the price of debt to be repaid at $t+1$, $\tilde{y}_t^T$ the endowment of traded goods to the household, W_t the nominal wage, h_t the hours worked, τ_t^d the tax on debt, F_t a lump-sum transfer from the government, and finally Φ_t the nominal profits from owning firms. Households' working hour is bounded by an upper limit

$$h_t \leq \bar{h}, \qquad (4)$$

and they take the working hours h_t as given.

The households' problem is to choose $\{c_t, c_t^T, c_t^N, d_{t+1}\}$ such that their utility (1) is maximized subjected to the budget constraints $(2) - (4)$ and the no-Ponzi-game debt limit. Further denote the relative price of nontradable in terms of tradable goods as $p_t \equiv \frac{P_t^N}{P_t^T}$, we have the following first order conditions

$$p_t = \frac{A_2(c_t^T, c_t^N)}{A_1(c_t^t, c_t^N)} \qquad (5a)$$

$$\lambda_t = U'(c_t) A_1(c_t^T, c_t^N) \qquad (5b)$$

$$(1 - \tau_t^d) q_t^d \lambda_t = \beta E_t \lambda_{t+1}, \qquad (5c)$$

where λ_t is the Lagrange multiplier.

3.2 Firms

Perfectly competitive firms produce nontradable goods y_t^N according to the production technology

$$y_t^N = F(h_t), \tag{6}$$

where F is strictly increasing and strictly concave. Each firm maximizes its profit by choosing the amount of labor. Profit is given by

$$\Phi_t(h_t) = P_t^N F(h_t) - W_t h_t, \tag{7}$$

and the optimal labor demand is then

$$P_t^N F'(h_t) = W_t.$$

Dividing both side by the price of tradable goods, and define $w_t \equiv \frac{W_t}{P_t^T}$ as the real wage in terms of tradable goods, the first order condition can be written as

$$p_t F'(h_t) = w_t. \tag{8}$$

3.3 Downward Nominal Wage Rigidity

The key assumption in Schmitt-Grohe and Uribe (2016) and Na et al. (2018) is the downward nominal wage rigidity. As the wage is unable to be adjusted to a lower level, involuntary unemployment is inevitable, hence the government have the incentive to allow devaluation. The model imposes a lower bound to the grwoth rate of nominal wage

$$W_t \geq \gamma W_{t-1}, \qquad \gamma > 0. \tag{9}$$

8

This implies that the growth rate $\frac{W_t - W_{t-1}}{W_{t-1}} \geq \gamma - 1$. When this inequality is unbinding $(W_t > \gamma W_{t-1})$, the economy is fully employed $(h_t = \bar{h})$. However, if the condition binds, the economy must have unemployment $(h_t < \bar{h})$. This relationship can be written as the following equation

$$(\bar{h} - h_t)(W_t - \gamma W_{t-1}) = 0. \tag{10}$$

3.4 Government

The model considers a small open economy. The government borrows on international credit market. Due to the lack of enforcement in the market, the government can choose to default or not. Denote I_t as the indicator of whether the government chooses to honor its debts in period t. If the government repays in this period $(I_t = 1)$, the country can borrow in the following period, hence $d_{t+1} > 0$. However, if the government chooses to default $(I_t = 0)$, then the country enters the status of financial autarky and is unable to have any sovereign debt in the next period, hence $d_{t+1} = 0$. The above scenario can be written as a slackness condition

$$(1 - I_t)d_{t+1} = 0. \tag{11}$$

To model the duration of financial exclusion, assume that once the country is in bad standing in the international credit market, it can regain reputation with probability θ, and remain in bad standing with probability $1 - \theta$. This implies that the country has an average exclusion duration of $1/\theta$ periods.

Assume that the government distributes the proceeds from the debt tax to households as a lump-sum payment. If the government honors the debt, it repays d_t, but if the government decides to default, it will not make any payments to foreign lenders, and instead will return any payments made by households to the lenders back to the households. The budget

constraint for the government can then be expressed as

$$f_t = \tau_t^d q_t^d d_{t+1} + (1 - I_t)d_t, \tag{12}$$

where $f_t \equiv \frac{F_t}{P_t^T}$ is the lump-sum transfer in terms of tradable goods. Right-hand side of the equation states that the transfer will include d_t only if $I_t = 0$, meaning that the country decides to default. Nevertheless, the debt tax will be zero after default, according to Equation (11).

3.5 Foreign Lenders

The behavior of foreign lenders are not explicitly modeled in this framework, but as all rational agent, the expected marginal utility of lending to the domestic country must be equivalent to the opportunity cost of funds. Let r^* be the opportunity cost for the foreign lenders; this could be the world interest rate. Since q_t is the price of debt that repays one unit of d_{t+1} tomorrow, the return is $\frac{1}{q_t}$. The lenders take the risk of default into consideration, and hence the expected return will in fact be lower. Assume that foreign lenders are risk neutral, this gives

$$\frac{\Pr(I_{t+1} = 1 \mid I_t = 1)}{q_t} = 1 + r^*. \tag{13}$$

3.6 Competitive Equilibrium

Under equilibrium, household consumption equals to the production of firms

$$c_t^N = y_t^N. \tag{14}$$

10

The tradable goods are purely endowed exogenously under an AR(1) process

$$\ln\left(y_t^T\right) = \rho\ln\left(y_{t-1}^T\right) + \mu_t, \tag{15}$$

where $\mu_t \overset{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_\mu^2)$ is an i.i.d. shock, and $|\rho| \in [0,1)$ is the autocorrelation parameter. When the country decides to default, it is in bad standing, hence it faces an output loss defined by $L(y_t^T)$. The loss function is non-negative and increasing in the tradable goods. The endowment of tradable goods to the household is then

$$\tilde{y}_t^T = y_t^T - (1 - I_t)L(y_t^T) \tag{16}$$

Price of debt offered by the foreign lender should be equal to the price of the domestic debt, but only during the good standing

$$I_t(q_t^d - q_t) = 0. \tag{17}$$

The market clearing condition can be established by combining various equations, including the household budget constraint (Equation (4)), the firm's production function (Equation (6)) and profit equation (Equation (7)), the government's constraint on debt (Equation (11)) and lump-sum return equation (Equation (12)), and the clearing conditions from Equations (14), (16), and (17). Formally,

$$c_t^T = y_t^T - (1 - I_t)L(y_t^T) + I_t(q_t d_{t+1} - d_t) \tag{18}$$

Assume that the law of one price applies to tradable goods. The foreign currency price of tradable goods is denoted as P_t^{T*}, while the nominal exchange rate is represented by $\mathcal{E}_t$. The law of one price states that the price of tradable goods in the domestic currency is

equal to the foreign currency price multiplied by the nominal exchange rate.

$$P_t^T = P_t^{T*}\mathcal{E}_t$$

The law of one price implies that the price of a tradable good should be the same in both domestic and foreign currency terms in an efficient market. Without loss of generosity, the foreign-currency price of the tradable goods is normalized to 1 ($P_t^{T*} = 1$), hence the nominal price for tradable goods can be expressed as the nominal exchange rate

$$P_t^T = \mathcal{E}_t. \tag{19}$$

For convenience, also define the devaluation rate of domestic currency as

$$\epsilon_t \equiv \frac{\mathcal{E}_t}{\mathcal{E}_{t-1}} = \frac{P_t^T}{P_{t-1}^T}. \tag{20}$$

As proven by Na et al. (2018), if the government is able to set the devaluation rate and the tax on debt freely, then the stochastic process of the variables $\left\{c_t^T, h_t, d_{t+1}, q_t\right\}$ can be determined by the process of $\left\{y_t^T, I_t\right\}$ and the initial debt level d_0.

However, as discussed previously, the decision of I_t is an optimal policy for the government due to lack of commitment in the international credit market. Furthermore, the default decision of the government in the next period $t + 1$ is also affected by the current decision. To see this argument, first notice that the default decision in $t + 1$ is determined by the state variables $\left\{y_{t+1}^T, d_{t+1}\right\}$. However, d_{t+1} is determined in period t, which means that the government in period t understands that it is able to affect the default decision in $t + 1$ via the choice of d_{t+1}. As y_{t+1}^T follows a first-order Markov process, the expected value of y_{t+1}^T is a function of y_t^T, hence the expected value for the default decision on period t is actually a function of y^T and d_{t+1}. Recall that the price for the debt q_t is related to the probability of default in the next period, according to Equation (13), it can be

expressed in the contemporary variables

$$q_t = q(y_t^T, d_{t+1}). \tag{21}$$

On the one hand, this provides us the economic intuition that the government internalizes the fact that its choice of debt in the next period can affect the price of the debt. On the other hand, this allows us to clarify the dependencies of variables in the value function.

3.7 Default Decision

The standard Eaton-Gersovitz framework considers the following three value functions: value of continuing to repay the debt v^c, value of being in good standing v^g, and value of being in bad standing v^b.

Under the period of being in a good financial standing, the value for the government to continue repaying the debt is the maximum value of the utility gained by the households this period, plus the discounted value of being in a good financial standing, subjected to the households' budget constraints

$$v^c(y_t^T, d_t) = \max_{\left\{c_t^T, h_t, d_{t+1}\right\}} \left\{U\left(A\left(c_t^T, F(h_t)\right)\right) + \beta E_t v^g\left(y_{t+1}^T, d+t+1\right)\right\}$$
$$\text{s.t} \quad c_t^T + d_t = y_t^T + q(y_t^T, d_{t+1})d_{t+1} \tag{22}$$
$$h_t \leq \bar{h}.$$

If the country is in bad standing, the consumption on tradable goods experiences a loss, and the government has probability θ of regaining reputation and be in good standing, and probability $1 - \theta$ of continuing in bad standing. During the period in bad standing, the country obtains no international borrowing, hence the state variable for debt is excluded. Formally,

$$v^b(y_t^T) = \max_{\{h_t\}} \quad \left\{ U\left(A\left(y_t^T - L(y_t^T), F(h_t)\right)\right) + \beta E_t \left[\theta v^g\left(y_{t+1}^T, 0\right) + (1-\theta)v^b\left(y_{t+1}^T\right)\right] \right\}$$

$$\text{s.t} \quad h_t \leq \bar{h}.$$

$$(23)$$

If the country is in good standing, the government has the freedom the choose which is best for the country: to continue or to go default. The decision is made by comparing the value function of the two scenarios, given the current output shock for tradable goods and the current level of debt

$$v^g(y_t^T, d_t) = \max \left\{ v^c(y_t^T, d_t), v^b(y_t^T) \right\}.$$

$$(24)$$

Define the default set $D(d_t)$ as the set of tradable goods y_t^T observed by the government in period t, in which the government's optimal respond is to default. Formally,

$$D(d_t) = \left\{ y_t^T : v^b(y_t^T) > v^c(y_t^T, d_t). \right\}$$

$$(25)$$

In other words, given a current debt level d_t, if the government observes that y_t^T is inside $D(d_t)$, it chooses to default.

Under rational expectations, the foreign lenders recognize the default set, hence the price for debt is determined by Equation (13), given by

$$q(y_t^T, d_{t+1}) = \frac{\Pr(I_{t+1} = 1 \mid I_t = 1)}{1 + r^*} = \frac{1 - \Pr\left\{ y_{t+1}^T \in D(d_{t+1}) \mid y_t^T \right\}}{1 + r^*}.$$

$$(26)$$

Note that the price of debt enters the value function of continuing.

It is obvious that the optimal labor supply is $h_t = \bar{h}$, which implies that under the freedom to choose the devaluation rate and the tax on debt, the government can ensure full employment. Combining Equation (8) and the Euler equation in (5a) and impose the

optimal policy $h_t = \bar{h}$, we have

$$w_t = w^f(c_t^T) \equiv \frac{A_2(c_t^T, F(\bar{h}))}{A_1(c_t^T, F(\bar{h}))} F'(\bar{h}). \tag{27}$$

Knowing that the wage has downward nominal rigidity, the government sets the devaluation rate accordingly. The downward rigidity states that

$$\gamma \leq \frac{W_t}{W_{t-1}} = \frac{w_t}{w_{t-1}} \frac{P_t^T}{P_{t-1}^T} = \epsilon \frac{w_t}{w_{t-1}},$$

where the second equal sign comes from Equation (20). Substitute the wage under full employment, we get

$$\epsilon_t \geq \gamma \frac{w_{t-1}}{w^f(c_t^T)}. \tag{28}$$

This is the family of optimal devaluation policies. Following Na et al. (2018) and Hinrichsen (2020), we assume that the government chooses the minimal devaluation target that stabilizes nominal wages, that is, $\epsilon_t = \gamma \frac{w_{t-1}}{w^f(c_t^T)}$.

Chapter 4

Result

Chapter 5

Conclusion

Bibliography

Eaton, J. and Gersovitz, M. (1981). Debt with potential repudiation: Theoretical and empirical analysis. *The Review of Economic Studies*, 48(2):289–309.

Hinrichsen, S. (2020). *Enforcement of Sovereign Debt Under War Reparations*, phd thesis 4, pages 81–126. City.

Na, S., Schmitt-Grohé, S., Uribe, M., and Yue, V. (2018). The twin ds: Optimal default and devaluation. *American Economic Review*, 108(7):1773–1819.

Reinhart, C. M. (2002). Default, Currency Crises, and Sovereign Credit Ratings. *The World Bank Economic Review*, 16(2):151–170.

Schmitt-Grohe, S. and Uribe, M. (2016). Downward nominal wage rigidity, currency pegs, and involuntary unemployment. *Journal of Political Economy*, 124(5):1466 – 1514.