

## Assignment 1

I have read and I understand the plagiarism provisions in the General Regulations of the University Calendar for the current year, found at [here](#). I have also completed the Online Tutorial on avoiding plagiarism ‘Ready Steady Write’, located [here](#).

### Exercise 1

Please carry out the following proof in propositional logic following the proof format in tutorial 1.

Hypotheses:  $P \Rightarrow (Q \Leftrightarrow \neg R)$ ,  $P \vee \neg S$ ,  $R \Rightarrow S$ ,  $\neg Q \Rightarrow \neg R$

Conclusion:  $\neg R$

### Solution

These are the provided hypotheses:

- $P \Rightarrow (Q \Leftrightarrow \neg R)$  (a)
- $P \vee \neg S$  (b)
- $R \Rightarrow S$  (c)
- $\neg Q \Rightarrow \neg R$  (d)

First, let's use tautology #21 to construct some implications:

- $$\begin{array}{ll}
 P \vee \neg S & \text{(Using (b))} \\
 \rightarrow \neg S \vee P & \text{(#32: Law of commutativity)} \\
 \rightarrow S \Rightarrow P & \text{(#21)} \\
 \rightarrow \neg P \Rightarrow \neg S & \text{(#24: Law of contraposition)} \\
 \bullet \neg P \Rightarrow \neg S & \text{(1)} \\
 R \Rightarrow S & \text{(Using (c))} \\
 \rightarrow \neg S \Rightarrow \neg R & \text{(#24: Law of contraposition)} \\
 \bullet \neg S \Rightarrow \neg R & \text{(2)} \\
 P \Rightarrow (Q \Leftrightarrow \neg R) & \text{(Using (a))} \\
 \rightarrow \neg(Q \Leftrightarrow \neg R) \Rightarrow \neg P & \text{(#21)} \\
 \bullet \neg(Q \Leftrightarrow \neg R) \Rightarrow \neg P & \text{(3)}
 \end{array}$$

Now we use tautology #14 to prune the unnecessary variables:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \neg P \Leftrightarrow \neg R && \text{(Using Biconditional Rule with ① and ②)} \\
 \rightarrow & \neg(Q \Leftrightarrow \neg R) \Rightarrow \neg R && \text{(Substituting into ③)} \\
 \bullet & \neg(Q \Leftrightarrow \neg R) \Rightarrow \neg R && \text{④}
 \end{aligned}$$

Next, we are going to reorganise ④:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \neg(Q \Leftrightarrow \neg R) \Rightarrow \neg R && \text{(Using ④)} \\
 \rightarrow & \neg((Q \wedge \neg R) \vee (\neg Q \wedge \neg\neg R)) \Rightarrow \neg R && \text{(#23)} \\
 \rightarrow & \neg(Q \wedge \neg R) \wedge \neg(\neg Q \wedge \neg\neg R) \Rightarrow \neg R && \text{(#19: De Morgan's Law)} \\
 \rightarrow & (\neg Q \vee \neg\neg R) \wedge (\neg\neg Q \vee \neg\neg\neg R) \Rightarrow \neg R && \text{(#18: De Morgan's Law)} \\
 \rightarrow & (\neg Q \vee R) \wedge (Q \vee \neg R) \Rightarrow \neg R && \text{(#3: Law of Double Negation)} \\
 \rightarrow & \neg Q \vee R \Rightarrow Q \vee \neg R \Rightarrow \neg R && \text{(#27)} \\
 \bullet & \neg Q \vee R \Rightarrow Q \vee \neg R \Rightarrow \neg R && \text{⑤}
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we assert  $\neg R$  by using *Modus Ponens*:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \neg Q \Rightarrow \neg R && \text{(Using ⑤)} \\
 \rightarrow & Q \vee \neg R && \text{(#21)} \\
 \rightarrow & Q \vee \neg R \Rightarrow \neg R && \text{(#10: Modus Ponens with ⑤)} \\
 \rightarrow & \neg R && \text{(#10: Modus Ponens with ⑤)} \\
 & \neg R
 \end{aligned}$$

■

**Exercise 2**

Prove the following statement: If  $n$  is any integer, then  $n^2 - 3n$  must be even.

**Solution**

For  $n^2 - 3n$  to be even, the expression must take the form  $2k$  for any integer  $n$ . Here, we will prove this is true if  $n$  is either even or odd.

In the case that  $n$  is an even number,  $2k$  can be substituted for  $n$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & n^2 - 3n \\ \rightarrow & (2k)^2 - 3(2k) \\ \rightarrow & 4k^2 - 6k \\ \rightarrow & 2(2k^2 - 3k) \end{aligned}$$

We have proven  $n^2 - 3n$  is even when  $n$  is even, as the expression  $2(2k^2 - 3k)$  takes the form  $2k$ .

In the case that  $n$  is an odd number,  $2k + 1$  can be substituted for  $n$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & n^2 - 3n \\ \rightarrow & (2k + 1)^2 - 3(k + 1) \\ \rightarrow & 4k^2 + 1 - 6k - 3 \\ \rightarrow & 4k^2 - 6k - 2 \\ \rightarrow & 2(2k^2 - 3k - 1) \end{aligned}$$

We have proven  $n^2 - 3n$  is even when  $n$  is odd, as the expression  $2(2k^2 - 3k - 1)$  takes the form  $2k$ .

$\therefore n^2 - 3n$  is even for any integer  $n$ .

■

### Exercise 3

Prove via inclusion in both directions that for any three sets  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $C$ :

$$A \cap (B \setminus C) = (A \cap B) \setminus (A \cap C)$$

#### Solution

To prove via double inclusion, we must prove both  $A \cap (B \setminus C)$  contains  $(A \cap B) \setminus (A \cap C)$  and  $(A \cap B) \setminus (A \cap C)$  contains  $A \cap (B \setminus C)$ , or, more formally, prove both of these:

$$(A \cap B) \setminus (A \cap C) \subseteq A \cap (B \setminus C) \quad \textcircled{a}$$

$$A \cap (B \setminus C) \subseteq (A \cap B) \setminus (A \cap C) \quad \textcircled{b}$$

To prove  $\textcircled{a}$ , take  $\forall x \in (A \cap B) \setminus (A \cap C)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} x &\in (A \cap B) \setminus (A \cap C) \\ \rightarrow x &\in A \cap B \cap (A^c \cup C^c) && \text{(De Morgan's law)} \\ \rightarrow x &\in ((A \cap B) \cap A^c) \cup ((A \cap B) \cap C^c) && \text{(Law of distributivity)} \\ \rightarrow x &\in (A \cap A^c \cap B) \cup (A \cap B \cap C^c) && \text{(Law of associativity)} \end{aligned}$$

As  $A \cap A^c \cap B$  is always false, we can exclude the left-hand side:

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow x &\in A \cap B \cap C^c && \text{(Excluding false left-side of union)} \\ \rightarrow x &\in A \cap (B \setminus C) && \text{(Applying definition of set subtraction)} \\ x &\in A \cap (B \setminus C) && \text{Here } \textcircled{a} \text{ is proven} \end{aligned}$$

To prove  $\textcircled{b}$ , take  $\forall x \in A \cap (B \setminus C)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} x &\in A \cap (B \setminus C) \\ \rightarrow x &\in A \cap B \cap C^c && \text{(Definition of set subtraction)} \\ \rightarrow x &\in A \cap B \cap (A^c \cup C^c) && (A \cup A^c \text{ is an empty set)} \\ \rightarrow x &\in A \cap B \cap (A \cap C)^c && \text{(De Morgan's law)} \\ \rightarrow x &\in (A \cap B) \setminus (A \cap C) && \text{(Applying definition of set subtraction)} \\ x &\in (A \cap B) \setminus (A \cap C) && \text{Here } \textcircled{b} \text{ is proven} \end{aligned}$$

With both  $\textcircled{a}$  and  $\textcircled{b}$  proven, it holds that the equivalence is true. ■

### Exercise 4

Let  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  be the Cartesian product of the set of natural numbers with itself consisting of all ordered pairs  $(x_1, x_2)$ , such that  $x_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ . We define a relation on its power set  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N})$  as follows:

$$\forall A, B \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}), A \sim B \text{ iff } (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A) = C \text{ and } C \text{ is a finite set.}$$

Determine whether or not  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation and justify your answer by checking each of the three properties in the definition of an equivalence relation.

### Solution

To determine if  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation, we must check the three properties of an equivalence relation: *Reflexivity*, *symmetry* and *transitivity*.

To check *reflexivity*, we must verify  $\forall A \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}), A \sim A = C$ , where  $C$  is a finite set:

$$\begin{aligned} (A \setminus A) \cup (A \setminus A) &= C \\ \rightarrow \emptyset \cup \emptyset &= C \\ \rightarrow \emptyset &= C \end{aligned}$$

An empty set contains 0 elements, thus  $C$  is finite. So the relation is *reflexive*.

To check *symmetry*, we now verify  $\forall A, B \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}), A \sim B \Rightarrow (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A) = C$ , where  $C$  is a finite set:

$$\begin{aligned} (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A) &= C \\ \rightarrow (A \setminus B) \vee (B \setminus A) &= C && \text{(Definition of a union)} \\ \rightarrow (B \setminus A) \vee (A \setminus B) &= C && (\#32: \text{Law of commutativity}) \\ \rightarrow (B \setminus A) \cup (A \setminus B) &= C && \text{(Applying definition of a union)} \end{aligned}$$

Here  $B \Rightarrow A$  and  $C$  is still finite. Therefore the relation is *symmetric*.

To check *transitivity*, we finally verify  $\forall A, B, C \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N})$ ,  $A \sim B$  and  $B \sim C$  such that:

$A \sim B \Rightarrow (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A) = D$  where  $D$  is a finite set,

$B \sim C \Rightarrow (B \setminus C) \cup (C \setminus B) = E$  where  $E$  is a finite set,

To show  $A \sim C$ , which is  $(A \setminus C) \cup (C \setminus A) = F$  where  $F$  is a finite set:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A) \\
 \rightarrow & (A \cap B^c) \cup (B \cap A^c) && \text{(Definition of set subtraction)} \\
 \rightarrow & (A \Rightarrow B)^c \cup (B \Rightarrow A)^c && \text{(#20)} \\
 \rightarrow & ((A \Rightarrow B) \cap (B \Rightarrow A))^c && \text{(#19: De Morgan's Law)} \\
 \rightarrow & (A \Leftrightarrow B)^c && \text{(#22)} \\
 \bullet & (A \Leftrightarrow B)^c && \textcircled{1}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (B \setminus C) \cup (C \setminus B) \\
 \rightarrow & (B \cap C^c) \cup (C \cap B^c) && \text{(Definition of set subtraction)} \\
 \rightarrow & (B \Rightarrow C)^c \cup (C \Rightarrow B)^c && \text{(#20)} \\
 \rightarrow & ((B \Rightarrow C) \cap (C \Rightarrow B))^c && \text{(#19: De Morgan's Law)} \\
 \rightarrow & (B \Leftrightarrow C)^c && \text{(#22)} \\
 \bullet & (B \Leftrightarrow C)^c && \textcircled{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (A \Leftrightarrow B)^c \cup (B \Leftrightarrow C)^c && \text{(#6: Addition with } \textcircled{1} \text{ and } \textcircled{2}) \\
 \rightarrow & ((A \Leftrightarrow B) \cap (B \Leftrightarrow C))^c && \text{(#18: De Morgan's Law)} \\
 \rightarrow & (A \Leftrightarrow C)^c && \text{(#17)} \\
 \rightarrow & ((A \Rightarrow C) \cap (C \Rightarrow A))^c && \text{(#22)} \\
 \rightarrow & (A \Rightarrow C)^c \cup (C \Rightarrow A)^c && \text{(#19: De Morgan's Law)} \\
 \rightarrow & (A \cap C^c) \cup (C \cap A^c) && \text{(#20)} \\
 \rightarrow & (A \setminus C) \cup (C \setminus A) && \text{(Definition of set subtraction)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Here we have proven  $F$  is a subset of finite sets  $D$  and  $E$ . Thus  $F$  is a finite set and *transitivity* of  $\sim$  is proven.

As the three properties of equivalence have been validated, we can conclude that relation  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation. ■