

# Assignment 4

Weishi Wang, ID 260540022

February 15, 2018

## Problem 1. *Division algorithm*

*The division algorithm states that for any  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  ( $b \neq 0$ ) there exist  $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $a = qb + r$  and  $0 \leq r < |b|$ ; furthermore, these  $q, r$  are unique for  $a, b$ . We proved this when  $a, b > 0$ . Prove that  $q, r$  exist for all  $a, b$ . Hints: (1) You may use the fact that the statement holds when  $a, b > 0$  as a tool without proving it and (2) you will need to consider cases.*

**Solution.** We have proven the case  $a, b > 0$ .

Let's consider other cases.

**Case 1:**  $a > 0$  and  $b < 0$ :

Look at the following multiple of  $b$ :

$0, -b, -2b, -3b, \dots$

There is some multiple of  $b$  that is greater than  $a$ . [ex:  $-(2a)b = (-2b)a \geq a$ ]

Let  $B = \{ kb \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}, kb > a \}$

By the well ordering principle,  $B$  has a smallest element, call it  $q-1$ . ( $q < 0$ )

Then, since  $(q-1)b$  is the smallest element that is greater than  $a$ ,  $qb$  must be smaller or equal to  $a$ :

$$qb \leq a < (q-1)b$$

$$\text{let } r = a - qb$$

Then,

$$0 \leq r < (q-1)b - 1b$$

$$0 \leq r < -b$$

Since  $b < 0$ , we have:

$$0 \leq r < |b|$$

**Case 2:**  $a = 0$  and  $b > 0$ :

if  $a = 0$ , then  $r = -qb$

let  $q = 0$ , then  $r = 0$

Then  $0 \leq r < b$  is satisfied.

**Case 3:**  $a = 0$  and  $b < 0$ :

Same proof as in Case 2.

**Case 4:**  $a < 0$  and  $b > 0$ :

Let  $B = \{kb \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}, kb < -a\}$

The well ordering principle says that there exists a least integer greater than some number.

Therefore, in this set, it must exist a largest integer smaller than  $-a$  (which is positive).

Find the greatest element in  $B$  and call it  $(-q-1)b$ . ( $q > 0$ )

Since  $(-q-1)b$  is the greatest element smaller than  $-a$ ,  $(-q-1)b + b$  must be greater or equal to  $-a$ :

$$(-q-1)b < -a \leq (-q-1+1)b$$

$$(-q-1)b < -a \leq -qb$$

Since  $a = qb + r$ , then  $-a = -qb - r$ .

$$(-q-1)b < -qb - r \leq -qb$$

$$(-q-1)b + qb < -r \leq -qb + qb$$

$$-b < -r \leq 0$$

$$0 \leq r < b$$

Since  $b > 0$ ,  $b = |b|$

$$0 \leq r < |b|$$

**Case 5:**  $a < 0$  and  $b < 0$ :

Let  $B = \{kb \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}, kb < -a\}$

Find the greatest element in  $B$  and call it  $(-q+1)b$ . ( $q > 0$  and  $b < 0$ )

Since  $(-q+1)b$  is the greatest element smaller than  $-a$ ,  $(-q+1)b - b$  must be greater or equal to  $-a$  (Since  $b < 0$ ):

$$(-q+1)b < -a \leq -qb$$

$$(-q+1)b < -a \leq -qb$$

Since  $a = qb + r$ , then  $-a = -qb - r$ .

$$(-q+1)b < -qb - r \leq -qb$$

$$(-q+1)b + qb < -r \leq -qb + qb$$

$$b < -r \leq 0$$

$$0 \leq r < -b$$

Since  $b < 0$ ,  $-b = |b|$

$$0 \leq r < |b|$$

Moreover,  $b$  cannot be 0, therefore all cases are considered.

**Problem 2. Divisors**

(a) Find  $\gcd(2018, 240)$ , and express your answer as a linear combination of 2018 and 240 (that is, find  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\gcd(2018, 240) = 2018r + 240s$ ).

(b) Let  $k$  be a positive integer. Show that if  $a$  and  $b$  are relatively prime integers, then  $\gcd(a+kb, b+ka)$  divides  $k^2 - 1$ . Hint: Consider two linear combinations of  $a + kb$  and  $b + ka$ .

(c) Suppose  $n, m, p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p$  a prime, where  $p \mid n$ ,  $m \mid n$ , and  $p \nmid m$ . Either prove that  $p$  divides  $\frac{n}{m}$  or provide a counterexample to show that it doesn't. Make sure to address whether or not " $p$  divides  $\frac{n}{m}$ " even makes sense.

**Solution.** (a) Apply Euclidean Algorithm:

$$\begin{aligned} 2018 &= 8 \times (240) + 98 \\ 240 &= 2 \times 98 + 44 \\ 98 &= 2 \times 44 + 10 \\ 44 &= 4 \times 10 + 4 \\ 10 &= 2 \times 4 + 2 \\ 4 &= 2 \times 2 + 0 \\ \text{Thus, } \gcd(2018, 240) &= 2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2 &= 10 - 2 \times 4 \\ &= 10 - 2[44 - 4(10)] \\ &= 9(10) - 2(44) \\ &= 9(98 - 2(44)) - 2(44) \\ &= 9(98) - 20(44) \\ &= 9(98) - 20(240 - 2(98)) \\ &= 49(98) - 20(240) \\ &= 49(2018 - 8(240)) - 20(240) \\ &= 49 \times 2018 - 412 \times 240 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  
 $2 = (49 \times 2018) - (412 \times 240)$

(b) Consider the lemma: if  $g \mid a$  and  $g \mid b$ , then  $g \mid xa + yb$ ,  $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$

Proof:  $g \mid a$ , then  $pg = a$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$

$g \mid b$ , then  $qg = b$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$xa + yb = xpg + yqg, \quad x, y, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$xa + yb = (px + qy)g, \quad x, y, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$$

so  $g \mid (xa + yb)$ .

Which means that  $g$  divides any linear combination of  $a$  and  $b$ .

Now consider the question:

Let  $g = \gcd(a + kb, b + ka)$

consider the linear combination  $-(a + kb) + k(b + ka)$ .

By lemma, we know that  $g \mid [-(a + kb) + k(b + ka)]$ .

$$\Rightarrow g \mid [a(k^2 - 1)].$$

consider another linear combination  $k(a + kb) - (b + ka)$ .

By lemma, we know that  $g \mid [k(a + kb) - (b + ka)]$ .

$$\Rightarrow g \mid [b(k^2 - 1)].$$

Thus,  $g \mid [a(k^2 - 1)]$  and  $g \mid [b(k^2 - 1)]$ .

There are 4 possibilities:

- (1)  $g \mid (k^2 - 1)$  and  $g \mid a$
- (2)  $g \mid (k^2 - 1)$  and  $g \mid b$
- (3)  $g \mid (k^2 - 1)$
- (4)  $g \mid b$  and  $g \mid a$

However, (4) is not possible since  $a$  and  $b$  are relatively prime,  $g$  cannot divide both of them.

Only (1), (2) and (3) are possible.

They all imply that  $g \mid (k^2 - 1)$ .

Therefore,  $\gcd(a+kb, b+ka)$  divides  $k^2-1$ .

(c) We divide by  $p$  and  $m$ , so  $p, m \neq 0$ .

if  $n=0$ , then any number divides  $n$ . so  $p \mid \frac{n}{m} \Rightarrow p \mid 0$ , which is always true.

The problem states that  $n, p, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , which does not include 0. So we don't really need to consider cases, but it does not affect the solution.

if  $n \neq 0$ :

$p \nmid m$  and  $p$  is prime means that  $\gcd(p, m) = 1$ .

So there are no components in  $p$  and  $m$  can be canceled.

$p \mid n$ ,  $m \mid n$ , and  $\gcd(p, m)=1$  means that  $n$  must be composed of at least one  $p$  and one  $m$ .

This implies that  $pm \mid n$ .

$k(pm) = n$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

$kp = \frac{n}{m}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

$\Rightarrow p \mid \frac{n}{m}$ .

In addition,  $p$  divides  $\frac{n}{m}$  makes sense when  $\frac{n}{m}$  is an integer. The problem states that  $m \mid n$ , therefore  $\frac{n}{m}$  must be integer when  $m \neq 0$ .

### **Problem 3. Congruence and modular arithmetic**

(a) Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ . Prove that  $ka \equiv kb \pmod{kn}$  if and only if  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ .

(b) Prove that if  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ , then  $\gcd(a, n) = \gcd(b, n)$ .

(c) Show that  $1806^{6^{236}} \equiv 1 \pmod{17}$ .

**Solution.** (a)  $ka \equiv kb \pmod{kn}$

$\Leftrightarrow kn \mid (ka - kb)$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Leftrightarrow (ka - kb) = xkn, x \in \mathbb{Z} \\
&\Leftrightarrow (a-b) = xn, x \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ (Since } k \neq 0) \\
&\Leftrightarrow n|(a-b) \\
&\Leftrightarrow a \equiv b \pmod{n}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&(b) \ a \equiv b \pmod{n} \\
&\Rightarrow n|(a-b) \Rightarrow (a-b) = kn, k \in \mathbb{Z}. (*) \\
&\text{Let } g_1 = \gcd(a,n) \text{ and } g_2 = \gcd(b,n) \\
&\text{Divide } g_1 \text{ on both side of } (*): \\
&\frac{a-b}{g_1} = \frac{kn}{g_1} \\
&\frac{b}{g_1} = \frac{a}{g_1} - \frac{kn}{g_1} \\
&\text{since } g_1 = \gcd(a,n) \\
&\Rightarrow g_1|a \text{ and } g_1|n \\
&\text{Thus, } \frac{a}{g_1}, \frac{kn}{g_1} \in \mathbb{Z} \\
&\text{So, } \frac{b}{g_1} \in \mathbb{Z} \\
&\Rightarrow g_1|b \\
&\text{This means that } g_1|b \text{ and } g_1|n \\
&\text{but } g_2 \text{ is the } \gcd(b,n), \text{ so } g_1 \leq g_2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{Similarly, divide } (*) \text{ by } g_2, \text{ we obtain:} \\
&\frac{a}{g_2} = \frac{b}{g_2} + \frac{kn}{g_2} \\
&g_2|b \text{ and } g_2|n \\
&\text{So, } g_2|a \\
&\text{This means that } g_2|a \text{ and } g_2|n \\
&\text{but } g_1 \text{ is the } \gcd(a,n), \text{ so } g_2 \leq g_1
\end{aligned}$$

Combine both result, we conclude that  $g_1 = g_2$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
&(c) \ 1086^{6236} \pmod{17} \\
&\equiv (17 \times 106 + 4)^{6236} \pmod{17} \\
&\equiv 4^{6236} \pmod{17} \\
&\equiv (4^2)^{3118} \pmod{17} \\
&\equiv 16^{3118} \pmod{17} \\
&\equiv (17-1)^{3118} \pmod{17} \\
&\equiv (-1)^{3118} \pmod{17} \\
&\text{Since } 3118 \text{ is an even number, } (-1)^{3118} = 1. \\
&\text{Therefore } 1086^{6236} \equiv 1 \pmod{17}.
\end{aligned}$$