ERDOS-SIERPINSKI PROBLEM

THOMAS LOCKWOOD

ABSTRACT. The Sum of Divisors Function of an integer n, denoted $\sigma(n)$ is the sum of positive divisors n. The Erdos-Seirpenski Problem asks: Are there infinitely many solutions to $\sigma(n) = \sigma(n+1)$? Erdos claimed that there were, however it has yet to be proven. Spira defined the Sum of Divisors Function for the Gaussian Integers, denoted $g\sigma(n)$. Combining the two, we look into the problem: Are there infinitely many solutions to $g\sigma(n) = g\sigma(n+1)$?

1. The Integers

The Sum of Divisors Function, or sigma function $\sigma(n)$, is the sum of all the positive divisors of an integer n. If n has the prime factorization $n = p_1^{m_1} p_2^{m_2} \cdots p_N^{m_N}$

$$\sigma(n) = \sum_{d|n} d = \left(\frac{p_1^{m_1+1} - 1}{p_1 - 1}\right) \left(\frac{p_2^{m_2+1} - 1}{p_2 - 1}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{p_N^{m_N+1} - 1}{p_N - 1}\right)$$

The Erdos-Sierpinski Problem is to find solutions to the equations $\sigma(n) = \sigma(n+1)$. The first few solutions are:

$$\sigma(206) = \sigma(207) = 312$$

$$\sigma(957) = \sigma(958) = 1440$$

$$\sigma(1334) = \sigma(1335) = 2160$$

$$\sigma(1364) = \sigma(1365) = 2688$$

$$\sigma(1634) = \sigma(1635) = 2688$$

$$\sigma(2685) = \sigma(2686) = 4320$$

$$\sigma(2974) = \sigma(2975) = 4464$$

$$\sigma(4364) = \sigma(4365) = 7644$$

Guy and Shanks noted that some solutions have the form

$$n = 2p, \quad n + 1 = 3^m q$$

when q and p are both prime with

$$q = 3^{m+1} - 4$$
, $p = \frac{3^m q - 1}{2}$

Yields the solution n = 14,206,19358 for m = 1,2, and 4 Similarly,

$$n = 3^m q$$
, $n + 1 = 2p$

when q and p are both prime for

$$q = 3^{m+1} - 10, \ \ p = \frac{3^m q + 1}{2}$$

yield the solutions when m = 4 and 5. However this does not solve the problem since it only produces the 3 and 2 additional solutions respectively.

2. The Sigma Fucntion in the Gaussian Integers

The ring $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]$ is usually called the Gaussian Integers. In Spira's Paper, Spira defined a mutiplicative sum-of-divisors function on the Gaussian Integers which we will denote $g\sigma(z)$. As there are four units in the Gaussian Integers, each prime π Gaussian Sigma has 4 associates: π , $i\pi$, $-\pi$, and $-i\pi$. Therefore in the prime factorization of a Gaussian Integer, $z = \epsilon \pi^{m_1} \cdots \pi^{m_k}$, we choose all the primes to be in the first quadrant, and not on the imaginary axis by factoring out various units. (Then ϵ is the product of those units). Assuming the π , are all in the 1st quandrant and not on the Imaginary Axis, Spria defined:

$$g\sigma(z) = g\sigma(\pi^{m_1}\cdots\pi^{m_k}) = \prod_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{\pi_j^{m_j-1}}{\pi_j-1}\right) = \prod_{j=1}^k \left(1 + \pi_j + \dots + \pi_j^{m_j}\right)$$

Which is evidently multiplicative.

For example, in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]$, 5 = (2+i)(2-i). Since 2-i is not in the first quadrant, we factor out -i

$$5 = (2+i)(2-i) = (2+i)(-i)(1+2i) = -i(2+i)(1+2i)$$

Then both the primes 2+i and 1+2i are in quadrant one, and

$$q\sigma(5) = (1 + (2+i))(1 + (1+2i)) = (3+i)(2+2i) = 4+8i$$

We now seek the solutions to the equations:

$$g\sigma(z) = g\sigma(z+1)$$

$$g\sigma(z) = g\sigma(z+i)$$

$$g\sigma(z+1+i)$$

We call this the "Erdos-Sierpinski Problem in the Gaussian Integers".

3. Erdos-Sierpinski Problem in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]$

Let n be prime, and z + 1 be the product of two distinct primes p and q, then z = (z + 1) - 1 = pq - 1. If p and q are of odd parity, then n = (1 + i). This would force pq = 2 + i, a contradiction since 2 + i is prime and can't be the product of two primes. So either p or q must be of even parity, namely (1 + i), WLOG let p = 1 + i and q = a + bi, then z = (1 + i)q - 1. Assume $g\sigma(z) = z + 1 = g\sigma(z + 1) = (1 + i) = (2 + i)(a + bi + 1)$, then we have the equations:

$$1 = 2a + 2$$

and

$$i(1) = i(a + 2b + 1)$$

By Spira's definition of Complex Divisor Function we are unable to have negative numbers in the domain, therefore leads to a contradiction, so there exists no solutions in this form.

This time let z+1 be prime, and z be the product of two distinct primes p and q, then z=(z+1)-1=pq. For z+1 to be of even parity, then z=i, and i is not the product of two primes. That leaves z to be of even parity, so either p or q is 1+i. WLOG let p=1+i, q=a+bi, and z=c+di, then (1+i)(a+bi)=(c+di+1)-1=c+di. Assume $g\sigma((1+i)(a+bi))=(2+i)(a+1+bi)=g\sigma(z+1)=n+2=c+di+1$, then we have the four equations:

$$a - b = c$$

$$i(a + b) = i(d)$$

$$2a + 2 - b = c + 1$$

$$i(a + 1 + 2b) = i(d)$$

Taking d = a + 1 + 2b = a + b we get b = -1, however the input may not be negative leading to our contradiction. Thus there are no consecutive integers in this form or any form.

4. Solutions to
$$g\sigma(pq) = g\sigma(rs)$$

Furthermore, using Guy and Shanks method with Gaussian Integers we have z and z+1 the form of two distinct primes. For the distinct primes q and p (either not equal to 1+i or 2+i), let z=(1+i)p and z+1=(2+i)q. If we let p=a+bi and q=c+di, then z=(z+1)-1=(1+i)(a+bi)=(2+i)(c+di)-1. Assume $g\sigma(z)=g(z+1)$, then $g\sigma(1+i)g\sigma(p)=(2+i)(a+bi+1)=g\sigma(2+i)g\sigma(q)=(3+i)(c+di+1)$. Splitting the real and imaginary parts we have the four equations:

$$a - b = 2c - d - 1$$
$$(a+b)i = i(c+2d)$$

$$2a + 2 - b = 3c + 3 - d$$
$$i(a + 2b + 1) = i(c + 3d + 1)$$

From the four equations we have: a = 5, b = 2, c = 3, and d = 2. Since a + bi is prime $(5^2 + 2^2 = 29)$, and same holds for for 2 + 3.

Suppose we know a, b, e, f, then we can solve for c, d, q, h. Let

$$n = (a+bi)(c+di), \quad n+1 = (e+fi)(q+hi)$$

and by $q\sigma$ of primes

$$g\sigma(z) = (a+bi+1)(c+di+1) = (ac+a+c-bd) + i(ad+d+bc+b)$$

 $g\sigma(z+1) = (e+fi+1)(g+hi+1) = (eg+e+g-fh) + i(eh+fg+h+f)$
and we have the four equations

$$ac - bd = eg - fh - 1$$

$$bc + ad = fg + eh$$

$$ac + a + c - bd = eg + e + g - fh$$

$$ad + d + bc + b = eh + fg + h + f$$

Thus our solutions:

$$c = \frac{ea^2 - 2e^2a - ea - a + eb^2 - bf - 2ebf + ef^2 + f^2 + e^3 + e^2 + e}{a - e^2 + b - f^2}$$

$$d = \frac{a^2b - 2eab - af + b^3 - 2b^2f + bf^2 + e^2b + eb + b - f}{a - e^2 + b - f^2} - b + f$$

$$g = \frac{a^3 - 2ea^2 - a^2 + ab^2 - 2abf + af^2 + e^2a + ea - a - b^2 + bf + e}{a - e^2 + b - f^2}$$

$$h = \frac{a^2b - 2eab - af + b^3 - 2b^2f + e^2b + eb + b - f}{a - e^2 + b - f^2}$$

Examples of where $g\sigma(z) = g\sigma(z+1)$

$$g\sigma(3+7i) = 10 + 10i = g\sigma(4+7i)$$

$$g\sigma(19+25i) = -20 + 60i = g\sigma(20+25i)$$

$$g\sigma(19+75i) = -100 + 100i = g\sigma(20+75i)$$

$$g\sigma(40+85i) = -100 + 140i = g\sigma(41+85i)$$

$$g\sigma(90+245i) = -320 + 480i = g\sigma(91+245i)$$

5. Non-Consecutive Gaussian Integers Containg Two Primes

For $q\sigma(z) = q\sigma(z+k+qi)$ can also be solved using the previous formula. It is easy to show using the previous equations.

$$n = (a + bi)(c + di), \quad z + k + qi = (e + fi)(q + hi)$$

and by $q\sigma$ of primes

$$g\sigma(z) = (a+bi+1)(c+di+1) = (ac+a+c-bd) + i(ad+d+bc+b)$$

 $g\sigma(z+k+qi) = (e+fi+1)(g+hi+1) = (eg+e+g-fh) + i(eh+fg+h+f)$
and we have the four equations

$$ac - bd = eg - fh - k$$

$$bc + ad = fg + eh - q$$

$$ac + a + c - bd = eg + e + g - fh$$

$$ad + d + bc + b = eh + fg + h + f$$

Giving the solutions:

Tring the solutions:
$$c = \frac{-ea^2 - afq + eak + ak + 2e^2a - eb^2 + bfk + 2ebf + bq + ebq - f^2k - ef^2 - fq - e^2k - ek - e^3}{a^2 - 2ea + b^2 - 2bf + f^2 + e^2}$$

$$d = \frac{-a^2b + a^2q + 2eab + afk + aq - eaq - b^3 + 2b^2f + b^2q - bf^2 - bfq - ebk - bk - e^2k + fk - ea}{a^2 - 2ea + b^2 - 2bf + f^2 + e^2} + b - f - q$$

$$g = \frac{-a^3 + a^2k + 2ea^2 - ab^2 + 2abf - af^2 - afq - eak + ak - e^2a + b^2k - bfk + bq + ebq - fq - ek}{a^2 - 2ea + b^2 - 2bf + f^2 + e^2}$$

$$h = \frac{-a^2b + a^2q + 2eab + afk + aq - eaq - b^3 + 2b^2f + b^2q - bf^2 - bfq - ebk - bk - e^2b + fk - ea}{a^2 - 2ea + b^2 - 2bf + f^2 + e^2}$$

A few solutions for $q\sigma(z) = q\sigma(z+i)$

$$g\sigma(15+9i) = 40i = g\sigma(15+10i)$$

$$g\sigma(25+39i) = -40+80i = g\sigma(25+40i)$$

$$g\sigma(25+90i) = -120+120i = g\sigma(25+91i)$$

$$g\sigma(65+60i) = -40+160i = g\sigma(65+61i)$$

Lastly, solutions for $g\sigma(z) = g\sigma(z+1+i)$

$$g\sigma(8+16i) = 10 + 10i = g\sigma(3+12i)$$

$$g\sigma(5+5i) = 20i = g\sigma(6+6i)$$

$$g\sigma(13+4i) = 20i = g\sigma(14+5i)$$

$$g\sigma(84+5i) = 8+96i = g\sigma(85+6i)$$

$$g\sigma(90+6i) = -160+160i = g\sigma(91+7i))$$

$$g\sigma(138+102i) = -400+80i = g\sigma(139+103i)$$