Bookmarks

- O CHAPTER I.
- o CHAPTER II.
 - § 1. The Name □ Elohim □.
 - § 2. The Name □Elohim□ and the Trinity.
 - § 6. Other Names for God.
 - § 7. The Almighty.
 - § 8. The Lord.
 - § 9. The Most High.
 - § 10. □Jehovah□.
 - § 11. The Lord of Hosts.
 - § 12. The Angel of the Lord.
- CHAPTER III.
 - § 1. The Name \Box Adam \Box .
 - § 2. The Word \Box Ish \Box .
 - § 3. The Word \square Enosh \square .
 - § 4. The Word \square Gever \square .
- o CHAPTER IV.
 - § 1. The Soul.
 - § 2. The Spirit.
 - § 3. The Spirit of God.
- o CHAPTER V.
 - § 1. The Heart.
 - § 2. The Hardening of the Heart.
 - § 3. The Will.
 - § 4. Freedom of the Will.
 - § 5. Conscience.
 - § 6. Words Marking Intelligence.
- o CHAPTER VI.
 - § 1. Sin.
 - § 2. Wrong.
 - § 3. Travail.
 - § 4. Iniquity.
 - § 5. Transgression.
 - § 6. Evil.
 - § 7. Rebellion.
 - § 8. Wickedness.
 - § 9. Breach of Trust.
 - § 10. Vanity.
 - § 11. Guilt.
 - § 12. Words for Sin in the N.T.
- o **CHAPTER VII.**
 - § 1. Repentance.
 - § 2. Comfort.
 - § 3. Conversion.
 - § 4. Amendment.
- o **CHAPTER VIII**.
 - § 1. Words Signifying Perfection.
 - § 2. The Word \square Shalam \square .
 - § 3. The Word \Box Thamam \Box .
 - § 4. Teaching of the N.T.
- CHAPTER IX.
 - § 1. Uprightness.

- § 2. Righteousness.
- § 3. Judgment.
- § 4. Truth.
- § 5. Trust.
- § 6. Hope.
- § 7. Teaching of the N.T.

o **CHAPTER X**.

- § 1. Grace.
- § 2. Pity.
- § 3. Love.
- § 4. Mercy.

O CHAPTER XI.

- § 1. Redemption.
- § 2. N.T. Teaching on Redemption.
- § 3. Salvation.
- § 4. Teaching of the N.T. On Salvation.

o **CHAPTER XII.**

- § 1. The Hebrew Word for Atonement.
- § 3. Forgiveness.
- § 4. Sin Bearing.
- § 5. Acceptance.

o **CHAPTER XIII**.

- PURIFICATION, BAPTISM;
 - § 1. Purification.
 - § 2. Purification According to the N.T.
 - § 3. Washing.
 - § 4. Purity.
 - § 5. Sprinkling
 - § 6. Baptism.

o **CHAPTER XIV.**

- § 1. Ideas Connected with the Word.
- § 4. Innocence.
- § 5. Imputation.

O CHAPTER XV.

- § 1. Sanctify, Sacred, Holy.
- § 3. Anointing.

o **CHAPTER XVI.**

- § 1. The \square Korban \square .
- § 2. N.T. Teaching.
- § 3. Burnt-Offering.
- § 4. The Meat or Meal Offering.
- § 5. The Sacrificial Feast.
- § 6. The Altar.
- § 7. Altar and Sacrifice in the N.T.
- § 8. Technical Sense of the Word Do.
- § 9. To Slay a Victim.
- § 10. The Passover.
- § 11. The Peace-Offering.
- § 12. The Sin-Offering.
- § 13. The Trespass-Offering.
- § 14. Fire-Offering.
- § 15. Drink-Offering.
- § 16. Incense.
- § 17. Freewill-Offering.
- § 18. Wave-Offering and Heave-Offering.

O CHAPTER XVII.

- § 1. The Word.
- § 2. The Law.
- § 3. Commandment.
- § 4. Charge or Precept.
- § 6. Teaching in the N.T.
- § 7. Covenant.

O CHAPTER XVIII.

- § 1. Worship.
- § 2. N.T. Teaching.
- § 3. Prayer.
- § 4. Praise and Blessing.
- § 5. Preaching.
- § 6. Teaching.

o **CHAPTER XIX.**

- § 1. Temple.
- § 2. Tabernacle.
- § 3. Congregation.
- § 4. Convocation.
- § 6. The □Ecclesia□.

o **CHAPTER XX**.

- § 1. The Prophet.
- § 2. The Seer.
- § 3. N.T. Use of the Word Prophet.
- § 4. The Priest.
- § 5. The Elder.
- § 6. The Office of Elder in the N. T.
- § 7. The Ministry.
- § 8. Service.

o **CHAPTER XXI**.

- § 1. Kings and Rulers.
- § 2. Judgment and Condemnation.
- § 3. Judgment in the N.T.
- § 4. Punishment and Vengeance.

o CHAPTER XXII.

- § 1. Gentile or Heathen.
- § 2. The People.
- § 3. Nations and People in the N.T.
- § 4. Tribe or Family.

o **CHAPTER XXIII**.

- § 1. The Soil or Land.
- § 2. The Earth.
- § 3. The World.
- § 4. Heaven.
- § 5. The Host of Heaven.
- § 6. The Firmament.

O CHAPTER XXIV.

- § 2. The Root □Avad□.
- § 3. Destruction at Taught in the N.T.
- § 4. The Root □ Shachath □.
- § 5. The Root \square Shamad \square .
- § 6. The Root \Box Charam \Box .
- § 8. The Word □Gehenna□.
- § 9. Death.
- § 10. Use of the Word Death in the N.T.

o **CHAPTER XXV.**

- § 1. The Words Devil and Satan.
- § 2. Temptation.
- § 3. Temptation in the N.T.

o **CHAPTER XXVI**.

- § 1. Witchcraft.
- § 2. Divination.
- § 3. The Familiar Spirit.
- § 4. The Wizard and Magician.
- § 5. The Soothsayer and Enchanter.

o **CHAPTER XXVII**.

- § 1. Idols.
- § 2. The Image.
- § 3. N.T. Teaching on Images.
- § 4. Other Objects of Worship.
- § 5. The Grove.
- § 6. The High Place.
- § 7. The \Box Teraphim \Box .

• CHAPTER XXVIII.

- § 1. Various Words Marking Duration.
- § 2. The Word \square <Olam \square .
- § 3. Use of the Word Eternal in the N.T.

CHAPTER I.

ON THE TRANSLATION AND INTERPRETATION OF BIBLICAL TERMS.

§ 1. Need of Accuracy in the Translation and Interpretation of Scripture.

THE controversies which exist in the Christian Church are a source of trouble and perplexity to every thoughtful mind. It might naturally be supposed that those who profess to follow one and the same Master, to venerate one and the same Book as the final court of appeal in matters pertaining to religion, would agree on all questions of faith and ecclesiastical order; but this is far from being the case. Roman Catholic theologians have sometimes asserted that Protestantism is the real source of religious dissensions, inasmuch as it exposes the Scripture to the private judgment of the individual; and they tell us that there would be no differences of opinion among Christians if all were to abide by the teaching of the Papal Church. There are many reasons, however, which may fairly lead us to doubt the propriety of such a solution. In the first place, controversy did not spring up with the Reformation. There were nearly a hundred shades of opinion, more or less erroneous, which had to be contended against in the earliest ages of the Church; and there were as hot discussions on theological questions in the Middle Ages as there are now. Secondly, there are far greater divergences of thought in religious matters among the adherents of the Papacy than the world generally suspects. ¹ Thirdly, it is to be observed, that though the modern Church of Rome has laid down in the decrees of the Council of Trent a scheme or basis of doctrine according to which all Scripture is to be interpreted, yet she has never ventured to publish an infallible commentary which should explain all the hard

passages of Scripture. Thus even under Roman rule the door of controversy is practically left open. There were expositors of the Scripture in the Church long before Christians were divided into Roman Catholics, Greek Church, and Protestants. Which of them shall we follow? Shall it be Origen or Chrysostom? Jerome or Augustine? The answer which the Church of Rome, in common with all other Churches, has to give is, that no interpretations of Scripture by an individual, however learned, are to be regarded as infallible; all that can be done by the authorised leaders of the Church is to indicate a certain line of faith, ecclesiastical order, and practice, according to which the Bible ought to be interpreted, and by which all commentators ought to be guided and tested.

In accordance with this view, one of the most learned of Roman Catholic divines, Cardinal Cajetan, says, that if a new sense be discovered for a text, though it is opposed to the interpretation of a whole torrent of sacred doctors, it may be accepted, provided it be in accordance with the rest of Scripture, and with the teaching of the Church. ² To Scripture alone, he adds, do we reserve this

1 Jeremy Taylor, in his *Liberty of Prophesying*, gives an almost interminable list of the differences of opinion which have existed in the Church of Rome.

<u>First</u> <u>Next -></u>

authority, that we believe a thing *to be so* because it is *written so*. The conclusion is, that the more thoroughly we study the Bible in a right spirit and on just principles of interpretation, so much the more closely shall we draw near to one another in faith and life.

The Bible is to be regarded in two aspects. It has its use for the unlearned, and its use for the teacher. The O.T. tells the story of God's dealings with man in language which is plain to the most unlettered. The N.T. likewise unfolds the truth concerning the Lord Jesus in terms which come home to every heart. The little child and the untaught man will find many hard words, many puzzling arguments, many allusions to Eastern customs and to points of contemporary history of which they know nothing; but they will also find certain solid facts which they can grasp, and they will meet with living words which will arrest their attention and cause them to regard God in a new light. The simple student may thus become a theologian in the true old sense of the word, though ignorant of what modern writers sometimes call theology: he may attain that loving and reverential disposition towards his Maker and Redeemer which is described as 'the beginning of wisdom,' though knowing nothing of the Early Fathers or of the German School of Thought.

It has been held in all ages of the Church that the humble and devout reading of the Scriptures is one of the most profitable sources of growth in godliness; and nothing but the exigencies of controversy can have led the authorities of the Church of Rome to discourage the study of the Bible by the laity. ³

Jerome, the prince of translators, and a 'churchman' of the highest order, speaks soundly on this point. So does Augustine; and so do Chrysostom, Ambrose, Basil, and the leading Fathers of the Early Church. They knew that 'as the body is made lean by hunger and want of food, so is the soul which neglects to fortify itself by the Word of God rendered weak and incapable of every good work.' ⁴

It may, however, be said that the reading of the Bible should at any rate be

confined to those who are previously instructed in Christianity. But there is nothing in its pages which calls for such restriction. Practically also it is found that the Scriptures in the mother tongue have penetrated further than the living voice of the missionary, and in hundreds—nay, probably thousands—of 2 Cajetan, Proef. in Pent. The original passage is as follows:—' Si quando occurrerit novus sensus Textui consonus, nec a Sacra Scriptura nec ab Ecclesiae doctrina dissonus, quamvis a torrente Doctorum sacrorum alienus, aequos se praebeant censores. Meminerint jus suum unicuique. Solis Scripturae Sacrae autoribus reservata est haec autoritas, ut ideo credamus sic esse, quia ipsi ita scripserunt: alios autem, inquit Augustinus, ita lego, ut quantalibet sanctitate doctrinaque praepolleant, non ideo credam sic esse, quia ipsi ita seripserunt. Nullus itaque detestatur novum Scripturae sensum, ex hoc quod dissonat a priscis Doctoribus, sed scrutetur perspicacius Textum ac contextum Scripturae et si quadrare invenerit, laudet Deum, qui non alligavit expositionem Scripturarum Sacrarum priscorum Doctorum sensibus, sed Scripturae integrae sub Catholicae Ecclesiae censura. 'Cardinal Pallavicini (Hist. Conc. Trid. vi, 18) discusses the view thus boldly enunciated by his brother Cardinal—a view by no means generally approved of—and says that it is not contrary to the decrees of the Council of Trent, as they simply declare heretical any doctrine or exposition which is opposed to the universal teaching of Fathers, Popes, and Councils. 3 No translation of the Bible can be circulated with the sanction of the Papacy unless it be made from the Latin Vulgate, and be accompanied with notes taken from the 'Catholic doctors;' and even then no layman is (theoretically) permitted to read it unless he have a licence from his priest. The practical consequence of these steps has been that the Bible is almost an unknown book among the Roman Catholic laity. 4 Augustine. Compare the words of Ambrose, 'Omnes aedificat scriptura divina.' The acrimony with which the circulation of the Scriptures has been opposed by the Popes and their subordinates since the days of the Reformation presents a painful contrast with the earnest exhortations of such men as Jerome and Augustine.

instances they have been the means of leading men to the knowledge of God. 'Missionaries and others,' says Sir Bartle Frere in his essay on Missions, 'are frequently startled by discovering persons, and even communities, who have hardly ever seen, and perhaps never heard, an ordained missionary, and who have nevertheless made considerable progress in Christian knowledge, obtained through the medium of an almost haphazard circulation of tracts and portions of Scripture.' The Reports of the British and Foreign Bible Society and the records of the various Missionary Societies abundantly testify to this point.

But the Bible is also the textbook for the theological teacher, and the final court of appeal on all religious questions. Even the Church of Rome, though putting her ecclesiastical traditions on a level with the Scripture, generally seeks to obtain the sanction of God's Word for her teaching, and never professedly holds any doctrine which, according to her interpretation, is positively opposed to the Bible. To this Book, then, all churches and denominations turn for support; and whatever our view of inspiration may be, we practically take its words as the basis of our teaching and as the standard of our orthodoxy.

§ 2. Text and Linguistic Peculiarities of the Hebrew O.T.

It would be quite beside the present purpose to discuss theories of inspiration, to attempt a solution of the various questions which relate to the Canon, or to weigh the authority of different texts, MSS., and readings. Suffice it to say that, with regard to the O.T., the text as now received, with the punctuation and accentuation ⁵ which represent the traditional way of reading it in early times, may be taken as substantially the same as that which existed when our Lord gave the weight of His authority to 'the Scriptures.' Several hundred Hebrew MSS. have been brought to light in modern times, and by their aid the Received text might be considerably amended; ⁶ but the changes

5 By punctuation is here signified, not the marking of pauses in the sense, but the

determination of the vowel sounds. Supposing that in some old English inscription we met with the abbreviated word BRD, we might have to determine whether it stood for BREAD, BIRD, BARD, BEARD or BOARD. This we could usually do by means of the context; but there might be doubtful cases, and if such existed we should be glad to know how the word had been understood by others in past times. Thus tradition would come in to aid our reasoning powers, though, after all, tradition itself might sometimes be at fault. This just illustrates the case of the Hebrew points. They were added to MSS. somewhere about the fifth century after Christ, in order to perpetuate the traditional mode in which the Hebrew words of the Bible used to be pronounced. Generally speaking, they are undoubtedly right; but they are not infallible, and sometimes they are capable of correction by means of MSS. and early versions. The case of the word bed for staff , in Gen. 47:31, is the most familiar sample of the existence of two traditional modes of giving vowel sounds for a word whose consonants are the same. The accents mark the tones, the emphasis, and the pauses in Hebrew, and thus they too at times affect the sense and even the division of the verses.

6 Kennicott's two Dissertations, his Introduction to the Hebrew Bible which he edited, and the posthumous volume of his criticisms, illustrate what may be done in this direction. He may have been led to speak too strongly against what he conceived to be the wilful corruption of the text by the Masoretic Jews, but he has conferred a benefit by his labours upon both Jew and Christian which alas! neither the one nor the other has yet learned to appreciate. Döderlein and Meisner's Critical Hebrew Bible contains the most convenient collection of readings from Kennicott's and De Rossi's MSS. Reference may here be made to *Deuterographs* (published by the Oxford Press), where the parallel texts of Kings and Chronicles and other books are so printed that the textual variations may be seen at a glance.

thus introduced, though very numerous, and often of the deepest interest, would not affect the body of the book. The same is true in the case of the N.T., in which we have substantially (whether in the Received or the Revised Text) the writings which were regarded as authoritative in the early church.

The more closely we study the Hebrew Bible, the more we shall be struck with the uniform precision with which doctrinal terms are used throughout its pages. However we may choose to account for this fact, its practical bearing is manifest. If the Hebrew Scriptures use theological terms with marked exactitude, translations made from them are plainly missing something of Divine truth unless they do the same. ⁷

There are some 1860 Hebrew roots in the O.T., many of which represent theological, moral, and ceremonial ideas, and our first business must be to find out their exact meaning. The opinion formerly held by some scholars, that all Hebrew words are equivocal, is now generally regarded as an exaggeration; and, although there are differences of opinion as to the meaning of some words, the dictionaries of such men as Gesenius and Furst, being the embodiment of Jewish tradition confirmed and checked by investigations into cognate languages, give us a fair general idea of the meaning of the roots. This, however, is not enough. The Bible being regarded as a statute-book among Christians, the exact shade of meaning to be given to each Hebrew word ought, if possible, to be ascertained; and this can only be effected by an induction of instances leading to a definite conception of the sacred usage in each case. ⁸ When this has been discovered, the student is naturally led to inquire how far the sense thus arrived at has been, or can be, represented in other languages.

In making a translation of the Bible, it is impossible at first to find adequate words for some of the ideas which it contains; and there must always be a risk of considerable misunderstanding for a time. It is only gradually that the Biblical usage of a word becomes engrafted into a national language; and it has been noticed that the more fixed a language is at the time the translation is made into it,

the greater is the difficulty of diverting words from their general use to the sacred purposes of the Bible. ⁹ The Hebrew language, though poor in some respects, e.g. in tenses, is rich in others; and probably no better language could have been selected for the purpose of preparing the way for Christ. Its variations of Voice give shades of meaning which cannot be found in the Indo-European languages. Its definite article, the way in which genders are marked in the verb as well as in the noun, its mode of marking emphasis and comparison, the gravity and solemnity of its structure, the massive dignity of its style, the picturesqueness of its idiom— these make it peculiarly fitting for the expression of sacred truth. Indeed, it is often a lesson in moral philosophy to take a Hebrew dictionary and trace the gradual growth of meaning in certain words as their signification advances from things which are seen and temporal to those which are not seen and eternal. Persons who have made this point a study can well sympathise with the saying of Luther, that he would not part with his knowledge of Hebrew for untold gold. 10 7 The rule that each word of the original shall always have the same rendering is not to be preased too far, but in argumentative and doctrinal passages it is very important. It would be easy to name a hundred passages, even in our Revised Version, which have seriously suffered through the neglect of this principle. 8 The Founder of Inductive Science has not neglected to remind us that its principles are applicable to the study of the Bible. He urges an inquiry into 'the true limits and use of reason in spiritual things,' which would 'open men's eyes to see that many controversies do merely pertain to that which is either not revealed or positive, and that many others do grow upon weak and obscure inferences or derivations;' he calls men to investigate the Scriptures themselves instead of resting in Scholastic Divinity, because 'the more you recede from the Scriptures by inferences and consequences, the more weak and dilute are your positions;' and he extols 'positive divinity, collected upon particular texts of Scriptures.'—Bacon's Advancement of Learning, last chapter. 9 See Rhenius on the principles of translating the Bible.

But how is it possible that a translation (unless it be in a cognate language such as Arabic) should bring out all the shades of thought which are to be found in the Hebrew Bible? Thus the play upon words, ¹¹ which is so frequent in the original, as in the naming of Jacob's sons or in the blessing pronounced upon them by their father, can rarely be reproduced in another language. Such distinctions as exist between the rest which mean a cessation and that which signifies quietness, or between the fear which signifies terror and that which marks respect, are often left unnoticed by translators. Again, who would have supposed that three Hebrew words are rendered window in the account of the Deluge, three rendered sack in the story of Joseph's brethren in Egypt, three rendered leaven in the account of the Passover, three rendered ship in the first chapter of Jonah, and five rendered lion in two consecutive verses of Job (4:10, 11)? There are many other curiosities in Hebrew which cannot be reproduced, such as the strange fact that the same word is sometimes used not only in different senses, but even with flatly contradictory meanings. For example, one word signifies both to bless and to curse; the same is the case with words signifying to redeem and to pollute; to join and to separate; to afflict and to honour; to know and to be strange; to lend and to borrow; to sin and to purge; to desire and to abhor; to hurt and to heal. ¹² Again, how much significance lies in the circumstance that a common word for buying and selling also means corn, that a name for money also means a lamb, that the general word for cattle is adopted to signify possession, and that the common name for a merchant was Canaanite.

As an illustration of the richness and variety of the Hebrew language, it may be mentioned that seven different words are rendered black in the A. V.; there are eight words for an axe, for an archer, for a hook; nine are rendered wine; twelve words stand for beauty, and the same number for body; thirteen for light, for bough, and for hand; fourteen are rendered dark; sixteen are rendered anger and chief; eighteen are rendered tear; twenty are rendered bind and cry. The words afraid or affrighted stand for twenty-one Hebrew words; branch for twenty-two; deliver for twenty five; cover for twenty-six; gather for thirty-five; cut for forty-two; come for forty-seven; destroy for fifty-five; break for sixty; cast for sixty-

one; bring for sixty-six; go for sixty-eight; and take for seventy-four.

§ 3. The LXX a Connecting Link Between the Hebrew O.T. And the Greek

N.T.

We now pass from the Hebrew original to the ancient Greek version, commonly called the

10 'Hac quantulacunque cognitione infinitis millibus aureorum carere nolim' (Prol. in Ps. 45). Mr. Craik, in his little work on the Hebrew language (Bagster), gives a few apt illustrations of the original meanings of its words. 'It has been well observed,' he says, 'that the original notions inherent in the Hebrew words serve to picture forth with remarkable distinctness the mental qualities which they designate. Thus, for instance, the usual term for "meek" is derived from a root which signifies to afflict. The usual term for "wicked" comes from a root that expresses the notion of restlessness. A "sinner" is one who misses the mark. To "delight" in anything is literally to bend down towards it. The "law" is that which indicates the mind of God "Righteousness" is that which is perfectly straight. "Truth" is that which is firm. "Vanity" that which is empty. "Anger" is derived from a root meaning to breathe, quick breathing being a sign of irritated feeling. To "trust" is to take shelter under, or to lean upon, or to cast oneself upon. To " judge" is radically to smooth or make equal.' 11 A large number of instances of paronomasia will be found at the end of Canon Wilson's Hebrew Concordance (Macmillan).

12 The Voice, however, is not always the same in these cases.

Septuagint (LXX); and we may take as our starting-point the remark of a late scholar, ¹³ that the Christian revelation must be regarded as *Hebrew thought in Greek clothing*. No human language is capable of setting forth adequately the truth about the Divine Being; but it is a great help that the Scripture is written in *two* languages, one of a Semitic type and the other Aryan, the latter being not mere ordinary Greek, such as might be found in Plato or Demosthenes, but Greek of a peculiar kind, the leading words of which conveyed to the *Jewish* mind ideas which the Hebrew O.T. had originated.

Very different estimates have been formed respecting the value of the LXX by various writers. In the early days of Christianity both Jews and Christians were inclined to regard it as a work of inspiration; and most of the early versions of the O.T. were made from it. But when the Jews found that it was so freely quoted and so much used by Christians, they took refuge in the assertion that it was not a faithful translation; and on this account the Greek versions of Theodotion, Aquila, and Symmachus were made. It was too late, however, to disparage a version which had been prepared before the days of controversy between Jew and Christian had begun; and the charges made against it were really the means of confirming its value, for Jerome was led to make his version from the Hebrew, partly at least that Christians might see that both Hebrew and Greek practically taught the same truth.

Modern critics have sometimes run to extremes in dealing with the LXX. Isaac Voss held that it was inspired; Cappellus, Munster, and Buxtorf attached but little value to it; Morinus respected it highly, but was inclined to correct it by the Latin Vulgate. Perhaps the fairest estimate of its value is to be found in the work of Hody on early versions, and in the criticisms of Kennicott.

This early Greek translation is, indeed, of the greatest value to the Biblical student, partly because it contains certain readings of importance which are not to be found in the existing Hebrew Bibles; partly also, because its renderings, though often free and paraphrastic, and sometimes even illiterate and

unintelligible, frequently represent the traditional sense attached to the sacred text among the Alexandrian Jews. But, after all, the main value of the LXX lies in this, that it represents in a great measure the Greek religious language of many of the Jews of our Lord's time, and by its pages the Greek of the N.T. may be illustrated at every turn. Those who have access to Grinfield's Hellenistic Greek Testament, or any similar book, are aware that there is hardly a verse in the N.T. the phraseology of which may not be illustrated, and to some extent explained, by reference to the

LXX. This fact, which is allowed by all students, has, nevertheless, hardly received that full attention from translators which it deserves. The idea that the LXX is often an indifferent authority from a literary and critical point of view, has caused them to neglect its study, ¹⁴ whereas it ought to be regarded as a sort of dictionary in which every N.T. word and phrase ought to be looked out, in order that its usage in Judaeo-Greek might be ascertained. Philo is good, Josephus is good, but the LXX is best of all; both because of its subject-matter, and because of the influence which it has exercised over Christian theology.

It has often been remarked how much the English language now owes to the Authorised Version of the Bible. Many English words and phrases used in tracts and sermons, and other religious writings, can only be understood by reference to the Bible. The words themselves may sometimes be found in the works of authors who lived before our version was prepared, and also in the writings of many whose acquaintance with religious topics is very limited; but it is to the Bible that we turn for an explanation of such words as *edify*, *justify*, *atonement*, *faith*, and *grace*. These and many other words have been taken out of their ordinary secular usage, and have been adopted for Christian purposes. Little by little the new sense has eclipsed and obscured the old, so that in some 13 Professor Duncan. Cappellus expressed the same sentiment in almost the same words.

14 Certainly, if the Hebrew original were lost and our translation were made from the LXX, each word being rendered according to classical usage, whilst the substance of the O. T. would remain the same, we should have a very different (and a very mistaken) idea of many of its details.

cases the latter has vanished altogether. As generations succeed one another, if religious instruction and conversation continues, and if our Bible is not materially altered, Biblical language may become still more naturalised amongst us.

What is true in the case of the English language has also been perceived in many other languages;—wherever, in fact, the Bible is much studied. It often happens that missionaries gather their knowledge of a new language, not from native literature, for perhaps there is none, but from a translation of the Scriptures. This forms the basis of their vocabulary, and the standard of their idiom. Mr. Medhurst, in one of his works on China, notices that this was the case in Malacca, where 'the style of preaching and writing became in consequence very stiff and unidiomatic, and so a new and barbarous dialect sprang up among the professors of Christianity, which was in many instances barely intelligible to the Mahometan population who speak the regular Malayan tongue.'

To take one other illustration of the mode in which a religious language is formed, the reader may be reminded of the vocabulary at the end of Dean Nowell's Catechism. It contains a list of Latin words and modes of expression peculiar to Christians, and differing from the ordinary classical usage. ¹⁵ We find among them the words for angel, apostle, flesh, believe, create, crucify, demon, devil, elect, gospel, Gentile, idol, justify, sanctify, mediator, minister, mortify, repentance, resurrection, sacrament, scripture, temptation, tradition, and Trinity.

Applying these remarks to the influence of the LXX on Judaeo-Greek, we may cite the opinion of Father Simon, who points out ¹⁶ that the versions made by the Jews have been servile renderings, and that style has never been considered in them. 'The words employed in these versions are not used in the ordinary style; rather the Jews, in their desire to give a verbal rendering to the words of the Hebrew text, have formed a certain strange language, which one might call the language of the synagogue. The Greek of the Septuagint version, and even that of the N.T., is of this nature. ...It is this which has led certain learned critics to call it Hellenistic, so as to distinguish it from ordinary Greek.'

The late Dr. Campbell, of Aberdeen, ought to be named as having forcibly expounded the same view in his 'Preliminary Dissertations.'

The LXX may thus be regarded as a linguistic bridge spanning the gulf which separated Moses from Christ. Thus, to take a single short book, in the Epistle of St. James we meet with certain Greek words rendered *dispersion*, *temptation*, *trial*, *doubting*, *first-fruits*, *respect of persons*, *Lord of Sabaoth*, *in the last days*, *stablish your hearts*, *justify*, *double-minded*, *long-suffering*, *of tender mercy*, *faith*, *spirit*, *wisdom*, *the judge*. A Jew trained in the use of the LXX would naturally give to these words a peculiar richness and fulness of meaning from their usage in the Law and the Prophets when they appear as the rendering of certain Hebrew words and phrases.

The same would be the case with such expressions as 'son of perdition,' 'children of wrath,' 'if they shall enter into my rest,' 'by the hand of a mediator,' 'go in peace,' 'living waters.' ¹⁷ ¹⁵ Vocabula nostratia, et loquendi formae Christianorum propriae, in quibus a communi more verborum Latinorum discessum est . ¹⁶ *Critique V. T.* 2. 3. Similar remarks are made by this acute writer in the very interesting preface to his French translation of the N. T. This work, including the Preface, was translated into English by William Webster, Curate of St. Dunstant's-in-the-West, and printed by Charles Rivington, in St. Paul's Churchyard, in 1730. Simon's rendering of the Greek would be generally regarded as too free, though not so paraphrastic as the version made by De Sacy. Whilst aiming at 'expressing the pure word of God with all possible exactness,' he was the very opposite of a servile translator. His remarks on the Greek particles and prepositions, viewed in relation to the Hebrew, are very instructive.

It may be objected, however, that the use of the LXX was confined to a small portion of the Jews, that most of them spoke Aramaic, or (as it is called in the N.T.) Hebrew, and that therefore we must not press the resemblances between the Greek Testament and the LXX too far. The popular belief certainly is that our Lord and His disciples spoke in Aramaic, ¹⁸ an idea which is usually based on the fact that three or four words of this dialect are found amidst the Greek of the N.T. When Diodati propounded his view that our Lord was in the habit of speaking in Greek, it met with general contempt. De Rossi, no mean critic, controverted this novel view (as it was considered) in a treatise of some learning, though of short compass. ¹⁹ Dr. Roberts, in his 'Discussions on the Gospels,' has taken up the subject again, and has upheld the views of Diodati with much skill; but his arguments do not altogether carry conviction. It is strange that there should be any uncertainty about a point of such deep interest. There is probably more to be said on each side than has yet been said. The fact is, that a large number of the Jews in our Lord's time were bilingual: they talked both Aramaic and Judaeo-Greek. We know that St. Paul's speech in Acts 22. was delivered in Hebrew, whilst that given in Acts 24. must have been delivered in Greek. Whilst, therefore, some of the discourses contained in the Greek Gospels must be considered as translations, others may possibly give us the ipsissima verba of Him who spake as never yet man spake. One thing is certain, that if the Greek Gospels do not give our Lord's original discourses, it is in vain to look to any other source for them. If they are not originals, we have no originals. The Syriac version of the N.T. bears evident traces of having been made from the Greek; so does the early Latin; so do all the other early versions; nor is there any other practical conclusion to be arrived at than this, that the Greek Gospels are to be taken as accurate accounts of the words and deeds of the Saviour, written in a tongue which was intelligible to most Jews, to all Greeks, to many Romans, and to the great bulk of people whom the Gospel could reach in the course of the first century.

The LXX had certainly received a quasi-authorization by age and custom in our Lord's time. Father Simon considers that it may have obtained its name from the

fact that it was sanctioned by the Sanhedrim, which consisted of seventy members. He remarks that the Synagogue was used not only for a place of religious service, but as a school. And whereas the Talmud prohibited the reading of the law in any language but Hebrew during divine service, the LXX and also the Chaldee Targums were the main basis of teaching during school hours. Thus the Hebrew sacred books constituted the canon, whilst the LXX, so far as its rendering of those sacred books is concerned, became what we may call the Authorised Version in daily use in the school, and to a certain extent in the family; and the style of the N.T. would naturally be accommodated to it. ²⁰ 17 Mr. Webster rightly states, in his Grammar of New Testament Greek, that the influence of Hebrew on the Greek Testament is lexical rather than grammatical, but he somewhat underrates the bearing of the Hebrew voices, tenses particles, and prepositions on N. T. Greek. Dr. Delitzsch, in the learned introduction to his translation of the Epistle to the Romans into Hebrew (Leipsig, 1870), has some interesting remarks on this subject. 18 A compound of Aramaic and perhaps Arabic dialects, of which there were two or three forms, e.g. . the Galilean, which was ruder than that spoken in Jerusalem. See Walton's Prolegomena on this subject; also De Rossi's work, referred to below; and compare Neubauer in Studia Biblica. 19 Dissertazioni della lingua di Cristo, Milan, 1842.

The whole Bible may be regarded as written 'for the Jew first;' ²¹ and its words and idioms ought to be rendered according to Hebrew usage. The shades of meaning represented in the Hebrew Voices ought to be borne in mind by the translator, the Piel or intensive being peculiarly a technical or ceremonial Voice. Where critics or theologians differ as to the sense conveyed by the original, the translator must content himself by adhering to the most literal or the most natural rendering of the text. The great danger is the tendency to paraphrase. This may be illustrated by Martin Luther's translation of dikaiosuvnh qeou` 'the righteousness which is valid before God.' ²² The phrase certainly needs exposition, as many similar condensed expressions do, but the translator must leave this task to the expositor.

§ 4. Our Lord's Method of Interpreting the O.T.

There are about 600 quotations from the O.T. into the N.T. The great proportion of these are in accordance both with the Hebrew original and with the LXX, and where they vary it is frequently owing to textual corruption. They present us, when taken together, with a systematic key to the interpretation of the O.T. But it is curious to observe the great variety of deductions that have been made from examining the mode of citation. Father Simon, in his 'Critique' on the O.T. (lib. i. chap.17), tells us that our Lord followed the method of interpreting the Scriptures which was adopted by the Pharisees, whilst He condemned their abuse of those traditions which had no solid foundation. 'St. Paul,' he continues, 'whilst he was one of the sect of the Pharisees, had interpreted Scripture in the light of tradition; and the Church apparently from the beginning preferred this mode of elucidating the Bible to that adopted by modern grammarians who stick to the words. Thus

20 N OTE ON S T. M ATTHEW'S G OSPEL .—A possible solution of a long-standing difficulty may be here presented for the consideration of the learned. The old tradition is that St. Matthew wrote his Gospel in Hebrew, and there is no reason to doubt it; but the opinion of some modern Scholars who have subjected

the matter to the severest criticism is that it was written in Greek. But, even if their view is correct, some copies may have been specially prepared in *Hebrew* characters for those Jews who talked Greek but did not read it. In the present day we find Greek, Spanish, German, Polish, Persian, and Arabic works (especially Bibles) written and printed in the Hebrew character. Occasionally in the time of Origen, the Hebrew Scriptures were written in Greek letters. Why, then, should not the Greek Scriptures have been written in Hebrew characters for the benefit of a portion of the Jewish people who would otherwise have been debarred from access to them? Transliteration is very common now. Arabic Scriptures are printed in Syriac characters, Turkish in Armenian, Turkish in Greek, Kurdish in Armenian, Indian languages in Arabic, Malay and even Chinese in Roman. The version which the Caraite Jews especially esteem is a Greek Pentateuch, printed at Constantinople in Hebrew characters. According to the opinion of most scholars, the whole Hebrew Scriptures have been transliterated from Samaritan characters, whilst the Samaritans still retain a text of the Pentateuch in their own character. There would, therefore, be nothing novel or extraordinary in the plan which is here conjectured to have been adopted by St. Matthew or some of his followers, namely, to make copies of the Gospel in Hebrew characters Any person not versed in the study of Hebrew would naturally suppose, on seeing such a copy, that it was written in the Hebrew language. It is true that such scholars as Origen and Jerome would not be so imposed upon; but there is no proof that either of these learned men had ever held the book in their hand.

21 It may be objected that some portions at least of the N. T. were intended for Gentile readers; this may have been the ease, but they were written by Jews, and consequently more or less in the Judaeo-Greek diction. 22 Die Gerechtigkeit, die vor Gott glit.

neither our Lord nor His apostles appear to have taken pains to cite passages of Scripture word for word; they have had more regard for the sense than for the letter of the text.' 'Their citations were made after the method of the Pharisees, who took no exact account of the words of the text when they cited it, being persuaded that religion depended more on the preconceived opinions (préjugés) obtained by tradition than on the simple words of Scripture which were capable of diverse explanations.' This bold statement, which if true would be very convenient for the Church to which Father Simon belonged, requires considerable modification. There were two schools among the Jews of our Lord's day who tampered with the letter of Scripture. There were the Pharisees, who so overlaid Scripture with legal niceties of man's invention, that the Word of God was practically made void by their traditions. And there were the Cabbalists, who applied a mystical interpretation to the very letters of which the words of Scripture were composed, and thus lost the plain sense which lay on the surface. In opposition to these two schools, our Lord generally adopted the plan of interpreting the Scripture with its context, and with a due regard both to the claims of grammar and the harmony of the Divine plan of revelation. In this respect, as in others, He left us an example that we should follow in His steps.

§ 5. Illustrations of the Use of the LXX in Translating the N.T.

A few instances may be given, in conclusion, to illustrate the bearing which the language and idiom of the LXX has upon the meaning of the N.T.

(a) In 2 Thess. 3:5, we read, 'The Lord direct your hearts into the love of God and into the patient waiting for Christ.' The latter words are more literally rendered in the margin and in the R. V. 'the patience of Christ.' This expression would not convey much sense to the reader, unless he took it to signify 'the patience which Christ exhibited when he suffered,' or 'the patience which Christ bestows upon his people.' Were our translators right in departing from the literal rendering, and in giving a clear and definite meaning to the Apostle's words, and one which is in strict conformity with the context? Yes; they have doubtless hit

the sense; and their view of the passage is confirmed by the Greek rendering of Ps. 39:7, which literally runs thus, 'And now what is my patience? is it not the Lord?' This answers to the rendering of the A. V. and R. V., 'And now, Lord, what wait I for? My hope is in thee.' It may well be supposed that if this passage from the LXX was not in the Apostle's mind as he wrote, yet the phraseology of it, which was so familiar to him, gave form to his thought.

(b) In a Greek Testament which is in the hand of every student, it is said in a note on 2 Thess. 1:11

(on the words 'fulfil all the good pleasure of his goodness') that 'ajgaqwsuvnh will not refer with any propriety to God, of whom the word is never used.' ²³ Accordingly, it is altered in the R. V. But the usage of the LXX should be considered before the question be thus summarily decided. Accordingly, on turning to that book, we find that the word ajgaqwsuvnh is used of God in at least three passages.

(c) Readers of the English Bible must have experienced some surprise at meeting twice over with the singular expression, 'thy holy child Jesus' in Acts 4:27 and 30 (see also, Acts 3:13, 26). The Greek word pai`" may certainly be rendered child, though the diminutive paidivon is more usually adopted in the N.T. for this purpose. But why should the Christians make such special mention of 'the holy child'? The usage of the N.T. may first be consulted. The word occurs at most twenty-five times. In seven or eight of these passages it is rendered 'servant,' whilst in others it is rendered 'child.' It is first applied to our Lord in Matt. 12:18, where the prophecy of Isaiah (42:1) is referred to. Our translators here wisely allowed themselves to be guided by the Hebrew word, of which pai`"

23 Vide Alford in loco.

is the rendering, and to translate 'Behold my servant whom I have chosen.' In accordance with this passage the Virgin Mary sings of God, 'He hath holpen his servant (pai`") Israel' (Luke 1:54), and Zacharias praises God for raising up a horn of salvation (*i.e.* a mighty Saviour) in the house or family of His servant (pai`") David. It is natural to suppose that the Christians referred to in Acts 4:27, 30, did not mean to speak of Christ as God s child, but as His servant. This view is borne out by the fact that they had in the very same prayer in which the words occur used the same expression with reference to David's saying, 'Lord, thou art God. ... who by the mouth of thy servant (pai`") David hast said, why did the heathen rage.' For these reasons it would be well to translate pai`" servant in the four passages in the Acts in which it is used of the Lord.

An examination of other passages in which David is called God's servant will greatly tend to confirm the rendering given above. See Jer. 33:15; Ezek. 34:23, 24; 37:24, 25.

These samples, perhaps, are sufficient to illustrate the way in which the LXX forms a connecting link between the O.T. and the N.T. Many more will be brought to light in the course of the following pages, in which the leading Hebrew terms relating to the nature of God and man, the work of redemption, the ministrations under the law of Moses, together with other important topics, are discussed. If all difficult passages in the N.T. were dealt with in accordance with the principles thus illustrated, it does not seem too much to say that many obscurities would be removed, and the perplexities in which the plain English reader often finds himself involved would be considerably reduced.

Before closing this chapter a word must be added concerning the language in which the earliest pages of the Bible were written. It is, to say the least, possible that the records of the events which happened before Abraham's time are themselves pre-Abrahamic. If so, they may have been written in a language or dialect very different from Biblical Hebrew. The same hypothesis would hold good in a measure with reference to the records of the period between Abraham

and Moses. All that we can do, however, is to take the Book of Genesis as it stands, and to discuss its words as if they were the original, or at any rate as if they fairly represented it, just as we take the Greek of the Gospels as an adequate representation of the language in which our Lord usually spoke.

CHAPTER II.

THE NAMES OF GOD.

A T RANSLATOR of the Bible into the languages of heathendom finds his work beset with difficulties at every step. He has to feel about for bare words, and this not merely in such matters as weights, measures, animals, and trees, but in others of far greater importance. He constantly has to pause and consider whether he had better use a native word which but indifferently represents the original, or whether it be preferable to transfer or transliterate a word from the Hebrew, Greek, or some other language. In the one case he is in danger of creating a misunderstanding in the mind of his readers; in the other he is certain to convey no sense at all until by oral teaching, or otherwise, the newly-grafted word has become familiar. He wants to speak of the flesh, and can only find a word which signifies meat; he has to speak of angels, and must choose between messengers and genii; he wants to write of the kingdom of heaven, and finds that such a thing as a kingdom is unknown; he has to speak concerning the soul and the spirit to those who are apparently without a conception of anything beyond the body, as was the case with the Bechuana tribes. ¹ Thus a version

of the Scripture must needs be full of anomalies and obscurities at first, and though the substantial facts contained therein may be plainly set down, a clear understanding of its details will only be arrived at after much study on the part of native readers.

The difficulty of the translator usually begins with the name of God. To us English people this is so much a thing of the past that we cannot understand it; but, as a matter of fact, it has caused perplexity, if not dissension, in the case of many new translations. In China the missionaries of the various Christian bodies are not to this day agreed as to the right word to be adopted, and consequently they will not all consent to use the same editions of the Bible. Some approve of the name Tien-Chu, a title which signifies 'the Lord of heaven,' which has been adopted for three centuries by the Roman Catholics; some adopt Shang-ti, the Confucian name for 'the Supreme Ruler;' others are in favour of Shin, which is generally supposed to mean 'spirit.' The controversy between the upholders of these various opinions has been very warm and earnest, and has called forth several deeply interesting essays. The arguments have usually gathered round one question,—Ought we to choose a generic name for God, i.e. a name which represents to the heathen mind a class of beings, or ought we to choose what may be called a proper name, even though that name may present a most unworthy notion of the Deity.

§ 1. The Name Elohim.

The general Hebrew name for God is Elohim (μ yhla). Sometimes it is used with a definite article, sometimes without. Altogether it occurs 2555 times. In 2310 of these instances it is used as the name of the living and true God, but in 245 passages it appears to be adopted in lower senses.

Although plural in form, ² the name is generally used with a singular verb when it refers to the true God. ³

This name properly represented One only Being, who revealed Himself to man as Creator, Ruler, and Lord. It was His own peculiar title, and ought to have been confined to Him. Accordingly we read, 'in the beginning God (Elohim in the plural) created (in the singular) the heavens and the earth.'

- The first hint at the possibility that the title Elohim might be shared by others besides the Creator is to be found in the serpent's suggestion, 'Ye shall be as Elohim, knowing good and evil' (Gen. 3:5
-). The translators of the A. V. render the word 'gods,' but our first parents only knew of one Elohim; they heard His voice from time to time, and perhaps they saw His form; they addressed Him in the singular number; and the idea of any other being to be called Elohim but this One could not have entered their imagination until the Tempter said to them, 'Ye shall be as God, knowing good and evil' (see R. V.).

In after ages the worship of the Creator as Elohim began to be corrupted. The Name, indeed, was retained, but the nature of Him who bore it was well-nigh forgotten. When men were divided into different nations, and spoke various dialects and languages, they must have carried with them those notions of Elohim which they had inherited from their fathers, but the worship which was due to Him alone was in the lapse of ages transferred to the souls of the departed, to the sun, moon, and stars, and even to idols made by men's hands.

- 1 See Moffat's *South African Sketches* . Things are very different among the Bechuanas now.
- ² This is indicated by the termination *-im*, as in such words as Cherub-im and Seraph-im. Dr. Sayce tells me that in the Tel el Amarna tableta Pharaoh is addressed as gods.
- 3 The exceptions are Gen. 20:13, 35:7; 2 Sam. 7:23 (but see 1 Chron. 17:12). The Samaritan Pentateuch has altered those in Genesis to the singular. Sometimes the adjective which agrees with Elohim is plural, as in Jos. 24:19; sometimes

singular, as in 2 Kings 19:4.

It has been supposed that some sanction is given to the theory that the name Elohim is generic by the fact that idols are called by this name in Scripture. Some instances of this usage may therefore be cited for examination.

In Gen. 35:1, 2, 4, we read as follows: 'And Elohim said unto Jacob, Arise, go up to Bethel and dwell there, and build there an altar, unto the El that appeared to thee when thou fleddest from before thy brother Esau. Then Jacob said to his house and to all that were with him, Put away the strange Elohim that are among you ... and they gave unto Jacob all the strange Elohim that were in their hands, and their earrings which were in their ears, and Jacob hid them under the oak which was by Shechem.' The Elohim in this case seem to have been images, perhaps charms worn on the person, similar to those which the ancient Egyptians used to wear, and which have been exhumed or manufactured by hundreds in modern days. The word nacar (rkn), here rendered strange, is used in Scripture in two opposite senses, for to *know*, and *not to know*; it here probably means foreign or alien, in which sense it is frequently applied to idolatrous worship in Scripture.

In Gen. 31:19, we read that Rachel had stolen her father's images (teraphim ⁴), but Laban calls them his Elohim (verse 30), and Jacob, adopting the word, says, 'with whomsoever thou findest thine Elohim, let him not live.' Laban, then, worshipped teraphim as Elohim, though he ought to have known better, for he knew the name of Jehovah (Gen. 30:27, 31:49), and he was not ignorant of the real Elohim, whom his own father had worshipped (Gen. 31:29, 50, 53).

We also read of 'the Elohim of Egypt' (Exod. 12:12, A.V. gods; the margin has princes, but see Num. 33:4); of molten Elohim .(Lev. 19:4); of 'the Elohim of the heathen' (Exod. 23:24); also of Chemosh, Dagon, Milcom, and other idols which were designated as Elohim . When the Israelites made the molten calf out of their golden earrings (Exod. 32:3, 4), they said of it, 'These be thy Elohim , O Israel,' by which they practically meant 'this is thy God,' for they regarded the image as a representation of Jehovah (verse 5). ⁵

Jethro, the father-in-law of Moses, draws a distinction between the true and the false Elohim when he says, 'Now know I that Jehovah is greater than all the Elohim, for in the matter wherein they dealt proudly he was above them' (Exod. 18:11); yet this very confession is so worded as to imply not only that the priest of Midian had hitherto been in the dark on the subject, but also that he still had a lingering belief in the existence of inferior Elohim. The same ignorance and superstition was to be found amongst the children of Israel; and the primary lesson which the Lord sought to teach them during their journeyings in the wilderness was that they were to restore the name Elohim to its original and sole owner. 'Thou shalt have no other Elohim before me.' 6 (Exod. 20:3). 'Make no mention of the name of other Elohim, neither let it be heard out of thy mouth' (Exod.23:13). 'Jehovah he is Elohim in heaven above and upon the earth beneath; there is none else' (Deut. 4:39). So in the Song of Moses (Deut. 32:37, 39) we read concerning the heathen, 'Where are their Elohim, the rock on which they leaned? ... I even I am he, and there is no Elohim with me.' Once more, the utter anomaly of using the word Elohim for others than the true God is

4 For further remarks on the nature of the Teraphim, see chap. xxvii. § 7.

5 David Mill, in one of his Dissertationes Selectoe, discusses the symbolical meaning of the golden calf, and comes to the conclusion that it represented, not Apis, but Typhon (*i.e.* Set), to whom the Egyptians attributed all evil. The people of Israel knew full well that their God had looked with no favouring eye upon Egypt, and it is therefore not improbable that in choosing a symbol to represent Him they would select that which the Egyptians regarded as their evil genius. 6 Literally, 'in addition to my face.' Some Hebrew students regard this expression not merely as a Hebrew idiom, but as setting forth that the Face or Manifestation of God is God. They have hence argued for the Deity of Christ; but the argument in the form in which it is sometimes advanced is rather perilous because it is inapplicable to other passages, *e.g.* Exod. 33:20: 'Thou canst not see my f ace, for there shall no man see me and live.' It is nevertheless true that we do behold 'the glory of God in the face or person of Jesus Christ' (2 Cor. 4:6).

clearly indicated in the prayer of Hezekiah (2 Kings 19:18), 'Of a truth, Jehovah, the kings of Assyria have destroyed the nations and their lands, and have cast their Elohim into the fire: for they were no Elohim but the work of men's hands, wood and stone.'

§ 2. The Name Elohim and the Trinity.

It is clear that the fact of the word Elohim being plural in form does not at all sanction polytheism; but we have now to consider whether it may fairly be taken as a testimony to the plurality of Persons in the Godhead. It is certainly marvellously consistent with this doctrine, and must remove a great stumblingblock out of the path of those who feel difficulties with regard to the acknowledgment of the Trinity in Unity. Great names are to be cited for taking a step further, and for adducing, as a proof of the Trinity, the words, 'Elohim said, Let us make man in our image after our likeness' (Gen. 1:26). Father Simon notes that Peter Lombard (1150) was the first to lay stress upon this point; though probably the argument was not really new in his time. Many critics, however, of unimpeachable orthodoxy, think it wiser to rest where such divines as Cajetan in the Church of Rome and Calvin among Protestants were content to stand, and to take the plural form as a plural of majesty, and as indicating the greatness, the infinity, and the incomprehensibleness of the Deity. Perhaps the idea unfolded in the plural form Elohim may be expressed more accurately by the word Godhead or Deity than by the word God; and there is certainly nothing unreasonable in the supposition that the name of the Deity was given to man in this form, so as to prepare him for the truth that in the Unity of the Godhead there are Three Persons.

As long as the passage above quoted stands on the first page of the Bible, the believer in the Trinity has a right to turn to it as a proof that Plurality in the Godhead is a very different thing from Polytheism, and as an indication that the frequent assertions of the Divine Unity are not inconsistent with the belief that the

Father is God, the Son is God, and the Holy Ghost is God. It is well known that the Hebrews often expressed a word in the plural, so as to give it a special or technical meaning, as in the case of the words Blood, Water, Wisdom, Salvation, Righteousness, Life; and this is in favour of what has just been advanced. The use of the plural in the language of majesty and authority tends to the same conclusion. In these cases it is implied that the word in the singular number is not large enough to set forth all that is intended; and so in the case of the Divine Name the plural form expresses the truth that the finite word conveys an inadequate idea of the Being Whom it represents.

Other names of God will be found to be in the plural also; and it is worthy of notice that in the well-known passage in Ecclesiastes (12:1) the Hebrew runs thus, 'Remember now thy Creators in the days of thy youth.'

§ 3. Secondary Uses of the Name Elohim.

Another use of the word Elohim has now to be noticed. We read in Exod. 4:16, that God said to Moses, with reference to his brother Aaron, 'thou shalt be to him in the place of Elohim .' From these words it would appear that Moses was to be regarded by Aaron as standing in immediate relation to God,—not, however, as on a level with Him, for God did not say 'thou shalt be as (\hat{u}) Elohim ,' but 'for (1) Elohim .' ⁷ Moses was instructed to convey the Divine message to Aaron, who,

in his turn, was to announce it to Pharaoh. Similarly in chap. 7:1, the Lord says to His servant, 'Behold I have appointed thee Elohim to Pharaoh, and Aaron shall be thy prophet.' It is evident that the name of God was here given to His human representative, as such. The LXX has ta; pro;" to;n qeovn.

The usage of the word in these passages may be illustrated by a reference to our Lord's teaching. When accused by the Jews of making Himself God, He answered, 'Is it not written in your law, I said, Ye are gods? If he called them gods, unto whom the word of God came,—and the Scripture cannot be broken,—say ye of him, whom the Father hath sanctified, and sent into the world, Thou blasphemes; because I said, I am the Son of God?' (John 10:34–36.) The passage which our Lord here refers to is in Psalm 82, and begins thus: 'Elohim taketh his stand (bxn) in the gathering of El; in the midst of Elohim he doeth judgment.' The Psalmist proceeds to rebuke this gathering of Elohim, who were evidently judges, and who were responsible for judging in accordance with the word of the Lord: 'How long will ye administer perverted justice, and favour wicked men? Deal justly with the poor and fatherless: acquit the afflicted and needy. Deliver the poor and needy: rescue them from the hand of wicked men.' Yet the rebuke was unheeded. Alas! 'They know not, neither do they perceive; they go on walking in darkness: all the foundations of the land (i.e. its judges) are moved from their course.' Then comes the retribution following on their neglect of these august privileges and duties. 'It is I myself 8 that said ye are Elohim and all of you children of the Highest. Yet after all ye shall die as Adam, and as one of the princes shall ye fall' The Psalmist concludes with the prophetic aspiration, 'Arise, thou Elohim, administer just judgment in the land: for it is thou that hast all the nations for thine inheritance.' Our Lord, by referring to this Psalm, evidently meant His hearers to understand that if earthly judges were called 'gods' in Scripture because they were to regulate their decisions by the Word of God, it could be no blasphemy in Him whom the Father hath sent into the world to call Himself God s Son. If they represented God, how much more did He.

In accordance with the words of the Psalm just referred to, we read in Exod. 22:8,

- 9, 'If the thief be not found, then the master of the house shall be brought unto the judges (ha-Elohim), to decide whether he hath put his hand unto his neighbour's goods. The cause of both parties shall come before the judges (ha-Elohim), and whom the judges (Elohim) condemn, he shall pay double to his neighbour.' In the twenty-eighth verse, where our translators have somewhat unfortunately put 'thou shalt not revile the gods,' we read Elohim again, and consistently with the previous passages we should render it, 'thou shalt not revile judges, nor speak evil of a leader among thy people.' See
- R. V., margin. This passage was referred to with a latent shade of irony by St. Paul when he was called to account for speaking sharply to Ananias, who professed to judge him after the law whilst causing him to be smitten contrary to the law (Acts 23:5).

The judges are also called Elohim in Exod. 21:6, where the account is given of the master boring his servant's ear in the presence of the magistrates. It is possible that the witch of Endor, when she said, 'I see Elohim ascending from the earth,' used the word in this sense, that we might render the passage, 'I see judges ascending from the earth.' But the noun and the participle are in the plural 7 The R. V. is in error here. In chap. 6:7, we have the same expression (µyhlal) rendered in the A.

V., 'I will be to you a *God*.' It might be best, therefore, to consider the emphatic verb *to be* in the above passage as signifying (in conjunction with the preposition) *to represent*—'Thou shalt represent Elohim to him.' In Zech. 12:8, there is a more remarkable expression; it is said that 'the House of David shall be as God and as the Angel of the Lord before them.' Here we have not representation but equality; and the passage has its fulfillment in Christ. 8 It is only in some such way is this that one can express the force of the emphatic Hebrew personal pronoun. Our translators have not often adopted this plan, but in other versions (*e.g.* the French of Ostervald) the distinction between the expressed and the unexpressed pronoun has been marked in this way. The R. V. fails here.

number in this passage. ⁹ The R. V. has noted this point.

In all these passages the word Elohim indicates not beings who are to be worshipped, but a body of responsible magistrates who are called by this name because they represent the only true Elohim, who is God of gods and Lord of lords. Accordingly we read that 'the men between whom there is a controversy shall stand before Jehovah, before the priests and the judges' (Deut. 19:17).

§ 4. The Application of the Name Elohim to Angels.

There is yet another use of the word Elohim which must not be passed over. The Samaritan Version and also the LXX have adopted the word angels to represent it in several places, and the English translators, partly guided by the teaching of the N.T., have occasionally followed their example.

Some critics have been inclined to render the words in Gen. 3:5, 'Ye shall be as angels'; but there is no ground for such an interpretation. In Job 38:7, 'the sons of God' who shouted for joy are designated angels by the LXX, but this is by way of commentary rather than translation. Compare Ps. 138:1.

In Heb. 1:6, we read, 'when he bringeth the first-begotten into the world, he saith, And let all the angels of God worship him.' The writer here cites words which are to be found in some copies of the LXX in the Song of Moses (Deut. 32:43), but there is no Hebrew equivalent for them in our existing test. The verses which follow carry the reader on from the day of Moses to a time yet to come when God 'will avenge the blood of his servants, and will render vengeance to his adversaries, and will be merciful to his land and to his people.' This will be at the time of the restitution of all things which have been spoken of by all the holy prophets from old time (Acts 3:21

). Whilst the writer of the Epistle to the Hebrews probably had the Song of Moses in his mind when he quoted the words of the LXX, there may be a secondary

reference to Psalm 97:7, where we read, 'worship him all ye gods (Elohim),' but where the LXX has rendered, 'worship him all ye his angels.'

In the 8th Psalm the A. V. runs thus, 'What is man, that thou art mindful of him? and the son of man, that thou visitest him? For thou hast made him a little lower than the angels, and hastcrowned him with glory and honour. Thou madest him to have dominion over the works of thy hands.' Here the Hebrew has Elohim; and were it not for the sanction given to the LXX interpretation in Heb. 2:7, our translators would probably have given a literal rendering, as the R. V. has done.

Gesenius, Hengstenberg, and other critics, understood the Psalmist to mean that the Son of Man should be but little below the glory of God. So Calvin, 'parum abesse eum jussisti a divino et coelesti statu.' We might, perhaps, paraphrase the words, 'thou hast bereft ¹⁰ him for a little while of the divine glory.' Compare Phil. 2:7. In giving this interpretation of the words, though we do not adopt the exact rendering of the LXX, we arrive at a substantial agreement with its teaching. The fact announced in the Hebrew text with regard to man generally, is fulfilled with regard to Christ in such a mode as the LXX describes, and as the writer of the Epistle to the Hebrews sanctions.

§ 5. Difficulties in Translating the Name Elohim.

We have seen that the name Elohim is properly a title belonging to one Being, who is the

9 See chap. xxvi. § 3, for a further reference to the scene here noticed.

10 The word is so rendered in Eccles. 4:8.

Creator of heaven and earth, and the Sustainer of all existence. The question now returns, how is the word to be dealt with in translation? Three possible courses present themselves. The Hebrew word might be transliterated, as is sometimes done with the name J EHOVAH; or the name of some native object of worship might be substituted for it; or the original meaning of the word might be reproduced by a translation.

To deal with the last proposal first,, there could be no valid objection to such a course, if no better plan presented itself. It is agreed by almost all scholars that the name Elohim signifies the putter forth of power. He is the Being to whom all power belongs. The lowest of heathen tribes are compelled to acknowledge that there is a Power in existence greater than their own, and the missionary constantly has to take this acknowledgment as a basis on which he may plant a more complete theology.

The proposal that the Hebrew name for the Divine Being should be transliterated, and used alone or in combination with those of native deities, has been received with greater favour by some missionaries. They have looked upon it as a means of avoiding the danger in which every translator is manifestly involved, of giving a seeming sanction to false religion by the adoption of a name which conveys false ideas. But, after all, whilst seeking to escape one evil, the transliterator runs into another, for he would be laying himself open to the charge that he was setting forth strange gods.

The other plan is to single out that name which is, on the whole, the best representative of a personal and powerful Being, leaving it for the general teaching of Scripture and for the oral instruction of the missionary to lift up men's minds to higher ideas of this Being than they had before.

If all the names of God were to be rejected which had ever been used for idolatrous purposes, it is hard to know what would be left. Elohim itself was so used; the same is the case with the Arabic form Allah , with the Greek Theos , the

Ethiopic Amlak (cf. Moloch), the Egyptian Nout , the Hungarian Isten , the Albanian Pernti , the Tartar Tengri , and many others, which are sanctioned in time-honoured versions. Nay, what would happen to the Georgian Ghut , the Persian Khuda , the German *Gott* , and the English *God* ? Fortunately our idea of God comes not from the etymology of the word, nor from its use in the days of our heathendom, but from the truths which we have been taught about Him from our childhood. This is exactly the point to be borne in mind. The truth about God is gathered not so much from the Name as from what is taught concerning Him who bears it. The knowledge of the nature and character of God is gradually acquired through the study of the Scriptures.

The American Bishop Boone, in his contribution to the Chinese discussions, says that we should render the name of God by the highest generic word which represents an object of worship. If this theory were to be carried out, then the first verse of the Bible would practically run thus: 'In the beginning an object of worship created the heavens and the earth.' This, however, would be an inversion of the right order of thought. God is to be worshipped because He is Creator. His works constitute, in great measure, His claim to worship. The same writer also quotes Lactantius and Origen in favour of a generic name for God. These learned men wrote centuries after the matter had been practically settled, so far as regards the Greek language, by the usage of the LXX, and when it would have been too late, even if it had been good for any reason, to substitute Zeus for Theos . Dr. Malan, indeed, has shown, in his work on the Names of God, ¹¹ that Zeus and Theos were originally, in all probability, the same word. But we have a greater witness than Dr. Malan, even that of the Apostle of the Gentiles, who, after quoting two heathen hymns written in honour of Zeus, argues from them in favour of the spiritual nature of Theos, who made the world.

The passage in the Acts (chap. 17.) here referred to deserves special notice. When St. Paul reached Athens he found that it was wholly given to idolatry (kateivdwlon), an expression which 11 *Who is God in China?*—a powerful argument in favour of Shang-ti.

falls in all too well with the Roman satirist's remark that it was easier to find a god than a man in that city. Accordingly, the Apostle held constant discussions (dielevgeto), not only with the Jews and proselytes whom he found in the synagogue, ¹² but also with anybody whom he could meet with in the Agora. Here certain of the Epicureans, who were Atheists, and of the Stoics, who were Pantheists, fell in with him from day to day; ¹³ and while some spoke of him with utter scorn—his Gospel being 'foolishness' to them—others came to the conclusion that he was setting forth certain *demons* (A. V. 'gods') which were foreign to their city. By 'demons' these philosophers meant very much the same as the Mahommedans mean by their *genii*; their ideas about them would be very vague. Sometimes they seem to have been regarded as the souls of the departed, sometimes as guardian angels, sometimes as evil influences, sometimes as what we call *demi-gods*. ¹⁴

Here, then, St. Paul found himself confronted with idolatry and demon-worship, the two substitutes for the worship of the living God which are to be found amongst almost all the nations of the earth. Even the fetish of the African rainmaker is connected with a mysterious unseen power, which is supposed to work upon a man's life and possessions. The acknowledgment of such hidden influence harmonises all too readily with Pantheism, and is not inconsistent even with Atheism. A man may be a Positivist and yet a Spiritualist. He may, in profession at least, deny that there is a personal causa causarum, and yet may give way to a superstitious respect for certain shadowy powers, which are to him realities, and which exercise an appreciable influence on his thoughts and ways This arises from the necessity of his nature. His consciousness announces to him the reality of unseen and immaterial entities, though he does not care to proclaim the fact to the world. If he is highly civilised and scientific, he may dismiss these phantoms as creations of the imagination; but if he is a member of a barbarous and uncultivated tribe, from which the true idea of God has apparently died out, he will become the prey of the rainmaker, the conjurer, or the witch, by whose arts his superstition will be systematically developed. The fetish or object which he regards with awe, whether it be merely a bit of rag or a bundle of feathers,

becomes to him an embodiment of the dark and terrible side of his spiritual feelings. As long as the sun shines and the rain descends and the fruits of the earth abound,—as long as a man has health, and strength, and prosperity,—he cares little about fetish or demon, and still less about God; but when trouble comes he will follow the example of Jonah's mariners, who 'cried every man unto his god,' and will seek by magic or superstitious arts to avert the misfortunes which have befallen him, and to propitiate the evil spirit whom he has unwittingly offended. This sad story of human superstition is well known to every missionary who has laboured among rude tribes of idolaters; and it may help us to understand the state of things which Christianity has had to displace ever since its earliest promulgation.

But to return to St. Paul's speech at Athens. 'He seemeth,' said the sage, 'to be a setter forth of strange (*i.e.* foreign) demons.' ¹⁵ Accordingly, impelled by curiosity, they gather round the Apostle, and lead him out of the bustling Agora up the rock-cut steps by which we still mount to the Areopagus. There to his male and female audience, half-cynical, half-interested, the Apostle of the Gentiles delivered a model missionary address, and conferred a lustre on Athens which neither the oratory of Demosthenes, the statesmanship of Pericles, the philosophy of Plato, nor the art of Phidias can surpass. 'Athenians!' he seems to say, 'ye appear to me to be far too much given to ¹² The A. V. runs thus: 'Therefore disputed he in the Synagogue with the Jews, and with the devout persons; but there ought to be no comma after the word Jews. The sebovmenoi, or devout proselytes, went to the synagogue, where Paul doubtless discoursed in Greek. The R. V. is correct. ¹³ The imperfect tense is used throughout.

14 No distinction can be drawn between daivmwn and daimovnion; both were applied to the deity, to fortune, to the souls of the departed, and to genii or demigods, beings part mortal part divine (metaxu; qeou` te kai; qnhtou`) as Plato calls them (Symp. p. 202 d.). 15 The very charge made against Socrates (Xen. Mem. 1. 1. 2; Plato, Ap. 24 b.).

demon-fearing already; it is a mistake therefore to suppose that I have come to set forth more demons for your acceptance. My mission is a very different one; for whilst coming through your city, and inspecting the objects which you regard with reverence, I met with an altar on which was written, "T O G OD T HE U NKNOWN ." Besides the demons whom you fear, then, there is evidently a being called GOD, whom you regard with reverence, even though you are ignorant about His true nature. *This* is the Being whom I am setting forth to you.' ¹⁶

Having thus awakened the attention of his hearers, he concentrated their mind on the word GOD. 'The God who made the cosmos and all that is in it, He, being possessor and ruler of heaven and earth, cannot have His Presence confined within the minute space which human hands are able to compass round with walls (and here no doubt the speaker pointed to the buildings that lay at his feet), neither can He be ministered to (qerapeuvetai) by hands of mortal men, as if He had any necessities which they could relieve—seeing that it is He that is the giver of life in all its aspects to all men. The nations which dwell on the face of the whole earth have sprung from one source, and have been distributed through many ages, and among various countries, by His will and agency. And it is for them to seek God, ¹⁷ if haply they may feel Him ¹⁸ and find Him. And, after all, He is not far off from any single person among us, for it is through union with Him that we have life, movement, and even bare existence; as some of your own poets ¹⁹ have said, "For we are His offspring." Seeing, then, that there is such a relationship existing between God and man, we ought to know better than to suppose that the Deity (tov qei`on) can be really like a cleverly carved piece of stone or metal. If these things do not represent the real life of man, how can they possibly represent Him from whom that life flows?'

St. Paul's argument rested not on the name of God, but on the Divine operations and attributes. He knew full well that the word Theos did not convey the whole truth about the Divine Being to the mind of his hearers, and that Zeus was still further from being a fair representative of Elohim; but he confirmed what he had to say about the Theos who made the heaven and the earth by reference to two

hymns dedicated to Zeus, who was also described as maker of all things. He thus worked round to the original idea of Elohim, and laid the foundations of sound Gospel teaching on one of the noblest products of natural theology.

§ 6. Other Names for God.

Although the plural Elohim is ordinarily used for God, the singular form Eloah is found in fifty-seven passages, most of which are in the Book of Job. Only six times is Eloah applied to any but the true God.

The Aramaic form Elah is found thirty-seven times in Ezra, once in Jeremiah, and forty-six times in Daniel. Of the eighty-four passages where it occurs, seventy-two refer to the True God. The Assyrian form is Ilu.

The more simple and elementary form El , which is frequently adopted either alone or in dependence on another substantive, to express power or might, is used of the True God in 204

16 Kataggevllw; compare the xevnwn daimonivwn kataggeleu;" of v. 18.

17 Not 'the Lord' as A. V.

18 The point is somewhat obscured in the A. V. and R. V., which read, 'feel *after* him.' The verb yhlafavw means to 'handle' (1 John 1.1); hence, to feel an object in the dark. The nations were intended to have an *impression* of God's existence, though they were in darkness as to His real nature.

19 The hymns to Jupiter which he quotes were written by Cleanthes the Stoic, of Assos (300 B.C.), and by Aratus of Soli, near Tarsus (270 B.C.).

passages, and of others in eighteen passages. It is found especially in Job, the Psalms, and Isaiah.

The names El, Elah, Eloah, and Elohim seem to express the same idea, even if they are not all connected etymologically,—though it may prove that they are. All occur, together with Jehovah, in Deut. 32:15–19.

The plural of El is Elim, which is supposed to be used of false gods in Exod. 15:11; Ps. 29:1, 89:6; and Dan. 11:36; in each of which passages, however, the word may be rendered 'mighty ones.' Elimh is never used of the true God.

El is sometimes used in compound names, as El-Shaddai, rendered in the A. V. 'Almighty God,' Bethel, 'the house of God;' and in other cases it is used apparently to add force and sublimity to an idea, as when we read of 'mountains of El,' *i.e.* 'mighty mountains.'

The titles of the Messiah contained in Isa. 9:6, have been subjected to a good deal of criticism from Jewish and Gentile pens, partly, no doubt, because the name El occurs in the expression which our translators have rendered 'the mighty God.' In this passage we read, 'His name shall be called Wonderful, Counsellor.' These words may, perhaps, be taken in their connection with one another as a parallel to Isa. 28:29, where the same words in rather different forms are rendered, 'wonderful in counsel,' and applied to the Lord of Hosts. ²⁰ Again, 'His name shall be called the Mighty God.' In the LXX, Luther's, and other versions, we find this title broken up into two, and translated 'Mighty, Hero,' or 'Mighty, Powerful'; but the order of the Hebrew words is in favour of A. V., which is consistent with Isa. 10:21, and Jer. 32:18, where the expression reappears. The remaining title, The Everlasting Father, has been rendered in some recensions of the LXX and in the Vulgate the Father of the Coming Age, and in other versions the Father of Eternity; the last, which is the best rendering, when read in the light of the N.T., would signify that the Messiah was to be the Father, Spring, or Source of Everlasting Life to all the world. Lastly, as He was to be the

Father of Eternity, so was He to be called the Prince of Peace, one whose dominion should establish a holy peace (in all the fulness of meaning of that word) throughout the world.

§ 7. The Almighty.

The name Shaddai (ydv) is always rendered Almighty. The LXX renders it by the word qeov", kuvrio" and pantokravtwr, God, Lord, and Almighty. In five passages we find iJkanov", which we might translate All-sufficient. Jerome adopted the word Omnipotens, Almighty, and other versions have followed in his track.

The title Shaddai really indicates the fulness and riches of God's grace, and would remind the Hebrew reader that from God cometh every good and perfect gift,—that He is never weary of pouring forth His mercies upon His people, and that He is more ready to give than they are to receive. The word is connected with a root which signifies a breast, and hence the idea is similar to teat contained in our word exuberance. Perhaps the expressive word bountiful would convey the sense most exactly. ²¹ This rendering will be illustrated and confirmed by a reference to some of the passages in which Shaddai occurs, as they will be found specially to designate God as a Bountiful Giver. The first passage in which the word is found is Gen. 17:1, where we read that 'Jehovah appeared to Abram, and said, I am El-Shaddai; walk before me, and be thou perfect: And I will

20 The word for *wonderful* is literally *a wonder* (see Isa. 29:14). The verb related with it is constantly used of God's *wonderful* works. Sometimes it signifies that which is *hidden*, or *difficult*, as in Gen. 18:14, 'is anything too *hard* for the Lord;' Jud. 13:18, 'Why askest thou my name, seeing it is *secret*?' Perhaps *wonderful* would be a better rendering here, as the cognate verb occurs in the next verse, where we read that the angel did *wondrously*.

21 Compare the rendering *allgenugsame* in the Berlenburger Bible.

make my covenant between me and thee, and will multiply thee exceedingly ... and thou shalt be a father of a multitude of nations. Neither shall thy name any more be called Abram, but thy name shall be Abraham; for a father of a multitude of nations have I made thee. And I will make thee exceedingly fruitful, and I will make nations of thee, and kings shall come out of thee.'

The title is next found in Gen. 28:3, where Isaac says to Jacob, 'El-Shaddai bless thee, and make thee fruitful, and multiply thee, that thou mayest be an assemblage of peoples.'

The third passage is Gen. 35:11, where God says unto Jacob, 'I am El-Shaddai: be fruitful and multiply; a nation and an assemblage of nations shall be of thee, and kings shall come out of thy loins' (compare Gen. 48:3).

The fourth passage is Gen. 43:14, where Jacob, in the intensity of his anxiety on behalf of his youngest son whom he is about to send into Egypt, throws himself upon the tender compassion of the All-Bountiful God, and says, 'El-Shaddai give you tender mercy before the man, that he may send away your other brother and Benjamin.'

There is only one other place in Genesis in which this name is found, namely, Gen. 49:25, where Jacob is blessing his son Joseph, and says, 'From the El of thy father, there shall be help to thee; and with Shaddai, there shall be blessings to thee, blessings of heaven above, blessings of the deep that lieth under, blessings of the breasts (here the word Shad is used in its original sense), and blessings of the womb.'

These passages appear to establish the fact that whilst the name El sets forth the Might ²² of God, the title Shaddai points to the inexhaustible stores of His Bounty.

Passing by the reference to this name in Exod. 6:3, which will be discussed in a

later section, it may be noticed that Shaddai is only once again used in composition with El, namely, in Ezek. 10:5; without El it is used twice by Balaam (Num. 24:4, 16), twice by Naomi (Ruth 1:20, 21), twice in the Psalms (68:14,. 91:1), and three times by the prophets (Isa. 13:6; Ezek. 1:24; Joel 1:15). These are the only places in which it is to be found in the Bible except in the Book of Job, in which we meet with it thirty-one times.

§ 8. The Lord.

The word usually rendered 'Lord,' or 'my Lord,' is Adonai (ynda). This is a special form of Adon, a word which signifies Master, and which exactly answers to the Greek Kuvrio". Adon is sometimes rendered Sir in the A. V., as in Gen. 43:20; Owner, as in 1 Kings 16:24; but generally Master, as in Gen. 24:9. The plural form Adonim and its plural construct form Adonei are used in the same sense; but when the word is applied to God, the form Adonai is adopted. The termination of the word, as in the case of Shaddai; may mark an ancient plural form, but this is uncertain. In the A. V., as in other versions, Adonai is frequently rendered 'my Lord.' The title indicates the truth that God is the owner of each member of the human family, and that He consequently claims the unrestricted obedience of all. It is first used of God in Gen. 15:2, 8, and 18:3, &c. It is rare in the Pentateuch and historical Books, but frequent in the Psalms, Isaiah, Jeremiah, Ezekiel, Daniel, and Amos.

The words which we read in the 110th Psalm and the first verse, if literally translated, would run thus:—' Jehovah said unto my Master ²³ sit thou on my right hand until I make thine enemies thy

- 22 When we read of the Mighty One of Israel, or the Mighty God of Jacob or Israel, the word for Mighty is usually Abir or Avir (ryba), a word marking strength and excellence. sometimes gadól (lwdg
-) great, is used, *e.g.* in Deut. 7:21; and in one or two eases the Hebrew name for a Rock is used to set forth the firmness of the Divine power: see for examples, Isa. 30:29. The 50th Psalm begins with the three names El, Elohim, Jehovah (A. V.

The Mighty God, even the LORD).

footstool;' and our Saviour's comment might be rendered, 'If David call him Master, how is he his Son?'

The expression 'the Lord GOD,' which first occurs in Gen. 15:2, and is frequently found in the

O.T., especially in the prophetical Books, is literally 'my Lord Jehovah.' When we meet with the title 'Lord of Lords,' as in Deut. 10:17, the words are literally 'master of masters,' *i.e.* Divine master of all those who possess or obtain authority.

In the Psalms and elsewhere there is found that significant title which the apostle Thomas gave to the Lord Jesus when he had optical and sensible demonstration that He was risen from the dead. Thus in Ps. 35:23, the sacred writer uses the double title Elohai and Adonai, 'my God and my Lord;' and in Ps. 38:15, we find Adonai Elohai, 'my Lord, my God.'

The claim upon man's service which is set forth in the title Adonai is well illustrated by Mal. 1:6, where Jehovah says, 'A son honoureth his father, and a servant his master (or masters); if, then, I be a father, where is mine honour ~ and if I be a master (Adonim ²⁴), where is my reverential fear?'

§ 9. The Most High.

The Hebrew title rendered 'Most High' is <Elion (÷wyl[), for which the LXX usually has the reading oJ u}yisto", the Highest. The word <Elion, however, is not confined to this sacred use. It is found in Gen. 40:17; 1 Kings 9:8; 2 Chron. 7:21; 2 Kings 18:17; 2 Chron. 23:20, 32:30; Neh. 3:25; Jer. 20:2, and 36:10.

This title is first applied to God in the account of Melehizedek (Gen. 14:18–22); it is used by Balaam, who 'knew the knowledge of the Most High' (Num. 24:16); and Moses adopts it when he speaks of the Most High dividing the earth among

the nations (Deut. 32:8; compare Acts 17:26). It occurs also several times in the Psalms, *e.g.* Ps. 18:13, 'The Highest gave his voice;' Ps. 78:35, 'They remembered that God was their Rock, and the High God their Redeemer.' In Ps. 89:27, this title is applied to the Messiah:—'I will make him my first-born, higher than the kings of the earth.' When we read of the Most High God in Micah 6:6, the Hebrew Marom (µwrm), exalted, is used; compare Ps. 99:2, 113:4, 138:6; and Isa. 57:15, where a simpler form of the same word is rendered High, and applied to God.

§ 10. Jehovah.

All the titles by which the living and true God was made known to Israel have now been brought under consideration with the exception of one, namely, Jehovah (hwhy), which occurs about 5500 times in the O.T. This name has been preserved by our translators in a few passages, but the word L ORD, spelt in small capitals, has usually been substituted for it. The LXX set a precedent for this course by almost invariably adopting the word Kuvrio", Lord, as a rendering, the only exception being Prov. 29:26, where despovth", Ruler or Master, is found.

The shorter form, Jah, occurs in Exod. 15:6, and 17:16, in each of which passages our translators have rendered it L ORD; it is also found a few times in Isaiah, and in thirty-five passages in the Psalms, the earliest instances being Ps. 77:11, and 89:8. We are familiar with it in the expression Hallelujah, *i.e.* Praise Jah, also in compound names such as Elijah and Jehoshua.

It is a strange fact, with respect to the word Jehovah, that critics should differ as to its

- 23 According to the present Masoretic punctuation the word is in the singular—Adoni, not Adonai.
- 24 Some MSS. here read Adonai.

pronunciation, its origin, and its meaning. The first difficulty has arisen from the mystery with which the Jews have always surrounded this sacred and (as they hold) incommunicable name; but we may rest content with the traditional pronunciation of the word until there is stronger reason than appears at present for the substitution of Jahveh , or of some other form. The Assyrians represent it in Israelitish names by the forms Yahu and Yahava (Sayce). The doubt about the signification of the name is owing probably rather to the finiteness of the human understanding than to any uncertainty as to the revelation of Jehovah contained in Scripture. ²⁵

Whatever may be the opinion about Elohim , it is generally agreed that Jehovah is not a generic or class name, but a personal or proper name. Maimonides says that all the names of God which occur in Scripture are derived from his works except one, and that is Jehovah ; and this is called 'the plain name,' because it teaches plainly and unequivocally of the substance of God. A Scotch divine has said, 'In the name Jehovah the Personality of the Supreme is distinctly expressed. It is everywhere a proper name, denoting the Person of God, and Him only; whence Elohim partakes more of the character of a common noun, denoting usually, indeed, but not necessarily or uniformly, the Supreme. The Hebrew may say *the* Elohim , the true God, in opposition to all false Gods; but he never says *the* Jehovah , for Jehovah is the name of the true God only. He says again and again *my god* , but never *my* Jehovah , for when he says "my God" he means Jehovah . He speaks of *the God of Israel* , but never of *the* Jehovah *of Israel* , for there is no other Jehovah . He speaks of *the living God* , but never of *the living* Jehovah , for he cannot conceive of Jehovah as other than living.' ²⁶

The meaning, and, in all probability, the etymology ²⁷ of this name, is to be looked for in Exod. 3:14, where, in answer to the question of Moses as to the name of the Elohim who was addressing him, the Lord said to Moses, 'I A M T HAT I A M' ²⁸—'Thus shalt thou say unto the children of Israel, I A M hath sent me unto you ... Jehovah , the Elohim of your fathers—of Abraham, and of Isaac, and of Jacob, hath sent me unto you; this is my Name for ever, and this is my

Memorial ²⁹ unto all generations.' Again, in the sixth chapter (verses 2, 3), we read, 'I am Jehovah, and I appeared unto Abraham, and unto Isaac, and unto Jacob, by (the name of) El-Shaddai, and, as regards my name Jehovah, I was not fully known by them; yet, verily, I have established (or rather, taking the tense as a prophetic future,—I will establish) my covenant with them to give them the land of Canaan.' These two passages taken together elucidate the following points: first, that though the 25 In some foreign translations of the Bible the name Jehovah is rendered *The Eternal*. Perhaps there is no word which, on the whole, conveys the meaning of the name so well; but, after all, the truth which it represents is too many-sided to be rendered by any one word. ₂₆

See Fairbairn's Dict. of the Bible, art. Jehovah.

27 There has been much difference of opinion as to the formation of the word; but it may be noted that the v introduced into the name may be illustrated by the in the name of Eve . 28 The words above rendered 'I AM THAT I AM' are almost unapproachable, after all. Owing to the vagueness of the Hebrew tense (which is the same in both parts of the sentence) we might render them in various ways, but none are better than our own, denoting as they do a Personal, Continuous, Absolute, Self-determining Existence. It ought to be observed that the Hebrew word rendered I AM occurs in several important prophetic passages, in which it has generally been rendered 'I will be,' Thus, in this same chapter of Exodus, and the 12th verse, we read, 'Certainly *I will be* with thee;' so in Gen. 26:3. '*I* will be with thee and will bless thee; and in Gen. 31:3, 'I will be with thee.' In these and similar passages we might render the words 'I AM with thee.' They mark an eternal, unchanging Presence. Compare the identical words used by the Lord (Jesus Christ?) in Acts 18:10. 'I AM with thee, and no man shall set on thee to hurt thee;' also John 8:58, 'Before Abraham came into being I AM .' 29 Compare Hos. 12:5, 'Jehovah is his memorial, i.e. the name by which His attributes were always to be brought to mind.

name Jehovah was in frequent use as the title of the Elohim of the Patriarchs, yet its full significance was not revealed to them; secondly, that it was to be viewed in connection with the fulfilment of God's covenant and promise that now, after the lapse of some hundred years, the true import of the name was to be unfolded by the manifestation of a personal living Being, working in behalf of Israel, so as to fulfil the promises made to the Fathers. Thus the sublime idea of an unchanging, ever-living God, remaining faithful to His word through many generations, began to dawn upon the mind of Israel, and that which was hoped for, and sealed up in the Name during the Patriarchal age, began to work itself out into a substantial reality. God's personal existence, the continuity of His dealings with man, the unchangeableness of His promises, and the whole revelation of His redeeming mercy, gather round the name Jehovah. 'Thus saith Jehovah,' not 'thus saith Elohim,' is the general introduction to the prophetic messages. It is as Jehovah that God became the Saviour of Israel, and as Jehovah He saves the world; and this is the truth embodied in the name of Jesus, which is literally Jehovah - Saviour.

It is supposed by some critics that the contributors to the early Books of the Bible were of different schools of thought, some believing in Elohim , some in Jehovah , and some in both. This is no place for discussing such a theory. Undoubtedly some writers preferred to use one name and some another. This is demonstrated by a comparison of parallel texts in Kings and Chronicles. ³⁰ Taking the Books as they stand, the important point to notice is that the various names of God are used by the sacred writers advisedly, so as to bring out the various aspects of His character and dealings. Thus, the first chapter of Genesis sets forth Creation as an act of power; hence Elohim is always used. The second chapter, which properly begins at the fourth verse, brings Elohim into communion with man; hence He is called Jehovah Elohim . In the third chapter it may be observed that the Serpent avoids the use of the name Jehovah In the fourth chapter the offerings of Cain and Abel are made to Jehovah , and this is the case with the whole sacrificial system, both under the Patriarchal and the Levitical dispensation. In many cases the offerings to Jehovah are accompanied by the calling on His name (see Gen. 12:8,

13:4); and probably from the earliest days the Name of Jehovah was taken as the embodiment of that hope for the human race which found its expression in sacrifice and in prayer (see Gen. 4:26).

Although man had fallen, Jehovah had not forsaken him; His Spirit still strove with man (Gen. 6:3

), but the judicial aspect of His nature had to be exercised in punishment, as we see from the history of the Deluge, the confusion of tongues, and the destruction of Sodom and Gomorrha. In Gen. 9:26, Jehovah is called the God of Shem; and in 14:22, He is identified by Abram with El-<Elion, 'the Most High God,' who is 'the Possessor of heaven and earth.'

In Gen. 15:1, we are introduced to the expression which afterwards became so familiar, 'the Word of Jehovah;' and throughout that remarkable chapter the name Elohim does not occur, because it is the name Jehovah which God adopts when making His communications and covenants with man. In chap. 16 'the angel of Jehovah' is spoken of for the first time, and appears to be identical with Jehovah Himself; He is also described by Abraham as 'the Judge of all the earth' (chap. 18:25).

The Patriarchs are frequently represented as worshipping and holding spiritual communication with Jehovah, who seems to have revealed Himself in a human form to these privileged children of Adam, whether through visions or otherwise (see Gen. 18:1, 2; 28:13–17; 32:24–30).

In Exod. 24:10, we are told of the Elders that 'they saw the God of Israel ... and did eat and drink.' What a marvellous sight, and what a mysterious feast is here recorded! But this God of Israel must have been Jehovah, whom Jacob or Israel worshipped, and who was now revealing Himself to fulfil the promises made to the fathers. ³¹ 30 See *Deuterographs*.

31 The LXX had not the courage to translate this literally, but rendered it, 'They saw the place where the God of Israel stood.'

Jehovah is represented as in constant communication with Moses; and when He threatened that He would not go up to the land of Canaan with the people because of their idolatry, the law-giver took the sacred tent which already existed (for there must have been worship from the beginning), and pitched it without the camp, and 'the cloudy pillar descended, and stood at the door of the tabernacle, and talked with Moses. And Jehovah spake unto Moses face to face, as a man speaketh unto his friend' (Exod. 33:9–11). Then it was that Moses besought this august Being to show him His glory, and His merciful answer was given and the revelation made: 'Jehovah, the merciful and gracious El, long-suffering, and abounding in loving-kindness and truth. Keeping loving-kindness for thousands, pardoning iniquity and transgression and sin, and that will by no means hold men guiltless; visiting the iniquity of the fathers upon the children, and upon the children's children, unto the third and fourth generation' (Exod. 34:6, 7).

Here, then, we have the full meaning of the name Jehovah, and we find that it sums up both the merciful and the judicial aspects of the Divine character, so that while the title Elohim sets forth God's creative and sustaining Power, Shaddal His Bounty, and <Elion His Sublimity, the name Jehovah sets forth His essential and unswerving principles of mercy and judgment, and presents Him as a Father, a Friend, and a Moral Governor.

§ 11. The Lord of Hosts.

The title Jehovah is often found embodied in the expression 'the L ORD of Hosts' and 'the L ORD

of Sabaoth,' the former of which is a translation of the latter. ³² This title first appears in 1 Sam. 1:3. The LXX sometimes retains Sabawvq (compare Rom. 9:29; James 5:4), and sometimes renders it oJ Kuvrio" tw`n dunavmewn, and sometimes pantokravtwr, Almighty. Occasionally the name Elohim is substituted for Jehovah in this connection, as in Ps. 80:7, 14, 19; Amos 5:27.

In Exod. 12:41, the Israelites are called 'the Hosts of the L ORD,' and hence it has been supposed that the title above mentioned signifies the captain or defender of the hosts of Israel. Others regard the expression as referring to God's governments of the 'host of heaven,' *i.e.* the stars; whilst others connect it with the fact that God is attended by hosts of angels who are ever ready to do His pleasure.

This title is often used in the minor prophets, and with especial reference to God's majesty, sometimes also with reference to His care for Israel, as, for example, in 2 Sam. 7:26; Ps. 46:7, 48:8; Zech. 2:9. Probably the name would indicate to a Jew that God was a Being who had many material and spiritual agencies at His command, and that the universe of matter and the world of mind were not only created, but also ordered and marshalled, ³³ by Him; who 'telleth the number of the stars, and calleth them all by their names' (Ps. 147:4; compare Isa. 40:26).

§ 12. The Angel of the Lord.

The name Jehovah, again, is always used in the familiar expression, 'the angel of the Lord.' This

32 The French translation (Ostervald) has *l' Eternel des armecs*, hence, no doubt, is derived the questionable title 'the God of battles' Luther has *Herr Zebaoth*. Where we read of 'the God of Forces,' in Dan. 11:38, a different word is used, which literally means *strength*. Dr. Sayce compares the Assyrian title Bil Kissati, 'lord of legions.'

33 The *collocation*, as distinct from the *creation*, of the heavenly bodies, is dwelt upon with great forge by Dr. Chalmers in his Bridgewater Treatise.

title, in the opinion of some scholars, specially belongs to the Messiah. The late Dr. McCaul, in his Notes on Kimchi's Commentary on Zechariah, briefly states the reasons which led him to this conclusion. First, as to the word Malac (ûalm), he reminds us that it simply signifies a messenger, ³⁴ leaving the rank and nature of the person so designated out of the question Thus in Gen. 32:1, 3, the word is applied first to God's angels, and, secondly, to Jacob's messengers. Then, as to the full expression Malac Jehovah, he opposes the opinion occasionally advanced, that it should be rendered 'the Angel Jehovah .' Again, he opposes the translation adopted by modern Jews, 'an angel of the Lord,' though it is occasionally sanctioned by the A. V., as in Jud. 2:1. The absence of the article is no guide here, because the word angel in regimen, i.e. is limited or defined by the word which follows it; and though the second word under such circumstances generally has a definite article, yet this would be impossible in the present instance, owing to the fact that hwhy (Jehovah) never receives one. Dr. McCaul thus reaches the conclusion that 'the angel of the L ORD' is the right rendering, and he affirms that one and the same person is always designated thereby, as the expression is never used in the plural number. He then proceeds to show that 'the angel of God' occasionally spoken of in the singular number is the same person as 'the angel of the L ORD .' This he does by citing Jud. 6:20, 21, and also Jud.13:3, 9. In Gen.16:7–13, 'the angel of the L ORD' is identified with 'the L ORD ' (i.e. Jehovah) and with El. The same is the case in Jud. 6:11–16, and in Josh. 6:2. A still more remarkable identification is found in Zech. 3:2, when the angel of Jehovah is not only spoken of as Jehovah Himself, but is also represented as saying, 'Jehovah rebuke thee.' But the writer proceeds to discuss Gen. 31:13, where 'the angel of Jehovah' says of Himself, 'I am the God of Bethel, where thou anointedst the pillar, and vowedst the vow unto me.' On referring to the Vision at Bethel, we read that this Being said, 'I am Jehovah, the God of Abraham thy father, and the God of Isaac.' Dr. McCaul justly adds, 'Where the law of Moses sets before us a Being who says of Himself that He is the God of Bethel, and that He is the object of Jacob's worship, what else can we conclude but that He is Very God, especially as the great object of this law throughout is to enforce the unity of God?' A similar inference may be gathered

from Exod. 3:4–6.

The above arguments prove that in *some* ³⁵ cases there is a remarkable identification between Jehovah and the Agent who carries into effect the Divine purposes. When our Lord said, 'My Father worketh hitherto, and I work,' this great truth appears to have been in His mind; and it almost dawned upon the minds of His hearers, for we read that 'the Jews sought the more to kill him, because he said that God was his Father, making himself equal with God' (John 5:17, 18). The whole mission of Christ was regarded and set forth by Him as the doing the Works of God, so that He was practically, what the O.T. indicates that He was to be, the Angel or Agent of Jehovah, giving effect and embodiment to the will of His Father. Moreover, as the Priest was the agent (A. V. messenger) of the Lord of Hosts under the old covenant (Mal. 2:7), so Christ became the True

Priest or Agent who should bring about a more spiritual system of worship, and a more close union between God and man. 34 More literally, an agent or worker. The word is found in another form in Gen. 2:1, of God's works; there is, therefore, nothing unbecoming in applying the title to a Divine Being. Dr. Sayce points out that in some Assyrian inscriptions Nebo is called the Sukkul, or messenger of Bel Merodach. 35 The importance of making this qualification will be seen at once by pursuing the subject into the N. T. The 'Angel of the Lord,' in Matt. 2:13, cannot well be identified with 'the young child' in the same verse. It may be noticed, however, that here (as in ver.

young child' in the same verse. It may be noticed, however, that here (as in ver. 19, chap. 28:2, 5, and elsewhere) the word Angel has no definite article. In the O. T. we must look to the context to find out whether an angel is meant, or whether the Angel or Agent of the Divine Will is referred to. In Zech. 1:12, there is evidently a distinction of persons between the Angel of the Lord and the Lord of Hosts; the former intercedes with the latter in behalf of Israel. See also Jude 13.

§ 13. How Translators deal with the Name Jehovah.

It has been urged with some force, that the name Jehovah ought to have been adopted more generally in translations of the Bible, whereas it is confined to a very few. ³⁶ Putting aside the difficulty as to the right spelling of the word, it may be observed that the LXX had set an example before our Lord's time which it would not be easy to depart from now. If that version had retained the word, or had even used one Greek word for Jehovah and another for Adonai, such usage would doubtless have been retained in the discourses and arguments of the N.T. Thus our Lord, in quoting the 110th Psalm, instead of saying, 'The Lord said unto my Lord,' might have said, 'Jehovah said unto Adoni.' How such a course would have affected theological questions it is not easy to surmise; nor is it needful to attempt any conjectures on the subject, as the stubborn fact remains before us that Adonai and Jehovah are alike rendered L ORD in the Septuagint, and that the LXX usage has led to the adoption of the same word in the N.T. It is certainly a misfortune, and cannot easily be rectified without making a gulf between the O.T. and the N.T. How can it be got over?

Supposing a Christian scholar were engaged in translating the Greek Testament into Hebrew, he would have to consider, each time the word Kuvrio" occurred, whether there was anything in the context to indicate its true Hebrew representative; and this is the difficulty which would arise in translating the N.T. into all languages if the title Jehovah had been allowed to stand in the O.T. The Hebrew Scriptures would be a guide in many passages: thus, wherever the expression 'the angel of the Lord' occurs, we know that the word Lord represents Jehovah; a similar conclusion as to the expression 'the word of the Lord' would be arrived at, if the precedent set by the O.T. were followed; so also in the case of the title 'the Lord of Hosts.' Wherever, on the contrary, the expression 'My Lord' or 'Our Lord' occurs, we should know that the word Jehovah would be inadmissible, and Adonai or Adoni would have to be used. But many passages would remain for which no rules could be framed.

It is to be noticed in connection with this subject, that there are several passages in the O.T. referring to Jehovah which are adopted in the N.T. as fulfilled in the Lord Jesus Christ. Thus, in Joel 2:32, we read, 'Whosoever shall call on the Name of Jehovah shall be saved;' but these words are applied to Jesus Christ in Rom. 10:13. St. John (chap. 12:41), after quoting a certain passage from Isaiah, which there refers to Jehovah, affirms that it was a vision of the Glory of Christ (see Isa. 6:9, 10). In Isa. 40:3, the preparation of the way of Jehovah is spoken of, but John the Baptist adopts the passage as referring to the preparation of the way of the Messiah. In Matt 3:1, there seems to be a very important identification of Jehovah with the Messiah for we read, 'Jehovah, whom ye (profess to) seek, shall suddenly come to his temple, even the angel of the covenant ³⁷ whom ye (profess to) delight in.' In Rom. 9:33, and 1 Pet. 2:6-8, Christ is described as 'a stone of 36 The Spanish translator De Reyna preserved Jehovah throughout the O. T., and his successor, Valera, though his version has since been altered, did the same. De Reyna defended the adoption of this course in his Preface at some length. Calvin also uses the word Jehovah in his Latin translation, and many modern translators have done the same. 37 There is some difficulty about this passage. It would seem that the Old Covenant is spoken of. Who, then, was its Angel? Possibly there is a reference to Exod. 23:20–23, 'Behold, I send an angel before thee to keep thee in the way, and to bring thee into the place which I have prepared. Regard him (not beware of him, A. V.), and obey his voice, provoke him not; for he will not pardon your transgressions: for My Name is in him.' The coming of the Messiah was evidently to be the manifestation of One who had for a long time been in charge of the People of Israel. See Matt. 23:37

stumbling and a rock of offence,' titles which appear to be given to Jehovah in Isa. 8:13, 14. Again, in Isa. 45:23–25. Jehovah says, 'Unto me every knee shall bow ... in Jehovah shall all the seed of Israel be justified.' But in Phil. 2:9, we read that God 'hath highly exalted Christ Jesus, and hath given him the name which is above every name, that in the name of Jesus every knee should bow, and every tongue confess that Jesus Christ is Lord (surely Jehovah), to the glory of God the Father.'

It would be deeply interesting to show how each of the names of God finds its embodiment in Him who is 'the Word of the Father.' Thus, as Elohim , Christ exercised Divine power, and also communicated supernatural powers to others. As Shaddai , Christ was all-sufficient, possessed of unsearchable riches, and always ready to pour forth His benefits on man. As <Elion , Christ was exalted in moral and spiritual nature, and also, as to position, made higher than the heavens. Lastly, as Jehovah , Christ is 'the same yesterday, today, and for ever,' ready to save to the uttermost, in close communion with His people, fulfilling all the Divine promises, and appointed to be 'Judge of all the earth.' 'I am Alpha and Omega, the beginning and the end, saith the Lord, which is, and which was, and which is to come, the Almighty' (Rev. 1:8).

CHAPTER III.

THE NAMES OF MAN.

I F it is strange that man, gifted though he is with great intelligence, should need a special revelation of the nature and character of his Maker, still more surprising is it that he should have to learn from the pages of Scripture the story of his own origin and destiny. Human nature, as portrayed in the Bible, is full of incongruities which illustrate at once the greatness and the littleness of man, his nearness to God, and his fellowship with the dust. The very names of man used

by the Hebrew writers indicate the anomalies of his condition, for the principal words which are used represent him in four apparently inconsistent aspects:—as Adam , he is of the earth, earthy; as Ish , he is endued with immaterial and personal existence; as Enosh , he is weak or incurable; and as Gever , he is mighty and noble.

§ 1. The Name Adam.

The root of the word Adam (μ da) signifies to be red or ruddy, and is the ordinary word used for that purpose. It designates Esau's red lentil pottage, and gives him his name, Edom (Gen. 25:30). It is used of the rams' skins dyed red in Exod. 25:5, al. It marks the colour of the red heifer in Num. 19:2, and of the red horses in Zech. 1:8. It is the word used of the sardius stone or ruby in Exod. 28:7, and Ezek. 28:13; and of the ruddy tint of the flesh of the human being in Gen. 25:25; 1 Sam. 16:12; and Cant. 5:10. In 2 Kings 3:22, it is applied to the water which .was as red as blood; and in Isa. 63:2, to the red garments which He wore who came from Edom . Nor should we omit to notice that the ordinary Hebrew word for blood (Dam) is possibly connected with the same root. 1

Another form which the word takes is Adamah, the earth or soil, which may have received its

1 See Gen. 9:6, where the two words are found together. Prof. Sayce points out a possible relationship in Assyrian between Adamu, man, and Adman, sanctuary.

name from its reddish tint. We here see why the first man was called Adam , and why the human race is generally called by the same name in the Hebrew Scriptures, Homo ex humo .Accordingly we read in Gen. 2:7, that 'the Lord God formed man (Adam) of the dust of the ground (Adamah).' 2

The word Adam is used in the O.T. for a human being in about 460 places. It is usually rendered in the LXX a[nqrwpo", a human being, which occurs as its substitute in 411 passages; ajnhvr, a man, is found only eighteen times, of which fifteen are in the Book of Proverbs; in Prov. 20:24, qnhtov", mortal, is used; in the Book of Job, brotov", mortal, is adopted four times; and in Jer. 32:20, we find ghgenhv", earth-born, which is the closest translation of any.

The word is generally used throughout the O.T. to signify human nature or the human race generally, as contrasted with God above, or with the brute creation below. Thus it is used with great fitness in Exod. 33:20, 'There shall no man see me and live,' and in Matt 3:8, 'Will a man rob God?' It is the word ordinarily used in the expression 'children of men' (*e.g.* in Gen. 11:5). It is also found in the title 'son of man,' which occurs fifty-seven times in Ezekiel and once in Daniel (8:17); compare also Ps. 8:4; Job 25:6, 35:8, *al.* In all such passages special stress is laid upon the fact that the person thus designated is a child of Adam by descent, one of the great family of man, with a body framed of earthy material. The Lord Jesus frequently used this title with respect to Himself in order to teach His disciples that though He 'came down from heaven,' and was 'sent from God,' yet He was in very deed and truth a man. ³

A few passages in which the word Adam is used for man deserve special notice. In Dan. 10:16, 18, we read of 'one like the similitude or appearance of a man'— *like* an Adam, and yet not an Adam, because not yet incarnate. In Eze. 1:5, 1:8, 1:10, and 10:8, 14, we meet with a description of living creatures with 'the likeness of a man,' with 'the hands of a man,' and with 'the face of a man;' and 'upon the likeness of the throne was the likeness as of the appearance of a man above upon it;' and this, we are told, was 'the appearance of the likeness of the

glory of the L ORD ' (Ezek. 1:26, 28; see also chaps. 3:23, and 10:4). It may be inferred that the Being whom Ezekiel thus saw in his vision was represented in human form but clothed with Divine attributes—not yet 'a son of Adam ,' but 'One *like* a son of Adam .'

These remarkable passages indicate that human nature is intended to occupy a very high position in the scale of Creation, and that human nature was originally so constituted as to be capable of becoming the dwelling-place of the Most High. They also prepared the mind for the truth set forth by St. John, who thus wrote of the Lord Jesus:—'The Word was made flesh, and dwelt (or *tabernacled*) among us (and we beheld his glory, the glory as of the only begotten of the Father), full of grace and truth.' What Ezekiel saw in vision John saw in reality; his eyes looked upon and his hands handled the Word of Life.

Two other passages have often attracted the attention of students. In 2 Sam. 7. there is recorded, first, the promise of God to keep an unfailing covenant with the seed of David, whose throne should be established for ever; and secondly, David's expression of thankfulness on account of this 2 It may also perhaps be inferred that primeval man was of a ruddy colour. Lanci's translation of the word Adam was Il Rossicante. It is not always easy to determine when the word Adam should be regarded as a proper name, and when as a generic title. In Job 31:33, we read of a man hiding his transgression as Adam, a remarkable reference to the story of the fall; but in Hos. 6:7, where the same form is found, our translators have put into the text 'they like men have transgressed the covenant, and have banished the name Adam to the margin. But see R. V. 3 It is sometimes asked, How can a Person be at the same time God and the Son of God? The answer partly lies in the parallel question, How can a Person be at the same time Man and the Son of Man? Christ was not the son of any individual man, but was a partaker of human nature; and this was what He signified by the title 'Son of Man.' Similarly, by the title 'Son of God' He taught that He was a partaker of Deity.

promise. In the opening of his song of praise (vv. 18, 19) he says, 'Who am I, O Lord God? and what is my house, that thou hast brought me hitherto? And this was yet a small thing in thy sight, O Lord God; but thou hast spoken also of thy servant's house for a great while to come. And is this the manner of man, O Lord God?' The parallel passage (1 Chron. 17:17) runs thus: 'For thou hast also spoken of thy servant's house for a great while to come, and hast regarded me according to the estate of a man of high degree.' The word translated manner in the one passage and estate in the other, is torah 4 which is generally rendered 'law.' The first passage might be rendered, 'And this is the law (or order) of the man,' and the second, 'Thou hast regarded me according to the law (or order) of the man from on high.' Some versions have rendered these passages so as to bring out more distinctly a reference to the Messiah. Thus, in Luther's version of 2 Sam. 7:19, we read, 'That is a way of a man, who is God the Lord;' 5 whilst his rendering of 1 Chron. 17:17, is, 'Thou hast looked upon me after the order (or form) of a man who is the Lord God on High.' 6 The words are grammatically capable of this rendering; but it is more in accordance with the context, and also with the structure of the passage, to regard the name of the Lord God as in the vocative case, in accordance with the rendering given by our translators. (See R. V. on Samuel.)

§ 2. The Word Ish.

The second name for man which is to be considered is Ish (vya). The original meaning of this word is doubtful. It is often supposed to be connected with Enosh (on which see below); and this theory receives a certain amount of confirmation from the fact that the plural of the latter word has almost always been used instead of the proper plural of Ish. Others incline to the supposition that the word may bear some relationship to the verb—if it may be called a verb—Yesh (vy),—a root similar to the Latin esse, and to the English *is*. Others, again, connect it with the word Ashash, to found or make firm; or with the kindred form, Ashah

These words may all spring from a common source.

The first passage in which Ish occurs is Gen. 2:23, where Adam said, 'This is now bone from my bone, and flesh from my flesh; she shall be called woman (Ishah), because she was taken out of man (Ish).' 7 Although great names may be cited to the contrary, there seems to be no valid reason for departing from the implied derivation of Ishah from Ish. ⁸ The word Ishah , being first used by man of himself in contradistinction to a second being of his own kind and springing from him, must represent some personal feeling of a kind to which Adam had hitherto been a stranger. Instead of being isolated and without a fellow, having God far above him, and the beasts of the earth below him, Adam found that he had a companion of a nature congenial to his own, 'a help,' as Scripture says, 'meet for him;' there was an *I* and a *Thou*, a personal relationship between two *selves* or *existences*, an Ish and an Ishah, the one springing from the other, and reflecting the other's nature—the same, yet distinct.

But whatever may be the origin of the word Ish, its usage is very plain, and is illustrated by the fact that the LXX renders it by ajnhvr in about 1083 passages, and by a[nqrwpo" only 450 times. Ish is rightly translated a man as contrasted with a woman; a husband ⁹ as contrasted with a wife; a master as contrasted with a servant; a great and mighty man as contrasted with a poor and lowly one.

- 4 The word in Chronicles is spelt Tor, and occurs in this form nowhere else.
- 5 Das ist eine Weise eines Menschen, der Gott der Herr ist .
- 6 Du hast angesehen mich als in der Gestalt eines Menschen, der in der Höhe Gott der Herr ist .
- ⁷ The Vulgate keeps up the relationship between Ish and Ishah by rendering them Vir and Virago .
- 8 The Vulgate keeps up the relationship between Ish and Ishah by rendering them Vir and Virago .

9 The word itself appears in Hos. 2:16, 'Thou shalt call me Ishi,' that is, My Husband.

Ish is often used with qualifying nouns, as in Exod. 4:10, 'a man of words.' It sometimes implies greatness or eminence, and is thrown into contrast with Adam . Thus, in Ps. 49:2, the words 'low and high' are literally 'children of Adam and children of Ish;' Ps. 62:9, 'men of low degree (children of Adam) are vanity, and men of high degree (children of Ish) are a lie;' so also in Isa. 2:9, 5:15, and 31:8.

The word is often used in the sense of each or every one, *e.g.* Joel 2:7, 'They shall march every one on his ways.' It is used in the Hebrew idiom 'a man to his brother,' which signifies 'one to another,' as it is rendered in Exod. 25:20; Ezek. 1:11, &c., where reference is made to the wings of the living creature touching each other. The feminine form, Ishah, is used in exactly the same way. Thus we read in Exod. 26:3, 'The five curtains shall be coupled together, one to another;' literally, 'a *woman* to her *sister*' Probably the much disputed passage, Lev. 18:18, which is so frequently discussed in relation to the marriage with a deceased wife's sister; ought to be rendered in accordance with this idiomatic form of expression.

The word is constantly used in such compound expressions as 'Man of Israel,' Man of God,' 'Man of understanding,' and 'Man of Sorrows.'

Where we read in Exod. 15:3, that 'the Lord is a man of war,' the word Ish is used. The passage does not mean that He is a human being—this would have involved the use of the word Adam . Again, when the sacred writer tells us in Josh. 5:13, that 'a man stood over against' Joshua, he does not use the word Adam , but Ish , which both here and elsewhere can be rendered Person or Being. Compare also Dan. 9:21, 10:5, 12:6, 7; Zech. 1:8, &c., where the word is applied to Beings, who presented themselves in vision to the eye of the prophet, without necessarily being partakers of human nature.

There is a diminutive formed from the word Ish, namely, Ishon (÷wvya), which signifies the apple or pupil of the eye, literally the 'little men' which any one may see reflected in another person's eye. 10 It occurs also in Deut. 32:10, and in Prov.

7:2 ¹¹ In Lam. 2:18, the figure is slightly different, the expression being literally 'the daughter of the eye;' and in Ps. 17:8, the two are combined, so that the literal rendering would be 'keep me as the little man, the daughter of the eye.' In Zech. 2:8, a different word is used for the pupil, representing the hole or gate of the eye rather than that which is reflected on it.

A verb has been derived from the word Ish, and is used in the expression 'shew yourselves men' (Isa. 46:8), answering well to the Greek ajndrivzesqe. Compare the English phrase 'to be unmanned.'

§ 3. The Word Enosh.

The third word for Man is Enosh (vwna), which occurs very frequently in the O.T., and is generally considered to point to man's *insignificance* or *inferiority*. ¹² This word, like Ish, depends, in some measure, on its surroundings for its meaning, and often answers to our English word 'person,' by which it has been rendered in the A. V. in Judges 9:4, and Zeph. 3:4. Its plural form generally does duty for the plural of Ish as well. See, *e.g.*, Gen. 18:2, 16, 22, where the 'men' were angelic Beings.

In poetry Enosh occurs as a parallel to Adam. Thus, 'I will make a man (Enosh) more precious than fine gold; even a man (Adom) than the golden wedge of Ophir' (Isa. 13:12). It is occasionally

This figure has found its way into other languages. See Gesenius' *Thesaurus* on the word,

- 10 This figure has found its way into other languages. See Gesenius' *Thesaurus* on the word,
- 11 In the 9th verse of the same chapter it is rendered black (the idea being borrowed from the darkness of the pupil) and applied to night. 12

The Assyrian niou for enion is taken by Dr. Sayce as answering to Enosh.

introduced as a parallel with Ben-Adam , the son of man; thus, 'How much less man (Enosh) that is a worm, and the son of man (Ben-Adam) which is a worm' (Job 25:6); 'What is man (Enosh), that thou art mindful of him? and the son of man (Ben-Adam), that thou visitest him?' (Ps. 8:4); 'What is man (Enosh), that thou takest knowledge of him? or the son of man (Ben-Adam), that thou makest account of him?' (Ps. 144:3); 'Thou turnest man (Enosh) to destruction; and sayest, Return, ye children of men' (Benai-Adam , Ps. 90:3). In these passages it will be noted that the *insignificance* of man is especially in the writer's mind. In Job 4:17, our translators have rendered it mortal man: 'Shall mortal man (Enosh) be more just than God? Shall a man (Gever) ¹³ be more pure than his maker?' Here the word (Gever must be used with a tinge of irony, as in Job 10:5, 'Are thy days as the days of man (Enosh)? are thy years as man's (Gever) days?'

There are other passages where the insignificance of man is specially brought out by the use of Enosh, *e.g.* Job 7:17, 'What is man, that thou shouldest magnify him? and that thou shouldest set thine heart upon him?' Job 9:2, 'How should man be just before God?' See also Job 15:14, 25:4; Ps. 9:20, 103:15; Dan. 2:43.

Enosh is sometimes used where man is brought into direct contrast with his Maker. Thus we read in Job 10:4, 'Hast thou (O God) eyes of flesh? or seest thou as man seeth?' Job 33:12, 'I will answer thee, that God is greater than man;' Isa. 7:13, 'Is it a small thing for you to weary men, but will ye weary my God also?' See also Isa. 29:13, and 51:7, 12.

In Ezek. 24:17, the prophet is forbidden to mourn or to eat 'the bread of men.' Here the Rabbinical commentators incline to take the word men as signifying other men, according to an ordinary Hebrew idiom, and they refer to the custom of the food of the mourner being supplied by a neighbour. Others read it 'the bread of husbands,' *i.e.* of widowed husbands, and the usage of the word in Ruth 1:11, and perhaps in Jer. 29:6 (in each of which passages Enosh occurs) gives some slight ground for this view. Others, again, consider the word here signifies mortal men.

The A. V. rendering of the word in 1 Sam. 2:33, 'in the flower of their age,' is hardly justified by other passages, and might well be replaced by a more literal translation without departing from English idiom; it has the sanction, however, of the Vulgate and of Luther (see R. V.).

When we come to inquire into the etymology and original meaning of the word, we find it connected with the Hebrew root anash This word occurs (usually in the form anush) in the following passages only:—2 Sam. 12:15, David's child was 'very sick;' Job 34:6, 'My wound is incurable;' Psalm 69:20, 'I am full of heaviness;' Isa. 17:11, 'Desperate sorrow;' Jer. 15:8, 'Why is my pain perpetual, and my wound incurable, which refuseth to be healed?' Jer. 17:9, The heart is 'desperately wicked;' Jer. 17:16, 'Neither have I desired the woeful day' (LXX, 'the day of man'); Jer. 30:12, 'Thy bruise is incurable and thy wound is grievous;' Jer. 30:15, 'Thy sorrow is incurable for the multitude of thine iniquity;' Micah 1:9, 'Her wound is incurable.'

These passages fix the meaning of the word. But it may be asked why a word which signifies incurable should be used to denote man. Perhaps the answer may be found in Gen. 4:26. Seth had been 'appointed' in the place of Abel, but man remained unchanged and unredeemed; so Seth's son was called Enosh 'Then began men to call upon the name of Jehovah .' The race was 'incurable,' but the Lord was its hope. Thus, Seth's son may have been named Enosh , that is to say 'incurable,' because he was utterly unable to redeem himself from the bondage of corruption. This view of the matter is taken by Cocceius, who says that, 'as Adam was the name given to all who sprang from the dust of earth, so Enosh became the title of all those who are heirs of corruption.'

The Messiah was never designated by the name Enosh, because, though appointed to become a descendant of Adam, and destined to be made 'in the likeness of sinful flesh,' yet in Him there was to be no sin. But it is a remarkable thing that when the glorious coming of the Messiah to rule the nations is unfolded in Dan. 7:13, the Lord is described as 'one like a son of Enosh.' Compare the description in Lev. 5:6, 'A Lamb as it had been slain,' which indicates that the

marks of His 13 See below, § 4.

humiliation will accompany His glory.

§ 4. The Word Gever.

The last name for man which has to be noticed is Gever (rbg), which is used more than sixty times in the O.T., and represents man as a mighty being. This title is at first sight inconsistent with the name Enosh; but no one can weigh well the facts which human nature daily presents to his observation without coming to the conclusion that man is a marvellous compound of strength and weakness, and that while he is rightly called Enosh by reason of the corruption of his nature, he may also lay claim to the title of Gever by virtue of the mighty energies which are capable of being exhibited in his life and character.

The Greek translators have rendered Gever by ajnhvr in the majority of places where it occurs, but in fourteen passages they have been content with the more general word a]nqrwpo". In the English Bible it is usually rendered Man, but in some places the original sense of the word has been adhered to, and it has been translated mighty.

The earliest passages where the word is found, with the exception of Gen. 6:4, are: Exod. 10:11, 'Go now ye that are men;' and Exod. 12:37, 'About six hundred thousand on foot that were men, beside women and children.' Balaam uses this word when he designates himself 'the man whose eyes are open' (Num. 24:3, 15). It is used of the male sex as opposed to the female in Deut. 22:5, and is rendered 'man by man' where individuals are distinguished from tribes in Josh. 7:14, and 1 Chron. 23:3. It is twice applied to David with a significant reference to its real meaning, namely, in 1 Sam. 16:18, 'A mighty valiant man' (lit. 'a mighty man of strength'), and 2 Sam. 23:1, 'The man who was raised up on high.' See also 1 Chron. 12:8, 28:1; 2 Chron. 13:3; Ezra 4:21; 5:4, 10; 6:8.

The above-named passages plainly show the original meaning and the general

usage of Gever, but in the poetical Books, in which this word occurs with greater frequency, there is not always the same marked clearness of signification. In the Book of Job there appears to be a slight irony in its use. Thus:—'Shall a man (mighty though he be in his own estimation) be more pure than his Maker?' (4:17); (mighty) man dieth and wasteth away' (14:10); 'If a (mighty) man die, shall he live again?' (ver. 14); 'Can a (mighty) man be profitable unto God?' (22:2); 'That he may hide pride from (mighty) man' (33:17). See also 33:29, 38:3, 40:7.

The word is used in Ps. 34:8, 'Blessed is the man that trusteth in him,' where it points to the fact that however great a man may be, yet he is not to trust in his own strength, but in the living God. The same explanation may be given of its use in Ps. 37:23, 'The steps of a man (A. V. 'of a good man') are ordered (or established) by the Lord.' Compare Ps. 40:4, 52:7, 94:12, and 128:4. In Ps. 88:4, we read, 'I am as a (mighty) man that hath no strength;' the contrast here indicated between the name and the condition is very striking. The Psalmist says again (89:48), 'What man is he that liveth and shall not see death?' The point of this question comes out far more clearly when the use of the word Gever is noticed, and the sentiment might be thus expressed, 'Is there any living man so mighty as to be able to avoid death?'

Neither Isaiah nor Ezekiel use the word (Gever at all, but we meet with it eight times in the prophecy of Jeremiah, and four times in the Book of Lamentations. The following are the most interesting examples:—Jer. 17:5, 7, 'Cursed is the (mighty) man (Gever) that trusteth in man (Adam, the earthy).' ... 'Blessed is the (mighty) man that trusteth in the Lord.' Jer. 23:9, 'I am like a (mighty) man whom wine hath overcome.' With what force is the power of strong drink here delineated! Gever is also found in Jer. 31:22, where the Lord says to the 'Virgin of Israel,' that He was about to create a new thing—'A woman shall compass a man.' ¹⁴

14 Literally, 'a female shall compass (or enclose) a Mighty One.'

Several words are related to Gever . There is the verb gavar , which is found in twenty-three places, and is usually rendered prevail; in Ps.103:11, and 117:2, it is used of the moral efficacy and prevailing power of God's mercy. Gevir is used for 'lord' in Isaac's blessing (Gen. 27:29, 37). Gevirah is sometimes used for a Queen; Gevereth for a mistress (rendered *lady* in Isa. 47:5, 7). Gevurah is rendered force, mastery, might, power, strength Gibbor signifies mighty, and is frequently used both of God and man; it is found three times in the expression 'the Mighty God,' namely, in Isa. 9:6, 10:21, and Jer. 32:18, passages which are deeply interesting in relation to the Deity of the Messiah.

The LXX has sometimes rendered Gibbor by givga", giant, as in Gen. 6:4, 10:8, 9; 1 Chron. 1:10; Isa. 3:2, 13:3; Ezek. 32:21. The general Hebrew name for a *giant* is not gibbor, which refers to might rather than stature, but Rephaim, Rephaites or sons of Raphah. The word used in Gen. 6:4, and also in Num. 13:33, is Nephilim, which is derived from the Hiphil or causative form of Naphal, to fall, and hence signifies *tyrants*, or those who make use of their power to cast down others. In the former of these passages the Vulgate has *giants*, and Luther *tyrants*; in the latter the Vulgate has *monsters*, and Luther *giants* (*Riesen*).

The word methim (µytm) is translated men in a few passages, chiefly in Job, Psalms, and Isaiah, also in Deut. 2:34. It perhaps means 'mortal,' but this is doubtful.

CHAPTER IV.

THE SOUL AND THE SPIRIT.

W HEN the writer of the Epistle to the Hebrews says that the word of God pierces 'to the dividing asunder of soul and spirit' (Heb. 4:12), and when St. Paul prays that the 'spirit, soul, and body' of his converts may be preserved blameless (1

Thess. 5:23), a psychological division of the immaterial part of human nature is drawn which is exactly similar to what we find running through the whole O.T. The Bible proceeds upon the supposition that there are two spheres of existence, which may be called *mind* and *matter*; it tells us that the key to the mystery of the universe is to be found, not in the material substance of which it is composed, nor in the agencies or influences which cause the phenomena of nature to follow one another in regular sequence, but to a Mastermind, who plans all things by His wisdom, and sustains them by His power. The Scriptures bring the immaterial world very close to every one of us; and whilst we are all only too conscious of our relation to things fleeting and physical, the Sacred Record reminds us on every page that we are the offspring of the absolute and unchanging Source of all existence. A man is sometimes tempted to say, 'I will believe only what I see;' but the first puff of wind or the first shock of electricity tells him that he must enlarge his creed. If he still stops short by asserting his faith only in the forces which affect matter, he will find himself confronted by the fact that the matter which composes the human frame becomes by that very circumstance subject to forces and influences to which all other matter is a stranger. He finds a world within as well as a world without, and he is compelled to acknowledge that his physical frame is the tenement of a super-physical being which he calls self, and which is on the one hand a recipient of knowledge and feeling obtained through the instrumentality of the body, and on the other hand an agent originating or generating a force which tells upon the outer world.

It is in respect to this inner life and its workings that man is the child of God. His structure is of soil, earth-born, allied with all physical existence, and subjected to the laws of light, heat, electricity, gravitation, and such like, as much as if it were so many atoms of vegetable or mineral

matter. But the immaterial existence which permeates that structure, investing it with consciousness, flooding it with sensibilities, illuminating it with understanding, enabling it to plan, to forecast, to will, to rule, to make laws, to sympathise, to love—this *ego*, this pulse of existence, this nucleus of feeling and thought and action, is a denizen of an immaterial sphere of being, though ordained by God its Father to live and grow and be developed within the tabernacle of flesh.

§ 1. The Soul.

The Hebrew equivalent for the word 'soul' in almost every passage in the O.T. is Nephesh (vpn), which answers to yuchv in the Greek. The cognate verb Naphash, to refresh, is found in Exod. 23:12, 31:17, and 2 Sam. 16:14: ¹ The word Nephesh has various shades of meaning and of rendering, which must be gathered as far as possible under one or two heads. The soul is, properly speaking, the animating principle of the body, and is the common property of man and beast. Thus, in Lev. 24:18, we read, 'He that killeth a beast shall make it good; beast for beast;' this is literally, 'He that smiteth the soul of a beast shall recompense it; soul for soul.' It is also used with respect to the lower animals in Gen. 1:21, 24; 2:19; Lev. 11:46, *al.*, in which passages it has been rendered creature.

In some passages nephesh has been rendered 'anyone;' the word is thus used in an indefinite sense, the soul representing the person, as when we speak of a city containing so many thousand 'souls.' Thus, we read in Lev. 2:1, 'When any (lit. 'a soul') will offer a meat offering;' Lev. 24:17, 'He that killeth any man,' lit. 'that smiteth any soul of man'—the soul representing the life; Num. 19:11, 'He that toucheth the dead body of any man shall be unclean seven days,' lit. 'he that toucheth the dead (part) of any soul of a man shall be unclean seven days;' also verse 13, 31:19, and Num. 35:11, 15, 30. In these passages a dead body is regarded as that which ought properly to be animated by the soul, but owing to

the law whereby man has to return to the dust, the spectacle is seen of a soulless body, which is to be regarded as ceremonially unclean. Compare Lev. 21:11; Num. 5:2; 6:6, 11; 9:6, 7, 10.

In Ps. 17:9, 'deadly enemies' are literally 'enemies of my soul or life.' In Job 11:20, 'the giving up of the ghost' is 'the puffing forth of the soul.' So also in Jer. 15:9, the literal rendering is 'she hath puffed forth the soul.'

The soul is thus the source of animation to the body; in other words, it is the life, whether of man or beast. Accordingly, Nephesh is rendered 'life' in Gen. 19:17, 19, where we read of Lot's life being saved; Gen. 32:30, 'I have seen God face to face, and my life is preserved;' Gen. 44:30, 'His life is bound up in the lad's life;' Exod. 21:23, 'Thou shalt give life for life;' verse 30, 'He shall give for the ransom of his life whatsoever is laid upon him.'

In Deut. 24:7, we read, 'If a man be found stealing any (lit. 'a soul') of his brethren,' &c.; so in Ezek. 27:13, 'They traded the persons (lit. 'the souls') of men.' By the use of the word Nephesh here the wickedness of treating men as goods and chattels to be bought and sold is practically reprobated. This doubtless is the crime referred to in Rev. 18:13. Perhaps the word 'person' in the sense in which we speak of an offence against a man's person, or of a personal injury, is the best rendering in such passages. It is adopted in Gen. 14:21; Lev. 27:2 (where both men and beasts are referred to); Num. 5:6, 19:18, and Ezek. 16:5. A similar rendering is self, which is found in Lev. 11:43, 1 Kings 19:4, and Isa. 5:14.

In some passages the word soul is added to give emphasis, as in Gen. 27:31, &c., 'that thy soul may bless me.' Compare Matt. 26:38.

1 In Assyrian, napistu, which means 'life,' is connected with napdsu, to 'expand,' and hence to 'breathe' (Sayce).

In Hebrew, as in most other languages, the shedding of a man's blood was a phrase used to represent the taking of his life, for 'the blood is the life.' In this oftrepeated phrase (e.g. Lev. 17:11, 14) we see that the blood is (i.e. represents) 'the soul;' and if the one flows out from the body, the other passes away too. In Prov. 28:17, we read literally, 'The man that doeth violence to the blood of a soul shall flee into the pit;' so in Ezek. 33:6, 'If the sword come and take away a soul (A. V. 'person') from among them ... his blood will I require at the watchman's hands;' Jonah 1:14, 'Let us not perish for this man's life, and lay not upon us innocent blood.'

This mystical identification of the blood and the life is of great interest as bearing upon the atoning work of Christ. We are told that He poured out His soul unto death, and that He shed His blood for the remission of sins. Evidently the shedding of the blood was the outward and visible sign of the severance of the soul from the body in death; and this severance is regarded as a voluntary sacrifice offered by the Divine Son, in accordance with His Father's will, as the means of putting away sin.

But the Nephesh or soul is something more than the bare animating principle of the body; at least, if it is regarded in this light, a large view must be taken of that mysterious organisation which we call the body, and it must include the bodily appetites and desires. The word is rendered 'appetite' in Prov. 23:2, and Eccles. 6:7. Compare the words of Israel, 'our soul loatheth this light food' (Num. 21:5). Other passages in which a similar idea is presented are Eccles. 6:9, *al*. (desire); Isa. 56:11 (greedy); Exod. 15:9, *al*. (lust); Ps. 105:22, *al*. (pleasure); Deut. 21:14, *al*. (will).

Nephesh is also rendered mind and heart in several places where these words are used in the sense of desire and inclination, *e.g.* Gen. 23:8; 2 Kings 9:15.

Thus the soul, according to the O.T., is the personal centre of desire, inclination, and appetite, and its normal condition is to be operating in or through means of a

physical organisation, whether human or otherwise. Hence, when we read that man or Adam became a living soul (Gen. 2:7), we are to understand that the structure which had been moulded from the dust became the habitation and, to a certain extent, the servant of an *ego* or conscious centre of desire or appetite. When the soul departs (Gen. 35:18), the body becomes untenanted, and the *ego* which has grown with the growth of the body is dislodged from its habitation. It may, however, return again to its old home through the operation of God, as was the case with the widow's child (1 Kings 17:21; compare Ps. 16:10).

The fact that the desires to which the soul gives birth are often counter to the will of God fixes *sin* upon the soul; accordingly, we read, 'the soul that sinneth it shall die' (Ezek. 18:4). Hence the need of atonement for the soul (Lev. 17:11), and of its conversion or restoration to a life of conformity with God's law (Ps. 19:7, 34:22).

In the N.T. yuchv often signifies life, as in Matt. 2:20, 'Those who seek the life of the young child;' Matt. 6:25, 'Be not solicitous. for your life' (or animal existence). In Matt. 10:28, a distinction is drawn between the destruction of the body, which man can effect, and the perdition or ruin of the soul as well as the body in Gehenna, which only God can bring about. Sometimes there seems to be a play upon the word, as when the Saviour says 'he that loseth his life or soul (in the ordinary sense of the word) shall find it' (in a new and higher sense), Matt. 10:30, 16:25. When describing His mission, our Lord plainly said that He came to give His soul or life a ransom for many (Matt. 20:28). In Acts 2:27, St. Peter quotes the Psalm (16:10), 'Thou wilt not leave my soul in Hades.' This passage certainly *might* be taken to signify, 'thou wilt not leave my dead body in the grave;' but it is far more in accordance with the usage of the two important words soul and Hades to understand that the animating principle, the *ego*, of our Saviour was not to remain in the nether world.

§ 2. The Spirit.

Very different is the idea which Scripture gives of the Spirit from that which is to be understood by the word soul. With the exception of Job 26:4, and Prov. 20:27, where neshamah (hmvn), 'a breathing being,' is used, the word spirit always represents the Hebrew Ruach (jwr). ² Compare the Assyrian Rukhu .

The word Ruach, like its Greek equivalents, pneu`ma and a[nemo", the Latin spiritus, the English *ghost*, and similar words in other languages, originally signifies wind or breath. It is the only word rendered wind in the O.T. It is rendered whirlwind, in Ezek.1:4; tempest, in Ps. 11:6; cool (wind), in Gen. 3:8; air, in Job 41:16; blast, in Exod. 15:8, 2 Kings 19:7, Isa. 25:4, and 37:7. Thus, as *blood* represents the animal life, so does *wind* the spiritual element in life.

Ruach is frequently rendered breath, *e.g.* Gen. 6:17, 'the breath of life.' As long as this breath is sustained in a man, he lives (Job 27:3); when it goes forth, he returns to his earth (Ps. 146:4). The most remarkable passage in which the action of breath and wind is identified with the source of life is the vision of the dry bones in Ezek. 37. In this, as in some other passages, it is not easy to distinguish between the physical and the super-physical breath, both of which are gifts from God.

In Josh. 2:11, where we read 'there remained no more courage in any man,' the word might be rendered *breath*. In Jud. 8:3, the deep breathing is a sign of anger, and accordingly the word is so rendered. In 1 Sam. 1:15, it is a sign of earnest prayer, or perhaps of the agitation of the heart. In Gen. 26:35, it is a sign of grief; it is here rendered mind instead of spirit, unfortunately, and this has also been the case in Prov. 29:11; Ezek. 11:5, 20:32; and Hab. 1:11.

It is clear that the wind is regarded in Scripture as a fitting emblem of the mighty penetrating power of the Invisible God; and that the breath is supposed to symbolise, not only the deep feelings which are generated within man, such as sorrow and anger, but also kindred feelings in the Divine nature. God is not set forth in Scripture as a *soul* ³— *i.e.* the centre of physical appetite and the

animating principle of a body—but as a spirit, that is, an unseen living being, capable of deep emotions. Moreover, it is revealed that God, and He alone, has the faculty of communicating His Spirit or life to His creatures, who are thus enabled to feel, think, speak, and act in accordance with the Divine will.

§ 3. The Spirit of God.

References in the O.T. to the Spirit of God and to the Spirit of the Lord are more numerous than is sometimes imagined. In upwards of twenty-five places this Divine Spirit is spoken of as entering man for the purpose of giving him life, power, wisdom, or right-feeling. God, moreover, is called 'the God of the spirits of all flesh' in the O.T., as He is called the 'Father of our spirits' in the N.T.; and it is everywhere taught or implied that the personal agency of God is in contact with the centre of life in every child of man. How He acts, we know not; in what mode He enlightens, inspires, comforts, and warns, we cannot tell. We see and feel the results, but we are unable to comprehend the processes.

§ 4. Meanings of the Word Spirit in N. T.

2 There are two verbs cognate with this word: one signifies the being refreshed (1 Sam. 16:23; Job 32:20; see also Jer. 22:11, where large signfies airy or ventilated); the other signifies to smell, hence to be keel, or 'of quick understanding' (Isa. 11:3). 3

It is true that the Hebrew word nephesh is used in certain idiomatic expressions with reference to the Divine Being, but not in such a way as to invalidate what is affirmed above.

A full examination of the usage of the word pneu ma (spirit) in the N.T. would be a work of great interest, but of no little difficulty. The passages in which it occurs may be generally classified as follows:—

- First, there are various references to the spirit of man, that part of human nature which is breathed into him by God.
- Secondly, mention is often made of evil spirits, which are spoken of as personal beings, capable of allying themselves with men and inflicting various evils upon them.
- Thirdly, there are references to the work of the Holy Spirit of God in John the Baptist and others *before* the day of Pentecost.
- Fourthly, some passages are found which speak of the Spirit of God dwelling and working in our Saviour during His earthly ministry.
- Fifthly, there are a number of passages which imply a special agency of the Holy Spirit, which has come into operation in consequence of the mediatorial work of the ascended Lord.
- Lastly, there are texts which speak of the effects produced in man by the Spirit of God, and which combine under the same designation both the Worker and the effect produced.
- The first and third of these classes naturally associate themselves with similar passages in the
- O.T. The second is deeply mysterious and interesting, but does not call here for special discussion. There remain three others upon which a few remarks may be offered.
- The Lord Jesus, *as man*, possessed spirit, soul, and body; and His spirit was in a special sense the dwelling-place of the Holy Spirit. He was filled with the Spirit,

which was given to Him without measure. ⁴ He was guided in His movements by the Spirit; His wisdom and discernment, His power over evil demons, and perhaps we may say all His words and deeds, were wrought through the agency of the Spirit. See Matt. 1:18, 4:1, 12:18, 28; Luke 4:1, 14, 18; John 3:34.

A special point in the teaching of John the Baptist was that Jesus, the Lamb of God, should baptize with the Holy Ghost; and our Lord, in His conversations with Nicodemus, the Samaritan woman, and others, teaches that those who believed in Him would become partakers of a New Life, which would be in a peculiar sense the work of the Holy Ghost. In the course of these conversations He put forth this truth in various forms. There was the heavenly birth, the living water, the bread of life, the resurrection life, the sap of the vine, each in turn taken as the central point in a discourse, leading up to the truth that (after His glorification) those who believe in Him should receive the Holy Ghost. Our Lord's last conversations with His disciples before His crucifixion were full of this subject; and when He rose from the dead He indicated by the symbolical act of breathing on His disciples the truth that through His mediatorial agency they were to receive the promised blessing of the Spirit. When the day of Pentecost was fully come, this Divine gift was showered down. A life of praise, of sonship, of love, of boldness, and of missionary labour, was inaugurated. The disciples were organised through this new influence into a Church, which breathed the spirit of Christ and did the work of Christ upon earth. For a time the Christian life and preaching were 4 It is almost dangerous, and yet it may be helpful to some minds, to take an illustration of this difficult subject from nature. As it is true that no man hath seen God at any time, so it may be said that no one has seen electricity. But as a man may be charged with electricity without losing his personal identity, and may thus become, not only an embodiment of that unseen agency, but also capable of communicating it to others by contact, so the Son of Man contained the Fulness of the Spirit. This indwelling Agency had complete possession of the human Nature, so that in Him the manhood was taken into the Godhead. By the touch of faith we draw the virtue of Force of the Spirit from Him into ourselves; we thus become partakers with Him of the Spirit of God. The relationship between the three Persons of the Godhead is utterly beyond human conception. The Father is

represented in Scripture as the Source of life, will, and affection, the Son is the obedient Agent of the Father's will working on the creature ab extra; the Spirit works on the creature ab entra.

accompanied by special miracles, as our Lord's own life had been. These were intended to give an authoritative seal to the mission of the original disciples, just as similar works had testified a few years earlier to the mission of the Son of God.

If it be asked in what way the work of the Holy Spirit of God differs now from what it was in earlier ages of the world's history, it may be sufficient for the present purpose to answer that, though the Agent is the same, the Truth whereby He operates upon the feelings and affections of man is much more developed now than in old days. Formerly, the way of redemption from sin and corruption was only dimly shadowed forth; now, the substance has been wrought out: Christ has been lifted up, and all men are being drawn to Him, and those who believe in Him enter thereby into a special relationship with Him, so that they live in Him and He in them, both being partakers of one Spirit. Formerly, the Spirit operated through the written word, through types and shadows, through laws and ordinances, reproving men of sin, and kindling their hopes of a better time; but now He operates especially through the Living Word, of Whom all the Scripture testifies, and Who is the Way, the Truth, and the Life. He manifests Christ in His completed work to the heart of man, and quickens the believer into newness of life by breathing into him that eternal life which is in the Father, and in His Son, Jesus Christ. Metaphysically, we cannot understand the nature of this agency, but theologically, and as a matter of revelation, we believe and thankfully receive it.

The last class of passages to which reference has to be made consists of those which seem to identify the Spirit of God with the results which He is producing in the heart and life of man Thus we read of the spirit of sonship or adoption, Rom. 8:15 the spirit of meekness, 1 Cor. 4:21; the spirit of faith, 2 Cor. 4:13; the spirit of wisdom and revelation, Eph. 1:17; the spirit of truth, 1 John 4:6; and the spirit of holiness, Rom. 1:4. It is evident that these passages refer, not to the inherent characteristics of the Holy Spirit, but to those effects which He produces in the believer. They answer to a similar class of passages in the O.T.; see, for example, Isa. 11:2.

CHAPTER V.

HEART, WILL, CONSCIENCE, UNDERSTANDING.

T HE present chapter has for its subject a discussion of those elements in human nature which are the sources or centres of emotion, volition, deliberation, and spiritual apprehension. It is comparatively easy for the physiologist or anatomist to mark out the different organs of the human body, and to learn their structure and manifold uses; but the psychologist has a harder task to perform; he has to analyse and classify his own sensations and emotions, to determine so far as possible which are from the body and which from an immaterial source, to compare his own mental constitution with the effects produced on and by the minds of others, to note how different classes of external entities appeal to and call forth distinct feelings, and move in various spheres of existence, touching finer or ruder chords of human sensibility, according to their nature and the aspect in which they are presented. The mental analyst is in danger of running to one of two extremes, and more especially so when applying his study to Scripture. He is sometimes inclined to take the popular words which represent the inner life, in a very loose and vague sense, using the one for the other as people do in their ordinary conversation, as if there were but one organ of emotion and volition in man, receiving different names according to the different relationship it has to sustain. At other times he is tempted to exercise his powers of mental anatomy in ranging and classifying the different powers of the immaterial existence in several groups, assigning each to a separate organ, and thus making the heart, the will, the conscience, and the understanding to be

distinct members of a spiritual organisation. Each of these systems represent an aspect of truth, but each is imperfect if taken by itself. We are not in a position to grasp the subject of immaterial existence, and can only approach it relatively and in those aspects in which it exists in connection with bodily life. 1 We are, as it were, organised grains of dust floating on an ocean of spiritual existence, which permeates our being, connects us with one another, and binds us to that higher sphere of life in which GOD dwells. In this spirit-world we live and breathe and know and feel and think and determine, but we understand little of its nature, and certainly we are not in a position to decide whether there is only one hidden agency at work in our bodies, taking many forms through the medium of the brain and nerves, or whether the nucleus of our conscious life is to be considered as composite in its original nature; in other words, whether human nature is like an Aeolian harp, which has many strings, and produces wild and plaintive music through the blind force of the wind; or whether it is like an organ, not only complex in itself, but also played upon by a complex being, who gives expression to his own thought and feeling as he touches its keys.

The Bible does not discuss this subject; it makes use, however, of certain terms which require careful consideration, as they have stamped themselves upon our popular and religious language, and are sometimes used without consideration of the ideas which they were originally intended to convey.

§ 1. The Heart.

The general Hebrew word for the heart is Lev (bl), answering to the Assyrian libbu. It is usually rendered kardiva in the LXX, but sometimes Greek words signifying the soul, the intellect, or the understanding, are taken to represent it.

Two or three other words are occasionally translated 'heart' in the A. V., e.g., Nephesh, 'the soul' (Exod. 23:9, al.); Mai<im (µy[m), the bowels (Ps. 40:8); Kir (ryq), the wall of the heart (Jer. 4:19); and Kerev (brq), the inner or middle

part (Jer. 9:8). Our translators might have adopted a similar rendering in John 7:38, which would then run thus—'out of his heart shall flow rivers of living water,' the heart representing the innermost part of the body. The R. V. has made no correction.

The heart, according to Scripture, not only includes the motives, feelings, affections, and desires, but also the will, the aims, the principles, the thoughts, and the intellect of man. In fact, it embraces the whole inner man, the head never being regarded as the seat of intelligence. Hence we read of men being 'wise hearted,' Exod. 31:6, 36:2; of wisdom being put into the heart, 2 Chron. 9:23; of the heart being awake, Eccles. 2:23, Cant. 5:2; of the thoughts of the heart, Deut. 15:9; of words being laid up in the heart, 1 Sam. 21:12; and of mercy being written on the tablets of the heart, Prov. 3:3. In 2 Kings 5:26, Elisha says to Gehazi, 'Went not my *heart* with thee' (or after thee); here a combination of knowledge and feeling is implied. There is also a beautiful expression in the Hebrew 'to speak to the heart,' which we render, 'to speak comfortably or friendly,' Ruth 2:13; 2 Sam. 19:7; 2 Chron. 30:22; Isa. 40:2 ('Speak ye comfortably to Jerusalem'); Hos. 2:14 ('I will bring her into the wilderness and speak comfortably to her').

Whilst it is the source of all action, and the centre of all thought and feeling, the heart is also described as receptive of influences both from the outer world and from God Himself The wisdom of the wise-hearted was given them by the Lord (2 Chron. 9:23); when Saul turned from Samuel, 'God gave him another heart' or 'turned his heart into a new direction' (1 Sam. 10:9); the Lord gave to Solomon 'a wise and an understanding heart' (1 Kings 3:12); He says concerning His people, 'I

1 Physiology and psychology are now seen to be closely related, and the brain (which is never referred to in the Bible) is regarded as the medium as well as the seat of mental faculties.

will give them one heart and one way, that they may fear me for ever. ... I will put my fear in their hearts, that they shall not depart from me' (Jer. 32:39, 40); 'I will give them one heart, and I will put a new spirit within you; and I will take away the stony heart out of their flesh, and will give them an heart of flesh' (Ezek. 11:19, 36:26). Compare Ps. 51:10, 'Create in me a clean heart.' The word is used in the N.T. in the same way as in the O.T.

§ 2. The Hardening of the Heart.

The hardening of the heart is described in Scripture as the work of God. Pharaoh's case is by no means unique; it is a sample of the history of all those who neglect the opportunities which God gives them, and thus lead Him to put in exercise that law to which the whole human race is subject—that moral impressions, if not acted upon, become (subjectively) weaker and weaker, until at last the heart of man becomes altogether callous. In the case of Pharaoh three words are used to represent the hardening process: Chazak (qzj), to brace up or strengthen, ² points to the hardihood with which he set himself to act in defiance against God, and closed all the avenues of his heart to those signs and wonders that were wrought by the hand of Moses; Caved (dbk), 'to be heavy, dull, or unimpressible,' denotes his insensibility and grossness of perception; and Kashah (hvq), to be harsh, marks the restlessness, impatience, petulance, and irritability with which his course was characterised whilst he was resisting the urgent appeals, not of Moses only, but also of his own people. Each of these words is used under similar circumstances in other parts of the O.T. Thus Chazak is found in Josh. 11:20, 'It was of the Lord to harden their hearts.' Compare Jer. 5:3; Ezek. 3:9. It is usually rendered to be strong, courageous, to hold fast, to be valiant, stout, mighty. Caved is used in 1 Sam. 6:6, 'Wherefore do ye harden your hearts, as the Egyptians and Pharaoh hardened their hearts?' Ezek. 3:5, 6, 'Of a hard language.' It is usually rendered heavy. Kashah is found in Exod. 18:26; Deut. 1:17, 2:30, 'The Lord thy God hardened his spirit and made his heart obstinate, that he might deliver him into thy hand;' Deut. 15:18, 26:6, 'The Egyptians laid

upon us a hard bondage.' Compare 2 Sam. 3:39; 2 Kings 2:10, 17:14; Neh. 9:16, 17, 29; Job 9:4; Ps. 60:3, 95:8; Prov. 28:14, 29:1; Isa. 8:21, 14:3; Jer. 19:15; Ezek. 3:7. The usual renderings are hard, grievous, cruel, stiff. It is to be noticed that in God's mission to Ezekiel, in the third chapter, the three words now mentioned occur together. Other words of similar meaning are Kashach (jvq), which is found in Job 39:16, and Isa. 63:17; and Tekeph (¹qt), which occurs in Dan. 5:20.

§ 3. The Will.

The English word will is sometimes merely the sign of the future tense, whilst at other times it expresses the willingness of the agent. In the Hebrew, as in the Greek, those ideas are represented by different words, and in many passages it is important to notice the distinction.

Avah (hba, Ass. Abitu) represents the inclination which leads towards action, rather than the volition which immediately precedes it. In the LXX, Avah is rendered both by bouvlomai and qevlw. It is rendered 'will' or 'willing' in the following passages: Gen. 24:6, 8; Exod. 10:27 ('He would not let them go'); Lev. 26:21 ('If ye will not hearken unto me'); Deut. 1:26 ('Ye would not go up'); Deut. 2:30 (Sihon 'would not let us pass by him'), 10:10 (the Lord 'would not destroy thee'), 23:5, 25:7, 29:20; Josh. 24:10; Jud. 11:17, 19:10, 25, 20:13; 1 Sam. 15:9, 22:17, 26:23, 31:4; 2 Sam. 2:21

2 This word is also used of God's bringing Israel out of Egypt 'with a strong right hand.' The firmness of the Creator overcame the firmness of the creature.

, 6:10, 12:17, 13:14, 16, 25, 14:29, 23:16, 17; 1 Kings 22:49; 2 Kings 8:19, 13:23, 24:4; 1 Chron. 10:4, 11:18, 19, 19:19; 2 Chron. 21:7; Job 39:9; Ps. 81:11; Isa. 1:19 ('If ye be willing'), 28:12, 30:9, 15, 42:24; Ezek 3:7 ('The house of Israel will not hearken unto thee, for they will not hearken unto me'); see also chap.20:8.

It is remarkable that these passages, with two exceptions (Isa. 1:19, and Job 39:9), are *negative*. Where they refer to the disobedience of Israel, they imply that the refusal to hearken to God's Word was voluntary, and that they were responsible for it. Where reference is made to the Divine action, it is implied that God is a moral governor, and that His dealings with men are deliberate, and to some extent dependent upon their obedience or disobedience.

In Hos. 13:10, 14, we read, 'I will be thy king;' 'O death, I will be thy plagues; O grave, I will be thy destruction.' The word for will (ehi, yha) might probably be better rendered where? as in the margin and in the R. V.; and this rendering would identify the passage all the more closely with St. Paul's words in 1 Cor. 15:55.

Chaphets (Åpj), to *delight*, is usually rendered qevlw or bouvlomai in the LXX. In the A. V., it is rendered 'will' in Ruth 3:13 ('If he will not do the part of a kinsman to thee'); 1 Sam. 2:25; 1 Kings 13:33; 1 Chron. 28:9; Job 9:3; Prov. 21:1, and 31:13.

This word is used in the phrase 'there is a time for every purpose' (Eccles. 3:1, 17, 8:6); also in Eccles. 12:10, 'The preacher sought to find out acceptable words' The Psalmist uses it when he says, 'Let them be put to shame that wish me evil' (Ps. 40:14).

Chaphets is rendered please or pleasure in several passages, including Jud. 13:23; Job 21:21, 22:3; Ps. 5:4, 35:27, 115:3; Isa. 42:21, 53:10; Ezek. 18:23, 3, 33:11; Matt 1:10.

It is rendered 'favour' in 2 Sam. 20:11, Ps. 35:27, and 41:11. In these passages there is no reference to what we call 'favouritism,' *i.e.* the overlooking of the claims of some so as to gratify the wishes of special friends; it is simply recorded that pleasure was found in certain persons, whatever the ground of it might be.

It is often rendered desire, *e.g.* in 1 Sam. 18:25; Ps. 34:12, 40:6, 51:6, 16; Hos. 6:6. It is also rendered delight very frequently; see especially 1 Sam. 15:22, 'Hath the Lord as great delight in burnt offerings and sacrifices, as in obeying the voice of the Lord?' 2 Sam. 22:20, 'He delivered me because he delighted in me;' Ps. 1:2, 22:8, 40:8; Isa. 1:11, 62:4 (Hephzi-bah, 'My delight is in her').

On reviewing all the passages where the word Chaphets is used, the reader will probably come to the conclusion that its true meaning is not so much an intense pleasurable emotion, as a favourable disposition, or the prompting of the heart to take a certain course of action from a sense of fitness. It is usually relative rather than absolute. It teaches us that God is naturally disposed to look for obedience, trust, and holiness in those who were created after His own likeness; that He deals tenderly but uprightly with His creatures; that He confers life rather than death, if morally possible; that He administers judicial punishment where necessary; and that He has seen fit to inflict suffering upon the Messiah. It also marks His unwillingness to be put off with ceremonial observances as a substitute for the devotion of the heart.

Ratson (÷wxr), which properly means good pleasure or acceptance, is occasionally translated 'will,'

- e.g. Gen. 49:6, 'In their self-will they digged down a wall;' Lev. 1:3, ³ 'Of his own voluntary will;' 19:5, 'At your own will;' 22:19, 29; Neh. 9:24, 'As they would;' Esther 9:5; Ps. 40:8, 'I delight to do thy will;' 143:10, 'Teach me to do thy will;' Dan. 8:4, 'He did according to his will;' 11:3, 16, 36
- . The word is less abstract than the previous ones. It sets forth a pleasurable emotion, whether leading to action or not. Both the substantive and the verb are used to represent that which is pleasant, delightful, acceptable, or approved of by God.

The LXX usually adopts qevlhma, eujdokiva, or dektov" as a rendering for this word. It is interesting to observe what a number of passages there are in the N.T. in which reference is made to 'the will of the Lord.' God's good pleasure is everywhere regarded as the law whereby all 3 Probably these passages in Leviticus ought to be translated otherwise. See chap, :xvi § 3.

things, human and divine, are ordered. Christ is regarded as its embodiment and manifestation; and the Christian, being—by profession at least—one with Christ, is supposed to be conformed to that will in all things.

The qevlhma, answering to Ratson, is that which God decides to have done because it is pleasing to Him; the boulhv, which answers to Chaphets, marks His *disposition* rather than His counsel or purpose. The two words are found together in Eph. 1:11. The latter word implies not so much that there has been a consideration of the circumstances which call for action, as that they are in accordance with the nature and attributes of God; whilst the former points to the fact that the course of action determined on gives a real pleasure to Him.

§ 4. Freedom of the Will.

Voluntary action, as opposed to that which is constrained or compulsory, is indicated by the word Nadav (bdn), for which the LXX uses proqumevw. This word is applied to the offerings for the tabernacle which were given 'willingly' (Exod. 25:2, 35:5, &c.), to the 'freewill offerings' for Solomon's temple (1 Chron. 28:21, 29:5), and to the 'free offerings' in the days of Josiah (2 Chron. 35:8). ⁴ In Lev. 7:16, and Ezek. 46:12, it is rendered voluntary. In Ps. 68:9, it is used of the 'plentiful rain' which was sent freely or without stint upon God's inheritance.

This word occurs in Ps. 54:6, 'I will freely sacrifice unto thee;' in Hos. 14:4, 'I will love them freely;' also in Ps. 51:12, 'Uphold me (with thy) free spirit,' *i.e.* 'sustain in me an unconstrained spirit of devotion.' In this last passage the LXX reads pneuvmati hJgemonikw'/, 'with thy guiding or ruling spirit,' the Hebrew reading followed being perhaps slightly different from our own.

In Ps. 110:3, we read, 'Thy people shall be willing in the day of thy power.' These words are sometimes taken as referring to God's 'preventing grace,' and

they have been even cited as justifying a man in sitting listlessly under God's Word, waiting till power comes upon him from above. Such an interpretation is held in forgetfulness of the fact that God works through the will, not apart from it—that He turns the lock, but does not force it. The form of the word in this passage is the plural substantive, so that the literal rendering would be, 'thy people shall be *freewill offerings*,' &c. Luther renders it, 'thy people shall offer willingly' (see also R. V.); and the words seem to point to the fact that in the day of the Messiah's exaltation His people shall offer Him unconstrained service, yielding their bodies as living sacrifices unto God, rendering Him a *rational* (as opposed to a *ceremonial*) service. (See Rom. 12:1, and compare the Prayer Book Version of the Psalms.)

The word proqumiva is not often found in the N.T., but there is one passage, viz. 2 Cor. 8:11, 12, where it occurs, which calls for some slight elucidation. The A. V. runs thus:—'Now therefore perform the doing of it that, as there was a readiness to will, so there may be a performance also out of that which ye have, for if there be first a willing mind, it is accepted according to that a man hath, and not according to that he hath not.' The words 'a readiness' in the first part of this passage, and 'a willing mind' in the second, stand for the Greek word proqumiva ; so that the Apostle would say, 'as there was a willingness to determine (proqumiva tou` qevlein), so let there be a carrying out of that determination by a contribution from what you possess; for where there is a real willingness, such a contribution is acceptable, even though small, because it is given according to what a man does possess, not according to what he does not.' The word proqumiva here answers to nadav, whilst the word qevlein answers rather to avah . St. Paul did not accept the will (avah) for the deed, but if what is given is given voluntarily (nadav), then he gladly accepted the gift in proportion to the means of the giver.

4 In Assyrian, nindabu means a freewill offering (Sayce).

The word which marks volition, or that which immediately precedes action, is Yaal (lay), which the LXX generally represents by a[rcomai, to *begin*. We meet with it in Josh. 17:12, 'The Canaanites would dwell in that land;' compare Jud. 1:27, 34, and Hos. 5:11, 'He willingly walked after the commandment.' It is rendered 'assay' in 1 Sam. 17:39, 'He assayed ⁵ to go, 'implying that David was on the verge of starting off (Vulg. 'he began to step out') in Saul's armour, but [he put them off, for] he had not proved them. Yaal is rendered 'begin' in Deut. 1:5. In Gen. 18:27, 31, it is found in the expression '*I have taken upon me* to speak unto the Lord.' All these passages exhibit the real meaning of the word as representing the volitional element in an act rather than the feelings, dispositions, or motives which have prompted it.

In a few passages Yaal is rendered 'content,' where the word signifies that a certain effort of the will was necessary before the thing required was done. See Exod. 2:21; Josh. 7:7; Jud. 17:11, 19:6; 2 Kings 5:23, 6:3; Job 6:28. Where the sentence is in the form of a petition, it seems to answer to our use of the word 'do' in the sentence 'Oh, do come!' In accordance with this sense, it is rendered 'be pleased' in 1 Sam. 12:22; 2 Sam. 7:29; 1 Chron. 17:27; Job 6:9.

§ 5. Conscience.

We look in vain for the word conscience in the O.T., except in the margin of Eccles. 10:20, where it represents part of the word Yada', to know (Assyrian, iduÆ). In the Apocryphal Books we meet with suneivdhsi" twice, viz. in Ecclus. 10:20, where it is rendered 'wittingly;' and in Sap. 17:11, where it seems to point to the constraining power of a sense of right. The verb suneivdw is used of knowledge in Lev. 5:1; also in Job 27:6, where the LXX reads ouj gavr suvnoida eJmautw/` a[topa pravxa", 'I am not conscious of having acted foolishly,' words which have no Hebrew text answering to them, but which find an echo in St. Paul's phrase, 'I know nothing against myself' (oujde;n eJmautw/` suvnoida), 1 Cor. 4:4.

The verb suneivdw is also used to represent ordinary perception, without reference to the moral aspect of the thing perceived, in five passages in the Books of the Maccabees.

Conscience, then, so far as the O.T. throws any light on it, is to be taken not as a separate faculty which enables a man to distinguish right and wrong, but as the exercise of *consciousness*; and it will be seen, by noting the passages in the N.T. in which the word occurs, that this meaning is generally adhered to. Omitting John 8:9, the reading of which is doubtful, we do not meet with the word suneivdhsi" until we arrive at the end of the Acts. St. Paul, standing before the council, says, 'In all good conscience have I lived under the government of God unto this day' (Acts 23:1). These words are elucidated by the statement made before Felix, 'In this I exercise myself, having (or to have) a conscience void of offence towards God and towards man' (Acts 24:16). He evidently signified that he was not conscious of living or aiming to live in any course which was wrong in the sight of God or really offensive to man. In exact accordance with these expressions, he writes to the Corinthians, 'I am not conscious of anything against myself, yet am I not hereby justified, but he that judgeth me is the Lord' (1 Cor. 4:4).

The same Apostle refers to his consciousness that what he said was spoken in sincerity, in Rom. 9:1, 'My conscience also bearing witness.' Compare Rom. 2:15; 2 Cor. 4:2, and 5:11. In 1 Cor. 8:7, we read of those who are eating 'with conscience of the idol'—that is, with a conscious feeling that they are eating what is offered to idols; and their conscience, *i.e.* their moral sense, being weak and susceptible, is defiled. See also the tenth verse.

The moral sensibility or conscience is referred to in 1 Cor. 10:25, 27, 28, 29, 'Asking no questions because of consciousness; not your own consciousness, but that of the weak brother who

5 The R,. V. retains this spelling, instead of 'essayed.'

has not yet attained to that liberty and knowledge which enables you to disregard heathen superstitions.'

When St. Paul is describing the end or sum and substance of the charge which Christ lays upon men, he characterises it as 'love out of a pure heart and a good conscience and unfeigned faith' (1 Tim. 1:5); by these words he means that there should be nothing selfish or sensual in love, that there should be a conscious aim at that which is good in God's sight, and a faithfulness untainted by a particle of hypocrisy. Compare 1 Tim. 1:19, where faith and a good conscience are again joined together.

The passages in the Epistle to the Hebrews in which the word occurs are very interesting and important. From Heb. 9:9, we gather that the offerings under the O.T. could not make men 'perfect as pertaining to the conscience,' *i.e.* could not take away the sense of sin which hinders man from oneness with God. They did not take away sin, as a matter of fact, and they could not, from the nature of things; for if the effect of the Levitical dispensation had been to make men perfect, *i.e.* at one with God (see chap. viii. § 2), the offerings would not have needed repetition. If the worshippers had been purged once for all, they would have had no more consciousness of sins (Heb. 10:2). But 'the blood of Christ' cleanses a man's consciousness from dead works, and enables him to serve the living God (Heb. 9:14); and the heart is thus 'sprinkled from an evil conscience' (10:22). In other words, the faithful acceptance of the sacrifice of Christ takes away that sense of sin which had been a bar between man and God, and enables a man to live no longer as a servant, but as a son.

St. Peter says, 'This is grace (A. V. thankworthy) if from conscience towards God (*i.e.* through consciousness of his duty and of his relationship to God in Christ) a man endure pains, suffering unjustly' (1 Pet. 2:19). He urges that men should keep 'a good conscience' (3:16), and he reminds them that it is not the external cleansing, the putting away of the filth of the flesh, that now saves us, hut the answer of a good conscience toward God, or, as we might render it, the seeking ⁶

unto God with a good conscience (1 Pet. 3:21).

The verb sunidei`n, to be conscious, is used in only three passages in the N.T., exclusive of that already mentioned in 1 Cor. 4:4, viz. in Acts 5:2, 12:12, and 14:6.

Conscience was thus originally identical with consciousness, but while the latter word may be used by us with reference to external facts or to internal feelings, the former is now confined to the knowledge that a man has of the moral aspect of things. A good conscience, according to Scripture, is not only a sense of freedom from past guilt, but also a consciousness of purposing and doing that which is good in God's sight; it implies purity of motive and action; it is inconsistent with a deliberate course of sin, or with departure from the living God, and it is closely connected with faith in Christ.

§ 6. Words Marking Intelligence.

Coming to the words which designate man's intellectual capacities, we may begin with the word wisdom. This word generally answers in the A. V. to the Hebrew Chacam (µkj). This is an important word in Scripture, and is used to represent the discernment of good and evil, prudence in secular matters, skill in arts, experience in Divine things, and even dexterity in magic. In the

6 Eperwythma eij" qeuvn . This passage has awakened much discussion. I am inclined to be guided by the fact that eperwtavw sometimes answers to the meaning of darash (vrd), to seek, in the O. T. The Vulgate confirms this view by reading interrogatio conscientiae bonae in Deum. Luther renders 'the contract (Bund) of a good conscience (Gewissen) with God.' De Sacy takes it as 'the engagement of the conscience to keep pure for God.'

reflexive form it signifies to be wise in one's own eyes, and hence to outwit another. The general rendering of the LXX is sofiva, which is used in the same largeness of sense in the N.T. See especially James 3:17. It is moral rather than intellectual; it is the adaptation of what we know to what we have to do. In this sense the Lord Jesus grew in wisdom, *i.e.* in its exercise.

The understanding is most generally represented by the word bin (÷yb), to perceive, to be intelligent. This word, again, is used with many shades of meaning, such as to consider, discern, feel, know, look, mark, perceive, view. The LXX usually represents this word by suvnesi", but occasionally by ejpisthvmh and frovnhsi".

Sacal (lkv), to look, to be knowing, and hence to prosper, is used to represent a certain kind of wisdom in Gen. 3:6, and a good many other passages. The LXX renderings are generally the same as those last mentioned.

One word remains to be noticed, namely, tushiah (hyvwt). The LXX renderings for this word are very variable. Some critics understand it as signifying essentia, or existent being. Hence it is rendered 'that which is' in Job 11:13, 26:3, and substance in Job 30:22. Compare the cognate yesh (vy) in Prov. 8:21. In Isa. 28:29, it is translated working, 'wonderful in counsel, and excellent in working.' In Job 5:12, we find the word enterprise adopted. The most general rendering, however, is wisdom, or sound wisdom. Thus we read in Job 6:13, 'Is wisdom quite driven from me?' Prov. 2:7, 'He layeth up sound wisdom for the righteous;' 8:14, 'Counsel is mine, and sound wisdom;' Micah 6:9, 'The Lord's voice crieth unto the city, and (the man of) wisdom shall see thy name;' the margin has here, 'Thy name shall see that which is.'

CHAPTER VI.

SIN.

THE pictorial power of the Hebrew language is seldom exhibited more clearly than in connection with the various aspects of evil. Every word is a piece of philosophy; nay, it is a revelation. The observer of human affairs is painfully struck by the wearisomeness of life, and by the amount of toil and travail which the children of men have to undergo to obtain a bare existence; he sees the hollowness, vanity, and unreality of much that seems bright and charming at first; he notes that human nature, in its personal and social aspects, is distorted and out of course; that the chain of love which ought to bind the great family in one has been snapped asunder; that isolation and desolation have taken the place of unity and happiness; that the relationship between man and his Maker has become obscured, and that even when man knows the will of God, there is something in his nature which prompts him to rebel against it; lastly, he comes to the conviction that this state of things is not original, but is opposed to men's best instincts, and frustrates the original design of their creation.

The Hebrew Bible meets us with a full acknowledgment of these manifold aspects of human suffering, and blends wrong-doing and suffering to a remarkable degree, setting forth sin in its relation to God, to society, and to a man's own self, depicting it in its negative aspect as iniquity or unrighteousness, and in its positive aspect as rebellion and a breach of trust.

§ 1. Sin.

The word translated sin throughout the O.T., with very rare exceptions, is derived from the word Chatha (afj), which originally signifies to *miss the mark*, and answers to the Greek aJmartavnw notifying the fact that all wrong-doing is a *failure* or a *coming short* of that aim which God intended all His children to reach. ¹ If man was originally made in the image of God, it must have been implanted in him as a first principle that he should live as God lives. Every departure, therefore, from the law of Right is a coming short of the purpose for which man was made, and a missing of the goal which ought to be reached.

The word usually implies blame-worthiness, and is largely used in confessions, to express a conviction that wrong has been done either towards God or towards man. This wrong is not necessarily wilful, for many sins were committed through negligence or ignorance (see Lev. 4:2, 5:15, Num. 15:28). Sin is not usually regarded in the O.T. as a condition (*i.e.* sinfulness), but as a definite act, whether of thought, word, or deed. The word was applied not only to moral evil and idolatry, but also to breaches of ceremonial regulations.

The following are the only passages in which other words besides Chatha have been rendered sin by the translators of the A. V. In Lev. 4:13, and Num. 15:28, 29, we find the word Shagah (hgv

), to err; in 1 Kings 17:18, <Aven (÷w[), vanity or iniquity; in Prov. 10:12, 19, 28:13, Pesha< ([vp), rebellion or transgression.

Chatha is occasionally rendered by some other word instead of sin. Thus it is rendered fault in Gen. 41:9, and Exod. 5:16; trespass in 1 Kings 8:31; harm in Lev. 5:16; blame in Gen. 43:9, and 44:32; offend in Gen. 20:9, 40:1; 1 Kings 1:21; 2 Kings 18:14; Eccles. 10:4; Isa. 29:21; and Jer. 37:18.

The verb has a peculiar meaning in the *Piel* or *Intensive* Voice, as is the case with several other verbs. In this Voice it is rendered as follows:—to make reconciliation (2 Chron. 29:24); to bear loss (Gen. 31:39); to offer for sin (Leviticus passim); to cleanse from sin (Exod. 29:36; Lev. 14:49, 52; Ezek.

43:20, 22, 23, 45:18); to purge or purify (Lev. 8:15; Num. 8:7, 21; 19:9, 12, 13, 17, 19, 20; 31:19, 20, 23); also in the familiar words of the Psalm (51:7), 'Purge me with hyssop and I shall be clean,' and in Job 41:25, where we read of the Leviathan that 'when he raiseth up himself, the mighty are afraid; by reason of breakings they purify themselves..

The LXX, which is generally very consistent in retaining the rendering aJmartavnw has in some ceremonial passages adopted renderings similar to those now noticed. Thus we find ejxilavskomai in 2 Chron. 29:24, Ezek. 43:22, 45:18; aJgnivzw Num. 8:21, 19:12, 13, 31:19, 23; ajfagnivzw in Lev. 14:49, 52, Num.19:12, 19, 20, 31:20; kaqarivzw in Exod. 29:36, Lev. 8:15; 9:15; rJantivzw in Ps.51:7; iJlasmov" in Ezek.44:27 ejxilasmov" Exod.30:10, Ezek. 43:23, 45:19; a{gnisma in Num.19:19; and aJgnismov" Num. 8:7, 19:17.

§ 2. Wrong.

The perversion or distortion of nature which is caused by evil-doing is represented by the word <avah (hw[), to be bent or crooked. The original meaning of the word is found in Isa. 21:3, 'I was bowed down at the hearing of it;' Lam. 3:9, 'He hath made my ways crooked;' and perhaps Ps. 38:6, where we read in the A. V., 'I am troubled, I am bowed down greatly.' The English word wrong,

i.e. that which is wrung out of course, gives the same idea of evil, and is taken as a translation of <avah in Esther 1:16. We also find the analogous word perverseness as a rendering in 1 Sam. 20:30; 2 Sam. 19:19; 1 Kings 8:47; Job 33:27; Prov. 12:8; Isa. 19:14; and Jer. 3:21. Amiss is found in 2 Chron. 6:37; and iniquity in 2 Sam. 7:14; Ps.65:3, 106:6; Jer. 9:5; Ezek. 28:18; Dan. 4:2, 9:5; and

1 The word is used in its original sense in Jud. 20:16, where we rend of 'seven hundred chosen men left-handed, every one of whom could sling stones at an hair's breadth, and not miss.'

Mal.2:6.

The chief renderings for <avah in the LXX are aJmartiva, ajnomiva and ajdikiva, none of which quite coincide with the original in their primary meaning.

§ 3. Travail.

That sin has made life a burden and has turned work into toil and travail is acknowledged by all, and this fact has found its place among the lessons contained in Hebrew words. The word <amal (lm[) sets forth labour in its toilsome aspect, and is well represented in the LXX by kovpo", movcqo", and povuo". It is rendered toil in Gen.41:51; trouble in Job 5:6, 7; wearisome in Job 7:3; sorrow in Job 3:10, Ps. 55:10; pain or painful (in its old sense, as involving labour) in Ps. 25:18, 73:16; and labour in Ps. 90:10, 'Yet is their strength labour and sorrow.' This last rendering is constantly found in the Book of Ecclesiastes, which is devoted in great measure to a setting forth of the burdensomeness of an earthly existence. In Eccles. 4:6, <amal is rendered travail, and this rendering has been adopted in Isa. 53:11, where we read of the Messiah that 'he shall see (the fruits) of the travail of his soul, and shall be satisfied.'

The passages hitherto noted do not trace the weariness of life to its source, but there are others in which this is not obscurely taught. In Isa. 10:1, and Hab. 1:3, <amal is rendered grievousness; in Num. 23:21, perverseness, 'he hath not seen perverseness in Israel;' in Hab. 1:13, iniquity, 'thou canst not look on iniquity;' in Job 4:8, wickedness; in Job 15:35, mischief, 'they conceive mischief and bring forth vanity' See also Ps. 7:14, 16; 10:7, 14; 94:20; 140:9; Prov. 24:2; Isa. 59:4, in all of which the same rendering is given and the same idea implied.

§ 4. Iniquity.

The word <aval (lw[) is thought to designate the want of integrity and rectitude which is the accompaniment, if not the essential part, of wrong-doing. This word in some of its forms reminds one of the word *evil* (Ger. *Uebel*), and of the contracted word *ill*. The chief renderings for it in the LXX are ajdikiva and ajnomiva of which the first is probably the best. <Aval is rendered unjust in Ps. 43:1, 82:2, Prov. 29:27, Isa. 26:10, Zeph. 3:5; unrighteous in Lev. 19:15, 35, Deut. 25:16, Job 27:7, Ps. 71:4, 92:15; ungodly in Job 16:11; perverse in Isa. 59:3; wicked in twelve passages, including Ps. 89:22, 'The enemy shall not exact upon him, nor the son of wickedness afflict him.'

<Aval is also rendered iniquity in about thirty passages; and this word, taken in its original sense, as a departure from that which is equal and right, is probably the most suitable rendering. The usage of the word is well illustrated by Mal. 2:6, where we read of Levi that 'the law of truth was in his mouth, and iniquity was not found in his lips; he walked with me in peace and equity, and did turn many away from unrighteousness.'</p>

§ 5. Transgression.

The idea of transgression, or crossing over the boundary of right and entering the forbidden land of wrong, is marked by the use of the word <Avar (rb[), to cross over (compare the Assyrian ebiru, 'to cross'). The word is rendered transgress in eighteen passages, *e.g.* Ps. 17:3, Hos. 6:7, and 8:1.

§ 6. Evil.

The word generally used for evil and wickedness is ra< ([r], which appears to signify *breaking up*, or *ruin*. The LXX rendering for it is usually kakov" or ponhrov". It is one of those words which binds together in one the wicked deed and its consequences. It is evil as opposed to good in Gen. 2:17, *al*. It is rendered calamity in Ps. 141:5; distress in Neh. 2:17; adversity in 1 Sam. 10:19, Ps. 94:13, and Eccles.7:14; grief in Neh. 2:10, Prov. 15:10, Eccles. 2:17, Jonah 4:6; affliction in Num. 11:11, and ten other passages; misery in Eccles. 8:6; and in Gen. 40:7, Neh. 2:1, 2, Eccles. 7:3; sorrow in Gen.44:29, Neh. 2:2; trouble in Ps. 41:1, and eight other passages; sore in Deut. 6:22, and eight other passages, noisome in Ezek. 14:15, 21; hurt in Gen. 26:29, and twenty-eight other passages; heavy in Prov. 25:20; vex in Num. 20:15, and 2 Sam. 12:18; wretchedness in Num. 11:15; also harm, ill, and mischief in almost every place where these words are found in the A. V.

These passages sometimes imply injury done to a person, but do not touch upon its moral aspect. This is to be borne in mind as we read Isa. 45:7, 'I create evil,' and similar verses. In other cases, however, this element is introduced. In Jud. 11:27, we read, 'I have not sinned against thee, but thou doest me wrong to war against me;' here the wrong or injury is regarded as an injustice. Again, in 1 Sam. 17:28, 'I know thy pride and the naughtiness of thy heart,' moral evil seems to be intended. The word is also rendered 'naught' or 'naughty' in 2 Kings 2:19, Prov. 20:14, and Jer. 24:2; but in these passages naughty has its original sense of 'good for nothing,' a sense in which the word is still used in some parts of England. Perhaps this was all that was implied in Eliab's rude speech to David.

Ra< is rendered wicked a great many times; it is also frequently rendered bad, but in the latter class of passages that which is injurious is referred to rather than that which is morally evil. Ra<, in fact, generally indicates the rough exterior of wrong-doing, as a breach of harmony, and as a breaking up of what is good and

desirable in man and in society. Whilst the prominent characteristic of the godly is lovingkindness, one of the most marked features of the ungodly man is that his course is an injury both to himself and to every one round him.

§ 7. Rebellion.

Pasha< ([vp]) signifies to revolt or refuse subjection to rightful authority. It is very generally rendered transgression. The chief LXX renderings for it are ajsevbeia, ajdikiva, and ajnomiva. We meet with the verb in Ps. 51:13, 'Then will I teach transgressors thy ways, and sinners shall be converted unto thee;' Prov. 28:21, 'For a piece of bread a man will transgress' (*i.e.* rebel); Isa. 43:27, 'Thy teachers have transgressed against me.'

Pasha< is rendered sin in Prov. 10:12, 'Love covereth all sins,' where the contrast between the offence and the mercy is brought out very clearly by the use of the word; again it is found in verse 19, 'In the multitude of words there wanteth not sin;' 28:13, 'He that covereth his sins shall not prosper.' It is rendered trespass in Gen. 31:36, 50:17; Exod. 22:9; 1 Sam. 25:28; and Hos. 8:1, 'They have trespassed against my law.' In 2 Kings 8:20, 22, it is used in its primary sense of the revolt of Edom and Libnah; in 1 Kings 12:19, of the 'rebellion' of Israel against Judah; so also in other passages. We meet with the word in Job 34:37, where it is said of him that 'he addeth rebellion unto his sin.' Lastly, it occurs in the opening of the prophecies of Isaiah, 'I have nourished and brought up children, and they have rebelled against me' (Isa. 1:2).

§ 8. Wickedness.

Rasha< ([vr]) is the word most generally rendered wicked ² in the A. V. It is supposed originally to refer to the *activity*, the *tossing*, and the *confusion* in which the wicked live, and the perpetual agitation which they cause to others. Thus Isaiah says (57:20, 21) 'The wicked are like the troubled sea when it cannot rest, whose waters cast up mire and dirt; there is no peace, saith my God, to the wicked.' Job also (3:17) looks forward to the grave as the place 'where the wicked cease from troubling, and the weary are at rest.' In the Book of Job the wicked are represented as triumphing for a time, but as finally put out into darkness; in the Psalms they are represented as busily occupied in disturbing the peace of others, and as trying to destroy them. They are frequently contrasted with the righteous; and their ways are fully described in Ezekiel, chaps.18. and 33. If Kennicott's view of Isa. 53:9 could be substantiated, we should read of the Messiah, 'he made his grave with the rich, but with the wicked was his death;' and the use of the word to mark the robbers or disturbers of the public peace would have been very appropriate.

Rasha< is usually rendered ajsebhv", ungodly, in the LXX, but a[nomo" and aJmartwlov" are found in several passages.

The verb in its Hiphil or causative form is generally taken as signifying to condemn, literally 'to make wicked,' and hence 'to deal with as wicked.' It is found in all but four passages where the word 'condemn' occurs in the A. V.

§ 9. Breach of Trust.

The word Ma<al (l[m) probably points to the unfaithfulness and treachery of sin, and represents wrong-doing as a breach of trust, whether between man and man or between man and God. It is rendered trespass about thirty times, transgression

fifteen times, and falsehood in Job 21:34. In the first passage where it occurs (Lev. 5:15), it refers to the trespass committed in ignorance; in the second, to any sin committed against one's neighbour (6:2). In Josh. 7:1, 22:20, it is used of Achan's sin; the building of the altar on the east of Jordan was also described by this word (Josh. 22:16); it is applied to Uzziah (2 Chron. 26:18); to Ahaz (28:22); to Manasseh (33:19); and to the people who married heathen wives (Ezra 9:2, 4; Neh.13:27). Lastly, it is found in Prov. 16:10, where we read that 'the king's mouth transgresseth not in judgment.' The breach of trust denoted by this word was regarded by God in a very serious light. See Ezek. 14:13, 15:8, 18:24, 39:23. The reason of this is manifest. The persons guilty of sin in this particular aspect were chiefly persons in authority. A certain trust had been reposed in them, which they had abused. Much had been given to them, and much was required of them. The nation of Israel as a whole were put in a position of high privilege and consequent responsibility, hence their departure from the way of God was marked specially by this word as an act of unfaithfulness. The word Bagad (dgb), to deal treacherously, is sometimes used in the same sense.

² The word wicked is supposed by some etymologists to be connected with *quick*, and to mean *lively*; if this be its true significance, it answers admirably to Rasha<. See Dean Hoare's work on *English Roots*.

§ 10. Vanity.

The word most frequently rendered iniquity is Aven (\div wa) —Assyrian, <annu . Some critics connect this word with a root which signifies desire; others, with greater reason, hold that its original meaning is nothingness. Its connection with idolatry is noticeable (see chap.27. § 2), and originates in the fact that an idol is a thing of naught, a vain thing. In Amos 5:5, we read, 'Bethel shall come to naught' (aven); and, turning to Hos. 4:15, 5:8, 10:5, 8, we find that Bethel, the House of God, is designated as Beth-aven, *i.e.* the house of vanity, because idols were worshipped there.

The word is rendered vanity in several passages: Job 15:35, 'They conceive mischief and bring forth vanity;' Ps. 10:7, 'Under his tongue is mischief and vanity;' Prov. 22:8, 'He that soweth iniquity shall reap vanity.' See also Isa. 41:29, 58:9; Jer. 4:14; Zech. 10:2.

The word Aven is to be found in Prov. 11:7 (unjust); Isa. 10:1, 55:7 (unrighteous); Ps. 90:10 (sorrow); Deut. 26:14 (mourning); Job 5:6 (affliction); Ps. 140:11 (evil); Prov. 17:4 (false); Ps 36:4 (mischief).

Aven is rendered wickedness in a few passages, and iniquity in thirty-eight places. The most noticeable are: Num. 23:21; 1 Sam. 15:23; Job 4:8, 21:19, 31:3, 34:22; Ps. 5:5, 6:8; Isa. 1:13; Micah 2:1.

On considering all these passages, we shall be led to the conclusion that the word Aven suggests not so much breach of law or injury done to another, as a course of conduct which will in the end prove unprofitable to the doer. It presents the evil devices of man in their false, hollow, and unreal aspect; and by the use of this word the inspired writers put a stamp of nothingness or unreality upon every departure from the law of God, whether it consists of wrong-doing, evil devising, false speaking, or idolatrous worship.

The leading rendering of Aven in the LXX is ajnomiva; ajdikiva is used several times; povno" and kovpo" occasionally.

§ 11. Guilt.

We now come to a word about which there has been a good deal of difference of opinion, namely, Asham (μva), the usual rendering of which in the LXX is plhmmevleia , a mistake, and in the A. V. trespass or guilt. ³

Some critics hold that whilst Chatha denotes sins of commission, Asham designates sins of omission. Others have come to the conclusion that Chatha means sin in general, and Asham sin against the Mosaic law. An examination of all the passages in which the word occurs leads to the conclusion that Asham is used where a sin, moral or ceremonial, has been committed through error, negligence, or ignorance. A loose code of morality might permit such offences to be passed by, but not so the law of Moses. An offence against the person of another *is* an offence, whether it be known or found out at the time or not. When it comes to our knowledge, we are liable, *i.e.* we are to regard ourselves as having offended, even though it has been unwittingly; and compensation must be made. So also when the offence is a breach of ceremonial law, or if it is an act of idolatry (for which the word Asham is frequently used), when the matter is brought to a man's cognisance, he is not to content himself with the excuse that he acted in error, but is to acknowledge himself as Asham, and is to offer an Asham or guilt-offering ⁴ for his trespass.

The following passages are the most notable in which the word occurs:— 3 The English word *guilt* is probably derived from A. S. *geldun*, to pay a fine.

4 See chap. xvi.

Lev. 4:13, 'If the whole congregation of Israel sin through error (A. V. ignorance), and the thing be hid from the eyes of the assembly, and they have done (somewhat against) any of the commandments of the Lord (concerning things) which should not be done, and are guilty,' &c.; so also in verses 22 and 27. In these cases a commandment has been broken unwittingly; it afterwards comes to the knowledge of the offender, and he is Asham.

Lev. 5:2, 3, 'If a soul touch any unclean thing, and if it be hidden from him, he also shall be unclean and guilty ... when he knoweth it, he shall be guilty;' verse 4, 'Or if a soul swear ... and it be hid from him, when he knoweth of it, then he shall be guilty;' verses 5, 6, 'And it shall be, when he shall be guilty in one of these things, that he shall confess that he hath sinneth in that thing, and he shall bring his trespass-offering;' verse 15, 'If a soul commit a trespass (ma<al), and sin through error (or ignorance), in the holy things of the Lord; then he shall bring for his trespass unto the Lord a ram ... for a trespass-offering;' verse 17, 'If a soul sin, and commit any of these things that are forbidden to be done by the commandments of the Lord; though he wist it not, yet he is guilty, and shall bear his iniquity; and he shall bring a ram ... and the priest shall make an atonement for him concerning his ignorance wherein he erred and wist it not, and it shall be forgiven him. ⁵ It is a trespass-offering: he hath certainly trespassed against the Lord.'

It is unfortunate that unity of rendering has not been preserved in these passages, as there is nothing to show the English reader the connection between the words guilty and trespass. But see

R. V. Compare Gen. 42:21; Num. 5:6, 7; Jud. 21:22; 1 Chron. 21:3; 2 Chron. 19:10, 28:10, 13; Ezra 10:19; Ps. 69:5; Prov. 30:10; Jer. 2:3, 50:7; Ezek. 22:4, 25:12; Hos. 4:15, 5:15, 10:2 (compare 2 Sam. 14:13).

It may be gathered from a consideration of these passages that whilst Chatha marks the peculiar nature of sin as a missing of the mark, Asham implies a breach of commandment, wrought without due consideration, and which, when brought to the notice of the offender, calls for amends or atonement.

§ 12. Words for Sin in the N.T.

Most of the Greek words which have been referred to in the foregoing sections are to be found in the N.T. The original sense of aJmartavnw and Chatha seems to be referred to in a most important passage in the Epistle to the Romans (3:23), 'All have sinned and come short of the glory of God.' The sinner is one who has missed or come short of the mark. An important definition of sin is given by St. James—'to him that knoweth to do good, and doeth it not, to him it is sin' (4:17). It would seem to be implied that where there is no knowledge of what is right or wrong there is no sin; and with this agree the words of our Lord to the Pharisees, 'If ye were blind, ye should have no sin: but now ye say, We see; therefore your sin remaineth' (John 9:41). The profession of knowledge involved responsibility, and caused the Pharisees to be condemned, out of their own mouth, as sinners. Absolute ignorance is excusable, even though it is a missing of the mark, but negligence is not (see Heb. 2:3).

The relationship of ajnomiva to aJmartiva is clearly shown in 1 John 3:4, 'Whosoever committeth sin committeth iniquity (ajnomivan): and sin is iniquity.' So again with regard to the connection existing between, ajdikiva, departure from right, and aJmartiva, we read (1 John 5:17), 'All unrighteousness is sin.' A similar relationship between ajsevbeia and aJmartiva is implied in the juncture of ajsebei" and aJmartwloiv in 1 Tim. 1:9, 1 Pet. 4:18, and Jude 15. With regard to all these words, it is to be noticed that the N.T. leans upon the O.T., and that the vivid teaching of the

5 Is it not in some degree implied here that a man is, in a measure at least responsible for his ignorance.

latter is taken for granted as authoritative by the writers of the Christian Scriptures.

The labour and wearisomeness of sin is not dwelt upon in the N.T., and the words which imply it are usually found in a more noble sense, in connection with toil for Christ. With regard to kovpo", one passage may be referred to as an illustration of this fact, namely, 1 Cor. 3:8, where we read that every minister shall be rewarded according to his own labour (kovpon). He shall be rewarded not by the results produced—this would have involved the use of the word e[rgon —but by the amount of labour expended; hence kovpo" is used. A few verses further down e[rgon is used with great propriety, where we read that the fire shall test a man's work, of what sort it is. Here the point of the passage is that it is not the outward show or bulk, but the real value of the work done, which shall be the test of a man's faithfulness at the Great Day. The words kovpo" and movcqo" are found together in 2 Cor. 11:27, 1 Thess. 2:9, and 2 Thess. 3:8. While the former implies pains and labour, the latter signifies toil of such a sort as produces weariness Where povno" is used, it is generally to indicate a tax upon one's physical strength, whether arising from toil or from pain. In Rev. 21, 4, we are told that there shall be none of it in the new heaven and earth. The etymological relationship between povno" and ponhriva is undoubted, though no passages in the N.T. clearly refer to it, and the double use of the word <Amal is exactly analogous to it. Ponhriva is often to be understood in the N.T. as signifying rapacity, which is the fruit of covetousness. It is also used of 'evil spirits.'

CHAPTER VII.

REPENTANCE, CONVERSION, AMENDMENT.

T HE previous chapters of this book have been occupied with discussion on the names, and consequently on the nature and capacities, of God and of man, and

also on the varied aspects of human sin. Attention is now to be called to some of the sacred words used to express the moral or spiritual process whereby man is restored to his true position. Two ideas are set forth in the O.T., and adopted in the N.T., in this connection; the one marks the bringing of a man to *himself*, the other the bringing of a man *to God*; the one is ordinarily designated repentance, the other conversion.

§ 1. Repentance.

Very various views have been held with respect to the meaning of the word repentance. Some take it to indicate a change of heart or disposition, others a change of mind or thought (the *Sinnesänderung* of the Berlenburger Bible), others a change of aim or purpose, and others a change of life or conduct. With the exception of three passages—namely, 1 Kings 8:47, Ezek. 14:6, and 18 30 (in which the Hebrew is Shuv ¹ (bwv), and the Greek ejpistrevfw)—the English word repent is used in the A. V. to represent a form of the Hebrew Nacham (μjn), from which the name of the prophet Nahum is derived. The original meaning of this word is generally understood to be *to draw a deep breath*, and this is taken as the physical mode of giving expression to a deep feeling, either of relief or sorrow. The one aspect of Nacham is represented by the Greek parakavleisqai,

1 See below, § 3.

the other by metanoevin and metamevlesqai.

Nacham is rendered by metanoevin in the following passages: 1 Sam. 15:29, 'The Strength of Israel will not lie nor repent: for he is not a man, that he should repent;' Jer. 4:28, 'I have purposed it, and will not repent;' Jer. 18:8, 'If that nation against whom I have pronounced turn from their evil, I will repent of the evil that I thought to do unto them' (compare verse 10, where we read, 'If it do evil in my sight, that it obey not my voice, then I will repent of the good wherewith I said I would benefit them'); Joel 2:13, 14, 'The Lord ... repenteth him of the evil. Who knoweth if he will return and repent;' Amos 7:3, 6, 'The Lord repented for this. It shall not be, saith the Lord;' Jonah 3:10, 'God repented of the evil that he had said he would do unto them; and he did it not;' see also 4:2; Zech. 8:14, 'I repented not.'

All these passages refer to God's repentance; the two which remain refer to man's: Jer. 8:6, 'No man repented him of his wickedness, saying, What have I done?' Jer. 31:19, 'Surely after that I was turned, I repented; and after that I was instructed, I smote upon my thigh.'

The LXX has metamevlomai for Nacham in the following passages: Gen. 6:7, 'It repenteth me that I have made them;' 1 Sam. 15:11, 'It repenteth me that I have set up Saul to be king' (see also verse 35); 1 Chron. 21:15, 'The Lord beheld, and he repented him of the evil, and said to the angel that destroyed, It is enough, stay now thine hand;' Ps. 106:45, 'He remembered for them his covenant, and repented according to the multitude of his mercies;' Ps. 110:4, 'The Lord hath sworn, and will not repent;' Jer. 20:16, 'Let that man be as the cities which the Lord overthrew, and repented not;' Hosea 11:8, 'Mine heart is turned within me, my repentings are kindled together.'

In the following passages this Greek word is used in the LXX of man's repentance: Exod. 13:17, 'Lest peradventure the people repent when they see war, and they return to Egypt;' Ezek. 14:22, 'Ye shall repent (A.V. be comforted)

concerning the evil that I have brought upon Jerusalem.' It is evident, from a consideration of these passages, that when we approach the subject of repentance in the N.T., we must not tie it down too strictly, either to one formal process, or to one set time in a man's life, but must understand by it such a state of deep feeling as leads to a change or amendment of life. The etymology and the classical usage of the words metanoevin and metamevlesqui must give way before the fact that these words were used by Greek-speaking Jews, as representatives of the passive and reflexive voices of Nacham. It is hard indeed to find one expression in any language which can adequately represent the complex emotions implied by the word. When the word is used with reference to God, there is implied an idea of change, and perhaps of sorrow, but not the consciousness of wrong-doing. When it is used with reference to man, sorrow arises from a sense of sin, a conviction of wrong-doing in its varied aspects fills the heart with bitterness, and change of purpose and of the outward life ensue; also an undercurrent of relief accompanies the sorrow, for the penitent draws a deep breath as the sin, which has been leading him astray, shows itself to him in its true colours, and gives way before the announcement of mercy.

There is a remarkable tract on Penitence ² written by Moses Maimonides, in which the subject is treated, not as a matter of feeling, but of practice. Penitence is described as the condition of a man who, having once fallen into a sin, now abstains from it, although the inducements to return to it are as strong as ever. The Hebrew word which the writer adopts to represent this process is a noun derived from shuv to turn. But the first open step in this change is confession, which is to be expressed in the following form of words: 'O Lord, I have sinned; I have done wrong, and have been a transgressor before Thee, and I have done such and such things; behold, I am sorry (Nacham), and am ashamed because of my misdeeds, and I will never commit any such offenses again.' It is neither sorrow without change, nor change without sorrow, but it is such a deep feeling of sorrow as gives rise to a determination to change,

or, as the English Church Catechism has it, 'repentance whereby we forsake sin.'

2 An edition of this tract, with a Latin translation by Mr. Clavering, was published

in Oxford in

1705.

The learned Rosenmüller defines repentance as the admission of wrong-doing followed by grief and leading to a wiser course: 'Post factum sapere, et de errore admisso ita dolere ut sapias .' ³ He holds to the Latin resipiscere as the best rendering of the word; and this view has been very common since the days of Beza, from whom Rosenmüller takes his definition almost word for word. The distinction between metamevleia , regret, and metavnoia , reconsideration, which Beza held, must not be pressed very far; because, as we have seen, these words are used in almost the same sense in the LXX. ⁴ Besides, as a matter of fact, the noun metamevleia does not occur in the N.T., and the verb metamevlesqui falls into the background. It is once used with

N.T., and the verb metamevlesqui falls into the background. It is once used with respect to *God*, viz. in Heb. 7:21, which is quoted from Ps. 110:4; and four times of *man*, viz. in Matt. 21:29, 32, 27:3; 2 Cor. 7:8. See the negative form in Rom. 11:29; 2 Cor. 7:10.

The objections to the Latin word Poenitentia as a rendering of metavnoia were more forcibly expressed by Erasmus in his *Annotations*. But he wrote without at all taking into consideration the Hebrew and Judaeo-Greek usage, whence we derive the word metavnoia. Because in his days the Roman sacrament of *penance*, *i.e.* satisfaction for sins committed *after* baptism, was called by the same name as *penitence*, or sorrow for sins committed either *before* or *after* baptism, he thought that some other word should be adopted. He called Poenitentia a barbarism and a solecism, and to him must be given the credit of pressing upon his contemporaries the word resipiscentia, which had previously been adopted by Lactantius, as the better of the two. Lucas Brugensis, however, well replies that Poenitentia had a far wider meaning amongst Latin ecclesiastical writers than was usually supposed; it implied not only sorrow, but also a change for the better. Whilst, on the other hand, metavnoia had a wider meaning than *change*; for it included *sorrow*, and compunction of heart.

In the Decrees of the Council of Trent, a careful distinction is drawn between the Poenitentia which precedes baptism, and that which follows it. The former is general, and consists of a sorrow for sin with a renunciation of wickedness. Here

we have the complex idea of repentance evidently implied in the usage of the word, though not in its etymology. The Poenitentia which follows after baptism is not efficacious, according to the theory of the Church of Rome, without confession followed by sacerdotal absolution. ⁵

When Martin Luther made his first translation of the N.T., he adopted the phrase bessert euch, 'better yourselves' (a phrase answering to 'amend your ways') as a rendering for metanoeivte, repent; but after a few years he returned to the customary phrase of the country, thut Busse, a phrase answering to Do penance or Be penitent. Perhaps he was moved to this change by the feeling that moral amendment in the abstract was no equivalent for repentance, and tended rather to mislead. In seven passages he has Reue, regret; thus the 'repentance not to be repented of' (Vulg. poenitentiam stabilem) is rendered 'eine Reue, die Niemand gereuet,' a regret which no man regrets.

§ 2. Comfort.

3 Schol N. T.

- 4 The opinion here advanced has the support of Elsner. See also Archbishop Trench's discussions on the word. In his work on the 'Synonyms of the N. T.' he is inclined to draw out the distinction between the two words above named but in his work on the 'Authorised Version' he rather disclaims Beza's resipiscentia .
- 5 Satisfaction, according to the Tridentine theology, consists of certain acts of self-denial, whether corporal suffering or otherwise, imposed on the penitent according to the judgment of the priest and the rules of the Church, for the purpose of bringing men into greater conformity with Christ; because 'If we *suffer* with him, we shall also be glorified together.' These acts are considered to represent the 'fruits meet for repentence,' and to be accepted by God through Christ.

Where the word Nacham signifies to be comforted, the LXX rendering is usually a form of parakalevw . But the word comfort in its modern usage hardly conveys the etymological force which it ought to have. It originally signified support and encouragement, quite as much as consolation. The comforter or advocate of the N.T. administers help and strength as well as peace and joy; and the being comforted often involves both a confirmation in the right course, and also a relinquishing of a previous course.

The verb parakalei`n in the N.T. generally signifies to be seech or to encourage. It represents an earnestness and urgency prompted by deep feeling—see, for example, Matt. 8:5, where the leper falls before Christ, 'be seeching him' to cleanse him; Rom. 12:1, 'I be seech you by the mercies of God.' Sometimes, however, it signifies to cheer up, as in 2 Cor. 1:4, 'Who comforteth us in all our tribulations.' Compare Matt. 5:4, 'Blessed are they that mourn, for they shall be comforted.'

The word paravklhto" occurs five times in the N.T. In four of these passages we have rendered it by the word comforter. In the fifth, although we have our Lord's authority for adopting the same rendering in the one case as in the other, ⁶ we have rendered it Advocate. The Vulgate has paraclitus in John 14:16, and advocatus in 1 John 2:1; so Luther has *Tröster* and *Fürsprecher*. The word *Beistand* adopted by De Wette and Van Ess gives rather the classical than the Judaeo-Greek sense.

In Rom. 15:4, 5, we read of 'patience and comfort' of the Scriptures, and of 'the God of patience and consolation.' The Apostle here beautifully represents the truth that the Scriptures are the *means* of conveying that patience and comfort of which God is the *source*. The R. V. has comfort in both verses.

§ 3. Conversion.

Two words answer to the English word conversion in the O.T. Haphac (ûph), to turn , is used in Isa. 60:5, 'The abundance of the sea shall be converted unto thee.' Shuv (bwv), to return , is the general word. It is found in Ps. 51:13, 'Sinners shall be converted unto thee;' Ps. 19:7, 'The law of the Lord is perfect, converting (or restoring) the soul;' Isa. 1:27, 'Zion shall be redeemed with judgment, and her converts (or they that return of her) with righteousness;' 6:10, 'Lest they see with their eyes, and hear with their ears, and understand with their heart, and convert and be healed.' In these passages, with the exception of Ps. 19:7, the word is used in the active voice, and in a neuter sense, and might be rendered return. It is frequently used with a second verb to give the sense of 'again' or 'back.'

The LXX usually renders Shuv by ejpistrevfw, which is the general word used to represent the turning of the heart to God, whether from Judaism, idolatry, or sin, in the N. T see, for example, Gal. 4:9; 1 Thess. 1:9; James 5:19, 20. The process called conversion or turning to God is in reality a *re-turning*, or a turning back again to Him from whom sin has separated us, but whose we are by virtue of creation, preservation, and redemption. The form is used in Matt. 18:3.

§ 4. Amendment.

The idea of amendment or improvement has been sanctioned by our translators in a few passages. In 2 Chron. 34:10, where we read of the amending of the House of the Lord, the Hebrew word is

6 'He shall give you *another* Comforter,' implying that they had *one* already, even Himself. St. John in his First Epistle may well be supposed to have this passage in his mind when be uses the word paravklhto" of Christ.

Chazak, to be strong. In Jer. 7:3, 5, 26:13, 35:15 ('Amend your ways'), we find Yathav (bfy), to make good. This word is used in a great variety of senses in the O.T. Thus it is said that 'God saw all that be had made, and behold it was very good,' Gen. 1:31; in Exod. 2:9, Moses is called 'a goodly child;' the tents of Israel too are called 'goodly,' Num. 24:5; it is used of a beautiful woman, 2 Sam. 11:2; of the fair daughters of men, Gen. 6:2; of fair houses, Isa. 5:9; of precious ointment, Ps. 133:2, Eccles. 7:1; of the idol ready for the sodering, Isa. 41:7; of welfare, Neh. 2:10; of prosperity, Deut. 23:6, Zech. 1:17; of wealth, Job 21:13; of a good dowry, Gen. 30:20; of the tree which was good for food, Gen. 3:6; and of a merry heart, 1 Sam. 25:36. The thought to be gathered from a consideration of these passages is that goodness is not an absolute moral quality, but signifies that which is agreeable or pleasing, whether to God or man. Hence the verb is rendered to please, or to be pleasant in one's eyes, e.g. Neh. 2:6, Ps. 69:31; to find favour, 1 Sam. 2:26, 29:6; to be accepted, Lev. 10:19,1 Sam. 18:5. If this view be correct, we are to understand that when Jeremiah says 'amend your ways,' he does not mean 'improve them' in the abstract, or with relation to what they were before; but rather, 'make your course such as is agreeable to God, and do what is well pleasing in His sight.'

There are several renderings for this word in the LXX, but ajgaqov", kalov", and crhstov" are the most common. Agaqov" is generally but not always used of moral goodness, as opposed to ponhriva, wickedness, in the N.T., but the idea of what is pleasant in God's sight is implied. In the case of the word kalov", the elements of fairness and nobleness underlie the idea of goodness.

Where crhstov" is used in the N.T., the idea of kindness or kindliness is specially introduced. Thus where the Lord says oJ zugov" mou crhstov" (Matt. 11:30), we might render His words 'my yoke is *kindly* '—something more than easy; it is grateful to the spiritual sense of the converted man. So of wine, we may read in Luke 5:39, 'The old is more kindly;' Luke 6:35, 'He is kind to the unthankful;' Rom. 2:4, 'The kindness of God leadeth them to repentance;' 1 Cor. 15:33, 'Evil communications corrupt kindly manners;' 1 Pet. 2:3, 'If so be that ye have tasted

that the Lord is kind' (quoted from Ps. 34:8).

CHAPTER VIII.

PERFECTION.

§ 1. Words Signifying Perfection.

T HE moral relationship existing between ideas which at first sight appear utterly unconnected with one another, is seldom more beautifully illustrated than in the choice of Hebrew words whereby the ideas of perfection or completeness are portrayed in Scripture.

A few passages may first be noticed in which there is some uncertainty as to the accuracy of our authorised translation. Thus, in 2 Chron. 24:13, the word (hkwra) is generally understood to signify health; but our own language testifies to a relationship here, for health is wholeness. In Jer. 23:20, where the A. V. reads, 'Ye shall consider it perfectly,' we might better render the word intelligently (hnyb). When the Psalmist says (138:8), 'The Lord will perfect that which concerneth me,' he uses the word Gamar (rmg, Assyrian gamru), to finish, implying his confidence that God, having begun

the good work, will bring it to a successful issue. So Ezra is described as a perfect, *i.e.* a finished, scribe (Ezra 7:6).

In Prov. 4:18, the A. V. reads, 'The path of the just is as the shining light that shineth more and more unto the perfect day.' This verse is sometimes understood as if it meant that the way of the righteous is like the sun, the light of which keeps increasing in brightness until the noonday. But the word here rendered perfect (÷wk) properly means to fix or establish, and the truth taught is that the way of the righteous is like the dawning light, which increases more and more in steadiness and brightness until the full sun arises and thus establishes the day (LXX, e{w" katorqwvsh/hJ h{mera}). Two words, nearly related to each other, and both signifying completion or a consummation, namely, Calah (hlk , Assyrian kaluÆ) and Calal (llk, Assyrian kalaÆlu), are found several times in the Scripture. Thus, in Job 11:7, we read, 'Canst thou find out the Almighty unto perfection,' i.e. 'entirely'? Job 28:3, 'He sendeth out all perfection,' i.e. nothing is hid from Him; Ps. 50:2, 'Out of Zion the perfection (i.e. the climax) of beauty God hath stained; Ps. 119:96, 'I have seen an end of all perfection (i.e. I have thoroughly examined the utmost limits of all things human), but thy commandments are exceeding broad; Ps. 139:22 'I hate them with a perfect (i.e. a consummate) hatred;' Lam. 2:15, 'Is this the city that men call the perfection of beauty?' See also Ezek. 16:14, 27:3, 4, 11, and 28:12.

These two words are usually rendered suntelevw, suntevleia, ejxanalivskw, pauvw, and ejkleivpw by the LXX.

The word suntevleia occurs six times in the N.T., and always in one phrase—suntevleia tou`aijw`no", or tw`n aijwvnwn, 'the end of the world.' Five of these passages are in St. Matthew (13:39, 40, 49, 24:3, 28:20). In Heb. 9:26, we might render the words 'now once on the completion of the ages or dispensations' (nu`n de a{pax ejpi; sunteleiva/tw`n aijwvnwn). The Vulgate, consummatio soeculi, the consummation of the age, is an admirable rendering of the Greek, and well sustains the meaning of the Hebrew Calah The German word for

perfection, Vollkommenheit, answers well to Calah and suntevleisqai, but it has not been retained in the passages now noted.

§ 2. The Word Shalam.

We now come to one of the most notable words used to represent the idea of perfection, namely, Shalam (μ lv). It is used of a perfect heart in fourteen passages. Its usual signification is peace, the name Salem or Shalem being derived from it. Thus we rend in Isa. 26:3, 'Thou wilt keep him in perfect peace' (Shalom Shalom). The root may have originally signified *oneness* or *wholeness* , and so *completeness* . Not only does it represent the ideas of peace and perfection, ,but also of compensation or recompense. ¹

The following renderings have also been given to the verb Shalam in the A. V.: to be ended, to be finished, to prosper, to make amends, to pay, to perform, to recompense, to repay, to requite, to make restitution, to restore, to reward. In all these cases there is implied a bringing of some difficulty to a conclusion, a finishing off of some work, a clearing away, by payment or labour or suffering, of some charge.

In Prov. 11:31, we read, 'the righteous shall be recompensed in the earth; much more the wicked and the sinner.' Here we have for the righteous 'recompense,' or, according to the LXX, 'salvation,' or, we might say, 'peace' on earth; but the messenger of peace to the righteous conveys by implication a presage of wrath to the wicked. The LXX rendering of these words is adopted by St. Peter when he says, 'If the righteous scarcely be saved, where shall the ungodly and the sinner

1 In Assyrian, salaÆmu means to perfect or complete, salimu means peace; but the initial letters are slightly different, answering to v and s.

appear?' (1 Pet. 4:18).

The chief representatives of Shalam in the LXX are ajpodivdwmi, to render; ajntapodivdwmi, to recompense; ² ajpotivw, to retaliate; uJgiaivnw, to be whole, or in health; eijrhvnh, peace; swthvrion, salvation; tevleio", perfect; and oJlovklhro", whole, which last word is found in the phrase 'whole stones' in Deut. 27:6, and Josh. 8:31.

§ 3. The Word Thamam.

The word Thamam (µmt), whence the name of the Thummim (perfections) is derived, is best rendered by the words unblemished, entire (*integer*), and sincere. Our translators render it, in one or other of its forms, perfect, plain, undefiled, upright, integrity, simplicity, full, at a venture, without blemish, sincere, sound, without spot, whole, to be consumed, to be accomplished, to end, to fail, to be spent, to be wasted.

The following are the most noteworthy passages in which it occurs:—Gen. 6:9, 'Noah was a just man, and perfect in his generation.' Gen. 17:1, 'Walk before me, and be thou perfect' (Luther, *Fromm*, *i.e.* pious). Lev. 22:21, 'The sacrifice ... shall be perfect to be accepted; there shall be no blemish therein.' Deut. 18:13, 'Thou shalt be perfect with the Lord thy God.' Deut. 32:4, 'He is the Rock, his work is perfect.' 1 Sam. 14:41, 'Give a perfect lot' (R. V. Shew the right). 2 Sam. 22:31. 'As for God, his way is perfect' (in verses 24 and 26 the same word is rendered 'upright'). 2 Sam. 22:33, 'He maketh my way perfect.' Compare Ps. 18:30, 32. Job 1:1, 'That man was perfect and upright.' See v.8; 2:3. Job 8:20, 'Behold, God will not cast away a perfect man.' Job 9:20, 21, 22, ' (If I say) I am perfect, it shall also prove me perverse. Though if I were perfect, yet should I not know my soul. ... He destroyeth the perfect and the wicked.' See also 22:3, 36:4, 37:16. Ps.15:2, 'He that walketh uprightly.' Compare Prov. 2:7, 10:9; Amos 5:10. Ps. 19:7, 'The law of the Lord is perfect.' Ps. 37:37, 'Mark the perfect man, and

behold the upright.' See also Ps. 64:4, 101:2, 6; Prov. 2:21, 11:5; Isa. 18:5, 47:9; and Ezek. 28:15.

The LXX represents the Thummim three times by ajlhvqeia, ³ and once by teleivwsi". The verb thamam is rendered ejkleivpw and suntelevw.

The adjectival form of the word is generally rendered, a[mwmo" unblemished; but tevleio" occurs in several passages, and a[mempto" in a few. In 1 Kings 6:22, we meet with the word suntevleia; and in Isa. 1:6, we find oJloklhriva, wholeness.

§ 4. Teaching of the N.T.

The ideas included in the word Shalam are prominent in the N.T. There is one remarkable passage in which perfection and oneness are combined together, namely, John 17:23, where the Lord Jesus prays, with respect to His disciples, that they may be 'perfected in one,' or, more literally, 'completed into one.' The same idea runs through the N.T.; the perfection of each part of

2 This word occurs as a rendering for Shalam in Deut. 32:35, 'I will repay, saith the Lord'—words twice quoted in the N. T. See Rom. 12:19 and Heb. 10:30

3 It was remarked by Hody that the rendering ajlhJqeia for Thummim was a proof of the *Alexandrine* character of the early part of the LXX. Aelian tells us that Egyptian magistrates used to wear a carved sapphire stone round their neck, and that it was called ajlhJqeia. The Urim and Thummim are manifestation and truth in the Greek, doctrine and truth in the Latin, light and right in the German.

the body depends upon the completeness of the whole, and vice versa (1 John 4:2). Christ is 'our peace' because He has made both (*i.e.* both Jew and Gentile) one, and has done away with the middle wall of the partition; the twain He has created in Himself into one new man, so making peace, and has reconciled both in one body to God by means of the Cross (Eph. 2:14–16). There is one body, the Church, and one Spirit, in whom both Jew and Gentile have access to the Father through Christ. While the Gospel develops *individuality*, it represses *isolation*. The whole body of disciples (oiJ pavnte") will become a complete man (Eph. 4:13); and every man is to be presented complete, not in himself, but in Christ Jesus (Col. 1:28); for from Christ, who is the head, the whole body gets its sustenance (Eph. 4:16).

There are some passages in the N.T. in which the word tevleio" marks an advanced stage of development in spiritual things, and is applied to those who are 'grown up,' as opposed to those who are children and only partly informed. Perhaps we may read in this sense our Lord's words to the young man, 'If thou wilt be perfect (or mature), go sell all that thou hast' (Matt. 19:21); compare 1 Cor. 2:6, 'Though our preaching is foolishness in the eyes of the world, yet it is wisdom in the judgment of the mature.' 1 Cor. 14:20, 'In understanding be (not children, but) mature.' Phil. 3:15, 'As many as are mature, let us be thus minded.' Heb. 5:14, 'Strong meat is for them that are mature,' *i.e.* that have emerged out of the state of infancy. In these passages the word answers to the Hebrew root calah, rather than to shalam.

The word teleivwsi" only occurs twice in the N.T. The first passage is Luke 1:45, where it signifies the accomplishment of God's promises; the other is Heb. 7:11, where we read that if there had been teleivwsi", completeness, by means of the Levitical priesthood, there would have been no necessity for the raising up of a priest after an order other than that of Aaron. The priest bore the teleivwsi" or thummim on his breastplate, but it was only a shadow, of which Christ gives us the substance. Completeness is only attainable through the Saviour. He Himself was perfected ⁴ for the work of the priesthood through suffering (Heb. 2:10), and

being thus perfected, He became the author or cause of eternal salvation to all that obey Him (5:9).

The word a[mwmo" 'free from blemish,' is not only used of Christ, who offered Himself without spot to God (Heb. 9:14, and 1 Pet. 1:19), but also of Christians, who are to be a{gioi, or separate from the evil of the world, and a[mwmoi, or free from moral blemishes (Eph. 1:4, 5:27; Phil. 2:16; Col. 1:22; Jude 24; Rev. 14:5).

The word a[mempto" is used of blameless characters, and is applied in Luke 1:6 to Zacharias and Elizabeth, and in Phil. 3:6 to Saul the Pharisee. In Phil. 2:15, and 1 Thess. 3:13, it is set forth as the characteristic of the true Christian, and as applicable to the heart as well as to the outward life. Compare also the uses of the adverbial form in 1 Thess. 2:10, and 5:23. In Heb. 8:7, 8, it serves to mark the contrast between the two dispensations: 'If the first had no fault to be found in it (a[mempto"), place would not have been sought for a second; (but this is not the case) for finding fault (memfovmeno"), he saith, Behold, the days come,' &c.

The word oJJloklhriva is used of the wholeness or perfect soundness of the body in Acts 3:16; and the adjective is used in James 1:4, where it is coupled with tevleio", and also in 1 Thess. 5:23, where St. Paul prays for the saints, that their complete spirit, soul, and body may be preserved (so as to be) blameless in the appearing of Christ. 4 Some render the word teleiovw to consecrate in this and other passages and they have the LXX as authority for so doing. See, for example, Exod. 29:22 &c., Lev. 8:22, &c., where it answers to the Hebrew expression 'to fill the hands,' *i.e.* 'to consecrate;' tav" ceivra" being added in some cases, but not in others. But it must be borne in mind that, in our Lord's case, His being perfected through suffering was, as a matter of fact, His consecration, and the Levitical formal solemnity of consecration has given way to the process of 'learning obedience by the things suffered,' whereby the Lord was constituted a perfect High Priest, one that could sympathize with all the troubles and temptations of His people, in that He Himself had suffered being tempted.

It will thus be seen that the standard of perfection set before all Christians in the N.T. is very high indeed, no room being left for any wrong-doing; but the promise of needful power is equally explicit. See 2 Cor. 12:9.

CHAPTER IX.

RIGHTEOUSNESS, FAITH, HOPE.

THE subjects discussed in the present chapter will be found to group themselves round three leading ideas which lie at the foundation of that which is right, as it is set before man in Scripture. First, we are given a conception of rectitude, or the keeping to a straight and even line, as opposed to depravity, which swerves from the appointed course; secondly, we are presented with an idea of fixedness, stability, and realisation of the Truth of God, as contrasted with that which is transient, uncertain, and illusory; and, thirdly, there is set forth a spirit of dependence on Him who is the Source of Right and Truth.

§ 1. Uprightness.

The idea of rectitude or uprightness is presented by the word yashar (rvy), whence the names Jasher and Jeshurun are derived. (In Assyrian, esiru is to go straight, and isaru is upright.) This word is found wherever the A. V. uses the word equity, except in Eccles. 2:21, and Isa. 59:14 (compare Isa. 26:10, and 57:2), where other words of the same significance are used.

Yashar is rendered just in Prov. 29:10, and righteous in Num. 23:10, 'Let me die the death of the righteous.' See also Job 4:7, 23:7; Ps. 67:4, 96:10, 107:42; Prov. 2:7, 3:32, 14:19, 15:19, 28:10.

The LXX renders the verb yashar by ajrevskw, kateuquvnw, and katorqovw;

also by ojrqotomevw, in two passages, namely, Prov. 3:6, 'He shall *direct* your paths;' and 11:5, 'The righteousness of the perfect shall *direct* his way.' The noun is usually rendered eujquv", but we also find ojrqov", ajlhqinov", ajrestovn, divkaio".

The verb kateuquvnw is used only three times in the N.T., namely, in Luke 1:79, 1 Thess. 3:11, and 2 Thess. 3:5; and on each occasion reference is made to the work of God in rightly directing the heart and ways of man. In 2 Tim. 2:15, where we meet with the word ojrqotomei`n, the A. V. renders the passage 'rightly dividing the word of truth.' Some commentators have illustrated the word in this passage by the work of the carpenter or the stonemason; but it is probable that the LXX is the best guide in the matter. If so, we may render it 'rightly directing the word of truth,' *i.e.* setting it forth in uprightness. Compare Gossner's version, where we find '*verfährt*,' and the Vulgate, 'recte tractantem.' The work of the ploughman gives a good illustration of St. Paul's meaning. See R. V., and compare Luke 9:62.

§ 2. Righteousness.

The renderings righteous and just usually stand for some form of the word tsadak (qdx), which

originally signified to be stiff or straight, and whence the names compounded with Zedek are derived. It is rendered lawful in Isa. 49:24; moderately in Joel 2:23; and right in several passages. It is unfortunate that the English language should have grafted the Latin word *justice*, which is used in somewhat of a forensic sense, into a vocabulary which was already possessed of the good word *righteousness*, as it tends to create a distinction which has no existence in Scripture. This quality indeed may be viewed, according to Scripture, in two lights. In its relative aspect it implies conformity with the line or rule of God's law; in its absolute aspect it is the exhibition of love to God and to one's neighbour, because love is the fulfilling of the law; but in neither of these senses does the word convey what we usually mean by justice. No distinction between the claims of justice and the claims of love is recognised in Scripture; to act in opposition to the principles of love to God and one's neighbour is to commit an injustice, because it is a departure from the course marked out by God in His law.

For a further discussion of the word and of its Greek representative dikaiosuvnh, viewed in relation to the doctrine of justification, see chap. xiv. § 1.

§ 3. Judgment.

Mishpath (fpvm), which signifies the due administration of judgment (see chap. xxi. § 2), is rendered right in the A. V. in the following passages:—Gen. 18:25, 'Shall not the Judge of all the earth do right?' Job 34:6, 'Should I lie against my rights'

Job 34:17, 'Shall even he that hateth right govern?' Job 35:2, 'Thinkest thou this to be right?' Ps. 9:4, 'Thou hast maintained my right.' Prov. 12:5, 'The thoughts of the righteous are right.' Prov. 16:8, 'Better is a little with righteousness than great revenues without right.' Isa. 10:2, 'To take away the right from the poor of my people.' See also Isa. 32:7; Jer. 5:28; 17:11, 32:7, 8; Lam. 3:35; Ezek. 21:27.

Cashar (rvk), that which is fitting, is rendered right in the A. V. in Esther 8:5 and Eccles. 4:4; and Con (÷wk), stability, is found in Num. 27:7; Job 42:7, 8; Ps. 78:37, and 51:10, in which last passage we read, 'renew a right (*i.e.* a stable) spirit within me'—a suitable prayer for one who had fallen through instability. The same word occurs in Ps. 5:9, where the A. V. reads, 'There is no faithfulness in their mouth.' Compare the use of the cognate word (μynk) in the expression 'we be all true men,' *i.e.* men to be relied upon, in Gen. 42:11, 19, 31, and 34.

§ 4. Truth.

The general Hebrew word for truth or truthfulness, and faith or faithfulness, is a derivative of the verb Aman (÷ma, Ass. amanu), whence the word Amen draws its origin. Aman in its simple active form signifies to nurse or nourish up; in the passive, to be firm and established, and hence steadfast (Prov. 11:13); and in the Hiphil or causative form, to take as established, and hence to regard as true, to realise, or to believe. The last is its most general rendering. The A. V. translates it 'to have assurance' in Deut. 28:66; and 'to trust' in Jud.11:20; Job 4:18, 12:20, 15:15, 31; and Micah 7:5. A form of this word is translated pillars in 2 Kings 18:16; compare 1 Tim. 3:15, 'the pillar and ground of the truth.'

In Dan. 3:14, where the A. V. reads, 'Is it true, O Shadrach?' another word (adx) is used, which signifies of a purpose or intentionally. In Dan.3:24, 6:12, 7:16 and 19, itsev (bxy), to be firm or settled, is rendered true.

The form emeth (tma) is usually rendered truth, but is translated right in Gen. 24:48; Neh. 9:33;

Jer. 2:21. The form Emunah, generally rendered faithfulness, is found in Hab. 2:4, ¹ where we read, 'The just shall live by his faith'—words which ought to be read in connection with the fifth verse of the first chapter, 'I will work a work in your days which ye will not believe, though it be told you.' Emunah is used of the steadiness of the hands of Moses in Exod. 17:12; and of the stability of the times in Isa. 33:6. In several other passages it is used of God's faithfulness; and it would have been well if this rendering had been adopted (instead of truth) in Deut. 32:4; Ps. 33:4, 96:13, 98:3, 100:5, and 119:30. See also Prov. 12:17.

The LXX almost always adopts pisteuvw, to believe, as the rendering for the causative form of Aman, as in Gen. 15:6, where it first occurs. The adjective is sometimes rendered pistov", faithful; and sometimes ajlhqinov", real or true. When these two Greek words come together in the N.T. as characterising the glorified Son of God, they express the Hebrew word in all its fulness, and answer to the 'Amen,' by which title He is also described. ² The substantive is usually pivsti", faith; but sometimes ajlhvqeia, truth.

§ 5. Trust.

Passing from the idea of faith to that of trust, a few exceptional renderings in the A. V. may be noted in the first instance. In Ps. 22:8, 'He trusted on the Lord,' the word galal, 'to roll,' is used. In Job 35:14, 'Trust thou in him,' the word is chul (lwj), 'stay thou (or 'wait thou') upon him.' Yachal (ljy), to hope, occurs in Job 13:15, 'Though he slay me, yet will I trust in him;' and Isa. 51:5, 'On mine arm shall they trust.'

Chasah (hsj), to flee for refuge, is rendered 'trust' in the A. V. in above thirty passages, out of which number twenty-four occur in the Psalms. It is often used where God is compared to a rock or a shield, or where the saint is described as taking refuge 'under the shadow of his wings.' It is used in Ps. 2:12, 'Blessed are all they that put their trust in him;' where we are taught that the Son affords that

same kind of shelter or protection which the Father gives. Compare Ps. 34:8, where the same words are applied to Jehovah. The word is also used in Ps. 118:8, 'It is better to trust in the Lord than to put any confidence in man;' in Isa. 14:32, 57:13, and Zeph. 3:12.

The most general word, however, to express trust is bathach (jfb), to confide in, or lean upon. ³ Here it is to be remarked that, though we are in the habit of speaking of faith and trust as the same thing, the Hebrew has two distinct words for them, and so has the LXX. Whilst aman answers to pisteuvw, to believe, or realise, bathach, to trust, is never so rendered, nor is the substantive derived from it ever rendered pivsti". For the verb we generally find ejlpivzw, to hope, peivqomai, to be persuaded; and for the noun we have ejlpiv", hope. The man who believes God is he who,

1 This passage might be rendered 'the righteous (man) shall live in his faithfulness.' The note on the text in Poole's 'Synopsis' is as follows:—' Qui bonus probusque est manebit constans in expectatione eorum quae dixi , 'the good and upright man will continue firm in the expectation of those things which I have declared.' Certainly faith, in this passage, is something more than a bare acquiescence in God's word. It is such a belief in the revealed word of God as brings the man into contact with the Divine life, and so breathes righteousness or conformity to God's law into his heart. It worketh, as St. Paul says, by love. Compare Bishop Lightfoot's excursus on Faith in his Commentary on the Galatians. ₂ See Rev. 3:14, also 19:11, 21:5, 22:6. 'Amen' is usually rendered ajlhqw`", verily, or gevnoito, so be it, in the LXX; and only three times do we find the word in its Greek form ÆAmhvn. Dr. Sayce points out that at the end of many Babylonian hymns we find amamu.

3 Dr. Sayce says that this rout is replaced in Assyrian by takalu, *e.g.* ina tukulli Assuri, 'in reliance on Assur.'

having received a revelation from Him, realises it, and acts upon it as true. The man who trusts God is he who casts all his hopes for the present and future on God. It is the former quality, not the latter, that God regards as a condition of justification. Faith must precede hope, because a hope for the future which is not grounded upon a present acceptance with God is no hope; and a sense of acceptance which is not accompanied with a living, working faith is an unreality.

§ 6. Hope.

The words ordinarily rendered hope in the A. V. are kavah (hwq —Ass. quÆ) and yachal (ljy). The first, which is frequently used in the Psalms, signifies the straining of the mind in a certain direction in an expectant attitude; the second, which occurs several times in the Book of Job, signifies a long patient waiting. The former is generally rendered uJpomevnw; the latter usually ejlpivzw, but often also uJpomevnw.

§ 7. Teaching of the N.T.

We now approach the N.T. with a clear distinction between faith on the one hand, and trust and hope on the other. Faith is the taking God at His word, while trust and patience and also hope are the proper fruits of faith, manifesting in various forms the confidence which the believer feels. A message comes to me from the Author of my existence; it may be a threat, a promise, or a command. If I take it as 'yea and amen,' that is Faith; and the act which results is an act of amunah or faithfulness towards God. Faith, according to Scripture, seems to imply a word, message, or revelation. So the learned Romaine says in his *Life of Faith* .:— 'Faith signifies the believing the truth of the Word of God; it relates to some word spoken or to some promise made by Him, and it expresses the belief which a person who hears it has of its being true; he assents to it, relies upon it, and acts accordingly: this is faith.' Its fruit will vary according to the nature of the

message received, and according to the circumstances of the receiver. It led Noah to build an ark, Abraham to offer up his son, Moses to refuse to be called the son of Pharaoh's daughter, the Israelites to march round the walls of Jericho. 'I believe God that it shall be even as it has been told me' ⁴—this is a picture of the process which the Bible calls faith. It is the expectation (uJpovstasi") of things *hoped for*; because it accepts God's promises concerning the future as true; and it is the conviction (e[legco") of what is (*trusted* , but) not seen, because those who have it do not depend upon the use of their senses, but are able to endure, 'as seeing Him who is invisible.' See Heb. 11.

In the Gospels the Lord Jesus demands to be believed. He asks all men to take Him to be what He claimed to be. If they would only take Him as true, they would be in the way of receiving and entering into a new life. He said, 'I am the Truth.' All that Israel had to believe under the old dispensation was summed up in Him. If they believed Moses, they would believe Him. If they rejected Him, they were doing dishonour to God. Sin sprang from a disbelief of God's word. Christ came to manifest, in a life of love and purity, and in a death of self-sacrifice, what God had really said, and what His feelings towards man actually were. Those that accepted the Truth, as it was revealed in Jesus Christ, entered into life.

The Book of Acts carries this teaching a stage further by exhibiting the special facts which were prominently put forward as things to be believed. These facts were the mission, the death, and the resurrection of Jesus Christ, as the ground of pardon, the way of life, and the pledge of an

4 Acts 27:25.

inheritance beyond the grave.

The Epistles enter more fully into details, answer different questions, expound doctrines, apply sacred truths to the exigencies of daily life. But all is summed up in Christ; 'Whosoever takes him to be true shall not be ashamed' (Rom. 9:33, quoted from Isa. 28:16).

The word hope barely exists in the Gospels, but is frequently to be found in the later books of the

N.T. In Rom. 15:12, the Apostle quotes from the LXX version of Isa. 11:10 the words, 'In him shall the Gentiles hope,' ⁵ and then proceeds, 'Now the God of hope fill you with all joy and peace in believing.' In the A. V. the point of the connection is missed by the substitution of the word trust for hope in the first part of the passage. But there is no objection to this rendering in itself; for though ejlpivzw represents trust with reference to the future, while peivqomai represents confidence with regard to the present, yet they are both renderings of one Hebrew word, as we have just seen, and cannot be separated by a very strong line.

In Acts 2:26, St. Peter quotes from the Sixteenth Psalm the words 'My flesh also shall rest (or dwell) in hope (kataskhnwvsei ejpÆ ejlpivdi); and this expression, 'in hope,' is repeated several times, being applied to Abraham (Rom. 4:18), to Christians (Acts 26:6; Rom. 5:2; Titus 1:2), to the ministry (1 Cor. 9:10), and to creation itself (Rom. 8:20). All hope is concentrated in Christ (1 Tim. 1:1; Col. 1:27), and looks for the unseen realities of another world (Rom. 8:24), even the resurrection (Acts 24:15), eternal life (Titus 3:7), and glory (Rom. 5:2). The word 'hope' as used in ordinary conversation has an element of uncertainty in it, but the Christian's hope is absolute confidence. The two Greek renderings of the Hebrew word yachal named above (§ 6), ejlpiv" and uJpomevnh, are found together in 1 Thess. 1:3.

CHAPTER X.

GRACE, MERCY, LOVE.

THE Bible is pre-eminently occupied in setting forth the gracious feelings with which God regards the children of men; it depicts them not in the abstract, but as manifested in action. It also teaches that those who have tasted of God's grace and love and mercy are bound to exercise the same dispositions towards their fellow-men. They thus become in reality children of God, and are conformed to the nature of Him from whom their new life is drawn.

§ 1. Grace.

Grace is the free bestowal of kindness on one who has neither claim upon our bounty, nor adequate compensation to make for it. Throughout the O.T., with the exception of Hos. 14:2, where the word rendered 'graciously' signifies 'goodness' (bwf), it stands for some form of Chanan (\div nj), to show favour. ¹ It is often coupled with racham (μ jr), a word which signifies a tender feeling of pity. These three words answer to the Assyrian thabu , <annu , reÆmu . The adjectival form, chanun (\div wnj

-), gracious, is used only of God, and denotes the action which springs from His free and unmerited
- 5 Here the Hebrew word is darash, to seek.
- 1 Hence the name Jo-hanan (John), and its inverted form, Hanan-iah.

love to His creatures. The verb is rendered 'pity' in Prov. 19:17, 'He that hath pity upon the poor lendeth unto the Lord;' where the writer is not speaking of commiseration, but rather of the kindly dealing of one who 'hopes for nothing again.' It is also used in Job 19:21, and Prov. 28:8, where it might be rendered 'deal graciously.' Other renderings for this word in the A. V. are 'to be favourable,' and 'to be merciful,' and (in the causative form) to beseech, supplicate, and pray. The LXX has ejlehvmwn for the adjective, but cavri" for the noun. The Greek cavri", and the English 'grace' or 'favour,' well represent the word, only we have to be on our guard against the supposition that grace is an abstract quality; it is an active personal principle, showing itself in our dealings with those by whom we are surrounded.

The adverb 'graciously' is usually rendered dwrea;n in the LXX; and this word reappears in the

N.T., as in Matt. 10:8, 'Freely ye have received, freely give;' Rom. 3:24, 'Being justified freely by his grace;' Rev. 22:17, 'Let him take the water of life freely.' A secondary meaning which the Greek adverb has received is 'without a cause.' In this sense we meet with it in John 15:25, 'They hated me without a cause,' words quoted from Ps. 69:4; also Gal. 2:21, 'Then Christ died in vain, or causelessly.' We occasionally use the English word gratuitous in this sense, as when we speak of 'a gratuitous insult.'

The verbal form is rendered carivzomai in the LXX; and this word occurs several times in the

N.T. to indicate an exhibition of free grace, whether in the form of healing (Luke 7:21), or of remitting a debt (Luke 7:42), or of the loosing of a prisoner (Acts 3:14), of making a gift (Rom. 8:32, 1 Cor. 2:12), or of pardon (2 Cor. 2:10, Eph. 4:32). Caritovw, to deal graciously, is not an O.T. word, except in the Apocrypha, but occurs in Luke 1:28 and Eph. 1:6.

An act done with any expectation of a return from the object on which it is wrought, or one which is meted out as a matter of justice, recompense, or reward,

is not an act of grace. This is specially noted in Rom. 11:6; compare also the words of our Lord, 'If ye love them that love you, what grace (A. V. reward, R. V. thank) have you?' (Luke 6:32, 33, 34). So St. Peter says, 'This is grace (A. V. thankworthy, R. V. acceptable), if a man through consciousness of God endure pains, suffering unjustly' (1 Pet. 2:19).

In the great proportion of passages in which the word grace is found in the N.T., it signifies the unmerited operation of God in the heart of man, effected through the agency of the Holy Spirit. We have gradually come to speak of grace as an inherent quality in man, just as we talk of gifts; whereas it is in reality the communication of Divine goodness by the inworking of the Spirit, and through the medium of Him who is 'full of grace and truth.'

§ 2. Pity.

Racham expresses a deep and tender feeling of compassion, such as is aroused by the sight of weakness or suffering in those that are dear to us or need our help. It is rendered pity ² or pitiful in a few passages. Thus Ps. 103:13, 'Like as a father pitieth his children, so the Lord pitieth them that fear him;' Ps. 106:46, 'He made them also to be pitied of all those that carried them captives;' Lam. 4:10, 'The hands of the pitiful women have sodden their own children.' It is curious that the word 'pitiful' should have had its meaning so altered in modern times as to be hardly understood in the passage last cited.

Racham is rendered 'mercy' several times, and is the origin of the word Ruhamah, which occurs in Hos. 2:1. Jacob used it to express his strong feeling on sending Benjamin with his brothers into Egypt, 'God Almighty give you mercy before the man, that he may send away your other brother, and Benjamin' (Gen. 43:14). It is an element in the character of God, who shows mercy on whom

² The English word pity is really piety.

He will show mercy (Exod. 33:19), and is merciful as well as gracious (Exod. 34:6, Deut. 4:31). Accordingly David says, 'Let us fall now into the hands of God, for his mercies are abounding' (2 Sam. 24:14). Mercy (misericordia) is really the same thing as pity, though the words have gradually assumed rather different senses.

Racham also represents the beautiful expression 'tender mercy' wherever it occurs; thus the Psalmist prays, 'According to the multitude of thy tender mercies blot out my transgressions' (Ps. 51:1). It is the only word rendered 'mercy,' with two exceptions (Jer. 3:12, and Dan. 4:27), in the prophetical books of the O.T., being specially used in them to mark the tenderness with which God regards His people in their downcast condition. It is rendered 'compassion' and 'bowels of compassion' in all passages where these expressions are found in the A. V., with the exception of Exod. 2:6, 1 Sam. 23:21, 2 Chron. 36:15, 17, and Ezek. 16:5, where a less forcible word (Ifj) is used. Racham has twice been rendered 'love,' viz. in Ps. 18:1 and Dan. 1:9. With regard to the first of these passages, 'I will love thee, O Lord, my strength,' the word seems at first sight out of place, because there can be no element of pity in man's love to God; but it expresses here the depth and tenderness of the Psalmist's feeling; and it may be observed that in this passage the word is used not in the Piel or intensive voice (as in all other passages), but in the Kal, or simple active voice.

The most prominent rendering for racham in the LXX is oijktirmov". This word occurs five times in the N.T., twice as the attribute of God (Rom. 12:1, and 2 Cor. 1:3), and three times as a quality to be manifested in our dealings with one another (Phil. 2:1; Col. 3:12; see also Heb. 10:28)

§ 3. Love.

The general word for love in the O.T. is ahav (bha), from which it has been supposed that its Greek representative ajgavph is derived; but compare <Agav

below. It indicates desire, inclination, or affection, whether human or divine. In Amos 4:5, it has been rendered by the weaker English word like. In a few passages the participial form has been rendered friend, as in 2 Sam. 19:6, 'Thou lovest thine enemies, and hatest thy friends;' 2 Chron. 20:7 (compare Isa. 41:8), 'Thou gavest thy land to the seed of Abraham thy friend,' an expression which St. James singled out for comment in his Epistle (2:23); Zech. 13:6, 'I was wounded in the house of my friends;' see also Esther 5:10, 14, 6:13; Prov. 14:20, 27:6; Jer. 20:4, 6. In these passages intimacy and affection, the cleaving of soul to soul, is implied, and 'lovers' rather than 'acquaintances' are designated. Occasionally the LXX adopts filei`n instead of ajgapa/`n, but never where God's love is concerned.

Other words rendered love in the A. V. are as follows:— Yedid (dydy), whence the name Jedidiah; re<a ([r—Ass. ruÆ), a companion, Cant. 1:9, 15, 2:10, 13, 5:2, 6:4, and Jer. 3:1; <Agav (bg[), used of impure love, and rendered 'doting' in Ezek. 23:12, 33:31, 32; Chashak (qvj), to join together, Ps. 91:14; dodim (µydwd—Ass. dadu), the impulse of the heart, or of sexual affection, Prov. 7:18, Ezek. 16:8; and chesed, mercy.

The Greek ajgavph is in a measure consecrated by the fact that it makes its first appearance in the LXX, being apparently unknown to early classical authors. It is used in the N.T. to designate the essential nature of God, His regard for mankind, and also the most marked characteristic of the Divine life as manifested in Christ and in Christians. It is unfortunate that the English, with some other languages, should have accepted two renderings for this important word, the Latin word charity being introduced as an alternative for the good old Saxon word love, but it has arisen through fear lest spiritual love should be confused with sensuous affection. The Greek e[rw", is never used in the Bible except in Prov. 7:18, and 30:16.

The word filei`n is rarely used in the N.T. But see 1 Cor. 16:22, and especially John 21:15–17, where the distinction between love and friendship is noticeable in the Greek, but is lost in the English and other versions.

§ 4. Mercy.

We have now to consider the word Chasad (dsj), which is used in various forms to designate God's dealings with man, and also to indicate the mode in which men ought to deal with one another. The meaning of this word when used as a substantive (Chesed) is made clear from the fact that the LXX has rendered it e[leo", mercy, in 135 passages. The nature of the quality may be illustrated by the conduct of the Good Samaritan, 'who shewed the mercy' (oJ poihvsa" to; e[leo") on him that was attacked by robbers (Luke 10:37); it is a practical exhibition of lovingkindness towards our fellow-man, whose only claim may be misfortune, and whom it is in our power to help, though perhaps at the expense of time, money, convenience, and even religious or national prejudice.

The general English renderings for the word in the A. V. are: kindness, mercy, pity, favour, goodness, and lovingkindness. It is often found united with righteousness, faithfulness, truth, compassion, and other divine qualities.

A few instances may be cited to illustrate its usage: Gen. 24:12, 'O Lord God, shew kindness unto my master Abraham;' Gen. 24:27, 'Blessed be the Lord God of my master Abraham, who hath not left my master destitute of his mercy (LXX dikaiosuvnh) and truth;' Gen. 24:49, 'If ye will deal kindly and truly with my master, tell me;' Gen. 39:21, 'The Lord was with Joseph, and shewed him mercy;' Gen. 40:14, 'Shew kindness unto me, and make mention of me unto Pharaoh;' Exod. 20:6, 'Strewing mercy unto thousands of them that love me, and keep my commandments;' Num. 14:19, 'Pardon, I beseech thee, the iniquity of this people according unto the greatness of thy mercy;' Josh. 2:12, 'Swear unto me by the Lord, since I have shewed you kindness, that ye will also shew kindness unto my father's house;' Job 6:14, 'To him that is afflicted pity (should be shewed) from his friend;' Job 10:12, 'Thou hast granted me life and favour;' Ps. 6:7, 'I will come into thy house in the multitude of thy mercy;' Ps. 6:4, 'Oh save me for thy mercies' sake;' Ps. 13:5, 'I have trusted in thy mercy;' Ps. 32:10,

'He that trusteth in the Lord, mercy shall compass him about;' Ps. 33:6, 'The earth is full of the goodness of the Lord;' Ps. 89:33, 'My lovingkindness will I not utterly take from him;' Ps. 89:49, 'Lord, where are thy former lovingkindnesses?' Ps. 119:88, 169, 'Quicken me, O Lord, according to thy lovingkindness;' Hos. 4:1, 'There is no truth nor mercy;' Hos. 6:4, 'Your goodness is as a morning dew;' (the A. V. obscures the connection between this verse and the sixth, where the same word is found—'I desired mercy and not sacrifice;' see R. V. margin); Hos.10:12, 'Sow to yourselves in righteousness, reap in mercy;' Hos. 12:6, 'Keep mercy and judgment;' Micah 6:8, 'What doth the Lord require of thee, but to do justly, and to love mercy, and to walk humbly with thy God?' Micah 7:18, 'He delighteth in mercy;' Zech. 7:9, 'Execute true judgment, and shew mercy and compassions every man to his brother.'

These passages put the general signification of the word Chesed beyond the shadow of a doubt. We now have to examine whether this meaning is to be enlarged or modified. The LXX adopts the rendering dikaiosuvnh, 'righteousness,' in Gen. 19:16, and some other places. We also find ejlehmosuvnh and e[lpi" in a few passages. In Isa. 40:6, where the word Chesed is applied to the grace or goodliness of man which so soon fades away, the LXX dovxa, glory; and the passage is quoted by St. Peter in his First Epistle (1:24) according to this interpretation.

In the passages which remain to be considered, the adjectival form Chasid is found. This word must signify not only the reception but also the exercise of Chesed , just as Tsadik , righteous, signifies the reception and exercise of Tsedek , righteousness. If Chesed , then, means mercy, Chasid must mean merciful; and accordingly it is so translated in the A.V. in 2 Sam. 22:26, and Ps. 18:25, 'With the merciful thou wilt shew thyself merciful.' The LXX, however, both in these passages and wherever the word Chasid is found, has adopted o{sio", holy, as a rendering. This course has had a

great influence upon other languages, as it has led translators to confound Chasid and Kadosh , o{sio" and a{gio" , forgetting that to a Jew the meaning of the Greek word o{sio" would be ruled by the fact that it was to be taken as an interpretation of the Hebrew Chasid , merciful. In the two passages just cited, the A.V. retains the right rendering, but the Latin has cum sancto , and the German *Bei dem Heiligen* . The Portuguese translator, D'Almeida, both here and in almost all other places adopts the good word *Benigno* , but he is quite an exception to the general rule.

Our translators have followed the multitude in a large number of instances. Thus in Ps. 145:17, we read, 'The Lord is holy in all his works;' here the margin properly corrects the text by suggesting merciful or bountiful. In Ps. 86:2 we read, 'I am holy;' where the margin reads, 'One whom thou favourest,' but it would be better to read, 'I am merciful.' The rendering godly has been adopted in Ps. 4:3, al.; and saint in 2 Chron. 6:41, Ps. 30:4, al. This last rendering must be regarded as unfortunate, because it serves to obliterate the real meaning of the word, and to confound it with another.

It has been held by distinguished scholars that Chasid primarily signifies a recipient of mercy, but this meaning is not always applicable, *e.g.* in Jer. 3:12, where God says of Himself, 'I am Chasid .' Here it cannot mean, 'I am a recipient of mercy;' our translators have rightly rendered the words, 'I am merciful.' Nevertheless, the two aspects of mercy, its reception and its exercise, are wonderfully blended in Scripture. the right and wholesome effect of the enjoyment of God's lovingkindness is the exhibition of the same spirit towards our fellows. God is everywhere described as delighting in mercy—'his mercy endureth for ever'—but He requires that those to whom He shows it should, in their turn and according to their opportunities, 'love mercy;' compare Micah 7:18 with 6:8.

It is a remarkable fact that the word Chasid, when applied to man, has usually a possessive pronoun affixed to it, so as to indicate that the persons who are

exercising this disposition belong in a special sense to God. They are 'his merciful ones' (A. V. 'his saints'). Merciful men may be very scarce (Ps. 12:1; Micah 7:2), but wherever they are found they are regarded as God's own. 'He hath set apart him that is merciful for himself' (Ps. 4:3), and He gives His special protection to those that are worthy of the name Chasid (Ps. 32:6, 37:28). They show their love to the Lord by hating evil (

- *i.e.* evil dealings against their neighbour), and the Lord, in His turn, preserves their souls (Ps. 97:10
-). When He comes to judgment He will gather to Himself those who are His merciful ones, and who have made a covenant with Him by sacrifice (Ps. 50:5), and they shall not only 'rejoice in glory' (Ps. 149:5), but also shall have the honour of executing judgment on the nations (Ps. 149:9). In a word, mercy is the main characteristic of God's dealings with man, and hence it is to be looked for as the distinguishing mark of every child of God. 'He that loveth is born of God.' The 'godly' are those who, having received mercy from Him, are exercising it for Him and as His representatives. It is owing to the fact, no doubt, that the word Chasid has been rendered o{sio" in the LXX, that we find it represented by sanctus in the Latin, and by saint or godly in the English; yet it is a serious evil that the primary meaning of the Hebrew word should almost have disappeared from the face of modern translations. The practical nature of godliness is thereby to some extent obscured, and the moral demand made upon man by his having become the object of Divine lovingkindness is thrown into the background.

It only remains to notice the application of the above remarks to one or two passages of importance in the O.T., and to observe their bearing on the interpretation of this word o{sio" in the N.T.

- In Deut. 33:8, Moses says, 'Let thy Thummim and thy Urim be with thy Chasid (ajndri; oJsivw/,
- A. V. Holy One) whom thou didst prove at Massah.' The old Portuguese translator, D'Almeida, here has *amado*, with a note referring the word to Aaron. The same word is used of Aaron in Ps. 106:16, where he is called the Chasid of the Lord (A. V. 'the saint of the Lord'). The context in Deut. 33. shows that reference is made to the slaughter of the Israelites by the House of Levi in the

matter of Moab; and the lesson we learn with regard to the word Chasid is that it does not betoken the weak 'good-nature' which some call 'mercy,' but rather that devotion to God which produces the exercise of true lovingkindness towards man, and which sometimes involves the taking extreme

and apparently harsh measures so as to prevent the spread of evil. In this respect man's mercy is to be like God's.

There are several passages relating to David and his seed in which the words Chesed and Chasid occur, and which need to be taken together in order that their whole force may be seen. In 2 Sam. 7:14, 15, the Lord promises to David with respect to his son, 'I will be his father, and he shall be my son. If he commit iniquity, I will chasten him with the rod of men, and with the stripes of the children of men: but my mercy shall not depart away from him;' compare the parallel passage, 1 Chron. 17:13. This promise is referred to by Solomon at Gibeon in 1 Kings 3:6 and 2 Chron. 1:8; and at the dedication of the Temple he closed the service by the words, 'O Lord God, turn not away the face of thine anointed; remember the mercies of David thy servant, i.e. the mercies which thou hast promised to show unto David (2 Chron. 6:42). On turning to the eightyninth Psalm, we find several references to these 'mercies.' The Psalmist opens by saying 'The mercies of Jehovah will I sing for ever;' 'mercy,' he continues in the second verse, 'shall be built up for ever;' he then proceeds to speak of God's covenant and oath, which is faithful and sure and true, that David's seed should be established on the throne for evermore. After extolling the greatness of God, he continues (verse 14), 'Righteousness and judgment are the establishment of thy throne: mercy and truth shall go before thy face.' Returning to the covenant with David, the Psalmist sketches out its details, saying in verse 24, 'My faithfulness and my mercy shall be with him; and in verse 28, 'My mercy will I keep for him for evermore;' and in verse 33, 'Nevertheless my mercy (A.V. 'my lovingkindness') will I keep for him for evermore, and my covenant shall stand fast with him.' Then the Psalmist breaks out into a lamentation on the troubles into which Israel was plunged, and cries out (verse 49), 'Lord, where are thine original mercies (A. V. 'thy old lovingkindnesses') which thou swarest unto David in thy truth?' The Psalm concludes, as usual, with a note of thanksgiving.

We see here, first, that the word mercy seems to be used with peculiar significance in relation to God's promise to David and his seed; and secondly,

that it is constantly introduced in connection with God's faithfulness or truth. In accordance with these passages we read in Isa. 55:3, 'Incline your ear, and come unto me: hear, and your soul shall live; and I will make an everlasting covenant with you, even the mercies of David, which are sure (or faithful). Behold, I have given him for a witness to the people, for a leader and law-giver to the people.'

St. Paul, when addressing the Jews at Antioch, takes up these words as follows (Acts 13:32, &c.): 'We declare unto you glad tidings, how that the promise which was made unto the fathers, God hath fulfilled the same unto us their children, in that he hath raised up Jesus (again). ³ or it is written in the second psalm, Thou art my Son, this day have I begotten thee. And as concerning that he raised him up from the dead, no more to return to corruption, he said on this wise, I will give you the mercies of David which are faithful. Wherefore he saith also in another place, Thou shalt not suffer thy merciful ⁴ one (A. V. and R. V. 'Thine Holy One') to see corruption. ...: Be it known unto you therefore that through this (risen Jesus) there is announced unto you forgiveness of sins.'

With regard to the rendering of Ps. 16:10, we are so used to the expression 'Thy Holy One,' that it is not easy to make such a substitution as the sense requires. It may be noticed, however, that D'Almeida has 'o teu Bem', thy good or kind one; the old Judae-Spanish version of the Hebrew Scriptures published at Ferrara has 'tu Bueno', which has the same meaning; the Spanish translator De Reyna, and also his reviser Valera, had 'tu Misericordioso', 'thy merciful one,' although this excellent rendering has slipped out of modern editions.

The meaning of the word Chasid as representing mercy ought to be borne in mind in other passages where its representative ovsio"; occurs in the N.T. Thus in Heb. 7:26, the Lord should be 3 The R V. rightly omits the word 'again,' and thus distinguishes the two 'railings up' of Jesus—first, on His entrance into the world; and secondly, on His resurrection. 4

The R. V. has strangely missed the point here.

described as 'merciful and without malice,' instead of 'holy and harmless;' so in Rev. 15:4, and 16:5, the Lord's mercy, not His holiness, is specially referred to. The word o{sio" is used of the Christian in 1 Tim. 2:8, where he is told to lift up 'merciful hands, without wrath and contention;' and in Titus 1:8 it is said that God's steward should be merciful as well as righteous.

CHAPTER XI.

REDEMPTION AND SALVATION.

W HATEVER theory one may hold as to the possibility or a priori probability of a Divine intervention in human affairs, the Bible is pledged to the fact that such an intervention has taken place. A study of its pages leads to the conclusion that it is as much in accordance with God's nature to help men out of the difficulties in which sin has involved them, as it was to create them after His own likeness in the first instance. Nor will the student of the physical world fail to observe the analogy which here exists between nature and revelation; for if there be a vis medicatrix or healing power which is called into play by the wounds, accidents, and diseases to which the body is subject, why should it be thought a thing incredible that the Father of our spirits should provide some means of restoration for those who have become a prey to evil passions, and who through temptation or self-will have become partakers of moral and material corruption?

The patriarchal and Mosaic economies appear to have been intended by the Divine Being to form a groundwork whereupon a restorative work for the benefit of the human race might be built up in the fulness of time; and the pious Jew was trained up in the belief that amidst all his sins and ignorances, his infirmities and misfortunes, he might look up to God and receive from Him those blessings which are summed up in the words redemption and salvation.

§ 1. Redemption.

The word which specially indicates redemption is Gaal (lag), best known in the form Goel, redeemer. ¹ Perhaps the original meaning of the word is to 'demand back,' hence to extricate. It first appear in Gen. 48:16, 'The angel which redeemed me from all evil bless the lads.' In Exod. 6:6, and 15:13, it is used of God's redeeming Israel out of Egypt with a stretched-out arm. We meet with it no more till we reach the twenty-fifth and twenty-seventh chapters of Leviticus, where it signifies the liberation of property from a charge, whether that charge was an ordinary debt or whether it had been incurred through a vow. The deliverance was to be effected in this case by payment or by exchange. In cases of poverty, where no payment was possible, the nearest of kin was made responsible for performing the work of redemption. Hence no doubt it came to pass that a kinsman came to be called by the name Goel, as he is in Num. 5:8, 1 Kings 16:11, and throughout the Book of Ruth. Compare Jer. 32:7, 8.

In the prophets the word is applied not only to the deliverance of God's people from captivity, but to that more important and complete deliverance, of which all other historical interpositions of

1 Another word, almost the same in sound, sometimes spelt in the same way, and sometimes with a slight change (l[g), signifies to defile or pollute.

Divine grace are shadows. See Isa. 35:9, 41:14, 43:1, 14, 44:6, 22, 23, 24, 47:4, 48:17, 49:7, 26, 51:10, 52:3, 62:12, 63:4, Jer. 31:11.

One of the most important passages where the word occurs is in Isa. 59:20, 'The Redeemer shall come to Zion, and unto them that turn from transgression in Jacob'—words to which St. Paul refers as destined to have their fulfilment hereafter at the time of the complete salvation of Israel as a nation (Rom. 11:26).

The word occurs once in Job, in the celebrated passage (19:25), 'I know that my Redeemer liveth.'

Whatever view may be taken of this passage, whether we regard it as a prediction of the Messiah's coming, or as an intimation of the doctrine of the resurrection, or as referring to a temporal deliverance from disease and trouble, one point is clear, that Job expresses his deep conviction that there was a living God who could and who would take his part, and extricate him from all difficulties; and this is the principle in which the Hebrew reader was to be trained.

In Ps. 19:14, the Psalmist calls God his strength and his Redeemer; and in Ps. 69:18, he appeals to God to draw nigh and redeem his soul; and he uses the word again in a personal rather than a national sense, with reference to past or future deliverances, in Ps. 77:15, 78:35, 103:4, 106:10, 107:2. In Ps. 119:154, Gaal is rendered deliver.

Another application of the word was in the sense of avenging the blood of the slain. This is treated at length in the thirty-fifth chapter of Numbers, in connection with the subject of the cities of refuge. It is also referred to in Deut. 19:6, 12; Josh. 20:3, 5, 9; and 2 Sam. 14:11.

A remarkable combination of the senses of Goel is to be found in Prov. 23:10, 11, 'Remove not the old landmark; and enter not into the fields of the fatherless: for their redeemer is mighty; he shall plead their cause with thee.' God takes the

place of kinsman and also of avenger to the poor and helpless.

The idea of Goel as the avenger of blood comes up again in Isa. 63:4, when the Mighty One in bloodstained garments says, 'The day of vengeance is in mine heart, and the year of my redeemed is come.' The word occurs again in the ninth and sixteenth verses of the same chapter, where it rather signifies deliverance from captivity.

In most of the passages above enumerated redemption may be considered as synonymous with deliverance, but always with the idea more or less developed that the Redeemer enters into a certain relationship with the redeemed—allies Himself in some sense with them, and so claims the right of redemption. The truth thus set forth was doubtless intended to prepare the mind of God's people for the doctrine of the Incarnation. 'Forasmuch as the children were partakers of flesh and blood, therefore *he* also took part in the same,' and having constituted Himself the kinsman of the human race, He fought their battle against 'him who had the power of death,' and delivered His people from bondage (see Heb. 2:14, 15).

The LXX generally renders Gaal by lutrovw, to redeem; but in fourteen passages we find rJuvomai, to deliver; and in ten, ajgcisteuvw, to act the neighbour. The verb ajpolutrovw is found in Zeph. 3:1 (A. V. 'polluted'); luvtron in Lev. 25:24, 51, 54; lutrwthv" in Lev. 25:31, 32; Ps. 18:15, 77:35.

In many of the passages above cited another word is used as a parallel to gaal, namely, padah (hdp; Ass. paduÆ, 'to spare'), which our translators have rendered by the words deliver, redeem, ransom, ³ and rescue. It is used in Exod. 13:13, 15, of the redemption of the first-born, who were regarded as representatives of those who had been spared when the first-born of Egypt were destroyed. This redemption extended to all unclean beasts, to all, that is to say, that were precluded from being offered as sacrifice (Num. 18:16, 17), and a set price was to be paid for their deliverance or quittance. Redemption money (A. V. ransom) is described in Exod. 21:30 as paid to make amends (2 The text in Romans runs thus: 'The Redeemer shall come from Zion, and shall turn away

transgressions from Jacob.' The LXX agrees in the latter part, but in the first part a different Hebrew reading must have been followed by St. Paul. 3 The English word ransom is only a contracted form of the word redemption.

copher) in certain eases of wrong-doing (see R. V.).

Padah is often adopted to represent the deliverance of a servant from slavery, as in Exod. 21:8. It is also used of the people rescuing Jonathan from death, in 1 Sam. 14:45.

This word is used in Ps. 31:5, 'Into thine hand I commit my spirit: thou hast redeemed me, O Lord God of truth;' Ps. 34:22, 'The Lord redeemeth the souls of his servants;' Ps. 49:7, 8, 15, 'None can redeem his brother, nor give to God a ransom (copher) for him: (for the redemption of their soul is precious). ... But God will redeem my soul from the power of the grave;' Ps. 130:7, 8, 'With the Lord is plenteous redemption; and he shall redeem Israel from all his iniquities;' Isa. 1:27, 'Zion shall be redeemed with judgment.' The application of the word to Abraham, in Isa. 29:22, is remarkable, 'Thus saith the Lord, who redeemed Abraham.' It seems here to signify his call from the companionship of idolaters and his introduction into the covenant of promise.

From the passages which have now been cited, it will be gathered that the word padah is not used in the peculiar technical senses which gaal expresses, but that it especially refers to the deliverance from *bondage*. The LXX generally represents it by lutrovw; five times we find rJuvomai, twice swvzw, and once ajpolutrovw

The cognate form pada< ([dp]) is found in connection with caphar in Job 33:24, 'Deliver him: I have found a ransom' (or mode of atonement); but we find padah in verse 28, 'He will deliver his soul from going into the pit.'

§ 2. N.T. Teaching on Redemption.

In approaching the Greek words for redemption in the N.T., it is evident that we must not narrow our conceptions to one sole process of deliverance, for the O.T.

has led us to look for redemption in many aspects. There may be *physical* deliverance, from disease or death; *social* deliverance, from conventional or legal barriers between man and man, between the sexes, between various classes of society or various nations of the world; and there may be *moral* and *spiritual* deliverance, from the power of evil in the heart, and from the effects of that evil before God. Without pressing for a strong demarcation between rJuvomai, to deliver, and lutrovw, to redeem, we shall be prepared to find in both cases that the deliverance of man is costly, involving some gift or act of self-sacrifice on the part of the Redeemer; nor shall we be surprised if we find that a certain identification is necessitated between the Deliverer and those whom He claims a right to deliver.

We find rJuvomai in the sense of deliverance in the following passages ⁴:—Matt. 6:13, 'Deliver us from evil.' Luke 1:74, 'That we being delivered out of the hands of our enemies might serve him without fear;' connected with the coming of Christ. Rom. 7:24, 'O wretched man that I am, who shall deliver me from the body of this death?' but here note the answer, 'through Jesus Christ.' Rom. 11:26, referring to Isa. 59:20, 'The Redeemer (Goel , oJ rJuovmeno") shall come *from* Zion.' See note on this passage on p.118. Rom. 15:31, 'That I may be delivered from them that are disobedient.' 2 Cor. 1:10, 'Who delivered us from so great a death, and doth deliver; and we hope also that he shall deliver.' Col. 1:13, 'Who delivered us from the power of darkness, and translated us.' 1 Thess. 1:10, 'Who delivers us from the wrath to come.' See also 2 Thess. 3:2; 2 Tim. 3:11, 4:17, 18; 2 Pet. 2:7, 9.

The verb lutrovw is used only three times in the N.T. In two of these passages there is evidently a

4 But it is to be remembered that whilst rJuvomai occasionally stands for gaal and padah, it more generally represents the causative form of natzal (lxn), to rescue. p.118 The text in Romans runs thus: 'The Redeemer shall come from Zion, and shall turn away transgressions from Jacob.' The LXX agrees in the latter part, but in the first part a different Hebrew reading must have been followed by St. Paul.

reference to the cost or sacrifice which man's delivery has involved. In Titus 2:14 we are told of Jesus Christ that He 'gave himself for us, that he might redeem us from all iniquity.' In 1 Pet. 1:18, 19, 'Ye were not redeemed from your vain manner of life with corruptible things, as silver and gold; but with the precious blood of Christ, as of a lamb without blemish and without spot.' These passages may be compared with our Lord's own words which are found in Matt. 20:28, and Mark 10:45, 'The Son of man came (*i.e.* identified himself with the human race), not to be ministered unto, but to minister, and to give his life a ransom for many,' dou`nai th;n yuch;n auJtou` luvtron ajnti; pollw`n . Thus the Lord became the kinsman of men, so as to have the right of redeeming them by the sacrifice of His own life. This truth was set forth in most striking words by St. Paul, who says of the Saviour (1 Tim. 2:5, 6), 'There is one mediator for God and men, the man Christ Jesus; who gave himself a ransom for all (dou;" eJauto;n ajntivlutron uJpe;r pavntwn), to be testified in due time.'

Again, the two disciples, on their road to Emmaus, said of Jesus (Luke 24:21), 'We trusted that it had been he which should have redeemed Israel,' oJ mevllwn lutrou`sqai to;n ÆIsrahvl . By this expression they implied that a Redeemer was certainly coming, and that their hopes had been set upon Jesus of Nazareth as the person they were looking for. By the redemption of Israel perhaps they meant what the disciples described a few days afterwards as the restoration of the kingdom to Israel. This redemption had been looked for with much eagerness among the Jews of that time, possibly owing to the study of Daniel's prophecy of Seventy Weeks. We have a glimpse of this expectation thirty years earlier in the prophetic song of Zacharias, which opens with these words (Luke 1:68): 'Blessed be the Lord God of Israel, for he hath visited and redeemed (ejpoivhse luvtrwsin) his people, and hath raised up an horn of salvation for us in the house of his servant David.' The word redemption here used by the aged priest appears to gather up in one all the blessings mentioned in the later portions of the song —light, pardon, peace, salvation, deliverance from the hand of enemies, and the power of serving God without fear, 'in holiness and righteousness before him all the days of our life.' Compare the words concerning the aged Anna (i.e. Hannah

⁵) who went forth to speak of Him to all those that looked for redemption (luvtrwsin) in Jerusalem (Luke 2:38).

The word luvtrwsi" occurs once more, namely, in Heb. 9:12, where we read of Christ that 'By his own blood he entered in once for all into the holy place (*i.e.* into the heavens), having obtained (or found) eternal redemption for us (Job 33:24).'

The noun ajpoluvtrwsi", which does not exist in the LXX, occurs ten times in the N.T.; once in the Gospels, 'Lift up your heads, for behold your redemption draweth nigh' (Luke 21:28). This passage evidently refers to a great future event, which shall constitute the final deliverance of Israel from desolation. The word is used with reference to a greater deliverance in Rom. 8:23, 'Waiting for the adoption, to wit, the redemption of our body;' also in Eph. 1:13, 14, and 4:30.

In Rom. 3:24, Eph. 1:7, and Col. 1:14, redemption is apparently identified with present pardon and justification through the blood of Christ. But there is another passage which combines the present and future aspects of redemption in one, viz. Heb. 9:15. It is here stated that the death of Christ effects a redemption, or perhaps we might render it a quittance or discharge of the account of the transgressions incurred under the first covenant, that they which are called might receive the promise of eternal inheritance. In Heb. 11:35, the word is used with reference to that deliverance from death which the martyrs under the old dispensation might possibly have obtained at the cost of a denial of the faith.

The idea of purchase as connected with salvation is expressed still more strongly in the N.T. than in the O.T., by the use of the words ajgoravzw and ejxagoravzw. The former of these is used several times in the Gospels in its ordinary sense; but in the later books we read, 'Ye are (or *were*) bought 5 It is a pity that our Revisers did not correct the spelling of this name as they did in the case of 'alleluia.'

with a price' (1 Cor. 6:20, and 7:23); 'Denying the Lord that bought them' (2 Pet. 2:1); 'Thou hast bought us for God by thy blood' (Rev. 5:9); 'The hundred and forty-four thousand that are bought from the earth' (Rev. 14:3, 4).

The more complete form ejxagoravzw is found in Gal. 3:13, 'Christ has bought us off from the curse;' and chap.4:5, 'Made under the law, that he might buy off them that are under the law.' It primarily refers to the special deliverance which Jews as such needed and obtained through the form and mode of Christ's death, so as to extricate them from the claims which the law of Moses would otherwise have established against them.

Another word is rendered purchase in the N.T., namely, peripoivhsi". The verb usually answers to the Hebrew Chayah (hyj), to make or keep alive. It is also used in Isa. 43:21, where we read, 'This people have I formed (or moulded) for myself;' and the noun occurs in Mal. 3:17, where it signifies a peculiar treasure (A. V. jewels). The result of our being saved alive by God is that we become in a special sense His acquired property. Thus we may render Acts 20:28, 'Feed the church of God which he hath acquired to himself by his own blood;' 1 Pet. 2:9, 'An acquired people;' ⁶ Eph. 1:14, 'Until the redemption of the acquired property;' 1 Thess. 5:9, 'For the acquisition of salvation;' 2 Thess. 2:14, 'For the acquisition of glory.'

§ 3. Salvation.

The doctrine of salvation in the N.T. derives its name from a word which was engrained in the history and language of Israel from the period of the deliverance of the people out of Egypt up to the time of their restoration from captivity. The word yasha<([vy), to save, which generally answers to the Greek swvzw, has given a name not only to Joshua, but to J ESUS, who should save His people from their sins. Our translators have rendered yasha< by the words save, help, preserve, rescue, defend, and deliver.

Yasha< is used of the deliverance of Israel from the Egyptians (Exod. 14:30; Isa. 43:3), and from other enemies (Num. 10:9; Deut. 20:4). The reference to this fact in 1 Sam. 10:19 is very striking: 'Ye have this day rejected your God, who himself saved you out of all your adversities and your tribulations.'

This salvation was often effected through the instrumentality of man. Thus the Lord said to Gideon, 'Go in this thy might, and thou shalt save Israel from the hand of the Midianites: have not I sent thee?' (Jud. 6:14). Again, He says to Samuel concerning Saul, 'Thou shalt anoint him to be captain over my people Israel, that he may save my people out of the hand of the Philistines' (1 Sam. 9:16). Yet in such cases it was to be clearly understood that the work was God's, not man's; accordingly, Gideon's company was reduced in number, 'lest Israel vaunt themselves against me, saying, My own hand hath saved me' (Jud. 7:2). Actuated by this conviction, Jonathan reminded his armour-bearer that 'There is no restraint to the Lord to save by many or by few' (1 Sam. 14:6); and Saul, when appealing to the name of God, describes Him as the Lord who saveth Israel (1 Sam. 14:39). In 2 Kings 13:5 we read that 'the Lord gave Israel a saviour, so that they went out from under the hand of the Syrians.' With this passage may be compared the words of Isaiah with regard to Egypt, 'They shall cry unto the Lord because of the oppressors, and he shall send them a saviour, and a great one, and he shall deliver them' (Isa. 19:20).

Over and above the national salvation depicted in these and many similar passages, there are numerous references in the O.T. to the fact that God exercises a saving care over individuals, especially over those who in their helplessness and trouble need and claim His protection. Eliphaz

6 Thus a *peculiar* people, in the Bible, does not mean an eccentric or a strange people; it gives no excuse to people to affect *peculiarities*.

says of God, 'He saveth the poor from the sword, from their mouth, and from the hand of the mighty' (Job 5:15); 'He shall save the humble person' (22:29). The Psalmist says, 'He saves the meek' (Ps. 76:9), the needy (72:4, 13), the contrite (34:18), the righteous (Prov. 28:18), but not the wicked (Ps. 18:41).

The principle upon which this salvation from trouble is extended to man is simply the merciful disposition of God (Ps. 109:26) and His own honour (Isa. 37:35). He saves for His own Name's sake. He says emphatically, 'I, even I, am the Lord; and beside me there is no saviour' (Isa. 43:11); 'Look unto me, and be ye saved, all the ends of the earth: for I am God, and there is none else' (Isa. 45:22).

There is nothing in the word yasha< which indicates the mode or which limits the extent of salvation. It evidently includes divinely bestowed deliverance from every class of spiritual and temporal evil to which mortal man is subjected. In Ps. 24:5, and elsewhere, it is set forth in connection with righteousness; in 25:5, with truth; in 40:10, with faithfulness; in 51:12; with joy; in 68:19, 20, with spiritual gifts; in 69:13, with the hearing of prayer; and in 79:9, with the forgiveness of sins.

The Messiah was to be the embodiment of the Divine help and salvation. His coming is thus proclaimed, 'Behold, thy salvation cometh; behold, his reward is with him, and his work before him' (Isa. 62:11); 'Behold, thy king cometh unto thee: he is just, and having salvation' ⁷ (Zech. 9:9

); 'Behold, your God will come with vengeance, even God with a recompence; he will come and save you' (Isa. 35:4).

It is to be noticed that Chayah (hyj), to save alive or make alive, is used several times in the O.T.,

e.g. Gen. 12:12; Ezek. 3:18; 13:18, 19; 18:27. In these and other passages preservation in life is what is generally referred to. Compare 1 Pet. 3:18, which may be rendered 'being kept alive in the spirit.'

§ 4. Teaching of the N.T. On Salvation.

The Greek representative of yasha< in the N.T. is swvxw. We find it used of both temporal and spiritual deliverances, though the latter sense strongly predominates. 'To be saved' and 'to be made whole' are sometimes taken as renderings for the same word. Over and over again in this physical sense Christ 'saved others,' though He could not—the Jews supposed—'save Himself.' There are also some passages in the Epistles which appear to refer to temporal salvation, whilst others are open to two interpretations.

The references in the N.T. to the 'great salvation' wrought by Christ are very constant and most remarkable. Sometimes this salvation is identified with entrance into the kingdom of God (Mark 10:26; Luke 13:23); sometimes it is regarded as a present salvation (Luke 19:9; 2 Cor. 6:2); in other passages it is postponed till the Great Day (1 Cor. 3:15), which is the day of the Lord Jesus Christ (1 Cor. 5:5). It is everywhere set forth as attainable only through Him (John 10:9; Acts 4:12). It follows on repentance (1 Cor. 7:10), on belief (Mark 16:16), on receiving the love of the truth (2 Thess. 2:10), on public confession of Christ's resurrection (Rom. 10:9). In some passages salvation is deliverance from sins (Matt. 1:21); in others it appears to mean a continuous preservation from surrounding evil (2 Tim. 4:18; Heb.5:9); whilst in a third class of passages it is deliverance from the wrath to come (Rom. 5:9, 13:11; 1 Thess. 5:8; Heb. 9:28).

The being saved is brought several times into contrast with the being lost. It is a present loss or perdition from which Christ comes to seek and to save in the first place. He is never represented as saving from final perdition those who deliberately reject His saving work here. His mission was

7 The word here is passive, and perhaps refers to the resurrection of Christ.

essentially remedial and restorative. So long as He was upon earth He restored health to the sick, sight to the blind, and cleanness to the leper; now that He has died, risen, and ascended into heaven, He restores the moral being of those who trust Him, not only by healing their backslidings and pardoning their offences, but also by giving them spiritual health, and power to live unto God. Hereafter will come the restoration of the body and of the whole physical fabric of things connected with the body, together with the full development of spiritual life.

CHAPTER XII.

ATONEMENT, FORGIVENESS, ACCEPTANCE.

M ORAL actions are regarded in Scripture in two lights: first, they tend to influence the character of the agent; secondly, they affect his relations with his fellow-beings, and also with God. Every breach of law, as a matter of fact, constitutes man an offender, and—if it be known or suspected—causes him to be regarded as such. This principle, with which we are all familiar in human affairs, is true, nay, it may be regarded as a truism, in things pertaining to God; and since the secrets of every heart are laid bare before Him, it follows that every evil motive, every cherished passion, every wrong word, and every evil deed awaken the Divine displeasure, and call for judicial treatment at God's hands. As in man, however, there exist certain attributes which tend to compensate each other's action, so it is in God. Mercy rejoices against judgment, and the feelings of a Father exist in the bosom of Him whom we instinctively and rightly regard as a Moral Governor. God never forgets whereof we are made; He knows our frame, and remembers that we are but dust; and the sins into which we are often hurried through our fallen nature and our inherited constitution, through ignorance, through the force of circumstances, and through the machinations of the Evil One, are weighed by Him in all their aspects, and are seen, if with a magisterial eye, yet through a medium of tender love and pity, which has found its full expression

and effect in the atonement.

§ 1. The Hebrew Word for Atonement.

The Hebrew word whereby this doctrine is universally set forth in the O.T. is Caphar (rpk), the original meaning of which is supposed to be to cover or shelter. A noun formed from it, answering to the modern Arabic Khephr, is sometimes used to signify a village as a place of shelter, *e.g.* Capernaum (the village of Nahum). Another form of this word, namely, Copher, usually rendered ransom, is transliterated camphire in Cant. 1:14, and 4:13. In Gen. 6:14 the verb and noun are used, where God is represented as telling Noah to pitch the ark within and without with pitch.

Before referring to the passages in which the word has been rendered to make atonement, we may notice those in which other renderings have been adopted in the A. V. The following are the most important:—

Deut. 21:8, 'They shall say, Be merciful unto thy people whom thou hast redeemed, and lay not this innocent blood to their charge; ... and the blood shall be forgiven them'— *i.e.* the charge of having shed innocent blood shall be removed from them. 1 Sam. 3:14, 'I have sworn that the iniquity of Eli's house shall not be purged with sacrifice nor offering for ever.' No sacrifice for sins

of ignorance could cause God to charge His determination in this case. It is not the eternal destiny of the individuals, but the official position of the family, that is here spoken of. 2 Chron. 30:18, 19, 'Hezekiah prayed for them, saying, The good Lord pardon every one that prepareth his heart to seek God, the Lord God of his fathers, though (he do it) not according to the purification of the sanctuary. 'It is added that 'the Lord hearkened to Hezekiah and healed the people.' Here a ceremonial offence was committed, but, through the intercession of Hezekiah, the charge was done away with. Ps. 78:38, 'He being full of compassion forgave their iniquity and destroyed them not.' In this case the charge was done away with, not because of man's innocence, but because of God's compassion. Ps. 79:9, 'Purge away our sins for thy name's sake.' In this, as in other passages, the purgation is not the moral change, but the removal either of guilt or of the punishment which follows from guilt. The ground of appeal lies not in any latent goodness in the offender, but in the nature of God Himself. This is implied in the familiar but too little heeded phrase, 'for thy name's sake,' which occurs so frequently in the O.T. Prov. 16:6, 'By (or in) mercy and truth iniquity is purged, and by (or in) the fear of the Lord men depart from evil.' This passage teaches that where a man departs from his evil courses and turns into the path of mercy and truth, God is ready to be gracious to him. (Compare Jer. 18:23.) Isa. 6:7, 'Lo, this hath touched thy lips, and thine iniquity is taken away and thy sin purged.' Isa. 22:14, 'Surely this iniquity shall not be purged from you till ye die.' The men of whom this was said, and who had deliberately set themselves in opposition to God's revealed truth, would go into another world with their sins unpardoned. Isa. 27:9, 'By this shall the iniquity of Jacob be purged, and this is all the fruit to take away his sin.' (Compare Num. 35:33; Deut. 32:43

.) Isa. 28:18, 'Your covenant with death shall be disannulled.' This use of the word Caphar is interesting. To be disannulled is to be treated as nonexistent; and this is the way in which God covers sin; to use the vivid language of the Bible, He casts it behind His back. Ezek. 16:62, 63, 'I will establish my covenant with thee, and thou shalt know that I am the Lord; that thou mayest remember and be confounded, and never open thy mouth any more because of thy shame; when I am pacified toward thee for all that thou hast done.' The pacification of God is

literally the covering (by atonement) of the sins written against His people. Pacification, *i.e.* atonement, proceeds from Him only. See also Ps. 65:3; Isa. 47:11; Ezek. 43:20.

The word Caphar, in one or other of its forms, is rendered atone or atonement in about eighty passages, most of which are in the Levitical law. All men and all things human are represented in the law as needing atonement. Even when a priest, or an altar, or a temple was to be consecrated, there must be atonement made first.

And how was atonement wrought? A spotless victim had to be brought before the Lord to take the part of sinful man. Its death, after the sins of the offerer had been laid upon its head, represented the fact that the innocent must suffer for the guilty. Then came the solemn mystery. The priest, God's agent, must take the blood of the victim and scatter it over God's altar. This process set forth the truth that God and the sinner must be brought into contact through means of Him whom priest and altar typified. The symbol was composite, or many-sided, and its various aspects can only be realised and put together when they are regarded in the light of Christ's death upon the cross. It was not His life that made atonement, but His death, i.e. the giving up of His life. One of the ends and objects of His partaking of flesh and blood was that He might taste death. The people of Israel were frequently reminded that their hope lay in the death of a representative. This is brought out very clearly in Lev. 17:11, 'The life (or soul) of the flesh is in the blood: and I have given it to you upon the altar to make an atonement for your lives; for it is the blood that maketh an atonement for ¹ the life.' When therefore the Son of God 'poured out his soul unto death,' shedding His life-blood in behalf of the world, He gave substance and embodiment to the Divine disposition of mercy which was foreshadowed in the Levitical law.

We now have to notice that the word Caphar not only sets forth God's merciful disposition to

1 R. V. (?) 'by reason of the life.'

shelter the sinner, and symbolises the process whereby the shelter should be obtained, but also represents the act of the *Priest* in making atonement for the sins of the people. An important conclusion may be drawn from this fact, namely, that this divinely-appointed officer, when making atonement, was really representing, not what man does in approaching God, but what 'God manifest in the flesh' does in sheltering man. The people might bring the sacrifices, but it was the priest alone that could take the blood and sprinkle it on the altar or on the mercy-seat, and when he did so he was setting forth in a dim and shadowy figure the merciful provision of God for the pardon of the sinner. Atonement, then, was not something done by man to pacify or gratify God, nor was it something done by a third party with the intention of representing the sinner before God; but it is essentially the product of God's pardoning mercy, exhibited in figure through the agency of the priest's sprinkling of the blood, and finally embodied in the walk of Christ. 'God was, in Christ, reconciling the world unto himself, not imputing their trespasses unto them' (2 Cor. 5:19).

In accordance with the teaching of the O.T. on this subject, we have the doctrine of the Priesthood of Christ, the object of which was 'to make atonement (A. V. 'reconciliation') for the sins of the people,' plainly set forth in the Epistle to the Hebrews (2:17).

The fact that the priest in certain cases (*e.g.* Lev. 10:17) consumed the flesh of the atoning sin-offering may have symbolised the identification between priest and victim which was to be accomplished when Christ offered Himself for our sins.

The application of the fire which was continually burning on the altar, together with incense, to make atonement in certain cases (*e.g.* Num. 16:46; Isa. 6:6, 7), seems intended to indicate that the virtue of the atonement once made is continuous, and applicable to all cases.

The word reconciliation has been adopted by our translators instead of atonement,

and must be considered as identical with it in Lev. 6:30, 8:15, 16:20; Ezek. 45:15, 17, 20; Dan. 9:24.

The form Copher has been rendered satisfaction in Num. 35:31, 32; bribe in 1 Sam. 12:3, Amos 5:12; sum of money in Exod. 21:30; ransom in Exod. 30:12, Job 33:24, 36:18, Ps. 49:7, Prov. 6:35, 13:8, 21:18, and Isa. 43:3. The usage of the word in these passages, many of which were not ceremonial or symbolical, conveys an idea of *costliness* as an element in atonement, and thus allies it with redemption. ²

The LXX has translated the verb Caphar by ejxilavskomai, and the noun generally by iJlasmov", propitiation; occasionally by kaqarismov", cleansing; and by luvtron, ransom, in six passages. The prevailing idea set forth both in the LXX and in other translations is that atonement is the doing away with a charge against a person, so that the accused may be received into the Divine favour, and be freed from the consequences of wrong-doing. It should be added that pacification, propitiation, and such words, are by no means adequate for the purpose of conveying the doctrine of atonement; they savour too much of heathenism and superstition, and lead to the supposition that man pacifies God, instead of teaching that God shelters man.

The name of the mercy-seat, Capporeth (iJlasthvrion), is derived from Caphar. The description of this remarkable object is to be found in Exod. 25., and its use is indicated in Lev. 16. It was the lid of the ark which contained the law of God. Though made of pure gold, it needed to be sprinkled with blood by the High Priest once a year. This life-blood, shed to represent the punishment due to the Israelites for their sins, was thus brought (by means of sprinkling) into contact with the receptacle of the Law.

The mercy-seat is not only referred to as one of the Levitical 'shadows' in Heb. 9:5, but is identified with the atoning work of Christ in Rom. 3:25, where we read, 'God hath set forth (Christ) as a propitiation (Luther, 'zu einem Gnadenstuhl') through faith in his blood.' 2 The free offering of the jewels 'as an atonement for the life' by those who had plundered the Midianites was a special

case, and must not be regarded as pointing to an independent means of atonement; moreover, it is to be noticed that the gift was accepted by the priests not as an atonement, but as a memorial (Num. 31:50, 54).

§ 2. N.T. Teaching on Atonement and Substitution.

The verb exilavskomai does not appear in the N.T., but both iJlasmov" and kaqarismov" are used of the atoning work of Christ (see 1 John 2:2, 4:10, and Heb. 1:3). The word luvtron also applied by Christ to His own death, which was 'a ransom for many' (luvtron ajnti; pollw`n), Matt. 20:28, and Mark 10:45. We have here strongly brought out the truth that the Divine interposition on behalf of sinful man was not a work which cost nothing; it called for no less an offering than the precious life-blood of Christ, who was a 'lamb without blemish and without spot.' As it was an act of self-sacrifice on the Father's part to give His Son freely to bear and suffer what He deemed needful, so it was an act of self-sacrifice on the Son's part to drink the cup which His Father put into His hands. He was at once both a living and a dying sacrifice.

The truth set forth by our Lord in the above-named passages concerning the costliness of atonement is further illustrated by the words of St. Paul in 1 Tim. 2:5, 6, 'There is one God, and one mediator belonging to God and men, Christ Jesus, (himself) man; who gave himself a ransom for all (ajntivlutron uJpe;r pavntwn), to be testified in due time.' The word mesivth" here translated mediator is not to be found in the LXX; it seems to imply not so much what is ordinarily meant by a mediator, as a medium, and so a common ground. Jesus Christ is a Being in whom Godhead and manhood meet, so that God and man are made one in Him, and are represented by Him. The Son of God, who is One in nature and attributes with the Father, took not only a human body but human nature, so that every child of Adam may claim Him as kinsman; and then gave Himself a ransom for all. Here St. Paul, not content with the word luvtron, adopts a composite word to make the passage still more emphatic, ajntivlutron uJpe;r pavntwn, a substitutionary ransom on behalf of all. What men could not do, that Christ Jesus did for them, instead of them, and in their behalf, by the will of God. The obedience of Christ, which culminated in His death, was thus devised, wrought, and accepted by God for the benefit of all men. It may not be needful to

assert that He *suffered* what all men deserved to *suffer*, but He certainly *did* what all men were originally intended *to do*, viz. His Father's will in all its fulness; and that will, in His case, involved that He should suffer death for the sin of the world, destroying thereby the body of sin, whilst by His resurrection He opened the kingdom of heaven to all believers.

The Hebrew preposition rendered by the word for in connection with the doctrine of acceptance and atonement does not mean instead of, but over, on, because of, or on account of. The preposition which properly marks substitution is never used in connection with the word caphar. To make atonement for a sin is literally to cover over the sin, the preposition (<al , l[) being constantly used with verbs signifying to cover, e.g. in Hab. 2:14. 'As the waters cover the sea.' Ba<ad (d[b), because of, is used in some passages, as in Exod. 32:30. In one passage only does the strict idea of substitution, as distinguished from representation, appear in the O.T. in connection with sacrifice, namely, in Gen. 22:13, where we are told that Abraham offered up a ram instead of his son. The absence of this peculiar mode of expression from the Levitical law is significant; and it teaches us to be cautious in the use of language relative to the transfer of sins and of righteousness effected in the atonement. In connection with this point, the following weighty words from Archbishop Magee's work on the Atonement deserve consideration:—'The expression to bear the sins of others is familiarised to denote the suffering evils inflicted on account of those sins. I will not contend that this should be called suffering the punishment of those sins, because the idea of punishment cannot be abstracted from that of guilt; and in this respect I differ from many respectable authorities, and even from Dr. Blayney, who uses the word punishment in his translation. But it is evident that it is, notwithstanding, a judicial infliction; and it may perhaps be figuratively denominated punishment, if thereby be implied a reference to the actual transgressor, and be understood that suffering which

was due to the offender himself; and which, if inflicted on him, would then take the name of punishment. In no other sense can the suffering inflicted on one on account of the transgressions of another be called a punishment, and in this light the bearing the punishment of another's sins is to be understood as bearing that which in relation to the sins and to the sinner admits the name of punishment, but with respect to the individual on whom it is actually inflicted, abstractedly considered, can be viewed but in the light of suffering.'

The same writer observes that 'those that hold the doctrine of a vicarious punishment feel it not necessary to contend that the evil inflicted on the victim should be exactly the same in quality and degree with that denounced against the offender; it depending, they say, upon the will of the legislator what satisfaction he will accept in place of the punishment of the offender.' Once more, he remarks that 'a strict vicarious substitution or literal equivalent is not contended for, no such notion belonging to the doctrine of the atonement.'

To sum up the Scriptural view on this doctrine, we may say that atonement signifies *shelter by means representation*. Applying this general definition to the case of *sin*, Scripture teaches that shelter for the sinner is secured through his being represented by Christ before the Father; and in order that he should be so represented, Christ became our kinsman, and wrought out that perfect righteousness which man has failed to attain; further, He endured death on the cross, and more than death—the hiding of His Father's countenance, which was the curse due to sin. Thus He who knew not sin was made (or dealt with as) sin for us, that we might be made the righteousness of God in Him. It is a real substitution, for what He did and suffered took the place of what we ought to have done and suffered.

The only time that the word atonement is used in the A. V. of the N.T. is in Rom.5:11. Here it stands for the Greek katallaghv, which ought to have been rendered reconciliation in accordance with the previous verse (see R. V.). It is to be remarked that katallaghv is never used of the atonement in the O.T. The verb

katallavssw is found in the following passages in the second book of Maccabees: (1:5), 'May God *be at one* with you;' (5:20), 'The great Lord being *reconciled*;' (7:33), 'He shall *be at one* with his servants;' (8:29), 'They besought the merciful Lord *to be reconciled* with his servants.' While these four Apocryphal passages speak of God's reconciliation to man, in the N.T. we read only of man's being reconciled to God. The minister of reconciliation has to beseech men to be reconciled to God (2 Cor. 5:20), and in so doing he is expressing in words that which Christ expressed in deeds. For 'God reconciled us to himself through Christ' (2 Cor. 5:18

), and the process by which He did it, namely, the death on the cross (Rom. 5:10), is available for the whole world (2 Cor. 5:19; Rom. 11:15).

When we speak of Christ reconciling His Father to us, ³ we are not to picture up an angry Judge being propitiated by a benevolent Son; this would be an entire misrepresentation of the Christian Faith. Rather we should regard the Son as sent by His Father to die for the sins of the world, in order that He might remove the bar which hindered the free action of Divine love on the heart of man. As the Father has committed the work of Judgment to the Son, so has He committed the work of Atonement; and the Son of Man is as much the agent of His Father's will in the latter case as in the former.

§ 3. Forgiveness.

Passing from the subject of atonement to that of forgiveness, we meet with the word Salach (jls, Ass. SuluÆ), a term of great importance, because it is reserved especially to mark the pardon extended to the sinner by God, and is never used to denote that inferior kind and measure of

3 See the second article of the Church of England.

forgiveness which is exercised by one man towards another. This word is used about forty-five times. The LXX sometimes renders it by ajfivhmi, to remit, but the usual rendering i{lew" eijmi; or iJlavskomai, to be propitious, the word used by the publican when he said, 'God be merciful to me a sinner' (Luke 18:13).

Salach is to be found in the following amongst other passages:— Exod. 34:9, 'If now I have found grace in thy sight, O Lord, let my Lord go among us; for it is a stiff-necked people; and pardon our iniquity and our sin, and take us for thine inheritance.' Lev.4:20, 'The priest shall make an atonement for them (i.e. for the congregation when they had sinned through ignorance), and it shall be forgiven them;' see also verses 26, 31, 35, and chap.5:10, 16, 18. Num. 14:19, 20, 'Pardon, I beseech thee, the iniquity of this people according to the greatness of thy mercy, and as thou hast forgiven this people, from Egypt until now. And the Lord said, I have pardoned according to thy word.' Deut. 29:20, With respect to the apostate and licentious man, it is said, 'The Lord will not spare him, but the anger of the Lord and his jealousy shall smoke against that man, and all the curses that are written in this book shall lie upon him, and the Lord shall blot out his name from under heaven.' 1 Kings 8:30, 39, 'When thou hearest, forgive.' 2 Kings 5:18, 'The Lord pardon thy servant, (that) when my master goeth into the house of Rimmon to worship there, and he leaneth on my hand, and I bow myself in the house of Rimmon ... the Lord pardon thy servant in this thing.' 2 Kings 24:3, 4, 'Surely at the commandment of the Lord came (this punishment) upon Judah, to remove them out of his sight, for the sins of Manasseh, according to all that he did; and also for the innocent blood that he shed: which the Lord would not pardon.' Neh. 9:17, 'Thou art a God ready to pardon' (lit. a God of pardons) Ps. 25:11, 'For thy name sake, O Lord, pardon mine iniquity; for it is great.' Ps. 86:5, 'Thou, Lord, art good, and ready to forgive.' Ps. 103:3, 'Who forgiveth all thine iniquities, and healeth all thy diseases.' Ps. 130:4, 'There is forgiveness (oJ iJlasmov", the propitiation) with thee, that thou mayest be feared.' Isa. 55:7, 'Let the wicked forsake his way, and the unrighteous man his thoughts: and let him return unto the Lord, and he will have mercy upon him; and to our God, for he will abundantly pardon.' Jer. 5:1, 'Seek in the broad places (of Jerusalem) if ye

can find a man, if there be any that executeth judgment, that seeketh the truth; and I will pardon it.' Jer. 5:7, 'How shall I pardon thee for this?' Jer. 31:34, 'I will forgive their iniquity, and will remember their sin no more.' Jer. 33:8, 'I will cleanse them from all their iniquity, whereby they have sinned against me; and I will pardon all their iniquities, whereby they have sinned, and whereby they have transgressed against me.' Jer. 36:3, 'It may be that the house of Judah will hear all the evil that I purpose to do unto them; that they may return every man from his evil way, that I may forgive their iniquity and their sin.' Jer. 50:20, 'The iniquity of Israel shall be sought for, and there shall be none; and the sins of Judah, and they shall not be found: for I will pardon them whom I reserve.' Lam. 3:42, 'We have transgressed and rebelled: thou hast not pardoned.' Dan. 9:9, 'To the Lord our God belong mercies and forgivenesses, though we have rebelled against him.' Amos 7:2, 3, 'When the grasshoppers had made an end of eating the grass of the land, then I said, O Lord God, forgive, I beseech thee: by whom shall Jacob arise?, for he is small. The Lord repented for this: It shall not be, saith the Lord.'

It appears, on the whole, that the process represented by this word Salach is the Divine restoration of an offender into favour, whether through his own repentance or the intercession of another. Though not identical with atonement, the two are nearly related. In fact, the covering of the sin and the forgiveness of the sinner can only be understood as two aspects of one truth; for both found their fulness in God's provision of mercy through Christ. The Apostle brings atonement and pardon closely together when he says, in summing up the symbolic value of the Levitical system, 'Without shedding of blood (the preliminary to atonement) there is no forgiveness (a[fesi"),' Heb. 9:22.

The words uJfivhmi and a[fesi" are constantly used in the N.T. to denote the forgiveness of sins, whether by God or by man. One of the chief objects of the mission of Christ was that forgiveness of sins might be proclaimed through His name; and His death upon the cross has been the means of obtaining it. See Matt. 26:28; Mark 1:4; Luke 1:77, 24:47; Acts 2:38, 5:31, 13:38, 26:18; Eph. 1:7;

Col. 1:14.

§ 4. Sin Bearing.

Nasa (acn, Ass. nasuÆ), to bear, though found in connection with the putting away of sin, is by no means confined to this purpose. It is used very frequently of the bearing of the ark, also of an armour-bearer; it implies first the *lifting-up*; secondly, the *carrying*; and thirdly, the *taking away* of a burden. Nasa is often used of the endurance of punishment, or of the incurring of responsibility. Thus, in Gen. 4:13, Cain says, 'My punishment (or *fault*) is greater than I can bear;' here the LXX less correctly renders, 'My fault is too great to be forgiven.' (See also the Vulgate and Luther.) We also frequently meet with the expression, 'He shall bear his iniquity,' *i.e.* he shall incur the responsibility of his sin, *i.e.* Lev. 5:17, 'He is guilty, and shall bear his iniquity.' In some passages the stern consequence of a man having to bear his iniquity is plainly set forth; thus, in Lev. 19:8, we read, 'He shall bear his iniquity, because he hath profaned the hallowed things of the Lord; and that soul shall be cut off from among his people.'

The word nasa, however, is also used of the undertaking the responsibilities or sins of others by substitution or representation. The high priest was to bear the name of Israel before God (Exod. 28:12). The scapegoat was to bear the iniquity of the people (Lev. 16:22). In Lev. 10:17, the expression, 'To bear the iniquity of the congregation,' is identified with the making atonement for them before the Lord. A different Hebrew word, Saval (lbs), 'to bear a burden' (rather than 'to lift'), is used in Isa. 53:11, 'He shall bear their iniquities;' but in the following verse the word nasa occurs, 'He bare the sins of many,' and the two Hebrew words are found together in the fourth verse. The expression is very instructive. Christ did not *drive* sins away; He bare them. Moreover, the emphatic personal pronoun is added. Compare 1 Pet. 2:24, 'Who his own self bare our sins.' The transition from the vicarious bearing of sin to the idea of pardon is very natural, but it is remarkable that this transition should have been effected as early as the

days of the patriarchs. Joseph's brethren used the word nasa when they say, 'Forgive the trespass of the servants of the God of thy fathers' (Gen. 50:17). Pharaoh says, 'Forgive, I pray thee, my sin only this once' (Exod. 10:17). Moses says to God (Exod.32:32), 'If thou wilt forgive their sin.' Among God's attributes it is recorded that He forgives iniquity and transgression and sin (Exod. 34:7; Num. 14:18; Micah 7:18

). Again, Moses intercedes, 'Pardon the iniquity of this people' (Num. 14:19). Joshua uses the word of God, 'He will not forgive your transgressions nor your sins' (Josh.24:19). In some of these passages the English word *bear* or *put up with* might possibly express the meaning as well as the word forgive. Nasa is also used in Ps. 25:18, 32:1, 5, 85:2, 99:8; Isa. 2:9, 33:24.

In other passages our translators have rendered nasa by spare or pardon. See Gen. 18:21; Exod. 23:21; 1 Sam. 15:25; Job 7:21; Isa. 44:21; Jer. 23:39; Lam. 3:17.

The usual Greek renderings for nasa are ai[rw, and lambavnw and these are reproduced in the

N.T. Thus, in St. Matt. 8:17, we read that the Lord, in healing various people that came to Him, fulfilled the words of the prophet, 'Himself bare our infirmities and carried our sorrows.' Here the Greek ajsqeneiva" hJmw`n e[labe is not quoted from the LXX, but is a translation of the original words in Isa. 53:4.

In John 1:29 we have the words of the Baptist, 'Behold the Lamb of God, that taketh away the sins of the world.' Here the word ai[rw answers to nasa, and implies the lifting up or taking a burden upon oneself, and consequently the delivering others from it. This sentence seems to be referred to by St. John when he says concerning the Lord, 'He was manifested that he might take away our sins' (1 John 3:5).

The word ajnafevrw, which occurs in the LXX in Isa. 53:11, is used of the offering of sacrifices in Heb. 7:27, 13:15; James 2:21; 1 Pet. 2:5. It is also twice used of the bearing of sin, *i.e.* the taking of

the responsibility of the sin of others upon oneself; in Heb. 9:28, 'Christ was once offered (prosfevrw) to bear (ajnafevrw) the sins of many;' and again, in 1 Pet. 2:24, 'Who himself bare our sins in his own body on the tree, that we, being dead (ajpogenovmenoi ⁴) to sins, might live to righteousness.'

§ 5. Acceptance.

Several words are taken to represent the doctrine of the Divine acceptance of man. In Prov. 21:3, we read, 'To do justice and judgment is more acceptable to the Lord than sacrifice.' Here the word Bachar (rjb) signifies to choose or select, whether for work or for honour. This is the word used of the 'chosen people,' and answers to the word ejklektov", 'elect,' in the LXX and the N.T. In some passages the LXX has rendered it aiJretivxw, It has been rendered 'elect' in Isa. 42:1, 45:4, and 65:9, 22, in all which passages there is reference either to Israel or to the Messiah.

In Lev. 10:19, 'Should it have been accepted in the sight of the Lord?' we might render, 'Should it have been good or pleasing (bwf) in his sight?' In Ps. 20:3, 'The Lord remember all thy offerings, and accept thy burnt sacrifice;' here, as we read in the margin, the word for accept (÷vd) may signify either make fat or turn to ashes, the latter being the most probable. In Eccles. 12:10, 'The preacher sought to find out acceptable words,' the word (Chaphets) signifies pleasant or desirable.

In 1 Sam. 26:19, David says to Saul, 'If the Lord have stirred thee up against me, let him accept an offering.' Here the word to *smell* (jwr Ass. ruhhu) is used, so that the passage may be compared with others, such as Gen. 8:21, where God is described as smelling a sweet savour, that is to say, being pleased with the offering, and hence with the offerer.

Nasa (acn), which has been discussed above, is frequently used to represent

acceptance, with the addition of the word 'face' or 'person' (µynp). It occurs in Gen. 19:21, where the angel says to Lot, 'I have accepted thee concerning this thing;' in Gen. 32:20, where Jacob says of Esau, 'Perhaps he will accept of me;' and in Job 42:8, 9, when God says of Job, 'Him will I accept.' See also 1 Sam. 25:35; Job 13:8, 10, 32:21, 34:10; Ps. 82:2; Prov. 18:5; Mal. 1:8.

A noun formed from the verb Nasa is used without the additional word 'face' or 'person' in Gen. 4:7, 'If thou doest well, shalt thou not be accepted?' Perhaps the word might be rendered excellency (as in the margin), or superiority, rather than acceptance. Our translators have rendered it dignity in Gen. 49:3, excellency in Job 13:11, and highness in 31:23.

The most important word for acceptance is ratsah (hxr), to be well pleased. It is used of God's acceptance of Aaron s ministrations in behalf of Israel (Exod. 28:38; Deut. 33:11), and is applied to the Divine regard for the offerer who comes before God in the appointed way. Thus, we read in Lev. 1:4, 'He shall put his hand upon the head of the burnt offering, and it shall be accepted for him (wl), to make atonement for him.' In the third verse of the same chapter, instead of rending with the

A. V. 'of his own voluntary will,' there is little doubt that we should read 'for his acceptance;' and so in other passages. See R. V.

The following passages illustrate the usage of ratsah:—Lev. 7:18, 19:7, 'If it (the peace offering) be eaten at all on the third day, it shall not be accepted, neither shall it be imputed unto him that offereth it: it shall be an abomination, and the soul that eateth it shall bear his iniquity' (compare 22:21, 23:11). 2 Sam. 24:23, 'And Araunah said unto the king, The Lord thy God accept thee.' Ps. 19:14, 'Let the words of my mouth and the meditation of my heart be acceptable in thy sight.' Ps. 69:13, 'As for me, my prayer is unto thee, O Lord, in an acceptable time,' *e.g.* at a season agreeable to Thee (compare Isa. 49:8). Ps. 119:108. 'Accept, I beseech thee, the freewill offerings of my

4 This word is unique, and marks severance rather than death.

mouth.' See also Prov. 10:32; Eccles. 9:7; Isa. 56:7, 58:5, 60:7, 61:2; Jer. 6:20, 14:10, 12; Ezek. 20:40, 41, 43:27; Hos. 8:13; Amos 5:22; Matt. 1:10, 13.

It is evident that by the Divine acceptance is to be understood the pleasure with which God welcomes into personal contact with Himself those who approach Him in His own appointed way, and in a spirit cognate to His own. An evildoer, as such, is not acceptable to God, even though he offer sacrifices. He must be sheltered by atonement, and must thus have the germ at least of a Divine life working in him if he would be regarded by God with pleasure.

The LXX frequently adopts eujdokevw, to be well pleased, for ratsah, especially in the Psalms. We also find prosdevcomai and devcomai, to accept, in several passages. The adjectival form is usually dektov", and the substantive is eujdokiva and qevlhma.

In the utterance, 'Thou art my beloved Son, in whom I am swell pleased,' which is repeated in six passages in the N.T. in slightly different forms, there may be an implied reference to Isa. 42:1 ('Mine elect, in whom my soul delighteth'), where the word ratsah is found. If so, we might gather that the purport of the announcement was that Christ Jesus was accepted by God as the minister of the true sanctuary and as the offering for the sins of the world. It is more probable, however, that the Greek word here used answers rather to the Hebrew chaphets, and signifies that Christ is one in whom God takes pleasure.

The verb prosdevcomai in the N.T. generally means either to expect or to receive. It is used in the passage, 'This man receiveth sinners and eateth with them' (Luke 15:2). In Phil. 4:18, Christian service is spoken of as 'an acceptable sacrifice' (qusiva dekthy). That which is acceptable in God's sight is spoken of as eujdokiva in Matt. 11:26, and Luke 10:21, 'So it seemed good in thy sight;' compare also Eph. 1:9, and Phil. 2:13. In Eph. 1:5, the two Greek renderings of ratson are combined in one phrase— 'according to the *good pleasure* of his *will*.' In the angels' song (Luke 2:14), if we accept the reading 'good will towards men,' we

must understand God's willingness to accept men; if we read 'towards men of good will,' we ought to understand His good will; so that the meaning is practically the same; and, after all, we are only dealing with a Greek rendering of what must have been a Hebrew song.

With regard to the word qevlhma, we have, in Heb. 10:7, a quotation from the Psalms which rules the meaning of the word in other passages, 'Lo, I come to do thy will, O God.' Here qevlhma answers to ratson, that which is acceptable in thy sight. 'By the which will,' continues the writer, 'we are sanctified.' Forasmuch as sacrifices of bulls and goats did not prove acceptable to God, the Divine Son came to do what would be acceptable. He substituted the offering of Himself for the types, and this offering being accepted by God, believers in Him were sanctified thereby (see Matt 26:39). In Heb. 10:36, the responsibility of doing the will of God is laid on the believer; and so in chap.13:21, where we learn that what we do is wrought in us by God, and is acceptable to Him through Jesus Christ. Compare Rom. 12:1.

On reviewing the passages of the N.T. in which the idea of acceptance is presented, they will be seen to confirm the view taken of the Hebrew word, as signifying the favourable and pleasurable reception given to man by God. God's acceptance of the man who believes in His Son is not to be regarded as a mere fictitious theory; it is a solid fact, a spiritual reality. Just as men here below have pleasure in one another under certain circumstances, so the unseen Author of Existence takes pleasure in those who fear Him, draws near to them when they draw near to Him, and in the Person of Christ 'receiveth sinners and eateth with them.' It is indeed a mystery; but it is gloriously true, and will be more fully realised hereafter, when the Tabernacle of God shall be with men.

CHAPTER XIII.

PURIFICATION, BAPTISM;

O NE of the essential attributes of God is His *purity*. This truth is constantly set forth in Scripture, both in plain declarations and also in symbolical representations. 'God is light, and in him is no darkness at all' (I John 1:5). In the remarkable vision recorded in Exod. 24:10, we read, 'They saw the God of Israel: and there was under his feet as it were a (paved) work of a sapphire-stone, and as it were the body ¹ of heaven in his clearness.' With this description we may compare the vision of the Divine glory which St. John had, 'Before the throne there was a sea of glass like unto crystal' (Rev. 4:6). What is compared in the one place to the brilliancy of the firmament ². is described in the other as an ocean of blazing crystal. That spotless purity which is the basis of the Divine character, and the atmosphere in which God exists, cannot indeed be adequately pictured forth by either of these figures. Even the heavens, though they declare His glory, are not pure in His sight. The ethereal splendour of the noonday is turned to darkness in the presence of Him who is 'the Father of lights.' Saul of Tarsus knew well the dazzling brightness of an Eastern sun at midday, but when the Divine glory of the Sun of Righteousness shone round about him, he found it to be 'above the brightness of the sun' (Acts 26:13).

The ideal condition of man is to be godlike, that is, to be pure and unpolluted in heart, word, and deed. But he fails to live up to this ideal. There is a fearful gulf between the purity of the Divine Being and that defilement which is, in greater or less degree, the sad inheritance of every child of Adam. How is this gulf to be spanned? Who is there that can bring a clean thing out of an unclean? 'If I wash myself with snow water, and make my hands never so clean, yet shalt thou plunge me in the ditch' (Job 9:30, 31). 'Though thou wash thee with nitre, and take thee much soap, yet thine iniquity is marked before me, saith the Lord God' (Jer. 2:22). But what man cannot do, God Himself has done, according to the Scriptures. He has opened a fountain for sin and for uncleanness.

§ 1. Purification.

The process whereby moral impurity was to be done away was typified or shadowed forth by the purifications of the Levitical ritual; and the word which is in general use in the O.T. to express the process is thaheŒr (rhf), which signifies, in the intensive form, to make clear, bright, or shining, and hence to make or pronounce clean. It is used of clearness in the passage quoted at the beginning of this chapter.

External purification was taken at a very early time as a symbol of internal cleansing. Thus Jacob says to his household, 'Put away the strange gods that are among you, and be clean, and change your garments: and let us arise, and go up to Bethel' (Gen. 35:2). The cleansing and the change of dress were evidently intended to set forth the resolution to put away those false gods by which their lives had been contaminated. Nor were the people of God peculiar in the use of this symbolical rite. It has been found in all ages and in almost all countries, especially where there is a hot climate. The word which is adopted for the purifications appointed by God is also used to express idolatrous purgations in Isa. 66:17. The purification in the gardens there spoken of was simply misdirected symbolism.

Among the elements used for ceremonial cleansing in the Levitical system, three are especially to be noticed, namely, *fire* ., *water* ., and *blood* . Precious metals taken from idolatrous nations were to

1 Literally the *bone* of heaven, *i.e.* the very heaven itself. The Hebrews often used the word 'bone,' as we use 'marrow,' for the essence of a thing. Our word *bone* is literally *boen* or essence.

2 LXX, w{sper ei\do" sterewvmato" tou` oujranou` th`/ kaqarovthti .

be passed through the fire; this process, together with an application of water, was considered to have purged them of their defilement. Clothing and all things that could not abide the fire were to be made to go through the water; and the persons of those who had come in contact with the heathen were to be reckoned unclean until this process was accomplished (Num. 31:23, 24). Cleansing by blood was needed in various cases of ceremonial defilement; in fact, 'almost all things are by the law purged with blood' (Heb. 9:22).

No instance of ceremonial cleansing is more fully detailed or more interesting than that of the leper. Here we have to distinguish between three processes, each of which was called by the same name. There was, first, the actual cure of the disease; secondly, the authoritative pronunciation by the priest; and, thirdly, the external washings, offerings, and other rites which signed and sealed the same, and gave the healed man admittance into the congregation. With regard to the cleansing away of the disease, we have no exact account in Scripture. Leprosy appears to have come and gone, no one knew how. It was regarded as incurable by human means, and was considered to be a special visitation from God. Hence it was often designated as the plague or stroke. 3 The cure of Naaman is thus described, 'His flesh came again like unto the flesh of a little child, and he was clean.' (2 Kings 5:14). It was with reference to this actual cure that the leper said to the Lord Jesus, 'Lord, if thou wilt, thou canst make me clean .' What the waters of Jordan were appointed to do in the one case, to indicate that salvation was of the Jews, the word and touch of Jesus of Nazareth did in the other, to shew that saving power was vested in Him. Secondly came the inspection by the priest. 'Go, shew thyself to the priest.' If he was satisfied, by the presence of certain symptoms clearly described in the Law, that the man before him was cured, or 'clean' (in the first sense of the term), then he 'pronounced him clean' —literally, 'cleansed him.' The official and authoritative declaration of the fact is thus identified in language with the fact itself. ⁴ In order, however, that the man thus doubly 'cleansed' might be received into the congregation and restored to those privileges from which he had been debarred, it was needful that he should be 'cleansed' in a third sense through the offering of certain gifts and the

performance of sundry remarkable rites, including the being sprinkled with blood and washed with water (see Lev. chaps.13. and 14).

A few other leading instances of the use of the ceremonial word thaheŒr for purification may be noticed. It is used to distinguish the clean from the unclean beasts (Gen. 7:2, 8, 8:20, Lev. 20:25); to express the cleansing of the priests and Levites (Ezra 6:20); the cleansing of the people, the gates, and the walls of Jerusalem (Neh. 12:30, 13:9, 22, 30); of the land (Ezek. 39:12, 14, 16). It also represents the pure gold used in the construction of the tabernacle vessels, &c. (Exod. 25.); the pure perfume (Exod. 30:35); the clean place where the ashes of the offerings were cast (Lev. 4:12, 6:11); and clean persons, who were to perform certain rites (Lev. 7:19, 10:10, 11:32, &c.).

When we turn to the Psalms and the Prophets, we find thaheŒr used several times in a moral and spiritual sense. The following are the most important passages:—Ps. 12:6, 'The words of the Lord are pure words, as silver tried in an earthen furnace, purified seven times' Ps. 19:9, 'The fear of the Lord is clean, enduring for ever.' Ps. 51:2, 'Wash me throughly from mine iniquity, and cleanse me 3 In the opinion of some scholars leprosy is referred to in Isa. 63:4, where we read, 'yet we did esteem him stricken, smitten of God, and afflicted.' Jerome's translation is 'et nos putavimus eum quasi leprosrsum et percussum a Deo et humiliatum,' 'we regarded him as if he were leprous, smitten of God, and humbled.' 4 This identification in language is well worth observing in connection with the form of Absolution in the Service for the Visitation of the Sick in the Church of England. In the General Absolution we read that God 'hath given power and commandment to his ministers to declare and pronounce to his people, being penitent, the Absolution and Remission of their sins.' In the Visitation Service, after the Priest or Presbyter has 'moved the sick person to make a special confession of his sins, if he feel his conscience troubled with a weighty matter,' he 'shall absolve him,' *i.e.* declare him absolved (see Hooker, *E.P.* Book VI.).

from my sin.' Ps. 51:7, 'Purge me with hyssop, and I shall be clean: wash me, and I shall be whiter than snow.' Ps. 51:10, 'Create in me a clean heart, O God, and renew a right spirit within me.' Prov. 15:26, 'The words of the pure are pleasant words.' Prov. 22:11, 'He that loveth pureness (or cleanness) of heart.' Jer. 13:27, 'O Jerusalem, wilt thou not be made clean?' Jer. 33:8, 'I will cleanse them from all their iniquity, and I will pardon all their iniquities.' Ezek. 36:25, 33, 'Then will I sprinkle clean water upon you, and ye shall be clean: from all your filthiness, and from all your idols, will I cleanse you;' 'In the day that I shall have cleansed you from all your iniquities I will also cause you to dwell in the cities.' Ezek. 37:23, 'I will save them out of all their dwelling-places, wherein they have sinned, and will cleanse them.' Matt 1:11, 'In every place incense shall be offered unto my name, and a pure offering,' in contrast with the polluted offering of verse 7. Matt 3:3, 'He shall sit as a refiner and purifier of silver: and he shall purify the sons of Levi, and purge them as gold and silver, that they may offer unto the Lord an offering in righteousness.'

§ 2. Purification According to the N.T.

With the exception of a few passages, thaheŒr has been rendered by kaqarivzw in the LXX. The exceptions are as follows:— In 2 Chron. 29:16, 18, and 30:17, 18, we find aJgnivzw; in these passages reference is made to the cleansing or purification of the temple and the worshippers at the Feast of the Passover; ajfagnivzw occurs in Num. 8:6, 21, where the cleansing of the Levites is spoken of; brevcw, in Ezek. 22:24, where the prophet speaks of the land not being cleansed with rain; aJgneiva, in 2 Chron. 30:19; aJgnismov", in Num. 8:7; divkaio", in Prov. 30:12; and dokimov", in 2 Chron. 9:17.

Tracing the Greek word kaqarivzw through the N.T., we find that the Levitical purifications marked by this word were fulfilled in Christ. He made a kaqarismov", or purgation, whereby our sins are done away (Heb. 1:3). His blood cleanseth from all sin (1 John 1:7). Consequently, 'If we confess our sins, God is

faithful and righteous to forgive us our sins, and to cleanse us from all iniquity' (1 John 1:9). The blood of Christ, who through the Eternal Spirit offered Himself without spot to God, purges the conscience from dead works, so that the purged person is in a position to serve (latreuvein) the living God (Heb. 9:14). Christ loved the Church, and gave Himself for it, that He might sanctify and cleanse it with the washing of water by the word (Eph. 5:26). He gave Himself for us, that He might redeem us from all iniquity, and purify unto Himself a peculiar people, zealous of good works (Titus 2:14).

In connection with these announcements we have the corresponding exhortations, 'Let us cleanse ourselves from all filthiness of the flesh and spirit, perfecting holiness in the fear of God' (2 Cor. 7:1

); 'Let us draw near with a true heart, in full assurance of faith, having our hearts sprinkled from an evil conscience, and our bodies washed with pure water' (Heb. 10:22).

These passages teach that the offering of Christ is not only the pledge of pardon, but also the appointed means of cleansing for all who feel their moral pollution. The defilement of sin was to find its cure in that one great work. Nor were its benefits confined to Jews. What God had cleansed was not to be regarded any longer as common or unclean. The middle wall of partition between Jew and Gentile was broken down. God made no difference; He purified the hearts of both through faith (Acts 15:9).

The cleansing thus effected through Christ answers to all the aspects of the ceremonial cleansing of the O.T.: there is the actual moral change in the individual, the clean heart, the renewed spirit, the godly life; there is the changed social position, membership in the body of Christ becoming a reality; and there is the being pronounced and regarded as clean in the sight of God through the mediatorial agency of the High Priest.

§ 3. Washing.

The Hebrew words for washing deserve attention from the fact that they too are used ceremonially and morally as well as literally.

Duach (jwd), to cast off, and hence to purge from impurity, is used only four times in the O.T. Twice it is rendered wash, viz. in 2 Chron. 4:6, and Ezek. 40:38; in each of these places reference is made to the putting off the pollution contracted by the priests and Levites while preparing the animals for offering. The first of these passages may be thus understood: 'He made also ten lavers, and put five on the right hand, and five on the left, to wash in them; the defilement contracted by the operations connected with the burnt offering they cleansed in them; and the sea was for the priests to wash in.' The Levites washed in the lavers, and the priests in the larger vessel called the sea. The R. V. has failed to draw out the distinction.

Duach is used in a spiritual sense in Isa. 4:4, 'When the Lord shall have washed away the filth of the daughters of Zion, and shall have purged the blood of Jerusalem from the midst thereof by the spirit of judgment and by the spirit of burning.' The other passage where the word occurs is Jer. 51:34. Here the Lord, identifying Himself with His people, says, 'Nebuchadnezzar hath devoured me, ... he hath cast me out,' *i.e.* hath treated me as if I were the 'offscouring' of the earth.

Shathaph (¹fv), to flood, overflow, or pour copiously, is used, in 1 Kings 22:38, of the cleansing of Ahab's chariot; ⁵ in Job 14:19, of the destruction of the surface of the land by floods of water; and in Ezek. 16:9, of the 'thorough washing' which represented the care with which God dealt with His people Israel at their first beginning.

We now come to the two words which were in most ordinary use among the Jews, namely, cavas (sbk), for which the LXX has pluvnw or ajpopluvnw,

which was applied to the washing of garments; and rachats (Åjr, Ass. rahatsu), generally rendered nivptw or louvw, but in seven passages pluvnw, which represented the bathing or washing of the body.

Cavas is the term applied to the 'fuller,' and is supposed to refer in the first place to the treading whereby clothes were cleaned. This cleansing of garments was an important ceremonial action. We have already seen its meaning under a slightly different form in Gen. 35:2, where Jacob told his household to put away their false gods, and to *change their garments*; evidently the latter action was taken as the external symbol of the former. Of the 'divers washings' of the Levitical dispensation, some had to do with the *garments*, and are described under the word cavas; while others had to do with the *flesh*, and are represented by rachats. The following come under the first head: the ceremonial cleansing of the garments before the people were allowed to approach Mount Sinai (Exod. 19:10, 14); the cleansing of the garment sprinkled with the blood of the offering (Lev. 6:27); the cleansing of men's clothing after leprosy or after contact with that which was pronounced unclean (Lev. 17:15); the cleansing of the Levites' clothing for their service (Num. 8:7), where it was connected with the sprinkling of 'holy water' over their flesh.

Under the second head (rachats, the washing of the flesh) come the washing or bathing of the body, the hands, and the feet generally; the washing of the sacrifices (Exod. 29:17); of the priests before their consecration, and also before their daily ministration (Exod. 29:4, and 30:19, 21); and the washing of the elders' hands over the beheaded heifer (Deut. 21:6). This word is also used

5 Different Hebrew words are used for the washing of Ahab's chariot and for the cleansing of his armour. Were the two washed at the some place? The chariot was washed in the pool of Samaria; but probably his armour was taken to be cleaned at his palace at Jezreel, and doubtless the dogs licked the blood that was rinsed from it at or near the pool of Jezreel, according to the prophecy of Elijah, which otherwise would not have been literally fulfilled. But see R.V.

figuratively in Job 29:6, and Ps. 58:10. In the triumphant expression, 'Moab is my washpot' (Ps. 60:8, and 108:9), the image is taken from the laver for the cleansing of the body, not from the trough for the washing of garments.

Each of these expressions is applied to spiritual washing. The word cavas, which implies the cleansing of garments, is found in the four following passages—Ps. 51:2, 'Wash me throughly from my sin;' Ps. 51:7, 'Wash me, and I shall be whiter than snow;' Jer. 2:22, 'Though thou wash thee with nitre, and take thee much soap, yet thine iniquity is marked before me, saith the Lord God;' Jer. 4:14, 'O Jerusalem, wash thine heart from wickedness, that thou mayest be saved.'

The word rachats, which signifies the washing of the body, is used in a spiritual sense in Ps. 26:6, 'I will wash my hands in innocency;' Ps. 73:13, 'I have washed my hands in innocency;' Prov. 30:12, 'There is a generation that are pure in their own eyes, and yet is not washed from their filthiness;' Isa. 1:16, 'Wash you, make you clean;' Isa. 4:4, 'When the Lord shall have washed away the filth of the daughter of Zion.'

The word wash, whether applied to the body or to its clothing, is never used except with reference to *water*, and it appears to symbolise the purgation of the inclinations, the character and the external life, from moral pollution. Compare Heb. 10:22, 'having our hearts sprinkled from an evil conscience, and our bodies washed with pure water.'

In the N.T., nivptw is used of washing the face (Matt. 6:17); the hands(Matt. 15:2); the eyes (John 9:7, 11, 15); and the feet (John 13:5, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14; 1 Tim. 5:10). The word louvw is used of the bathing of the body in Acts 9:37, 16:33, and 2 Pet. 2:22. In John 13:10 we read, 'He that is bathed (leloumevno") needeth not save to wash (nivyasqai) his feet, but is clean every whit' (kaqaro;" o{lo"}). It is evident that our Lord here referred, in the first instance, to the well-known fact that after a complete bath a man needed only to cleanse away the impurity which he contracted in walking from it if he wished to be accounted entirely

clean; the significance of the act to the disciples seems to have been that whereas they were in a measure clean through the word which He had spoken unto them, there was yet need that He should humble Himself still lower in their behalf, in order to cleanse them in the sight of God. The act of washing their feet symbolised the humiliation of Him who took the form of a servant, and it set forth the necessity of yielding to His cleansing work as the only means of having part with Him in His future kingdom. Washing with water is also connected with the Word in Eph. 5:26. Here we read that Christ gave Himself (i.e. died) for His Church, that He might sanctify and cleanse it with the washing ⁶ of the water in the word (tw/ loutrw/ to u {dato ejn rJhvmati). Washing (lou tron) is also used as a symbol of regeneration in Titus 3:5. With these passages we may connect Acts 22:16, 'Arise, and be baptized, and wash away (ajpovlousai) thy sins;' and 1 Cor. 6:11, 'Such were some of you, but ye are washed' (ajpelouvsasqe). In the Received Text of Rev. 1:5 we read, 'Who washed us from our sins in his own blood.' Others here read luvsanti (liberated) for louvsanti (washed).

The word pluvnw, which is applied to the washing of garments, is used symbolically in Rev. 7:14; also in the oldest MSS;., together with the Vulgate and the versions made from it, in Rev. 22:14, 'Blessed are they that wash their robes, that they may have a right to the tree of life.'

§ 4. Purity.

Barar (rrb , Ass. baru), literally to separate, and hence to manifest or make clean, is sometimes used in the sense of cleansing. In David's hymn (2 Sam. 22:21, 25, 27; Ps. 18:20, 24, 26) it is used in respect of the cleanness of his hands, *i.e.* his freedom from evil deeds. Job says, 'If I make my

⁶ The laver (rwyk) is rendered louthvr in the LXX. The word loutron only occurs in Cant. 4:2 and 6:5 for hxjr the washing of sheep.

hands never so clean, yet shalt thou plunge me in the ditch' (9:30); here the word is made the more emphatic by being used with Zacac (on which see below). In Ps. 73:1 we read, 'Truly God is good to Israel, even to such as are of a clean heart;' the word is used in the expression, 'Fair as the moon and clear as the sun,' in Cant.6:10; also in Isa. 52:11, 'Be ye clean, that bear the vessels of the Lord.' These passages chiefly refer to moral purity, not to ceremonial cleanness, in which sense the word is never used. It evidently applies to the thoughts of the heart as well as to the outward actions, and it is sometimes used of that moral cleansing or purgation which consists of separating the evil from the good, the dross from the ore; see Ps. 24:4; Isa 1:25; Ezek. 20:38; Dan. 11:35, 12:10. The word is used of 'a pure language' in Zeph. 3:9, where perhaps clearness or plainness is what is referred to.

There are three roots closely connected together which all represent purity, cleanness, or freedom from pollution, namely (1) Zakak: (qqz), which is used in Ps.12:6, and Mal. 3:3; (2) Zacac (ûkz), which is found in Job 8:6, 11:4, 16:17 ('My prayer is pure'), 15:15 ('The heavens are not clean in his sight'), 33:9; Prov. 16:2, 20:11, 21:8; Lam. 4:7 ('Purer than snow'); also Exod. 27:20, 30:34; Lev. 24:4, 7; (3) Zacah (hkz), which we find in Job 15:14 ('What is man, that he should be clean?'); 25:4 ('How can he be clean that is born of a woman?'); Prov. 20:9 ('Who can say, I have made my heart clean, I am pure from my sin?'); Ps. 51:4 ('That thou mightest be clear when thou judgest'); 73:13 ('I have cleansed my heart in vain'); 119:9 ('Wherewithal shall a young man cleanse his way?'); Isa. 1:16 ('Wash you, make you clean').

These passages refer to moral purity and transparency of heart. They point to a character free from taint or sully, as the object which man aims at, but which he fails to obtain by his own devices; and even at the best, that which seems perfectly pure in his sight is proved vile when seen in the light of God.

§ 5. Sprinkling

Two Hebrew words are rendered to sprinkle in the O.T. Zarak (qrz) occurs thirty-five times, and is always so rendered, except in Isa. 28:25, where it is translated scatter, and 2 Chron. 34:4, where we find strew. It is curious that the LXX almost always renders it proscevw, to pour, ⁷ giving the idea of shedding or scattering rather than of sprinkling. The act set forth by this word was usually performed by means of a vessel, the name of which was derived from it, and which the LXX renders fiavlh, a phial (A. V. vial). It is first applied to the scattering of the ashes of the furnace, in Exod. 9:8; then to the pouring of the blood of the offering on the altar and on the people, in Exod. 24:6, 8; see also Exod. 29:16, 20; Lev. 1:5, 11, 3:2, 8, 13, 7:2, 14, 8:19, 24, 9:12, 18, 17:6; Num. 18:17; 2 Kings 16:13, 15; 2 Chron. 29:22, 30:16, 35:11. In Num. 19:13, 20, it is applied to the sprinkling of the water of separation; and in Job 2:12, to the sprinkling of dust on the head; in Isa. 28:25, to the scattering cummin; and in Hos. 7:9, to the grey hairs which are here and there (margin, sprinkled) on the head.

The word is once used in a spiritual sense, namely, in Ezek. 36:25, 'Then will I sprinkle clean water upon you, and ye shall be clean.'

Nazah (hzn) occurs twenty-four times, and is always rendered to sprinkle. It is not necessarily used in a ceremonial sense. The LXX renders it by rJaivnw, rJantivzw, and their compounds. It is applied to the priest's sprinkling of blood with the finger before the vail, or on the side of the altar, or on the mercy-seat, on the occasion of the sin offering, in Lev. 4:6, 17, 5:9, 16:14, 15, 19. It is also applied to the sprinkling of the blood of the bird on the leper with hyssop, Lev. 14:7, 51; to the sprinkling the water of purifying and separation, Num. 8:7, 19:21; and to the sprinkling of oil with

⁷ This verb is not to be found in the N. T. but the noun derived from it (projscusi") is used in Heb. 11:28, of the *shedding* or *sprinkling* of the blood of the paschal lamb on the door-posts.

the finger, Lev. 8:11, 30, 14:16, 27. The word is used with a spiritual significance in Isa. 52:15, 'So shall he sprinkle many nations.' It signifies dropping, whilst Zarak marks pouring forth.

The sprinklings (rJantismoiv) specially referred to in the Epistle to the Hebrews are of two kinds—that which was performed with the ashes of a red heifer on persons who had contracted certain defilement (Heb. 9:13), and that which was performed with blood on the people and the Book in making the old covenant; also on the tabernacle and various vessels connected with the sacred service (Heb. 9:19, 21). The substance of which these are the shadows is the sprinkling of the blood of Jesus, which speaketh better things than that of Abel (Heb. 12:21).

St. Peter connects this 'sprinkling of the blood of Jesus Christ' with obedience, and both of these with 'sanctification of the spirit' (1 Pet. 1:2). This sprinkling has its effect both in the sight of God, where it signifies reconciliation, and on the conscience of man, which it purges from dead works to serve the living God (Heb. 9:14). Accordingly, the Christian is invited to approach God with a true heart sprinkled from an evil conscience (Heb. 10:22).

§ 6. Baptism.

Many are the controversies that have gathered around the rite of Baptism. Questions have been raised as to the mode of administration, as to the right age and condition of those to whom it is to be administered, as to the persons who may perform the ordinance, as to the privileges and responsibilities involved in it, as to the exact bearing of the symbol, and as to the nature of the nexus

which exists between the sign and the thing signified. Only one of these questions need be discussed here. When our Lord gave orders to His followers to baptize, how would the word which He used be understood? Did it prescribe the exact mode in which the ordinance was to be administered? or had it already arrived at

that secondary or technical sense in which undoubtedly it has been largely used in after-times?

Classical authors have been diligently searched by contending parties with the hope of finding some solution of the question. But the more they have been scrutinised, the more clearly has it appeared that the word baptivzw has been used with very great latitude, and that it can neither be confined to its primary use of staining or dyeing, nor be restricted to the case of religious or ceremonial acts of cleansing.

The conclusion arrived at by a writer ⁸ who was himself a 'Baptist,' that is, one who holds to the practice of immersion, is as follows:—

'The English translators did not translate the word "baptize," and they acted wisely; for there is no one word in the English language which is an exact counterpart of the Greek word, as the New Testament uses it, containing the precise ideas of the Evangelist, neither less nor more. The difficulty, or rather the excellency, of the word is that it contains two ideas, inclusive of the whole doctrine of baptism. "Baptize" is a dyer's word, and signifies to dip so as to colour. Such as render the word dip give one true idea; but the word stood for two, and one is wanting in this rendering. This defect is in the German Testament, Matt. 3:1: "In those days came John der Täufer"—John the Dipper; and the Dutch, "In those days came John der Dooper"—John the Dipper. This is the truth, but it is not the whole truth. The Anglo-Saxon Testament adds another idea by naming John le fulluhtere—the fuller; and the Icelandic language translates Baptism, skirn, washing. These convey two ideas, cleansing by washing, but neither do these accurately express the two ideas of the Greek baptize.' 9

8 Mr. R. Robinson, of Cambridge, quoted by Elibu (a Baptist) in his Vindication of the Bible Society.

As the question under discussion concerns a rite the performance of which has been held essential in all ages of Christianity, it certainly might have been supposed that this is one of the cases in which an examination of the early versions would decide the matter, but the search has led to no definite result. The old Latin version, indeed, rendered baptivzw by tingo, to moisten, bathe, dye, or stain; but Jerome adopted baptizo, a Latinised form of the Greek original, feeling, no doubt, that no Latin word could rightly convey its meaning; and from the Latin of Jerome the same word spread, through the influence of the church to which he belonged, into the Italian, Spanish, Portuguese, French, and English languages. The Syriac version has a very good word for dipping, but never uses it for baptism, preferring a word which originally signifies to stand, and which was adopted possibly from the position which the catechumen took; when the water was poured over him. In the Sclavonic, modern Russ, and kindred languages, a term is used which is connected with 'crossing,' or possibly with 'christening.' In Arabic and Persian, as also in Icelandic, we find words which signify washing or cleansing; and in Anglo-Saxon, as we have seen above, the word is almost the same. Wycliffe used wash and baptize indifferently; thus in Matt.3. we read, 'I waishe yhou in watir into pennance, but he that schal come after me is strenger than i, whos schoon y am not worthi to bere, he schal baptise you in the hooly Goost and fire.' The German and kindred languages have been cited in favour of the rendering dip, but it has been shown by Dr. Henderson that there is a slight distinction between the words for dip and baptize in these languages; thus the German word for dip is generally tauchen, but the word for baptize taufen. Moreover, in these languages the preposition following the verb is usually not in, which would be expected if the verb answered to our English dip, but with, showing that the verb is used in a ceremonial rather than an etymological sense, for the administration of a cleansing rite.

It is evident that the versions of the Scriptures will not lead us to any definite conclusion, and we are thrown back once more upon the Bible itself. Although the English word *baptize* does not occur in the O.T., yet on examining the LXX we find the Greek baptivzw used twice in the canonical scriptures, and twice in

the Apocrypha. In Judith 12:7 we read, 'She washed herself (ejbaptivzeto) at the fountain of water.' Apparently this was for ceremonial cleansing. In Sirach 34:25 we are told of one who was baptivzovmeno" ajpo; nekrou`, *i.e.* washed or bathed, in order to be cleansed from the ceremonial pollution which arises from contact with a dead body. This was done by sprinkling (Num. 8:7). In Isa. 21:4 the prophet says, 'Fearfulness hath affrighted me,' which the LXX renders hJ ajnomiva me; baptivzei . Here the word stands for the Hebrew Ba<ath (t[b), and seems to be used figuratively of one who was flooded, overwhelmed with evil.

The most important passage, however, where the word occurs is in the history of Naaman the Syrian, in 2 Kings 5:14. Elisha had told the Syrian that if he would 'wash' seven times in the Jordan he should be cleansed from the leprosy. Accordingly, he went and 'dipped' (ejbaptivsato) seven times in the river. The Hebrew verb in this passage is thaval (lbf), to dip. It is the word used of Joseph's coat which was dipped in goat's blood (Gen. 37:31; LXX, moluvnw); of the priest's finger being dipped in blood (Lev. 4:6, 17, 9:9); of the living bird which was dipped in the blood of the slain bird (Lev. 14:6); of the finger being dipped in oil (Lev. 14:16); of hyssop being dipped in water (Num. 19:18); of the feet of the priests dipped in the brim of the water (Josh.3:15); of Ruth dipping her morsel in the vinegar (Ruth 2:14); of Jonathan dipping the end of his rod in the honeycomb (1 Sam. 14:27); of Hazael dipping a cloth in water (2 Kings 8:15). We also meet with it in Job 9:30, 31, where we read, 'If I wash myself with snow water, and make my hands never so clean, yet shalt thou plunge me in the ditch.'

The meaning of the word thaval in these passages is clear and indubitable; it does not, however,

9 An anonymous writer, quoted in the pamphlet from which this passage is extracted, says, 'To *scrape* is the action employed when Paganini plays; but surely he would be offended if we were to use that homely word respecting his performance. In like manner, I think it would be bad grammar, and bad taste, to say *dip* instead of *baptize*.'

follow that baptivzomai signifies to *dip* when adopted by the LXX in 2 Kings 5:14. In none of the passages above cited was the dipping effected for the purpose of *washing* the object dipped; in some quite the contrary; but in the case of Naaman the order was 'Go, wash,' where the word rachats is used to signify the cleansing of the body (see § 3); hence in this passage the verb thaval was used to express a process identical with the act of washing. Moreover, in none of the other passages is the word baptivzw adopted as a rendering of thaval; we always find either bavptw ¹⁰ or parabavptw It may be concluded from this fact that the special word baptivzw was used in the passage under consideration in order to show that Naaman's washing in the river Jordan was to be regarded as partaking of the nature of a symbolical or ceremonial cleansing.

On the whole, the usage of the word baptivzw in the LXX cannot be said to decide whether the washing indicated by it must needs take place by a process of dipping (though this process would certainly be most in accordance with the passages referred to), or whether its requirements would be satisfied by having water poured over the person. Nor does the N.T. finally decide the matter. The word was used by the Jews in our Lord's time of ceremonial washing, rather than of mere dipping, as will be clearly seen by reference to Mark 7:4 and Luke 11:38, where the baptizing of the person is regarded as a sort of ritual observance; whilst in Mark 7:4 and 8, the baptism of cups and other vessels is spoken of in the same way.

The 'divers baptisms' (A.V. 'washings') spoken of in Heb. 9:10, may comprehend such observances as those just referred to, but they rather seem to indicate the various rites of purification which formed part of the Levitical system. These rites were of two kinds; there were those which a man had to perform for himself, and those which others were to administer to him. It would be the last class which would be probably referred to; they were performed by priests or other 'clean' persons, who poured or sprinkled oil, blood, water, or water impregnated with the ashes of a red heifer, upon the persons who were to be purified. The application of the word baptismoiv to these rites tends to confirm

the view already indicated, that whatever the etymology and primary usage of the term baptize may have been, it had practically come to be used of *ceremonial washing* in our Lord's time, and that it was not exclusively or necessarily applied to *dipping*. If the true rendering of the expression baptismw`n didach`", in Heb. 6:2, be not 'the doctrine of baptisms,' as the A. V. has it, but 'cleansings of teaching,' *i.e.* the purging from old prejudices and superstitions through the teaching of the truth, then we have further confirmatory evidence in the same direction.

The exact mode in which John the Baptist administered the rite is not described in the N.T. The writers seem to take it for granted that such a description was not called for. Those who submitted to it acknowledged thereby their sorrow for their past sins, and their determination to live a changed life, and to prepare for the coming of Him who should fulfil the promise made by God to the fathers. A cleansing ordinance would suitably indicate the change of heart and life thus entered upon.

When our Lord was baptized, it was not because He needed cleansing, but in order that He might give a personal sanction to the ordinance, submitting to it with the same humility as He evinced when falling in with other Jewish rites. The descent of the Spirit upon Him immediately afterwards was intended not only to mark that He was 'anointed to preach the gospel,' but also to indicate that it was He who should 'baptize' with the Holy Ghost, which He did when He 'shed forth' the Spirit from on high like floods upon a dry ground. The usage of the word in this connection suggests the symbolical action of sprinkling or effusion rather than of dipping. 10 The word bavptw , to dip or tinge, is used only four times in the N. T. In Luke 16:24, it refers to the dipping the tip of the finger in water; in John 13:26, it is twice used of the dipping the tip; in Rev. 19:13, we rend of 'a vesture *dipped in blood*,' but here it would be better to render the words, :stained with blood (bebammevnon ai{mati}). The Vulgate rendering in this passage is 'vestis aspersa sanguine.'

The second baptism which our Lord underwent (Matt. 20:22, 23; Luke 12:50) was no ceremony, but a solemn reality; He was to be perfected through sufferings, and the waves of trouble which poured upon His soul were signified outwardly by the sweat which was 'as it were great drops of blood falling down to the ground' (Luke 22:44).

The usage of the word baptize thus leads to the conclusion that the act of dipping cannot be held as *essential* to Christian baptism unless it is proved to be so by the additional use of bavptw, or some such word, as an adjunct or an alternative. This, however, is confessedly not the case. Nor does the symbolical teaching connected with the rite suggest any other conclusion than that which we have now arrived at. Baptism is preeminently symbolical of cleansing, whether by the blood of Christ or by the inspiration of the Holy Ghost; and so the ceremonial act is regarded by St. Peter as analogous with 'the putting away of the filth of the flesh' (1 Pet. 3:21). When a believer, or the child of a believer, is baptized, we are to understand that, by profession at least, he has become a disciple of Christ, and is one with Him by faith; he dies to sin, in union with the Captain of Salvation; he is buried with Him; he puts on the Lord Jesus Christ, as one puts on armour or clothing; he walks in newness of life; and he is admitted into the society or body of those who are similarly cleansed.

If this, the death unto sin and the new birth unto righteousness by the quickening power of the Spirit through faith in Christ Jesus, be indeed what is set forth in the rite of baptism, and if the word has gradually passed into this technical or ceremonial sense, then the exact mode in which the rite is administered, whether by immersion or effusion, is not a point of primary importance, and may be left open to that discretion which has usually been permitted in non-essentials. Immersion ought not to be rigorously enforced; still less ought it to be rigorously denied. The ceremonial application of clean water to the person, as a symbol of the purifying efficacy of Christ's blood and of the quickening power of the Holy Spirit, and the submission to the ordinance, as a mark of discipleship to the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost—these are the grand points to be observed;

whilst the exact mode of administration is a matter of church order and discipline, concerning which there ought to be much forbearance and also considerable latitude for the carrying out of personal conviction; and this is the case, theoretically at least, in the Church of England, as well as in other Churches.

CHAPTER XIV.

JUSTIFICATION.

§ 1. Ideas Connected with the Word.

THE idea of justification appears to be in some measure legal or forensic rather than moral or psychological. It is frequently taken in Scripture to be the opposite of condemnation; and in some of its aspects it answers fairly to our word acquittal. But it has often been observed that human legal analogies are very inadequate for the purpose of representing the relation of the restored man to his God. Acquittal is the judicial declaration that an accused man is *not guilty* of a certain crime, so far as the law under which he has been tried is concerned. He may have committed the offence, but

either it cannot be brought home to him by adequate testimony, or else the law under which he is tried has not provided for the charge laid against him. This, however, is a most imperfect representation of God's work in justifying, as it leaves out of sight the fact that His law is perfect and applicable to all cases, also that no outside testimony of man's guilt is necessary, because God is acquainted with the very secrets of the heart; and, what is still more important, it leaves out of sight the truth which is to be gathered from Scripture as a whole, that the process of Divine acquittal is so blended with the entrance of spiritual life into the person acquitted, that, though they are theoretically distinct, one cannot be fully stated or even comprehended without reference to the other. The controversy between the Church of Rome and various Protestant bodies has arisen, in part at least, from the complexity of the relationship which thus exists between God and man.

Another difficulty has arisen in England from the poverty of our language. We have no one word which can convey the idea of *righteousness* and that of *justification*, as they are set forth in Scripture. In this case, as in many others, we see the wisdom of God in selecting Hebrew as the means of communication with His creatures, because here the ideas of *righteousness*, *justification*, and *acquittal* all cluster round one verbal root, and are seen to be parts of one whole.

The Hebrew word which expresses the being just or righteous is Tsadak (qdx), which is supposed to convey originally an idea of straightness or stiffness (see chap. ix. § 2.)

The verb is once used in the Hithpael or reflexive voice, namely, in Gen. 44:16, 'What shall we speak? or how shall we clear ourselves?' As a matter of fact, Judah and his brethren were innocent, but he asked this question under the impression that they were guilty. It is once used in the Niphal or passive, viz. in Dan. 8:14, 'Thus shall the sanctuary be cleansed.' It appears here to be used in a secondary or derived sense. Five times it occurs in the Piel or intensive, viz.: in Job 32:2, 'He justified his own soul rather than God;' 33:32, 'If thou hast

anything to say, answer me: speak, for I desire to justify thee;' Jer. 3:11, 'The backsliding Israel hath justified her soul more than treacherous Judah;' Ezek. 16:51, 52, 'Thou hast multiplied thine abominations more than they, and hast justified thy sisters in all thine abominations which thou hast done. They are righteous in comparison with thee. Yea, be thou also confounded, and bear thy shame in that thou hast justified thy sisters.' The conduct of the inhabitants of Judah had been so much worse than that of Samaria or Sodom that they caused these nations to appear or to be accounted righteous in comparison.

Tsadak is used twelve times in the Hiphil or causative voice: Exod. 23:7, 'I will not justify the wicked.' This principle of the Divine action is laid down as an example to be imitated by the earthly judge in Deut. 25:1, 'Then shall they justify the righteous and condemn the wicked.' 2 Sam. 15:4, 'Oh that I were made judge in the land, that every man which hath any suit or cause might come to me, and I would do him justice!' 1 Kings 8:39, and 2 Chron. 6:23, 'Condemning the wicked, to bring his way upon his head; and justifying the righteous, to give him according to his righteousness.' This passage is important as giving a fulness of meaning to the word justification which otherwise might be missed. It is here not only acquittal, but the consequences of acquittal. Job 27:5, 'God forbid that I should justify you.' Ps. 82:3, 'Do justice to the afflicted and needy.' Prov. 17:15, 'He that justifieth the wicked, and he that condemneth the just, even they both are abomination to the Lord.' Isa. 5:23, 'Woe unto them ... which justify the wicked for reward, and take away the righteousness of the righteous from him.' Isa. 50:8, 'He is near that justifieth me; who will contend with me?' Isa. 53:11, 'By his knowledge shall my righteous servant justify many; and it is he that shall bear their iniquities.' This passage is usually explained as if 'his knowledge' meant 'the knowledge which others should have concerning him;' but there is no necessity to fall back upon this explanation. The Messiah was to be 'acquainted with grief;' nay more, he was to bear man's iniquities, and they became in some mysterious sense identified with Him. It was this which became the means of justifying many. ¹ Dan. 12:3, 'They that turn many to righteousness

shall shine as the stars for ever and ever.' Compare the teaching of the last verses of St. James's Epistle.

It remains to notice the passages where the verb is used in the active voice. They are as follows:— Gen. 38:26, 'She hath been more righteous than I.' Job 4:17, 'Shall a mortal man be more just than God?' Job 9:2, 'How should man be just before God?' Job 9:15, 'Though I were righteous I would not answer.' Job 9:20, 'If I justify myself (lit. if I be righteous), my own mouth shall condemn me.' Job 10:15, 'If I be righteous, yet will I not lift up my head.' Job 11:2, 'Should a man full of talk be justified' (lit. be righteous)? Job 13:18, 'Behold now, I have ordered my cause; I know that I shall be justified' (lit. that I am righteous). Job 15:14, 'What is he that is born of a woman, that he should be righteous?' Job 22:3, 'Is it any pleasure to the Almighty that thou art righteous?' Job 25:4, 'How can man be justified (lit. righteous) with God?' Job 33:12, 'Behold in this thou art not just.' Job 34:5, 'Job hath said, I am righteous.' Job 35:7, 'If thou be righteous, what givest thou him?' Job 40:8, 'Wilt thou condemn me, that thou mayest be righteous?' Ps. 19:9, 'The judgments of the Lord are true, and righteous altogether.' Ps. 51:4, 'That thou mightest be justified (lit. be righteous) when thou speakest, and clear when thou judges.' Ps. 143:2, 'Enter not into judgment with thy servant, O Lord: for in thy sight shall no man living be justified' (or righteous). Isa. 43:9, 'Let them bring forth their witnesses, that they may be justified (or righteous): or let them hear, and say, It is truth.' Isa. 43:26, 'Declare thou, that thou mayest be justified' (or righteous). Isa 45:25, 'In the Lord shall all the seed of Israel be justified' (or righteous), and shall glory.' Ezek.16:59, 'They are righteous in comparison with thee.'

The passages which have been cited above show that justification is a term applicable to something more than the discharge of an accused person uncondemned. As in our courts of law there are civil as well as criminal cases, so it was in old time; and a large number of the passages adduced seem to refer to trials of the former description, in which some question of property, right, or inheritance was under discussion between two parties. The judge, by justifying

one of the parties, decided that the property in question was to be regarded as his. Applying this aspect of the matter to the justification of man in the sight of God, we gather from Scripture that whilst through sin man has forfeited legal claim to any right or inheritance which God might have to bestow upon His creatures, so through justification he is restored to his high position and regarded as an heir of God.

The adjective tsadik is almost always rendered divkaio", righteous, in the LXX, and the substantives tsedek and tsedakah generally dikaiosuvnh, righteousness. The word e[leo", mercy, has been adopted in Isa. 56:1, 'My salvation is near to come, and my righteousness to be revealed'; also in Ezek. 18:19, 21, where we read of man doing 'what is lawful and right.' The righteousness of the law was specially manifested in mercy, so that the Greek translators were right in point of fact, though incorrect in their rendering in these passages.

In several passages the LXX has adopted ejlehmosuvnh, a word which has passed from its

1 'No man, except Christ, has ever yet been able rightly to discern the nature and extent of sin; because only one whose penetrating gaze can apprehend the whole of the glory and worth of which God created humanity capable, the whole tenor of its downward way, and the high end it may yet attain; none but Jesus has ever sounded the whole extent of the aberrations, degradations, and disorder of our race. He, however, *has* sounded all these depths, His heart has been pierced with adequate sorrow for all that dishonouring of God's holy name, of which the beings, whose brother He became, were guilty; and consequently He has fully apprehended the righteous severity of Divine justice in connecting sin with death in its various forms. And because He has manifested the righteousness and justice of the Divine sentence, not in words only, but practically by His silent and holy endurance of its penalty, He has accomplished the purpose of Divine punishment, and has terminated it—on behalf of whom? on behalf of all those who by faith appropriate this His holy endurance of the Divine judgment as their own.'—

Essay on the Atonement, by Wolfgang Friedrich Gess.

original meaning as the feeling of mercy or pity to the active development of that feeling in eleemosynary acts, or alms-giving. This is the case in Deut. 6:25, where our translation is, 'It shall be our righteousness; if we observe to do all these commandments.' Here the LXX, followed by the Vulgate and the translations made from it, say, 'There shall be mercy for us if we observe,' &c. The passage literally translated would be, 'There shall be righteousness for us,' &c. Perhaps the LXX has preserved the true meaning of the passage, and certainly it is in accordance with the general tenor of God's Word. The same rendering is found in Deut. 24:13; Ps. 24:5, 33:5, 103:6; Isa. 1:27, 28:17, 59:16; Dan. 4:27, 9:16.

The verb tsadak is rendered dikaiovw, to make righteous or to acquit, almost everywhere by the LXX; but the various voices in which the word is used were not capable of being accurately distinguished in the Greek. This difficulty has reappeared in at least one passage in the N.T. In Rev. 22:11, the words 'He that is righteous let him *be righteous* still' are, if literally rendered, 'He that is righteous let him *be justified* still'—a rendering which was adopted by the Latin Vulgate, and is to be found in most, if not all, versions made from that venerable work. This literal rendering is certainly very beautiful and instructive, though the usage of the LXX affords our translators some plea for departing from it. The R. V. has changed, but hardly improved, the rendering.

§ 2. Righteousness in Relation to Justification.

The nature of righteousness, or conformity to the Divine law of love, has been pointed out in chap. ix., but we must here notice its relationship with justification.

We read in Gen. 15:6, 'Abraham believed God, and it was reckoned to him (for) righteousness.' In this passage three words enter upon the sacred pages for the first time—belief, righteousness, and reckoning or imputation—words which were destined to play a conspicuous part in Christian terminology. That element of Abraham's feeling and conduct towards God which we usually call belief, faith, or faithfulness, ² was regarded by God as a reason why he should be

accepted as righteous or justified. Not only does all right action spring from belief in the Word of God, but also our Heavenly Father justifies or acquits those persons who exercise it. Abraham's faith, according to the Hebrew text, 'was reckoned unto him righteousness;' but the LXX, followed by St. Paul, interprets this phrase as meaning 'for' (eij"), not 'as (wJ") righteousness.' ³ It would follow that the passage does not teach us that Abraham's faith was regarded or estimated by God as if it were righteousness—the one quality being taken for the other—but that owing to the fact that he had faith in the promises, God accepted him, acquitted him from the charge of sin, pronounced him righteous, and conferred on him an inheritance. Thus, as St. Paul says, Abraham was justified by faith (ejk pistevw"), i.e. owing to the fact that he had faith. The ground on God's part, and the method of justification, are not touched by the word. It simply points to the aspect in which the Judge of all the earth regards the believer, and the way in which He deals with him.

It is not a little remarkable that the privilege thus granted to Abraham was accorded to another person in exactly the same terms, but apparently on a different ground. In Ps. 106:30, 31, we read, 'Then stood up Phinehas and executed judgment: and the plague was stayed. And that was counted unto him for ⁴ righteousness unto all generations for evermore.' When we turn to the history (Num.

2 See chap. ix

3 This important distinction, which has sometimes been neglected in controversy, has been observed in the Vulgate (ad justitiam); so Luther has 'zür Gerechtigkeit;' De Sacy, 'a" justice;' D'Almeida, 'por justi'a.' Beza made a mistake in putting pro justitia in Rom.4:3, &c.

⁴ The Hebrew preposition *for* (1) is inserted here, justifying the interpretation of the LXX in the passage previously discussed.

25.) on which these verses are a comment, we find that Phinehas was zealous for God's sake against those who were committing whoredom and idolatry, going so far as to slay 'a prince of a chief house among the Simeonites,' together with the daughter of the 'head over a people and of a chief house in Midian.' What was it that prompted him to this bold and decided action, which atoned for the sins of the people? The prophet Malachi answers, speaking in God's name, 'He feared me, and was afraid before my name. The law of truth was in his mouth, and iniquity was not found in his lips' (Mal. 2:5, 6). He 'said unto his father and to his mother, I have not seen him; neither did he acknowledge his brethren, nor knew his own children' (Deut. 33:9). He had respect to the unseen God, and despised the fear of man and the ties of kindred; in other words, he had *faith*, and his deed is of a class with many of those which are recorded in the eleventh chapter of Hebrews. It was his conviction of the truth of God's Word that caused him to be loyal when a whole nation seemed to be drifting into carnality and idolatry; and so 'it was reckoned to him for righteousness.'

The second passage in which the substantive occurs is Gen. 18:19, where God says of Abraham, 'I know him, that he will command his children and his household after him, and they shall keep the way of the Lord, to do justice and judgment.' Here justice (*i.e.* righteousness) seems to mark a course of action in conformity with the grand principle of right, the loving God with all one's heart, and one's neighbour as oneself. This righteousness was not *absolute*, *i.e.* such as would commend Abraham to God as a rightful claimant of the inheritance of sonship, because, in that case, he would not have been said to have been justified by faith; it was therefore relative, and was the result of his faith in God (see Rom. 4:2–4, and compare 2 Sam. 22:21).

Jacob appeals to this relative and practical principle in Gen. 30:33, with reference to his dealings with Laban (whether fairly or not), where he says, 'So shall my righteousness answer for me in time to come, when it shall come for my hire before thy face.' He implies that he had been honest, and more than honest; that he had borne losses which might fairly have gone to the account of Laban. This

righteousness is something more than what we ordinarily mean by the word justice; it is not the doing to others *as they have done to us*, but the doing to them what we would like them to do to us if our respective positions were changed. It exceeds 'the righteousness of the Scribes and Pharisees,' which consisted in doing good either where a return was to be expected, or where the object was to make a fair show before men.

Another noteworthy passage is Deut. 9:4, 5, 6, where the people of Israel were guarded in the plainest terms from the supposition that they were being brought into Canaan for their own righteousness. They were thus trained in the idea that the inheritance was not to be regarded as a reward for human merit, but was to be received as a gift from the covenant-keeping God.

The expression, 'O God of my righteousness,' which is occasionally found in the Psalms, *e.g.* Ps. 4:1, has been diversely explained. Some critics suppose that it means, 'O God, who art my righteous judge;' others, 'O God, who justifies me.' ⁵ But perhaps its explanation is more simple. As 'the temple of God's holiness,' in Ps. 138:2, signifies 'God's holy temple,' so the phrase 'God of my righteousness' may mean 'my righteous God,' whilst it is in harmony with the doctrine that God possesses in fulness Himself that righteousness which He bestows on man.

In Deut. 33:19, and Ps. 4:5, we read, 'offer the sacrifices of righteousness.' This cannot signify 'substitute righteousness for sacrifices,' but rather 'offer righteous sacrifices,' *i.e.* do not let your sacrifices be formal or impure, but bring them in a right spirit, in loving conformity with God's law. The form of the expression is exactly parallel to that which the A. V. translates 'just balances' (lit. balances of righteousness) in Lev. 19:36, Job 31:6, and Ezek. 45:10. That this is the right interpretation of the passage may be confirmed from a reference to Ps. 51:19, where, after saying, 'Thou desires not sacrifice; else would I give it: thou delightest not in burnt offering,' and again, 'The sacrifices of God are a broken spirit: a broken and a contrite heart, O God, thou wilt not 5 De Sacy renders, 'Dieu, qui est le principe de ma justice.'

despise,' the Psalmist looks forward to a state of things when sacrifices should be once more acceptable, 'Build thou the walls of Jerusalem; then shalt thou be pleased with the sacrifices of righteousness, with burnt offerings and whole burnt offerings: then shall they offer young bullocks upon thine altar.' Compare Matt. 3:3, where we are told that the angel of the covenant 'shall purify the sons of Levi, and purge them as gold and silver, that they may offer unto the Lord an offering in righteousness.' In all these passages the spirit of the offerers rather than the nature of the offering is described by the qualifying word 'righteousness.'

In some passages in which God's righteousness is appealed to, it appears that its merciful aspect, as referred to so often by the LXX, is in the Psalmist's mind. Thus he says, 'Lead me, O Lord, in thy righteousness' (Ps. 5:8); 'Deliver me, in thy righteousness' (31:1). In these passages the writer throws himself upon the revealed character of God as containing something more than abstract justice; there is in Him an element of pity for the suffering, and of mercy for the fallen; there must be, for these principles have found expression in the law which He has prescribed for men's dealings with one another.

In Prov. 10:2 ('Righteousness delivereth from death') we have one of a class of passages very common in the O.T., pointing to the blessings which as a matter of fact follow from conformity to the will of God. When the prophet Ezekiel says (18:20), 'The righteousness of the righteous shall be *upon* him, and the wickedness of the wicked shall be *upon* him,' he teaches that a man is dealt with by God according to his own personal character and course of action, and that he must not delude himself with the idea that he can possess any hereditary immunity from evil.

Lastly, we read, in Mal. 4:2, of a Being who is described as the Sun of Righteousness, who should rise with healing in His wings for them that fear the name of God. Just as the material sun in the heavens gives forth light and heat, and becomes a centre of attraction for all other bodies that come within its sphere,

so from the Messiah there was to issue healing power which should become an efficient remedy for all spiritual diseases and for physical corruption.

§ 3. Teaching on Justification and Righteousness.

Turning now from the O.T. to the N.T., it is noticeable that the word 'righteousness' is rare in the Gospels. St. Mark never employs it; St. Luke only once (four times in the Acts); St. John, twice; and St. Matthew, eight times at most. In the Epistles of St. Paul the word is used sixty-six times, and in various senses.

- (i.) There is one absolute and eternal standard of right, which is of the essence of the nature of God, so that we say whatever He does must be right, because Right is summed up in Him. ⁶ With respect to this element in the character of God, St. Paul speaks of our own righteousness commending God's righteousness (Rom. 3:5). This is the only passage in St. Paul's Epistles in which the words are put in the order, Qeou` dikaiosuvnh; in all the others he—no doubt with a purpose—wrote, dikaiosuvnh Qeou`.
- (ii.) If we could obtain a thorough conformity with this Divine standard by the spiritual observance of the various principles and precepts contained in the law, we should be righteous even as He is righteous; but in this sense 'There is none righteous, no, not one' (Rom. 3:10).
- (iii.) Nevertheless, some have sought to establish their own righteousness by attempting to fulfil 6 The question is sometimes asked, Is a thing right because God does it? Or does He do it because it is right? This is a metaphysical query far beyond the limits of the present work. Suffice it to say that if God has done a thing, it is certain to be right; and if a thing is certainly wrong, we may be sure that God does not approve of it. God and right, the Law-giver and the law, are, so far as we can understand, not *two*, but *one*.

the letter of the law of Moses. This was the case with many of the Jews (Rom. 10:3); and it had been the aim of St. Paul himself in his early days; so far, in fact, had he succeeded that he could say, 'as touching the righteousness which is of the law,' I was 'blameless,' *i.e.* no fault could be found in me by those who measured me by the letter of the law (Phil. 3:6). Yet when the commandments contained in the law were opened out to him in their application to the thoughts of his heart, ⁷ he found that sin, though repressed, was not conquered: 'Sin revived, and I died' (Rom. 7:9).

- (iv.) One Being, however, has partaken of human nature, of whom God could say, in the full meaning of the words, 'Thou hast loved righteousness and hated iniquity' (Heb.1:9). Jesus Christ is emphatically called 'the righteous one' (Acts 22:14; I John 2:1). He, in human nature, lived up to the perfect standard of the Divine law, so that His righteousness was of the same complexion and character as the righteousness of God.
- (v.) But Jesus Christ has become righteousness unto us (1 Cor. 1:30). Hence we read of those 'who receive the gift of righteousness' (Rom. 5:17).
- (vi.) This gift is made available to us—so far as *God's* part is concerned—by Christ's atoning death upon the cross. God made Him, who knew not sin, to be sin (*i.e.* dealt with Him as sin should be dealt with), that we might become the righteousness of God in Him (2 Cor. 5:21).
- (vii.) The gift of God's righteousness is available to us—so far as *our* part is concerned—through faith. We must *yield* to it (Rom.10:3). It is conferred 'upon all them that believe.' They are then 'freely justified by his grace, through the redemption that is in Christ Jesus, whom God has set forth as a mercy-seat or propitiation, through faith in his blood' (Rom. 3:22, 24, 25). Hence it is called the righteousness *of faith* .
- (viii.) Thus, by the term 'the righteousness of God,' St. Paul generally implies

that righteousness which comes up to God's standard, and which flows from God to man when he rests on Christ crucified as his ground of pardon, and is united with Christ risen as the spring of his spiritual life.

(ix.) Lastly, the possession of it necessarily leads a man into practical conformity with the will of God, because it sets his heart in the right direction, and makes him a partaker of the Divine life which flows into him through the agency of the Holy Spirit of God. The Christian becomes in a practical sense 'the righteousness of God in Christ' (2 Cor. 5:21); being made free from sin, he is made servant to righteousness (Rom.6:18); and he who has been hungering and thirsting after righteousness is filled out of the fulness which is in Christ Jesus our Lord.

The word righteous or just (divkaio") is almost always taken in the N.T. to represent that upright and merciful character in conformity with law which we have already met with in the O.T.; and this is the case whether the word is applied to God, the righteous Judge, to Jesus Christ 'the holy one and the just,' or to those who shall rise at 'the resurrection of the just.'

In the opening of the Epistle to the Romans, St. Paul takes as his text the words of Habakkuk (2:4

), 'a righteous man shall live by faith.' From this passage he teaches that Divine life is not granted to a righteous man as a reward for his justice and obedience to the law of Moses, but it accrues to him by virtue of that faithfulness whereby he takes hold of Christ, and thus avails himself of the grace and righteousness of God. In this sense also are we to understand the words 'by the obedience of one many shall be constituted righteous' (Rom.5:19); it is not their own obedience which causeth them to be righteous in God's sight, but through the work of Christ, who was 'obedient unto (or, up to) death,' they are accounted righteous before God.

Little needs to be added concerning the N.T. usage of the word justify. We have seen that it signifies a decision in a person's favour, and that it involves a consequent freedom from penalty, and a claim to an inheritance. St. Paul sums up the whole matter very tersely in his speech at 7 A student of Luther's works will

probably be led to the conclusion that there was no point in which he was more strong, more clear, and more excellent than in the application of the law of God to the whole man instead of confining it to external actions and so-called religious observances.

Antioch, where alone the word occurs in the Acts (13:39): 'Be it known unto you that through this (Jesus) is remission of sins proclaimed to you; and every one who believes in him is justified from all things, from which ye could not be justified under the law of Moses.' Neither charge nor penalty exists for the believer. He is now justified in ⁸ Christ's blood (Rom.5:9). His faith in the sacrifice of Christ is of such a nature as to identify him with Christ in his death to sin, ⁹ and thus 'he that is dead (*i.e.* dead in this sense with Christ) is justified from sin' (Rom.6:7, margin).

We see that to be justified, to be recounted righteous, and to have the gift of the righteousness of God, are three aspects of one and the same thing, and set forth most forcibly some of the benefits which we obtain through faith in Christ's offering of Himself.

§ 4. Innocence.

The word Nakah (hqn, Ass. naquÆ) signifies (in the passive) to be cleansed or made free from pollution, and so to be guiltless, innocent, and unpunished. It implies that a man's innocence with respect to a particular charge is established in the sight of others, so that the charge falls to the ground. The first passage in which it occurs is Gen.24:8, 41, 'Thou shalt be clear from this my oath.'

We read in Exod.34:7, Num.14:18, and Nahum 1:3, that 'the Lord will by no means clear (the guilty).' These words, coming as they do after the representation of God as 'forgiving iniquity and transgression and sin,' are remarkable. They are illustrated by the following clause, 'visiting the iniquity of the fathers upon the children, and upon the children's children, unto the third and to the fourth (generation).' They imply that, though God is ready to pardon sin, He by no means ignores or disregards it. The sinner is regarded as guilty in God's sight until the revealed way of removing that guilt is found and appealed to. This truth is also taught in the following passages where the word is used:— Exod.20:7,

Deut.5:11, 'The Lord will not hold him guiltless that taketh his name in vain.' Num.5:31, 'Then shall the man be guiltless from iniquity,' i.e. shall be acquitted from the charge of wrong-doing. Num.32:22, 'Then afterwards ye shall return and be guiltless before the Lord and before Israel.' Josh.2:19, 'His blood shall be upon his head, and we will be guiltless,' i.e. with respect to the oath made to spare Rahab's kindred (compare verse 17, where the same word is rendered 'blameless'). 1 Sam. 26:9, 'Who can stretch forth his hand against the Lord's anointed and be guiltless?' 2 Sam. 3:28, 'I and my kingdom are guiltless before the Lord for ever from the blood of Abner, the son of Ner.' 2 Sam. 14:9, 'The woman of Tekoah said unto the king, The iniquity be on me and on my father's house; and the king and his throne be guiltless.' 1 Kings 2:9, 'Now therefore hold him not guiltless.' Job 10:14, 'If I sin, then thou markest me, and thou wilt not acquit me from mine iniquity.' Every sin constitutes a distinct charge against a man in the sight of God, and it must be dealt with as such. Ps. 24:4, 'He that hath clean hands and a pure heart.' Clean hands are those which are innocent of bloodguiltiness. Joel 3:21, 'I will cleanse their blood which I have not cleansed.'

Some other renderings of the words may be noticed:—Gen.20:5, 'In the integrity of my heart and

8 It is often hard to give an exact rendering to the preposition ejn (in), especially in St. Paul's Epistles. It marks position, relationship, or union. The expression 'in Christ' usually signifies 'by virtue of union with Christ by faith.' ₉ Christ died to sin once. He was crucified by sinners, and slain by wicked hands. The sin which slew Him was the sin of the world, summed up in one act .of intense hatred of God and of goodness. He was constituted 'accursed' under the law of Moses, not by wrong-doing, but by being fastened to a cross, and was further identified with sinners by being crucified between two thieves. All this was foreordained. He endured the cross and despised the shame because Hs knew that He, the innocent, was dying for a guilty world by the will of God.

in the innocency of my hands have I done this.' Gen.44:10, 'Ye shall be blameless.' Exod. 23:7, 'The innocent and righteous slay thou not.' Deut.19:9, 10, 'Thou shalt add three cities more for thee besides these three, that innocent blood be not shed in thy land, and so blood be upon thee.' Deut.19:13, 'Thine eye shall not pity him, but thou shalt put away (the guilt of) innocent blood from Israel.' Deut.21:8, 9, 'Lay not innocent blood to the charge of thy people Israel. ... So shalt thou put away (the guilt of) innocent blood from among you.' Deut.27:25, 'Cursed be he that taketh reward to slay an innocent person' (compare 1 Sam. 19:5; 2 Kings 21:16, 24:4). Jud. 15:3, 'Now shall I be more blameless than the Philistines.' Job 4:7, 'Remember, I pray thee, who ever perished, being innocent' (see also Job 9:23, 28, 17:8, 22:19, 30, 27:17). Ps. 10:8, 'He doth murder the innocent' (see Ps. 15:5, 94:21, 106:38; Prov. 1:11, 6:17; Isa. 59:7; Jer. 2:34, 7:6, 19:4, 22:3, 17, 26:15; Joel 3:19; Jonah 1:14). Ps. 19:13, 'Keep back thy servant also from presumptuous (sins); let them not have dominion over me: then shall I be upright, and I shall be innocent from the great transgression.' Ps. 26:6, 'I will wash my hands in innocency: so will I compass thine altar' (see 73:13). Prov. 6:29, 'Whosoever toucheth (his neighbour's wife) shall not be innocent' (see 11:21, 16:5, 17:5, 19:5, 9, 28:20; Jer.2:35). Jer.25:29, 49:12, 'Should ye be utterly unpunished? Ye shall not be unpunished.' Jer. 30:11, 46:28, 'I will correct thee in measure, and will not leave thee altogether unpunished.'

The above are almost all the passages in which the word Nakah occurs. It generally appears to signify proved innocence from specified charges, whether those charges are brought by God or man. The offences, if committed, were punishable; but when they have not been committed, if that innocence can be made clear, the person against whom the charge is made goes off free from blame and punishment. It is evident that the innocence implied by this word is from one point of view not such a perfect state as what is called justification; for whilst the former leaves one in the negative position of not having done certain things, the latter advances a step further, and constitutes one upright in the sight of God. Where Nakah is used, man is regarded as actually clear from a charge; where Tsadak is used, man is regarded as having obtained deliverance from

condemnation, and as being thus entitled to a certain inheritance.

In the Piel or intensive voice, the word is only used in *negative* sentences, with the exception of Ps. 19:12, 'Cleanse thou me from my hidden (faults),' *i.e.* hold me guiltless.

The LXX renders Nakah by ajqovw, kaqarivzw, kaqarov" eijmi, and, in a few passages, by ajnaivtio"

and divkaio".

§ 5. Imputation.

With the exception of 1 Sam. 22:15, where the word Sum (µwc , Ass. samu), signifying to set, place, or appoint, is used, the idea of imputation is always represented by Chashav (bvj). This word is largely used, and in slightly different senses. Our translators have rendered it by the word 'think' thirty-seven times; 'imagine,' twelve times; 'devise,' thirty times; and 'purpose,' ten times. Hence it may be gathered that it signifies a mental process whereby some course is planned or conceived. Thus, it is applied to the 'cunning' workmen who contrived the various parts of the tabernacle, and refers not so much to their skill in manipulating their materials as to their inspired genius in devising the arrangements. It is rendered 'find out' in 2 Chron. 2:14, where we read of a certain person employed on the temple who was skilful to grave any manner of graving, and to 'find out'— e.g. picture up in the imagination—'every device which shall be put to him.' It is used in Gen. 50:20, where Joseph says to his brethren, 'God meant it (e.g. planted it) for good, to bring to pass, as it is this day, to save much people alive.' In Dan. 11:24, 25, the word is repeated in order to give it emphasis, where we read, 'He shall forecast his devices against the strongholds; ... they shall forecast devices against him.' A similar use of the word in its doubled form is in Jer. 49:30,

'The king of Babylon hath taken counsel against you, and hath conceived a purpose against you.'

It is easy to see that a word which represents this process of the thought or imagination may be applied in various senses. Thus it is rendered regard, *e.g.* 'pay attention to,' in Isa. 13:17, 33:8. It is also used to express the estimation in which one person is held by another. Thus Job says (18:3), 'Wherefore are we counted as beasts and reputed as vile in thy sight?' Compare Job 13:24, 19:15, 33:10, 41:27, 29; Isa. 29:16, 17, 53:3, 4; Lam. 4:2. The Anakims 'were accounted giants' (Deut.2:11, 20); silver 'was nothing accounted of in the days of Solomon' (1 Kings 10:21; 2 Chron. 9:20); 'Cease ye from man, whose breath is in his nostrils: for wherein is he to be accounted of?' (Isa. 2:22).

The following passages may be adduced in further illustration of the meaning of the word:—Gen.31:15, 'Are we not counted of him strangers? for he hath sold us, and hath quite devoured also our money.' Lev.25:31, 'The houses of the villages which have no walls shall be counted as the fields of the country,' i.e. shall be dealt with on the same principle as the fields. Num. 18:27, 'Your heave offering shall be reckoned unto you as the corn of the threshing-floor, and the fulness of the winepress.' Num. 18:30, 'When ye have heaved the best thereof, then it shall be counted unto the Levites as the increase of the threshing-floor, and as the increase of the winepress.' Josh.13:3, 'From Sihor unto the borders of Ekron, which is counted to the Canaanite.' 2 Sam. 4:9, 'Beeroth also was reckoned to Benjamin.' 2 Sam. 19:19, 'Let not my lord impute iniquity unto me, neither do thou remember that which thy servant did perversely.' Neh. 13:13, 'They were counted faithful.' Ps. 44:22, 'We are counted as sheep for the slaughter.' Ps. 88:4, 'I am counted with them that go down into the pit.' Prov. 17:28, 'Even a fool when he holdeth his peace is counted wise.' Prov. 27:14, 'He that blesseth his friend with a loud voice, it shall be counted a curse to him.' Isa.40:15, 17, 'The nations are counted by him as the small dust in the balance ... they are counted less than nothing, and vanity.' Hos.8:12, 'I have written to him

the great things of my law, but they were counted as a strange thing.'

In all these passages a mental process is involved whereby a certain thing or a course of action is subjected to a sort of estimation as to value or position. It is not an artificial proceeding, a mere fancy, but a distinct judgment, founded either upon the nature of things, or upon the mind of him who is passing certain things under review.

Sometimes the word is used in our ordinary sense of reckoning —that is to say, to represent the arithmetical process of counting up—e.g. Lev. 25:27, 27:18; 2 Kings 12:15.

A few passages remain to be noticed, and they are important from their theological meaning:— Gen.15:6, Abraham 'believed in the Lord, and he counted it to him (for) righteousness.' God reckoned him as righteous, on the ground of his faith.

- Lev. 7:18, 'It shall not be accepted, neither shall it be imputed.' The offering shall not be reckoned as having been made.
- Lev. 17:4, 'Blood shall be imputed to that man; he hath shed blood; and that man shall be cut off from among his people.'
- Ps. 106:31, 'Then stood up Phinehas and executed judgment (P. B. prayed), and that was counted unto him for righteousness.' The faith of Phinehas caused God to regard him as righteous.
- Ps.32:2, 'Blessed is the man unto whom the Lord imputeth not iniquity, and in whose spirit there is no guile.' This non-imputation of iniquity is regarded by St. Paul as identical with imputation of righteousness (Rom.4:6).
- The word Chashav is generally rendered logivzomai in the LXX, and the use of this word in the
- N.T. exactly accords with what we have gathered from the O.T. ¹⁰ There are

several samples of the ordinary use of the word. Thus, in Rom. 6:11, we read, 'Reckon yourselves dead indeed to sin,' that is, regard yourselves in this aspect. In 1 Cor. 13:5, the words, 'charity thinketh no evil,' might

10 In Mark 15:28, the words, 'he was reckoned among transgressors,' are quoted from Isa.53:12, where, however, the Hebrew word is not chasav, but manah, to number.

perhaps be rendered 'doth not impute evil,' that is to say, 'doth not take account of injuries done to it.' A few verses below we read, 'I thought as a child,' by which we are to understand, 'I took account of things as a child does.' In Phil. 3:13 the Apostle says, 'I reckon not myself to have attained,' that is, 'I do not regard myself as having attained.' In the more distinctly doctrinal sense, we have in Rom. 2:26, 'If the uncircumcision keep the righteousness of the law shall not his uncircumcision be reckoned as circumcision' (eij" peritomh;n)? 2 Cor. 5:19, 'God was in Christ, reconciling the world unto himself, not imputing their trespasses unto them.' In Rom. 4:3, the words concerning Abraham in Gen. 15:6 are introduced and discussed at some length, the passage from Ps. 32. being also quoted in confirmation of the Apostle's argument. In the fourth verse stress is especially laid on the fact that the reckoning of Abraham's faith for righteousness was not a matter of justice due to Abraham, but was a work of grace springing out of God's free love.

We see therefore that to reckon, to impute, and to account are one and the same thing, and that the word is used in Scripture to indicate what may be called a mental process whereby the love and mercy which exists in the Divine nature, and which was embodied in Christ, is brought to bear upon the case of every individual who believes in (and acts upon) the Word of God. There is nothing unnatural or artificial about the imputation of righteousness by faith. On the contrary, it commends itself to man's deepest convictions.

CHAPTER XV.

SANCTIFICATION, ANOINTING.

§ 1. Sanctify, Sacred, Holy.

F EW religious words are more prominent in the Hebrew Scriptures than those which spring from the root Kadash (vdq , Ass. qadasu), which is used in some form or other to represent the being set apart for the work of God. It generally answers to the Greek aJgiavzw and a{gio" . Perhaps the English word sacred represents the idea more nearly than holy, which is the general rendering in the A. V. The terms sanctification and holiness are now used so frequently to represent moral and spiritual qualities, that they hardly convey to the reader the idea of *position* or *relationship* as existing between God and some person or thing consecrated to Him; yet this appears to be the real meaning of the word. ¹

(a.) The word Kadash is applied to places, e.g. to the camp of Israel (Deut. 23:14); to the hill of Zion (Ps. 2:6, &c.); to the ground where God manifested Himself to Moses (Exod. 3:5); to the city

In accordance with the above view, Dr. Henderson renders Jer. 1:5 thus: 'Before thou camest forth from the womb I *separated* thee;' and in his note he says, 'When Jehovah declares that He had sanctified the prophet before his birth, the meaning is not that He had cleansed him from the pollution of original sin, or that He had regenerated him by His Spirit, but that He had separated him in His eternal counsel to the work in which He was to be engaged.' The Apostle Paul, he adds, uses language very nearly parallel when he says of God that He *separated him* (ajforivsa") from his mother's womb. See Gal. 1:15; Rom. 1:1; Acts 13:2.

- of Jerusalem ² (Neh. 11:1; compare Matt. 4:5); to heaven (Ps.20:6); to the 'Holy Land' (Zech. 2:12
-); to the tabernacle (Exod. 29:43); to the temple (1 Kings 9:3); to the inner part of the temple or tabernacle, commonly called the sanctuary or the Holy of Holies (Exod. 25:8); to the king's 'chapel' (Amos 7:13); to the altar (Exod. 29:36); and consequently, as our Lord reminded the Jews, ³ to the gifts (Exod.28:38) and offerings (Exod.29:27) which were placed thereon; also to a house or field set apart for God (Lev. 27:14, 16).
- (b.) The word is applied to times, e.g. to the Sabbath (Gen. 2:3, Exod. 20:8, 11); to a day set apart as a fast (Joel 1:14); to the fiftieth year (Lev. 25:10).
- (c.) It is applied to persons, e.g. to the first-born (Exod. 13:2; to the priests (Exod. 28:41); to the people (Deut. 7:6); to the assembly of the people (Ps. 89:7, Joel 2:16); to a man of God (2 Kings 4:9)
-); to Jeremiah 'sanctified' in the womb (Jer. 1:5); to the guests at a sacrificial feast (Zeph. 1:7, margin); and to the saints or people dedicated to God, whether angels or men (Job 5:1, 15:15; Ps. 16:3, 34:9; Isa. 4:3; Dan. 4:13, 7:18, 21, 22, 25, 27, 8:13, 24; Zech. 14:5).
- The point involved in every case is relation or contact with God. Thus the Sabbath day was holy because God rested thereon, and it was to be set apart by Israel as a pledge that He had sanctified or set apart the people to Himself (Exod. 31:13); the mountain of the Lord was to be called holy because He would dwell there (Zech. 8:3); the 'sanctuary' was to be made that the Lord might dwell among the people (Exod. 25:8); the first-born, by being hallowed or set apart, were regarded by God as His own (Num. 3:13); and even the censers in which sinful men offered incense to God became hallowed by that very act (Num. 16:38)

God Himself was regarded as holy, *i.e.* as a Being who from His nature, position, and attributes is to be set apart and revered as distinct from all others; and Israel

was to separate itself from the world and the things of the world because God was thus separated; they were to be holy, for He was holy (Lev. 11:44, 19:2, 20:7, 26, 21:8). Joshua says, 'Ye cannot serve the Lord, for he is an holy God (i.e. a God set apart and distinct from all other beings); he is a jealous God, he will not forgive (or put up with) your transgressions nor your sins' (Josh. 24:19). In accordance with this teaching, the Lord was to be 'sanctified,' i.e. regarded as occupying a unique position both morally and as regards His essential nature. Thus we read in Lev. 10:3, 'I will be sanctified in them that come nigh me;' 1 Sam. 6:20, 'Who is able to stand before this holy Lord God?' Ps. 111:9, 'Holy and reverend is his name;' Isa. 6:3, 'Holy, holy, holy is the Lord of Hosts;' Isa. 8:13, 14, 'Sanctify the Lord of Hosts himself, ⁴ and let him be your fear, and let him be your dread, and he shall be for a sanctuary;' Isa. 29:23, 'They shall sanctify my name, and sanctify the Holy One of Jacob,' i.e. the Being whom Jacob sanctified or set apart as his God. In harmony with these passages, we find the Lord several times described as 'the Holy One of Israel,' i.e. the Being to whom alone Israel gave special and peculiar honour (2 Kings 19:22; Ps. 71:22; Isa. 10:17, 49:7). In Ezek. 11:16 the Lord says, 'Although I have cast them far off among the heathen, and although I have scattered them among the countries, yet will I be unto them as a sanctuary for a little time (A. V. as a little sanctuary) in the countries whither they shall come;' that is to say, 'Although they will have no temple for a little while, yet I will be with them, and they shall learn to reverence me; 'thus God Himself took the place of the 'sanctuary' built by Solomon (1 Chron. 22:18, 19). The holy temple of the Lord represented His Presence, but that Presence could go with the people into captivity even though the temple were to be destroyed.

The Spirit of God is called Holy in Ps. 51:11, 'Take not thy holy Spirit from me;' Isa. 63:10, 11, 'They rebelled and vexed his holy Spirit ... Where is he that put his holy Spirit within him?' Compare the expression 'The spirit of the holy gods' (Dan. 4:8, 9). 2 Jerusalem is still called El Khuds, *i.e.* The Holy.

3 Matt.23:17.

4 This passage is quoted in 1 Pet. 3:15. Compare also the words of the Lord's

Prayer (Matt. 6:9), 'Hallowed (or sanctified) be thy Name.'

In all these passages it is implied that He whom Israel was to worship was to be regarded as entirely separate from all other beings, and also as pure from every thought and deed of evil. What a contrast with the theology of heathendom!

The process of setting apart for sacred uses which is described by the words dedication and consecration is also represented by Kadash See, for example, Jud. 17:3; 2 Sam. 8:11; 2 Kings 10:20; 1 Chron. 18:11; 2 Chron. 31:6. It was also used to denote the setting apart of certain people for warfare, as in Jer. 51:27, 'Prepare the nations against her;' Joel 3:9, 'Prepare war;' Micah 3:5, 'They even prepare war against him.'

In 2 Sam. 11:4 Kadash is rendered purify, to signify the doing away with ceremonial defilement. But the same word is also used in Deut. 22:9, where we read, 'Thou shalt not sow thy vineyard with divers seeds: lest the fruit of thy seed which thou hast sown, and the fruit of thy vineyard, be defiled; ' and in Job 36:14, 'Their life is among the unclean.' In these passages we have samples of the use of the word Kadash in an opposite sense to the true one.

The familiar expression 'beauty of holiness' is found in 1 Chron. 16:29; 2 Chron. 20:21; Ps. 29:2, 96:9, 110:3. Other suggested renderings are 'the glorious sanctuary' and 'holy array.' The word rendered 'beauty' frequently means majesty or excellency, and probably points to the glory of God rather than to the garments of man.

In Exod. 28:36, Jer. 31:40, and other passages, we meet with the expression 'Holiness to the Lord,' or as it is sometimes rendered, 'Holiness of the Lord,' or 'Holy to the Lord.' This expression indicates that the object thus inscribed is dedicated to God.

The word holy is sometimes opposed to the unholy or profane (lj, bevbhlo"), as in Lev. 10:10, and Ezek. 44:23, where the latter word signifies that which is devoted to ordinary uses. It also occurs in connection with the separation of the

Nazarite in Num. 6:5, 8; and of the 'holy seed' of Israel as compared with the Canaanites (Ezra 9:2). It was set forth as of the greatest importance that Israel should regard themselves as a *separate* people. In this respect the Nazarite from the days of Joseph onwards (Gen. 49:26) was a type of the whole nation. They were 'a peculiar treasure' (Exod. 19:5; Deut. 14:2, 26:18; Ps. 135:4), redeemed by God for His own purposes (Exod. 33:15, 16); ordained to keep His law (Lev. 20:7, 8), and to live to His praise and glory (Lev. 20:24-26). With regard to the mode in which ceremonial sanctification ⁵ was accomplished, we find it varying according to the circumstances of the case. When Aaron and his sons were hallowed or sanctified for the priesthood, 'Moses took of the anointing oil, and of the blood which was upon the altar, and sprinkled upon Aaron and upon his garments, and upon his son's garments with him.' The A. V. has unfortunately rendered Kadash 'consecrate' instead of 'hallow' in Exod. 28:3, 30:30; Josh. 6:19; 2 Chron. 26:18, 29:33, 31:6; Ezra 3:5.

The word used for consecration in Num. 6:9, 12, is Nazar (rzn), to separate, and is rightly used of the Nazarite or separated person. Another form of this word is rendered crown in several passages, perhaps because the wearing a crown was a special mark of distinction or separation. It is used of the golden crown on the High Priest's mitre, on which the words 'Holiness to the Lord' were written (Exod. 39:30); and of the 'crown of the anointing oil' which separated him for the work of God (Lev. 21:12); it was the mark of the anointed king (Ps. 89:38, 39, 132:17, 18); and in Zech. 9:16 we are told that the Lord's people shall be 'as the stones of a crown, lifted up as an ensign on his land.' In most of the passages in which this word occurs, the LXX renders it aJgivasma.

Chanac (ûnj), to initiate or inaugurate, is used in Num. 7:10, 11, 84, 88, of the dedication of the altar; in 1 Kings 8:63, 2 Chron. 7:5, 9, of the dedicating of the house of the Lord; in Ezra 6:16, 17, with reference to the rebuilt temple; in Neh. 12:27, of the wall of Jerusalem; and in Dan. 3:2, 3, of the dedication of the image which Nebuchadnezzar set up. This word is applied to the training or dedication of children in Prov. 22:6; see also Gen. 14:14. 5 The technical act of consecration was a different process. It was literally *the filling of the hand*, part of the sacrifice being put into the hand and waved and then borne to the altar.

The word ordain occurs seventeen times in the O.T., and represents eleven different Hebrew words. In some of these passages there is a reference to the appointment of rites, and of religious or secular officers, but nothing in the words used indicates any peculiarities in the *mode* of appointment.

§ 2. Teaching of the N.T. On Sanctification.

We now turn to the N.T. in order to trace the usage of the word aJgiavzw, the Greek representative of Kadash. First, it is applied to the sanctification and consecration of the Son by the Father in John 10:36; compare chap.17:19, 'In their behalf I sanctify myself,' *i.e.* set myself apart for the special work of God. The Lord was 'set apart' from the foundation of the world for the work of redemption, and His incarnation, temptations, and sufferings were the processes whereby His atoning death was prepared for and rendered valid.

Secondly, the sanctification of Christians is referred to as the work of the Father in John 17:17, 'Sanctify them in (or try) the truth;' see also 1 Thess. 5:23 and Jude 1. But whilst the Father is the source, the Son is the agent, for His object in sanctifying Himself was that they also might be sanctified by the truth. Compare 1 Cor. 1:2; Eph. 5:26. In Heb. 2:11 we read of Christ and Christians, that 'Both he that sanctifieth and they that are sanctified are all of one' (*i.e.* from one source): 'for which cause he is not ashamed to call them brethren.'

The means whereby the Christian is sanctified is the blood, *i.e.* the offering of the life-blood of Christ (Heb. 9:14, 10:10, 14).

In Rom. 15:16, the agency of the Holy Spirit is mentioned in connection with sanctification. Here reference is made to the power whereby St. Paul's ministrations were effective in preaching to the Gentiles, and presenting them as an offering to God.

In 2 Tim. 2:21, the man who is purged from iniquity is compared to a sanctified vessel. The sanctification of food, i.e. its being regarded as free from ceremonial pollution, is referred to in 1 Tim. 4:5, as accomplished by means of the word of God and prayer. In 1 Cor. 7:14, we are told that 'The unbelieving husband is sanctified by the wife, and the unbelieving wife is sanctified by the husband; else were your children unclean, but now are they holy.' According to this passage, we are to understand that the marriage tie extends sanctity or sacredness from the husband to the wife, or from the wife to the husband, and so to the children. In these passages we have the idea of sacredness, affecting the position and use rather than the *nature* of that to which it refers. The preposition which is generally the connecting link between the object sanctified and that which sanctifies it, is not by or with, but in. This seems to imply that sanctification takes place through the *contact* of one object with another. The gift by being placed on the altar becomes in a ceremonial sense one with it. The Christian is sanctified by becoming one with Christ, faith in His blood being that which makes both one; and Christ is sanctified in that He is one with the Father. We find the preposition ejn with aJgiavzw in the following passages of the LXX: Exod. 29:43; Lev. 10:3; Num. 20:13; Deut. 32:51; Ezek. 20:41, 28:22, 25, 36:23, 38:16, 39:27, and 44:19.

The noun aJgiasmov" occurs ten times in the N.T., and would best be rendered sanctification. The passages are as follows:— Rom. 6:19, 'Yield your members servants to righteousness unto holiness,' *i.e.* with a view to sanctification; so verse 22. 1 Cor. 1:30, 'Christ Jesus is made unto us ... sanctification,' *i.e.* a means or ground of it. 1 Thess. 4:3, 4, 7, 'This is the will of God, even your sanctification, ... that every one of you should know how to possess his vessel in sanctification and honour ... for God hath not called us unto uncleanness, but in sanctification.' The A. V., by rendering this last expression '*unto holiness*,' obscures the connection of the verses. 2 Thess. 2:13, 'God hath chosen you to salvation in sanctification of (the) spirit.' ⁶ I Tim.2:15, 'If they continue in

faith and charity and sanctification with sobriety.' Heb. 12:14, 'Follow after sanctification, without which no man shall see God.' 1 Pet. 1:2, 'In sanctification of (the) spirit.' ⁷ Thus, true sanctification involves the separation of the spirit from all that is impure and polluting, and a renunciation of the sins towards which the desires of the flesh and of the mind lead us.

Whilst aJgiasmov"; may be regarded as the process of sanctification, aJgiovth" and aJgiwsuvnh are rather the result of the process. The former occurs in 1 Cor. 1:30, and Heb. 12:10, the latter in Rom. 1:4, 2 Cor. 7:1, and 1 Thess. 3:13. These passages if examined, will show that the quality of holiness, or perfect freedom from pollution and impurity, is essential to the nature of God, was exhibited by His Son Jesus Christ, and is imparted to the Christian in proportion to his faith.

The adjective a{gio", holy, is used nearly a hundred times with reference to the Holy Spirit of God—that Spirit which was in Christ without measure, and which is now imparted to all that trust Him. Again, both in consequence of the indwelling of the Holy Spirit, or because of their professed separation from evil and dedication to God, all Christians are regarded as a{gioi, holy or saints. The word is also applied to Christ, who was the holy one of God, and our Lord applies it to His 'holy Father,' and to the 'holy angels.' We find it used in a more ceremonial sense of the holy city Jerusalem (Matt. 27:53), and the holy place (Matt. 24:15); of the Covenant with Abraham (Luke 1:72); of the Scriptures (Rom. 1:2); of the law end commandment (Rom. 7:12); of a kiss (Rom. 16:16); and of food which was separated from ordinary uses, and was therefore not to be cast to the dogs (Matt. 7:6).

The word aJgneiva, which is found in Gal. 5:22 (in some MSS.), also in 1 Tim. 4:12, and 1 Tim. 5:2, answers very well to our word purity, in its double sense of chastity and freedom from Wrong motives.

The verb aJgnivzein is used with reference to ceremonial purifications in John 11:55, Acts 21:24, 26, and 24:18. It is also used in a spiritual sense in three

passages, namely: James 4:8, 'Purify your hearts, ye double-minded;' 1 Pet. 1:22, 'Seeing ye have purified your souls in obeying the truth through the Spirit unto unfeigned love of the brethren, love one another with a pure heart fervently;' 1 John 3:3, 'He that hath this hope in Him (*i.e.* not in himself, but in Christ) purifieth himself, even as He is pure.'

The adjective aJgnov" occurs eight times in the N.T. in the sense of moral chastity and purity; and aJgnovth", which is derived from it, and which is peculiar to the N.T., is found in 2 Cor. 6:6, and, according to some MSS., in 2 Cor. 11:2; whilst the adverb aJgnw" occurs in Phil. 1:16.

§ 3. Anointing.

In considering the ceremonial anointing of the O.T., we have only to do with one word, viz. Mashach (jvm), from which the name Messiah is derived, and which is almost always rendered crivw

in the LXX. Other words, indeed, are used, but not in a ceremonial sense. Among passages where such occur, two may be noted: the first is Isa. 10:27, 'The yoke shall be destroyed because of the anointing,' or literally, 'from the face of the oil;' the other is Zech. 4:14, 'These are the two anointed ones (literally, sons of oil or brightness) that stand by the Lord of the whole earth.'

Mashach is first used of the anointing of the pillar at Bethel (Gen. 28:18, 31:13), and it does not 6 There is no article here in the Greek, so that the expression possibly signifies the sanctification of a man's own spirit, or, in a general sense, *spiritual sanctification*, as opposed to that which is *external* or *ceremonial*. R. V, retains the article.

⁷ There is no article here in the Greek, so that the expression possibly signifies the sanctification of a man's own spirit, or, in a general sense, *spiritual sanctification*, as opposed to that which is *external* or *ceremonial*. R. V, retains the article.

occur again till Exod. 25:6, where 'the anointing oil' is spoken of. We next meet with it in connection with the consecration and sanctification of Aaron (Exod. 28:41). The anointing came *after* the offering of atoning victims in Aaron's case, as in the case of the altar (Exod. 29:36). The tabernacle, the ark, the table, and various vessels were to be anointed (Exod. 30:26-28). They were then regarded as sanctified or set apart, and whatever touched them had this sanctification communicated to it. The unleavened wafers and some other meat offerings were to be anointed (Lev. 2:4). In all these cases the unction was the mode of setting apart or sanctifying.

The anointing of a king is first mentioned in the parable of Jotham (Jud. 9:8, 15). It next occurs in the inspired hymn of Hannah (1 Sam. 2:10), 'He shall give strength unto his king, and exalt the horn of his anointed.' Saul was anointed captain over God's people, that he might save them out of the hand of the Philistines (1 Sam. 9:16). Various references are found to the Lord's anointed, that is to say, the king, both in the historical and poetical books. The following are the most important: Ps. 2:2, 'The rulers take counsel together against the Lord and against his anointed;' Ps. 18:50, 'He sheweth mercy to his anointed, to David, and to his seed for evermore;' 20:6, 'Now know I that the Lord saveth his anointed;' 45:7, 'God hath anointed thee with the oil of gladness above thy fellows;' 92:10, 'I shall be anointed with fresh oil.'

The reference in Ps. 105:15, 'Touch not mine anointed (ones), and do my prophets no harm,' is thought to be to the priests (compare Hab.3:13). The meaning of the phrase 'Anoint the shield' (Isa. 21:5) is doubtful In Isa. 45:1, Cyrus is called the Lord's anointed, because he was appointed king for a special purpose. In Isa. 61:1, the word receives a larger meaning, and teaches that the holy oil wherewith the priest and king and the vessels of the tabernacle were anointed was a symbol of the Holy Spirit. For we read, 'The Spirit of the Lord God is upon me, because be hath anointed me to preach good tidings unto the meek.'

In Ezek. 28:14, the king of Tyrus is described as 'the anointed cherub.' Some here translate the word 'extended' instead of anointed; but compare Isa. 45:1. In Dan. 9:24, we are told that seventy weeks were determined '... to anoint the Most Holy,' *i.e.* either the Most Holy Being or the Most Holy Place. In Amos 6:6, the word appears to be used of personal decoration with oil, and not of the ceremonial anointing. If this be the case, it is the only place in the whole O.T. in which the word is so used. Possibly there is a reference here to the abuse of holy things, a view which would be most in accordance with the accusations implied in the two previous verses.

The verb crivein is used five times in the N.T. In four of these passages it refers to the anointing of Christ by His Father, namely: Luke 4:18, which is quoted from Isa. 61:1; Heb. 1:9, quoted from Ps. 45:7; Acts 4:27, where it is used with special reference to the quotation from the second Psalm, which immediately precedes it; and Acts 10:38, where we are told that God anointed Jesus with the Spirit. What, then, is the idea which we ought to connect with the name Christ or Messiah? It points to One who is King by Divine authority, and signifies that God would set His mark upon Him by giving Him the Holy Ghost without measure. Perhaps also it teaches that the ministrations of the prophet, priest, altar, and tabernacle with all its vessels, were foreshadowings of the work which He was to accomplish.

The anointing of Christians is spoken of in 2 Cor. 1:21, where we are told that 'He who hath anointed us is God;' and in accordance with this fact, St. John three times in his First Epistle reminds those to whom he writes that they have a *chrism* or *unction* from the Holy One (chap.2:20,

27). This chrism includes not only the special temporary gifts of the Spirit, but also the indwelling and working presence of the Holy Ghost which the Christian receives from the Father through the Son.

The anointing of the sick is described by a different Greek word, namely, ajleivfw . It was a medical rather than a ceremonial act, and was performed by friction or rubbing, not by pouring. So far from St. James's words (5:14) discouraging the use of medical help, they order it. The same word is used of the anointing of the head and of the body for purposes of decoration or preservation.

CHAPTER XVI.

OFFERINGS, ALTAR.

F EW elements in the Mosaic dispensation are more interesting to the Christian student than the system of offerings therein prescribed. The practice of slaying animals for a religious purpose appears to have been called into existence at a very early stage of human history, but the Levitical system claims to have been elaborated under Divine direction during the sojourning in the wilderness, and that with a fulness of detail which must arrest the attention of every reader. If it be true that even in earthly matters 'coming events cast their shadows before,' much more is it to be expected that when the Author of all existence was about to make a special intervention in the affairs of man, He would not only intimate His purpose to some of those whom He was about to benefit, but would also prefigure the course which He was about to adopt. The system of offerings appointed to Israel may thus be regarded as a book of pictures, sketched in shadowy outline, indicating to God's people the work which was to be accomplished by Divine grace when the fulness of the time should have come.

There is a further reason for a patient and accurate examination of this system. Among the controversies of the present day, few have given rise to such vehemence and acrimony as the questions, how far any part of the Levitical system of offerings is, or ought to be, reproduced in connection with the sacrament of the Lord's Supper, and whether the rite in question is a sacrifice, the holy table an altar, and the minister a priest.

§ 1. The Korban.

The word Korban (÷brq , Ass. Kurbannu), with which we are familiar from its

occurrence in the

N.T. (Mark 7:11), is used for the offering in about seventy passages in the O.T. It is not restricted to any sacrifice in particular, but represents the various ways in which the offerer found a way of approach and acceptance. We might almost render it *a way of access*. The verb Karav (brq), whence it is derived, signifies to approach or draw near, and is often used of man's entrance into the presence of the living God (so also in Assyrian). It is no ordinary nearness that is represented by it, but rather that of the closest and most intimate kind (see, *e.g.*, Num. 16:9; Ps. 65:4; Jer. 30:21). The very word just used ('intimate') reminds us of the meaning of the word in one of its forms (br,q,

), as applied to the innermost part of the body; whilst in another form (bwrq) it signifies a near neighbour or a kinsman. (The word is also used of close hand-to-hand conflict, and hence is rendered battle or war in nine passages in the O.T. So also in Assyrian.

Korban is also rendered sacrifice in Lev. 27:11, and oblation ten times in Leviticus, and twice in Numbers. It would be better to have a uniform rendering in these passages. The verb in its causative form is rendered offer more than fifty times in Leviticus, and twenty-five times in Numbers. It occurs in a non-sacrificial sense in Jud. 3:18, where we read of Ehud's offering a present to Eglon; also in 1 Chron. 16:1, and 2 Chron. 35:12, *al* .

The LXX renders the verb ejggivzw , prosfevrw , prosevrcomai , prosavgw ; and the noun always dw`ron .

The verb Nagash (vgn) has much the same meaning as Karav, and is applied to the presentation of offerings in Amos 5:25, Matt 1:7, 8, 11, 13, and 3:3. This word is coupled with Kazav in Jer. 30:21, which runs thus: 'Their noble (A. V. nobles) shall be from themselves, and their ruler shall issue from amidst them, and I will cause him to draw near (Karav), and he shall approach (Nagash) unto me.'

§ 2. N.T. Teaching.

We find ejggivzw used once in the N.T. in a special sense, namely, in Heb.7:19, 'The bringing in of a better hope, by which *we draw near* unto God.'

The word prosfevrw used of the offering of gifts of many kinds. Thus we meet with it in Matt. 2:11, with respect to the offerings made by the Wise Men; in Matt. 5:23, of the offering or gift upon the altar, where reference is evidently made to the ordinary offerings prescribed under the name in Leviticus. In Matt.8:4, Mark 1:44, and Luke 5:14, it is applied to the offering to be made by the cleansed leper. In John 16:2 we read, 'Whosoever killeth you will think that he offereth religious service to God' (latreivan prosfevrein tw/ qew/). The only Epistle in which the word occurs is that which was addressed to the Hebrews, in which it is found twenty times, and, with one exception, ¹ always in a sacrificial or religious sense. Thus it is said of the Lord Jesus that He offered Himself without blemish to God through the Eternal Spirit (Heb. 9:14), and that He was once for all offered to bear the sins of many (9:28).

The word prosevrcomai is used of the sinner's approach to God on the basis of an offering in Heb. 4:16, 7:25, 10:1, 22, and 11:6.

There is one remarkable passage in which prosavgein is used, namely, 1 Pet. 3:18, 'Christ died, the just for the unjust, that he might bring us to God.' Here the Vulgate rendering is striking, 'that he might offer us to God,' the offering being

the means of the sinner's approach. The noun prosagwghy, derived from this verb, is used of the access or way of approach which the Christian obtains through Christ, in Rom. 5:2, Eph. 2:18, and 3:12.

In St. Matthew's Gospel we find dw`ron for a sacrificial gift several times; and St. Mark, in chap. 7:11, specially interprets Korban by this word. In the Epistle to the Hebrews this word is put side by side with qusivai, sacrifices.

The general lesson which we gain from the frequent and remarkable use of the word Korban (in the Levitical law), and of its Greek representatives in the N.T., is that a way of access to God is made open, not through the efforts of man, but through the good will and ordinance of our heavenly Father, who has caused us to come near to Himself in and through His Son Jesus Christ.

§ 3. Burnt-Offering.

The word generally rendered burnt-offering ² in the A. V. is <Olah (hl[). The verb <Alah , whence it is derived, is rendered to burn in Exod. 27:20, Lev. 2:12, 24:2, and to offer in a few other passages; but the original meaning of the word in the Active Voice is to ascend, hence in the Causative Voice it signifies to *make to ascend* , or *cause to go up* . Some scholars have held that the

1 Namely, Heb. 12:7, 'God *deals with* you (uJmi`n prosfevretai) as with sons.' The word is here in the Middle Voice, and signifies the entrance into a certain relationship.

2 This is one of a large class of expressions in which a hyphen ought to be introduced. The R. V. is no better than the A. V. in this respect.

best rendering for <olah would be *alter-offering*, because the offering was *lifted up* and placed upon the altar. This interpretation, however, has not been generally accepted. The Vulgate rendering (derived from the Greek) holocausta, that which is wholly burnt, and the German *Brandopfer*, signifying burnt-offering, fall in with our own rendering, but they are descriptions rather than translations. The fact that flame *ascends*, and that 'the sparks fly *upwards*,' furnishes us with the true solution of the name. The <Olah, when turned into a cloud of vapour by the action of the fire, ascended into the heavens, and was gradually dispersed amidst the upper air; and whilst beholding this striking sight, the offerer, who had identified himself with the victim by the pressure of his hands, realised his acceptance by God, who dwelleth in the heavens. The best rendering of the word would be *an ascending-offering*. Arias Montanus rendered it ascensio.

The word is used frequently, both in the Levitical ritual and in the historical books. Its first occurrence is in Gen. 8:20, where Noah is said to have offered burnt-offerings on the altar. We next meet with it in Gen. 22:2–13, where Abraham is told to offer up Isaac as a burnt-offering. It is also used in Job 1:5, and 42:8, where the patriarch is described as offering for his sons, and where his friends are ordered to make an offering. The Levitical law, however, drew a clearer distinction between the two. The word is first used in connection with the people of Israel in Exod.24:5. In this important passage we are told that Moses 'sent young men of the children of Israel, which offered burnt-offerings and sacrificed Peace-offerings unto the Lord,' the first kind being wholly burnt, and the last. eaten; and it was with the blood of these offerings that the people and the Book of the Covenant were sprinkled. This transaction was previous to the appointment of the Aaronic priesthood. The making of the Covenant was a national, not a sacerdotal work; moreover, it had not to do directly with sin, for neither the burnt-offering nor the Peace-offering were sin-offerings; they represented acceptance rather than pardon. ³

Passing by the historical books, we find <olah used in a few other passages, namely, Ps. 51:19, 66:15; Isa. 57:6, 66:3; Ezek. 43:18, 24; and Amos 5:22.

The most general renderings for the verb <alah in the LXX are ajnabaivnw, ajnafevrw, ajnabibavzw, and ajnavgw; the noun <olah is almost always rendered either oJlokauvtwma, or oJlokauvtwsi", *i.e.* that which is wholly burnt.

Calil (lylk), that which is complete, is used of the whole burnt-offering in Lev. 6:22, 23; Deut. 33:10; 1 Sam. 7:9; and Ps. 51:19.

On examining the N.T., we do not find the substantive applied directly to Christ through its Greek representatives, but the idea of ascending or going up, from which the burnt-offering received its Hebrew name, and which is so fully sustained in the Greek verbs above-mentioned, reappears in relation to the Lord's work in various ways, which may be briefly noticed.

With regard to the word ajnabaivnw, it may be deemed fanciful to refer to our Lord's expression, 'Behold, we *go up* to Jerusalem' (see Matt. 20:18; Mark 10:32, 33; Luke 18:31, and 19:28), because it was the ordinary and natural phrase to use when describing a journey to that city which was the Crown of the Holy Land. Yet it may be noticed that no site could be more aptly marked out as the altar of earth on which the Great Offering should be consummated. It had probably been the scene of sacrifice as early as the time of Abraham; it lies 'beautiful for situation, the joy of the whole earth,' 2400 feet above the Mediterranean, which washes the western shore of the land, and 3700 feet above the Dead Sea, which lies in leaden solitude in a cleft between the torrid mountains of Judah and the long purple wall of Moab.

Our Lord's ascension or 'going up' to His Father in heaven is described by the word ajnabaivnw in John 20:17, and Eph.4:9, 10.

The sacrificial word ajnafevrw is also used of our Lords being 'carried up' into heaven in Luke 24:51; whilst it is applied to His offering of Himself in Heb. 7:27. It is also adopted with reference to the offering up of a sacrifice of praise (Heb. 13:15), and of spiritual sacrifices which are 3 Though these were closely related.

acceptable to God through Christ (1 Pet. 2:5).

The word ajnavgw is used only twice in the Epistles, namely, in Rom. 10:7, and Heb. 13:20, in both of which passages it is adopted to express the bringing of Christ up from the dead—an essential element in the Lord's appointed work.

It may be gathered from these passages that whilst the slaying of the victim, which was to be a male without blemish, represented Christ's devotion of Himself to death, and while the pouring forth of the blood upon the altar foreshadowed the atonement wrought by virtue of His death, the ascent of the slain animal in the form of a cloud of smoke into the heavens typified the bringing of Christ up from the grave, and His ascension to the right hand of God. But since the offerer, by pressing his hand upon the victim before slaying it in the presence of God, identified himself with it, he must be considered as symbolically going through the same process as it had to undergo. So also the Christian, identifying himself with his Saviour by faith, is 'crucified with Christ,' dies with Him, is buried with Him, rises with Him under the influence of the Spirit of life, and is seated with Him in heavenly places, His life of devotion being compared to an offering made by fire, an odour of a sweet savour unto God.

§ 4. The Meat or Meal Offering.

The general Hebrew word for a gift, whether to God (Gen. 4:3) or to man (Gen. 32:13) is Minchah, (hjnm); it is also the word which our translators have rendered meat-offering—'meat' being here used in its old sense of 'food,' and not signifying 'flesh.' The LXX has qusiva (sacrifice) for it in 140 places, and dw'ron (a gift) in 32 places ⁴ Minchah is the word used of the offerings of Cain and Abel in Gen. 4:3, 4, 5, in which passage it is not restricted to its Levitical use as an unbloody sacrifice; it is first rendered 'meat-offering' in Lev. 2:1, where it is described as a mixture of flour, oil, and frankincense—the flour being the essential part, the oil and frankincense being added that it might burn with a

sweet savour. The word is used of the 'jealousy-offering' in Num. 5:15, 18, 25, 26. It is also to be found in the following passages:—Num. 16:15, 'Respect thou not their offering.' 1 Sam. 2:17, 'Men abhorred the offering of the Lord;' verse 29, 'Wherefore kick ye at my sacrifice, and at mine offering?' 1 Sam. 3:14, 'The iniquity of Eli's house shall not be purged with sacrifice nor offering for ever.' 1 Sam. 26:19, 'If the Lord have stirred thee up against me. Let him accept (or smell) an offering.' 1 Chron. 16:29, Ps. 96:8, 'Bring an offering, and come before him.' Ps. 20:3, 'Remember all thy offerings.' Isa. 43:23, 'I have not caused thee to serve with an offering.' Isa. 66:20, 'They shall bring all your brethren for an offering unto the Lord out of all nations ... as the children of Israel bring an offering in a clean vessel into the house of the Lord.' Jer. 41:5, 'There came certain from Shechem, from Shiloh, and from Samaria, even fourscore men, having their beards shaven, and their clothes rent, and having cut themselves, with offerings and incense (or rather frankincense) in their hand, to bring them to the house of the Lord.' Amos 5:25, 'Have ye offered unto me sacrifices and of offerings in the wilderness forty years?' Zeph. 3:10, 'From beyond the rivers of Ethiopia my suppliants, even the daughters of my dispersed, shall bring mine offering.' Matt. 1:10, 'Neither will I accept an offering at your hand;' see also verse 11, 'In every place incense shall be offered unto my name, and a pure offering.' Matt. 3:3, 4, 'He shall purify the sons of Levi, that they may offer an offering in righteousness. Then shall the offering of Judah and Jerusalem be pleasant unto the Lord, as in the days of old.'

Minchah is rendered gift in Ps. 45:12; sacrifice in 1 Kings 18:29, 36, the time of the offering of

4 The Assyrian word is manitu. The LXX also occasionally reproduces the original word in the form manaav. The Vulgate adapts munus and oblatio as renderings; and Luther has *Opfer* and *Spcisopfer*. Meat is literally that which we chew or grind.

the (evening) sacrifice; ⁵ Ps. 141:2, 'Let my prayer be set forth before thee as incense; and the lifting up of my hands as the evening sacrifice;' oblation in Isa. 1:13, 19:21, 66:3, Jer. 14:12.

The minchah, which was closely connected with the <olah, must be regarded as a token of love, gratitude, and thanksgiving to God, who is :Himself the giver of all good gifts. It was an acknowledgment on the part of man that 'the earth is the Lord's and the fulness thereof.' Part of it was called the 'memorial,' ⁶ and was burnt with fire, and the rest was eaten by the priest and his family, not by the offerer.

§ 5. The Sacrificial Feast.

We now come to the word Zevach ⁷ (jbz), which is generally rendered sacrifice in the English, and qusiva, quvw, and qusiavzw in the LXX. We certainly need greater uniformity of rendering for the Levitical terms than we possess at present. If the word sacrifice had been confined to the zevach, instead of being also occasionally applied to the minchah, the korban, the isheh, the chag or feast (Ps. 118:27, and Isa. 29:1), the todah or praise (Jer. 17:26, and 33:11), and the verbs <aseh and kathar, present controversies might have assumed a very much milder form. The R. V. unfortunately does not help us much here.

The idea of a sacrifice is instinctively connected in our minds with that of a priest; ⁸ but this is a mistake. The verb zavach properly means to slay an animal for the purpose of food, and accordingly it is rendered kill or slay in Deut. 12:15, 21; 1 Sam. 28:24; 2 Chron. 18:2; and Ezek. 34:3. Although the verb has been also rendered to offer in thirty-seven passages, usually where the kindred substantive is found with it, yet in these passages it does not represent the act of the priest as such, but the act of the lay offerer, *e.g.* the head of the family, who presented and slew the animal before God's sanctuary. The word is generally used in connection with a sacred feast, in which the family or nation which offered the sacrifice

(through their heads or representatives) proceeded to partake of the flesh of the victims, entering thereby into communion with God. Thus the zevach or sacrifice was utterly distinct from the <olah or ascending-offering, which was wholly burnt or turned into vapour, and from the sin-offering, which was partly burnt and partly eaten by the priest.

The various ceremonies connected with the sacrifice are described in Lev. 17:5–7 and other passages. A man brought an unblemished animal to the door of the sacred tent, pressed his hands on its head, and slew it. The priest, who in this and all other things acted on God's behalf, took the blood, which represented sensed the life of the animal (and therefore the life of the offerer), and shed it forth upon the altar as an atonement. He also burnt or vaporised the fat—to represent the fact that the richness or goodness of animal life proceeded from God, and was due to Him. A certain fixed portion of the flesh was then given to the priest, to be eaten by himself and his family, and the rest was eaten by the offerer and his household. Whether the feast was public or private, and whether the animal was offered by the elders of the nation or by the head of a family, these ceremonies were appointed in order to symbolise the union between God and man, who were thus made partakers of the same food. If it was impossible to perform the full rites connected with the sacrifice through distance from the 'tabernacle of the congregation,' or from the place which God

- 5 The word evening is inserted in italics both here and in some other places. (Compare 2 Kings 16:16; Ps. 141:2; Ezek. 9:4, 5; Dan. 9:21.) Reference is supposed to be made to the offering of a lamb every evening, prescribed in Num. 28:8. The lamb itself was an <olah, but no doubt it was accompanied by a minchah.
- 6 The meaning is plain from Ps. 20:3, '(God) remember all thy minchahas .'
- ⁷ Assyrian, zibuÆ; but naqu usually represents the word.
- 8 In some French translations of the Bible a priest is called *sacrificateur*.

should subsequently choose to put His name there, *i.e.* the Temple, ⁹ one point at any rate was to be observed—the blood of the slain animal was to be poured upon the earth and covered with dust (verse 13).

There can be little doubt that the rites connected with the zevach were designed to produce a moral effect upon the children of Israel. Every time that they slew an unblemished animal for food they were reminded of God's merciful disposition towards them; they were thus stimulated to live in conformity with His law, and to deal mercifully with their poorer brethren. Nor can it be doubted that the death of the animal, followed by the sprinkling of the blood and the burning of the fat, would impress the pious Israelite with a recollection of the fact that sin brought death into the world, and that he himself had sinned. He would thus have what the Scripture calls 'a *broken spirit*' (Ps. 51:17); and his sacrifice would be a strong call to *righteousness* (Ps. 4:5), to *obedience* (1 Sam. 15:22), to joy (27:6), and to *mercy* (Hos. 6:6). Where the sacrifice had not this spirit, it lost all its value and significance.

The connection between the zevach and the making a Covenant is brought out in various parts of Scripture, the sharing in food being a symbol of the oneness of the eaters. See, for example, Gen. 31:54, and Ps. 50:5. The Passover and the Peace-offering, which were special kinds of zevach, are referred to below.

§ 6. The Altar.

The Hebrew name for an *altar*, jbzm (Mizbeach), is derived from zavach, and is literally a place of slaughter. It is rendered qusiasthvrion in the LXX, except where a heathen altar is referred to, and then the Greek word bwmov" is adopted. ¹⁰ The primary idea which a Hebrew would attach to an altar would depend upon his view of the word zavach; according to Levitical usage, it would be the appointed place on which the blood of slain beasts was to be sprinkled and their fat burnt. In a short but interesting essay on the Jewish altar by David Mill, ¹¹ it is

noticed that the Rabbinical writers used to regard it not only as God's table ¹² (see Mal. 1:7), but also as a symbol of mediation; accordingly, they called it a Paraclete, (flqrp, Paravklhto"), *i.e.* an intercessor; it was regarded as a centre for mediation, peace-making, expiation, and sanctification. Whatever was burnt upon the altar was considered to be consumed by God, a guarantee that the offerer was accepted by Him.

It seems probable from the general use of Mizbeach for an altar, that in the Patriarchal age the animals which were offered to the Lord as burnt-offerings were laid on the altar and sacrificed (*i.e.* slain) there. The account of the burnt-offering in Gen. 22. exactly falls in with this supposition. In this matter, however, as in many others, the law of Moses departed from the earlier practice, while retaining the principal features of the system.

- 9 See 2 Sam. 7:1, I Kings 8:16, 29, where we have direct and unimpeachable references to Deut.12:10, 11, *al* .
- 10 The word ariel (Isa. 29:1, 2; Ezek. 43:15, 26) is supposed by some to mean 'altar of God,' an Arabic root akin to the Latin ara being produced in support of the translation; but this is doubtful.
- 11 David Mill was Reland's successor as Oriental Professor at Utrecht, where his Dissertationes Selectae were published.
- 12 The table was not provided in the Levitical law, but is referred to in Ezek. 40:39. It served a different purpose from the altar. The animal was slain and cut up on the table, but its blood was sprinkled, its fat burnt, and, in the case of the <olah, all the pieces were burnt on the altar.

§ 7. Altar and Sacrifice in the N.T.

The word quvw is used in the N.T. both with respect to the slaying of the Passover Lamb and to the killing of animals for the purpose of food, *i.e.* Luke 15:23; Acts 10:13. The noun qusiva occurs several times in the N.T. with reference to Levitical rites, *i.e.* 1 Cor. 10:18; to the Christian life of self-sacrifice (Rom. 12:1; Phil. 2:17, 4:18; Heb. 13:16; and 1 Pet. 2:5); and to the sacrifice of Christ on the cross (Eph. 5:2; Heb. 9:26, 10:12).

The altar, qusiasthvrion, is mentioned in about twenty passages, in most of which the Jewish altar is referred to. In 1 Cor. 10:18, St. Paul reminds the Corinthians that in the case of Israel those who eat the sacrifices become in so doing partakers of (or with) the altar. By this he means that while the altar (which represented God) had part of the victim, the sacrificer had another part; thus the sacrificial victim being consumed partly by God and partly by man, forms a bond of union between the one and the other.

In Heb. 13:10, the writer points out that there were certain offerings of which neither priest nor offerer might eat. They were not burnt, *i.e.* turned to vapour on the altar, but were entirely consumed, ¹³ so that there was no communion with the altar or with God in these cases. 'We Jews,' the writer seems to say, 'have an altar with which neither the offerer nor the priests who minister in the tabernacle have a right to share. Where part of the blood of the victim was brought into the Holy Place as a sin-offering by the High Priest on the Great Day of Atonement, it was sprinkled on and before the mercy-seat or place of propitiation. In this case none of the body was eaten, the whole being utterly consumed in a clean place outside the camp.' He then applies this feature in the Levitical law to the Christian dispensation, and shows that we are in an analogous position. Christ's blood is presented in the Holy Place now as an atonement for us. His body, therefore, is to be devoted to consumption outside the camp. But what is His body? ' *We* Christians,' he implies, 'are the body of Christ; and as His crucifixion

literally happened outside the city walls, so we are to go forth to Him bearing His reproach, sharing the ill-treatment He received, being mocked and jeered at by the world as it passes by, having no continuing city here, but seeking that city which is to come.'

§ 8. Technical Sense of the Word Do.

The word <Asah (hc[), to do , which usually allies itself in meaning with the words with which it stands connected, has amongst its extended significations an application to offerings. It is rendered offer in forty passages, most of which are in Leviticus and Numbers, e.g. Lev. 5:10, 'He shall offer the second for a burnt-offering, according to the ordinance, and the priest shall make an atonement for him.' Sometimes it refers to the service of the priest, and sometimes to the action of him who

13 It is important to notice that throughout the Levitical ritual two distinct words are used to represent burning. Kathar (rfq), which properly means to turn into smoke or vapour, is used of the burning of the <olah, of the memorial portion of the minchah, and of the fat of the zevach, all of which were intended as offerings for God's good pleasure, and not for sin. This burning took place on the altar at the door of the tabernacle. Saraph (¹rc, Assyrian sarapu), to consume or burn up, is used of the burning of the bodies of certain sin offerings. Nothing is said of their smoke ascending as a sweet savour to God, because they represent 'the body of sin,' an object which is by no means pleasing in His sight. This is the aspect of the matter presented by the sin-offering which the priest offered for himself, and still more emphatically by the offering of the goat for the sins of the people on the Great Day of Atonement. Ordinary sin-offerings were eaten by the priest.

brings the offering and appoints what particular animal he will offer. Naaman, the Syrian, is represented as using the word in 2 Kings 5:17; and it is used of Jehu's offering in the house of Baal, 2 Kings 10:24, 25. We also find it in Ps. 66:15, 'I will offer bullocks with goats.'

The word first occurs in this sense in Exod. 10:25, where Moses says, 'Thou must give us also sacrifices and burnt-offerings, that we may sacrifice unto the Lord our God.' In Exod.29:36 it is regularly introduced into the Levitical system: 'Thou shalt offer every day a bullock for a sin-offering for atonement;' verses 38, 39, 'Thou shalt offer ... two lambs of the first year day by day continually. The one lamb thou shalt offer in the morning; and the other lamb thou shalt offer at even.' See also Lev. 14:19, 30, 15:15, 30, 23:12, 19; Num. 6:11, 16, 17, 15:3, 14, 24, 28:4, 8, 20, 21, 23, 24, 31. The word is used of 'preparing' or arranging the animal or meat offering or drink-offering in Num. 15:5, 6, 8, 12; Jud. 13:15, 16; Ezek. 43:25, 27, 45:17, 23, 24, 46:7, 12, 13, 14, 15. It may be added that <Asah is used of the making or ordaining of feasts in Jud. 14:10; 1 Kings 3:15, 12:32; Ezra 3:4, 6:19; Neh. 8:18; Esther 2:18, 5:8.

The Greek rendering in these passages is invariably poiei`n, $to\ do$, or $make\ ^{14}$; the Vulgate usually has facere, but sometimes offerre; and Luther usually has machen, but occasionally opfern.

It has been supposed by some commentators that our Lord used the word poievw in a sacrificial sense when He said '*Do this* in remembrance of me' (Luke 22:19). It seems most reasonable to suppose that the word is to be taken here in that ceremonial sense in which it is frequently found in the O.T. The question, however, remains to be asked, to what special religious rite was the Lord referring? When He said 'Do this,' He must at least have meant 'Keep this rite'; but did He mean 'Offer this bread and wine as an offering'? or was there some special Mosaic rite to which the words would bear reference? In answering this question it must be remembered that our Lord was approaching His death, and was instituting an ordinance which bore a direct relationship to it, so that

whenever it is duly observed we 'shew forth the Lord's death.' Also it must be granted that the giving up of the Saviour's life upon the cross was preeminently vicarious and redemptive, and that in it all the offerings of the old dispensation had their fulfilment. What objection, therefore, can lie against the conclusion that the Lord meant, 'Perform this sacrificial rite;' and that while the blood of bulls and goats, together with various meat and drink offerings, prefigured the Lord's death, the simple elements of bread and wine were to take their place for all time to come as memorials of the same? But even if we adopted the expression 'offer this' as a rendering, would this imply that the offerer was in any sense a priest? Certainly not; because the Hebrew and Greek words for 'do' are used of the people as well as of the priests; see, for examples of this usage, Lev. 23:12, 19; Deut. 12:27; 1 Kings 8:64; 2 Kings 5:17; 2 Chron. 7:7; Ps. 66:15. Again, would the expression 'offer this' give additional force to the arguments by which the theory of transubstantiation is upheld? Certainly not. On the contrary, though the offerings under the old dispensation foreshadowed the Lord's atoning offering in its various aspects, yet they were not identical with it, so that the Christian offering (if such an expression may be permitted for the sake of argument) would by analogy be at most a representation of the same—the breaking of the bread setting forth the laceration of the body, and the pouring out of the wine setting forth the shedding of the blood. 15

It has been observed, however, that wherever the word *do* is used in a sacrificial sense in the O.T., there lies in the context some noun substantive which indicates the nature of the rite. This, then, we must look for in the N.T. when investigating the ceremonial significance of the expression before us.

Our Lord was at a Passover Supper; and at the table were the lamb, also the bread and wine, which were the regular adjuncts of the feast. It was not the lamb, however, which He took as the basis of His new rite; if it had been so, then there might have been a clearer connection between the 14 This use of the word poievw must not be forgotten in the interpretation of such a passage as 2 Cor. 5:21, 'He made him (to be) sin on our behalf.' 15

Wine is called 'the *blood* of grapes' in Gen. 49:11, al.

Lord's Supper and the O.T. animal sacrifices. It was the unleavened bread and the wine which He took in His hands, calling the one His body and the other His blood. ¹⁶ We may hence gather that it was the *nutritions* efficacy of His sacrifice to which the Lord was specially referring when He instituted His Supper: 'The strengthening and refreshing of our souls by the body and blood of Christ, as our bodies are (strengthened and refreshed) by the bread and wine.' It may therefore be inferred that when the Saviour said 'Do this,' He did not mean 'Offer this atoning victim,' but 'Keep this memorial Communion Feast.'

That this is a just view of our Lord's words will be seen more clearly when it is considered that the keeping of the Passover Feast was constantly spoken of in the O.T. as a doing, the words < Asah and poievw being almost invariably used with respect to it. Instances are as follows:—Exod. 12:48, 'Will keep the passover to the Lord.' Num. 9:2, 'Let the children of Israel also keep the passover.' Num. 9:3, 'Ye shall keep it in his appointed season.' Num. 9:4, 'That they should keep the passover.' Num. 9:5, 'And they kept the passover.' Num. 9:6, 'That they could not keep the passover.' Num. 9:10, 'Yet shall he keep the passover.' Num. 9:11, 'At even they shall keep it.' Num. 9:12, 'According to all the ordinances of the passover they shall keep it.' Num. 9:13, 'Forbeareth to keep the passover.' Num.9:11, 'If a stranger shall sojourn ... and will keep the passover.' Num.9:14, 'According to the ordinance of the passover ... so shall we do.' 2 Chron. 30:1, 2, 5, 'To keep the passover.' 2 Chron. 30:3, 'They could not keep it.' 2 Chron. 30:13, 'To keep the feast of unleavened bread.' 2 Chron. 35:18, 'Neither did all the kings of Israel keep such a passover as Josiah kept.' Ezra 6:19, 'And kept the passover.'

In all these passages the word do or keep answers to that which our Lord used when He said 'Do this.' Compare Matt. 26:18, where our Lord definitely uses it of the Passover. It can hardly be doubted, then, that His words had direct reference to the Passover Feast. The institution was necessarily proleptic, and anticipated His death. The Passover Lamb was to be slain; the sacrifice upon the cross fulfilled *this* part of the paschal celebration. Thenceforward the death of

Christ upon the cross was to be not only the means of atonement and pardon, but also the source of spiritual sustenance.

The faithful realisation and the personal appropriation of what is meant by 'Christ crucified' is the appointed means for sustaining and stimulating in the heart that Divine life which flows from God to the believer and enables him to walk even as Christ walked. Thus the *memorial* is a real *feast* and the ordinance furnishes a special opportunity for feeding upon Christ in the heart by faith, as a means of renewing spiritual life in all its aspects.

§ 9. To Slay a Victim.

Shachath (fig., Assyrian sakhatu), to kill or slay, is first found in Gen. 22:10, 'And Abraham stretched forth his hand and took the knife to slay his son.' The only other place in Genesis where it occurs is in chap. 37:31, 'And they took Joseph's coat, and killed a kid of the goats, and dipped the coat in the blood.' It is used of the killing of the Passover Lamb in Exod. 12:6, &c., and in the directions for sacrifices it constantly occurs. It is rendered offer in Exod. 34:25, 'Thou shalt not offer the blood of any sacrifice with leaven.'

In Jud. 12:6, it is applied to the slaughter of *men*; also in 1 Kings 18:40, where the slaughter of the priests of Baal is referred to; see also 2 Kings 10:7, 14, 25:7; Jer. 39:6, 41:7, 52:10. In Isa. 57:5, the slaughter of children in the valleys was probably sacrificial, to propitiate false gods, as in Ezek.

16 Our Lord did not say tou`to givgnetai, 'this has become or is turned into,' but tou`to ejsti, which in ritual connection can only mean 'this represents or stands for.' The Roman Church, in advocating the doctrine of transubstantiation, departs from the literal sense of Scripture.

23:39, and perhaps Hos. 5:2.

The general rendering for the word in the LXX is sfavzw, but in a few passages we find quvw. According to the Received Text, in Rev. 5:6 we read of a lamb as it were slain (ejsfagmevnon); the fruits, if not the outward marks, of sacrifice abiding in the exalted Saviour (compare Rev. 5:9, 12, 13:8).

§ 10. The Passover.

Pasach (jsp) gives its name to the Pascha or Passover Feast. ¹⁷ It is used of the angel passing over the houses of Israel in Exod. 12:13, 23, and 27, and it occurs perhaps with significant reference to the great deliverance from Egypt in Isa. 31:5, 'As birds flying, so will the Lord of hosts defend Jerusalem; defending also he will deliver it; and passing over he will preserve it.' It is not a little remarkable that the word means not only to leap, and hence to pass over, but also to limp. It is the only word rendered 'lame' in the O.T., and is also found in 1 Kings 18:21, when Elijah says, 'How long halt ye between two opinions?' and in verse 26 it occurs in the Piel or intensive voice, with reference to the priests of Baal 'leaping' on the altar.

The Paschal Feast is paysca in the LXX, except in the Books of Chronicles, where the more exact form fasevk adopted.

While the whole Gospel narrative points to the relationship between Christ and the Paschal Lamb, there is only one passage in the N.T. which definitely asserts it, but that single sentence is clear enough, 'Christ our passover is sacrificed (*i.e.* slain) for us' (1 Cor. 5:7).

§ 11. The Peace-Offering.

The *peace-offering* is always Shelem , from Shalam (µlv , Assyrian sulmannu). It has been noticed in a previous chapter that this word has various meanings (see chap. viii.). It conveys the idea of *completeness* or *perfection* , and also of *compensation* , as well as that of *peace* . The verb is used of the *payment of vows* and praises to the Lord, *e.g.* in Ps. 50:14, 56:12, 76:11, 116:14; Isa. 19:21; Jonah 2:9; Nahum 1:15; and this fact may give a clue to the real nature of the Shelem . It was a special kind of Zevach , or sacrificial feast, occasioned by some particular event in family life which called for a thankful acknowledgment of God's goodness, and a rendering to Him what return was due and possible. It might be rendered recompense-offering. The ceremonial offering of the Zevach of the Shelem (A. V. sacrifice of the Peace-offering) was ordained by God so as to unite religious worship with the enjoyment of domestic happiness. It is remarkable that Shelem is only used in the plural. The LXX renders it by eijrhnika; and qesiva swthrivou .

§ 12. The Sin-Offering.

The *The sin-offering* is always Chattath (tafj), for which the LXX has peri; aJmartiva". The verb Chatha, whence it derives its name, signifies *to sin*, but in the Piel voice to *cleanse* or *purge* or to *offer for sin*, as in Lev. 6:26 and 9:15; also in Ps. 51:7, '*Purge* me with hyssop, and I shall be

17 Dr. Geddes gravely proposed that this word should be translated skip-offering. But leap-offering would be more exact; compare the word leap-year.

clean.' It is used of *purification* in Num. 19:9, 17; see also Job 41:25. The main peculiarities of the sin-offering have been referred to above in chap. vi.; but it may be added that whilst the <Olah, which was an offering of devotion, went *upwards*, both the blood and the flesh being lifted on the altar and turned to vapour, the sin-offering, which was mystically identified with sin, went *downwards*—the blood was poured down at the side of the altar, the animal, if not eaten by the priest, was burnt up on the ground, and as there was nothing pleasing to God in the sin which it represented, the smoke is not described as rising up to God as a sweet odour.

The identification of Christ with the sin-offering (peri; aJmartiva") seems clear from Rom. 8:3, where we are told that 'God sending his Son in the likeness of sinful flesh (or of flesh which is the seat of sin), and for sin (i.e. as a sin-offering), condemned sin in the flesh.' The flesh, which kind been the seat of sin in all other persons, was the seat of righteousness in Christ. In all the points in which St. Paul (in the previous chapters) had shown the flesh to be wanting, Christ proved more than conqueror. His members were instruments of righteousness, His feet were swift to go on errands of mercy, His words were sweeter than honey and the honeycomb, His heart was pure from all taint of sin. Hence the force of His own question, 'Which of you convinceth me of sin (peri; aJmartiva"); and hence the efficacy of His being a sin-offering (peri; aJmartiva"). His life in the flesh was a practical condemnation of sin and a victory over it; and His death as a sin-offering was, by the will of God, the means of imparting that victory to all who are one with Him by faith.

In 2 Cor. 5:21, we read, in confirmation of the passage just commented on, God 'made him who knew not sin to be sin for us.' God identified Him with sin, dealt with Him as sin deserves to be dealt with, and thus fulfilled in Him that of which the sin-offering of the O.T. was a type.

The phrase peri; aJmartiva" or peri; aJmartivwn is also used with reference to the type or antitype in Gal. 1:4; Heb. 10:6, 12, 18, 26, 13:11; 1 Pet. 3:18; 1 John 2:2,

4:10.

§ 13. The Trespass-Offering.

The trespass-offering ¹⁸ is Asham (µva). Here, as in the case of the sin-offering, the offence and the sacrifice are identical in name. The exact nature of the trespass or guilt indicated by this word has already been discussed (see chap. vi). The trespass-offering of the Philistines, mentioned several times in 1 Sam. 6., is called bavsano", torment, in the LXX; but the general rendering is plhmmeleiva, a discord or mistake. In Isa. 53:10, where the A. V. and R. V. read 'Thou shalt make his soul *an offering for sin*,' Asham is used, thus extending the efficacy of Christ's sacrifice to the class of sin designated by this Hebrew word. The LXX, however, renders the wording peri; aJmartiva". It also occurs in Prov. 14:9, 'Fools make a mock at sin.' These words have received various renderings. We might translate 'Fools scorn the trespass-offering,' or perhaps, 'As for fools, (God) scorneth their trespass-offering; but among the upright there is acceptance.' See R. V.

§ 14. Fire-Offering.

The offering made by fire is Isheh (hva, Ass. isatu), from esh, fire. It is used freely from Exodus to Samuel, but never later. It is generally rendered kavrpwma, fruit or offering, in the LXX, but sometimes oJlokauvtwma, or that which is wholly burnt. In one passage Isheh is used with reference

18 If trespass is the right word for Asham, the word *guilt* should be changed; but if on the whole guilt gives the best idea, it would be best to render the word here the guilt-offering; otherwise the English reader loses the connection between the offence and the offering.

to a sacrifice which was not burnt at all; see Lev. 24:7, 9.

§ 15. Drink-Offering.

The *drink-offering* is nesec (ûsn , Ass. nasaku), from nasac , to *pour out* . The LXX always renders it spovndh . The use of the verb nasac in Ps. 2:6 is remarkable— 'I have poured out (as a drink-offering?) my king upon my holy hill of Zion.' Compare Prov. 8:23, where wisdom is described as 'poured out from everlasting.' The word *set* adopted b, the A. V. is intelligible, but hardly adequate. The verb spevndomai is twice used by St. Paul of himself as ready to be *poured forth* as an offering (Phil. 2:17; 2 Tim. 4:6).

§ 16. Incense.

The burning of perfume or incense is always expressed by the word Kathar (rfq), to burn or turn into vapour, the incense being called Kethoreth In the Hiphil, the verb is used of the burning of animal sacrifices (see p. 194, note). In the Piel voice it is rendered offer; in connection with incense, in Num. 16:40; 1 Chron. 6:49; Jer. 11:12, 17, 32:29; and Amos 4:5. The word qumiavw is generally adopted for it in the LXX. See Rev. 5:8, 8:3, 4, 18:13, for the use of this word in the N.T. We must distinguish incense from frankincense (levonah), Which was one of its components. The R. V. has corrected the six places where they are confused by the A. V., namely, Isa. 43:23, 60:6, 66:3; Jer. 6:20, 17:26, 41:5.

§ 17. Freewill-Offering.

The freewill-offering is always that which is given willingly, bountifully, liberally, or as a prince would give. The word Nedavah (hbdn , Ass. nindabu) refers not to the nature of the offering or to the external mode in which it is

offered, but to the motive and spirit of the offerer. The most usual rendering in the LXX is eJkouvsio". The verb occurs in Exod. 35:29, 'The children of Israel brought a willing offering unto the Lord;' 36:3, 'They brought yet unto him free offerings every morning.' ¹⁹ In Lev.7:16 it is called 'a voluntary offering.' In Jud. 5:2, 9, in Deborah's song the people are praised for offering themselves willingly. Again the word occurs in 1 Chron. 29:6, 9, 14, 17, with reference to the offerings made for the construction of the temple; also in 2 Chron. 17:11; Ezra 1:6, 2:68, 3:5, 7:15, 16; Neh. 11:2. 'Free offerings' are also referred to in Ps. 54:6, 110:3, 119:108; Amos 4:5. It is used of the rain as a free gift of God in Ps. 68:9; and of His free love in Hos. 14:4.

p. 194, note The table was not provided in the Levitical law, but is referred to in Ezek. 40:39. It served a different purpose from the altar. The animal was slain and cut up on the table, but its blood was sprinkled, its fat burnt, and, in the case of the <olah, all the pieces were burnt on the altar.

19 It is important to notice that when in Lev. 1:3 and elsewhere the A.V. reads, 'He shall offer it of his own *voluntary will*,' an entirely different word is used. These passages ought to be rendered, 'He shall offer it *for his acceptance*'.

§ 18. Wave-Offering and Heave-Offering.

The wave-offering, Tenuphah (hpwnt), was supposed to be shaken to and fro, whilst the heave-offering, Terumah (hmrt), was elevated. The LXX usually has ajfaivrema, ajfovrisma or ejpivqema for the wave-offering, and ajparchy, first-fruits, for the heave-offering. The verb which gives the name to the first is rendered to wave wherever this word is used in the A. V.; in 2 Kings 5:11, Naaman expresses his supposition that Elisha would 'strike his hand over the place,' or as our margin has it, 'move his hand up and down.' Compare Isa. 30:28, where we read of God's 'sifting the nations with the sieve of vanity.' It is rendered shake in Isa. 10:15, *al*. The word is found in Ps. 68:9, 'Thou didst send (*i.e.* sift out from the clouds) a gracious rain upon thine inheritance.' In Exod. 20:25, Deut. 27:5, and Josh.8:31, the lifting up (*i.e.* the movement to and fro) of the graving tool is spoken of.

The noun is rendered oblation in 2 Chron. 31:14, Isa. 40:20, and Ezek. 44:30; it is rendered 'offering' in Exod. 25:2, *al* .

CHAPTER XVII.

WORD, LAW, COVENANT.

I T was observed by the late Dr. McCaul ¹ that 'whether we take the Hebrew Scriptures as true or not, it is an incontrovertible fact that the fundamental idea of the Hebrew religion is that Jehovah is a God who reveals Himself to His creatures; that He has not left the human race to grope their way to the regions of religion or morality as they best can, but that from the beginning He has taken His children by the hand, cared for their welfare, made known to them His will, and marked out for them the way to happiness.' In accordance with this undeniable

fact, the Divine Being is represented as speaking by word of mouth with His creatures.

Under the general title 'the Word of the Lord' in the O.T. we find not only the law of the ten commandments (literally, the ten words) uttered by the Divine Voice on Mount Sinai, but also all the promises, warnings, precepts, prophecies, revelations of the Divine character, and messages of mercy, which proceeded from God through the medium of 'holy men of old.' In the Psalms and prophetical books the whole body of revealed truth, including all historical manifestations of God's righteous and merciful rule, appears to be referred to as the Word of the Lord. Occasionally the utterance of speech on God's part is taken as identical with the exertion of His power, as when we read that 'By the word of the Lord were the heavens made' (Ps. 33:6); and again, 'Man doth not live by bread alone, but by every word that proceedeth out of the mouth of God' (Deut. 8:3); and again, 'He sent his word and healed them' (Ps. 107:20). Throughout Scripture a distinction is drawn between the Will of God and the expression of that will or the Word of God. He was not content with willing that there should be light, but He said, 'Let there be light,' and there was light; thus without the Word was not anything made that was made (see John 1:3).

The mode of transmitting the message from God to man was by no means uniform. God said to Moses, 'Who hath made man's mouth? or who maketh the dumb or deaf or the seeing or the blind? have not I, the Lord? now therefore go, and I will be with thy mouth, and teach thee what thou shalt say' (Exod. 4:11, 12). A little further we learn that Moses was to transmit the Divine message to his

1 See his Essay on 'Prophecy' in Aids to Faith.

brother Aaron, and that he was to pass it on to the people; thus Moses was to be to Aaron in the place of God. This would imply the suggestion of the substance of what was to be said, though not necessarily the dictation of the words. In the remarkable instance of Jeremiah's prophecy (Jer.36.) God spoke the words to Jeremiah, and he dictated them to Baruch, who wrote them down. In the vision in which Ezekiel received his special appointment as a messenger from God to Israel, he is directed to eat the roll on which the woes to be inflicted upon the people were recorded. Having thus made the message his own, he was to go forth with the words 'Thus saith the Lord.'

§ 1. The Word.

The most ordinary Hebrew terms setting forth the Divine utterances are amar (rma), to say, and davar 2 (rbd), to speak. The former refers rather to the mode of revelation, and the latter to the substance. Hence davar is frequently rendered thing, as in Gen. 15:1, 19:8; compare Luke 1:37. Milah (hlm) has also been rendered word in thirty passages, nineteen of which are in Job and seven in Daniel. It is used in 2 Sam. 23:2, 'The spirit of the Lord spake by me, and his word was in my tongue;' Ps. 19:4, 'Their words unto the end of the world.' In the LXX the verb amar is generally rendered e[pw and levgw and the noun rJh`ma and lovgion ; davar is generally rendered lalevw , and the noun generally lovgo" , sometimes rJh`ma , and in thirty-five passages pra`gma . Milah is rendered lovgo" and rJh`ma ; and Nam (μ an), to utter or assert, which is rare in the earlier books and frequent in the later, is rendered levgw . Peh (hp), mouth, is rendered word in Gen. 41:40 and fourteen other passages.

In the N.T. 'the word of God' frequently stands for the truths contained in the O.T.; but it often stands for 'the Gospel,' *i.e.* the story of the life, teaching, death, resurrection, ascension, and second coming of Christ, together with their bearing on human life and destiny.

Christ Himself is called 'THE W ORD,' both at the beginning of St. John's Gospel and elsewhere; and though it is usually supposed that this title was given to Him by the Evangelist with especial reference to the philosophical theology current in his time, the usage of the O.T. is quite enough to justify and to suggest it.

The LXX usage of rJh`ma and lovgo" does not justify a profound distinction between these words in the N.T. The first, perhaps, stands for the utterance, and the second for the drift and reason of what is uttered. See 1 Pet. 1:23, 25, where they are combined.

§ 2. The Law.

The general word for Law is Torah (hrwt, Ass. toretu, the law of the gods). By this word the Pentateuch is universally described among the Jews to the present day. The verb (hry) whence it is derived signifies to project, and hence to point out or teach. The law of God is that which points out or indicates His will to man. It is not an arbitrary rule, still less is it a subjective impulse; it is rather to be regarded as A course of guidance from above. The verb and noun are found together in Exod. 24:12, 'I will give thee a law, and commandments which I have written, that thou mayest teach them.' It is generally, though imperfectly, represented in the LXX by the word novmo".

Torah has been rendered law in all places but one, namely, 2 Sam. 7:19, 'The manner of man,' literally, 'The law of the man.' In the parallel passage (1 Chron. 17:17), tor (rwt), which is evidently the same word, is rendered 'estate.'

2 Whence devir (rybd) oracle, is derived. See 1 Kings 6:5, and later passages.

Torah is first found in Gen. 26:5, in connection with Abraham's loyalty to God. It frequently signifies ritual, custom, or prescriptive right. It is applied to specific ordinances, to groups of regulations and instructions, and to the books which contain them.

The word Dath (td), an edict, usually a late word, is used as part of a compound word in Deut. 33:2, 'From his right hand went a fiery-law for them.' This term is frequently adopted in Ezra, Esther, and Daniel. Chok (qj), a statute or decree, is frequently used, either in its masculine or feminine form, for the Divine statutes. It is rendered law in the following passages:— Gen. 47:26, 'Joseph made it a law over the land of Egypt unto this day.' Gen. 49:10, 'The sceptre shall not depart from Judah, nor a lawgiver from between his feet, until Shiloh come.' Num. 21:18, 'The princes digged the well, the nobles of the people digged it, by (the direction of) the law-giver.' Deut. 33:21, 'He provided the first part for himself, because there, in a portion of the law-giver, was he seated.' 1 Chron. 16:17, Ps. 105:10, 'He confirmed the same to Jacob for a law, and to Israel for an everlasting covenant.' Ps. 60:7, 108:8, 'Judah is my law-giver' (a passage interesting in connection with Gen. 49:10, cited above). Ps. 94:20, 'Shall the throne of iniquity have fellowship with thee, which frameth mischief by a law?' Prov.31:5, 'It is not for kings to drink wine ... lest they forget the law, and pervert the judgment of any of the afflicted.' Isa. 33:22, 'The Lord is our judge, the Lord is our lawgiver, the Lord is our king; he will save us.'

The most usual renderings of Chok in the LXX are dikaivwma, provstagma, and novmimon. In Isa. 49:24, 'the lawful captive' is literally 'the captivity of the righteous;' in Jer. 32:11 a word is used which signifies 'commandment;' in Ezra 7:24, 'lawful' means 'permissible;' whilst 'judgment' is the literal rendering in Lev. 24:22; Ps.81:4; Ezek 18:5, 19, 21, 27, 33:14, 16, 19 ('lawful and right').

§ 3. Commandment.

The most general word for command or commandment is some form of tsavah (hwx), which appears to signify literally *to set up* or *appoint*. It is largely used from Gen. 2:16 onward, and applies to any order, human or divine. The general Greek renderings are ejntevllomai, prostavttw, and ejntolhv.

Amar (rma), to speak, is rendered 'command' in Exod. 8:27 and forty-four other passages; and Davar (rbd), to speak, is so rendered twenty times. What is spoken either by the Lord or by any one of high authority is naturally looked upon as a commandment. With God, to speak is to command; and with man, to hear ought to be to obey. ³ Amar is used in Job 9:7, where we read that God 'commandeth the sun and it riseth not' —the laws of nature, their continuance, and their cessation, being equally regarded as the utterance of the Divine word. So God 'commandeth and raiseth the stormy wind' (Ps. 107:25); 'He sendeth forth his commandment upon earth, his word runneth very swiftly' (Ps.147:15).

Peh (hp), mouth, is rendered 'commandment' in Gen. 45:21, Exod. 17:1, and thirty-two other passages. It is possibly an Egyptian idiom, and may be compared with the use of the 'mouth' as signifying *self* in Coptic. It occurs in Job 39:27, 'Doth the eagle mount up at thy command?' in Prov. 8:29, 'He gave to the sea his decree that the waters should not pass his commandment;' Eccles. 8:2, 'Keep the king's commandment;' Lam. 1:18, 'I have rebelled against his commandment.'

3 The ordinary word for obedience in the O. T. literally signifies hearing.

§ 4. Charge or Precept.

The word Pekud (dwqp, Ass. paqadu) is properly a charge. It is only found in the plural, and is always rendered precept except in Ps. 103:18, 111:7. The general renderings of the verb are ejpiskevptw, to visit, ejkdikevw, to avenge, and kaqivsthmi to appoint. It is used of visitation, whether for purposes of mercy or for purposes of chastisement. The substantive in the feminine form, Pekudah (answering to ejpivskeyi" or ejpiskophv, is found in the former sense in Jer.27:22; but in the latter sense in Isa. 10:3, 29:6; Jer. 6:15, 8:12, 10:15, 11:23, 23:12, 48:44. In these cases the context plainly decides the matter; and though it is noticeable that the instances of the *noun* being used of judgment preponderate, if the passages where the *verb* is used were also cited this would not be the case.

But the word has a further sense. It is often rendered ejntolhv and dikaivwma, and signifies a charge. Sometimes it denotes the oversight or care which a responsible person is enjoined to take. Thus we read in Num. 4:16 that Eleazar had the 'oversight' (ejpiskophv) of all the tabernacle. It was put in his charge, and he was responsible for its safe keeping. In Ps. 109:8 we read, 'Let another take his office' (ejpiskophv), *i.e.*. Let another perform the duties which are laid upon him. It is a pity that this passage has not been translated more literally where it is quoted in the N.T., in Acts 1:20, where we read, 'His bishoprick let another take.' The margin here very properly has 'office' or 'charge.' Whilst it is true that a 'bishoprick' is an ejpiskophv, not only etymologically but really, yet it does not follow that an ejpiskophv is a (modern) 'bishoprick.' This rendering, like many others, has come to us from the Latin Vulgate. It was accepted by Wycliffe and Tyndale without hesitation. See R. V.

The word ejpivskopo" answers to another form of pakad, and indicates the persons who have a charge or responsibility laid upon them, whether for military, civil, or religious purposes. The following are among the passages in which it occurs:—Num. 31:14, 'Moses was wroth with the officers of the host;' Jud. 9:28,

'Is not Abimelech the son of Jerubbaal? and Zebul his officer?' 2 Chron. 34:12, 'The men did the work faithfully; and the overseers of them were Jahath and Obadiah;' verse 17, 'They have delivered the money into the hand of the overseers, and to the hand of the workmen.' See also Neh. 11:9, 14.

§ 5. Combination of Words in the 119th Psalm.

In reading the 119th Psalm we are struck with the constant recurrence of various titles by which God's revelation of Right is described. In the first nine verses we find eight different titles given to the truth of God. ⁴ They are as follows:—

- (1.) The law or Torah.
- (2.) The word.
- (3.) The commandments.
- (4.) The statutes.
- (5.) The precepts, pekudim (μydwqp).
- (6.) The ways. The word used throughout this Psalm for 'way' is orech (jra), a course, journey, or pilgrimage; whilst in other parts of the Scripture derec (ûrd), a path, is the expression used. Either word implies that man's course of life, thought, and desire ought to be brought into harmony and made coincident with God's.
- 4 Compare Ps. 19:7, 8, 9, in which five words are used to designate God's law, namely, decree, testimony, statutes, commandments, and judgments.

- (7.) The judgments. The word mishpath (fpvm) is used twenty-one times in the Psalm, and seems to point to rules of righteous administration.
- (8.) The testimonies. The word for 'testimony' is derived from <od (dw[), to bear witness. It is used fourteen times in this Psalm, and in various other parts of the O.T. The law of God is His testimony, because it is His own affirmation concerning His nature, attributes, and consequent demands.

With exquisite beauty and with inspired depth of thought the writer of the 119th Psalm draws out these varied aspects of the Divine Truth, and presents the law of God in every light in which the experience of a godly man can regard it. Certainly no student of the Psalms can doubt that the pious Israelite found the revealed will of God anything but a heavy burden or an intolerable yoke. Whosoever trusted in the Most High soon learned to take pleasure in God's commandments, and to realise their breadth and spirituality, and he was thus enabled to love God's law as well as to long for his salvation.

§ 6. Teaching in the N.T.

The word novmo" is very frequently used of the law of Moses, which is regarded, both in the O. and N.T., as *one*, though containing many ejntolaiv or specified commandments (see Matt. 22:36). This law is also called the law of the Lord, because, though it was given by Moses (John 1:17), and by the disposition of angels (Acts 7:53), it really represented the will of the Lord God (Luke 2:23). In the four Gospels and Acts the law is referred to fifty times, and generally in the sense now mentioned; in some passages, however, it specially designates the books of Moses, according to the ordinary Jewish mode of dividing the O.T.

In Rom. 2:14 we have another sense of the word introduced. The heathen nations have not [the 5] law; but if it should be found that they do the things of the law (i.e. act on those great principles which lie at the root of the whole Mosaic

legislation), then, though they have not [the] law, they become a law to themselves, inasmuch as they show forth in their outer life the results which the law aimed at producing, and which were written not indeed on external tables, but on their hearts; moreover, their consciousness and their inmost convictions, which lead them to disapprove of one course of action and approve of another, will bear witness with these outward results in the Day when God shall form a judicial estimate of the secrets of the heart.

With regard to the persons thus described, St. Paul says again, in verses 26,27, that the uncircumcision, *i.e.* the Gentiles, who accomplish the law, will be reckoned as true Jews, and will judge those Jews who have the letter of the law and circumcision, but who nevertheless are transgressors.

In Rom. 5:14, St. Paul says that 'Up to the time that the law was given, *i.e.* from Adam to Moses, sin was in the world (and among the heathen nations which have not heard of Christ's salvation sin is still in the world; nor did the command that all men everywhere should repent go forth till the Day of Pentecost); but sin is not reckoned where there is no law; and yet death, the fruit and penalty of sin, reigned all this time, even on those whose sins were committed under far less aggravated circumstances than the transgression of Adam.' Hence we are left to imply that there is some law which all the heathen have transgressed, and that in all the children of men there has been such a departure from God as has justified Him in inflicting death. Sin was in them, though not in the form of rebellion against the law of Moses.

5 There is no definite article here, and hence some critics have doubted whether the reference is made to the law of Moses, or whether the principle of *law* in the abstract is to be understood. But this is probably one of the cases in which the absence of the article ought not to be pressed.

In other passages the word novmo" rather signifies order or principle. This is sometimes the case with davar, *word*, in the O.T., as in the familiar sentence, 'Thou art a priest for ever after the order of Melchizedek.' ⁶ In Rom. 3:27, St. Paul asks, 'On what principle (A. V. by what law) is a man accounted righteous? On the principle of works? no; on the principle of faith.' So again in Rom. 8:2, 'The binding principle of the spirit of life in Christ Jesus hath made me free from the binding principle of sin and death.'

In Rom. 7:2 the word is used in two senses, 'The married woman is bound by law (*i.e.* by the law of Moses) to her living husband; but if the husband dies she is liberated ⁷ from the bond or tie which had existed between the two parties.' So, carrying out the parallel, we may understand verse 6, 'Now we are liberated from the bond which connected us with the flesh, sin, and the letter of the law, for we have been identified through faith with the death of Christ—a death whereby sin was overcome, the flesh was made an instrument of good instead of evil, and the letter of the law had its complete fulfilment and consequent abolition.'

When St. Paul said, 'I was living without the law once' (Rom. 7:9), he seems to be referring to a part of his previous history during which sin lay dormant in him. But when the commandment came— *i.e.* some special commandment of the law which went against Paul's manner of life and natural dispositions—sin burst forth into a new life, ⁸ whilst I died, *i.e.* trespassed and so brought death on myself; and the commandment in question which if I had kept it would have kept me in the way of life, proved practically a means of leading me to death. For sin, receiving an impetus (ajformhvn) from the commandment, deceived me (as it is the way of all sin to do, see Gen. 3:13, 1 Tim. 2:14, James 1:14), and made use of the law of God to slay me. Perhaps Paul's reference to a point of his past history in Gal. 2:19, may be explained in the same way, 'I through the law died to the law, that I might live to Christ,' *i.e.* the law taught me my sinfulness and led me to believe in Christ, and accordingly I did what all converted Jews must do— I died to the law, identifying myself with Christ in His death, that I might live no longer to myself, but to Him who died for me. The words dia; novmou might, however, be

explained by a similar phrase in Rom. 2:27 (dia; gravmmato"), as 'although I had the law,' or 'in spite of the law.'

We find dikaivwma ten times in the N.T. In seven of these passages it conveys the O.T. word precept, namely, Luke 1:6; Rom. 1:32, 2:26, 8:4 (A.V. the righteousness of the law); Heb. 9:1, 10; Rev. 15:4. In Rev. 19:8, we are obliged to render the word 'the righteousnesses of the saints;' so in Rom.5:16, 'The gift is of many offences unto righteousness' (A.V. justification); verse 18, 'by one righteousness' (A. V. by the righteousness of one).

The words ejntevllesqui and ejntolhy; are used of the charges contained in the law. They are also applied to the orders given by Christ Himself, the new Lawgiver; see Matt. 28:20; John 15:14, 17; Acts 1:2, 13:47. The latter class of passages shows that the Lord laid great stress on the keeping of His commandments. The ejntolhy spoken of in various verses of Rom. 7. was doubtless some portion of the Mosaic commandments; but the 'holy commandment' of 2 Pet. 2:21 must be referred to the charge laid down by our Lord; see also 2 Pet. 3:2.

The verb ejpiskevptomai is used ten times in the N.T., and generally, if not always, signifies visitation for purposes of mercy. The kindred term ejpiskopei`n is used in Heb. 12:15 and 1 Pet. 5:2, and denotes responsibility and watchfulness rather than rule. The Lord is called the Shepherd and Watcher over our souls or lives, 1 Pet. 2:20. The apostles had a charge of the same kind, though 6 Some render these words, 'after *my word*, O Melchizedek.'

⁷ The word kathrghvtai is not an easy word to translate. It signifies a complete abolition of that relationship which had previously existed. ₈

The word ajnevzhse seems to imply that he had felt its power before, but that he had, as he thought, quite overcome it, so that he supposed it was dead. He had brought himself into complete harmony with the law as he imagined, but suddenly a special commandment in the law was pressed upon his attention, and brought out the old Adam in renewed vigour.

more limited, Acts 1:20; and the Ephesian elders are told to take heed to the flock over which the Holy Ghost had appointed them as watchers, Acts 20:28. The word ejpivskopo", which is found in these two places, gradually assumed a more technical sense, and stood for the *whole* office, of which this careful watching was only a part (1 Tim. 3:1, 2, and Titus 1:7). ⁹

The word ejpiskophy; occurs in Luke 19:44, where the Lord spoke of the doom which was coming on Jerusalem, because she knew not the time of her visitation. This was the visitation of God's mercy and grace in the Person of Christ, of whom it is said that 'He came unto his own (property), and his own (people) received him not.' Compare Luke 1:68, 78, with John 1:11. There is another day of visitation yet to come, in which the mercy of God in Christ will be more gloriously manifested. See 1 Pet. 2:12.

§ 7. Covenant.

The Hebrew word for *covenant* is always Berith (tyrb). This word is rendered diaqhvkh in the LXX in every passage where it occurs, except Deut. 9:15, where it is rendered martuvrion testimony, and 1 Kings 11:11, where it is rendered eintolly, commandment.

The word diaghvkh is confined to this one use in the LXX, with the exception of four passages, namely, Exod. 31:7 and Lev. 26:11, where it may represent a different Hebrew reading from that which we now possess; also Deut. 9:5, where it stands for a 'word;' and Zech. 11:14, where it is used of the 'brotherhood' (hwja, Ass. akhutu) between Judah and Israel.

Translators have found much difficulty in giving a uniform rendering to the word berith even in the O.T. Expressions answering to the words alliance, bond, compact, covenant, disposition, treaty, have been resorted to, but none of them are perfectly satisfactory, and for this reason, that while they represent the nature of a covenant between man and man, none of them are adequate for the purpose

of setting forth the nature of God's gracious dealings with man. The translators of the LXX evidently felt the difficulty, and instead of using sunqhvkh, which would be the natural word for a covenant, used diaqhvkh, which means a legal Disposition, and hence a Testament. ¹⁰ The Syriac version transliterates the Greek word. The Arabic substitutes <ahad, a compact. The Spanish translator De Reyna, after discussing in the Preface to his Bible the words *Concierto*, *Pacto*, and *Alliança*, comes to the conclusion that none of them are good, because what is needed is a word which signifies an agreement 'made in conjunction with the ceremonial death ¹¹ of an animal' (*hecho con solemne rito de muerte de algun animal*). On the whole, however, he thought it better to use a word which was an imperfect representation of berith than to reproduce the word and thus convey no sense at all.

The Lord Jesus is called the mediator of the new Covenant, because He is the medium wherein the Disposition of God is carried into effect, whether as regards the individual or the race as a whole (Heb. 8:6, 9:15, and 12:24). The inheritance which was given by promise to Christ (Gal. 3:16

9 It has been said that 'in the incumbent of a large London parish, with curates, Scripture readers, district visitors, lay agents, and Sunday school teachers, dependent on his piety, zeal, vigour, ability, and force of character, for direction, stimulus, encouragement, superintendence and tone, we seem to have the best representative now in existence of the Primitive Bishop.' See *Church Missionary Intelligencer* for April 1871; and on the whole subject of the Primitive Christian ministry consult Dr. Lightfoot's Essay in his 'Commentary on the Epistle to the Philippians.'

10 Testamentum, literally something attested or borne witness to, but always used of a will whereby we dispose of our goods. 11 The idea of bloodshedding in connection with the Abrahamic covenant was sustained in the memory of Israel by the rite of circumcision. See Acts 7:8.

) was conveyed by *covenant* (through His blood-shedding) to all believers (Gal. 3:17, 29), who are made *one* with Him by faith; and it is this union of God with man, and of man with God, in Christ, which is summed up in the N.T. sense of the word berith .

The crucial passage in the N.T. is Heb. 9:17, which the R. V. renders, 'A testament is of force where there has been death: for doth it ever avail while he that made it liveth?' This rendering does not go far to reduce the difficulty. The real point which the passage brings out is that the victim represents the makers of the covenant, *i.e.* the contracting parties, and they could only be united representatively in the victim by means of its death. So in the death of Christ man and God are made one. It is a covenant, not a last will and testament, which is in the writer's mind.

CHAPTER XVIII.

WORSHIP, PRAISE, PREACH.

T WO classes of words are put together in this chapter. *First*, there are those adopted in Scripture to set forth man's public and private expression of his dependence on God, and of his thankfulness to the Being who 'giveth to all men liberally and upbraideth not;' Prayer and praise are uniformly regarded in Scripture as actions well-pleasing to God; they are based on an acknowledgment of His Personality, of His greatness, and of His power and willingness to interfere in the temporal and spiritual affairs of men. *Secondly*, there are the words by which the Hebrews set forth the mode of conveying truth from man to man. In each case there is something of that pictorial power to which attention has been called in previous chapters.

§ 1. Worship.

The word worship is the general representative of the Hebrew Shachah (hjv), and of the Greek; proskunei`n . The following are the only exceptions:—The Chaldean word Segid (dgs) is used in Dan. 2:46, where we read that the king prostrated himself before Daniel, and commanded that they should offer sweet odours and an oblation unto him; it is also used throughout the third chapter for the prostration or worship which was to be offered to the image of gold. <Atsav (bx[) is found in Jer. 44:19, where it appears to signify the fashioning of cakes as images of 'the queen of heaven.' The words 'did we make her cakes to worship her?' might be rendered 'did we make her cakes to represent her?' In 2 Kings 10. the word used for the worshippers of Baal is <Eved , which signifies a servant or slave.

Shachah originally signified prostration as a mark of respect, and is applied in Scripture not only to God and to false gods, but also to men, just as the English word 'worship' is used of the husband's reverence for his wife in the marriage service of the English Church, and is retained as a title of respect for a civil magistrate. Shachah is also rendered in the A. V. by the words bow, stoop, crouch, fall down, beseech humbly, make obeisance, and do reverence. It is used of Abraham's reverent prostration before his three angelic visitors (Gen.18:2), and of his obeisance before the Hittites (Gen. 23:7, 12); it occurs in the blessing which Isaac gave to Jacob, 'Let nations bow down to thee: let thy mother's sons bow down to thee' (Gen. 27:29); Jacob himself bows down or prostrates seven times on meeting Esau (Gen. 33:3, 6, 7); Joseph dreams that be receives this

worship from his parents and brethren (Gen. 37:10), and he *does* receive it (Gen. 42:6). See also Gen. 48:12, 49:8; Exod. 11:8; Ruth 2:10; 1 Sam. 20:41, 24:8.

In Gen. 47:31 we read that Israel bowed himself (worshipped or prostrated) on the bed's head, or, according to the LXX, as quoted in Heb. 11:21, on the top of his staff. Various explanations are given of this statement. The difference between the LXX and the Hebrew depends not upon the letters, but upon the vowel points. On comparing the passage with others in which the same verb is used with the same preposition in Hebrew and in the LXX, it will be seen that the top of the rod was not that which he leaned upon, as might seem to be implied by the italics in the A. V., but that which he touched with his forehead in the act of prostration; and the only question remains, whether the worship thus offered was directed to Joseph, in fulfilment of the dream and in reverence for his high office, or whether it was directed to God, in accordance with whose promise Jacob exacted an oath from Joseph concerning the transmission of his bones to Canaan; or finally, whether by faith he saw in Joseph a type or foreshadowing of the true deliverer of the people. De Sacy, in his French version, gives an interpretation to which Calvin is very much inclined also. Bearing in mind the Egyptian custom of carrying a staff of authority, such as may still be seen graven on the walls of the ancient temples, he holds that Jacob bowed to the staff which Joseph bore in his hand, and thereby recognised his son's secular authority and fulfilled the dream of Joseph.

Turning to the more directly religious use of the word Shachah, it may be observed that the worship of God was to be carried out by the people themselves, and was not done for them by the priest. It was not only to consist of outward prostration, such as they offered as a mark of reverence to one another, or such as the heathen offered to their false gods, but was to be accompanied by the devotion of the heart. The annual keeping of the three feasts was considered a mark of worship (1 Sam. 1:3). See also 1 Sam. 15:25; 2 Sam. 12:20; 2 Kings 18:22; Ps. 5:7, 29:2, 132:7, 138:2; Isa. 27:13.

Reverent worship was to be offered in later days to the Messiah, as seems evident from Ps.22:27, 'All the kindreds of the nations shall worship before thee;' verse 29, 'All they that be fat upon earth shall eat and worship; all they that go down to the dust shall bow before him;' Ps. 45:11, 'He is thy lord; and worship thou him;' Isa. 49:7, 'Thus saith the Lord, ... to him whom man despiseth, to him whom the nation abhorreth, to a servant of rulers, Kings shall see and arise, princes also shall worship, because of the Lord.'

With regard to the heathen, the prophet's assurance is not only that their old worship is evil, but that 'Men shall worship God, every one from his own place, even all the isles of the heathen' (Zeph. 2:11). Each man, whether in this mountain or in that, was to render true allegiance to God. And this prediction is fully consistent with others which speak of all going up to Jerusalem and to the temple of the Lord to worship, as in Isa. 66:20–23; Ezek. 46:2–9; Zech. 14:16, 17.

§ 2. N.T. Teaching.

The witness of the N.T. is very interesting in connection with the prophetic passages cited above We find, for instance, that our Lord received worship from the Magi (Matt. 2:8, 11), from the leper (Matt. 8:2), from the ruler (Matt. 9:18), from His disciples after He had calmed the storm (Matt. 14:33), from the Canaanitish woman (Matt. 15:25), from Salome (Matt. 20:20), from the blind man (John 9:38), and from His disciples after His resurrection (Matt. 28:9, 17). It has been thought that this was only civil worship, and that it was paid to Jesus as a mark of respect or gratitude. But was it so in all eases? Did not the man whose eyes had been opened by Jesus Christ mean something more than civil worship when he prostrated himself before Him on hearing that He was the Son of God? Did not the disciples mean something more than civil worship when they bowed before their

risen Lord? And it may be fairly asked whether the Lord would have permitted it to be paid to Him unless He were worthy to receive it? Surely not. He would have said, as Peter did to Cornelius when he fell at his feet and worshipped, 'Stand up, for I also am a man' (Acts 10:26). He would have said, as the angel did to St. John, when acting in the same way, 'See thou do it not, for I am thy fellow servant: worship God' (Rev. 19:10, 22:9). The truth is that in receiving worship from men, the Lord Jesus Christ was assuming to Himself the right of the First Begotten, of whom the Lord had said, 'Let all the angels of God worship him' (Heb. 1:6). Compare Rev.5:11–14, where there is an ascription of 'Blessing and honour and glory and power for ever and ever to him that sitteth on the throne and to the Lamb.'

Various instances of worship or adoration are found in the N.T. in addition to the passages now referred to. When Satan tempted the Lord to fall down and worship him, the answer given from Deut. 6:13 was, 'Thou shalt worship the Lord thy God, and him only shalt thou serve.' Evidently stress is here laid on the word worship, and yet when we turn to the Hebrew and to the LXX in the passage in question, we find 'Thou shalt fear,' &c. Worship, then, is regarded by our Lord as the expression of reverential fear; and what Satan called for was recognised as an act of that kind which should only be offered to God.

In our Lord's conversation with the woman of Samaria, the word proskunei'n occurs nine times in the course of five verses, and the true principle of worship is clearly enunciated. The spirituality of worship, however, was not intended to supersede all external forms in religion, as may be shown by the fact that the worship of God, as manifested in outward prostration, is referred to in later times (see 1 Cor. 14:25; Rev. 4:10, 5:14, 19:10). The movements of the body may therefore still be allowed to represent outwardly the feelings of the spirit. External ceremonial is not done away with in the present dispensation, though its relative importance is considerably reduced, and every place is hallowed ground.

The word sevbomai, answering to yara (ary), to fear, is occasionally found in

the LXX, and is used several times in the Acts (never in the Epistles), generally with reference to outsiders who had been led to look with reverence on the God of Israel. See Acts 13:43, 50, 16:14, 17:4, 17, 18:7, 13, 19:27.

The word dovxa is used in Luke 14:10, where the A. V. has 'Thou shalt have worship in the presence of them that sit at meat with thee.' This rendering is as old as Coverdale, but Tyndale's rendering 'praise' is better, and 'glory' would be still more literal. See R. V.

§ 3. Prayer.

Twelve Hebrew words have been rendered by the English word 'pray' in the O.T. Two are interjections, namely, ana (ana) and na (an), the former of which is found in Gen. 50:17, and the latter in Gen. 12:13, 18:4, and Jud. 9:38. Chanan (÷nj), to be gracious, when used in the reflexive or causative sense, signifies to seek the favour of another; see, for example, 2 Chron. 6:37.

Palal (llp), in the reflexive, 'to cause another to intervene or arbitrate in one's case,' is found very frequently, and is generally represented by the Greek proseuvcomai . This word conveys a very *objective* idea about prayer. It shows that men were not in the habit of praying merely as a relief to their feelings, but in order to ask another Being, wiser and mightier than they, to take up their cause. In Job 22:27 and 33:26, the word <atar (rt[), to entreat, is used. In Job 21:15 a different word is used, namely, paga ([gp), which signifies to meet, 'What profit shall we have if we meet ¹ him' (to

supplicate his mercy)? In Isa. 26:16 we read, 'Lord, in trouble have they visited thee, they poured out a prayer when thy chastening was upon them.' Here lachash (vjl), to whisper, is used, in order to convey the idea of the secret and sorrowful sighing of the oppressed. This word is usually rendered enchantment.

Shaal (lav, Ass. saÆlu), to ask, whether in the sense of inquiry or petition, whence the name of *Saul*

is derived, occurs in Ps. 122:6, where it is adopted for the sake of alliteration, 'Pray for the peace of Jerusalem;' Sichah (hjyc), meditation or complaint, is used in Job 15:4; Ps. 55:17, 64:1.

In Ezra 6:10 we find Tsala (alx), to request; in Dan. 6:11, Ve<ah (h[b) to seek; and in Dan. 9:13 a composite phrase is adopted, which probably means to conciliate the face of a person, and hence to pray with some prospect of success.

With regard to the act of prayer as represented by the word proseuvcomai in the N.T., it may be noticed in passing that it is never mentioned in St. John's Gospel or Epistles. Prayer was to be offered 'in spirit' (Eph. 6:18). ² It appears to have been generally directed to God the Father. The on]y exception is Acts 1:24, where the disciples are apparently described as praying to their Ascended Master. Compare Acts 7:59, where Stephen appealed to the Lord Jesus.

§ 4. Praise and Blessing.

The praises of God are set forth very largely in the O.T., and are represented by two or three words. The most general is Hallal (llh, Ass. ellu, 'bright'), whence comes the word *Hallelu-jah*, ³ 'Praise the Lord.' Its original meaning is to shine, then to make clear, and afterwards to exclaim in a loud tone. It is perhaps something more than a coincidence that the Greek doxavzw, to glorify, which is often used of the praise of God, should also refer in the first instance to the

making clear, bright, or shining. The LXX rendering for Hallal, however, is not doxavzw, but aijnevw, ejpainevw, or kaucavomai.

Another word largely used in the Psalms, and from which the Hebrew name for a psalm is derived, is Zamar (rmz), to sing praise to God. With the exception of the Book of Psalms, it is only found in Jud. 5:3 and 2 Sam. 22:50. This word is rendered yavllw in the LXX, whence the English 'psalm.' See Rom. 15:9; 1 Cor. 14:15; :Eph. 5:19; and James 5:13.

Barac (ûrb , Ass. baraku), to bless (whence the name Beracah in 2 Chron. 20:26), literally, to kneel, is translated 'praise' in Jud. 5:2 and Ps. 72:15; and it is to be noticed that blessing signifies not only the act of a superior to an inferior, but also the expression of grateful praise proceeding from the inferior and ascending to the superior. The usual Greek translation of this word is eujlogevw

Barac is the word used in the important promise, 'In thy seed shall all the families of the earth be blessed.' This promise was uttered on five different occasions; in three passages (Gen. 12:3, 18:18, and 28:14) the verb is used in the Niphal or passive voice; in the other two, however (namely, Gen.22:18 and 26:4), the Hithpael or reflexive voice is adopted, so that we might render 'In thy 1 This word is used in Isa. 47:3, 'I will not meet (thee as) a man;' Isa. 64:5, 'Thou meetest him that rejoiceth.' Also in Isa. 53:6 (Hiphil), 'The Lord hath laid (margin, 'made to meet') on him the iniquity of us all;' and in verse 12, 'He made intercession for the transgressors;' He was as it were a common meeting-ground between God and the sinner. 2

A.V. 'in *the* Spirit.' There is an article in the Greek; the words therefore seem an exact parallel to our Lord's description of worship, that it is to be 'in spirit and in truth.' But see Jude 20. ₃

This word is sometimes spelt alleluia in modern hymn-books, in imitation of the mode of spelling which found favour in mediaeval times. The letter H ought certainly to be restored at both ends.

seed shall all the nations of the earth bless themselves.' The same is the case in Deut. 29:19; Jer. 4:2; Ps. 72:17; and Isa. 65:16. But, after all, the difference is not so great, for whilst the passive signifies that the blessing is a gift of God, the Hithpael appears to signify that the blessing received from God produces fruit in the life; and those who bless themselves in God indicate by this expression that they acknowledge their blessings to be summed up in Him.

Yadah (hdy), to set forth, or confess publicly, whether in the way of praise or otherwise, whence the name Judah, is found in a great many passages, the first instances being in Gen. 29:35 and 49:8. It occurs chiefly in the Psalms. The verb is generally rendered ejxomologevw in the LXX, the noun ai[nesi".

The only other word to be noticed is Shavach (jbv), to praise and commend, which is used four times in the Psalms, once in Ecclesiastes (4:2), and five times in Daniel.

The verb aijnevw is only used in the N.T. with reference to the praise of God; but the compound ejpainevw is not so restricted. The verb kaucavomai occurs very frequently in St. Paul's Epistles, but is not found in any other book of the N.T., except in James 1:9 and 4:16. When used in a good sense, it signifies that sort of boasting or rejoicing which manifests itself in giving praise to God. Whilst eujcaristiva is the rendering of thanks to God, eujlogiva generally signifies in the N.T. the bestowing of blessing on man. There is, however, a close relationship between these acts. When our Lord broke the bread and distributed it through the disciples among the five thousand, He gave thanks (eujcaristhysa"), John 6:11; but St. Matthew (14:19) tells us that on the same occasion He blessed (eujlovghse). Again, at the Last Supper, we read that when He had given thanks, He broke the bread (Luke 22:19,1 Cor. 11:24), and also taking the cup when He had given thanks He gave it to them (Mark 14:23); but we are also told that He blessed and brake the bread (Mark 14:22), and the cup is described as the cup of blessing which we bless (1 Cor. 10:16). Thus the giving of thanks to God is the means of conferring a blessing on men. It is true that the word bless, when used

with God as its object, signifies the praising Him or speaking good of His name, but still the relationship just pointed out really exists, and may fairly be gathered from the word.

The word eujloghtov", blessed, is only used of God and of Christ; but eujloghmevno" is used more generally. The verb is often used to express the blessing promised to Abraham and conveyed to the faithful in Christ.

The word ejxomologei`n is used in the N.T. of an open or public confession, whether of sins ⁴ (Matt.3:6, Mark 1:5, Acts 19:18, James 5:16), or of the praise of God (Matt.11:28, Luke 10:21; Rom. 14:11, 15:9, Phil. 2:11, Rev. 3:5). The use of the word in Luke 22:6 implies that Judas made an open avowal before the priests that he would betray the Lord.

§ 5. Preaching.

The word preach means either to *tell good tidings* or to *proclaim*. The first idea is represented by Basar (rvb), eujaggelivzomai, to evangelise; the second by Kara (arq, Ass. qaru), khruvssw. Basar is used in Isa. 61:1, 'To preach good tidings to the meek;' and in the same verse Kara is rendered 'proclaim' — 'to proclaim liberty to the captives.' Basar is rendered preach in one other passage, namely, Ps. 40:9, 'I have preached righteousness in the great congregation.' Here the use of the

4 Only three kinds of confession are recognized in Scripture,—secret confession to God, which is followed by pardon from Him; confession to our neighbor when we have injured him; and public confession before the congregation where a public offense has been committed. In the lapse of time it was found that these public confessions sometimes created scandals, and private confessions were allowed to take their place; but these had gradually grown into a system, called, indeed, the confessional, but which is not, properly speaking, so much open confession as secret examination.

word is important. It was not a mere proclamation of righteousness, but the announcing of good tidings concerning righteousness that the Psalmist refers to; and this point is confirmed and expounded by the following verse, where we read, 'I have not hid thy righteousness within my heart; I have declared thy faithfulness and thy salvation: I have not concealed thy lovingkindness and thy truth from the great congregation.'

This word is translated 'publish' in 1 Sam. 31:9, and in 2 Sam. 1:20; also in Ps. 68:11, where we read, 'The Lord gave the word: great was the company of those that published it' (Prayer Book version, 'Great was the company of the preachers'). The word is here in the feminine gender, and reference is made to the bands of women who proclaimed the good tidings of a victory. An instance of this custom may be found in 1 Sam. 18:6, 7. The same word is used in 1 Chron. 16:23, Ps. 96:2, and Isa. 60:5, 6.

Basar is only once used where evil tidings were to be given, namely, in 1 Sam. 4:17, where we read that 'The messenger answered and said, Israel is fled before the Philistines.'

Kara, to call or proclaim, is rendered 'preach' in Neh. 6:7, 'Thou hast appointed prophets to preach (*i.e.* proclaim) of thee at Jerusalem, saying, There is a king in Judah;' Jonah 3:2, 'Arise, go unto Nineveh, that great city, and preach unto it the preaching that I bid thee.' It is usually rendered to call, cry, name, bid, invite, proclaim, publish. It also signifies to read aloud, the only kind of reading ever referred to in the O.T. In this sense it is used more than thirty times. Hence the name Karaite, as applied to that sect of Jews which confines its teaching to that which may be gained from the reading of the O.T.; and Keri, the word which signifies what is to be read as opposed to what is written (Chetib) in those passages of the Hebrew Scriptures in which MSS;. differ. Another sense in which the word Kara is frequently used is to mark naming; also invocation, or calling upon the name of the Lord, *e.g.*. Gen. 4:26, which our translators have rendered, 'Then began men to call upon the name of the Lord' (margin, 'to call themselves

by the name of the Lord'). Luther renders, 'Then began men to preach concerning the name of the Lord.' ⁵

In 1 Kings 8:43 we find Kara used in both its senses. 'Hear thou in heaven thy dwelling-place, and do according to all that the stranger calleth to thee for, that all the peoples of the earth may know thy name to fear thee, as do thy people Israel; and that they may know that this house which I have builded is called by thy name.'

The LXX usually retained the distinction between these two aspects of the verb Kara, which is represented by proskalevw. In the active and passive voices this Greek word is always used for to name and to be named; but in the middle voice it signifies to invoke or call upon a person. Instances of the former sense will be found in Num. 21:3, 'He called the name of the place Hormah;' Deut. 15:2, 'It is called the Lord's release;' Isa. 43:7, 'Every one that is called by my name;' Dan. 9:18, 'Behold the city which is called by thy name;' Amos 9:12, 'All the heathen that are called by my name.' The middle voice is adopted in the following passages:—Gen.12:8, 'He builded an altar unto Jehovah, and called upon the name of Jehovah;' Deut. 4:7, 'What nation is there so great, who hath God so nigh unto them, as Jehovah our God is in all things that we call upon him fort' See also Prov. 21:13; Isa. 55:6, 64:7; Jonah 1:6; Zech. 13:9.

The word rendered Preacher in the Book of Ecclesiastes is Koheleth (tlhq), which is rendered ejkklhsiavsth" in the LXX, whence we have derived the name of the book. It is generally supposed to signify one who convokes an assembly, from Kahal (see chap. xix.). The noun is in the feminine form, perhaps to mark dignity or office. Some critics, however, connect the word with a cognate Arabic root, and translate it *the Penitent*.

The verb khruvssw, to proclaim, is found about sixty times in the N.T., and khvrugma eight times. It is used of the public reading of the law of Moses (Acts 15:21), and of the declaration of the Gospel of Christ. Where this word is used, more stress is laid on the publicity of the proclamation than on the nature of the news itself. It has been observed that it is this word, not eujaggelivzomai, 5 ' Zu

predigen von des Herrn Namen .' The LXX has ejpikavleisqui .

which is found in 1 Pet. 3:19, a passage which is usually considered to refer to the notification of the fulfilment of the Divine purposes in Christ, made to a special portion of the spirits of the departed. ⁶

§ 6. Teaching.

Twelve Hebrew words are used to convey the idea of teaching in the O.T. In Deut. 6:7, 'Thou shalt teach them diligently unto thy children,' the word Shanan (÷nv), to whet or sharpen, is used. Here the idea seems to be not so much the sharpening of the children's understanding as the plying of the Divine statutes to and fro in their hearts, and the setting forth God's truth in all its aspects. In 2 Chron. 30:22, where we are told that the Levites 'taught the good knowledge of the Lord,' the word Sacal (lkc, Ass. sukhallu, 'intelligence'), 'to make wise,' 7 is used, to mark the fact that the Levites were not content with superficial teaching. The same word is found in Prov. 16:23, 'The heart of the wise teacheth his mouth.' In Isa. 43:27, 'Thy teachers have transgressed against me,' the marginal rendering 'interpreters' is probably the best, reference being made to the expositors (Ålm) of the law. In Prov. 31:1, and Ezek. 23:48, Yasar (rsy), 'to chasten,' is used, a word which answers to the Greek paideuvw, by which it is usually rendered, the instruction often involving chastisement. In Ps. 105:22 we find Chacam (µkj), a word often heard in a modern Jewish school, and cognate with the Arabic hakim, a wise man. In Exod. 18:20 the word used is Zahar (rhz), to illuminate, and hence to warn. Thus the analogy of spiritual and intellectual light was set before Israel at the beginning of their history. This is the word rendered shine in Dan. 12:3.

Alaph (¹la), a verb connected with the first letter of the Hebrew alphabet, is found in Job 33:33, 'I shall teach thee wisdom,' and 35:11, (God) 'teacheth us more than the beasts of the earth, and maketh us wiser than the fowls of heaven.' It is also found in chap.15:5, 'Thy mouth uttereth (margin, teacheth) thine iniquity.' Compare Prov. 22:25, 'Lest thou learn his ways.' Evil, like good, has its

alphabet. Davar (rbd), to speak or to broach a subject, is used in Jer. 28:16, and 29:32, 'Thou hast taught rebellion against the Lord.' The so-called prophetic utterances of Hananiah had really been rebellious words. Bin (÷yb), 'to make to understand,' is found in 1 Chron. 25:8, where the teacher is put in contrast, or rather in compare, with the scholar; it also occurs in 2 Chron. 35:3, and Neh. 8:9, with reference to the teaching of the Levites. Yada< ([dy, Ass. idu), 'to make to know,' is used in Deut. 4:9, and Jud. 8:16, 'He taught the men of Succoth,' *i.e.* gave them a lesson which they would not readily forget. Compare 2 Chron. 23:13; Ezra 7:25; Job 32:7, 37:19; Ps. 90:12; Prov. 9:9; and Isa. 40:13.

Lamad (dml, Ass. Iamadu), whence the name Talmud is derived, is frequently used; it signifies to *chastise*, and hence to *teach*, and is rendered didavskw, and manqavnw. Also Yarah (hry), to *cast forth*, hence to . *guide or direct*, is applied to teaching several times. The master and the scholar in Mal. 2:12 are literally the awakener and the answerer. It is the teacher's business to awaken thought in the heart of the pupil, and it is the scholar's business to answer to the test to which his understanding is put.

6 In I Pet. 4:6 the word eujaggelivzomai is used. See Alford's note.

7 This word, which is almost always used in the Hiphil voice, seems to signify sometimes the receiving and sometimes the giving of instruction. In Dan. 12:3 the words 'they that *be wise*' might be rendered 'they *that teach*' Sacal has sometimes been rendered prosper, as in Jer. 33:5, 'A king shall reign and prosper;' but it may here signify do wisely, or give instruction. The title of several of the Psalms, maschil, is derived from it. The LXX usually renders it sunivhmi and suvnesi".

CHAPTER XIX.

TEMPLE, TABERNACLE, CONGREGATION, CHURCH.

T HE identification in name between a building set apart for sacred purposes and the worshippers who meet therein may be traced back to the days of Moses, perhaps to an earlier period. The people of Israel were to be a spiritual house, and God was to dwell among them, as in a tabernacle. In the N.T., Christians are described in almost the same terms.

§ 1. Temple.

The ordinary Hebrew name for the temple was Haical (lkyh, Ass. ekallu, 'palace'); this word, however, does not necessarily denote a sacred edifice. It is translated palace ¹ in 1 Kings 21:1; 2 Kings 20:18; Ps. 45:15; Isa. 13:22, 39:7, 44:28, *al.* It ought also to have been so translated in Hos. 8:14, where we read in the A. V., 'Israel hath forgotten his Maker, and buildeth temples;' the context shows that palaces are here referred to. (See R. V.) In these passages the LXX usually adopts the rendering oi\ko", house. The Haical was evidently regarded as the Ring's house, the dwelling-place of One who is highly exalted. The more general word for a palace (÷wmra) is never used of the temple, as it rather signifies a fortress than a dwelling-place. This word first occurs in 1 Kings 16:18 and 2 Kings 15:25, where the palace, *i.e.* the fortified part of the King's house, is referred to. before the temple was built the tabernacle was regarded as God's Haical (1 Sam. 1:9, 3:3; 2 Sam. 22:7), though a curtained tent might seem unworthy of such a title. The general Greek rendering for the word Haical, when applied to the temple, is naov".

Another word rendered temple is Beth (tyb, Ass. bitu), a house. This is the only

word used for a house in the O.T., except in Ps. 83:12, where we find the word Naoth (twan), which signifies pastures or pleasant places; and in Job 1:3, where not a house, but a household of servants (hdb[), is really spoken of. Beth is rendered temple in 2 Kings 11:10, 11, 13; 1 Chron. 6:10, 10:10; 2 Chron. 23:10, 35:20.

The sanctuary is literally that which is holy (vdq), or, in other words, that which is set apart for sacred uses; see chap. xv.

§ 2. Tabernacle.

The usual word for a tabernacle is Ohel (lha); which properly means a tent. Another word frequently rendered tent is Mishcan (\div kvm, Ass. maskamu), the ordinary word for a dwelling-place, ²

1 The word palace is derived from the name of one of the seven hills on which Rome was built.

which is found in Cant.1:8, 'Besides the shepherds' tents.' Kubbah (hbq , Ass. qubbu), a dome or vault (compare the modern Arabic kubbet), is found in Num. 25:8, where we read, 'He went after the man of Israel into the tent;' Sucah (hks , Ass. sukku), a booth (whence the name Succoth), is used by David in 2 Sam. 11:11, where he says, 'The ark, and Israel, and Judah, abide in tents.' Machaneh (hnjm) is a camp, or company, hence the name Mahanaim (two hosts). See Gen. 32:2, 7, 8, 10, 21, and compare 1 Chron. 12:22, 2 Chron. 14:13, 31:2, Cant. 6:13. It is translated 'tent' in Num. 13:19; 1 Sam. 17:53; 2 Kings 7:16; Zech. 14:15; and also in 2 Chron. 31:2, where it is applied in the plural form to the temple of God.

The LXX has various renderings for Ohel , but the most general are skhnhv , skhvnwma , and oi\ko" . Mishcan , a dwelling-place, which stands for the same Greek word, is rendered tabernacle in about a hundred and twenty passages in the A. V.

Where the Feast of Tabernacles is referred to, Sucah is used. It probably means a place of shade or shelter, hence a booth, tent, or pavilion. The rendering cottage in Isa. 1:8 is hardly accurate In Job 36:29 we read, 'Can any one understand the spreadings of the clouds, or the noise of his tabernacle?' Here reference is made to the heavens, either as God's place of shelter—His hiding-place place—or to the clouds as a shade for the earth. ³ The word is used again in Ps. 76:2, 'In Salem is his tabernacle, and his dwelling-place in Zion.' See also Isa. 4:6.

In Amos 5:26, 'Ye have borne the tabernacle of Moloch,' there may be reference to a movable tent in which the images of false gods were placed. The marginal rendering, 'Siccuth your king,' is endorsed by the Masoretic punctuation, is accepted by Luther and by the R.V., and may be illustrated by the name of the Assyrian god Sakkut. But the quotation in St. Stephen's speech (Acts 7:43) follows the LXX, and is confirmed by the implied contrast with another tabernacle of which we read in Amos 9:11, where the same word is used, 'I will raise up the tabernacle of David that is fallen down, and will close up the

breaches thereof' With this passage may be compared the complaint of Jeremiah concerning the temple et Jerusalem: God 'hath violently taken away his tabernacle (skhvmwma) as if it were a garden: he hath destroyed his places of assembly; the Lord hath caused the solemn feasts and sabbaths to be forgotten in Zion.' The word for 'tabernacle' here, Sak (ûc), though spelt differently, is from a cognate root. Some render it hedge or fence, but perhaps it signifies shelter or covering, and so is applicable to the 'tabernacle of David.'

§ 3. Congregation.

The general word for congregation is Kahal (lhq). It properly signifies an assembly or assemblage, and is applied to all sorts of gatherings, whether for war, for complaint, for listening to instruction, or for any similar purpose.

The verb is first used of the gathering of the people against Moses (Exod. 32:1); compare Num. 16:3, 19 (the LXX has sunivsthmi in each case). In Num. 20:2, the LXX has sunaqroivzw, to mark the tumultuous nature of the gathering; in the fourth verse the congregation is sunagwghv; and in the eighth the verb ejkklhsiavzw is used, whilst the Hebrew word is the same throughout. Gatherings for wicked purposes are referred to in Gen. 49:6, Prov. 5:14, and Ps. 26:5.

- ² These words are found together in Exod. 26:7, the covering (Ohel) upon the tabernacle (Mishcan
-), and in other passages. The Mishcan is evidently the structure as a whole, regarded as the Shekinah
- or dwelling-place or God; whilst the Ohel was the awning of goat's hair. The word which the A.
- V. and R. V. perversely render the door of the tabernacle is not a door at all, but an opening or
- entrance. ₃ Compare its use in 2 Sam. 22:12, 'He made darkness pavilions round about him;' alas Ps. 18:11, 'His pavillion round about him were dark waters and

thick clouds of the skies.'

The first passage of special interest in which the noun occurs is Gen. 28:3, where Isaac says to Jacob, 'God Almighty bless thee, and make thee fruitful, and multiply thee, that thou mayest be an assemblage of peoples' ⁴ (eij" sunagwgav" ejqnw`n). In Gen. 35:11 this blessing is repeated by God Himself, 'I am God Almighty: be fruitful and multiply; a nation and a company of nations shall be of thee;' and Jacob, when an old man, cited the words of the blessing in his conversation with Joseph, 'I will make of thee a multitude of peoples' (48:4). The word multitude is unfortunate. The

R. V. has company. The congregation or assembly of Israel, which is so often spoken of in the O.T., is sometimes referred to as sunagwghv (synagogue), sometimes as ejkklhsiva (ecclesia), in the LXX. Once, where the judicial function of the congregation is referred to, the LXX renders the word sunevdrion (whence the word Sanhedrim), namely, in Prov. 26:26, 'This wickedness shall be shewed before the congregation.'

The assembly or congregation of Israel is well defined in Josh. 8:35, 'There was not a word of all that Moses commanded, which Joshua did not read before all the congregation of Israel, with the women, and the little ones, and the strangers which were conversant with them.' The congregation, then, properly meant all the male adults of the nation. In Ezra 2:61, 65, 'the whole congregation' was numbered at 42,360, exclusive of menservants and maidservants In chap.10:1 we read of 'a congregation of men, women, and children.' In Neh. 8:2 we are told of 'a congregation both of men and women.' In Joel 2:16 the prophet says, 'Gather the people, sanctify (*i.e.* call with sacred solemnity) the congregation, assemble the elders, gather the children, and those that suck the breasts.'

Israel was regarded as a vast family, the women and children forming an integral portion of it, except for public or judicial purposes, and none excluded except through wilful disobedience of the law of Moses, or (for a time) through ceremonial uncleanness. This great family was addressed, both by Moses and the prophets, in the *singular* number, as if they might be regarded as one, in spite of their diversities of age, circumstances, and dwelling-places. This fact illustrates

the teaching of the N.T., where we find that there was One Person who concentrated in Himself the fulfilment of much that had been spoken to Israel in its corporate capacity, and became in His turn a centre of unity to a spiritual Israel, gathering together into one all the children of God that were scattered abroad (John 11:52).

The first great assembly d Israel was at the giving of the Law at Mount Sinai. The reference to it in Deut. 18:16 is interesting from its connection with the prophecy concerning Him who was to build up a new ecclesia, 'The Lord thy God will raise up unto thee a Prophet, from the midst of thee, of thy brethren, like unto me; unto him ye shall hearken. According to all that thou desiredst of the Lord thy God in Horeb in the day of the assembly (ejkklhsiva), saying, Let me not hear again the voice of the Lord my God, neither let me see this great fire any more, lest I die. And the Lord said unto me, They have well spoken that which they have spoken. I will raise them up a Prophet from among their brethren like unto thee, and will put my words into his mouth, and he shall speak unto them all that I shall command him.' The assembly on the occasion here referred to was a representative assembly, but the whole of Israel, even all their generations, were regarded as pledged by what was then transacted. This is brought out clearly, both in Exodus and Deuteronomy. Thus in Deut.4:10 we read, 'The Lord said unto me, Gather me the people together, and I will make them hear my words, ... and ye came near, and stood under the mountain;' and in Deut. 5:22, after recapitulating the commandments, Moses says, 'Those words the Lord spake unto all your assembly in the mount. ... and it came to pass, when ye heard the voice ... that ye came near, even all the heads of your tribes and your elders.' What the representatives did was evidently regarded as done by the whole people, and not by one generation only, for in the same chapter and the third verse we 4 The words <Am and Goi had not yet received their differentiated and technical meaning. See chap. xxii.

are told that 'the Lord made not the covenant with our fathers (only), but with us, even us (also), who are all of us here this day;' and yet the actual generation of men with whom the covenant was originally made had passed away.

Although theoretically 'the congregation of Israel' signified the whole people of Israel, yet for practical purposes they were represented by elders. Other examples will be found in 1 Kings 8:1, 2, 3, and 2 Chron. 5:2, 3. The same was probably the case in the gathering (Kahal) of all the congregation at the entrances of the tabernacle for the observance of special national ceremonies. See Lev. 8:3, 4.

In Lev. 4:13, 14, 31, the whole congregation is described as sinning; a national offence has been committed, and a national Sin-offering is to be offered. Accordingly, the elders of the congregation in their representative capacity laid their hands on the head of the bullock which was to be offered, to signify the transmission of the nation's evil deed to the atoning victim.

The gatherings at religious feasts are probably referred to in Ps. 22:22, 25, 26:12, 35:18, 40:9, 10, 68:26, 107:32, 149:1.

The being 'cut off from the congregation of Israel,' and the being forbidden to enter it (Num. 19:20; Deut. 23:1), seem to have implied severance from the privileges, religious and social, which the nation as such enjoyed. In some places, however, it was synonymous with death. In Prov. 21:16 we read of 'the congregation (sunagwghv) of the dead,' a striking picture of that vast gathering which is being daily enlarged as men are 'gathered to their fathers,' and which remains an integral portion of the family of man.

In Ps. 58:1, where we read, 'Do ye indeed speak righteousness, O congregation,' the word used is Alam (µla), which signifies either to bind into a sheaf, or to be dumb. The former meaning would present a very suitable symbol of a congregation, but the latter meaning, 'ye dumb folk,' would also give good sense. See R. V.

In Ps. 68:10 the Psalmist says, 'Thy congregation shall dwell therein;' and in 74:19, 'Forget not the congregation of the poor for ever.' Here the word (hyj) means a living being. Translators have not been agreed as to its meaning here, but our version gives a fair sense. In some versions we here find the strange rendering, 'Thy beasts shall dwell therein.'

Besides Kahal, an assemblage, there is another word which occurs about a hundred and fifty times in the O.T., with almost the same width of meaning, namely, <Adah (hd[). This word first appears in Exod. 12:3, and is almost always rendered congregation. It is frequently used in the early books, but rarely in the later. Whilst Kahal generally refers to the *representative* gathering, <Adah often signifies an informal massing of the people. <Adah is used of the company of Korah (Num. 16:5; Ps. 106:17) in Jud. 14:8 it is used of a swarm of bees; in Ps. 68:30, of a multitude of bulls. It only occurs three times in the prophets, namely, in Jer. 6:18, 30:20, and Hos. 7:12; whilst Kahal occurs twenty-two times, chiefly in Ezekiel. The LXX usually has sunagwghv as a rendering for <Adah .

The word <Adah not only signifies congregation, but also witness or testimony, and in another form (<Aduth) it is used of 'the ark of the testimony.' This chest was so called because it contained the tables of the Law which testified to God's character and attributes (Exod. 25:21, 22). The same form is used in connection with the tent which contained the ark, and which was consequently called the tent or tabernacle of the testimony or of witness in Exod. 38:21; Num. 1:50, 53, 10:11, 17:7, 8, 18:2; and 2 Chron. 24:6.

Wherever we read of 'the tabernacle of the congregation,' the word mo<ed (d[wm]) is used. It is generally supposed that this word is derived from ya<ad (d[y]), to appoint, and, in the passive, to meet or make an appointment. This verb is used of God's meeting Moses and communing with him from above the mercy-seat in Exod. 25:22; and in Exod. 29:42, 43, it is apparently adopted to explain the true meaning of the word mo<ed, for we here read, 'This shall be a continual burnt-offering throughout your generations, at the door (*i.e.* opening) of the tabernacle of the congregation before the Lord, where I will meet you to speak there unto thee, and there I will meet

with the children of Israel, and it shall be sanctified by my glory.' See also Exod. 30:6, 36, where the same Hebrew words are used in the same relationship. The 'tabernacle of the congregation' was therefore the appointed place of meeting between God and Israel; they were brought near together in that Holy Place, just as God and man are said to be brought near together in the Body of Christ, which is the true Tabernacle not made with hands.

The LXX has almost always rendered this expression by the words skhnhv tou` marturivou, 'the tent of witness,' thus connecting the word mo<ed with <adah, which has been discussed above. There is a good deal to be said in favour of this view of the matter, for the roots of the words are cognate, if not the same. See Acts 7:44, Rev. 15:5.

The word mo<ed is also used to represent seasons (Gen. 1:14), appointed times (Gen. 18:14), feasts (Lev. 23:2), and solemnities (Deut. 31:10). In all these renderings, which frequently recur in the O.T., there is an idea of some *time* or *place* appointed by God.

What, then, was the Tabernacle of the Congregation? Not the tent or collection of tents in which the congregation of Israel dwelt, but the tent or tabernacle in the most sacred part of which the ark of the testimony was placed, and which was set apart as the dwelling-place of God, the centre whence issued the promises, warnings, and commands of the Most High. The R. V. rightly renders it 'the Tent of Meeting.'

§ 4. Convocation.

The word used in the expression 'a holy convocation' is Mikra (arqm), from kara, to call or convoke. See Exod. 12:16, Lev. 23:2, *al* .; and compare Num. 10:2. The sabbaths and feast days were occasions for this convocation. The word has been rendered assembly in Isa. 1:13 and 4:5. It seems to imply that assemblies

were convened on these days for purposes of public worship, or for the reading and exposition of the Law. It may be, however, that the word answers to our word institution or solemnity, and signified that the days so designated were intended to be kept free from secular work, and to be regarded as sacred by Divine command. The LXX usually has klhth; aJgiva, which, according to N.T. usage, might be rendered 'called to be holy;' compare the klhtoi; aJgivoi

of St. Paul's Epistles (A. V. 'called to be saints').

§ 5. N.T. Teaching on the Temple and Tabernacle.

The most notable words that we have been considering reappear in the N.T., sometimes with a more spiritual significance. Whilst the literal naov" or temple was built by Solomon, it was reserved for Christ, the true Son of David, to build the spiritual naov" 'which is composed of living stones based upon Him as their foundation. The first hint in the N.T. that there should be such a spiritual temple is in John 2:19, where the Lord says, 'Destroy this temple, ⁵ and in three days I will raise it up.' He spoke, however, as the Evangelist tells us, of the temple of His body; but His body was itself a figure of that organisation of which all Christians form a part, so that His resurrection was regarded as the rising of the Head, the First-fruits, whilst the Body is to be raised hereafter. This idea of the living Temple is touched upon by St. Paul several times (see 1 Cor. 3:16, 17, 6:19; 2 Cor. 6:16; Eph. 2:21); each Christian is regarded as a dwelling-place of the Holy Ghost, and, when viewed in connection with others, he is described as a living ⁶ stone in the great Temple, of

5 We have to distinguish between the naov", which is the Temple proper, and the iJeron, or sacred precincts and courts. The latter is never referred to in a spiritual sense in the Epistles.

which Christ is the foundation and the chief corner stone (1 Pet. 2:5). :Every Christian, whether Jew or Gentile, whether bond or free, is built up and 'fitly framed' in harmony with the rest; and each community of Christians may be regarded as a chamber (katoikhthvrion, Eph. 2:22) in the great edifice.

In the Epistle to the Hebrews the skhnhv or tabernacle of the Mosaic dispensation is contrasted with that which the Lord pitched, of which Christ was the minister (Heb. 8:2); and in Rev. 21:3 we read, with respect to the same heavenly tabernacle, that hereafter it shall be set up among men.

The skhvnwma is twice mentioned by St. Peter as a symbol of the earthly body, or dwelling-place for the soul (2 Pet. 1:13, 14). In this sense St. Paul uses the form skh`no"; in 2 Cor. 5:1, 4, where he speaks of 'our earthly house of this tabernacle' being dissolved.

Besides the references to the temple as the house (oi\ko") of God in the N.T., we have the identification of the Church, *i.e.* the Body of believers, with the House of God in 1 Tim. 3:15 and 1 Pet. 4:17; whilst in Heb. 3. a comparison is instituted between the faithfulness of Moses as a servant over his house, *i.e.* the house of Israel which was committed to his charge by God, and the faithfulness; of Christ the Son of God in taking charge of those who believe in Him, and who thus constitute His house. In Heb. 10:21 He is called a High Priest over the house of God, which is not material but a spiritual house. See 1 Pet. 2:5.

The word sunagwghv in the N.T. is generally used of the building rather than of those that assemble in it; there are, however, a few passages in which the synagogue meant the judicial and religious assembly. See, for instance, Mark 13:9, Luke 21:12, and Acts 13:43. ⁷ In James 2:2 the word is apparently applied to the Christian place of meeting, where they were not to forsake the assembling of themselves together (Heb. 10:25).

§ 6. The Ecclesia.

To the Christian the word ecclesia is far more important than the word synagogue. On examining the Gospels we find the word only in Matt. 16:18 and 18:17. The former passage revealed

Christ's intention to supersede the ecclesia ⁸ of the O.T. dispensation by one which should be peculiarly His own, and which should last for ever. The latter points to the functions which this new body, or some local section of it, was to exercise through its representatives in cases of dispute between man and man (compare 1 Cor. 6:1).

When we pass to the Acts and Epistles, we find that Christians are formed into ecclesioe, or congregating bodies, in every town to which the Apostles went, whilst all these smaller organisations were regarded as local representatives of a great spiritual and spotless ecclesia or Body, the Head of which was invisible, being at the right hand of God (Eph. 1:22). Membership in the ecclesia of Christ was obtained by faith in Him, and was sealed and signified by baptism.

Believers in Christ are regarded as one Body. They have one Master, one faith, one baptism, one God and Father. Originally they continued steadfast in the Apostles' teaching, and in fellowship (*i.e.*

6 It seems unfortunate that the word translated living in the one verb should be rendered lively in the other, the very object of the Apostle being to show the oneness of nature between Christ and believers. 7 Compare also the technical word ajposunavgwgo" . (John 9:22, *al.*).

8 There have been various controversies as to the right rendering of this word. In many versions it has been reproduced without any attempt at translation. Others, like themselves, have taken the Kuriavkh, the Lord's household, to represent it. Tyndale rightly translated the word *congretion* or *assembly*, thus retaining the relationship between the O. T. and the N. T, Luther's word *Gemeine*, 'community,' is a very good one.

sharing their goods with one another). and in breaking of bread, and in prayers (Acts 2:42). As time went on there would be different local arrangements, different places of meeting, 'diversities of administrations,' but the word of the Apostolic body, as representing the teaching of Christ, was to be supreme. Christ was over all, and the Spirit was in all. This unity was to embrace not only *belief*, but also *life*. If any one preached a false gospel, he was to be regarded as anathema, *i.e.* as an outcast; and if any one did not love the Lord Jesus Christ, he too was to be regarded as anathema. Those that loved God and their brethren, and walked worthy of their profession, showed thereby that they were truly born of God, and were really members of the one Body in which the Spirit of Christ dwelt; but those whose religion consisted only of profession and talk, and who did not deny themselves for their brother's good, were regarded as having a name to live, whilst really dead.

The fact that this body was called the ecclesia of Christ shows that it answers in some respects to the ecclesia of the O.T., the Israel of God. Believers in Christ are delivered out of a bondage worse than that of Egypt; they have a Leader greater than Moses, a Priest higher than Aaron, an atoning-offering more precious than the blood of bulls or of goats, a tabernacle more lasting than the tabernacle of witness; they have the true Manna or Bread of Life to eat, and the true Rock supplies them with the Water of Life; from the hands of One higher than Joshua they hope to receive their promised inheritance, and One greater than David is their King. They are divided into many generations, and distributed through all parts of the world, yet they are *one*; and wherever Christ is loved and honoured as Saviour and Leader, wherever He is trusted as Priest and Sacrifice, wherever He is obeyed as King, and hoped in as the Giver of an everlasting habitation—

there are members of the one great ecclesia, the Holy Catholic Church.

The various local communities referred to as Churches in the N.T. may be regarded as nurseries for the true Church of which Christ is the Head. An ecclesia was first formed in Jerusalem, and afterwards in every large town to which the Gospel came. Each ecclesia had its elders, who may be regarded, according to the

analogy of the O.T., as its representatives, and who, like the elders of the Jewish ecclesia, had to exercise spiritual and prophetical, though not sacerdotal, functions. ⁹ The various ecclesae, formed through the Roman world were confederate Churches, bound together by the common ties of Apostolic teaching, and unity of Spirit; Jerusalem being still regarded as the Mother Church. There might be many places of meeting or ecclesae in one city, but they were not independent of one another; such an event as the arrival of an Apostle would bring them all together as one brotherhood. As the Word of God grew and multiplied, it extended into the more outlying country districts, and the Churches thus formed were affiliated with the city communities, and thus what we may call dioceses were formed, all, however, acting in harmony with the directions which emanated from the Apostolic body at Jerusalem. When this venerable city was destroyed, the local centre of unity vanished; at the same time the Apostles and their coadjutors passed away; but they left their writings behind, and these letters and authorised narratives of our Lord's history were received as the utterances of the Spirit of Christ, and took the same place in the Christian system which the Scriptures of the O.T. had occupied in the Jewish Church.

How, in the lapse of ages, Rome gradually assumed to itself both the authority of the Apostles and the local dignity which originally belonged to Jerusalem, is a matter of history which need not here be touched upon. It may be observed, however, that all schisms in the various Churches, or *from* them, arose partly from the fact that, us generations passed away, the Churches lost something of that vital hold of simple Apostolic truth which they originally possessed, and partly because it does not seem, humanly speaking, possible that there should be upon earth anything approaching to a perfect Church. There have always been offences, heresies, false teachers, and false professors, and there will be to the end of this dispensation. Every attempt to form a new community on the Apostolic model has ended in the same way. A root of bitterness has sprung up in spite of all precautions; and men have learnt over and over again by sad experience that they must be content 9 See chap. xx.

to put up with an imperfect organisation and with indifferent teachers, whilst they have been also led to see that, amidst all human imperfections, the true Head of the Church remains 'the same yesterday, today, and for ever,' ministering grace to all that love Him in sincerity and truth.

The word ecclesia is used in other senses besides that now discussed, in a few passages of the

N.T. Thus, in Acts 19:32, 39, 41, a civil assembly is called by this name. In 1 Cor. 14. the ecclesia appears to be the assembly of Christians for Divine worship, answering to one of the senses of sunagwghv; noted above. In Heb. 12:23 we read of the ejkklhsiva of the first-born, whose names are written in heaven. Reference is here made perhaps to the true Israel of the old dispensation, that is, to the congregation ¹⁰ or ecclesia in the wilderness with whom God was pleased, ¹¹ to those who did not bow the knee to Baal, and to those 'who feared the Lord,' and 'spake often one to another.' Others suppose that the ecclesia of Christ is here referred to; they hold that the Church is a representative body, and that the world at large will reap the fruit of the faith and love of the spiritual first-born.

CHAPTER XX.

PROPHET, PRIEST, ELDER, MINISTER.

I T has always been part of the system of the Divine government to employ *men* as instruments for the conveyance of heavenly truth and blessing to the world at large. Whether it be as the announcers of the Revealed Message, as the writers of the inspired Scripture, as the official representatives of God in matters relating to the atonement; or as teachers and guides of the people, human instruments have been employed, human voices have been heard, 'the pen of a man' has been used, the agent has been 'taken from among men,' the treasure has been conveyed in 'earthen vessels.' There has, indeed, been a constant tendency in those that have

been selected for these important services to constitute themselves into a caste, and to assume to themselves powers and rights which God never gave them; and by a natural reaction, many persons, resenting such claims, have thrown discredit on sacred offices, and have sought to break through the distinctions which God Himself has marked out.

The practical advantages of a settled order of ministry are denied by comparatively few; but how many there are who differ, and that hotly, concerning the names, relative positions, and spiritual powers of the ministry! Metaphysical questions have intruded themselves, to add to the entanglement. Not only has the nature of the special prophetic gifts of the O. and N.T. been earnestly investigated, but such points as the following are raised:—Does the grace of God's Spirit come direct to each member of the Church, or only through certain privileged persons t Does the spiritual efficacy of baptism and the Lord's Supper depend upon the presence and superintendence of a person who has received special gifts by the laying on of hands? Are the spiritual gifts referred to in the N.T. transmitted through Episcopal consecration? or are they vested in the Holy Catholic Church as a body, to be exercised through such representatives as may be appointed from time to

10 Acts 7:38. the A. V here most unfortunately renders ejkklesiva *church* instead of *congregation*. See R. V., margin.

11 Heb. 3:16, 'Some did provoke ... but not all .' There was a Church within a Church, Jews who were Jews inwardly, Israelites indeed, a remnant according to the election of grace Rom. 2:29, 11:4, 5; Mal. 3:16 Israel is called God's first-born in Exod. 4:22.

time by the Christians of each locality? Is a threefold order of ministry—bishops, presbyters, and deacons—essential to the exercise of such gifts? Is Episcopal succession from the Apostles' days, by a continuous laying on of hands, necessary in order to convey these gifts?

The animosity raised by such questions is endless, and we need over and over again to be reminded that the great object of the ministry is not that men should set themselves up as a privileged caste, but that they should lead others to Christ; whilst the object of Christ in dispensing His gifts to men is to make them conformable to the will of God. Whatever helps forward that conformity, whether it be the faithful use of the Lord's Supper, the reading and meditating on Scripture, public prayer and preaching, or private spiritual intercourse between man and man, that is to be regarded as a *gift*, and as a means whereby the life of God penetrates the soul.

§ 1. The Prophet.

The general name for a prophet in the O.T. is Nabi ¹ (aybn). The original meaning of this word is uncertain; but it is generally supposed to signify the bubbling-up of the Divine message, as water issues from a hidden fountain. It is used both of prediction, properly so called, and of the announcement of a Divine message with regard to the past or present; also of the utterance of songs of praise. It is applied to messengers of false gods (*e.g.* 'the prophets of Baal'), and to a man who acts as the mouthpiece of another, as when the Lord says to Moses (Exod. 7:1), 'Aaron thy brother shall be thy prophet.' The first passage in which the word occurs is Gen. 20:7, where it is used of Abraham. In Deut. 18:15, 18, the title is applied to the Messiah, who was to have God's words in His mouth, and who thus became the Mediator of the New Covenant, taking a position analogous in some respects to that of Moses. The LXX almost always adopts the rendering profhteuvw and profhyth" for Nabi .

In Micah 2:6, 11, the word nathaph (¹fn), to drop, is used. Some commentators suppose that it is adopted as a word of contempt. It is used, however, of a discourse distilling in drops in the following passages:—Job 29:22, 'My speech dropped upon them;' Prov. 5:3, 'The lips of a strange woman drop as an honeycomb;' Cant. 4:11, 'Thy lips, O my spouse, drop as the honeycomb;' 5:13, 'His lips, like lilies, dropping sweet-smelling myrrh;' Ezek. 20:46, 'Drop thy word towards the south;' 21:2, 'Drop thy word towards the holy places;' Amos 7:16, 'Drop not thy word against the house of Isaac.'

The word Masa (asm), a burden, is used in Prov.30:1 and 31:1, where the A. V. renders it 'prophecy.' By a burden we ale to understand the message laid upon the mind of the prophet, and by him pressed on the attention of the people. The message of the Lord ought not to have been regarded as a burden by the people (see Jer. 23:33-38); but it could not fail to be realised as such by the prophets, who at times felt heavily laden with the weight of their message. See Jer. 20:9, and compare Nah. 1:1, Hab. 1:1, and Matt. 1:1.

In Hos. 9:7 the prophet is described as the 'man of the spirit,' or the 'spiritual men,' en expression which reminds us of St. Peter's declaration that 'holy men of old spake as they were moved by the Holy Ghost.'

§ 2. The Seer.

1 In Assyrian the NabuÆ proclaimed the will of the gods; hence NabuÆ or Nebo (? annap) 'the prophet-god.' The predicter of the future was the asipu ().

The seer is Chozeh (hzj, Ass. khazu), one who sees a vision, not with the eye of sense, but with the spiritual and intellectual faculties. This term is usually (but not always) found in passages which refer to visions vouchsafed by God.

Chozeh is rendered 'prophet' only once, namely, in Isa. 30:10, 'Which say to the prophets, Prophesy not unto us right things, speak unto us smooth things, prophesy deceits.' A cognate noun is used of visions in almost all passages in which they are mentioned. The verb is frequently found in the same sense, as in Exod. 24:11, 'They saw God,' where it explains and somewhat modifies the fact recorded in the previous verse, in which the ordinary word for sight is used. Again, it is used in Num. 24:4, 16, where Balaam speaks of himself as 'seeing-thevision of the Almighty, falling (into a trance), but having his eyes open.' In 2 Sam. 24:11 it is used of Gad, David's 'seer;' see also 2 Kings 17:13, 1 Chron. 21:9, 25:5, 29:29; 2 Chron. 9:29, 33:18, 19, 35:15.

The verb is used of spiritual apprehension in Job 24:1, 27:12, 34:32, 36:25. In Ps. 63:2 two words are used, the first being the more general one, the second that which we are now considering. The Psalmist expresses his longing to see (raah) God's power and glory as he has seen (Chazah) God in the sanctuary. He wished to see face to face that Being whom now he only saw through a glass darkly.

Chazah is used in Isa. 13:1, and similar passages, of the burden or vision which the prophet saw It occurs in Isa.33:17, 'Thine eyes shall see the king in his beauty,' and implies that there would be something more in that beatific vision than what would be presented to the outer eye. It is used of false visions in Ezek. 13:6, 7, 8, 9, 16; compare 1 Kings 22:22. It is also used by Amos, Micah, and Habakkuk; of their visions, and by Daniel in reference to dreams.

In some passages the word is found in a more general sense, as in Ps. 58:8, 10; Prov. 22:29, 24:32, 29:20; Cant. 6:13; Isa. 48:6, and 57:8.

The more general word Roeh (har), to see, is used of prophetic or spiritual sight in a few passages, two of which have been already referred to. It represents the

'visions' mentioned in Gen. 46:2; Num. 12:6; 1 Sam. 3:15; 2 Chron. 26:5; Isa. 28:7; Ezek. 1:1, 8:3, 4, 11:24, 40:2, 43:3; and Dan. 8:16, 27.

§ 3. N.T. Use of the Word Prophet.

The words profhvth" and profhteuvw are used in the N.T. not only with respect to the prophets of the O.T., but also with reference to those persons who 'prophesy in Christ's name' (Matt. 7:22) under the new dispensation. The prophecy of Zacharias (Luke 1:67) is an inspired hymn gathering together the O.T. predictions, and announcing that they were about to be fulfilled in Christ. The prophecy of Caiaphas (John 11:51) was an utterance capable of a meaning further than that which was in the mind of the speaker, and it was intended by Him who overrules all things to have this double significance. When the Jews blind-folded the Lord and smote Him with their hands, they said, 'Prophesy to us who smote thee' (Matt. 26:68, Mark 14:65, Luke 22:64), implying that prophecy is the utterance of that which cannot be discovered by such means of knowledge as are ordinarily available. Among the special gifts of Pentecost, we find that both men and women should prophesy (Acts 2:17), and the utterance of the wonderful works of God is said to have been a fulfilment of the prediction. Prayer, preaching, and singing seem to be all expressions of prophecy. It was also related to the gift of tongues. See Acts 19:6, 21:9; Rom. 12:6; 1 Cor. 11:4, 5, 12:10, 14. passim; 1 Thess. 5:20; 1 Tim 1:18, 4:14; Rev.10:11, 11:3.

Both John the Baptist and the lord Jesus were regarded by many among the Jews as prophets (Matt. 21:11, 26, 46), and lightly so, for John was 'more than a prophet,' whilst the Lord was 'the prophet who should come into the world' (John 6:14; Acts 3:22, 23). The Apostles are coupled with prophets sent by Christ in Luke 11:49 (compare Matt.23:34, where wise men are substituted for

Apostles). See also Acts 11:27, 13:1, 15:32, 21:10; 1 Cor. 12:28; Eph. 2:20, 3:5, 4:11; Rev. 18:20, 22:9.

There is no office in the Church at the present time quite analogous to the prophetic. This gift, in some of its aspects, must be classed along with others which were called into existence by the will of God for a special time and purpose, its object being the directing and strengthening of the faith of the infant Church, which was thus provided for temporarily, as every newborn child is, until God saw fit to leave His people to those less obtrusive but more. permanent operations of the Spirit which are referred to in such passages as Gal. 5:22, 23.

§ 4. The Priest.

The Hebrew name for a priest is Cohen (÷hk) throughout the O.T., with the exception of three passages, where a word derived from Camar (rmk), which means to *make hot* or *black*, is used, namely, 2 Kings 23:5, Hos. 10:5, and Zeph. 1:4. In these passages idolatrous priests are referred to. The original meaning of the word Cohen is lost in obscurity. In 1 Kings 4:5 the A. V. renders it 'principal officer' (compare the marginal rendering of verse 2); in 2 Sam. 8:18 and 20:26 it has been rendered 'chief ruler' (margin, 'princes'). David's own sons were thus designated, but it seems impossible now to decide what duties were involved under this name. In Job 12:19 it is rendered 'princes.' Possibly the usage of the word in the passages now quoted is a remnant of its original signification, at a time when one man combined in Himself the priestly and the kingly office.

The Greek iJereu;" and the Latin sacerdos are far better (because more indefinite) renderings of Cohen than either the French 'sacrificateur' or the English 'priest,' which last confuses two things kept carefully distinct, both in the O.T. and N.T. The verb Cahan, 'to minister in the priest's office,' is used several times in Scripture. In one passage it is rendered to 'deck;' the bridegroom decks himself with ornaments, as the priest clothes himself with his special robes of

office (Isa. 61:10). The LXX is very uniform in the use of iJereu;" for the noun and iJerateuvein for the verb Only once is leitourgei`n, to minister, used for it, namely, in 2 Chron. 11:14.

The word Cohen is not confined as a title to the priests of the Levitical order. It is applied to Melchizedek, to Potipherah (Gen. 41:45), to the priests of Midian (Exod. 3:1), and to the priests who conducted idolatrous worship. Moses is included among God's priests in Ps. 99:6.

The verb iJerateuvw is only used once in the N.T., namely, where Zacharias is described as 'executing the priest's office' (Luke 1:8). In the following verse iJerateiva is found, and it occurs again in Heb. 7:5. In 1 Pet. 2:5, 9, we meet with iJeravteuma which is used of Christians, regarded as a *holy priesthood*, and also as a *royal priesthood*, the last expression being an adaptation of the title given (conditionally) to Israel in Exod. 19:6, where the words 'kingdom of priests' are rendered 'royal priesthood' in the LXX. Compare Rev. 1:6; 5:10, 20:6. The word iJerourgei`n, not iJerateuvein, is used in Rom. 15:16, and means the performance of sacred duties, not necessarily the exercise of sacerdotal functions.

It is remarkable that the word iJereu;" occurs nowhere through the whole range of the Epistles, except in the Epistle to the Hebrews, where the contrast between Christ's priestly work and that of Aaron is drawn out. If the ministry of the Christian Church were intended to occupy a position at all analogous to that of the Levitical priesthood, can it be doubted that the Epistle to the Hebrews would have contained some notification of the fact? But the minister is comparatively kept out of sight (except where matters of order were concerned), and attention is concentrated on One who cannot be seen with the outward eye, but who is our one and only High Priest, acting in our interests 'within the veil.' Sacerdotal terms were freely used of the ministry in the next ages of the Church. This is not to be wondered at when we remember that to Greeks and Romans sacerdotalism was almost identified with religion. Their usage does not imply that they saw any real analogy

between the *Jewish* and the Christian ministry, though it does imply that the latter took the place of the *heathen* priesthood.

§ 5. The Elder.

The elder is always ZakeŒn (\div qz), literally an old man, and is represented in the LXX by presbuvtero", Presbyter. The word is frequently used in each language to express old age, for which in the LXX presbuvth" is also used; but gradually it was restricted to an official sense. The first intimation of such a sense is in Gen. 50:7, where we read that 'Joseph went up to bury his father, and with him went up all the servants of Pharaoh, the elders of his house, and all the elders of the land of Egypt.' The office was in those days a natural, social, and civil one. In Exod. 17:5 the elders are again referred to as lay-representatives of the people. So again in Exod. 18:12, 19:7, 24:1, 9.

In Num. 11:16 the Lord says to Moses, 'Gather unto me seventy men of the elders of Israel, whom thou knowest to be the elders of the people, and officers over them, and bring them unto the tabernacle of the congregation, that they may stand there with me.' These men represented the various tribes of Israel, and were quite distinct from the Levites and priests (Josh. 24:1; 1 Kings 8:13). They acted on behalf of Israel on great occasions, whether civil or religious, and in the first instance their appointment was sanctioned by an outpouring of the Spirit upon them, as we read in Num. 11:25, 'And the Lord came down in a cloud, and spake unto Moses, and took of the spirit that was upon him, and gave it unto the seventy elders: and it came to pass, that, when the spirit rested upon them, they prophesied, and did not cease.' It was on the occasion now referred to that there remained two of the men in the camp, Eldad and Medad; 'and the spirit rested upon them; and they were of them that were written, but went not out unto the tabernacle: and they prophesied in the camp.' When Joshua, in his eagerness, wished Moses to forbid them, the lawgiver gave that noble and remarkable

answer, 'Enviest thou (*i.e.* art thou jealous) for my sake? Would God that all the Lord's people were prophets, and that the Lord would put his spirit upon them.' This passage implies that the outpouring of the Spirit on the presbyters caused them to become prophets, constituting them a *spiritual*, though not a *sacerdotal*, order.

The word is rendered 'ancients' in Isa. 3:14, 24:23. The latter passage is one of peculiar interest. We here read that 'the Lord shall reign in Mount Zion, and in Jerusalem, and before his ancients gloriously,' or (as it is in the margin) 'there shall be glory before his ancients.' Are not these 'ancients' or 'elders' the same as those whom St. John saw in vision (Rev. 4:4) before the throne of God, giving glory to God and to the Lamb? May they not be taken as the representatives of all God's people.

§ 6. The Office of Elder in the N. T.

The importance of a right judgment of the position and functions of these elders cannot well be overrated when we come to discuss the nature of the analogous office of presbyter in the N.T. On the one hand, the elder was neither a priest nor a Levite, but a representative of the people; on the other hand, he had special duties and responsibilities in consequence of this position, and he also had special grace conferred on him (in the first instance, at least) to enable him to perform those duties aright.

The word presbytery, presbutevrion, is used three times in the N.T.: twice of the Sanhedrim (Luke 22:66; Acts 22:5), and once of the gathering of Christian elders who laid their hands on

Timothy (1 Tim. 4:14). ²

Christian presbyters or elders are first named in Acts 11:30, where reference is made to the elders in Judaea or Jerusalem. St. Paul appointed elders, apparently by the laying on of hands, and after nomination by the people, in every Church which he founded (Acts 14:23). We find these elders in conclave with the apostles in Acts 15.; and we have a most instructive address, illustrating their office and work, in Acts 20:17, &c.

Elders are not mentioned in the Epistles until we reach the First Epistle to Timothy, though they are probably the persons referred to in 1 Thess. 5:12: ³ It seems strange that they are not in the list of gifted persons mentioned in Eph. 4:11; but this may be accounted for by the fact that the work of an elder, *as such*, did not call for extraordinary gifts, and was to be carried on long after those gifts had ceased. They may, however, have been included under the name *Prophets*. From the First Epistle to Timothy we learn the character and position of the elder; whilst from Titus 1:5 it would appear that the system of appointing elders in every city where there was a Church, was still sustained. Both Peter and John describe themselves by this title (2 John 1; 3 John 1; 1 Pet. 5:1).

The advice to the elders given by St. Peter falls in exactly with the exhortations given by St. Paul to those of Ephesus. St. James also doubtless refers to those who held the rank of elder in the Church, in the remarkable passage (5:14) in which he speaks of healing the sick by the medical use of oil, in connection with the pardon of sin.

According to the analogy of the O.T., the elders would be spiritual but non-sacerdotal representatives and leaders of the various local communities which are feeders to the one Church (see chap. xix.). They would exercise their spiritual and ministerial functions in the name of the congregation, being counsellors and helpers, guides and feeders of the flock over which the Holy Ghost had appointed them. Many of them at first were no doubt possessed of the gifts of prophecy and

tongues, and were selected for the responsible position which they held, either because of their age, wisdom, and piety, or because of the special gifts they possessed. But they no more held the peculiar position of the Cohen or *priest* than did the elders under the O.T. dispensation. Although they would naturally take the chief part in the administration of the Lord's Supper, this was not a sacerdotal act. Just as the Passover Supper was administered in every family by the head of the household, so the Lord's Supper is administered by the presbyter as leader of a community, but not as a *sacerdos*, and at *a table*, not at *an altar*. See chap. xvi. § 7.

§ 7. The Ministry.

The minister in the O.T. is Shereth (trv), a word which the LXX has almost always rendered leitourgov". ⁴ The office of minister was not necessarily sacred, but it was always honourable. The minister differed from the servant or slave, in that the latter performed what we call menial duties, or at any rate was expected to toil for his master, whereas the former was a person in attendance on a king, prince, or great personage, to render such honourable service as would be acceptable. In this sense, Joseph was minister to Potiphar (Gen. 39:4), and afterwards was in attendance on the prisoners in behalf of the governor of the prison (Gen. 40:4); so, too, Joshua ministered to Moses,

2 Paul himself laid hands also on Timothy (2 Tim. 1:6), but perhaps at a different time and with a different object. It may be observed that the great Apostle of the Gentiles was formally appointed to his missionary work, not by apostolic ordination, but by the laying on of the hands of the ministers at Antioch (Acts 13:3), although he had previously received a mission, accompanied by the special gifts of the Holy Spirit, by the laying on of hands on Ananias. 3

Compare the proi>stavmenoi here with the proestw`te" presb., in 1 Tim. 5:17.

4 The verb is rendered diakonei`n only in Esther 1:10, 2:2, 6:3.

Samuel to Eli, and Abishag to David.

The word is constantly used of the ministrations of the priests and Levites, especially of the latter, and signifies that they were fulfilling high functions in respect of that unseen Being in whose honour they were employed. The term is equally applicable to angels, who are described in the Epistle to the Hebrews as ministering spirits (pneuvmata leitourgikav) sent forth by their Heavenly Master to minister to them who should be heirs of salvation.

In Ezek. 20:32 the heathen are said to serve or minister to wood and stone. Here the use of the word Shereth is ironical; they are engaged in ministrations—but to whom? to the King of kings? —no, to blocks of wood and stone.

The words leitourgov", leitourgiva and leitourgei`n are used of Christian ministrations several times in the N.T. In some of these passages they denote the ministering in worldly things. See Rom. 15:27; 2 Cor. 9:12, Phil. 2:25, 30. In Rom. 13:6 those in civil authority are honoured by this title when described as God's *ministers*.

In Phil. 2:17 and Rom. 15:16 the work of the Christian ministry, properly so called, is spoken of in terms derived from the sacerdotal and ministerial system of the old dispensation; but when these passages are carefully examined, they will not be found to justify the claims which have been sometimes made by the ministerial order in later times. In Phil. 2:17 Paul describes himself as ready to be offered like a libation on the sacrifice and ministry of his convert's faith (compare 2 Tim. 4:6

); that is to say, that he might be sacrificed on their behalf. In Rom. 15:16 he speaks of himself as ministering the Gospel of God, that the offering up of the Gentiles might be regarded by God as an acceptable sacrifice, being sanctified by the Holy Ghost. In each of these cases the sacrifices are *persons*; and the passages are closely related to Rom. 12:1, where Christians are directed to offer their bodies as living sacrifices; but there is no reference whatsoever to what is

now sometimes called 'the Christian sacrifice.'

§ 8. Service.

With regard to the word serve or service, the LXX often keeps up a distinction which is not to be found in the Hebrew. It has both douleiva, which is bond service, and which may be used in a religious sense or not; and lavtreia, sacred service, a word only used in a religious sense, but not confined to the priesthood. For these two renderings the Hebrew has only one word, <avad (db[5]), which is used of every kind of service, good and bad, whether exercised towards man, idols, or God. The distinction which is sometimes drawn between the words douleia and latreia, in connection with the worship of God and of created beings, cannot be substantiated by reference to the O.T.

The verb douleuvw, as well as the noun dou'lo" is frequently used in the N.T. of the service due from every Christian to God and to Christ (see, for example, Col. 3:24; 1 Thess. 1:9), whether that service take the form of ministry or not.

Latreiva is used of that religious service of the Christians which consists in self-dedication to God, in Rom. 12:1; Phil. 3:3; Heb. 9:14, 12:28. St. Paul uses it of his own life of service in Acts 24:14, 26:7, 27:23; Rom. 1:9; 2 Tim. 1:3. It is also used to indicate the ceaseless employment of God's servants in heaven (Rev. 7:15, 22:3).

Diavkono" (whence the word deacon) and kindred forms are used in the N.T. in a general and non-technical sense of all kinds of ministry or service for the good of others. See Matt.20:18; John 12:2, 25. It is not applied to the seven so-called deacons, and only gradually grew up into a technical sense. See Phil. 1:1, and 1 Tim. 3:8, 12.

⁵ The Assyrian replaces ebed (slave) by ardu.

CHAPTER XXI.

KING, JUDGE, PUNISH.

§ 1. Kings and Rulers.

T HE Hebrew words translated king and kingdom in the A. V. are connected with the root Malac (ûlm, Ass. malaku), which appears in various proper names, such as Ebed-Melech and Milcom. The verb is generally rendered reign (in Ezek. 20:33, rule).

Another word largely used in the same sense is Mashal (lvm, Ass. masalu), which refers not so much to the office as to the government which that office implies. It is generally rendered rule, sometimes reign or dominion, and occasionally govern, as in Gen. 1:18, where the heavenly bodies are described as governing the day and the night.

Shalath (flv, Ass. saladhu), to get the mastery, is used with much force in Ps. 119:133, 'Let not any iniquity have dominion over me' It is also rendered 'dominion' nine times in Daniel, and 'rule' fourteen times in the same book. This word is used of Joseph when he is described as 'the governor of Egypt' (Gen. 42:6). Sheleth, which is derived from it, signifies a shield, and is rendered ruler in Hos. 4:18; and Sholtan (Ass. sildhannu), which reminds us of the modern word Sultan, is rendered dominion in Dan. 4:3, &c.

Nagid (dygn), a leader or guide, is generally translated prince or captain, and is used of a ruler several times, especially in the Books of Chronicles. See Isa. 55:4; Dan. 9:26, and 11:22.

Pechah (hjp, Ass. pikhu), a satrap or pasha, is used for a governor chiefly in the later historical books, also in Hag. 1:1, 14, 2:2, 21, and Mal. 1:8.

Sar (rc, Ass. sarru, 'king'), a 'chief captain' in the army, is the title given to the 'captain of the Lord's hosts' in Josh. 5:14, 15. It is applied to judges or rulers of the tribes (Exod. 18:21), who are usually called princes in the A. V. in the later hooks. It is used of government in Ps. 68:27; Isa. 1:23, 32:1; Jer. 1:18 and 52:10; also in Isa. 9:6, 7, where the Messiah is called 'the prince of peace,' end 'the government shall be upon his shoulders ... and of the increase of his government and peace there shall be no end.' The Messiah is also called the prince (Sar) in Dan. 8:11, 25, 10:13, 21, and 12:1.

Ba<al (l[b, Ass. bilu), to be lord, husband, or master, is used of having dominion in 1 Chron. 4:22 and Isa. 26:13 ('Other lords beside thee have had dominion over us'). This word is found in the remarkable declaration in Isa. 54:5, 'Thy Maker is thy husband.' See Hos. 2:16.

Yad (dy Ass. idu), the *hand*, or instrument of *power*, is used of lordship in 1 Chron. 18:3 and 2 Chron. 21:8. In Gen. 27:40 ('When thou shalt have the dominion') a word (dwr) is used the meaning of which is uncertain, but the cognate word Radah (hdr, Ass. radu) is frequently used for rule. It also occurs in Jer. 2:31 and Hos. 11:12.

In Job 38:33 ('Canst thou set the dominion thereof in the earth?') the word used is supposed to signify rule or empire, and to be connected with the name of the officer or overseer, Shoter (rfv, Ass. sadhir: 'writer'), of whom we read in Exod. 5:6; Deut. 1:15, 16:18; 1 Chron. 26:29; and Prov. 6:7.

Nashak (qvn), to kiss, whether as a mark of respect (Ps. 2:12) or otherwise, is rendered rule in Gen. 41:40, where the margin has 'be armed or kiss' (see R. V.). The word is sometimes applied to armour because it fits closely and is folded together, it is also applied to the wings of the living creatures which touched one another (Ezek. 3:13).

In Prov. 25:28 ('He that hath no rule over his own spirit') the word (rx[m) signifies self-restraint. In 2 Kings 25:22 and 1 Chron. 26:32 we find the word pakad (dqp , Ass. paqadu), to visit or superintend; in Deut. 1:13, rosh (var , Ass. risu), the head; in Isa. 1:10, 3:6, 7, and 22:3, Katsin (÷yxq), a captain; in Jud. 5:3, Ps. 2:2, and four other passages, the rulers or princes arc described by a word which perhaps answers to august (÷zr). Alaph (¹la), to lead or teach, is used in Zech. 9:7, 12:5 , 6; and Chavash (vbj), to bind (usually for the purpose of hearing), in Job 34:17. Nachah (hjn), to lead, occurs in Ps. 67:4; and Chakak (qqj), to decree (lit. to engrave, as in Job 19:24, Isa. 22:16 and 49:16), is rendered governor in Jud. 5:9, 14. Compare Gen. 49:10 (lawgiver). Nasi (aycn), a captain or prince (lit. one who *bears* responsibility, or who *holds aloft* an ensign), is often used of God's leading His people, and is rendered ruler or governor a few times in the Pentateuch and in 2 Chron. 1:2.

§ 2. Judgment and Condemnation.

The words judgment and condemnation signify two very different things, yet they are sometimes confused by the Bible reader. ¹ Shaphath (fpv, Ass. sapadhu) is the general word for the administration of justice. It is once rendered condemn in the A. V., namely, in Ps. 109:31, and here the margin points to the true rendering. The usual word for 'condemnation,' as has been shown elsewhere, is rasha<, which in the Piel form signifies 'to account or deal with as wicked.' It is used in this sense sixteen times, and is the exact opposite of the Hiphil form of tsadak, 'to account or deal with as righteous.' In Ps. 109:7, 'let him be condemned' is literally 'let him go out as wicked.' In 2 Chron. 36:3 and Amos 2:8 the word used

(vn[) signifies to be fined or mulcted.

Coming now to the subject of judgment, we have to distinguish the various shades of meaning which the word possesses. When the Psalmist prays, 'Teach me good judgment' (Ps. 119:66), he uses a word which signifies taste or discrimination (μ [f), and asks for a keen moral and spiritual perception, such as is referred to by the writer of the Epistle to the Hebrews when he speaks of those who 'by reason of use have their senses exercised to discern both good and evil' (Heb. 5:14).

In Gen. 31:37 Jacob says, 'Set thy goods before my brethren and thy brethren, that they may judge betwixt us both' (hky), that is, 'that they may decide which of us is right.' On the word Elohim, which is rendered judges in Exod. 21:6, 22:8, and 1 Sam. 2:25, see chap. ii. In Jer. 51:47, 52, 'I will do judgment upon the graven images of Babylon,' the word for visitation (dqp) is used. See chap. xvii. § 4. Palal (llp), when used judicially, points to arbitration between two parties. It is rendered judge in 1 Sam. 2:25, 'If one man sin against another, the judge (Elohim) shall judge him (or arbitrate between the one and the other)'; Ps. 106:30, 'Then stood up Phinehas and executed judgment, and so the plague was stayed.' The Prayer Book version reads, 'Then stood up Phinehas and prayed' (*i.e.*

sought the arbitration of God). See also Exod. 21:22; Deut. 32:31; Job 31:11, 28; Isa. 16:3, 28:7; Ezek. 16:52, 28:23.

Din (\div yd, Ass. danu), to judge, whence the name Dan, implies a settlement of what is right where there is a charge upon a person, and so it comes to signify the decision of a cause. It is rendered judge in more than thirty passages. It is a *judicial* word, while shaphath is rather *administrative*.

¹ The German language uses *richten* for the administration of justice, and *urtheilen* for the giving a judicial decision; but many languages are not able to mark this important distinction.

The one would mark the act whereby men's position and destiny are decided; the other would point to the mode in which men would be governed and their affairs administered.

Din is first found in the following passages:—Gen. 15:14, 'The nation whom they shall serve will I judge.' Gen. 49:16, 'Dan shall judge his people.' Deut. 32:36, 'The Lord shall judge his people.' Ezra 7:25, 26, 'Set *magistrates* (shaphath) and judges (din), which may judge (din) all the people that are beyond the river, all such as know the laws of thy God; and teach ye them that know not. And whosoever will not do the law of thy God, and the law of the king, let judgment (din) be executed speedily upon him, whether it be unto death, or to banishment, or to confiscation of goods, or to imprisonment.' Ps. 50:4, 'He shall call to the heavens from above, and to the earth, that he may judge his people.' Ps. 54:1, 'Judge me by thy strength.' Dan. 7:10, 'The judgment was set end the books opened.' Verse 22, 'Judgment was given to the saints of the most High, and the time came that the saints possessed the kingdom.' Verse 26, 'The judgment shall sit, and they shall take away his dominion.' See also Gen. 30:6; 1 Sam. 2:10; Esther 1:13; Job 19:29, 35:14, 36:17, 31; Ps. 68:5, 76:8, 96:10, 110:6, 135:14; Prov. 20:8; Isa. 3:13, 10:2; Jer. 22:16; Dan. 4:37; Zech. 3:7.

Shaphath is the root of the name for the 'judges' who were raised up from time to time to be rulers over the land, to defend the people from enemies, to save them from their oppressors, to teach them the truth, to uphold them in the right course. It is in this general meaning that the word is usually found in the O.T. It is therefore not out of place that it should be rendered defend in Ps. 82:3; deliver in 1 Sam. 23:14; and rule in Ruth 1:1. The two words shaphath and din are found side by side in some places, *e.g.* 1 Sam. 24:15; Ps. 7:8, 9:8, 72:2; and Jer. 5:28. But this by no means proves that their meanings are identical. Shaphath and din are rendered in the LXX krivnw , diakrivnw , dikavzw , and ejkdikevw . The word katakrivnw barely exists in the LXX, but is found several times in the N.T. See, for example, Rom. 8:1, 34; 1 Cor. 11:32. The judge is krithv" or dikasthv"; and the judgment is kri`ma , krivsi" , dikaiosuvnh , dikaivwma ,

§ 3. Judgment in the N.T.

Turning to the N.T., we may distinguish three kinds of judgment, namely: first, self-judgment, or the discrimination of one's own character; secondly, the Great Assize, when the destiny of each shall be assigned; and, thirdly? the administration of the world in righteousness.

It must be noticed, however, that krivnw is sometimes used of an adverse judgment, as in John 3:17, 18, 'God sent not his son into the world to condemn (krivnein) the world ... he that believeth is not condemned (krivnetai) ... he that believeth not is condemned already' (h[dh kevkritai); John 16:11, 'Now is the ruler of this world condemned' (kevkritai); 2 Thess. 2:12, 'That all might be condemned.' In these passages the R. V. uses the word judge.

In other passages krivnw means to *decide* or *form an estimate*, whether favourable or the contrary, as in Matt. 7:1, 'Judge not (*i.e.* form no hard estimate of others), that ye be not judged' (*i.e.* that a hard estimate be not formed of you). Compare Rom. 2:1; Luke 7:43, 'Thou hast formed a right estimate;' Acts 16:15, 'If ye have judged me to be faithful;' Rom. 14:5, 'One judgeth one day above another;' James 4:11, 'He that judgeth his brother sets himself up as a judicial interpreter of the law.'

Occasionally there is reference to judicial administration. Thus, in Acts 17:31 it is said that God is about to judge the world in righteousness in the person of the Man whom He hath ordained; Matt. 19:28, 'Ye ... shall sit on twelve thrones, judging the twelve tribes of Israel;' 1 Cor. 6:2, 'The saints shall judge the world;' 1 Cor. 6:3, 'We shall judge angels.'

God is described, under the name krithv" as the Judge of all (Heb. 12:23), as the righteous Judge (2 Tim. 4:8), and as the one lawgiver [and judge], who is able to save and to destroy (James 4:12); whilst the Lord Jesus is called the Judge of quick and dead (Acts 10:42).

The word kri`ma occurs nearly thirty times in the N.T., usually in the sense of condemnation. In the wider sense of administrative justice we may refer to the following passages:—John 9:39, 'For judgment am I come into this world, that they which see Dot may see, and that they which see may be made blind;' Rom. 11:33, 'How unsearchable are his judgments;' Rev. 20:4,

'I saw thrones, and they sat on them, and judgment was given unto them.' The word krivsi" is found in about fifty places in the N.T. Sometimes it signifies the formation of a right estimate of another's character and doings, as in Matt. 23:23, where it is joined with mercy and faith; Luke 11:42, where it is coupled with love. Accordingly, our Lord says to the Jews, 'Judge not according to appearance, but judge righteous (or just) judgment' (John 7:24). He says of His own judgment, or mode of estimating and dealing with others, it is righteous, and just, and true (John 8:16). An estimate of the character and work of all men is to be formed by Christ; and the period in which this work will be accomplished is described as the Day of Judgment.

The word krivsi" is sometimes used in the sense of condemnation, as in Matt. 23:33 and John 5:24; whilst in John 5:29 a contrast is drawn between those that rise to life and those that rise to condemnation. Judgment, however, is the better word.

§ 4. Punishment and Vengeance.

The moral relationship between sin and punishment is illustrated by the fact that the latter is expressed by the words Chattath and <Aven (see chap. vi. §1, §4) in Gen. 4:13; Lev. 26:41, 43; 1 Sam. 28:10; Lam. 3:39, 4:6, 22; Zech. 14:19. Yasar (rsy), to chastise, is found in Lev. 26:18, 'If ye will not yet for all this hearken unto me, then I will punish you seven times more for your sins.' Nacah (hkn), to smite, is used in Lev. 26:24; Nakam (μ qn), to avenge, in Exod. 21:20, 21; Ra<a ([[r

), to bring evil, in Zech. 8:14; <Anash (vn[), to amerce or fine, five times in the Book of Proverbs. In the remaining passages, all of which are in the prophetical books, Pakad (dqp), to visit, is used, punishment being regarded as a visitation from God.

The avenging or revenging the blood of the slain is referred to under the word Gaal in Num. 35:12, *al.* From the earliest period of human history God is represented as taking the part of the injured, of the oppressed, and even of the slain. Their cries ascend into His ears; their blood calls to Him even from the ground. Thus the Redeemer is necessarily an avenger, and must exercise retributive justice. Shaphath, to judge, is used in this sense in 2 Sam. 18:19, 31. For a .similar reason, perhaps, Yasha<, to save, is rendered to avenge in 1 Sam. 25:26, 31, 33. The R. V. offers a marginal correction in the first of these verses.

In Deut. 32:42 ('The beginning of revenges upon the enemy'), and in Judges 5:2 ('Praise ye the Lord for the avenging of Israel'), a word is used which is derived from Para< ([rp], to *strip*.

The most usual word for revenging or avenging is Nakam (µqn). It first appears in Gen. 4:15, 'Vengeance shall be taken on him (or rather *for* him, *e.g.* for Cain) sevenfold.' Compare verse 24, 'If Cain shall be avenged seven-fold, truly Lamech seventy and sevenfold.' The word is used altogether about seventy-five times in the O.T. Personal and private revenge was forbidden to Israel, 'Thou shalt not avenge nor bear any grudge against the children of thy people, but thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself' (Lev. 19:18). The children of Israel were always taught to leave vengeance in God's hand, as He would avenge the blood of His servants, and would take their part against their enemies. See, for example, Deut. 32:35, 43; Ps. 18:47, 94:1; Jer. 11:20; Nah. 1:2. The Lord's vengeance is regarded as *retribution*, but not as *retaliation*; it is set forth not as an *evil passion*, but rather as the righteous and unerring *vindication* of His own people and of His own course of action, to the discomfiture of those who had set themselves in opposition to Him. He metes it out with justice, and on such a *day* or at such a time as seems fitting to Him. See Isa. 34:8, 61:2, 63:4; Jer. 46:10, 51:6.

The words ejkdikei`n and ejkdivkhsi" stand occasionally both for Shaphath and also for Nakam. They imply the visitation of due penalty upon the criminal, whether by the hand of the human judge (Luke 18:3; Acts 7:24; Rom. 13:4; 1 Pet. 2:14), or by the agency of God (Luke 18:7, 8, 21:22; 1 Thess. 4:6; 2 Thess. 1:8; Rev. 6:10, 19:2).

There is no place given in the N.T., any more than there is in the O.T., for the avenging of personal injuries. On the contrary, the feeling of revenge is studiously condemned. Where the magistrate is not called upon to vindicate the sufferer, there God will step in. 'Vengeance belongeth unto me, saith the Lord' (Rom. 12:19, Heb. 10:30). ²

CHAPTER XXII.

NATION, PEOPLE.

§ 1. Gentile or Heathen.

THE only word rendered either Gentile or heathen in the O.T. is Goi (ywg); it is generally used in the plural number, and after the time of Moses was generally used of outside nations. Goi is translated nation in all passages where the A. V. has adopted this word, with the exception of about thirty-five. In ten passages it is rendered people. In nineteen out of twenty places in which the word is found, the LXX has adopted e[qno" as a rendering, and hence is derived the English word heathen. The first passage in which goi appears is Gen. 10:5, where the historian, writing of the children of Japheth, says, 'By these were the isles of the Gentiles divided in their lands, every one after his tongue, after their families, in their

nations.' The word for 'isles' may perhaps he used here in the more extended sense of 'territories.'

The word goim frequently occurs in connection with the promises made to Abraham. His seed was to inherit Canaan, which was at that time possessed by goim; he was to be the father of many goim; and in him and his seed were all the goim of the earth to be blessed.

Where the word has been rendered people it will always be found to be in the singular number, and in these cases it usually refers to Israel; there is, however, one exception, namely, Zech. 12:3, where we read of all the people (*i.e.* nations) of the earth being 'gathered against Jerusalem.'

Throughout the historical books, the Psalms, and the prophets, the word goim primarily signifies those nations which lived in the immediate neighbourhood of the Jewish people; they were regarded as enemies, as ignorant of the truth, and sometimes as tyrants. Yet gleams of brighter and better days for them appear on the pages of Scripture from time to time. The goim were to seek after the Messiah, the son of Jesse (Isa. 11:10); God's Chosen One was to minister judgment to them (Isa. 42:1); He was to be not only a covenant to the *people* (of Israel), but also a light to the goim (42:6), and a salvation to the ends of the earth (49:6). In Isa. 60:16, and elsewhere, the goim are described as contributing to the glorification of the regenerated Israel; whilst in other places we read of them as agents in punishing Israel (Jer. 4:7). Their idolatry was fearful, and their

2 These words are quoted from Deut. 32:35, and are translated from the Hebrew, not from the LXX, which reads ejn hJmevra/ ejkdivkhvsew" instead of ejmoi; ejkdivkhsi".

abominations were great (2 Kings 16:3). Their triumph over Israel and their ignorant fury against Israel's king are denounced in strong terms; but, after all, they are to be God's inheritance; they are told to rejoice in His coming to judge the earth, and all nations whom God hath made are to come and worship before Him.

§ 2. The People.

If goi denotes a nation regarded from without, <Am (μ [) signifies a people as viewed by one of themselves. Sometimes it is used in the familiar and domestic way in which we speak of 'folk,' a rendering which it has received in Gen. 33:15. In the LXX it is generally rendered laov". It is often brought into direct relationship or contrast with god. Thus Moses, speaking to God concerning Israel, says, 'This nation (goi) is thy people (<am),' Exod. 33:13. It is used by the later O.T. writers to distinguish Israel as God's people, and to mark them off from the surrounding goim . Yet the prophets give a hope that the goim who had not been <ammim should become the people of God through Divine mercy. Thus in Ps. 18:43 we read, 'Thou hast made me the head of the heathen (goim): a people (<am) whom I have not known shall serve me.' This will come to pass when God shall be recognised as holding rule as 'King of the goim' (see Jer. 10:7). Compare Hos. 1:9, 10, and 2:23.

A word which occupies a less definite position than either goi or <am is Lom (µal), a race. It is generally found in the plural, and is used frequently in the Psalms and Isaiah, and two or three times in earlier and later books. It first appears in Gen. 25:23, 'two races shall be separated from thy loins; the one race shall be stronger than the other race.' See also Hab. 2:11, and Jer. 51:58, which is quoted from it. This word is applied sometimes to Israel, and sometimes to other nations. Ummah

(hma), a tribe or family, literally those sprung of one mother, is rendered people

in Num. 25:15 and Ps.117:1, and nations in Gen. 25:16, Ezra 4:10, and throughout the Book of Daniel.

§ 3. Nations and People in the N.T.

The word e[qno" first occurs in the N.T. in the phrase 'Galilee of the Gentiles' (Matt. 4:15). Here the title is brought into close juxtaposition with laov", which is used in the words which immediately follow, 'The people that sitteth in darkness hath seen a great light.'

Other passages in which the words e[qno" and laov"; are contrasted are:—Luke 2:32, 'A light for the purpose of revealing the truth to Gentiles, and a glory of thy people Israel.' Acts 4:25, 27, 'Why do the Gentiles rage, and the people (pl.) imagine a vain thing? ... For verily against thy holy servant ¹ Jesus, whom thou hast anointed, both Herod, and Pontius Pilate, with the Gentiles, and the people (pl.) of Israel, were gathered together.' Acts 15:14, 'God determined to take from among the Gentiles a people for his name.' Acts 26:17, 'Delivering thee from the people, and from the Gentiles, unto whom now I send thee.' Verse 23, 'That Christ should suffer, that he the first should proclaim light to the people and the Gentiles.' Rom.15:10, 'Rejoice, ye Gentiles, with his people.' Verse 11, 'Praise the Lord, all ye Gentiles; and laud him, all ye people' (pl.). 1 Pet. 2:9, 'A holy nation and peculiar people.'

The exact interpretation of the phrase 'all nations' or 'all the Gentiles' is sometimes attended with difficulty. We meet with it in the following passages:—Matt. 24:9, 'Ye shall be hated by all nations.' Verse 14, 'This gospel of the kingdom shall be preached in all the world, as a witness to

1 See chap. i. § 5.

all nations' (compare Mark 13:10). Matt. 25:32, 'All nations shall be gathered before him.' Matt. 28:19, 'Make disciples of all nations.' Mark 11:17, 'Thy house shall be called a house of prayer for all nations.' Luke 21:24, 'They (the Jews) shall be carried captive to all nation s.' Luke 24:47, 'That in his name should repentance and remission of sins be proclaimed to all nations.' Acts 14:16, 'In past times suffered all the Gentiles to walk in their ways.' Acts 15:17, 'That the remnant of men should seek the Lord, and all the Gentiles over whom now my name is called.' Rom. 1:5, 'Apostleship for the obedience of faith in all nations.' Rom. 15:11, 'Praise the Lord, all ye nations.' Rom. 16:26, 'Made known unto all the Gentiles.' Gal. 3:8, 'In thee all the nations of the earth shall be blessed.' Rev. 12:5, 'To rule or feed all nations.' Rev. 15:4, 'All nations shall come and worship before thee.' Rev. 18:3, 'She hath called all nations to drink of her cup.' Verse 23, 'All nations were deceived by thine enchantment.'

With these passages may be compared Ps. 67:2, 72:11, 17, 82:8, Isa. 2:2, 25:7, 61:11, and 66:18, which set forth the Divine promises to all nations of the earth. This expression, however; cannot always be understood in its full and literal sense, as will be seen by the examination of 1 Kings 4:31; 1 Chron. 14:17; Jer. 27:7; and Zech. 14:2.

§ 4. Tribe or Family.

Two words are rendered tribe, namely, matteh (hfm) and shevet (fbv , Ass. sibdhu , 'rod'), both of which originally signify A rod. The founder of a family was its root, whilst the ancestor of each subdivision (and so the subdivision itself) was a rod or stem. Hence the rod was the symbol of the tribe (Num. 17:2), and perhaps the heads of the tribes had rods, batons, or sceptres of office. Shevet

first appears in Gen. 49:10 (compare vers.16, 28). Matteh is first used of a tribe in Exod. 31:2. Both words are used freely in the same sense in the Pentateuch and Joshua. Shevet is the more favourite word in Judges, Samuel, Kings, and Psalms,

and is found occasionally in Isaiah, Ezekiel, Hosea, and Zechariah. Matteh only occurs twice in Kings, is frequent in Chronicles, hardly ever in the prophetical books.

The family is a still further subdivision, and is called Mishpachah (hjpvm); the only exceptions were as follows:—In 2 Chron. 35:5, 12, the word ab (ba), the ancestry or house of fathers, is used. In Jud. 6:15, eleph (la, Ass. alapu), a 'thousand,' is adopted (compare its use in the prophecy of Bethlehem, which was so small among the 'thousands' of Israel, Micah 5:2). In 1 Chron. 13:14 and Ps. 68:6 we find the word beth (tyb), a house.

In Gen. 47:12 the Hebrew is taph (¹f), which is generally rendered little ones; this rendering, however, has lately been questioned.

Dr. Payne Smith, late Dean of Canterbury, suggested in his Hampton Lectures that Israel was divided into three great classes: —First, there were the nobles, heads, or princes, whose genealogies are given in the Books of Numbers and Chronicles. Secondly, there were the retainers, who formed the strength of these noble houses, not necessarily descended in a direct line from Jacob, but forming households or clans under the various nobles. The Hebrew name for these households was taph (¹f), which the LXX renders oijkiva and suggevneia. ² They were circumcised, were sharers of the covenant, and were part of the commonwealth of Israel. Dr. Payne Smith holds the English rendering 'little ones' to be a mistake, because, whilst the taph included the children, it also included a great deal more, namely, the whole household or body of retainers. Thirdly, there was the 'mixed multitude' (<ereb), which had gradually united itself with the destinies of Israel, and which included Egyptians, Arabs, and, in course of time, Canaanites. They appear to have had no landed property assigned to them, and were not sharers in the covenant.

2 But only once in each case. The usual renderings are paidivon, tevknon, ajposkeuh;.

Each tribe was divided into *families* (mishpachah) which bore the names of the leading descendants of Jacob. Thus the men of the tribe of Reuben formed four families, and these were subdivided into *houses* (Num. 1:2). Similarly, in Josh. 7:17, 18, in the history of Achan, we find the tribe of Judah thus divided into families, houses, and individuals. The number in each 'house' must have been large. The chiefs of the 'houses' were important men, and were called 'chief fathers' in Num. 31:26, and 'heads of the fathers' in Josh. 14:1.

CHAPTER XXIII.

EARTH, WORLD, HEAVEN.

§ 1. The Soil or Land.

T HREE Hebrew words are rendered land. Sadeh (hdc) signifies a field, a plot of land, or an estate; it is rendered 'land' in 1 Sam. 14:14; 2 Sam. 9:7, 19:29; 2 Kings 8:3, 5; and Neh.5:3, &c. Erets (Åra

), which is very largely used, signifies a territory, or even the whole earth. It is systematically adopted by Moses and other writers in the expression, 'A land flowing with milk and honey.' Adamah (hmda) properly means the soil, regarded as a productive agent. It is used of the land or ground in the sense in which a farmer would speak of it. This word is used with remarkable consistency of the land of Canaan, of the Jew's own land, and of the fruits of the land. It occurs in Gen. 28:15, 'I will bring thee again into this land,' where it is in contrast with 'all the families of the earth.' In Gen. 47:20 we read, 'Joseph bought all the land (*i.e.* soil, adamah) of Egypt for Pharaoh ... so the land (*i.e.* the whole territory, erets) became Pharaoh's.' Other instances where the two words

occur in juxtaposition are Lev. 20:24; Deut. 29:28; 2 Kings 25:21; Jer. 16:15, 23:7, 8; Ezek. 7:9, 12:19, 33:24. In these passages adamah is used in a peculiar sense, to mark Israel's 'own land,' whilst erets is used more generally of the territory of the Canaanites, or of some other people.

Adamah is used in Deut. 21:1, 23, with regard to the defilement of the land caused by the presence of a slain body, or of a body that remained unburied. It was regarded as holy or sacred, and death was a defilement because it was the outward and visible sign of sin.

In the passages which relate to the restoration of Israel to their native soil adamah is consistently used. See Isa. 14:1, 2; Jer. 16:15; Ezek. 11:17, 34:13, 27, 36:24, 37:12, 14, 21; Amos 9:15; Zech.2:12.

Adamah is rendered earth about fifty times, and always in the sense above designated, as ground or soil. Thus it is used of the beast of the earth (Gen. 1:25); of Cain being cursed from the earth, so that it should not yield its fruit to him (Gen. 4:11); of the face of the earth (Gen. 6:1, 7); of rain falling on the earth (Gen. 7:4); of the blessing to be given to all the families of the earth (Gen. 12:3, 28:14); compare its usage in Exod. 10:6. In Exod. 20:24 it is used of the 'altar of earth,' a point interesting to be observed, as making the soil on which man lives and from which he takes his name a participator with the rite of sacrifice. Perhaps it was for an altar that Naaman asked for two mules' burden of soil (2 Kings 5:7). Adamah is also used of the earth which was put on a man's head as a mark of sorrow (1 Sam. 4:12). It occurs with touching significance in Ps. 146:4, 'His breath goeth

forth, he returneth to his earth, and in that very day his thoughts perish.' In Isa. 24:21, where we read, 'The Lord shall punish the host of the high ones that are on high, and the kings of the earth upon the earth,' we should have expected to find erets; but adamah is used, to enforce the contrast between those that dwell on this soil with the inhabitants of other regions; compare 45:9, 'Let the potsherds strive with the potsherds of the earth,' and Amos 3:2, You only have I known of all the families of the earth.'

§ 2. The Earth.

The great difficulty which has to be dealt with in translating the word erets is to determine where it is used with reference only to a special territory, such as Canaan, and where it signifies the whole world. When the earth is spoken of in connection with heaven (as in Gen. 1:1; Isa. 49:13, and 65:17), it must have the larger meaning; the same will usually be the case when we read of the ends of the earth (Isa. 52:10), or the whole earth (Micah 4:13); but in a great number of passages there is nothing but the context or the general analogy of Scripture to guide the translator or interpreter. The distinction between the narrower and wider meaning of the term is important in considering the account of the Deluge, also in the interpretation of many prophetical passages. Thus in Ps. 37:11 we read, 'The meek shall inherit the earth;' but in verse 29, where erets is also used, the A. V. renders, 'The righteous shall inherit the land.' See also verses 22 and 34. In Isa. 11:9 we read, 'The earth shall be full of the knowledge of the Lord; yet the earlier part of the verse only speaks of God's 'holy mountain' In Jer. 22:29 ('O earth, earth') is the prophet appealing to the wide world, or to the land of Canaan? In Isa. 24:1 we read, 'Behold, the Lord maketh the earth empty;' verse 3, 'The land shall be utterly emptied;' verse 4, 'The earth mourneth;' verse 13, 'When it shall be thus in the midst of the land,' &c Erets is used throughout the chapter; but to what does it refer? Ought it not to be rendered uniformly? The twenty-first verse seems to imply that it is used in the more extensive sense. In Amos 8:8 we read, 'Shall not the land tremble for this?' and in verse 9, 'I will darken the earth in the clear day.' In Zech. 14:9, 'The Lord shall be king over all the earth;' and in verse 10, 'All the land shall be turned as a plain.' Our translators seem almost to have indulged in variety in these passages for the sake of variety, but it is to the confusion of the English reader.

The Greek rendering for adamah is always gh`. The same word is the most general rendering for erets, but we also find cwvra, territory, in about fifty passages, and oijkoumevnh, a habitable world, in nine passages. There are other occasional renderings, but none which call for special notice.

The word for 'earth,' in the sense of earthen vessels, potsherds, or potter's clay, is always Cheras (crj), except in 2 Sam. 17:28, where Yatsar (rxy) is used, referring to the vessels being moulded. In Dan. 2:10, 'There is not a man upon the earth,' &c., the word used (tvby) signifies dry land; whilst <Aphar (rp[), dust, is found in Gen. 26:15; Isa. 2:19; Dan. 12:2; Job 8:19, 28:2, 30:6, and 41:33—in most of which passages holes or cavities in the upper surface of the earth are referred to.

In the N.T., it is to be remembered, as in the O.T., that where the Greek representative of erets is found in contrast or juxtaposition with heaven, we know that it must signify the earth as a whole. This would apply to such passages as the following:—

'Heaven and earth shall pass away' (Matt. 5:18). 'Thy will be done on earth as it is in heaven' (Matt. 6:10). 'Whatsoever ye bind in earth shall be bound in heaven' (Matt. 18:18). In some passages the interpretation admits of a doubt. Thus Matt. 5:5, 'Blessed are the meek, for they shall inherit the earth.' Here our Lord is making use of the LXX rendering of Ps. 37:11, in which passage it is natural to suppose that the land of Canaan would be *primarily* referred to. Here, however, the Hebrew word is erets, as was noticed above, and thus the larger sense of the word is admissible. In Eph. 6:3, 'That thou mayest live long in the earth,' the Hebrew (Exod. 20:12) is

adamah, and the land of Canaan is primarily meant.

The context in these and other cases is the only means whereby the reader can decide whether by gh` is signified the soil, the territory, or the world.

§ 3. The World.

The general word translated world in the A. V. is tevel (lbt, Ass tabalu, 'dry land'). There are a few exceptions. Thus in Isa. 38:11 we read, 'I shall behold man no more with the inhabitants of the world;' here the word (ldj) may perhaps signify the place of rest, cessation, forbearance. ¹ In Ps. 17:14, 'From men of the world,' and 49:1, 'Inhabitants of the world,' we find a word (dlj) which may refer to the transitory state of things in this world which 'passeth away.' It is rendered age or time in Job 11:17, Ps. 39:5, and 89:47. In Ps. 22:27, Isa. 23:17, 62:11, and Jer. 25:26, erets is used. <Olam (µl¿w[) is found in Ps. 73:12, 'These prosper in the world;' Eccles. 3:11, 'He hath set the world in their heart;' and in Isa. 45:17, 64:4.

By tevel is signified, first, the solid material on which man dwells, and which was formed, founded, established, and disposed by God; and secondly, the inhabitants thereof. It is usually rendered oijkoumevnh in the LXX, never kovsmo", which was originally used only to denote order and ornament, but had acquired a new meaning in our Lord's time.

The origin of the word is a little doubtful. A word spelt similarly, and used in Lev. 18:23 and other passages, signifies pollution, confusion, or dispersion (from llb). It is supposed, however, by Gesenius to be connected with the root yaval (lby), to flow, and to indicate the world is flooded.

In one or two passages only does the word tevel or oijkoumevnh appear to refer to a limited portion of the earth. Perhaps Isa. 24:4 may be mentioned as an

example.

The expression 'round world,' which occurs in the P. B. version in Ps. 18:15, 89:12, 93:2, 96:10, and 98:8, simply stands for tevel. It is to be found in Coverdale's Bible, and is traceable to the old, Latin version, Orbis terrarum, the earth being regarded by the ancients as a disk, though not as a globe.

In the N.T. the word oijkoumevnh is certainly used of the Roman Empire in Luke 2:1, and perhaps in the quotation in Rom. 10:18, where the larger sense of the word implied in the Psalms could hardly be intended. In other passages we must understand the word as signifying all the earth, *e.g.* in Matt.24:14; Acts 17:31; Heb. 1:6, 2:5. Prophetic students have a right to either interpretation in Rev. 3:10, 12:9, and 16:14, but the Roman use of the word is not so likely to be adopted by St. John as the Jewish.

§ 4. Heaven.

The Hebrew word generally in use to represent the heaven and also the air is Shamaim (μymv , Ass. samami). Sometimes it signifies the atmosphere immediately surrounding the earth, in which the fowls of 'the air' fly; sometimes it is used of the space in which the clouds are floating; in other places it refers to the vast expanse through which the stars are moving in their courses. Shamaim is also opposed to Sheol , the one being regarded as a place of exaltation, the other of degradation; the one being represented as the dwelling-place of the Most High and of the angels of God, the other as the abode of the dead.

In Ps. 77:18, where we read, 'The voice of thy thunder was in the heaven,' the word Galgal (lglg

1 We find the root rendered forbear in Ezek. 3:27; frail in Ps. 39:4; and rejected in Isa. 53:3.

), which is used, probably signifies a whirlwind. The LXX has ejn tw/ trocw/ . In Ps. 68:4, 'Extol him that rideth upon the heavens,' we find the word <Arabah (hbr[, Ass. erbu), which generally means a desert; hence clouds of sand, and clouds generally. In Ps. 89:6 and 37, the word Shachak (qjv), rendered heavens, originally signifies a cloud of fine particles; compare our expression 'a cloud of dust.' In Isa. 5:30, 'The light is darkened in the heavens thereof,' our margin has 'in the destruction thereof;' the Hebrew word (µypyr[) used here probably signifies darkness.

In all but these few passages the word Shamaim is used where heaven is found in the A. V. It is to be noticed that the form of the word is neither singular nor plural, but dual. This may be only an ancient form of the plural, but it is supposed by some commentators to imply the existence of a lower and an upper heaven, or of a physical and spiritual heaven—'the heaven and the heaven of heavens.' The original idea represented by the root is generally considered to be height, and if this is a right conjecture, the word fairly answers to its Greek equivalent oujranov", and to its English translation 'heaven,' that which is heaved or lifted up. It includes all space that is not occupied by the terrestrial globe, and extends from the air we breathe and the winds which we feel around us to the firmament or expanse which contains the innumerable stars. This it includes, and exceeds; for where our intellect ceases to operate, and fails to find a limit to the extension of space, here faith comes in; and whilst before the eye of the body there is spread out an infinity of space, the possession of a super-material nature brings us into communion with a Being whose nature and condition cannot adequately be described by terms of locality or extension. The heavens and the heaven of heavens cannot contain Him; the countless stars are not only known and numbered by Him, but are called into existence and fixed in their courses by His will and wisdom. Wherever He is, there the true heaven is, and the glories of the firmament faintly shadow forth the ineffable bliss which those must realise who are brought into relationship with Him.

Whilst God is regarded as the God or King of Heaven, we read in the prophecy of

Jeremiah of the 'Queen of Heaven' (7:18, 44:17, 18, 19, 25). In the margin this title is rendered 'frame of heaven' (tkalm for tklm). If the former is the right interpretation, the heathen goddess Astarte or Venus is probably referred to; if otherwise, the prophet is reprobating the worship of the frame, structure, or workmanship of heaven, or, in other words, of the stars, as a substitute for the worship of Him Who created all these things.

The usage of the word 'heaven' in the N.T. generally answers to that which is to be traced through the Hebrew Bible, but more stress is laid upon the spiritual heaven, upon the Father who is there, and upon the Son who came from heaven, and who has returned thither to remain hidden from the eye of man until the time of the restitution.

There are, indeed, the same distinct spheres designated by the word oujranov" in the N.T. as by Shamaim in the O.T. There is the air, or dwelling-place of the fowls of the air (Matt. 6:26); there is also the vast space in which the stars are moving (Acts 2:19); but in by far the greater number of passages heaven signifies the dwelling-place of the Most High, and the abode of the angelic hosts. The titles 'kingdom of God' and 'kingdom of heaven' are really identical in their signification, though presenting the truth in slightly varied aspects. God is the King of heaven, and His will is done by all its angelic inhabitants. When the kingdom of God is spoken of as coming upon earth, we are to understand a state of things in which the subjection of man's will to God is to be completed, and the destruction of all that is contrary to God's will, whether in things physical or in things spiritual, is to be accomplished. When, on the other hand, it is the kingdom of heaven that is announced, we are to understand that the organisation of the human race in whole or part, and also perhaps their dwelling-place, will be rendered harmonious with the other portions of the family of that Heavenly Father in whose house are many mansions.

The popular phraseology about 'going to heaven' represents the truth, but certainly not in the form in which it is generally presented in Scripture. We rarely read that the godly will go to *heaven*, either at death or after the resurrection. We are rather told of a kingdom being set up *on earth*, of a heavenly city descending

from above, and taking up its abode in the new or renewed earth.

§ 5. The Host of Heaven.

In Deut. 4:19 the people of Israel were specially warned lest they should lift up their eyes unto heaven, and when they saw the sun, and the moon, and the stars, all the host of heaven (to;n kovsmon tou` oujranou`), should be driven to worship them and serve them. Death by stoning was to be the punishment of any such departure from the true God (Deut. 17:3, 5). To what an extent the people failed in this matter, and how grievously they suffered in consequence, will be seen by referring to 2 Kings 17:16, 21:3, 5; 2 Chron. 33:3, 5; Jer. 8:13, 19:12, 13. Not only was the host of heaven worshipped, but altars were set up in honour of the stars even in the precincts of the Temple. What a contrast with this impiety is presented by the opening words of the prayer of the Levites recorded in Neh. 9:6, 'Thou, even thou, art Lord alone; thou hast made heaven, the heaven of heavens, with all their host, the earth, and all things that are therein, the sees, and all that is therein, and thou preservest them all; and the host of heaven worshippeth thee.'

The folly of worshipping the host of. heaven is forcibly illustrated by the fact that as the heavenly bodies owe their structure and continuance to God, so will they perish when He withdraws His hand. 'All the host of heaven shall be dissolved, and the heavens shall be rolled together as a scroll: and all their host shall fall down, as the leaf falleth off from the vine, and as a falling fig from the fig-tree' (Isa. 34:4). This passage is taken up and adopted by our Lord, who says that 'After the tribulation the sun shall be darkened, and the moon shall not give her light, and the stars of heaven shall fall, and the powers that are in heaven (*i.e.* the host of heaven) shall be shaken' (Mark 13:25). Here the expression powers (dunavmei") is the usual rendering adopted by the LXX for host (hJ duvnami" tou` oujranou`).

In 1 Kings 22:19 Micaiah says, 'I saw the Lord sitting on his throne, and all the host of heaven (hJ stratia; tou` oujranou`) standing by him on his right hand and on his left.' The context shows us that the prophet was speaking, not of the

physical, but of the spiritual heaven; and that by the host of heaven he meant the intelligent beings who exist in that spiritual sphere in which God dwells, and whose business it is to carry out His purposes of mercy and of wrath. With this passage may be compared the sublime vision contained in Rev. 19:11–14, when the heavens are opened, and the seer beholds the Faithful and True One called the Word of God riding on a white horse, 'and the armies which were in heaven (ta; strateuvmata ta; ejn tw/ oujranw/) followed him upon white horses, clothed in fine linen.'

§ 6. The Firmament.

The Hebrew word rakia< ([yqr]) stands for firmament, *i.e.* the space in which the stars are set (Gen. 1:7, 8). Our interpretation of the word is derived from the Greek sterevwma, through the Latin firmamentum. It means that which is fixed and steadfast, rather than that which is solid. The word once occurs in the N.T., namely, in Col. 2:5, 'The steadfastness (sterevwma) of your faith in Christ;'

and other forms of the root are used in the same way. The application of this word to the heavenly bodies is simple and beautiful; they are not fickle and uncertain in their movements, but are regulated by a law which they cannot pass over. 'By the word of the Lord were the heavens made (ejsterewvqhsan), and all the host of them by the breath of his mouth' (Ps. 33:6). 'I have made the earth, and created man upon it: I, even my hands, have stretched out (ejsterevwsa) the heavens, and all their host have I commanded' (Isa. 45:12). 'Mine hand also hath laid the foundation of the earth, and my right hand hath spanned (ejsterevwse) the heavens' (Isa. 48:13).

The Hebrew word is derived from rake<, to spread out. This verb is found in Job 37:18, 'Hast thou with him spread out the sky, which is strong, and as a molten looking-glass?' Ps. 136:6, 'To him that stretched out the earth above (or over) the waters;' Isa. 42:5, 'He that spread forth the earth;' 44:24, 'That spreadeth abroad the earth by myself.'

The firmament, then, is that which is spread or stretched out—hence an expanse; and this is the rendering received by many at the present time. Perhaps, guided partly by this usage of the Hebrew word, and partly by the rendering of the LXX, we may attach two ideas to the term, namely, *extension* and *fixity*, or (to combine them in one) *fixed space*. The interplanetary spaces are measured out by God, and, though the stars are ever moving, they generally preserve fixed relative positions; their movements are not erratic, not in straight lines, but in orbits, and thus, though ever changing, they are always the same.

CHAPTER XXIV.

DESTRUCTION, DEATH, HELL.

§ 1. Various Words Signifying Destruction.

T HE destiny of man after death is the most serious of all questions. If Scripture invariably prophesied smooth things, we should readily accept its verdict. But there are passages in the N.T. which point in another direction. Hence the necessity of studying the O.T. terminology on the subject.

More than fifty Hebrew words have been rendered destroy, destruction, or perish.

Some of them need only a brief mention, but others are of greater importance

Aid (dya) is occasionally so rendered, as in Job 18:12 and Prov. 1:27. It is usually rendered calamity, and signifies that which oppresses and straitens, the 'tribulation and anguish' of Rom. 2:9. Asaph (¹sa), which occurs in 1 Sam. 15:6, means to gather, and we might render the passage, 'lest I include you with them.' Compare Ps. 26:9, also Zeph. 1:2, 3. Asham (μva) is found in Ps. 5:10, 'destroy thou them,' *i.e.* condemn them or deal with them as guilty. In 2 Chron. 22:7, the 'destruction' of Ahaziah is literally his treading down; and in Isa. 10:25, the destruction of the Assyrians means their being brought to nought or wasted away (so far as this world is concerned). In Prov. 21:7, 'The robbery of the wicked shall destroy them,' the verb (rrg) means to saw, sweep away, or drag down. The destruction of the seed royal by Athaliah (2 Chron. 22:10) is described by a word which signifies 'to inflict a pestilence' (rbd); compare the use of the word 'pesthent' or 'pesthential' in our own language. Daca (akd , Ass. dakuÆ), to dash in pieces or crush, is used in Job 6:9, 34:25; Ps. 90:3, 'Thou turnest man to destruction.'

Bala< ([lb, Ass. baluÆ), to swallow up, is used several times, *e.g.* in Job 2:3, 'To destroy him without a cause;' Ps. 55:9, 'Destroy, O Lord, and divide their tongues,' Isa. 25:7, 8, 'He will destroy

in this mountain the face of the covering cast over all people, and the vail that is spread over all nations; he will swallow up death in victory.' Here the same word is rendered 'destroy' in one verse and 'swallow up' in the other; the last clause might be rendered, 'He will utterly destroy death.' See R. V.

Damah, (hmd), to be silent, or to cease, is rendered destroy in Ezek. 27:32, 'What city is like Tyrus, like the destroyed in the midst of the sea?' and Hos. 4:5, 6, 'I will destroy thy mother; my people are destroyed for lack of knowledge.' We might perhaps give a more literal rendering here, and say, 'My people are silenced for lack of knowledge.'

In Deut. 7:23, and in 1 Sam. 5, 9, 11, the word used (μ wh) is supposed to signify commotion or confusion; a similar word (μ mh), signifying discomfiture, is found in Exod. 23:27, Deut. 2:15, and Ps. 144:6. Harag (grh), to kill, is used in Ps. 78:47, 'He destroyed their vines with hail.': Haras (srh), to tear down, occurs in 1 Chron. 20:1; of the destruction of Rabbah, in Ps. 11:3; of the destruction of foundations, in Isa. 14:17; of the destruction of cities, in Isa. 19:18, where we read of 'the city of destruction,' or, as the margin has it, 'the city of Heres, or the sun.' It also occurs in Ps. 28:5, and Isa. 49:17, 19.

Chaval (lbj), to bind, is used in Ezra 6:12; Prov. 13:13; Eccles. 5:6; Micah 2:10; Isa. 10:27, 'The yoke shall be destroyed because of the anointing;' 54:16, 'I have created the waster to destroy;' Dan. 2:44, 6:26, 7:14, 'In the days of these kings shall the God of heaven set up a kingdom which shall never be destroyed;' 4:23, 'Hew down the tree and destroy it.'

In Prov. 31:8, the persons described as 'appointed for destruction' are literally 'sons of change or passing away' (¹wlj, Ass. khalafu). Charav (brj), to dry up, occurs in Jud. 16:24, 'The destroyer of our country;' and in 2 Kings 19:17, Ezra 4:15, Ps.9:6, 'Destructions are come to a perpetual end.' The exhaustion of a country, city, or individual is evidently referred to in these passages.

In seven passages in the Proverbs destruction is literally a 'breaking up' (htjm); in Ps. 74:8, 'Let us destroy them altogether,' the idea of violent dealing (hny) is implied; in Exod. 15:9, the verb signifies to take possession (vry), and the passage is rendered in the margin, 'My hand shall repossess them.' In Job 21:20, calamity (dyk) is represented; whilst in Job 9:22, Lev. 26:44, and 2 Chron. 31:1,

Calah (hlk), to finish, to complete, and so to bring to an end, is used. Carath (trk), to cut off, is rendered 'destroy' in Exod. 8:9, Lev. 26:22, Jud. 4:24, and 1 Kings 15:13. Mul (lwm), which also signifies to cut off, is found in Ps. 118:10, 11, 12; Cathath ttk), to beat, in Deut. 1:44, 2 Chron. 15:6, and Job 4:20; Muth (twm, Ass. matu), to die, in 2 Sam. 20:19 and Job 33:22; and Machah (hjm), to blot out, in Gen. 6:7, 7:4, 23, in the history of the Deluge, also in Jud. 21:17 and Prov. 31:3.

In Prov. 15:25, 'The Lord will destroy the house of the proud,' the word (jsn, Ass. nasŒakhu) signifies to pluck up, and hence to root out. In Job 19:26, 'Though after my skin (worms) destroy this (body),' the word (¹qn) means to cut down. In Isa. 42:14, 'I will destroy' is literally 'I will make desolate' (µvn). In Ps. 9:6, 'Thou hast destroyed cities,' Natha ([tn], to tear, is used; and in Exod. 34:13, Deut. 7:5, Job 19:10, Ps. 52:5, and Ezek. 26:12, Nathats (Åtn), to tear down or beat down, is found. Tsadah (hdx), to cut down, is the word in Zeph. 3:6. Saphah (hps), to scrape, is found in Gen. 18:23, 24, 'Wilt thou destroy the righteous with the wicked? ... wilt thou destroy and not spare the place for the fifty righteous.?' also in 1 Chron. 21:12 and Ps. 40:14.

Shavar (rbv, Ass. sabaru), to shiver or break in pieces, is rendered 'destroy' about thirty times, *e.g.*

in Prov. 16:18, 'Pride goeth before destruction;' 29:1, 'He that being often reproved, hardeneth his neck, shall suddenly be destroyed, and that without remedy;' Isa. 1:28, 'The destruction of the sinners and transgressors shall be together;' 59:7, 'Wasting and destruction are in their paths;' 60:18, 'Violence shall do more be heard in thy land, wasting nor destruction within thy borders.' Shiah (hyav), desolation, occurs in Ps. 73:18 and Isa. 24:12; the word Shuah (hawv) has the same meaning in Ps. 35:8, 17, and 63:9; Shamem (µmv), to lay waste, or to be astonished, in Eccles. 7:16 and Hos. 2:12; Shasah (hsv), to spoil, in Jer. 50:11; Shacol (lkv), to bereave, in Deut. 32:25. Shadad (ddv), to deal violently, is rendered 'destroy' ten times, *e.g.* in Ps. 137:8, 'O daughter of Babylon, who art to be destroyed' (P. B. version, 'wasted with misery'); Hos. 7:13, 'Destruction unto them! because they have transgressed against me;' Joel

1:15, 'The day of the Lord is at hand, and as a destruction from the Almighty shall it come.'

The Chaldean word used of the destruction of the temple by Nebuchadnezzar in Ezra 5:12 is

Sathar (rts), which in Hebrew means to hide. In Ps.17:4, the word (Åyrp) signifies violence; in Job 30:24, 31:29, we find Pid (dyp), calamity; in Prov. 13:20, Rua< ([wr), evil; in Ezek. 7:25, Kaphdah (hdpq), cutting off; in Jer. 46:20, the word for destruction is taken from the nipping (Årq) of the gad-fly. Kathav (bfq), contagion, is found in Deut. 32:24, Ps.91:6, and Hos. 13:14, 'O death, I will be thy destruction.' Tsamath (tmx), to Cut off, is the word used in 2 Sam. 22:41; Ps.18:40, 69:4, 73:27, 101:8.

In Num. 24:17 we read, 'A sceptre shall rise out of Israel, and shall smite the corners (or smite through the princes) of Moab, and destroy all the children of Seth.' The word Karkar (rqrq), here rendered destroy, is somewhat doubtful. Some take it as meaning dig—hence dig through or spoil; others consider that it is used in a favourable sense of the 'building up the wall' of Seth; but see Isa. 22:5, where it means to break down a wall.

§ 2. The Root Avad.

The words hitherto noticed, though very numerous, are used only in a few passages, and do not play a conspicuous part in Scripture. They point to destruction as a calamity, as a work of breaking down or tearing up, as an act of violence, or as a deed of desolation. They apply to nations, cities, and individuals, and are used in just such senses as we should give them in ordinary history, without at all referring to the destiny of the individual in any state of existence beyond the world. Four words, however, remain to be considered, each of which is used in a great number of passages, and with some important variations of meaning.

Avad (dba, Ass. abadu), to perish, and in its causative form to destroy, is largely used throughout the O.T. This word is rendered 'perish' in about a hundred passages. When used of persons it generally signifies *death*, when used of lands it implies *desolation*. The same is the general state of the case with regard to its

Greek equivalent in its various forms of ajpovllumi, ajpwvleia, o[leqro". The name Abaddon (Rev. 9:11) is rendered Apollyon, the destroyer.

It is applied to the case of Korah's company, who 'perished from among the congregation' (Num. 16:33); to the Amalekite nation, which should 'perish for ever' (Num. 24:20, see also verse 24); it is held out as a threat to Israel that they should 'utterly perish from off the land' if they became idolatrous (Deut. 4:26, contrast 30:18); it is used of the nation's ancestor, 'a Syrian ready to perish' (Deut. 26:5); Esther uses it with regard to her apprehension of death as the alternative of success, 'If I perish, I perish' (Esther 4:16); it is applied to the memory of the wicked, which dies out of the minds of their survivors (Job 18:17); to the disappearance of the wicked man from the earth (20:7); it is used of men perishing for want of clothing (31:19); it is applied to the 'way' or course taken by the wicked in contrast with the way of the righteous (Ps. 1:6); it is used of the heathen (as such) perishing out of the land (10:16), of the wicked perishing before the presence of God as wax melteth before the fire (68:2), of the heavens perishing whilst God endures (102:26), of man's thoughts perishing when he dies (146:4).

In Eccles. 7:15, avad is applied to a just man perishing in his righteousness; and in Isa. 57:1, 2, we read, 'The righteous perisheth, and do man layeth it to heart; and merciful men are taken away, none considering that the righteous is taken away from that which is evil. He shall enter into peace.' These passages are important, as showing that the perishing of the outer man in death is perfectly consistent with the entrance into peace.

The passages which have been cited are fair samples of the whole. They show that the word refers to the death of the righteous or the wicked; to the downfall and dissolution of nations; to the desolation of countries; to the withering away of herbage and crops; to the fading away of strength, hope, wisdom, knowledge, and wealth. The word is applied to man with reference to his whole position upon earth; whilst his future destiny is left apparently untouched by it.

A brief examination of the usage of the active voice where it is rendered 'destroy' or 'destruction' will suffice. The word is applied to the destruction of temples, images, and pictures (Num. 33:52, Deut. 12:3); to defeat (Josh. 7:7); to national overthrow (Deut. 28:51); and to the taking away of life, whether by the hand of man or by the agency of God (2 Kings 10:19; Exod. 10:7; Lev. 23:30; Deut. 7:10, 20; Job 28:22).

In Job 26:6 we read, 'Hell (Sheol) is naked before him, and destruction hath no covering;' and in Prov. 15:11, 'Hell (Sheol) and destruction are before the Lord.' These words apparently refer to the locality or condition of those who have died or have been destroyed; it is implied that, although so far as this world is concerned they have perished, yet they are still in a state of existence, and are within God's cognisance.

In Ps. 88:10, 11, 12, the question is heard, 'Wilt thou shew wonders to the dead? shall the dead arise and praise thee? Shall thy lovingkindness be declared in the grave? or thy faithfulness in destruction? Shall thy wonders be known in the dark, and thy righteousness in the land of forgetfulness?' Here the dead, the grave or sepulchre, the state of destruction, the dark, and the land of forgetfulness, are synonymous; and the Psalmist, in his longing for present help, urges God not to put off His lovingkindness until that time when (so far as this life is concerned) it will be too late. Avad is frequently rendered lose, *e.g.* in Exod. 22:9, Lev. 6:3, 4, Deut. 22:3, and 1 Sam. 9:3, 20, with reference to a lost ox, sheep, or garment. In Ps. 119:176 it assumes a moral significance, 'I have gone astray like a lost sheep; seek thy servant, for I do not forget thy commandments;' Jer. 50:6, 'My people hath been lost sheep, their shepherds have lured them to go astray;' Ezek. 34:4, 'Neither have ye sought that which was lost;' verse 16, 'I will seek that which was lost.'

§ 3. Destruction at Taught in the N.T.

The word o[leqro" is found four times in the N.T. In 1 Cor. 5:5 'the destruction of the flesh' is spoken of. Here reference seems to be made to the special temporal chastisements which were inflicted in the apostolic ages, and a contrast is drawn between the destruction of the flesh now and the salvation of the spirit in the day of Christ. In the other three passages reference is made to the punishment of the ungodly; see 1 Thess. 5:3, 2 Thess. 1:9, and 1 Tim. 6:9.

ÆApwvleia in the N.T. specially represents the lot of those who go on the broad path (Matt. 7:13), who set themselves against the Gospel (Phil. 1:28), who live a carnal life (Phil. 3:19), who yield to lusts and covetousness (1 Tim. 6:9), who draw back from Christ (Heb. 10:39), who deny the Lord that bought them (2 Pet. 2:1, 3 ¹), and wrest the Scriptures (2 Pet. 3:16), and are, in a word, ungodly (2 Pet. 3:7).

The infliction of this ajpwyleia is synchronous with the Day of Judgment and the burning of the heaven and earth that now are (2 Pet. 3:7); the whole event being prefigured by the destruction that came upon the earth at the Deluge, when the then world perished (ajpwyleto), and also by the destruction of Sodom and Gomorrha. The word also occurs in John 17:12, of Judas, the son of perdition; of another son of perdition in 2 Thess. 2:3; in Rev. 17:8, 11, of the Beast; also in Acts 8:20 [25:16] and Rom. 9:22.

The verb ajpovllumi is applied to the waste of ointment (Matt. 26:8), to the destruction of physical objects, *e.g.* wineskins (Matt. 9:17), gold (1 Pet. 1:7), food (John 6:27), and the hair of the head (Luke 21:18). In these cases it is not annihilation that is spoken of, but such injury as makes the object practically useless for its original purpose It is applied to the destruction of the world in 2 Pet. 3:6, in exactly the same sense; for as the world was destroyed at the Deluge, so shall it be

¹ The A. V. has failed to preserve the connection between the *destructive* heresies and the *destruction* which ensues. See R. V.

hereafter; it will be rendered useless as a habitation for man. Nevertheless, as after the first destruction it was restored, so it may be after the second. Again, the word is applied to the perishing or being destroyed from off the face of the earth in death, when the physical frame which is the temple of life becomes untenanted; and a contrast is drawn between the power of those who can bring about the death of the body, and of Him who can destroy both body and soul in Gehenna. Death is spoken of in this sense in Matt. 2:13, 8:25, 12:14, 21:41, 22:7, 26:52, 27:20; and probably in Matt. 18:14, Rom. 2:12, 14:15, and 1 Cor. 8:11. The destruction of the body is compared to the disintegration of the seed which falls into the ground and dies. It is dismemberment and dissolution, and renders the body useless for the time being, so far as its original purpose is concerned, but it is not annihilation. The use of the word in the argument in 1 Cor. 15:18 is worthy of note; it here implies that, physically speaking, the Christian has perished, if Christ be not risen.. There is not a word here about annihilation of the person (which would continue in Hades), but simply of the blotting out of existence in the body. See Isa. 51:1, 2, quoted above.

The word is also largely used in a moral sense, with respect to the inner man, as the opposite of salvation. It is applied to those 'lost sheep' whom the Good Shepherd died to save (Matt. 18:11; Luke 15:32; compare Isa. 53:6). All men are regarded as morally destroyed, i.e. they have failed to carry out the intention for which the race was called into being. To save them from this condition, God sent His Son, and caused Him to be lifted up like the serpent in the wilderness (John 3:15, 16), not being willing that any should perish, but that all should come to repentance (2 Pet. 3:9). Those who reject this salvation have contracted a new responsibility, and are, in a new sense, in the way of destruction (ajpollumevnoi) (1 Cor. 1:18; 2 Cor. 2:15, 4:3; 2 Thess. 2:10). This final destruction affects evil spirits as well as men. We cannot comprehend what will be the nature of this destruction which affects the spirit or person; but the reading of such words as those uttered by the Lord in Matt. 10:28, 39, 16:25, and Luke 9:25, impresses the mind with the idea of the utter rejection and infinite degradation which shall be the lot of those who judge themselves unworthy of eternal life. Not only creation,

but also redemption, has failed of its purpose with them.

§ 4. The Root Shachath.

Shachath (tjc, Ass. sakhatu), a word which especially marks dissolution or corruption, is rendered destroy in about a hundred places. It first occurs in Gen. 6:13, 17, 9:11, 15, both with reference to the moral corruption and also to the physical destruction of all that was living on the earth; and of the earth itself, which, as St. Peter said, 'perished' (2 Pet. 3:6). It is next used of the destruction of Sodom and Gomorrha (Gen. 13:10, 18:28, 19:13, 14, 29), a destruction which is regarded, both in the O.T. and N.T., as the sample of the punishment of the ungodly. It is used in connection with the destruction of the first-born in Egypt (Exod. 12:23), of trees (Deut. 20:19, 20), of the increase of the earth (Jud. 6:4, 5), of men in battle (Jud. 20:21, &c.), of cities (1 Sam. 23:10), of nations (2 Kings 8:19, 13:23).

In Ps. 55:23 we read, 'Thou shalt bring them down into the pit of destruction: bloody and deceitful men shall not live out half their days.' This is a sample of a large class of passages in which wickedness is represented as bringing an untimely or violent death as its consequence. God, on the contrary, redeems the life of His people from destruction; that is to say, He prolongs their days (Ps. 103:4). This word is also used in Dan. 9:26, 'The people of the prince that shall come shall destroy the city and the sanctuary;' and in Hos. 13:9, 'O Israel, thou hast destroyed thyself, but in me is thy help.' Lastly, the promise for the restored Jerusalem is, 'They shall not hurt nor destroy in all my holy mountain' (Isa. 11:9, 65:25).

The chief LXX rendering of this word is diafqeivrw; we also find in several passages fqeivrw, katafqeivrw, ojloqreuvw, ejxoloqreuvw, ejxaleivfw, and ajpovllumi.

The verb diafqeivrw is used of physical corruption in Luke 12:33, 2 Cor. 4:16, Rev. 8:9; of morel corruption in 1 Tim. 6:5, 'men corrupted in mind,' and Rev. 19:2; it is used in both senses in Rev. 11:18, 'To *corrupt* those that are *corrupting* the earth.'

The noun is only used in two passages, namely, in Acts 2:27, 31, and 13:34–37, in which Peter and Paul are applying Ps. 16:10 to the fact that our Lord's body was raised before corruption set in.

§ 5. The Root Shamad.

Shamad (dmv), to consume, is rendered 'destroy' in about eighty passages. It. is usually rendered ejxologreuww ² but sometimes ajpovllumi. It is applied several times to the destruction of nations, cities, and families by war, especially in the Books of Deuteronomy, Joshua. and Samuel. It is used of the destruction of the wicked in Ps. 37:38, 92:7, 'They shall be destroyed for ever;' 145:20, 'All the wicked will he destroy;' Isa. 13:9, 'He shall destroy the sinners out of the land.' The word occurs in Isa. 26:14, 'They are deed, they shall not live; they are deceased, they shall not rise: therefore hast thou visited and destroyed them, and made all their memory to perish.' This is an expression of the security in the mind of the speaker, who feels that there is no fear of the evil rulers rising again to play the tyrant or to mislead, but it is by no means to be taken as deciding the question whether these ungodly men may or may not have a future awaiting them.

Very often a qualifying expression is used, which shows that the destruction spoken of is relative, not absolute. Thus in Ezek. 14:9, 'I will destroy him from the midst of my people Israel;' Amos 9:8, 'I will destroy it from off the face of the earth;' Hag. 2:22, 'I will destroy the strength of the kingdoms of the heathen.' This points to the real meaning in other passages.

§ 6. The Root Charam.

Charam (µrj) is a religious word of great importance, as will be seen from its usage. It represents the devotion of some object to destruction or to a sacred use (answering to the double sense of the Latin sacer), not for the gratification of any selfish purpose, but as a religious act. It is rendered devote or dedicate in Lev. 27:21, with reference to a field; in verses 28 and 29 with reference to man, beast, and land; and the direction is given that the devoted object (if an animal) should not be redeemed, but put to death. With regard to the land, its devotion rendered it the property of the priest (Num. 18:14; Ezek. 44:29). This word was applied to the destruction of nations, partly because they were regarded as under the Divine doom, and partly also because the substance of the nations destroyed was dedicated to the Lord. Thus we read in Micah 4:13, 'Thou shalt beat in pieces many people, and I will consecrate (or devote) their gain unto the Lord, and their substance unto the Lord of the whole earth.' In Ezra 10:8 it is used of the forfeiture of the substance of those who did not come to the Passover, which was accompanied by the putting them out of the congregation. Also in Dan. 11:44 it is used of the way in which the king should 'make away' many.

The word is used of the accursed (*i.e.* devoted) city and substance of Jericho in the sixth and seventh chapters of Joshua, and in the reference to Achan's conduct in Josh. 22:20 and 1 Chron. 2:7.

The idols and their silver and gold are also described as cursed (*i.e.* devoted) in Deut. 7:26, 13:17. In Isa. 34:5 the Edomites are described as 'the people of God's curse,' *i.e.* devoted to destruction by God; and this accounts for the use of the word in 2 Chron. 20:23, 'The children of Ammon and

2 This word only occurs once in the N. T., namely, in Acts 3:23, which is a quotation from Deut.18:19, but not from the LXX.

Moab stood up against the inhabitants of Mount Seir utterly to slay them' (*i.e.* to devote them to destruction); without knowing it, they were carrying out the Divine purpose. In Isa. 43:28 God says, 'I have given Jacob to the curse,' *i.e.* I have devoted the people to destruction. This was in consequence of their idolatry and rebellion.

This same word, rendered 'curse,' is the last word in the solemn conclusion of Malachi's prophecy, 'Behold I will send you Elijah the prophet before the coming of the great and dreadful day of the Lord; and he shall turn the heart of the fathers to the children, and the heart of the children to the fathers, lest I come to smite the earth with a curse,' *i.e.* lest I come and devote the land of Israel to destruction. Alas! the warning voice of Elias was not attended to; Jerusalem did not recognise the day of its visitation; and it was smitten with a curse; the country was once more desolated, and the people scattered.

Charam is rendered *destroy* forty times. In almost all of these places reference is made to the destruction of the natives of Canaan and the surrounding country by Israel. The destruction of nations by Nebuchadnezzar is described by the same word in 2 Kings 19:11 and Isa. 37:11, perhaps because he was unwittingly carrying out the work of God in his destruction. In Isa. 11:15 the destruction of the land of Egypt by the Lord is referred to; and in Jer. 25:9, the destruction of Judah by the King of Babylon. The word is also used in Zech. 14:11, where the bright promise is given of a time when 'there shall be no more utter-destruction'—a hope that is carried forward in the N.T. in the words, 'There shall be no more curse' (Rev. 22:3).

With regard to the extermination of the Canaanites, the following points may be noticed. *First*, it was not taken in hand to accomplish personal revenge; Israel had no grudge against Canaan; the people had to be almost goaded into the land. *Secondly*, it was not done to gain plunder, for all plunder was regarded as cherem, devoted to God, and in that sense accursed. *Thirdly*, it was not done to gratify thirst for military glory; for the Hebrews were the smallest of nations, and were

told beforehand that if they conquered it would not be in their own strength, but in God's. *Fourthly*, it was not to be regarded as a reward for merit; they were a rebellious and stiff-necked people, and would have perished in the wilderness had not God remembered His holy covenant. *Fiftly*, the extermination of the Canaanites was to be a security against idolatry and demoralisation on the part of Israel. *Lastly*, these nations had filled up the measure of their iniquity, and the Israelites in destroying them were acting magisterially as God's agents.

The most prominent LXX renderings of this word are ejxologreuvw, ajnayemativzw, ajnayema, ajnayehma. The word ajnayehma occurs in Luke 21:5, where we read of the temple being adorned with *gifts*. ÆAnaqemativzw is found four times in the N.T. In Mark 14:71 it is used of Peter's cursing, which may have been a calling down of imprecation on his own head. So in Acts 23:12, 14:21, certain men 'bound themselves with an oath,' *i.e.* invited the curse of God in case they failed to carry out their purpose.

It is not easy to fix the exact sense of ajnavqema in the N.T. With the exception of Acts 23:14, it only occurs in five passages, which are in St. Paul's Epistles. In Gal. 1:8, 9, he says, 'If any one preach any other gospel than I have preached unto you, let him be anathema .' Again, 1 Cor. 16:22, 'If any one love not the Lord Jesus Christ, let him be anathema .' He does not say, let him be put away from among you, but, let him be regarded with aversion as an object on which the Lord will pour down indignation.

Again, the Apostle says (1 Cor. 12:3) that whatever spirit calls Jesus anathema is not of God. He is here giving a plain test by which the dullest comprehension could discern spirits. Whatever spirit prompts a man to speak of Jesus as an accursed object, that spirit cannot be of God.

The only other passage is Rom. 9:3, where Paul seems to have almost prayed or wished that an anathema may have come on him from Christ, for the sake of his brethren. In Father Simon's translation of the N.T., the passage reads thus:—'I could wish myself to be an anathema, for the sake of Jesus Christ, for my brethren,' &c. He considered that the Greek ajjpo; (from) might be rendered

'because of,' or 'for the sake of,' because the Hebrew preposition which answers to it in the O.T. is frequently used in this sense.

§ 7. Meaning and Use of the Word Sheol or Hades.

The state which we call death, *i.e.* the condition consequent upon the act of dying, is to be viewed in three aspects:—First, there is the tomb, or sepulchre, the local habitation of the physical frame, which is called Kever (rbq, Ass. qabru), Gen. 50:5; secondly, there is the *corruption* whereby the body itself is dissolved, which is represented by the word Shachath (tjv), discussed above; and thirdly, there is Sheol (lwav), which represents the locality or condition of the departed. The A. V. translates Sheol by the words Hell, the grave, the pit; the LXX usually renders it {Adh"; the R. V. has unfortunately put Sheol in the O.T., and Hades in the N.T. The original meaning of the Hebrew and Greek words is uncertain, but the following passages illustrate its usage:— Gen. 37:35, 'I shall go down to the grave unto my son mourning' (compare 42:38, 44:29, 31). 1 Sam. 2:6, 'The Lord killeth and maketh alive: he bringeth down to the grave and bringeth up.' Job 7:9, 'As the cloud is consumed and vanisheth away, so he that goeth down to the grave shall come up no (more). He shall return no more to his house, neither shall his place know him any more.' Job 14:13, 'O that thou wouldest hide me in the grave, that thou wouldest keep me secret, until thy wrath be past, that thou wouldest appoint me a set time and remember me.' Ps. 30:3, 'Thou hast brought up my soul from the grave: thou hast kept me alive, that I should not go down to the pit.' Ps. 49:14, 15, 'Like sheep they are laid in the grave; death shall feed on them; and the upright shall have dominion over them in the morning; and their beauty shall consume in the grave from their dwelling. But God will redeem my soul from the power of the grave: for he shall receive me.' Isa. 38:10, 'I shall go to the gases of the grave' Hos. 13:14, 'I will ransom them from the power (or hand) of the grave; I will redeem them from death: O death, I will be thy plagues; O grave, I will be thy destruction.' Num. 16:30, 33, 'They go down quick (i.e. alive) into the pit.' The word Hell stands for Sheol in the following amongst other passages:— Deut. 32:22, 'A fire is kindled in mine anger, and shall burn unto the lowest hell.' 2 Sam. 22:6, Ps. 18:5, 116:3, 'The sorrows of hell compassed me about, the snares of death prevented me.' Job 11:8, 'It is high as heaven; what

canst thou do? deeper than hell; what canst thou know?' Job 26:6, 'Hell is naked before him, and destruction hath no covering.' Ps. 9:17, 'The wicked shall be turned into hell, and all the nations that forget God.' Ps. 16:10, 'Thou wilt not leave my soul in hell, neither wilt thou suffer thine holy one to see corruption.' Ps. 139:8, 'If I ascend up into heaven, thou art there: if I make my bed in hell, behold thou art there.' Prov. 5:5, 'Her feet go down to death; her steps take hold on hell.' Prov. 15:11, 'Hell and destruction are before the Lord; how much more then the hearts of the children of men?' Prov. 23:14, 'Thou shalt beat him with the rod, and shalt deliver his soul from hell.' Prov. 27:20, 'Hell and destruction are never full; so the eyes of man are never satisfied.' Isa. 14:15, 'Yet thou shalt be brought down to hell, to the sides of the pit.' Ezek. 31:16, 17 (see also verse 15, above), 'I made the nations to shake at the sound of his fall, when I cast him down to hell with them that descend into the pit: and all the trees of Eden, the choice and best of Lebanon, all that drink water, shall be comforted in the nether parts of the earth. They also went down into hell with him unto them that be slain with the sword.' Amos 9:2, 'Though they dig into hell, thence shall mine hand take them; though they climb up to heaven, thence will I bring them down.' Jonah 2:2, 'Out of the belly of hell cried I, and thou heardest my voice.'

These are the most notable passages in which the word Sheol occurs. There is no reason to doubt that what the grave or pit is to the body, that Sheol is to the soul. It is the *nether-world*, and perhaps this would be the best rendering for the word. Not in one single passage is it used in the sense of the place of punishment after the resurrection, concerning which little, if anything, is definitely revealed in the O.T. It is contrasted, as regards its locality, with heaven, the one being regarded as

down, the other up. It is spoken of as an abode for those who have departed from the way of life and have chosen the path of evil. Concerning those who live to the Lord, if they enter it, they are to be delivered from its hand by the power of God; death shall not have dominion over them. It is dark and silent, a place where none can praise God. Its very name possibly signifies a place about which men inquire—an impenetrable hiding-place. It involves deprivation of the only kind of existence about which we have any definite knowledge, but some passages where it occurs imply a certain companionship. Though man knows so little about it, Sheol is naked and open before God He can find men there; He can hide them there; He can redeem them thence.

It is surprising to notice how few references there are to this region or condition in the N.T., it being only mentioned twelve times altogether. In Matt. 11:23, and Luke 10:15, it is used figuratively of the casting down of Capernaum from her exaltation; and in the same way it is said of the Church of Christ, that the gates of Hades shall not prevail against it (Matt. 16:18).

In Luke 16:23 the rich man entered Hades, not Gehenna. In Acts 2:27 St. Peter quotes the sixteenth Psalm, with regard to the interpretation of which there is some difference of opinion. The ordinary rendering is, 'Thou shalt not leave my soul in hell,' but there are critics who consider that the passage, both in the O.T. and N.T., ought to be rendered, 'Thou shalt not consign my soul to Hades;' whilst others are of opinion that it should be translated, 'Thou shalt not leave my dead body in the grave.' That the word nephesh, soul, may sometimes be translated 'dead body' is true (see chap. iv.); and that the word hades is often translated grave we have also seen to be true. So far as the usage of the words, therefore, is concerned, there is no objection to this last rendering; but the belief of the early Church as to the meaning of the text was in accordance with the more generally received translation. ³

In 1 Cor. 15:55, the A. V. has adopted the rendering grave for Hades. But, according to many early authorities, the right reading is *death*, not Hades. In

Rev. 1:18 (and, according to some MSS., in 3:7) the Lord is described as possessing the keys of Hades and death, *i.e.* it is He that can open the door of the nether world and call forth the dead into being. In Rev. 6:8 Death and Hades are described as the agents of slaughter.; and in chap.20:13, 14, they are said to yield up the dead that had been swallowed up by them, and then to be cast into the lake of fire.

§ 8. The Word Gehenna.

The word Gehenna means the valley of Hinnom (lit. Gai-Hinnom), immediately outside Jerusalem (see Josh. 15:8). In 2 Kings 23:10, 2 Chron. 28:3, and 33:6, it is the scene of degraded idolatrous customs; and in Jer. 19. it is described as not only a centre of iniquity, but also a place of retribution. In this sense it was used by the Jews in our Lord's time (see *e.g.* Pirko Aboth), and the Lord Himself takes it as the place or condition of punishment. It is only used by Him (Matt. 5:22, 29, 30, 10:28, 18:9, 23:15, 33; Mark 9:43, 47; Luke 12:5) and in James 3:6.

§ 9. Death.

The general word to represent dying is Moth (twm, Ass. mutu); other words, however, are occasionally used. Thus Naphal (lpn, Ass. napalu), to fall, occurs in Gen. 25:18, 'He died (or *fell*) in the presence of (or *before*) all his brethren.' Shadad (ddv, Ass. sadadu), to destroy, is found in Jud. 5:27, 'There he fell down dead' (*i.e.* destroyed). Gava< ([wg), to breathe out or expire (ejkpnevw), is

3 See Pearson on the Creed.

used in Gen. 6:17, 'Everything that is in the earth shall die;' 7:21; Num. 20:3, 'Would God that we had died when our brethren died before the Lord;' verse 29; Job 27:5, 29:18, 36:12; Ps. 88:15, 104:29; Zech. 13:8. This word is only used with reference to the death of our Lord in the N.T.; see Mark 15:37, 39; Luke 23:46.

Nivlah (hlbn), a carcase, is used in Lev. 7:24, 'The fat of the beast *that dieth* (of itself),' lit. 'the fat of a carcase.' So also in Lev. 17:15, 22:8; Deut. 14:8, 21; Ps. 79:2; Isa. 26:19, 'Thy dead men shall live, together with my dead body shall they arise;' Jer. 26:23, 34:20, 36:30; Ezek. 4:14, 44:31. Another word, signifying carcase (rgp, Ass. pagru), is used in 2 Chron. 20:24, 25; Jer. 31:40, 33:5, 41:9.

Rephaim (µyapr), Ass. rapu, 'to be weak'), which in other places is rendered giants, is used of the dead in Job 26:5, 'Dead (things) are formed from under the waters, with the inhabitants thereof;' Ps. 88:10, 'Wilt thou shew wonders to the dead? Shall the dead arise and praise thee?' Prov. 2:18, 'For her house inclineth unto death (twm), and her paths unto the dead.' See also Prov. 9:18, 21:16; Isa. 14:9, 26:19.

The Hebrew reduplicated form, 'Dying thou shalt die,' or 'Thou shalt surely die,' is found several times in the O.T., and is quoted in the N.T. in Matt. 15:4; Mark 7:10. It has sometimes been supposed that this expression, being so very emphatic, refers to something more than death, and implies the judgment that follows; but this cannot fairly be inferred from the form in question.

§ 10. Use of the Word Death in the N.T.

The word qavnato" (death) answers in the LXX both to moth and to dever (rbd), pestilence. It has a spiritual signification in the N.T., which calls for a short discussion.

Our Lord said to His disciples (Matt. 16:28), 'There are some standing here who

shall not taste of death until they see the Son of Man coming in his kingdom.' The words are given in another form by St. Mark (9:1), 'There are some who shall not taste of death until they see the kingdom of God come with power.' See also Luke 9:27.

The object of this passage was to prepare the minds of the disciples for the grand truth that death, which had been hitherto the terror of the world, was to lose its *taste* or *sting* in the case of those who united themselves to the Lord by faith. Christ Himself was to die, He was to suffer the pairs of death, His soul was to be exceeding sorrowful even unto death, but by death He was to over-come him who had the power of death, and to deliver them who through fear of death had been all their lives subject to bondage. He thus introduced a now view of life and death, telling His disciples that he who would save his life by denying the Lord, should love it, whilst he who was willing to lose his life for the Lord's sake, the same should save it. The Lord would be ashamed of the one on the Great Day, but would confess the other.

The entrance into a new life which takes place through faith in Christ involves death in another sense. It is a cutting off of human nature from its old modes and principles of existence—in other words, it is death to sin. Just as in physical dissolution the body ceases to feel, the heart to bent, the bands to work, and the feet to walk, so in this mystical death the body and all its members are to be no longer servants to sin; the same breach or gulf is to be made between the Christian and sin as there is between a dead man and the outer world in which he used to live and move and have his being. This death is related to the crucifixion of Christ, who 'died to sin.' The believer is baptized into Christ's death, he dies with Christ, is made conformable to His death, is crucified with Christ (Rom. 6:5; 2 Cor. 5:14; Gal. 2:19, 20; Col. 2:20, 3:3). In 1 Pet. 2:24, the word rendered dead in the

A. V. and R. V., and which only occurs here, signifies severance (ajpogivgnomai). The *second death* is mentioned only in the Book of Revelation (2:11, 20:6,14, 21:8). This is a condition of things which follows after the resurrection. Those that overcome and are faithful unto

death shall not suffer injury from it. Those that have part in the first resurrection shall not be subjected to its power. It is thus described in Rev. 20:14, 15, 'Death and Hades (*i.e.* perhaps, those evil spirits that have the power of death and Hades) were cast into the lake of fire, this (i.e. the being cast into the lake of fire) is the second death;' 'Whosoever was not found written in the Book of Life was east into this lake.' Again, we rend (21:8) that, whilst he who overcometh shall inherit all things, he who does not overcome, but gives way to instability, unbelief, idolatrous abominations, murder, fornication, witchcraft, idolatry, and lies, shall have his part in the lake which burneth with fire and brimstone, which is the second death.

CHAPTER XXV.

SATAN, TEMPTER.

O UR knowledge of beings of a less material nature than ourselves, whether good or evil, is chiefly gained from Scripture, though an independent belief in the existence of spirits has been widespread for ages. The intrusion of wild, strange, bold, and blasphemous suggestions into the heart of the Christian in his holiest moments is a phenomenon not easily reconcilable with any other theory. Evil is often breathed into men's hearts, they know not how; their intellects are sharpened whilst their consciences are deadened; they are impelled the wrong way by an evil force which is *in* them but not *of* them; fountains of vileness and sin are opened and almost created in their hearts, and they are in danger of being plunged into every kind of violence. Whence are all these things? Are they to be accounted for by natural causes? Is man the sole originator of his wrong-doing? Has he only himself to blame? Scripture tells us that this is not the ease. It unfolds to us the feet that the children of men are beset by tempters who try to make men as much as possible like themselves.

§ 1. The Words Devil and Satan.

The word Sa<ir (ry[ic; is translated 'devil' in Lev. 17:7, 'They shall no more offer their sacrifices unto devils;' and in 2 Chron. 11:15, 'He ordained him priests for the high places, and for the devils, and for the calves which he had made.' In each of these passages the LXX translates 'vain things' (mavtaia), by which no doubt they meant idols. The first passage evidently refers to a false worship which had become common in Israel during their stay in Egypt; and the second, pointing as it does to the introduction of idolatry by Jeroboam after his return from Egypt, would lead us in the same direction. The word Sa<ir originally signifies 'a goat,' and is usually so rendered; and it is probable that goat-worship is referred to in these passages.

Sa<ir is translated Satyr in Isa.13:21, 'Satyrs shall dance there;' see also Isa. 34:14. In these passages the Sa<ir is introduced in company with the owl and the wild beast of the desert as freely taking up its abode among the ruins of ancient cities. There can be little doubt that goats are referred to in these passages, but the LXX adopts the word demon (daimovnion) in the first of them. The idea that evil spirits haunt desolate places and ruins is a very old one.

The word Shed (dv,), Ass. sedu, 'spirit') is rendered 'devil' twice. In Deut. 32:17, 'They sacrificed unto devils, not to God;' or, as it is in the margin, 'to devils which were not God;' compare 1 Cor. 10:20. See also Ps. 106:37, 'Yea, they sacrificed their sons and their daughters unto devils.'

In each of these cases, and in all places where 'devil' occurs in the plural number in the A. V. of the N.T., the Greek word is demon (daimovnion). The same rendering has been given in Ps. 96:5, and in Isa. 65:11, where some form of idolatry is specified in the words 'that prepare a table for that troop,' ¹ or, as it is in the margin, 'Gad;' and in Isa. 34:14, where we read, 'The wild beasts of the desert,' margin 'Ziim.' But little light is thrown by these passages on the real meaning of o daimovnion, as understood by the Jewish readers of the LXX in our Lord's time. Its use in the Apocrypha answers to our idea of evil spirits.

Satan (÷fc) is, properly speaking, an adversary or plotter, or one who devises means for opposing another. The word is used either in its verbal or substantival form in the following passages: — Num. 22:22, 'The angel of the Lord stood in the way for an adversary against him.' 1 Sam. 29:4, 'Lest in the battle he be an adversary to us.' 2 Sam. 19:32, 'What have I to do with you, that ye should this day be adversaries unto me?' 1 Kings 5:4, 'There is neither adversary nor evil occurrent.' 1 Kings 11:14, 'The Lord stirred up an adversary unto Solomon.' Ps. 38:20, 'They also that render evil for good are mine adversaries' Ps. 71:13, 'Let them be confounded and consumed that are adversaries to my soul.' Ps. 109:4, 'For my love they are my adversaries.' Verse 6, 'Let Satan (without the article in the Hebrew and in the Greek) stand at his right hand.' Verse 20, 'Let this be the reward of mine adversaries from the Lord.' Verse 29, 'Let mine adversaries be clothed with shame.'

In these passages the LXX renders by the verb diabavllw, ejpivboulo", or diavbolo". In 1 Chron. 21:1 we read that 'Satan stood up against Israel and provoked David to number Israel.' There is no article here in the Hebrew or Greek (diavbolo"), therefore the word might be rendered 'an adversary,' as in other passages. Turning to the corresponding passage, 2 Sam. 24:1, we read, 'And again the anger of the Lord was kindled against Israel, and he moved David against them to say, Go, number Israel and Judah.' As in 1 Kings 11:14 we were told plainly that 'The Lord stirred up *a Satan* against Solomon;' so, putting these two parallel passages together, we may gather that 'The Lord stirred up *a Satan*

against Israel.' The Bible reminds us more than once that provocations to evil are not only permitted but ordered by God, to test those who are strong in faith, and to show those who are depending on themselves how vain it is for them to trust in any one but the living God. The instruments that are used for this purpose are in some cases evil spirits.

Satan is referred to very definitely in Job 1:6–12, 2:1–7. In these passages we have the definite article both in the Hebrew and in the Greek (oJ diavbolo"), and we have a confirmation of the view which the previous passages suggested, that human opposition to what is good is secretly instigated by a being who lives in another sphere of existence, and who is the adversary, or, to use the Greek translation in its modern English form, the devil; that he is permitted by God to put men's faith to the test by the infliction of various evils, but that he can do nothing without such permission. In the vision recorded by Zechariah (3:1, 2) we read thus: 'He shewed me Joshua the high priest, standing before the angel of the Lord, and Satan (the adversary, not, as in our margin, "an adversary") standing at his right hand to resist (lit. to satan) him. And the Lord said unto (the) Satan , The Lord rebuke thee, Satan .' Here again there is an article in the Hebrew and Greek; and Satan is brought into conflict with the angels of God, as at the opening of the Book of Job, where the LXX renders 'sons of God' as 'angels of God.' The same being is evidently referred to, and he is engaged in the same work, but is subjected to the rebuke of God.

The word Satan is regarded as an equivalent title with *the devil* in the N.T., just as *evil spirit* is often substituted for *demon* in the parallel accounts of the same event in the Gospels. He is regarded 1 David Mill has an interesting dissertation on this point. He considers that Gad is the god of fortune, answering to Meni in the other part of the verse. He hints that there is a connection between the words *Gad*, atergatis, and *God*, and (possibly) aj-gaqov"; he also thinks that there is a reference to Fortune in the use of the name Gad in Gen. 30:11. He likewise connects Achad, which we have naturally translated '*one*' in Isa. 66:17, with the Hecate.

as the enemy of souls, leading them into sin, and aiming at their destruction. He appears to have had influence over the bodies of men, and death is regarded as his masterpiece. But the Son of God by dying overcame 'him that had the power of death, that is, the devil' (Heb. 2:14).

Where the word diavbolo" occurs in the N.T. with the definite article, we may conclude that *the* adversary, Satan, is referred to. The following are instances of its usage:—Our Lord was tempted by the devil (Matt. 4.); the enemy who sowed tares is the devil (Matt. 13:39); the fire is prepared for the devil and his angels (Matt. 25:41); the devil takes the good seed out of man's heart (Luke 8:12); the devil put it into the heart of Judas to betray the Lord (John 13:2). There is no definite article in Acts 13:10, where Paul addresses Elymas as the son of diavbolo"; probably, however, this passage may be classed with the others; compare St. John's words 'children of the devil' (1 John 3:10). The devil is identified by name with Satan in Rev. 20:2.

The word occurs without the article, and in a more general sense, in 1 Tim. 3:11; 2 Tim. 3:3; Titus 2:3; and also in John 6:69, where our Lord says, 'One of you is a devil,' *i.e.* an adversary or false accuser.

The verb daimonivzesqui is only used in the Gospels, and expresses the case of those who are suffering from the agency of demons. These mysterious beings are constantly referred to in the N.T. as being cast out through the Lord's power. In John 10:20, the being possessed with a demon is regarded as equivalent to madness.

In Acts 17:18 the Athenian philosophers give the word its more classical usage, and accordingly it is rendered gods, that is to say, *demigods* or *genii* . ² In this sense it seems to be understood in 1 Cor. 10:20, 21; 1 Tim. 4:1; James 2:19; and Rev. 9:20, 16:14.

§ 2. Temptation.

The word 'tempt,' or 'temptation,' occurs sixteen times in the O.T. In Mal. 3:15 the Hebrew word is Bachan (÷jb), to prove or test, as metals are tested in the crucible (see verse 10, where the same Hebrew word is rendered prove. In the remaining passages we find Nasah (hsn), literally 'to test by the smell,' hence ' to put to the proof.' In all these passages (with one exception, namely, Gen. 22:1, where we are told that God tempted or tested Abraham) the word is used with reference to the way in which man has put God's power or forbearance to the test. Thus in Exod. 17:2, 7, we are told that Israel 'tempted' God in the wilderness, and the place was therefore called Massah, a name derived from the word Nasah . In Ps. 78:41 we read, 'They turned back, and tempted God, and limited the Holy One of Israel.' This *limitation* was the setting an imaginary boundary to God's power and goodness, and thus calling Him forth to step over that boundary. The temptations in the wilderness are referred to several times both in the Pentateuch and Psalms, and usually in the same sense. In three passages, however, namely, Deut. 4:34, 7:19, and 29:3, reference is made not to the provocations which God endured when His forbearance was put to the test in the wilderness, but to the mode in which His purpose towards Israel and His power of working wonders were proved and demonstrated by His conduct towards Pharaoh and his people.

The usage of the two words will be more clearly seen if we compare other passages where they occur.

Bachan is found in the following passages:—Gen. 42:15, 16, 'Hereby ye shall be proved ... that your words may be proved, whether there be any truth in you.' 1 Chron. 29:17, 'Thou triest the heart.' Job 23:10, 'When he hath tried me, I shall come forth as gold.' Ps. 7:9, 'The righteous God trieth the hearts and reins;' so Jer. 11:20. Ps. 11:4, 5, 'His eyelids try the children of men. The Lord trieth the righteous.' Ps. 17:3, 'Thou hast proved mine heart.' Ps. 81:7, 'I proved thee at the waters

2 See, however, chap. ii.

of Meribah.' Ps. 139:23, 'Try me, and know my thoughts.' Prov. 17:3, 'The fining pot is for silver, and the furnace for gold; but the Lord trieth the hearts.' Isa. 28:16, 'Behold, I lay in Zion for a foundation a stone, a tried stone;' the LXX, as quoted in the N.T., adopts the word elect (ejklektov") in this passage. Jer. 17:10, 'I the Lord search the heart, I try the reins, even to give every man according to his ways;' see also chap.20:12. Ezek. 21:13, 'It is a trial.' Zech. 13:9, 'I will try them as gold is tried.' Mal. 3:10, 'Prove me now herewith' —an idea taken up in the fifteenth verse, where the same word is used in the words, 'They that tempt God are even delivered.'

Nasah occurs in Exod. 15:25, 'There he proved them;' Exod. 16:4, 20:20; Deut. 8:2, 16, 13:3; Jud. 2:22, 3:1, 4; 2 Chron. 32:31. In Deut. 4:34 it is rendered 'assay' as well as 'temptation;' and in Deut. 28:56, it is rendered 'adventure' in the A. V. In Jud. 6:39 Gideon says, 'Let me prove, I pray thee, but this once with the fleece.' 1 Sam. 17:39, David girded on his armour and he assayed ³ to go (lit. he was on the verge of starting), but he put the armour off again, 'for he had not proved it.'

1 Kings 10:1, the Queen of Sheba came to Solomon 'to prove him with hard words.' Compare 2 Chron. 9:1.

It is also used in Job 4:2 ('assay'); Job 9:23 ('trial'); Eccles. 2:1 and 7:23; also in Dan. 1:12 and 14, where it is rendered 'prove.'

The two words occur together in Ps. 26:2, 'Examine (bachan) me, O Lord, and prove (nasah) me;' and in Ps. 95:9, 'When your fathers tempted (nasah) me, proved (bachan) me, and saw my work.'

A consideration of these passages leads to the conclusion that the various evils and struggles and difficulties which are prompted from within, or which befall man from without, are ordered by God as part of the great system of probation or testing to which every child of Adam is being subjected. The agency of the Evil

One is permitted for the purpose of bringing a man into that sort of contact with evil which will serve to test his real principles.

The LXX translates Bachan by ejtavzw, ejxetavzw, faivnomai, fanero;" givnomai, manqavnw, krivnw, diakrivnw, dokimavzw (the most usual word), dikaiovw (Ezek. 21:13), ejpistrevfw, ajnqivsthmi, and ejklekto;" (Prov. 17:3 and Isa. 28:6).

Nasah is always translated by peiravzw, or one of its compounds.

§ 3. Temptation in the N.T.

The word ejtavzw does not occur in the N.T., but ejxetavzw is used three times to represent accurate, scrutinising search (Matt. 2:8, 10:11; John 21:12); faivnomai is used to indicate the result of such scrutiny in 2 Cor. 13:7; and so fanero;" givnesqai is found in the sense of being brought to the test in Luke 8:17, 'There is nothing hidden which shall not be made manifest;' 1 Cor. 3:13, 'His work shall be made manifest;' see also 1 Cor. 11:19, 14:25; 1 John 3:10.

There is some difficulty in giving a consistent rendering to diakrivnw in the N.T. It often answers, both in sense as well as etymology, to the word discern, as in Matt. 16:3, 'Ye can discern the face of the heavens;' 1 Cor. 11:31, 'If we discerned ourselves (*i.e.* our own motives) we should not be judged of the Lord.' In other passages the word is used in a causative sense, as when we read, 'Who maketh thee to differ,' in 1 Cor. 4:7; so perhaps we should understand 1 Cor. 11:29, 'Not making a distinction between ordinary food and that which represents the body of Christ.'

In Jude 9 we read of Michael contending (diakrinovmeno") with Satan; but in the twenty-second verse, where the same part of the verb occurs, it has been rendered, 'On some have compassion, making a difference;' might it not be rendered 'contending with them,' in accordance with the previous passage? ⁴ The verb has this sense also in Acts 11:2, where we read that they after

3 It would have been better to put 'he essayed.'

circumcision contended with Peter.

In the passive voice the word has come to signify doubting, *i.e.* the subjection of the mind and will to fluctuations and contending impulses. Thus we read in Matt. 21:21, 'If ye have faith and doubt not;' so Mark 11:23; Acts 10:20; Rom. 4:20 (where the A. V. reads, 'He staggered not at the promise'); Rom. 14:23; James 1:6, 2:4.

The word dokimavzein is also used of the process of scrutiny whereby a man is brought to the test. It is sometimes used as a substitute for diakrivnein, as in Luke 12:56, which may be compared with Matt. 16:3, quoted above. So the man says of his yoke of oxen, 'I go to prove them,' Luke 14:19; Rom. 2:18, 'Thou discernest what is excellent.' Compare Rom. 12:2, 'That you may make proof of what is that good, and acceptable, and perfect, will of God;' 1 Cor. 3:13, 'The fire shall test every man's work;' 1 Cor. 11:28, 'Let a man scrutinise himself;' compare the thirty-first verse, where diakrivnw is used. Compare also 2 Cor. 8:8, 22, 13:5; Gal. 6:4; Eph. 5:10; Phil. 1:10; 1 Tim. 3:10; 1 John 4:1.

Sometimes the verb signifies that the scrutiny has been satisfactory; it is then rendered to approve. So we read in 1 Thess. 2:4, 'We have been approved of God.' Compare Rom. 1:28, 'They did not approve of the retaining God in their knowledge.' In this verse the Apostle carries on the idea contained in the verb dokimavzw a little further, for he proceeds, 'Wherefore God gave them up to a reprobate mind' (ajdovkimon nou'n) They rejected Him, so He rejected them. The word ajdovkimo" has usually been rendered reprobate, as in 2 Cor. 13:5, where we have the same connection of words as in the passage last quoted, 'Prove (dokimavzete) your own selves ... unless ye be reprobate' (ajdovkimoi). In one place, however, and that a very remarkable one, our translators have preferred to render ajdovkimo" by 'castaway,' namely, in 1 Cor. 9:27, where St. Paul says, 'I bring my body into subjection, lest, whilst I have preached to others, I myself should be unable to pass the scrutiny (of the last day).'

St. James and St. Peter concur in using the expression 'the trial of your faith.' Here the word is dokivmion (James 1:3; 1 Pet. 1:7), and the idea suggested is that the faith which a Christian professes has to be submitted to the test of affliction and temptation, just as gold is put into a crucible and passed through the fire.

The word dovkimo" is used several times by St. Paul, and signifies the condition of him who has stood the test and is approved. See 2 Tim. 2:15, and compare James 1:12, 'When he is tried,' *i.e.* approved. In accordance with these passages, we can understand Rom. 5:4, where we read that 'Patience worketh experience' (dokivmhn). This doubtless means that as tribulation is the occasion whereby endurance or patience is developed, so this endurance becomes a test or proof that our faith is living and true.

When we turn from these various Greek words which stand for the Hebrew word Bachan to peiravzein, which always represents the word Nasah, we notice a marked difference of sense. The scrutiny or testing process which we have been considering is exercised by men, aided by the enlightenment of the Holy Spirit, in this life, and will be brought to bear upon the hearts and lives of all men by God hereafter. But peirasmov" is almost always represented in the N.T. as the work of the devil or of those who are following his guidance. Thus Christ during His earthly ministry 'suffered, being tempted,' and those temptations, which were of various kinds, were thrown in His path sometimes by Satan himself, and sometimes by the Pharisees and others, who sought to entangle Him in an offence against God or man. In the Acts we read of Ananias and Sapphira tempting the Spirit of God (Acts 5:9), and of Peter asking the brethren why they tempted God by imposing the law of Moses on the Gentile converts (15:10). In James 1:13, 14, we have the whole history of temptation, so far as the operations of the human heart are concerned. Satan's operations are implied, but not directly stated. A man is said to be led away when he is baited (deleazovmeno") by his own passions. But who is it that uses these things as a bait? Not God. Let no man say, in this 4 But the text is uncertain. See R. V.

sense, I am tempted of God. Not man; for he cannot bait the hook with which he himself is to be beguiled and destroyed. It must, then, be the Evil One, who makes use of the inclinations of the heart as a means of dragging him to ruin.

When we ask God not to lead us into temptation, we mean, Lead us not into that position, and put us not into those circumstances, in which we should be in danger of falling an easy prey to the assaults of Satan. In connection with this prayer, we have the promise that with every temptation in which God permits us to be placed, He provides a way of escape that we may be able to go through without falling. He allows the way in, and He makes the way out (th;n e[kbasin), 1 Cor. 10:13.

One or two passages only in which the verb occurs are to be interpreted differently. In 2 Cor. 13:5, 'tempt yourselves' means put yourself to the test, as we see from the context, which shows that the word is used as a parallel to the verb dokimavzein. In this sense we must understand the use of the word in Heb. 11:17, where the writer refers to the temptation of Abraham in the matter of the offering of Isaac. God put Abraham's faith and obedience to the test, whilst Satan tempted him to disobey.

CHAPTER XXVI.

WITCHCRAFT, DIVINATION, SOOTHSAYING.

S UPERSTITION is the natural complement to materialism. The mind of man, having once become warped in religious matters, does not cling with unerring sagacity to the truth that there is a God, but goes aside into bypaths, sometimes resting in that which is material, and seeking to exclude the idea of spiritual existences altogether from the mind; at other times oscillating in the direction of what is now called *spiritualism*, a system known in earlier days by the ruder

name of witchcraft. Few things are more fascinating than the thought that the secrets of the hidden world or of the unknown future may be unfolded through dealings with the departed, or that one person may, by going through certain mysterious processes, exercise a powerful influence over the will or destiny of another. Incantations, drugs, vapours, the conjunction of the stars, the voice or flight of birds, the passage of the clouds, mesmerism, animal-magnetism, electrobiology—these and suchlike have been used in various ages and countries to take the place of religion, and by their means men have mimicked the supernatural dealings of God. But they are all abominable (Deut. 18:10-12), and are to give way before the simple voice of the inspired prophet. Accordingly, the Ephesian converts acted on a true instinct, and in plain harmony with the teaching of the O.T., when they discarded their 'curious arts,' and burnt all their books at a great sacrifice (Acts 19:19)). How dishonouring to God these practices are the prophet Isaiah plainly shows (Isa. 8:19), and how unprofitable to man our Lord teaches when He lays down that if men believe not Moses and the prophets, neither will they be persuaded though one rose from the dead (Luke 16:31).

§ 1. Witchcraft.

With one exception, which will be referred to under the head of 'divination,' the word for witch and witchcraft throughout the O.T. is Cashaph (¹vk, Ass. kasipu). The original meaning of this word is unknown, but if we may judge from the use of cognate forms in Arabic and Syriac, it may

be taken to refer to the performance of religious rites, either in the way of prayer or of secret communications with another world.

Witchcraft was adopted in very early days as a method of trading upon the religious instincts and superstitions of mankind. It was largely carried on by the female sex, though not confined to it. Thus Cashaph is applied to the 'sorcerers' of Egypt in Exod. 7:11, to Israelite sorcerers in Jer. 27:9 and Mal. 3:5, and to those of Chaldean origin in Dan. 2:2. It is also used of sorcery in Isa. 47:12. That the Canaanites were well acquainted with the art is evident from the fact that they had a city (Acshaph) which must have been specially named from it (Josh. 11:1, 12:20, 19:25).

The word is rendered witch or witchcraft in the following passages:—Exod. 22:18; Deut. 18:10; 2 Kings 9:22; 2 Chron. 33:6; Micah 5:12; Nahum 3:4.

With regard to the exact nature of the art represented by this word, little is known; but the general rendering of the LXX, which is farmakeiva, leads to the supposition that the use of drugs, probably to produce clouds of vapour, was part of the process. The art, whatever it might be, was denounced as one of the works of the flesh in Gal. 5:20, and is referred to in Rev. 9:21, 21:8, 22:15. See also Acts 19:19.

§ 2. Divination.

The one exception noticed above is 1 Sam. 15:23, where we read that 'rebellion is as the sin of witchcraft;' but it would be better to say 'the sin of divination.' The word used is Kasam (µsq, LXX manteuvw). It stands for Joseph's divining cup. The original meaning of the word seems to be 'to divide' or 'partition out.' Its first appearance is where the elders of Moab go to Balaam with 'the rewards of divination in their hand' (Num. 22:7), and where the seer announces that 'there is no divination against Israel' (23:23). Balaam is directly called a diviner (A. V.

soothsayer) in Josh. 13:22. We meet with it among the list of similar practices in Deut. 18:10 and 14, where we are given to understand that it was common among the Canaanites.

The Philistines had their diviners (1 Sam. 6:2), and the witch of Endor was asked 'to divine by the familiar spirit' (1 Sam. 28:8). In Isa. 44:25, it is said of God that He 'frustrateth the significant tokens of liars (*i.e.* their false miracles), and maketh diviners mad;' and in Jer. 14:14, false prophets 'prophesy unto you a false vision and divination, and a thing of nought and the deceit of their heart.'

See also Jer. 27:9, 29:8; Ezek. 12:24, 13:6, 7, 9, 23, 21:29, 22:28; Micah 3:6, 7; Zech. 10:2.

In Isa. 3:2 the word is rendered 'prudent;' and in Prov. 16:10 we read that 'a divine sentence,' *i.e.* a word of divination, 'is in the lips of the king.' The diviners were doubtless shrewd men, well acquainted with the affairs of those whom they had to do with, and able to deliver their prognostications in oracular and enigmatical language.

Three special modes of divination are alluded to in Ezek. 21:21, 'The king of Babylon stood at the parting of the way, at the head of two ways, to use divination: he made his arrows (or knives) bright, he consulted with his images (or seraphim), he looked in the liver.'

The ordinary word for a diviner in the LXX is mavnti", a seer or soothsayer. This art is only once referred to in the N.T., namely, in Acts 16:16, where we read of the Philippian damsel that she got for her masters much gains by divining (manteuomevnh).

§ 3. The Familiar Spirit.

The familiar spirit is Ob (bwa), literally, 'a bottle' (see Job 32:19, where the word is used), and hence perhaps the hollow sound which might be produced by

the wind or breath in an empty bottle

or skin. The LXX renders the word ejggastrivmuqo", ventriloquist; so that the process called Ob must probably have depended in some degree on the power of producing some peculiar sound which might represent the voice of the dead. This point is alluded to in Isa. 8:19, where we read of 'them that have familiar spirits,' together with 'wizards that peep and that mutter' (lit. that chirp or squeak, see 10:14, and that utter a low sound or speak indistinctly, see 59:3). Also in Isa. 29:4 we read, 'Thou shalt be brought down, and shalt speak out of the ground, and thy speech shall be low out of the dust, and thy voice shall be as of one that hath a familiar spirit, out of the ground, and thy speech shall whisper (or chirp) out of the dust.' The idea that the dead, if they could speak at all, would be represented as speaking out of the ground, is very old and very natural; see Gen. 4:10, 'The voice of thy brother's blood crieth unto me from the ground.'

In one passage (2 Kings 21:6) the LXX renders the word by qelhthv", by which was meant perhaps a person with a strong will who could act upon the feelings of others. If this were not a solitary instance, one might be inclined to connect Ob with the root Avah (hba), to win, and to class the dealings referred to with those which are now called animal magnetism, and possibly to introduce the ejqeloqrhskeiva or will-worship of the N.T. into the same category. The word Ob also occurs in Lev. 19:31, 20:6, 27; Deut. 18:11; 2 Kings 21:6, 23:24; 1 Chron. 10:13; 2 Chron. 33:6; and Isa. 19:3.

The most interesting passage, however, is that in which 'the witch of Endor' is described (1 Sam. 28:3, 7, 8, 9). We are first told that Saul had put away these 'familiar spirits' out of the land, then that he charged his servants to seek out a woman who dealt in this forbidden art. Accordingly, they find out for him a 'mistress of Ob,' and he visits her in disguise and asks her to divine to him by Ob, and to bring up that which he should speak of to her. The woman, under a promise of secrecy, is ready enough to gratify his wishes, and asks whom she shall raise up. Her business then was *necromancy*, the real or pretended dealing with the departed, the 'inquiring of the deed,' which is called necromancy in Deut. 18:11. There is no indication from other parts of Scripture where Ob is

referred to that there was usually any *appearance*; but generally a voice, which was supposed to be that of the departed person, was heard to proceed, as it were, from the ground, sometimes muttering indistinctly and sometimes 'peeping,' that is to say, piping or chirping like the thin shrill notes of a bird.

Saul says, 'Bring me up Samuel.' No sooner are the words uttered than, to her astonishment, the woman perceives Samuel. She screams with terror, and says to her visitor, 'Why hast thou deceived me? And thou art Saul.' There was no sham here. God had permitted the prophet to appear, perhaps clad in judicial robes of office, so that she said, 'I saw gods (or judges ¹) coming up from the earth.'

Did the woman really bring up Samuel? She professed afterwards that she had done so (verse 21), but the narrative rather implies that it was not so. Certainly there is no encouragement here for Spiritualism or Theosophy, especially when we remember that 'Saul died for his transgression, and *also for asking counsel of a* familiar spirit, instead of inquiring of the Lord' (I Chron. 10:13, 14).

§ 4. The Wizard and Magician.

The word for wizard is Id<oni (ynI[od]yI), literally, 'knowing one.' They are always ranked with those who deal in Ob, and are to be regarded with equal abhorrence. They are referred to in Lev. 19:31, 20:6, 27; Deut. 18:11; 1 Sam. 28:3, 9; 2 Kings 21:6, 23:24; 2 Chron. 33:6; Isa. 8:19, and 19:3

. These 'knowing' persons were no doubt wise in their generation, 'prudent' like the diviners, and skilled in the art of preying upon the follies and superstitions of those who came into contact with

1 See chap. ii.

them. The LXX rendering is usually ejpaoidov", an enchanter, or gnwvsth", a knowing person.

The magicians were Chartummim (µymfrj), a name which is supposed to be derived from Charath, a graving tool (compare the name Khartoum). The LXX sometimes calls them ejxhghtaiv, explainers. Perhaps they were engravers of hieroglyphics, and possessed of that secret knowledge which these sculptures represented, and which they communicated to the people with considerable reserve. Although at first sight it might be supposed from the facts narrated concerning them in the Book of Exodus that they were possessed of preternatural powers, yet it may well be doubted if they had access to any other secret influences than those which natural science is daily bringing to light, or than those by means of which the Indian juggler astounds his European spectators.

§ 5. The Soothsayer and Enchanter.

The Chaldean soothsayer whom we read of in Dan. 2:27, 4:7, 5:7, 11, was no doubt an astrologer, who pretended to do what astrologers in many countries and in various eras have professed to do, namely, to calculate the destinies of man by interpreting the movements and conjunctions of the heavenly bodies. Their name is derived from Gezar (rz³/4gÒ), which is literally to cut. Whether this name was applied to them from their marking out the heavens into certain divisions for purposes of observation, or whether they derived it from the fact that they cut off or decided the fate of those who came to them for advice, is a matter which perhaps cannot now be determined.

The astrologer of Dan. 1:20, &c., is Ashaph (¹va), for which the Greek rendering is mavgo". Compare Matt. 2:1, where Wycliffe, rightly puts 'astronomers.'

Another mode of attempting to obtain information was by the, examination of the clouds. Hence the use of the word Anan (\div n[), klhdonivzomai 'to observe the

clouds.' These observers are ranked with all the other intruders into unlawful pursuits in Deut. 18:10 and 14, under the title of soothsayers. They are mentioned in Isa. 2:6, where it is said of Israel that 'they are soothsayers like the Philistines.' See also Micah 5:12. They are spoken of in Isa. 57:3 as the sons of 'the sorceress,' and are classed with the vile, the impure, and the idolater. In Jer. 27:9, the A. V. calls them 'enchanters;' and in Lev. 19:26, 2 Kings 21:6, and 2 Chron. 33:6, they are described as 'the observers of times,' that is to say, persons who by examining the clouds profess to be able to tell at what exact crisis any event is to be expected to take place, and when a good opportunity arrives for doing a certain work.

The word Chever (rb,j,), 'binding' or 'fascination,' is rendered enchantment in Isa. 47:9, 12, where reference is made to Babylon; and is rendered 'charmer' in Deut. 18:11, also in Ps. 58:5, where the serpent charmer is referred to. In the early part of the same verse, Lachash (vjl), to whisper, is used for the art of the serpent charmer, and is also used in the same connection in Jer. 8:17, and in Eccles. 10:11, where the A. V. has 'enchantment.'

In Isa. 19:3, 'charmers' are described as Ittim (μ fa), those who speak with a soft low voice. These are perhaps serpent charmers. The word itself is used of Ahab going 'softly' (1 Kings 21:27), as a sign of his humility and repentance.

The 'enchantments' of the Egyptians are Lahathim (µyfhl) in Exod. 7:11, and Lath (fl) in Exod. 7:22, 8:7, 18. Both of these words signify secrecy, and imply that these learned men practiced what in the Middle Ages would be called 'the black art,' or perhaps what we call 'sleight of hand.'

Only one other word has to be noticed, namely, Nachash (vjn), which is supposed to signify to whisper or hiss, and hence is applied to the serpent. It is rendered 'enchantment' in Lev. 19:26; Deut. 18:10; Num. 23:23, 24:1 (with reference to Balaam); 2 Kings 17:17, 21:6; 2 Chron. 33:6. These passages imply that it was Canaanitish rather than Egyptian in its origin and connection. The word is used in a modified sense in 1 Kings 20:33, 'The men did diligently observe whether anything would come from him;' they prognosticated as to

Benhadad's fate from Ahab's words. It

is also the word used by Joseph's steward in Gen.44:5, 15, where the A. V. has 'divine' or 'make trial,' and perhaps was specially used by Joseph's order as a word of Canaanitish origin. The LXX renders it by the word oijwnismov", augury, or the interpreting events by the flight of birds; but divination by means of pictures, which were supposed to be formed by liquid in a cup, may be referred to. The verb is used in Gen. 30:27, where Laban says, 'I have learned by experience that the Lord hath blessed me for thy sake.' Two persons mentioned in the O. T; derive their name from this root, namely, Naasson (Nachshon), the son of Amminadab (Exod. 6:23), and Nehushta, the mother of Jehoiachin (2 Kings 24:8).

CHAPTER XXVII.

IDOL, GROVE, HIGH PLACE.

M AN is essentially an image-maker. His best works in art and mechanics are imitations of nature. His music is an attempt to present, not indeed to the eye, but to the ear, what may be called a picture of the varied feelings that occupy his heart. This tendency also shows itself in his religious worship, which he is inclined to make as symbolical as possible. way, he seeks to make a sensible representation even of God Himself, and gradually to transfer to the work of his own hands that reverence and dependence which properly belongs to the one living and true God. There is a strange fascination in exaggerated religious symbolism; it engrosses and excites the mind, but is by no means of a healthy character. It tends little by little to supplant the simplicity of spiritual worship, and to turn man into an idolater. Idolatry in its first stage is a sort of symbolism; some object is selected to represent the unseen Deity or to set forth one of His attributes; little by little the material image takes the place of the spiritual reality for which it stands, and idolatry ensues, bringing in its train that sensuality which is the sure attendant of every form of materialism; the highest functions of human

nature are thus abnegated, and human life is debased. The first chapter of the Epistle to the Romans tells the story of idolatrous degradation with painful vividness, and fully accounts for the oft-repeated admonitions given by Moses on this special point, and for the severe penalties which God inflicted upon the people in order to break through the evil fascination and to deliver them from the snare of materialism.

§ 1. Idols.

Twelve different Hebrew words are represented by the English word 'idol.' Some of them point to the fact that an idol is a thing of nought; others are significant of the terror with which the worshipper of false gods is inspired, or of the aversion with which the living and true God regards such objects; others, again, refer to the shape of the idol, to the material of which it is made, or to the position in which it is placed.

In Isa. 66:3 the idol is Aven (÷wa, Ass. annu), iniquity, or a thing of nought. Compare Beth-Aven,

i.e. the house of idolatry, which is referred to in Hos. 4:15, 5:8, and 10:5, 8. In Amos 5:5 we read, Beth-El shall come to Aven (A. V. to nought). Here there is evidently a play on the word. See Josh. 7:2.

The word Alil (lyla), which is supposed to have the same meaning, is used in several places, *i.e.*

Lev. 19:4, 26:1; 1 Chron. 16:26; Ps. 96:5, 97:7; Isa. 2:8, 18, 20, 10:10, 11, 19:1, 3, 31:7; Ezek. 30:13; Hab. 2:18; Zech. 11:17.

The nothingness of idolatry is brought out by St. Paul, who reminds the Corinthians that 'an idol is nothing in the world' (1 Cor. 8:4), that the gods of the heathen are 'vanities' (Acts 14:15), and 'no gods' (Gal. 4:8).

In Jer. 50:38, where we read, 'They are mad upon their idols,' the word Imah (hmya) is used, which implies that the idol was an object of terror. The same idea is probably represented by Miphletseth (txlpm, the designation of the idol which Maachah made ¹ (1 Kings 15:13, also in the corresponding passage, 2 Chron. 15:16). In 2 Chron. 15:8 idols are called 'abominations,' Shakuts (Åqv), a word which is often used to testify to God's hatred of the whole system of idolatry, and which answers to the Greek bdevlugma.

The connection of abomination (bdevlugma) with idolatry is brought out in Rom. 2:22, 'Thou who abominates idols, dost thou rob temples?' In Rev. 21:8, the 'abominable,' that is, those who worship idols, are coupled with the fearful ² and the unbelieving. In Titus 1:16, St. Paul speaks of some who profess to know God, but by their works deny Him, and are abominable, *i.e.* practically on a level with idolaters. The *falsehood* of idolatry is brought out in Rev. 21:2`, where to make an abomination and to make a lie are put side by side. Probably the cup containing abominations and whoredom, referred to in Rev. 17:4,represents the various forms of idolatry which 'the woman' shall promote. St. Paul tells us that covetousness is idolatry, and in accordance with this truth our Lord tells the covetous Pharisees that what is lifted up among men is regarded as an abomination in the sight of God (Luke 16:14, 15).

Reference has now been made to all the passages in which the word bdevlugma occurs in the

N.T., with the exception of our Lord's reference to 'the abomination of desolation spoken of by Daniel the prophet' (Matt. 24:15; Mark 13:14; Dan. 9:27), which

signifies that the desolation of Jerusalem was to be caused by an idolatrous power.

There is a word which is found several times in the O.T. which is rather ambiguous, namely, <Etsev (bx[). It is supposed to mean *that which causes labour*; either in the making of the idol or in the worshipping it. The Greek rendering is sometimes luvph, grief, but usually ei[dwlon. Scripture always conveys to us the idea that true worship is not wearisome to the child of God, whereas the worship of idols is hard labour without profit.

This word is used with reference to the false gods of the Philistines in 1 Sam. 31:9; 1 Chron. 10:9; 2 Sam. 5:21; in 2 Chron. 24:18 and Ps. 106:36, 38, it refers to the objects of Canaanitish worship by which the Israelites were ensnared, see also Ps. 115:4 and 135:15. In Isa. 10:11, whilst alil is used of Samaria's idols, <etsev is used of Jerusalem's idols; in Isa. 46:1 it is applied to Bel and Nebo, which were 'a burden to the weary beast;' see also Jer.50:2, where these same idols are described as broken in pieces; in Jer. 22:28, Coniah is described as 'a despised broken idol' (where some would translate the word 'vase,' but unnecessarily); it is also used of the idols of Israel or Canaan in Isa. 48:5; Hos. 4:17, 8:4, 13:9, 14:8; Micah 1:7; Zech. 13:2.

Another word for idol is derived from Galgal (lglg), to roll, and signifies a trunk of a tree or a log of wood, or perhaps in some places a round stone. The word only occurs in Leviticus, Deuteronomy, the Kings, and Ezekiel. The LXX usually renders it ei[dwlon, an idol, but sometimes ejpithvdeuma, a custom; twice bdevlugma, an abomination; and in other passages ejnquvmhma, ejpiquvmhma diavnoia, and dianovhma, words which would point to the tendency of the heart to 1 David Miller considers that this was Pluto, the president of the infernal regions, whom he also identifies with Beelzebub the prince of flies, of nuisances, and of the power of the air. He thinks that Ashara or Astarte was Hecate or Luma, and that Chiun (Amos 5:26) was Saturn. But see Sayce, *Hibbert Lectures*, 2 The word deilov" here rendered *fearful* probably signifies *unstable*, in which sense it is used in the O.T.

idolatry rather than to the object of worship itself It occurs in the following passages:—Lev. 26:30 ('the carcasses of your idols'); Deut. 29:17 (margin 'dungy gods'); 1 Kings 15:12, 21:26; 2 Kings 17:12, 21:11, 21, 23:24; Ezek. 6:4, al.

The word ei[dwlon is the only word used of idols in the N.T., whether these idols are outward and visible objects of worship, or whether they are more subtle influences which attract the heart.

Idolatry is joined with *pharmacy* or witchcraft in Gal. 5:20; it is identified with covetousness in Eph. 5:5, and is classed with murder in Rev. 22:15.

§ 2. The Image.

Words referring to the fact that the idol is hewn into a certain shape or image are Semel (lms), 2 Chron. 33:7, 15 (Manasseh's idol), and Ezek. 8:3, 5 ('the image of jealousy'); and perhaps Tsir (ryx

), Isa. 45:16, 'makers of idols.' Temunah (hnwmt), 'likeness,' is used in Job 4:16. It does not, however, refer to an idol, but to some form or outline which presented itself in vision. The same word is used in Exod. 20:4, in the prohibition from making the 'likeness' of anything; also in Deut. 4:23, 25, 5:8, and Ps. 17:15 ('I shall be satisfied when I awake with thy likeness'). The LXX rendering is generally oJmoivwma, similitude.

Tselem (µlx, Ass. tsalmu), a representation, answering to the Greek eijkwvn, image, is the word used in Gen.1:26, 27, 5:3, and 9:6, with reference to the fact that man was made in the image of God. In Num. 33:52 it is used of molten images, and it occurs in the following passages:—1 Sam. 6:5, 11 (the images of mice and emerods); 2 Kings 11:18 (the images of Baal); 2 Chron. 23:17; Ezek. 7:20, 16:17, and 23:14 (images of men); Amos 5:26 (Moloch and Chiun); Dan. 2:31, &c., and 3:1, &c., the image of which Nebuchadnezzar dreamed, and that

which he set up in the plain of Dura. The word is also used in Ps. 73:20, 'When thou awakest, thou shalt despise their image,' that is to say, their form or appearance; and in Ps. 39:6, 'Man walketh in a vain shadow' (lit. in an image).

In Lev. 26:1 the 'graven image' is Mascith (tykvm), which is supposed to refer to hieroglyphics, or to little figures of Thoth and other Egyptian gods. This word also occurs in Ezek. 8:12, where reference is made to the 'chambers of imagery,' that is to say, chambers with figures painted and carved in relief, such as still exist in Egypt and Assyria. In Num. 33:52, and Prov. 25:11, Mascith is rendered *pictures*; and in Ps. 73:7, and Prov. 18:11, there is reference to the mental process which we call *picturing up*, or imagination.

§ 3. N.T. Teaching on Images.

The word oJmoivwma means a resemblance or figure, whether bodily or moral. It is used with reference to idolatry in Rom. 1:23, where St. Paul speaks of those who changed the glory of the incorruptible God into the resemblance of an image of a corruptible man. When our Lord is said to have been made in the likeness of men, the same word is used, but with what a difference! No lifeless stock or stone shaped by man's hand after the pattern of his fellowman, but a living Being partaking of all that is essential to human nature, yet absolutely free from stain of sin, and with a body destined to see no corruption, sent into human life, not from nothingness, but from the bosom of the Heavenly Father, and from that glory which He had before the foundation of the world.

The first passage in the N.T. in which the word eijkwvn used gives a good idea of its meaning; it is with reference to the *denarius* of which our Lord asks, 'Whose is this image and superscription?' (Matt. 22:20). It is curious to observe that whilst idolaters are condemned for changing the glory of

God into the similitude of the image of a corruptible man (Rom. 1:23), we are expressly told that man is 'the image and glory of God' (1 Cor. 11:7). Christ is said to be the image of God (2 Cor. 4:4, Col. 1:15); the Christian is now in a moral and spiritual sense to be changed into the same image from glory to glory (Rom. 8:29, 2 Cor. 3:18, Col. 3:10); and hereafter, so far as his body is concerned, a similar resemblance shall be accomplished (1 Cor. 15:49).

The word eijkwvn also adopted by St. John when he describes the image of the Beast in Rev. 13:14, &c.

A hot controversy was called forth shortly after the Reformation in England by the fact that in the English translations of the Scriptures the word ei[dwlon was translated image. Martin, in his controversy with Fulke, laid down that an idol signified a false god; Dr. Fulke, on the contrary, held that it meant an image, and that this was the best word, as it included a representation of the true God. Martin held, and rightly, that Pesel (lsp), which is usually translated *a graven image*, only meant a *graven* thing (Lat. sculptile), and had no reference to an image; and he made a similar criticism on the word Massecah (hksm), which is rendered a *molten image*. Fulke, however, answered that the object of the engraving in the one case, and of the melting in the other, was to make the material into an image which was intended to represent. the invisible God, or to imitate one of His works, and so to be worshipped. This answer, coupled with the fact that ei[dwlon also answers to the Hebrew temunah, as above noticed, may fairly justify our translators, and also their predecessors whose work was being criticised in translating ei[dwlon by the word image.

§ 4. Other Objects of Worship.

We now pass to the consideration of words which represent certain specific objects which were closely connected with old forms of idolatry. Of these the first to be named is the pillar, statue, or standing image, the Hebrew name for which is

Matsevah (hbxm), derived from the verb natzav, to stand, and used of the object which symbolised Baal in the Canaanitish idolatry. The LXX usually adopts sthvlh, a pillar, as its representative. It is first referred to in an idolatrous sense in Exod. 23:24, where the command is given to break down the 'images' of the Canaanite gods; so in Exod. 34:13, where it is connected with 'groves;' it is also found in Lev. 26:1; Deut. 7:5, 16:22; 1 Kings 14:23; 2 Kings 3:2 (image of Baal), 10:26, 27, (images of Baal), 17:10, 18:4, 23:14; 2 Chron. 14:3, 31:1; Jer. 43:13; Hos. 3:4, 10:1, 2; Micah 5:13.

Another word used is Chamonim (µynmj), sun-images, perhaps discs, or perhaps pyramidal stones in the shape of a flame. This last is the idea which Gesenius inclines to, as in accordance with certain old Phoenician inscriptions which speak of Baal Hanan, the sun-god. The word occurs in Lev.26:30, 'I will cut down (cut off or smite) your images;' 2 Chron. 14:5, 34:4, 7; Isa. 17:8, 27:9; Ezek. 6:4, 6.

§ 5. The Grove.

Closely connected with Baal-statues and sun-images stand the groves. But before discussing their nature, it is to be observed that the grove which Abraham is said to have planted, in Gen. 21:33, was doubtless a *bonâ-fide* grove, or at least a tree. The word there used is Ashal (lva), which is distinct from the heathen and idolatrous 'grove,' and may be rendered tamarisk. With this exception, the general Hebrew word for a grove is Asherah (hrva), usually rendered a[lso" (grove) by the LXX; but in two passages, Isa. 17:8 and 27:9, rendered devndron (tree). The grove is first alluded to in connection with Canaanitish worship in Exod. 34:13, where it is coupled with the

statue or pillar which has already been mentioned. We find it in the same connection in Deut. 7:5, 12:3, 16:21; 1 Kings 14:15, 23; 2 Kings 18:4; 2 Chron. 31:1; Isa. 27:9; and Micah 5:14. It is introduced in connection with the worship of Baal in Jud. 3:7, 6:25, 26 (where we are plainly told that it was made of *wood*, and that it used to be set up by the altar of Baal); see also 1 Kings 16:33 and 18:19. In 2 Kings 17:16 the people are described as making a grove, and as worshipping not only Baal, but also 'all the host of heaven;' so in 2 Kings 21:3 and 23:4, where we read of 'the vessels that were made for Baal, and for the grove, and for all the host of heaven.' See also 2 Chron. 33:3.

In 1 Kings 15:13 we read that Maachah made 'an idol (or "horrible thing") *in* a grove,' or rather '*for* a grove.' The same change in the rendering is needed in the parallel passage, 2 Chron. 15:16, the preposition in each case being la (1), 'for,' not ba (b), 'in.'

The question now recurs, What was this Asherah, which we have rendered grove? It was certainly not what we call a grove of trees, nor was it a single tree planted in the earth, ³ but it was an object made of wood, and set up by the side of an altar dedicated to Baal, and in some cases in company with a statue or pillar representing Baal. Gesenius, who is an authority on all matters connected with Phoenician and Canaanite worship, considers that Asherah was a goddess, identical with Ashtoreth (Astarte, or Venus). ⁴

It appears not unlikely that grove-worship was a form of that tree-worship which has been found almost all over the world, and which drew its origin from the trees in the garden of Eden. The Asherah was probably, in the first instance, a representation of *the tree of life*, though the traditional idea soon passed away, and was probably superseded by the idea of the reproductive powers of nature. We cannot now say in what form it was, but it may possibly have been in the form of a cross, which would be the simplest artificial symbol for a tree, and which appears to have been adopted for this purpose in various countries and in ages long anterior to Christianity. ⁵ Assyrian sculptures afford elaborate

representations of this tree of life. Compare 2 Kings 21:7, where we read of a 'graven image of the grove,' literally, 'the likeness the grove,' evidently a symbolic figure. In 2 Kings 23:7 we are told of certain women who 'wove hangings for the grove,' and who did the work in 'the houses of the Sodomites.' These 'hangings' are literally 'houses,' and were perhaps shrines or coverings for the symbolical figure.

§ 6. The High Place.

Another object connected with idolatrous worship is the High Place. The word used for it is Bamah (hmb , Ass. bamahi). The usual rendering in the LXX is uJyhlov", high; but we also find oi\ko", a house; a[lso" a grove; bou`no", a hill; ei[dwlon, an idol; aJmartiva, sin (Micah 1:5); qusiasthvrion and bwmov", an altar; e[rhmo", a desert; sthvlh, a pillar; livqoi, stones; e[dafo" a foundation; travchlo", a neck; and ijscu;" (Deut. 32:13), strength.

The word appears without reference to idolatry in Deut. 32:13, 'He made him ride on the high places of the earth,' where it is only used in a general sense. The same, perhaps, may be said of its usage in Deut. 33:29. In Job 9:8, the 'waves' of the sea are literally 'High Places.' A high place is spoken of in 1 Sam. 10:13, where it seems to signify a hill, as also in 2 Sam. 1:19, 25, 22:34. See also Num. 21:28, 22:41; Ps. 18:33, 78:69; Isa. 15:2, 16:12; Jer. 48:35; Amos 4:13, 7:9; Micah 1:3, 5

- 3 It is hardly ever said to be 'planted;' usually it is described as 'made.'
- ⁴ The Tel el-Amarna tablets show that he was right, except in identifying Asherah, the South Canaanite goddess of fertility, with Astarte or Asrati (*Sayce*).
- ⁵ See this subject discussed at length in Fergusson's work on Tree and Serpent Worship; see also the article on 'Pre-Christian Crosses' in the *Edinburgh Review*, October 1869.

; Hab. 3:19; with regard to some of these passages, it may be doubtful whether the word is used in its general or special sense.

In the days of Solomon (1 Kings 3:2, 3), we are told that 'the people sacrificed in high places, because there was no house built;' and when he went to Gibeon, 'where was a great high place,' he offered a thousand burnt offerings upon the altar. ⁶ Here the Lord appeared to him, but did not rebuke him for what he had done. At that time the permanent temple was not built, and consequently full liberty was allowed. Shortly afterwards, however, Solomon 'built high places for Chemosh and for Molech,' the idols of Moab and Ammon, and then 'the Lord was angry with him' (1 Kings 11:7). See Lev. 26:30; Num. 33:52. Jeroboam also made 'houses of high places' (1 Kings 12:31), with priests, altars, and golden calves. These priests of the high places burned incense on the altar at Bethel, and were denounced by the man of God who came out of Judah. The building and use of high places with statues and groves now became very common in both kingdoms. See 1 Kings 14:23, 22:43; 2 Kings 12:3, 16:4 (Ahaz 'sacrificed and burnt incense in the high places, and on the hills, and under every green tree'), 17:9, 29, 32. Asa, ⁷ Jehosaphat, and afterwards Hezekiah, removed them, as far as possible, but Jehoram and Manasseh rebuilt them (2 Kings 21:3). Josiah again destroyed them, but the passion for these idolatrous rites was not easily to be rooted out. They appear to have been sometimes natural eminences, and sometimes constructed of earth or stones; occasionally they seem to have been used as altars; at other times they were surmounted by the Asherah In the discussion on *Pre-Christian crosses* already referred to (see p.309, note 3), it is stated that the old emblems of the tree of life were constantly placed on hills or mounds. This may throw some light on the origin of the High Place. ⁸

§ 7. The Teraphim.

The Teraphim ($\mu yprt$, Ass. tarpu , a 'spectre') have now to be noticed. This word, which is only used in the plural number, is not understood by the

lexicographer or the antiquarian. The LXX gives various renderings, namely, ei[dwlon, idol; gluptovn, carved object; dh`la, manifestations; ajpofqeggovmenoi, revealers; kenotafiva, empty tombs. The teraphim appear to be material objects regarded as a sort of 'fetish' or talisman, and consulted in emergencies. They are first met with in Gen. 31:19, 34, 35. Laban calls them his 'gods,' but the inspired writer only calls them 'teraphim.' In Jud. 17:5, they are connected with the images, with 'a house of gods and an ephod,' but they are evidently distinct objects, see 18:14, 17, 18, 20. In 1 Sam. 15:23, teraphim are parallel with divination (compare Zech. 10:2). In 1 Sam. 19:13, 16, they are put in the bed to occupy the place of David. In Ezek. 21:21 they are mentioned as used by the King of Babylon in divining. This fact, coupled with the use of these objects in the house of Laban, would lead us to look for a Chaldean origin for them.

⁶ In the parallel passage (2 Chron. 1:3) it is stated that the tabernacle of the congregation was at Gibeon, and that the high place was connected with it. ⁷

As a removed the high places from *Judah* (2 Chron. 14:5), but not from *Israel* (15:17).

p.309, note 3 See this subject discussed at length in Fergusson's work on Tree and Serpent Worship; see also the article on 'Pre-Christian Crosses' in the *Edinburgh Review*, October 1869.

8 When riding through the country of the Ammonites in 1860, the writer was struck with the great number of rude cromlechs which are visible on the hillsides. If these were for religious purposes, as seems most probable, may they not have been of the nature of high places?

CHAPTER XXVIII.

ETERNAL, AGE TO COME.

§ 1. Various Words Marking Duration.

T HE O.T. words representing *duration*, and their Greek equivalents, call for careful consideration in consequence of the fact that the revelation of man's future destiny must depend to some extent upon their accurate interpretation.

One of the most frequent words used to mark duration is <Ad (d[, Ass. adu), which is represented in English by the words eternity, ever, everlasting, evermore, of old, perpetually, world without end. This word is once used where there is a reference to past duration of a limited extent, namely, in Job 20:4, 'Knowest thou not this of old, since man was placed upon earth.' It is used of a state of being which is at once past, present, and future, with regard to God who inhabits eternity (katoikw`n to;n aijw`na), Isa. 57:15. It is applied to the endless duration of God's reign, Exod. 15:18, Ps. 10:16, where the LXX is very strong (eij" to;n aijw`na kai; ejpÆ aijw`na kai; e[ti]; to the throne of God, Ps. 45:6; to the Messianic kingdom, Ps. 89:29; to the duration of God's righteousness, praise, and commandments, Ps. 111:3, 8, 10. It is also used of the duration of national or individual confidence in God, e.g. Ps. 48:14, 'This God is our God for ever and ever (eij" to;n aijw`na kai; eij" to;n aijw`na tou` aijw`no"), he shall be our guide unto death' (eij" tou; "aijwvna"); Ps. 52:8, 'I will hope in God's mercy for ever;' Ps. 119:44, 'I will keep thy law continually, even for ever and ever' (diapantov", eij" to;n aijw`na kai; eij" to;n aijw`na tou` aijw`no"). The same word occurs in the title of the Messiah, as 'the everlasting Father,' i.e. the source of everlasting

life, Isa. 9:6; see also Ps. 148:6, 104:5.

Again, the term is applied to the continued existence of the people of God, and to the personal confidence which they may feel in God, whether here or hereafter:—Ps. 9:18, 'The expectation of the poor shall not perish for ever' (eij" to;n aijw`na); Ps. 22:26, 'Your heart shall live for ever' (eij" aijw`na aijw`no"); Ps. 37:27-29, 'Depart from evil, and do good; and dwell for evermore (eij" aijw`na aijw`no"). For the Lord loveth righteousness, and forsaketh not his saints; they are preserved for ever (eij" to;n aijw`na): but the wicked shall be cut off. The righteous shall inherit the land, and dwell therein for ever' (eij" aijw`na aijw`no"); Isa. 45:17, 'Ye shall not be ashamed nor confounded world without end' (e{w" tou` aijw`no" kai; e[ti).

Lastly, it is used with reference to the case of evil doers, whether nations or individuals. Of Assher and Eber it is said that they 'shall perish for ever' (Num. 24:20, 24). Ps.9:5, 'Thou hast put out their names for ever and ever' (eij" to;n aijw`na kai; eij" aijw`na aijw`no"); Ps. 83:17, 'Let them be confounded and troubled for ever' (eij" aijw`na aijw`no"); Ps. 92:7, 'The wicked shall be destroyed for ever' (ejxologreuvqwsin eij" to;n aijw`na tou` aijw`no").

Netsach (jxn), with a preposition (1), is rendered always, constantly, ever, perpetual, and also in its original meanings of strength and victory. It is usually rendered by the LXX eij" tevlo", unto completion, but sometimes eij" ni`ko" unto victory. It signifies completeness, and might usually be translated 'utterly.'

It is used of God not keeping His anger for ever (Ps. 103:9); and of the pleasures which are at His right hand for evermore (Ps. 16:11). God is several times appealed to not to forget His people or to be absent from them for ever (Ps. 13:1, 44:23, 74:1, 10, 19, 79:5, 89:46).

Netsach occurs in Job several times, either with reference to the utter destruction brought upon man (that is, upon the outer man) by God, or to the final deliverance which is to be obtained by the godly. See Job 4:20, 14:20, 20:7, 23:7, 36:7.

No man, says the Psalmist, can cause his fellow men to live for ever, *i.e.* can ensure him against death (Ps. 49:9). The destructions of the wicked, that is, their evil machinations against the godly, are described as having 'come to a perpetual end,' or, in other words, as being utterly frustrated (Ps. 9:6). Netsach is also used of the desolation of Edom and Babylon (Amos 1:11; Jer. 50:39).

This word occurs in a slightly different form in the Hebrew heading of several Psalms. The LXX uniformly renders it eij" to; tevlo" the A V. has 'to the chief musician.' Perhaps the real meaning is that the Psalm is one of victory, and to be sung with emphasis.

Three times in the N.T. we read that he that endureth to the end (eij" tevlo") shall be saved. In 1 Thess. 2:16 we are reminded of Ps. 9:6, for we read that 'wrath has come upon them utterly.'

The phrase eij" ni`ko" occurs only twice in the N.T., namely, in Matt. 12:20, where Isa 42:3 is quoted, and in 1 Cor. 15:54, where the quotation is from Isa. 25:8. It is curious that in these two places the Hebrew le-netsach is found, but not the phrase eij" ni`ko"; in the LXX, the quotation being in each place a new translation from the Hebrew.

Tamid (dymt) marks continuity or perpetuity. It is usually applied to the

permanence of the Mosaic ritual through the history of the Hebrew nation. The LXX generally renders it diapantov", but occasionally dia; tevlou". It is used of the shewbread (Exod.25:30), of the lamp (27:20), of the signet of holiness (28:38), of the pillar of the cloud and fire (Num. 9:16), of the 'daily' sacrifice (Dan. 12:11), of God's eye resting on the land of Israel (Deut. 11:12), of the sustenance afforded to Mephibosheth (2 Sam. 9:10), of the constant realisation of the presence of God—'I have set the Lord always before me' (Ps. 16:8), 'Mine eyes are ever unto the Lord' (Ps. 25:15); of the constant remembrance of sin—'My sin is ever before me' (Ps. 51:3); of devotional feelings and conduct (Ps. 34:1, 38:17, 71:6, 119:44).

God says of Jerusalem, 'I have graven thee upon the palms of my hands, thy walls are continually before me' (Isa. 49:16); and of the godly man it is said, 'The Lord shall guide thee continually.' Lastly, of the heavenly Jerusalem it is predicted, 'Thy gates shall be open continually, they shall not be shut day nor night' (Isa. 60:11).

The word diapanto;" occurs ten times in the N.T. Two of these passages are quotations from the O.T., namely, Acts 2:25 and Rom. 11:10, from Ps.16:8 and 69:23, in which Tamid is used. In other passages it is used of the continuous service of God (Luke 24:53; Acts 10:2; Heb. 9:6, 13:15). It is also used in Matt. 18:10, where we read of Christ's little ones, that their angels in heaven continually behold the face of God.

Orec (ûra , Ass. arahu) denotes length without any reference to limit. It is translated 'for ever' in Ps. 23:6, 'I will dwell in his house for ever;' and Ps. 93:5, 'Holiness becometh thine house for ever.' In each case the LXX has eij" makrovthta hJmevrwn .

Dor (rwd, Ass. duru) signifies a generation. In Ps. 10:6, 'I shall never be in adversity,' the words are literally, 'I shall not be in adversity from generation to generation;' and so in Ps. 77:8 ('Doth the Lord's promise fail for evermore?'). The word Dor, like the Greek genea; and the English generation, is often used in a large and indefinite sense, sometimes perhaps referring to an age or century, as

when the Lord promised to Abraham that his seed should be rescued 'in the fourth generation' (Gen. 15:16).

Dor is applied to the continuous covenant made between God and Noah (Gen. 9:12), eij" genea;" aijwnivou"; to the remembrance of God's name or memorial (Exod. 3:15, Ps. 9:7, 102:12, 135:13); to the feeling which was to be kept alive against the Amalekites (Exod. 17:16); to the permanence of God's thoughts (Ps. 33:11), mercy and truth (40:11), wrath (85:5), existence (102:24), and dominion (145:13, Dan.4:3); to the judgment of Edom (Isa. 34:10), and to the desolation of

Babylon (Isa. 13:20).

A parallel expression is used in Luke 1:50 and Eph. 3:21, with regard to the continuance of God's mercy and of the glory which is to be ascribed to Him in Christ Jesus.

The word Tsemithuth (ttymx) is rendered 'for ever' in Lev. 25:23, 30, where reference is made to the continuous possession of land; but in the LXX we find eij" bebaivwsin, an expression which is preserved in the N.T. in Heb. 6:16, where we read that an oath is 'for confirmation.' Perhaps there is here a special reference to the continuity of the promise through the oath sworn to Abraham.

Kedem (µdq, Ass. qudmu), which means that which is ancient, is used in Deut. 33:27, of the eternal God; in Prov. 8:22, of God's 'works of old' (pro; tou' aijw'no"); and in Hab. 1:12, of God's existence from everlasting (ajpÆ ajrch'"). The Greek rendering adopted in the last passage is often found in the N.T.

Yom (μ wy, Ass. yumu), day, is used in the plural number in a great variety of senses, and is rendered in the A. V. always, continuance, daily, yearly, ever, perpetually. In almost all passages where duration is implied, the Greek rendering is pavsa" ta;" hJmevra", which has been adopted in the N.T. in Matt. 28:20, 'I am with you always.'

This phrase is applied to periodical or recurrent rites, such as the lament for Jephthah's daughter (Jud. 11:40); the feast in Shiloh (Jud. 21:19); the worship in Shiloh (1 Sam. 1:3, 21, 2:19, 20:6); and to the offering of sacrifices (Job 1:5, Amos 4:4).

It is used of the permanence of man's duty (Deut. 5:29); of God's promises (Deut. 6:24), and of His threats (Deut. 28:33); of the continuance of evil in the heart (Gen. 6:5), and of wicked devices (Ps. 52:1). It is also used of permanent relations between man and man, or between nation and nation, *e.g.* between Saul

and David (1 Sam. 18:29), Rehoboam and Jeroboam (2 Chron. 12:15), David and Achish (1 Sam. 28:2), Hiram and David (1 Kings 5:1). See also Jer. 35:19, 31:36, 32:39.

The word <Eth (t[, Ass. ittu), which marks a season or opportunity, is used of duration in Job 27:10, Ps. 10:5, Prov. 6:14, 8:30, and Eccles. 9:8. The LXX renders it ejn pavnti kairw\'/, 'on every occasion.' Compare Eph. 6:18.

§ 2. The Word < Olam.

No word is so largely used to express duration as <Olam (μlw[). It has twice been rendered long, namely, in Eccles. 12:5, where we read of a man going 'to his long home' (eij" oi\kon aijw`no" aujtou`); and Isa. 42:14, 'Shall I long be silent?' (ajeiv). Five times it is rendered 'always,' namely, Gen. 6:3, 'My spirit shall not always (eij" to;n aijw`na) strive with man;' 1 Chron. 16:15, 'Let us always remember his covenant;' Job 7:16, 'I shall not live always' (*i.e.* in this world); Ps. 119:112, 'I have inclined my heart to perform thy statutes always;' also in Jer. 20:17.

It is translated 'perpetual' with reference to the covenant made with Noah (Gen. 9:12), to the priesthood of the house of Levi (Exod. 29:9), to the Sabbath as a sign of God's covenant (Exod. 31:16), and to various other religious rites. It is used with a negative in several passages, *e.g.* in 2 Sam. 12:10, of the sword never departing from David's house; in Ps. 15:5, of the godly man never falling. See also Ps. 55:22; Isa. 14:20, 25:2; Joel 2:26.

In Isa. 60:15 <Olam is rendered eternal, 'I will make of thee an eternal joy.' It is rendered for ever in a large number of passages, *e.g.* Gen. 13:15, of the land being given to Abraham; Exod. 21:6, of the slave serving his master for ever (eij" to;n aijw`na). See also Ps. 12:7, 29:10, 61:4, 73:26, 81:15, 112:6, 125:2; Eccles. 3:14; Isa. 40:8, 51:6; Dan. 7:18, 12:3.

Some passages where <Olam is rendered aijwvnio", and used with reference to

the wicked, may here be cited:—Ps. 78:66, 'He hath given them perpetual reproach;' Jer. 18:16, 'He hath made their land desolate and a perpetual hissing;' 23:40, 'Perpetual dishonour;' 51:39, 57, 'I will make them drunken, that they may rejoice, and sleep a perpetual sleep;' Ezek. 35:5, 9, 'Because thou hast had a

perpetual hatred, ... I will make thee a perpetual desolation;' compare Zeph. 2:9. In Dan. 12:2, the word is applied not only to everlasting life, but also to everlasting contempt, which shall be the lot of some after the resurrection.

In the passages quoted, which are a considerable proportion and a fair specimen of the whole, the LXX rendering is usually aijwvnio" or eij" to;n aijw`na; these Greek phrases, therefore, when they reappear in the N.T., must be interpreted in accordance with the usage of the word <Olam . They give a conception which, though negative, is sufficiently clear. Eternity is endlessness; and this idea is only qualified by the nature of the object to which it is applied, or by the direct word of God. When applied to things physical, it is used in accordance with the revealed truth that the heaven and earth shall pass away, and it is limited by this truth. When applied to God, it is used in harmony with the truth that He is essentially and absolutely existent, and that as He is the causa causarum and without beginning, so in the very nature of things it must be held that no cause can ever put an end to His existence. When the word is applied to man's future destiny after the resurrection, we naturally give it the sense of *endlessness* without any limitation, except such as the post-resurrection state shall involve; and this is not revealed.

§ 3. Use of the Word Eternal in the N.T.

The use of the words aijwvn and aijwvnio" in the N.T. deserves careful attention. In a number of passages our Lord speaks of 'this age' (aijwvn), of its cares (Matt. 13:22), of its end (13:39, 40, 49, 24:3, 28:20), of its children (Luke 20:34). So St. Paul speaks of conformity to this age (Rom. 12:2), of the seeker of this age (1 Cor. 1:20), of its vaunted wisdom (1 Cor. 2:6, 3:18), of its rulers (1 Cor. 2:6, 8), of its god (2 Cor. 4:4), of its being a present evil age (Gal. 1:4), of the age of the world (Eph. 2:2), and of those who love it (2 Tim. 4:10).

In John 9:32 it is said, 'Since the world began,' &c. We here find ejk tou'

aijw`no", which points backwards, as eij" to;n aijw`na does forwards. We find ajpj aijw`no" in the same sense in Acts 3:21, 15:18, Eph. 3:9, and Col. 1:26; also pro; tw`n aijwvnwn in 1 Cor. 2:7.

There are a few passages which speak in a very special way of .an aijwvn or *age* to come, e.g. Mark 10:30, Luke 18:30, 20:35; and of its powers, Heb. 6:5. Some interpreters connect these passages with the Millennium. In Eph. 2:7 the Apostle speaks in the plural number of the *ages* to come.

In other passages we have the expressions eij" to;n aijw`na, eij" tou;" aijwvna", e{w" aijw`no", eij" tou;" aijwvna" tw`n aijwvnwn; see, e.g., Luke 1:33, 55; John 12:34, 13:8; Rom. 9:5; Gal. 1:5; 1 Tim. 1:17. Some translators have rendered these passages literally, and without respect to their usage in the LXX; (e.g. 'unto the age,' 'unto the ages,' &c.). In 1 Tim. 1:17, God is called 'the King of ages'

(A. V. King Eternal); whilst in Heb. 1:2, 11:3, He is said to have made 'the ages' (A. V. the worlds). The rendering of the A. V. is no doubt right in the first case, and probably in the second also. Ages and worlds bear the same relation to one another as time and space do, and the process of creating worlds was the means of bringing ages into being. ¹

In 1 Cor. 10:11 we read that even upon those who lived in apostolic days the ends of the ages had come (A. V. ends of the world); and in Heb. 9:26 we are told that Christ has come once in the completion of the ages (A. V. end of the world) to put away sin. The word *ages* is here thought to answer rather to the sense in which the word *dispensation* is now used; and a more literal rendering would have been preferable.

Olam has been occasionally rendered world in the A. V., as in Eccles. 3:11, where, however, some would render the words, 'He hath put (a conception of) eternity in their hearts.' It is curious that several translators have rendered the last verse of the 139th Psalm, 'Lead me in the way of the world.' In later Hebrew
Olam was constantly used in this sense.

<- Previous First

In 2 Pet. 3:18 we meet with the expression eij" hJmevran aijw`no", to the Day of the Age (A. V. for ever), by which we understand the dawn of eternity.

The adjective aijwvnio" is used more than forty times in the N.T. with respect to *eternal life*, which is regarded partly as a present gift, partly as a promise for the future. It is also applied to God's endless existence in Rom. 16:26; to the endless efficacy of Christ's atonement in Heb. 9:12, 13:20; and to past ages in Rom. 16:25, 2 Tim. 1:9, Titus 1:2.

This word is used with reference to *eternal fire*, Matt. 18:8, 25:41, Jude 7; *eternal punishment*, Matt. 25:46; *eternal judgment* or *condemnation*, Mark 3:29, Heb. 6:2; *eternal destruction*, 2 Thess. 1:9. the word in these passages implies *finality*, and apparently signifies that when these judgments shall be inflicted, the time of probation, change, or the chance of retrieving one's fortune, will have gone by absolutely and for ever. We understand very little about the future, about the relation of human life to the rest of existence, and about the moral weight of unbelief, as viewed in the light of eternity. If, on the one hand, it is wrong to add to God's word, on the other we must not take away from it; and if we stagger under the doctrine of eternal punishment as it is set forth in Scripture, we must be content to wait, cleaving to the Gospel of God's love in Christ, while acknowledging that there is a dark background which we are unable to comprehend.

Girdlestone, Robert B., Synonyms of the Old Testament, (Grand Rapids, MI: William B. Eerdmans Publishing Company) 1997.

<- Previous First