

# MATHEMATIKA

A JOURNAL OF PURE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS

VOL. 15. PART 1.

JUNE, 1968.

No. 29.

## BOMBIERI'S MEAN VALUE THEOREM

P. X. GALLAGHER

The purpose of this paper is to give a short proof of an important recent theorem of Bombieri [2] on the mean value of the remainder term in the prime number theorem for arithmetic progressions. Applications of the theorem have been made by Bombieri and Davenport [3], Rodriques [9], and Elliott and Halberstam [5]. For earlier versions of the theorem and a survey of other applications, see Barban [1], and Halberstam and Roth [7, Chapter 4].

For  $(a, q) = 1$ , we put

$$\psi(x, a, q) = \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \equiv a \pmod{q}}} \Lambda(n),$$

where  $\Lambda(n) = \log p$  if  $n$  is a power of the prime  $p$ , and  $\Lambda(n) = 0$  otherwise. We write  $l$  for  $\log x$ .

**THEOREM.** *For each positive constant  $A$ , there is a positive constant  $B$  such that if  $Q = x^{\frac{1}{2}} l^{-B}$ , then*

$$\sum_{q \leq Q} \max_{y \leq x} \max_{(a, q) = 1} \left| \psi(y, a, q) - \frac{y}{\phi(q)} \right| \ll x l^{-A}. \quad (1)$$

Bombieri derived this result from an estimate of the total density of zeros of all Dirichlet  $L$ -functions to moduli  $\leq Q$ , which he derived from general estimates of character sums (the "large sieve"). We avoid the zeros and estimate the left side of (1) directly in terms of character sums,  $L$ -series in particular. Our estimates are good only for small  $t$ ; a smoothing device is used to get around this. The unsmoothing at the end of the proof inflates  $B$  as a function of  $A$ . As a result, our value for  $B$  is  $16A + 103$  compared to Bombieri's  $3A + 23$ .

1. *Smoothing; reduction to the large sieve.* For functions  $F$  piecewise continuous on  $[1, \infty)$ , we put

$$F_0 = F, \quad \text{and} \quad F_{k+1}(x) = \int_1^x F_k(y) \frac{dy}{y}.$$

then

$$\psi_k(x, a, q) = \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\chi \pmod{q}} \bar{\chi}(a) \cdot \psi_k(x, \chi), \quad (2)$$

where

$$\psi_k(x, \chi) = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{n \leq x} \chi(n) \Lambda(n) \log^k \left( \frac{x}{n} \right).$$

[MATHEMATIKA 15 (1968), 1-6]

If  $\chi$  is induced by the primitive character  $\chi^*$ , then

$$\psi_k(x, \chi) = \psi_k(x, \chi^*) + O(l^{k+1} \log q), \quad (3)$$

since the terms in the two sums agree, except possibly for  $n = p^a$  with  $p|q$ , which contribute  $\leq l^{k+1}$  for each  $p|q$ . Using  $\psi_k(x, \chi_0^*) = \psi_k(x)$  we get from (2) and (3)

$$\max_{(a,q)=1} \left| \psi_k(x, a, q) - \frac{\psi_k(x)}{\phi(q)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0} |\psi_k(x, \chi^*)| + l^{k+1} \log q.$$

We have

$$\sum_{d|q \leq Q} \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \leq \frac{1}{\phi(d)} \sum_{e \leq Q/d} \frac{1}{\phi(e)} \leq \frac{\log(Q/d) + 1}{\phi(d)} = \varepsilon_d, \text{ say.}$$

Hence for  $Q \leq x^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , and bounded  $k$  and  $A$ ,

$$\sum_{q \leq Q} \max_{y \leq x} \max_{(a,q)=1} \left| \psi_k(y, a, q) - \frac{\psi_k(y)}{\phi(q)} \right| \leq \sum_{1 < q \leq Q} \varepsilon_q \sum_{\chi}^* \max_{y \leq x} |\psi_k(y, \chi)| + xl^{-A}, \quad (4)$$

where the star indicates that the sum is over the primitive characters to the modulus  $q$ .

We use the Siegel-Walfisz theorem [8, pp. 134, 144], in the form

$$\max_{y \leq x} |\psi(y, \chi)| \leq xl^{-E}, \text{ for } \chi \neq \chi_0 \text{ and } q \leq l^C,$$

with arbitrarily large constants  $C$  and  $E$ . It follows easily from this that for bounded  $k$ ,  $A$  and  $C$ , the terms with  $q \leq l^C = D$  contribute  $\leq xl^{-A}$  to the right side of (4). Similarly, from the prime number theorem in the form

$$\max_{y \leq x} |\psi(y) - y| \leq xl^{-E},$$

with arbitrarily large  $E$ , we get for bounded  $k$  and  $A$ , and  $Q \leq x^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ,

$$\sum_{q \leq Q} \max_{y \leq x} \frac{|\psi_k(y) - y|}{\phi(q)} \leq xl^{-A}.$$

Hence for  $Q \leq x^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , and bounded  $k$ ,  $A$  and  $C$ , and writing  $D = l^C$ , we have

$$\sum_{q \leq Q} \max_{y \leq x} \max_{(a,q)=1} \left| \psi_k(y, a, q) - \frac{y}{\phi(q)} \right| \leq \sum_{D < q \leq Q} \varepsilon_q \sum_{\chi}^* \max_{y \leq x} |\psi_k(y, \chi)| + xl^{-A}. \quad (5)$$

Put  $\alpha = 1 + l^{-1}$ . Then for  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$\psi_k(y, \chi) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\alpha)} \frac{y^s}{s^{k+1}} \left( -\frac{L'}{L}(s, \chi) \right) ds, \quad \int_{(\alpha)} = \int_{\alpha-i\infty}^{\alpha+i\infty}.$$

This follows on multiplying the absolutely convergent series

$$-\frac{L'}{L}(s, \chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n) \Lambda(n)}{n^s} \quad (6)$$

by  $y^s/s^{k+1}$  and integrating term by term, using

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\alpha)} \frac{x^s}{s^{k+1}} ds = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{k!} \log^k x & (x \geq 1), \\ 0 & (0 < x < 1). \end{cases}$$

For  $S = S(s, \chi)$  bounded and analytic in  $\sigma \geq \frac{1}{2}$ , we write

$$\frac{L'}{L} = \frac{L'}{L} (1 - LS)^2 + (2LS - L'LS^2).$$

For  $\chi \neq \chi_0$ , the second term is analytic and  $\ll |s|$  in  $\sigma \geq \beta = \frac{1}{2} + l^{-1}$  (the constant depending on  $q$  and  $S$ ), since  $L(s) \ll |s|^{\frac{1}{2}}$  for  $\sigma \geq \frac{1}{2}$  [8, p. 115], and

$$L'(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{L(\zeta)}{(\zeta - s)^2} d\zeta, \quad (7)$$

where  $\gamma$  is the circle of radius  $l^{-1}$  centred at  $s$ . Hence for  $k \geq 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_k(y, \chi) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\alpha)} \frac{y^s}{s^{k+1}} \left( -\frac{L'}{L} \right) (1 - LS)^2 ds + \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\beta)} \frac{y^s}{s^{k+1}} (L'LS^2 - 2L'S) ds. \end{aligned}$$

On  $\sigma = \alpha$ , we have  $y^s \ll x$  for  $y \leq x$ , and, using (6),

$$\frac{L'}{L}(s, \chi) \ll \sum \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^x} = \alpha \int_0^\infty \frac{\psi(u)}{u^{1+x}} du \ll \int_1^\infty \frac{du}{u^x} = l.$$

On  $\sigma = \beta$ , we have  $y^s \ll x^{\frac{1}{2}}$  for  $y \leq x$ . Hence

$$\max_{y \leq k} |\psi_k(y, \chi)| \ll xl \int_{(\alpha)} \frac{|1 - LS|^2}{|s|^{k+1}} |ds| + x^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{(\beta)} \frac{|L'LS^2| + |L'S|}{|s|^{k+1}} |ds|.$$

It follows that the right side of (5) is

$$\ll xl \int_{(\alpha)} \frac{A(s)}{|s|^{k+1}} |ds| + x^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{(\beta)} \frac{B(s)}{|s|^{k+1}} |ds| + xl^{-A}, \quad (8)$$

where

$$A(s) = \sum_{D < q \leq Q} \varepsilon_q \sum_{\chi}^* |1 - LS|^2, \quad B(s) = \sum_{D < q \leq Q} \varepsilon_q \sum_{\chi}^* (|L'LS^2| + |L'S|). \quad (9)$$

2. *Application of the large sieve.* To estimate  $A(s)$  on  $\sigma = \alpha$  and  $B(s)$  on  $\sigma = \beta$ , we use the following "large sieve" inequalities, valid for arbitrary complex constants  $a_n$ , and for  $D \leq Q/\log Q$ :

$$\sum_{D < q \leq Q} \varepsilon_q \sum_{\chi}^* \left| \sum_{M+1}^{M+N} a_n \chi(n) \right|^2 \ll \left( Q + \frac{N \cdot \log Q}{D} \right) \sum_{M+1}^{M+N} |a_n|^2, \quad (10)$$

$$\sum_{q \leq X} \frac{q}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\chi}^* \left| \sum_{M+1}^{M+N} a_n \chi(n) \right|^2 \ll (X^2 + N) \sum_{M+1}^{M+N} |a_n|^2. \quad (11)$$

The inequality (11) follows from

$$\frac{q}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\chi}^* \left| \sum_{M+1}^{M+N} a_n \chi(n) \right|^2 \ll \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,q)=1}}^q \left| \sum_{M+1}^{M+N} a_n e\left(\frac{na}{q}\right) \right|^2,$$

which is proved in [6, p. 16], and Bombieri's inequality [2, Theorem 2]

$$\sum_{q \leq X} \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a, q)=1}}^q \left| \sum_{M+1}^{M+N} a_n e\left(\frac{na}{q}\right) \right|^2 \ll (X^2 + N) \sum_{M+1}^{M+N} |a_n|^2,$$

for which short proofs are given in [4] and [6]. The inequality (10) follows as in [6, p. 18] from (11) by partial summation.

For  $\sigma \geq 1$  and  $H \geq 1$ , we have (as in [6, p. 20])

$$L(s, \chi) = \sum_1^H \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s} + O\left(\frac{|s| q^{\frac{1}{2}} \log q}{H}\right), \quad (\chi \neq \chi_0).$$

We put

$$S(s, \chi) = \sum_1^H \frac{\mu(n) \chi(n)}{n^s}.$$

Then for  $\sigma \geq 1$  and  $H \leq x$ , we have  $S(s, \chi) \ll \log H \leq l$ , so for  $q \leq x$ ,

$$1 - L(s, \chi) S(s, \chi) = \sum_1^\infty \frac{c(n) \chi(n)}{n^s} + O\left(\frac{|s| q^{\frac{1}{2}} l^2}{H}\right),$$

where  $c(1) = 0$ , and for  $n > 1$ ,  $c(n) = -\sum \mu(d)$ , where  $d$  ranges over the divisors of  $n$  for which  $d \leq H$  and  $n/d \leq H$ . Thus  $c(n) = 0$  for  $n > H^2$  and for  $n \leq H$ , and  $|c(n)| \leq \tau(n)$  for  $H < n \leq H^2$ . Using the Schwarz inequality,

$$\left| \sum_1^\infty \frac{c(n) \chi(n)}{n^s} \right|^2 = \left| \sum_{0 \leq h \leq l} \left( \sum_{2^{hH}+1}^{2^{h+1}H} \frac{c(n) \chi(n)}{n^s} \right) \right|^2 \ll l \sum_{0 \leq h \leq l} \left| \sum_{2^{hH}+1}^{2^{h+1}H} \frac{c(n) \chi(n)}{n^s} \right|^2.$$

From (10) with  $a_n = c(n)/n^s$ , we get for each  $N = 2^h H (\leq x^2)$  and  $Q \leq x$ ,

$$\sum_{D < q \leq Q} \varepsilon_q \sum_{\chi}^* \left| \sum_{N+1}^{2N} \frac{c(n) \chi(n)}{n^s} \right|^2 \ll \left( Q + \frac{Nl}{D} \right) \sum_{N+1}^{2N} \frac{\tau^2(n)}{n^2} \ll \left( \frac{Q}{N} + \frac{l}{D} \right) l^3.$$

Here we have used  $\sum_{n \leq M} \tau^2(n) \ll M \cdot \log^3 M$  [8, p. 26]. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} A(s) &\ll \sum_{0 \leq h \leq l} \left( \frac{Q}{2^h H} + \frac{l}{D} \right) l^4 + \sum_{D < q \leq Q} (\log(Q/q) + 1) \frac{|s|^2 q l^4}{H^2} \\ &\ll Q H^{-1} l^4 + D^{-1} l^6 + |s|^2 Q^2 H^{-2} l^4. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing  $H = Q D l^{-2}$  (and assuming  $D \geq l$ ), we get

$$A(s) \ll D^{-1} |s|^2 l^6, \quad \text{on } \sigma = \alpha. \quad (12)$$

To estimate  $B(s)$ , we apply (11) to

$$S^2(s, \chi) = \sum_1^{H^2} \frac{d(n) \chi(n)}{n^s}, \quad (|d(n)| \leq \tau(n)),$$

and get for  $\sigma \geq \frac{1}{2}$

$$\sum_{q \leq X} \frac{q}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\chi}^* |S(s, \chi)|^4 \ll (X^2 + H^2) \sum_1^{H^2} \frac{\tau^2(n)}{n} \ll (X^2 + H^2) l^4. \quad (13)$$

By the argument of [6, Theorem 4], we also get from (11)

$$\sum_{q \leq X} \frac{q}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\chi}^* |L(s, \chi)|^4 \ll X^2 |s|^2 \log^4(X|s| + 2) \quad (\sigma \geq \tfrac{1}{2}). \quad (14)$$

Using Hölder's inequality, (7) gives

$$|L'(s, \chi)|^4 \ll l^5 \int_{\gamma} |L(\zeta, \chi)|^4 |d\zeta|.$$

Hence on  $\sigma = \beta$ , we have

$$\sum_{q \leq X} \frac{q}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\chi}^* |L'(s, \chi)|^4 \ll X^2 |s|^2 \log^4(X|s| + 2) l^4. \quad (15)$$

Using the Schwarz inequality several times, we get from (13)–(15)

$$\sum_{q \leq X} \frac{q}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\chi}^* (|L'LS^2| + |L'S|) \ll (X + H) l^2 \cdot X |s| l \cdot \log^2(X|s| + 2).$$

By partial summation, it follows, using  $H = DQl^{-2}$ , that

$$B(s) \ll DQl^5 |s| \log^2(|s| + 2), \quad \text{on } \sigma = \beta. \quad (16)$$

3. *End of the proof; unsmoothing.* From (8), (12) and (16), the right side of (5) is

$$\ll x l \int_{(x)} \frac{D^{-1} |s|^2 l^6}{|s|^{k+1}} |ds| + x^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{(\beta)} \frac{DQl^5 |s| \log^2(|s| + 2)}{|s|^{k+1}} |ds| + xl^{-A}.$$

For  $k = 3$ , this is

$$\ll xD^{-1}l^7 + x^{\frac{1}{2}}DQl^5 + xl^{-A}.$$

Choosing  $D = l^{4+7}$  and  $Q = x^{\frac{1}{2}}l^{-(2A+12)}$ , we conclude that

$$\sum_{q \leq Q} \max_{y \leq x} \max_{(a,q)=1} \left| \psi_3(y, a, q) - \frac{y}{\phi(q)} \right| \ll xl^{-A}. \quad (17)$$

It remains to deduce (1) from (17). Since  $\psi_k(y, a, q)$  is an increasing function of  $y$ , we get, for  $0 < \lambda \leq 1$ ,

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{e^{-\lambda}y}^y \psi_{k-1}(z, a, q) \frac{dz}{z} \leq \psi_{k-1}(y, a, q) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_y^{e^{\lambda}y} \psi_{k-1}(z, a, q) \frac{dz}{z}.$$

The integrals are

$$\psi_k(y, a, q) - \psi_k(e^{-\lambda}y, a, q) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_k(e^{\lambda}y, a, q) - \psi_k(y, a, q).$$

Putting

$$\psi_k(x, a, q) = \frac{x}{\phi(q)} + r_k(x, a, q),$$

the integrals are both

$$(\lambda + O(\lambda^2)) \frac{y}{\phi(q)} + O\left(\max_{y \leq ex} |r_k(y, a, q)|\right).$$

Hence

$$\max_{y \leq x} |r_{k-1}(y, a, q)| \leq \frac{\lambda x}{\phi(q)} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \max_{y \leq ex} |r_k(y, a, q)|,$$

so for  $Q \leq x$ ,

$$\sum_{q \leq Q} \max_{y \leq x} \max_{(a, q)=1} |r_{k-1}(y, a, q)| \leq \lambda x l + \lambda^{-1} \sum_{q \leq Q} \max_{y \leq ex} \max_{(a, q)=1} |r_k(y, a, q)|.$$

Using a decreasing induction, starting at  $k = 3$ , the right side is

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \lambda x l + \lambda^{-1} x l^{-A}, \quad \text{for } Q \leq x^{\frac{1}{2}} l^{-B_k(A)} \\ &\leq x l^{-\frac{1}{2}(A-1)}, \quad \text{choosing } \lambda = l^{-\frac{1}{2}(A+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $B_{k-1}(A) = B_k(2A + 1)$ . Starting with  $B_3(A) = 2A + 12$ , this gives  $B_0(A) = 16A + 103$ .

### References

1. M. B. Barban, "The 'large sieve' method and its applications in the theory of numbers", *Russian Math. Surveys*, 21 (1966), 49-103.
2. E. Bombieri, "On the large sieve", *Mathematika*, 12 (1965), 201-225.
3. ——— and H. Davenport, "Small differences between prime numbers", *Proc. Royal Soc. A.*, 293 (1966), 1-18.
4. H. Davenport and H. Halberstam, "The values of a trigonometric polynomial at well spaced points", *Mathematika*, 13 (1966), 91-96; 14 (1967), 229.
5. P. D. T. A. Elliott and H. Halberstam, "Some applications of Bombieri's theorem", *Mathematika*, 13 (1966), 196-203.
6. P. X. Gallagher, "The large sieve", *Mathematika*, 14 (1967), 14-20.
7. H. Halberstam and K. F. Roth, *Sequences Vol. 1*, (Oxford, 1966).
8. K. Prachar, *Primzahlverteilung* (Springer, 1957).
9. G. Rodriques, "Sul problema dei divisori di Titchmarsh", *Bollettino Unione Math. Italiana* (3), 20 (1965), 358-366.

Barnard College, Columbia University,  
New York, 27, New York (U.S.A.).

(Received on the 18th of September, 1967.)