

Q. Compute the closure of following set F of functional dependencies for relational scheme  $R = (A, B, C, D, E)$

$$A \rightarrow BC$$

$$CD \rightarrow E$$

$$B \rightarrow D$$

$$E \rightarrow A$$

List all candidate keys for R

Sol: ①  $A \rightarrow BC$ , i.e.,  $A \rightarrow B$  and  $A \rightarrow C$ .

Since  $A \rightarrow B$  and  $B \rightarrow D$

$A \rightarrow D$  (decomposition, transitive)

Since  $A \rightarrow CD$  and  $CD \rightarrow E$

$A \rightarrow E$  (union, decomposition, transitive)

Since  $A \rightarrow A$  (reflexive)

$\therefore \{A\}^+ \rightarrow ABCDE$  from above steps. (union) L(I)

② Since  $E \rightarrow A$ ,  $A \rightarrow ABCDE \in (I)$  (transitive)

$\therefore \{E\}^+ \rightarrow ABCDE$  (transitive)

③ Since  $CD \rightarrow E$ ,  $E \rightarrow ABCDE$  (from 2)

$\therefore \{CD\}^+ \rightarrow ABCDE$  (transitive)

④ Since  $B \rightarrow D$  and  $BC \rightarrow CD$ , (augmentation)

As  $CD \rightarrow ABCDE$  (from 3)

$\therefore \{BC\}^+ \rightarrow ABCDE$

Therefore, candidate keys are A, BC, CD  
and E.

Q Find minimal cover of set of functional dependencies given

$$A \rightarrow C$$

$$AB \rightarrow C$$

$$C \rightarrow DI$$

$$CD \rightarrow I$$

$$EC \rightarrow AB$$

$$EI \rightarrow C$$

Soln

Minimal cover :-

Minimal cover of set of FDs is a minimal set of functional dependencies  $F_{\min}$  that is equivalent to F. There can be many such minimal covers for a set of functional dependencies F

Steps of minimal covers -

1] Right hand side (RHS) of all FD's should be single attribute

2] Remove extraneous attributes

Extraneous Attribute

Consider functional dependencies F and any functional dependency of form  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$

Assume  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are set of one or more attributes  $(A \rightarrow BC \text{ or } AB \rightarrow CE)$

case I LHS :- To find if an attribute A in  $\alpha$  is extraneous or not i.e. to test if an attribute of LHS of FD is extraneous or not

Step 1 : Find  $(\{\alpha\} - A)^+$  using dependencies of  $f$

Step 2 : If  $(\{\alpha\} - A)^+$  contains all the attributes of  $\beta$ , then A is extraneous

case II RHS : To find if an attribute A in  $\beta$  is extraneous or not. i.e. test if an attribute of RHS of FD is extraneous or not

Step 1 : If  $\alpha^+$  using dependencies in  $f'$  where  $f' = (f - \{\alpha \rightarrow \beta\}) \cup (\alpha \rightarrow (\beta - A))$

Step 2 : If  $\alpha^+$  contains A, then A is extraneous.

Example for finding extraneous attribute

Given :  $f = \{P \rightarrow Q, PQ \rightarrow R\}$  Is Q extraneous in  $PQ \rightarrow R$  ?

Soln : As we are looking for LHS attribute (Q)  
Let us use case I discussed above

Step 1: Find  $(\{\alpha\} - A)^+$  using dependences of  $F$

$\alpha$  is  $PQ$  so find  $(PQ - Q)^+$  i.e.,  $P^+$  (closure of  $P$ )

$P^+$  closure of  $P$  attribute.

$$P^+ = \{P\}$$
 reflexive?

$$P^+ = \{P, Q\} \because P \rightarrow Q$$

$$P^+ = \{P, Q, R\} \because PQ \rightarrow R$$

Hence  $P^+$  closure of  $P$  is  $PQR$

Step 2: If  $(\{\alpha\} - A)^+$  contains all attributes

of  $B$ , then  $A$  is extraneous

$(PQ - Q)^+$  contains  $R$  (i.e. closure of  $P$  contains  $R$ )

so  $Q$  is extraneous in  $PQ \rightarrow R$

Similarly: Given  $F = \{P \rightarrow QR, PQ \rightarrow R\}$  is  $R$  extraneous

in  $\{P \rightarrow QR\}$

Soln: As we looking for RHS attribute. let us  
use case II discussed above

Step 1:  $\alpha^+$  using dependences in  $F'$  where:  
 $F' = (F - \{\alpha \rightarrow B\}) \cup \{\alpha \rightarrow (B - A)\}$

$$\text{So, } F' = (F - \{\alpha \rightarrow B\}) \cup \{\alpha \rightarrow (B - A)\}$$

$$= (\{P \rightarrow QR, Q \rightarrow R\} - \{P \rightarrow QR\}) \cup \{P \rightarrow (QR - R)\}$$

$$F' = \{Q \rightarrow R\} \cup P \rightarrow Q$$

$\therefore \alpha$  is  $P$  so find  $P^+$  closure of  $P$  using  
 $F'$  we found

$$F' = \{ Q \rightarrow R, P \rightarrow Q \}$$

$$P^+ = \{ P \} \quad \text{reflexive}$$

$$P^+ = \{ P, Q \} \quad \because P \rightarrow Q$$

$$P^+ = \{ P, Q, R \} \quad \because \text{transitive}$$

so  $P$  closure is  $PQR$

Step 2 : If  $\alpha^+$  contains A, then A is extraneous

$P^+$  contains R. Hence R is extraneous in  $P \rightarrow QR$

BACK TO MINIMAL COVER FINDING STEPS :-

Step 3 : Remove redundant functional dependencies

$\Rightarrow$  Soln : Step 1 : RHS of all FDs should be single attribute. So we write F as  $F_1$

$$F_1 = \{ A \rightarrow C, AB \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow D, C \rightarrow I, CD \rightarrow I \}$$

Step 2 : Remove extraneous attributes

Using above steps to find extraneous attribute i.e. redundant attributes on RHS of FD.

In set of FDs  $AB \rightarrow C, CD \rightarrow I, EC \rightarrow A$ ,

$EC \rightarrow B, EI \rightarrow C$  have more than one attribute in RHS

To check, we need to find closure of attribute on LHS. Apply closure finding algorithm.

$$\textcircled{1} \quad A^+ = ACDT$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad B^+ = B$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad C^+ = CDI$$

$$\textcircled{4} \quad D^+ = D$$

$$\textcircled{5} \quad E^+ = E$$

$$\textcircled{6} \quad I^+ = I$$

From ①, closure of A included attribute C.  
So B is extraneous in  $AB \rightarrow C$  and B can be removed

From ③, closure of C included attribute I  
So D is extraneous in  $CD \rightarrow I$  and D can be removed

No more extraneous attributes are found.

Hence we write  $F_1$  as  $F_2$  after removing extraneous attributes from  $F_1$  as follows:

$$F_2 = \{A \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow D, C \rightarrow I, EC \rightarrow A, EC \rightarrow B, EI \rightarrow BC\}$$

**Step 3** : Eliminate redundant functional dependency

None of FDs in  $F_2$  is redundant

Hence,  $F_2$  is minimal cover

\* Equivalence of Two sets of Functional Dependencies :-

① Two set different sets of functional dependencies for a given relation may or may not be equivalent.

② If  $F$  and  $G$  are two sets of functional dependencies, then following 3 cases are possible :-

case 01 :  $F$  covers  $G$  ( $F \supseteq G$ )

case 02 :  $G$  covers  $F$  ( $G \supseteq F$ )

case 03 : Both  $F$  and  $G$  covers each other ( $F = G$ )

case 01 : Determining whether  $F$  covers  $G$ :

following steps are followed :-

Step 1 :

(i) Take functional dependencies of set  $G$  into consideration

(ii) For each functional dependency  $X \rightarrow Y$ , find closure of  $X$  using functional dependencies of  $G$  set

Step 2 :

(i) Take functional dependencies of set  $G$  into consideration

(ii) For each functional dependency  $X \rightarrow Y$ , find the closure of  $X$  using functional dependencies of set  $F$ .

Step 3 :-

- (i) compare results of step 1 and step 2
- (ii) If the functional dependencies of set F has determined all attributes that were determined by functional dependencies of set G, then it means  $F \text{ causes } G$ .
- (iii) Thus we conclude  $F$  causes  $G$  ( $F \supseteq G$ )

case 02 : Determining whether  $G$  causes  $F$  ;  
following steps are followed :-

Step 1 :-

- (i) Take functional dependencies of set  $F$  into consideration
- (ii) For each functional dependency  $X \rightarrow Y$ , find closure of  $X$  using functional dependencies of set  $F$ .

Step 2 :-

- (i) Take functional dependencies of set  $F$  into consideration
- (ii) For each functional dependency  $X \rightarrow Y$ , find closure of  $X$  using functional dependencies of set  $F$ .

Step 3 :-

- (i) Compare results of step 1 and step 2
- (ii) If functional dependencies of set  $G$  has determined all the attributes that were

determined by functional dependences of set  $F$ , then it means  $G$  covers  $F$

(iii) Thus, we conclude  $G$  covers  $F$  ( $G \supseteq F$ )

case 03 : Determining whether both  $F$  and  $G$  covers each other

(i) If  $F$  covers  $G$  and  $G$  covers  $F$ , then both  $F$  and  $G$  covers each others ( $F = G$ )

### PROBLEM :-

A relation  $R (A, C, D, E, H)$  is having two functional dependences sets  $F$  and  $G$  as stated below.

Set  $F$  :  $A \rightarrow C$   
 $AC \rightarrow D$   
 $E \rightarrow AD$

Set  $G$  :  $A \rightarrow CD$

~~Functional dependence~~  $E \rightarrow AH$

Which of following holds true?

(A)  $F \supseteq G$

(B)  $G \supseteq F$

(C)  $F = G$

~~(D)~~ All of above

Solution :-

Determining whether F covers G :-

Step 1 :-

$$(A)^+ = \{A, C, D\} \text{ // closure of LHS } A \rightarrow CD$$

using set G

$$(E)^+ = \{A, C, D, E, H\} \text{ // closure of LHS of } E \rightarrow AH \text{ using set G}$$

Step 2 :-

$$(A)^+ = \{A, C, D\} \text{ // closure of LHS } A \rightarrow CD$$

using set F

$$(E)^+ = \{A, C, D, E, H\} \text{ // closure of LHS } E \rightarrow AH$$

using set F

Step 3 :-

Comparing results of step 1 and step 2,  
we find

(i) Functional dependencies of set F can determine  
all the attributes which have been determined

by functional dependencies of set G

(ii) Thus, we conclude, F covers G ( $F \geq G$ )

Determining whether G covers F :-

Step 1 :-

$$(A)^+ = \{A, C, D\} \text{ // closure of } A \rightarrow C \text{ using set F}$$

$$(AC)^+ = \{A, C, D\} \text{ // closure of } AC \rightarrow D \text{ using set F}$$

$$(E)^+ = \{A, C, D, E, H\} \text{ // closure of } E \rightarrow AD, E \rightarrow H \text{ using set F}$$

Step 2 :

$$(A)^+ = \{A, C, D\} \text{ // closure of } A \rightarrow C \text{ using set 1}$$

$$(AC)^+ = \{A, C, D\} \text{ // closure of } AC \rightarrow D \text{ using set 2}$$

$$(E)^+ = \{A, C, D, E, H\} \text{ // closure of } E \rightarrow AD \text{ and } E \rightarrow H \text{ using set 6}$$

Step 3 :

Comparing results of step 1 and step 2 :

- ① Functional dependencies of set G can determine all the attributes which have been determined by functional dependencies of set F

- ② conclude. G covers F i.e.  $G \supseteq F$

Determining whether both F and G covers each other :

Step 1, we conclude F covers G

Step 2 ; we conclude G covers F

Step 3 : both F and G covers each other

$$F = G$$

ANS : ALL OF ABOVE

- Q Suppose you are given a relation R with four attributes A B C D. For each of the following sets of FDs, assuming these are only dependencies that hold for R, do following
- (i) Identify candidate key(s) for R
  - (ii) Identify best normal form that R satisfies (1NF, 2NF, 3NF or BCNF)
  - (iii) If R is not in BCNF, decompose it into a set of BCNF relations that preserve dependencies

1]  $C \rightarrow D, C \rightarrow A, B \rightarrow C$

2]  $B \rightarrow C, D \rightarrow A$

3]  $ABC \rightarrow D, D \rightarrow A$

4]  $A \rightarrow B, BC \rightarrow D, D \rightarrow A$

5]  $AB \rightarrow C, AB \rightarrow D, C \rightarrow A, D \rightarrow B$

]  $C \rightarrow D, C \rightarrow A, B \rightarrow C$

a] candidate key : B

b] R is in 2NF but not in 3NF

c]  $C \rightarrow D$  and  $C \rightarrow A$  both cause

violations of BCNF. One way to obtain lossless join decomposition is to decompose R into AC, BC and CD

2)  $B \rightarrow C, D \rightarrow A$

a) candidate key : BD

b) R is in 1NF but not 2NF

c) Both  $B \rightarrow C$  and  $D \rightarrow A$  causes violations of BCNF. AD, BC and BD is BCNF and lossless join preserving

3)  $ABC \rightarrow D, D \rightarrow A$

a) candidate keys : ABC, BCD

b) R is in 3NF but not BCNF

c) ABCD is not in BCNF since  $D \rightarrow A$  and D is not a key.

However if we split up R as AD, BCD  
we cannot preserve dependency  $ABC \rightarrow D$   
so there is no BCNF decomposition

4)  $A \rightarrow B, BC \rightarrow D, D \rightarrow A$

a) candidate key : A

b) R is in 2NF but not in 3NF ( $\because BC \rightarrow D$ )

c)  $BC \rightarrow D$  violates BCNF since BC is not a key. So we split R as BCD, ABC

5)  $AB \rightarrow C$ ,  $AB \rightarrow D$ ,  $C \rightarrow A$ ,  $D \rightarrow B$

a) candidate keys :  $AB$ ,  $BC$ ,  $CD$ ,  $AD$

b) R is in 3NF but not in BCNF ( $\circlearrowleft$ )

c)  $C \rightarrow A$  and  $D \rightarrow B$  both cause violating

so decompose into :  $AC$ ,  $BCD$  but this  
does not preserve  $AB \rightarrow C$  and  $AB \rightarrow D$

and  $BCD$  is still not BCNF because

$D \rightarrow B$

$\therefore$  we need to decompose  $AC$ ,  $BD$ ,  $CD$

However we have lost functional dependencies

by adding  $ABC$  and  $ABD$ , but relations  
are not in BCNF form

so no BCNF decomposition

but we have some functional dependencies  
lost in decomposition. Thus can't write

so we have to add  $ABC$  and  $ABD$  to the decomposition

so good solution is

to add  $ABC$  and  $ABD$  to the decomposition

so good solution is

## \* Decomposition in DBMS:-

(1) Decomposition is a process of dividing single relation into two or more sub relations.

(2) Decomposition can be completed in following two ways:-

- Lossless join decomposition
- lossy join decomposition

⇒ Determining decomposition is lossy or lossless:-

Consider relation R is decomposed into two sub relations R<sub>1</sub> and R<sub>2</sub>

Then;

(i) If all the following condition satisfy, then decomposition is lossless

(ii) If any of these conditions fail, then decomposition is lossy

**Condition 01 :-**

Union of both subrelations must contain all the attributes that are present in original relation R.

$$R_1 \cup R_2 = R$$

**Condition 02 :-**

Intersection of both sub relations must not be null. Common attribute between them must

be present)

$$R_1 \cap R_2 \neq \emptyset$$

Condition 03:

Intersection of both sub relations must be a super key of either  $R_1$  or  $R_2$  or both

$$R_1 \cap R_2 = \text{Superkey of } R_1 \text{ or } R_2$$

PROBLEM:-

Consider a relation schema  $R(A, B, C, D)$  with functional dependencies  $A \rightarrow B$  and  $C \rightarrow D$

Determine whether decomposition of  $R$  into  $R_1(A, B)$  and  $R_2(C, D)$  is lossless or lossy

Solution :-

→ check all conditions one by one

→ if any one condition fail then decomposition is lossy otherwise lossless

Condition 01:

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 \cup R_2 &= R_1(A, B) \cup R_2(C, D) \\ &= R(A, B, C, D) \end{aligned}$$

Condition 1 satisfies

Condition 02:

$$R_1(A, B) \cap R_2(C, D)$$

Condition 2 fails

∴ Decomposition is lossy

## Lossless join decomposition

Question 8:-

Let  $R = \{ \text{ssn, ename, pnumber, pname, place, hours} \}$  and  $R$  is decomposed into three relations  $R_1, R_2$  and  $R_3$  as follows:-

$$R_1 = \text{EMP} = \{ \text{ssn, ename} \}$$

$$R_2 = \text{PROJ} = \{ \text{pnumber, pname, place} \}$$

$$R_3 = \text{WORKS\_ON} = \{ \text{ssn, pnumber, hours} \}$$

Assume that following functional dependencies are holding in relation  $R$

$$F = \{ \text{ssn} \rightarrow \text{ename}, \text{pnumber} \rightarrow \{ \text{pname, place} \}, \\ \{ \text{ssn, pnumber} \} \rightarrow \text{hours} \}$$

Find whether decomposition into  $R_1, R_2$  and  $R_3$  is lossless join decomposition or not

Sol: 8 Lossless join decomposition :-

If a relation  $R$  is decomposed into relations  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  then decomposition is lossless if either of following holds:-

$$(R_1 \cap R_2) \rightarrow R_1$$

$$(R_1 \cap R_2) \rightarrow R_2$$

To our problem,  $R_1 \cap R_2 = \{ \phi \}$ . no common attribute

$$R_1 \cap R_3 = \{ssn\}$$

$$= \{ssn, ename\} \cap \{ssn, pnumber, hours\}$$

$$= \{ssn\} \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$\therefore R_1 \cap R_3 \rightarrow R_1$$

$$\because ssn \rightarrow ssn, ename$$

$$\therefore (\{ssn, ename\} \cap \{ssn, pnumber, hours\}) \rightarrow$$

$$\{ssn, ename\} \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \{ssn\} \rightarrow \{ssn, ename\} \quad \text{(from (1)(2) RHS)}$$

$$\therefore R_1 \cap R_3 \rightarrow R_1$$

Decomposition of  $R_1$  &  $R_3$  is **lossless**

\* Decomposition of  $R_2$  and  $R_3$

$$\{pnumbers, pname, place\} \cap \{ssn, pnumber, hours\}$$

$$= \{pnumber\} \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

from FD given  $pnumber \rightarrow \{pname, place\}$

$$\therefore \{pnumbers, pname, place\} \cap \{ssn, pnumber, hours\}$$

$$= \{pnumber, place, pname\} \quad \text{(from FD)} \quad \text{--- (4)}$$

$$\therefore R_2 \cap R_3 \rightarrow R_2 \quad \text{(from (3) & (4) RHS)}$$

Decomposition of  $R_2$  &  $R_3$  is **lossless**

Thus we can conclude that decomposition of  $R$  into  $R_1, R_2$  and  $R_3$  is **lossless join**

decomposition