EM Algorithm, Stochastic Optimization

732A90 Computational Statistics

Krzysztof Bartoszek (krzysztof.bartoszek@liu.se)

28 II 2018 (A37) Department of Computer and Information Science Linköping University

Stochastic and combinatorial optimization

- So far: Unconstrained optimization
 - Predictor variables are continuous
 - Response function is differentiable

• We discussed Steepest descent, Newton, BFGS, CG

- But: predictors can be discrete (scheduling problems, travelling salesman)
- But: outcome can be discrete, noisy or multi-modal

Stochastic and combinatorial optimization

Given a (large) set of states S, find

$$\min_{s \in S} f(s)$$

- Exhaustive search (shortest path algorithm)
- Often exhaustive search is NP-hard (TSP)
- Alternative: stochastic methods random search

Simulated annealing

Motivation from physics: cooling of metal

Parameters:
Energy of metal
(decreasing, but not strictly monotonic)
Temperature (decreasing)

• Aim: find global minimum energy

Simulated annealing

- 0. Set k = 1 and initialize state s.
- 1. Compute the temperature T(k).
- 2. Set i = 0 and j = 0.
- 3. Generate a new state r and compute $\delta f = f(r) f(s)$.
- 4. Based on δf , decide whether to move from state s to state r.

```
If \delta f \leq 0,
accept state r;
otherwise,
```

accept state r with a probability $P(\delta f, T(k))$. If state r is accepted, set s = r and i = i + 1.

- 5. If i is equal to the limit for the number of successes at a given temperature, go to step 1.
- Set j = j + 1. If j is less than the limit for the number of iterations at given temperature, go to step 3.
- 7. If i=0, deliver s as the optimum; otherwise, if $k < k_{\text{max}}$, set k=k+1 and go to step 1; otherwise, issue message that
 - 'algorithm did not converge in k_{\max} iterations'.

Simulated annealing

- https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=iaq_Fpr4KZc
- Generating new state:
 - Continuous: choose a new point a (random) distance from the current one
 - Discrete: similar or some rearrangement

- Selection probability: e.g $\exp(-\delta f(x)/T)$: decreasing with f(x), increasing with T
- Temperature function: constant, proportional to k, or

$$T(k+1) = b(k)T(k), \quad b(k) = (\log(k))^{-1}$$

Remember: A smaller value is better than one on the path to the global minimum! Always keep track of smallest found.

Simulated annealing: TSP example

Assume constant temperature

```
1: Choose initial configuration (Town_1, \ldots, Town_n)
2: k = 1
3: while k < k_{max} + 1 do
     Generate new configuration by rearrangement,
4:
           (1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9) \rightarrow (1,6,5,4,3,2,7,8,9)
```

$$(1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9) \rightarrow (1,7,8,2,3,4,5,6,9)$$

Measure difference in path length (δf) between old and new configuration

if shorter path found then

6:

accept it 7: 8: else

accept it with probability $P(\delta f)$ 9:

end if 10:

5:

11:

k + +12: end while

Genetic algorithm

- Inspiration from evolutionary theory: survival of the fittest
- Variables=genotypes
- Observation=organism, characterized by genetic code
- State space=population of organisms
- Objective function=fitness of organism

New points are obtained from old points by crossover and mutation, the population only retains the fittest organisms (with better objective function).

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_genetic_algorithm_applications

Genetic algorithm

Encoding points

- lacktriangle Enumerate each element of the state space, S
- **2** Code for observation i is binary representation of i (or something else)

Mutation and recombination rules

```
Generation k Generation k + 1
                Crossover
x_i^{(k)} 11001001
                  \rightarrow x_i^{(k+1)} 11011010
x_i^{(k)} 00111010
                 Inversion
x_i^{(h)} 11101011 \rightarrow x_i^{(h+1)} 11010111
                Mutation
x_i^{(k)} 11101011 \rightarrow x_i^{(k+1)} 10111011
                   Clone
x_i^{(k)} 11101011 \rightarrow x_i^{(k+1)} 11101011
```

Genetic algorithm

- Determine a representation of the problem, and define an initial population, x₁⁽⁰⁾, x₂⁽⁰⁾,..., x_n⁽⁰⁾. Set k = 0.
- 1. Compute the objective function (the "fitness") for each member of the population, $f(x_i^{(k)})$ and assign probabilities p_i to each item in the population, perhaps proportional to its fitness.
- 2. Choose (with replacement) a probability sample of size $m \leq n$. This is the reproducing population.
- 3. Randomly form a new population $x_1^{(k+1)}, x_2^{(k+1)}, \ldots, x_n^{(k+1)}$ from the reproducing population, using various mutation and recombination rules (see Table 6.2). This may be done using random selection of the rule for each individual of pair of individuals.
- 4. If convergence criteria are met, stop, and deliver $\arg\min_{x_i^{(k+1)}} f(x_i^{(k+1)})$ as the optimum; otherwise, set k = k + 1 and go to step 1.

Genetic algorithm: TSP example

Encoding and crossover

• Encode tours as A_1, \ldots, A_n but

Parent 1: FAB|ECGD Parent 2: DEA|CGBF

Child: FAB|CGBF Child: DEA|ECGD

Instead

- Remove FAB from DEACGBF \longrightarrow DECG. Child becomes FABDECG.
- Second child will be by taking prefix from Parent 2: DEAFBCG

Genetic algorithm: Mutations

- If a population is small and only crossover: the input domain becomes limited and may converge to a local minimum.
- Large initial populations are computationally heavy.
- Mutations allow one to explore more of S: jump out of local minimum.
- In TSP: mutation move a city in the tour to another position.
- Reproduction: Among m tours selected at step 2, two best are selected for reproduction, two worst replaced by children.
- If m is large, some tours might never be parents, global solution may be missed. Random chance of reproduction?
- Mutation probability is usually small (unless you want to jump wildly)

EM algorithm

Fundamental algorithm of computational statistics!

Model depends on the data which are observed (known) ${\bf Y}$ and latent (unobserved) data ${\bf Z}$.

The data's (**both Y**'s and **Z**'s) distribution depends on some parameters θ .

AIM: Find MLE of θ .

- All data is known: Apply unconstrained optimization (discussed in Lecture 2)
- Unobserved data
 - **Sometimes** it is possible to look at the marginal distribution of the observed data.
 - Otherwise: EM algorithm

EM algorithm

Let

$$Q(\theta, \theta^k) = \int \log p(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{z} | \theta) p(\mathbf{z} | \mathbf{Y}, \theta^k) d\mathbf{z} = \mathrm{E} \left[\mathrm{loglik}(\theta | \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z}) | \theta^k, \mathbf{Y} \right]$$

1:
$$k = 0, \, \theta^0 = \theta^0$$

- 2: while Convergence not attained and $k < k_{max} + 1$ do
- 3: **E**-step: Derive $Q(\theta, \theta^k)$
- 4: \mathbf{M} -step: $\theta^{k+1} = \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} Q(\theta, \theta^k)$
- 5: k + +
- 6: end while

Example: Normal data with missing values (but here analytical approach is also possible)

EM algorithm: R

 $732 A 90_Computational Statistics VT 2019_Lecture 06 code Slide 15.Recture 10 code Slide 15.Recture 15$

EM algorithm: R

```
> Y<-rnorm(100)
> Y[sample(1:length(Y),20,replace=FALSE)]<-NA</p>
> EM.Norm(Y,0.0001,100)
[1]
      1.0000 0.1000 -997.5705
[1] 0.1341894 1.3227095 -128.2789837
[1] -0.03897274 1.38734070 -126.86036252
[1] -0.07360517 1.39307050 -126.80801589
[1] -0.08053165 1.39392861 -126.80593837
[1] -0.08191695 1.39408871 -126.80585537
> mean(Y,na.rm=TRUE)
[1] -0.08226328
> var(Y,na.rm=TRUE)
[1] 1.411775
```

Notice: can be done by studying marginal distribution of observed data.

EM algorithm: Applications

Mixture models Z is a latent variable, $P(Z = k) = \pi_k$

- Mixed data comes from different sources (e.g. for regression, classification)
- Clustering
 - Density in each cluster is normally distributed.
 - 2 Cluster label is latent (we do not know what are the chances an observation is from the given cluster)

$$p(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k \mathcal{N}(x|\vec{\mu}_k, \Sigma_k) \quad \text{(informally)}$$

Direct MLE leads to numerical problems. Introduce latent class variables and use EM.

EM algorithm: Gaussian mixtures

- Initialize the means μ_k, covariances Σ_k and mixing coefficients π_k, and evaluate the initial value of the log likelihood.
- 2. E step. Evaluate the responsibilities using the current parameter values

$$\gamma(z_{nk}) = \frac{\pi_k \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n | \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)}{\sum_{j=1}^K \pi_j \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n | \boldsymbol{\mu}_j, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_j)}.$$
(9.23)

3. M step. Re-estimate the parameters using the current responsibilities

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}^{\text{new}} = \frac{1}{N_{k}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \gamma(z_{nk}) \mathbf{x}_{n} \qquad (9.24)$$

$$\mathbf{\Sigma}_{k}^{\text{new}} = \frac{1}{N_{k}} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \gamma(z_{nk}) \left(\mathbf{x}_{n} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}^{\text{new}}\right) \left(\mathbf{x}_{n} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}^{\text{new}}\right)^{\text{T}}$$
(9.25)

$$\pi_k^{\text{new}} = \frac{N_k}{N} \tag{9.26}$$

where

$$N_k = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \gamma(z_{nk}). \tag{9.27}$$

4. Evaluate the log likelihood

$$\ln p(\mathbf{X}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \boldsymbol{\pi}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \ln \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n | \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k) \right\}$$
(9.28)

and check for convergence of either the parameters or the log likelihood. If the convergence criterion is not satisfied return to step 2. $Ez_{nk} = \gamma(z_{nk})$

Source: Pattern recognition by Bishop

Summary

Random walk over the state space in search of minimum

- Follow decreasing path
- **BUT** with a certain probability go to higher values, to avoid local minima traps.
- Never forget best found conformation!
- Simulated annealing, Genetic algorithm,
 EM algorithm,

Stochastic gradient descent (see 2016 slides)