Whittle Index-based Q-learning using FGDQN

Tejas Pagare

February 2, 2022

Algorithm

Consider parametrized families $\lambda(k;\sigma)$ and $Q(i,u,\lambda;\theta)$ where we render the implicit dependence of Q on λ by taking it as a input to the Q-network.

For Q iterate, we consider a single run of a simulated controlled Markov chain $(X_n, U_n), n \ge 0$ so that X_{n+1} have the conditional law as $p(\cdot|X_n, U_n)$.

$$\theta_{n+1} = \theta_{n} - a(n) \Big(\nabla_{\theta} Q(X_{n+1}, v_{n}, \lambda(X_{n+1}; \sigma_{n}); \theta_{n}) - \nabla_{\theta} f(Q(X_{n}, U_{n}, \lambda(X_{n}; \sigma_{n}); \theta_{n})) \\ - \nabla_{\theta} Q(X_{n}, U_{n}, \lambda(X_{n}; \sigma_{n}); \theta_{n}) \Big) \times \\ \overline{\Big((1 - U_{n})(r(X_{n}, 0) + \lambda(X_{n}; \sigma_{n})) + U_{n} r_{n}(X_{n}, 1) + \max_{v \in \{0, 1\}} Q(X_{n+1}, v, \lambda(X_{n+1}; \sigma_{n}); \theta_{n}) \Big)} \\ - f(Q(X_{n}, U_{n}, \lambda(X_{n}; \sigma_{n}); \theta_{n})) - Q(X_{n}, U_{n}, \lambda(X_{n}; \sigma_{n}); \theta_{n}) \Big) + a(n) \xi_{n+1},$$

$$(1)$$

The term with the overline comprises of averaging at time n over past traces sampled from $(X_k, U_k, X_{k+1}), k \leq n$, for which, $X_k = X_n \& U_k = U_n$.

For whittle iterate, we consider a single run of a simulated controlled Markov chain $(X_n, 0), n \ge 0$ so that X_{n+1} have the conditional law as $p(\cdot|X_n, 0)$, as required in the derivation.

$$\sigma_{n+1} = \sigma_n - b(n) \overline{\left(Q(X_n, 1, \lambda(X_n; \sigma_n); \theta_n) - r(X_n, 0) + f(Q(X_n, 0, \lambda(X_n; \sigma_n); \theta_n))\right)}$$

$$- \max_{v \in \{0,1\}} Q(X_{n+1}, v, \lambda(X_{n+1}; \sigma_n); \theta_n) - \lambda(X_n; \sigma_n) \times$$

$$\left(\nabla_{\lambda} Q(X_n, 1, \lambda(X_n); \theta_n) \nabla_{\sigma} \lambda(X_n; \sigma_n) + \nabla_{\sigma} f(Q(X_n, 0, \lambda(X_n; \sigma_n); \theta_n))\right)$$

$$- \nabla_{\sigma} Q(X_{n+1}, v_n, \lambda(X_n; \sigma_n); \theta_n) - \nabla_{\sigma} \lambda(X_n; \sigma_n)$$

$$(2)$$

The term with the overline comprises of averaging at time n over past traces sampled from $(X_k, U_k, X_{k+1}), k \leq n$, for which, $X_k = X_n \& U_k = 0$.

Please check next page for the derivation \longrightarrow

Derivation

As we have seen, whittle index is equivalent to solving for $\lambda(X_n)$ the following equation

$$Q(X_n, 1) = Q(X_n, 0)$$

Substituting $Q(X_n,0)$ we get

$$Q(X_n, 1) = r(X_n, 0) + \lambda(X_n) - \rho + \sum_{n \in \{0, 1\}} p(X_{n+1} | X_n, 0) \max_{n \in \{0, 1\}} Q(X_{n+1}, n; \theta_n)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\lambda(X_n) = Q(X_n, 1) - r(X_n, 0) + \rho - \sum_{n} p(X_{n+1}|X_n, 0) \max_{v \in \{0, 1\}} Q(X_{n+1}, v; \theta_n)$$

Now, using stochastic approximation we remove the conditional expectation by a real random variable ξ_{i0} with the law $p(\cdot|X_n,0)$ and make increment based on our current estimate.

Hence, we consider a single run $\{X = X_n, U = 0\}$ of the controlled Markov chain which gives X_{n+1} with the same conditional law of $p(\cdot|X_n, 0)$.

... We now know have,

$$\lambda(X_n) = (1 - b(n))\lambda(X_n) + \left(Q(X_n, 1) - r(X_n, 0) + \rho - \max_{v \in \{0, 1\}} Q(X_{n+1}, v; \theta_n)\right)$$

We replace ρ with it's current estimate i.e. $f(Q_n)$ to get

$$\lambda(X_n) = (1 - b(n))\lambda(X_n) + \left(Q(X_n, 1) - r(X_n, 0) + f(Q_n) - \max_{v \in \{0, 1\}} Q(X_{n+1}, v; \theta_n)\right)$$

$$\lambda(X_n) = \lambda(X_n) + b(n) \Big(Q(X_n, 1) - r(X_n, 0) + f(Q_n) - \max_{v \in \{0, 1\}} Q(X_{n+1}, v; \theta_n) - \lambda(X_n) \Big)$$
(3)

Following we get the iteration for Whittle Index parameters σ

$$\sigma_{n+1} = \sigma_n + b(n) \times \left(Q(X_n, 1; \theta_n) - r(X_n, 0) + f(Q_n) - \max_{v \in \{0, 1\}} Q(X_{n+1}, v; \theta_n) - \lambda(X_n; \sigma_n) \right) \nabla_{\sigma} \lambda(X_n; \sigma_n)$$

$$(4)$$

Similar derivation can be done when we consider implicit dependence of Q on λ by taking it as one of the inputs.