Will

occur.

```
a) void reader()
                                     void writer()
                                      { __deadlock
  down(&mutex);
                                         down(&writer);
  readcount = readcount + 1;
                                         write_shared_object(&data);
  if (readcount == 1) down(&writer);
                                         up(&writer);
  up(&mutex);
                   dead lock
  read_shared_object(&data);
  down(&mutex);
  readcount = readcount - 1;
  up(&mutex);
→ if (readcount == 0) up(&writer); problem
  when a reader comes out of the second
  critical section (number) it checks a state of a
  shared variable, but since up(smutex) and the
 if otatement are not atomic together another
  reader might change the state of readcount
  and increase it to 1.50 upporter will not
  execute and writer will not be unblocked,
  additionally the reader that changed
  read count to 1 will get clocked on down (& writer)
 and will deadlock in the critical section.
b) void reader()
                                          void writer()
      down(&mutex);
                                              down(&writer);
      readcount = readcount + 1; =
                                              write_shared_object(&data);
      if (readcount == 1) down(&writer);
                                              up(&writer);
      up(&mutex);
      read_shared_object(&data);
      down(&mutex);
      readcount = readcount - 1;
      if (readcount == 0) {
          up(&mutex);
        % up(&writer);
      } else {
          up(&mutex);
      }
   }
     the profolem might occur at *, since this might not create fatal problems but it might lead to starvation of the writer. The problem occurs because
      again, up (smutex) and up (swriter) are
      not atomic together, and things happen
      Between them.
                                            void writer()
  C) void reader()
    {
                                            {
                                               down(&writer); > deadlace
        down(&mutex);
        readcount = readcount + 1;
                                               down(&mutex);
        if (readcount == 1) down(&writer); deadlock
                                                write_shared_object(&data);
                                               up(&mutex);
                                               up(&writer);
        read_shared_object(&data);
                                            }
        down(&mutex);
        readcount = readcount - 1;
        if (readcount == 0) up(&writer);
        up(&mutex);
    }
       the reader is correct that the problem appears when
                                 execute at the same time.
       writer and reader
       writer will down (2 writer) and a reader will
       down (2 mutex) at the same time, so a writer
       will get blocked before (mutex) and reader
              get blocked on (writer), so a deadlock
```