Existence of Prime Factorization

Prime Factorization

Recap

$$(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) \mathcal{P}(n)$$

Principle of Complete Mathematical Induction (PCMI)

Let P(n) be any statement about n. Suppose we have proved that

$$P(1)$$
 is true (1)

and that

for each
$$n \in \mathbb{N}$$
, if $P(1), \dots, P(n)$ are all true, then $P(n+1)$ is true. (2)

Then we may conclude that for each natural number n, P(n) is true.

Proof by Complete Induction (Template)

(Ynes) P(n) $S = \{ n_0, n_{0+1}, n_{0+2}, \dots \}$ · Declaration: Let P(n) be the Sentence

• BASE CASE: (₽ (1) is true because ... P(no)

· Conclusion: Therefore, by complete induction, for each n & IN, P(n) is true.

 $n \in S$

Example: The Existence of Prime Factorization

Theorem 1 (Existence of Prime Factorization)

Each natural number greater than or equal to 2 either is a product of prime numbers or is itself a prime number.

- We used this result without proof back in Lecture 10; see Remark 4.44.
- It can now be proved using complete induction.
- It is convenient to start from 2.

$$S = \{2, 3, 4, \dots \}$$
.
 $(\forall n \in S) p(n)$ where $p(n)$ stands for "n is a prime or n is a product of primes."

Before We Begin ...

Recall the definition of a prime number.

To say that x is prime means that

$$(\chi \in \mathbb{N}) \wedge (\chi \neq 1) \wedge (\forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}) [\chi = \alpha \beta \Rightarrow \alpha = 1 \vee \beta = 1]$$

• (S04E15) x is not a prime number iff

$$(z \neq IN) \vee (z = 1) \vee \left(\exists a, b \in IN\right) \left[z = ab \wedge a \neq 1 \wedge b \neq 1\right]$$

$$6 = z \cdot 3$$

Proof of Theorem 1

Let $S = \{2, 3, \dots \}$. Let P(n) be the Sentence

n is a prime or n is a product of primes.

We shall show that for each $n \in S$, P(n) is true using complete induction.

BASE CASE P(2) is true because 2 is prime.

INDUCTIVE STEP Let $n \in S$ such that $P(2), \dots, P(n)$ are all true. We wish to show that P(n+1) is true. In other words, we want to show that n+1 is a prime or n+1 is a product of primes.

Now either <u>n+1</u> is a prime or <u>n+1</u> is not a prime. Case 1 Suppose n+1 is a prime. Then P(n+1) is clearly true. Case 2 Suppose n+1 is not a prime. Then n+1 = ab where $a,b \in \mathbb{N}$. With $a \neq 1$ and $b \neq 1$. " Since a>1, n+1>b. Likewise, Since b>1, n+1>a. Then $a, b \in \{2, 3, ..., n\}$, so by the inductive hypothesis, p(a) and p(b) are both true. Thus a is a prime or a product of primes and b is a prime or a product of primes. Hence m+1 = ab is a product of primes, so P(n+1) is true.

Thus in either case, p(n+1) is true.

CONCLUSION Therefore, by complete induction, for each MES,

P(n) is true. In other words, for each natural number

N.7,2, M is a prime or a product of primes.

In Closing

• What would be a challenge had you attempted to prove using induction?

Example Consider the following sequence defined recursively by

$$\alpha_1 = 1$$
, $\alpha_2 = 5$,

$$\alpha_{n+1} = \alpha_n + 2\alpha_{n-1}$$
 for $n > 2$

The general formula: $a_n = Z^n + (-1)^n$ for n > 1.

Let P(n) be the Sentence

$$\alpha_n = 2^n + (-1)^n.$$

WTS: For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, P(n) is true.

$$\alpha_1 = 1$$

$$0_3 = 5 + 2 \cdot 1$$
$$= 7$$

$$0_4 = 7 + 2.5$$

BASE CASE

P(1) is true $Q_1 = 2^{1} + (-1)^{1} = 2 - 1 = 1$ and Q_1 is defined to be 1. P(2) is true $Q_2 = 2^{2} + (-1)^{2} = 4 + 1 = 5$ and Q_2 is defined to be 5.

INDUCTIVE STEP Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that n = 2 and P(1), ---, P(n) are all true. Note, by the definition, that $a_{n+1} = a_n + 2a_{n-1}$

are all true. Note, by the definition, that $a_{n+1} = a_n + 2a_{n-1}$ because n > 2. Thus, by the inductive hypothesis,

$$\Omega_{m+1} = \left[2^{N} + \left(-1 \right)^{n} \right] + 2 \left[2^{N-1} + \left(-1 \right)^{N-1} \right]$$

$$\Omega_{m+1} = \left[2^{n} + (-1)^{n} \right] + 2 \left[2^{n-1} + (-1)^{n-1} \right] \\
= 2^{n} + (-1)^{n} + 2^{n} + 2 (-1)^{n-1} \\
= 2 \cdot 2^{n} + (-1)^{n} (1 + 2 (-1)^{-1}) \\
= 2^{n+1} + (-1)^{n} (1 - 2) \\
= 2^{n+1} + (-1)^{n} (-1) = 2^{n+1} + (-1)^{n+1}$$

This shows that D(n+1) is true.

CONCLUSION Therefore, by complete induction, for each NEIN, PCN) is true.