#### **Existence of Prime Factorization**

# **Prime Factorization**

## Recap

#### Principle of Complete Mathematical Induction (PCMI)

Let P(n) be any statement about n. Suppose we have proved that

$$P(1)$$
 is true (1)

and that

for each 
$$n \in \mathbb{N}$$
, if  $P(1), \dots, P(n)$  are all true, then  $P(n+1)$  is true. (2)

Then we may conclude that for each natural number n, P(n) is true.

# Proof by Complete Induction (Template)

· Declaration: Let P(n) be the sentionce

• BASE CASE: P(1) is true because ....

To show (Ines) P(n)
using complete induction,
modify

 $S = \frac{1}{2} N_0, N_0 + 1, N_0 + 2, \dots$ 

 $p(n) \rightarrow p(n_0)$   $n \in \mathbb{N} \rightarrow n \in \mathbb{S}$ 

NOUCTIVE STEP: Let n∈ IN such that PCI), ..., P(n) are all true.

[ NTS P(n+1) is true.]

· Conclusion: Therefore, by complete induction, for each nGIN, P(W) is true.

## Example: The Existence of Prime Factorization

#### Theorem 1 (Existence of Prime Factorization)

Each natural number greater than or equal to 2 either is a product of prime numbers or is itself a prime number.

- We used this result without proof back in Lecture 10; see Remark 4.44.
- It can now be proved using complete induction.
- It is convenient to start from 2.  $S = \{x_1, x_2, x_4, \dots \}$

(∀n∈S) P(n) where P(n) stands for "n is a prime or n is a product of primes".

2: prime V
3: prime V

# Before We Begin ...

Recall the definition of a prime number.

To say that x is prime means that

$$(\lambda \in IN) \land (\chi \neq I) \land (\forall a, b \in IN) [\chi = ab \Rightarrow \alpha = I \lor b = I]$$

• (S04E15) x is not a prime number iff

$$(x \notin N) \vee (x=1) \vee (\exists a,b \in IN) [x=ab \land a \neq 1 \land b \neq 1]$$

## Proof of Theorem 1

Let  $S = \{2, 3, 4, \cdots\}$  and let P(n) be the sentence  $\underline{M}$  is a prime or  $\underline{N}$  is a product of primes. We shall show that for each  $n \in S$ , P(n) is true using complete induction.

BASE CASE P(2) is true because 2 is prime.

INDUCTIVE STEP Let  $n \in S$  such that  $p(2), \dots, p(n)$  are all thrue. We wish to show that p(n+1) is true. That is, we wish to show that n+1 is a prime or n+1 is a product of primes.

Now wither 11 is a prime on 11 is not a prime.

Case 1

Case 1

Thus in either case, P(n+1) is true.

Case 1 Suppose that not is prime. Then P(n+1) is clearly true.

Case 2 Suppose that n+1 is not prime. Then we can pick  $a,b \in \mathbb{N}$ Such that n+1=ab and  $a\neq 1$  and  $b\neq 1$ .

Thus  $a, b \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ , so by the inductive hypothesis, P(a) and P(b) are both true. In other words, a is a prime or a is a product of primes, and b is a prime or b is a product of primes. Hence, nH = ab must be a product of primes. Thus P(nH) is true.

# CONCLUSION Therefore, by complete induction, for each n & S, Pon) is true.

# In Closing

• What would be a challenge had you attempted to prove using induction?

Example Consider the following sequence defined recursively by 
$$\alpha_1 = 1$$
,  $\alpha_2 = 5$ ,

$$\alpha_{n+1} = \alpha_n + 2\alpha_{n-1}$$
 for  $n > 2$ 

The general formula:  $a_n = 2^n + (-1)^n$  for n > 1.

$$\alpha_n = 2^n + (-1)^n$$

WTS: for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , P(n) is true (using complete induction)

BASE CASES 
$$P(1)$$
 is true because  $\alpha_1 = 2^1 + (-1)^2 = 2 - 1 = 1$ .  
 $P(2)$  is true because  $\alpha_2 = 2^2 + (-1)^2 = 4 + (-5)^2$ .

# INDUCTIVE STEP Let n & N with n 7/2 such that PCI), ---, PCN) are

all true. Note, by the definition, that ann = an + 2 ann

because n72. Thus, by the inductive hypothesis,

$$\Omega_{m+1} = \left[ 2^{n} + (-1)^{n} \right] + 2 \left[ 2^{n-1} + (-1)^{n-1} \right] \\
= 2^{n} + (-1)^{n} + 2^{n} + 2 (-1)^{n-1} \\
= 2 \cdot 2^{n} + (-1)^{n} (1 + 2 (-1)^{n-1}) \\
= 2^{n+1} + (-1)^{n} (1 - 2) \\
= 2^{n+1} + (-1)^{n} (-1) = 2^{n+1} + (-1)^{n+1}$$

This shows that P(n+1) is true.

CONCLUSION Therefore, by complete induction, for each NEIN, pour) is true.