## **Prime Numbers**

### **Contents**

① Divisibility

Prime Numbers

# Divisibility

Definitions > preamble ( Sets the context of defin)

Syn: It is divisible by d.

## Definition 1 (Divisibility)

Let d and x be integers. To say that d divides x means that there exists an integer k such that x = kd.  $(\exists k \in \mathbb{Z})(1 = kd)$ 

- Every integer divides 0. (0 = 0) d, for any  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$
- 0 is the only integer that 0 divides. (If x is an integer and 0 divides x, then  $\overline{x} = k \cdot 0$  for some integer k, and  $\overline{k} \cdot 0 = 0$ , so x = 0.)
- Let x be an integer. Then x is even iff 2 divides x.

1=6 is divisible by 1, 2, 3, 6 -1, -2, -3, -6

· Take x=0. Then  $0 = 4e \cdot 0 = 0$ 

. Uniqueness?

4/12

#### Remarks

- Alternate expression for "d divides x": "x is divisible by d"
- "d divides x" is a sentence while "d divided into x" (x/d, for  $d \neq 0$ ) is a number.

  or "A divided by d"
- **Notation.**  $d \mid x$  for "d divides x." and  $d \nmid x$  for "d does not divide x."
- Let m and n be integers, with  $n \neq 0$ . To say that the fraction m/n is in lowest terms means that for each natural number d, if d divides m and d divides n, then d = 1.

$$(\forall d \in \mathbb{N}) \left[ (d|m) \wedge (d|n) \Rightarrow d=1 \right]$$

## **Examples**

#### Example 2 (Divisibility with Natural Numbers)

Let  $d, x \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose d divides x. Then d < x.

*Proof.* Since d divides x, we can pick an integer k such that x = kd. Since k is an integer, either  $k \geq 1$  or  $k \leq \mathcal{X}$ , But it is not the case that  $k \leq 0$ , because if  $k \le 0$ , then  $x = kd \le 0$ , which contradicts the fact that  $x \ge 1$ . Hence  $k \ge 1$ . Therefore kd > d. In other words, x > d.

Example 
$$(d, \chi \in \chi)$$
  $d[\chi]$  Example  $(d, \chi \in \mathbb{N})$   
 $f(\chi) = -6$ .  
 $f(\chi) = -6$ .  
 $f(\chi) = 6$ .

Example 
$$(d, \chi \in \mathbb{N})$$
  $d[\chi \in \mathcal{L}]$ 

$$\begin{cases} \chi = 6 \\ d = 1, 2, 3, 6 \end{cases}$$

$$d \leq \chi$$

 $M \leq b$ 

k.d >, 1.d

## Examples (cont')

#### Example 3

Let  $a,b,c\in\mathbb{Z}$ . If a divides b and a divides c, then a divides b+c and a divides b-c.

Proof Suppose a b and a c.

Since a b, we can find an integer k such that 
$$b=ka$$
.

Since a c, we can find an integer L such that  $c=la$ .

Then
$$b+c=ka+la=(k+l)a.$$
But then  $k+l$  is an integer, so a  $b+c$ .

## Examples (cont')

#### Example 4

Let  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If a divides b and b divides a, then b = a or b = -a.

Heat
$$b = ka$$

$$a = lb$$

$$\Rightarrow plug in 2nd line into 1st line
$$b = k(lb)$$$$

## **Prime Numbers**

#### **Definitions**

#### **Definition 5 (Prime Numbers)**

To say that x is a prime number means that  $x \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \neq 1$  and for each  $a \in \mathbb{N}$ , for each  $b \in \mathbb{N}$ , if x = ab, then a = 1 or b = 1.

**Exercise.** Write the sentence " $x \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \neq 1$  and for each  $a \in \mathbb{N}$ , for each  $b \in \mathbb{N}$ , if x = ab, then a = 1 or b = 1." using symbols.

Exercise: Write down what it means to say that (HW) "I is not prime"

## Prime Numbers as Building Blocks

#### Fact (Prime Factorization)

Each natural number, except 1, is prime or is a product of two or more primes.

- Proof of this fact requires complete induction.
- From this fact, it follows that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , if  $n \neq 1$ , then there exists a prime number p such that p divides n.

## **How Many Primes?**

#### Theorem 6 (Euclid, circa 300 B.C.)

There are infinitely many prime numbers.

Proof (Contradiction) Suppose there are finitely many prime numbers

$$P_1, P_2, \cdots, P_m.$$
Let  $\mathcal{X} = g_1 p_2 \cdots p_m + 1. = \prod_{j=1}^m p_j + 1$ 

$$prod. of all primes \qquad (a|b) \wedge (a|c)$$
Claim None of  $p_1, \cdots, p_m$  divides  $\mathcal{X}$ .

Proof: Suppose otherwise, that is, one of  $p_1, \cdots, p_m$  divides  $\mathcal{X}$ .

Call it  $p_i$ . But then  $p_i$  divides  $\mathcal{X} = p_i \cdots p_m$ .

Then  $p_i$  divides  $\mathcal{X} = (\mathcal{X} - (\mathcal{X} - i)) = 1$ , which is impossible.

This is a contradiction.

Since

But  $\chi \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\chi \neq 1$ , there must exist a prime number of which divides  $\chi$ . Note that  $\chi$  is not one of  $\chi$ ,...,  $\chi$  by the previous claim. However, since  $\chi$ ,...,  $\chi$  are all prime numbers that there exist,  $\chi$  must be one of them.

This is a contradiction.

L