

Logical Connectives (I)

Contents

① Introduction

② Negation, Conjunction, and Disjunction

Introduction

Sentences: Building Blocks in Logic

In logic, one seeks to determine which sentences are true and which are false.

Examples:

- Seoul is the capital of South Korea.
- $2 + 5 = 7$.
- $5 < 9$.
- 2022 is an even number.
- Cleveland is the capital of Illinois.
- $7 + 8 = 13$.
- $9 < 5$.
- 2021 is an even number.

Terminology. (*Truth value* of a sentence)

- When a sentence is true, its truth value is “true”.
- When a sentence is false, its truth value is “false”.

Logical Connectives

Simple sentences are put together using *logical connectives* such as

“not”, “and”, “or”, “implies”, and “if and only if”

to build compound sentences.

Propositional calculus (or *sentential calculus*) studies the truth values of compound sentences in terms of the truth values of their constituent sentences.

Convention. Denote sentences by letters such as P , Q , R , and so on, which are often referred to as *propositional variables*.

Symbols for Logical Connectives

Logical Connectives	Symbols	Big Words
"not"	\neg	negation
"and"	\wedge	conjunction
"or"	\vee	disjunction
"implies"	\Rightarrow	conditional
"if and only if"	\Leftrightarrow	biconditional

unary



binary



today

Wed

Negation, Conjunction, and Disjunction

Negation ("not", \neg)

Negation

Given a sentence P , the sentence $\neg P$ is called *the negation of P* .

- If P is a true sentence, then the sentence $\neg P$ is considered to be false.
- If P is a false sentence, then the sentence $\neg P$ is considered to be true.

P	$\neg P$
T	F
F	T

truth table

Terminology. A sentence Q is said to be a *negative sentence* when Q is the negation of some other sentence.

ex) P : Cleveland is the capital of Ohio. (F)

$\neg P$: Cleveland is not the capital of Ohio. (T)

Logical Equivalence

If two sentences A and B always have the same truth values, we say that

A is logically equivalent to B .

and write

$$A \equiv B.$$

Example. Note that

- If P is true, then $\neg P$ is false, so $\neg\neg P$ is true.
- If P is false, then $\neg P$ is true, so $\neg\neg P$ is false.

Thus, $P \equiv \neg\neg P$.

two identical columns

P	$\neg P$	$\neg\neg P$
T	F	T
F	T	F

Diagram illustrating the truth table for P , $\neg P$, and $\neg\neg P$. The columns are labeled P , $\neg P$, and $\neg\neg P$. The rows show the truth values for P (T, F) and the corresponding values for $\neg P$ (F, T) and $\neg\neg P$ (T, F). Red arrows point to the first and third columns, indicating they are identical.

Question. If you want to show two sentences are logically equivalent, what should you do?

Conjunction ("and", \wedge)

Conjunction

Given sentences P and Q , the sentence $P \wedge Q$ is called *the conjunction of P and Q* .

- $P \wedge Q$ is considered to be true just when both of P and Q are true.
- If at least one of them is false, then $P \wedge Q$ is considered to be false.

2 sentences \longrightarrow

P	Q	$P \wedge Q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	F

$2^2 = 4$
scenarios

Terminology. A sentence R is said to be a *conjunctive sentence* when R is of the form $P \wedge Q$, where P and Q are some other sentences. In this case, P and Q are called the conjunctands in R .

- and

Conjunction (cont')

Some properties of \wedge .

- \wedge is *commutative*, that is, $Q \wedge P$ is logically equivalent to $P \wedge Q$.

|

- \wedge is *associative*, that is, $P \wedge (Q \wedge R)$ is logically equivalent to $(P \wedge Q) \wedge R$.

|

Disjunction

("or", \vee)

Disjunction

Given sentences P and Q , the sentence $P \vee Q$ is called *the disjunction of P and Q* .

- $P \vee Q$ is considered to be true just when at least one of P and Q is true.
- If both P and Q are false, then $P \vee Q$ is considered to be false.

(inclusive) or

P	Q	$P \vee Q$
T	T	T
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	F

cf

Exclusive or

P	Q	$Xor(P, Q)$
T	T	F
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	F

Terminology. A sentence R is said to be a *disjunctive sentence* when R is of the form $P \vee Q$, where P and Q are some other sentences. In this case, P and Q are called the *disjunctands* in R .

Disjunction (cont')

Some properties of \vee .

- \vee is *commutative*, that is, $Q \vee P$ is logically equivalent to $P \vee Q$.

|

- \vee is *associative*, that is, $P \vee (Q \vee R)$ is logically equivalent to $(P \vee Q) \vee R$.

|