

Congruences of Integers

Contents

① Congruences

Congruences

Definitions

Definition 1 (Congruences)

Let a, b , and m be integers. To say that a is congruent to b modulo m (written $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$) means that m divides $b - a$.

- Let $x, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $x \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ iff m divides x .

$$x \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \iff m \mid (0 - x) \iff m \mid x$$

- For each integer x ,

$$\text{"}x \text{ is even.}" \iff x \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$$

$$\text{"}x \text{ is odd.}" \iff x \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$$

- For all integers a and b , $a \equiv b \pmod{0}$ iff $a = b$.

$$0 \mid (b - a) \iff b - a = 0 \iff a = b$$

$$\underline{m = 2}$$

$$0 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$$

$$1 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$$

$$2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$$

$$3 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$$

$$\vdots$$

Congruences as Relation

Theorem 2 (Congruence Is An Equivalence Relation)

Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. The relation of congruence modulo m satisfies the following properties:

- 1 (Reflexivity) For each $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a \equiv a \pmod{m}$.
- 2 (Symmetry) For all $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, if $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$, then $b \equiv a \pmod{m}$.
- 3 (Transitivity) For all $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$, if $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ and $b \equiv c \pmod{m}$, then $a \equiv c \pmod{m}$.

cf Equality

$$(\forall a) (a = a)$$

$$(\forall a, b) (a = b \Rightarrow b = a)$$

$$(\forall a, b, c) (a = b \wedge b = c \Rightarrow a = c)$$

Proof of ① Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ be arbitrary. Then

$$a - a = 0 = 0 \cdot m.$$

That is, m divides $a - a$, so $a \equiv a \pmod{m}$. \square

Balancing Congruences

cf Equality: Assume $(a_1 = b_1) \wedge (a_2 = b_2)$. Then
 $a_1 + a_2 = b_1 + b_2$; $a_1 a_2 = b_1 b_2$

Theorem 3 (Preserving Congruences)

Let $m, a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose that $a_1 \equiv b_1 \pmod{m}$ and $a_2 \equiv b_2 \pmod{m}$. Then

① $a_1 + a_2 \equiv b_1 + b_2 \pmod{m}$.

② $a_1 a_2 \equiv b_1 b_2 \pmod{m}$.

Proof of ①: Since $a_1 \equiv b_1 \pmod{m}$, $m \mid (b_1 - a_1)$,

so $b_1 - a_1 = km$ for some integer k .

Since $a_2 \equiv b_2 \pmod{m}$, $m \mid (b_2 - a_2)$,

so $b_2 - a_2 = lm$ for some integer l .

Then

$$(b_1 - a_1) + (b_2 - a_2) = km + lm = (k+l)m$$

Note that the LHS equals $(b_1 + b_2) - (a_1 + a_2)$

$m=5$

$$\begin{cases} 2 \equiv 7 \pmod{m}, \\ 1 \equiv -4 \pmod{m} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} 2 + 1 = 3 \\ 7 - 4 = 3 \end{cases} \quad \left. \vphantom{\begin{matrix} 2 + 1 = 3 \\ 7 - 4 = 3 \end{matrix}} \right\} 3 \equiv 3 \pmod{m} \checkmark$$

$$\begin{cases} 2 \cdot 1 = 2 \\ 7 \cdot (-4) = -28 \end{cases} \quad \left. \vphantom{\begin{matrix} 2 \cdot 1 = 2 \\ 7 \cdot (-4) = -28 \end{matrix}} \right\} 2 \equiv -28 \pmod{m} \checkmark$$

It follows that $m \mid \{ (b_1 + b_2) - (a_1 + a_2) \}$, so

$$a_1 + a_2 \equiv b_1 + b_2 \pmod{m}.$$



Interesting Behavior of Congruences

Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Congruence modulo m shares many similarities with equality as seen in the previous slides. Differences?

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$.

- If $ab = 0$, then $a = 0$ or $b = 0$. (True)
- If $ab \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$, then $a \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ or $b \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$. (Not always true)
↳ $m=6$: $2 \cdot 3 \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$, but $2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ and $3 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{6}$.

Let $u, v, w \in \mathbb{Z}$. (cancellation)

- If $w \neq 0$ and $uw = vw$, then $u = v$. (True)
- If $w \not\equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ and $uw \equiv vw \pmod{m}$, then $u \equiv v \pmod{m}$. (Not always true)
18 - 6 = 12 = 2 · 6 = 2 · m
↳ $m=6$: $2 \cdot 3 \equiv \underline{6} \cdot 3 \pmod{6}$ but $2 \not\equiv 6 \pmod{6}$.

Question. For which m values is the second sentence in each paragraph true?

When m Is Prime

Remark 4.50. Let p be prime. If $p \mid ab$, then $p \mid a$ or $p \mid b$.

When m Is Prime

Let m be prime.

- ① Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $ab \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$. Then $a \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ or $b \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$.
- ② Let $u, v, w \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $w \not\equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ and $uw \equiv vw \pmod{m}$. Then $u \equiv v \pmod{m}$.

Proof of ①

Since $ab \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$, $m \mid ab$. But since m is prime, by Rmk 4.50, $m \mid a$ or $m \mid b$.

It follows that $a \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ or $b \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$. \square

Congruence Classes

Example. ($m = 2$) For each $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, $x \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ or $x \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$:

- $x \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$: $\dots, -4, -2, 0, 2, 4, \dots$
- $x \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$: $\dots, -3, -1, 1, 3, \dots$

These two sets of integers are called the *congruence classes modulo 2*. Each integer belongs to exactly one of the two congruence classes.

Example. ($m = 3$) For each $x \in \mathbb{Z}$,

- $x \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$: $\dots, -9, -6, -3, 0, 3, 6, 9, \dots$
- $x \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$: $\dots, -8, -5, -2, 1, 4, 7, 10, \dots$
- $x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$: $\dots, -7, -4, -1, 2, 5, 8, 11, \dots$

These three sets of integers are called the *congruence classes modulo 3*. Each integer belongs to exactly one of the three congruence classes.

Division Lemma

The Division Lemma (Euclid)

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists a unique $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and a unique $r \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$ such that $x = mk + r$.

Using the division lemma, one can show that two integers x_1 and x_2 belong to the same congruence class modulo m if and only if they yield the same remainder upon division by m .

Congruence Class Criterion

Example 4

Let $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and let $k_1, k_2 \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$ such that $x_1 = mk_1 + r_1$ and $x_2 = mk_2 + r_2$. Then $x_1 \equiv x_2 \pmod{m}$ iff $r_1 = r_2$.