

## Section 3

### Quantifiers (I)

Quiz 2 (noon ~ 11:59 pm)

# Contents

- ① Basics of Quantifiers
- ② Universal and Existential Quantifiers
- ③ Notes on Quantifiers

# Basics of Quantifiers

# Motivation

Let  $x$  be a real number. Consider the following sentences.


- $A(x)$ : If  $x > 3$ , then  $x > 1$ .
- $B(x)$ :  $x^2 - 4 > 0$ .

The truth value of each sentence depends on the value of the variable  $x$ .

- $A(x)$  is true for all  $x$ .
- $B(x)$  is true for  $x < -2$  or  $x > 2$ .

In general, they can be rephrased using *quantifiers* as:

- For all  $x$ ,  $A(x)$  is true.
- For some  $x$ ,  $B(x)$  is true.



If he is drinking beer, then he is over 21.



# Quantifiers

The quantifiers  $\forall$  and  $\exists$ , along with the logical connectives, are main ingredients of modern symbolic logic.

Quantifier	Symbol	Technical Name
"for each"	$\forall$	<i>universal quantifier</i>
"for some"	$\exists$	<i>existential quantifier</i>

**Example.** Let  $x$  be a person in this class room. Let  $P(x)$  stands for " $x$  likes ramen." Then

- $(\forall x)P(x)$ : "For each  $x$ ,  $x$  likes ramen." or "Everybody likes ramen."
- $(\exists x)P(x)$ : "For some  $x$ ,  $x$  likes ramen." or "Somebody likes ramen."

## Alternate ways to read.

$(\forall x)P(x)$ :

For each  $x$ ,  $P(x)$ .

For all  $x$ ,  $P(x)$ .

For every  $x$ ,  $P(x)$ .

For any  $x$ ,  $P(x)$ .

$(\exists x)P(x)$ :

For some  $x$ ,  $P(x)$ .

For at least one  $x$ ,  $P(x)$ .

There exists  $x$  such that  $P(x)$ .

# Universe of Discourse

$$(\forall x) P(x),$$

The collection over which the variable  $x$  ranges is called *the universe of discourse*. When clear from context, it is omitted in notation; if not, specify the universe of discourse using the following notation.

$$(\exists x) P(x).$$

$$(\forall x \in U)P(x) \quad \text{or} \quad (\exists x \in U)P(x).$$

## Frequently used collections.

- $\mathbb{N}$ : the set of natural numbers,  $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$
- $\mathbb{Z}$ : the set of integers,  $\{\dots, -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$
- $\mathbb{Q}$ : the set of rational numbers
- $\mathbb{R}$ : the set of real numbers
- $\mathbb{C}$ : the set of complex numbers



# Free and Bound Variables

- In  $P(x)$ ,  $x$  can stand for any particular element of the universe of discourse; it is called a *free variable*.
- In  $(\forall x)P(x)$  or  $(\exists x)P(x)$ ,  $x$  varies over the universe of discourse, not standing for any particular element; it is called a *bound variable* or a dummy variable.

→ same as  $(\forall y)P(y)$

for  $\overset{j}{\cancel{i}} = 1 : 10$

3 \*  $\cancel{i}$  ;

end

$\overset{j}{\cancel{i}}$

# Universal and Existential Quantifiers

# Universal Quantifier

Let  $U$  be the universe of discourse.

- $(\forall x)P(x)$  is true when  $P(x)$  is true for all values of  $x$  in  $U$ .
- To show  $(\forall x)P(x)$  is false, it suffices to show that  $P(x)$  is false for at least one value of  $x$  in  $U$ ; such  $x$  is said to be a *counterexample that disproves the universal sentence*.

## Universal Quantifier (cont')

**Example.** State whether each of the following sentences is true or false.

①  $(\forall x \in \mathbb{R})(x - 2 = 5)$       T / F

Proof

②  $(\forall x \in \mathbb{R})(x^2 + 6x + 10 > 0)$       T / F

Proof

# Existential Quantifier

Let  $U$  be the universe of discourse.

- $(\exists x)P(x)$  is true when  $P(x)$  is true for at least one value of  $x$  in  $U$ ; such  $x$  is said to be an *example that proves the existential sentence*.
- To show  $(\exists x)P(x)$  is false, it is necessary to show that  $P(x)$  is false for all values of  $x$  in  $U$ .

## Existential Quantifier (cont')

**Example.** State whether each of the following sentences is true or false.

- $(\exists x \in \mathbb{R})(x - 2 = 5)$      T / F

Proof

- $(\exists x \in \mathbb{R})(x^2 + 6x + 10 < 0)$      T / F

Proof

# Notes on Quantifiers

# Connections to Logical Connectives

Suppose the universe of discourse consists only of two objects  $\{a, b\}$ . Note that

- $(\forall x)P(x)$  is true exactly when  $P(a) \wedge P(b)$  is true.
- $(\exists x)P(x)$  is true exactly when  $P(a) \vee P(b)$  is true.

In general, when the universe of discourse is a finite set  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ , then

- $(\forall x)P(x)$  has the same truth value as  $P(a_1) \wedge P(a_2) \wedge \dots \wedge P(a_n)$ .
- $(\exists x)P(x)$  has the same truth value as  $P(a_1) \vee P(a_2) \vee \dots \vee P(a_n)$ .

Upshot: •  $\forall$  is a generalization of  $\wedge$ .  
•  $\exists$  is a generalization of  $\vee$



# Notation

Suppose  $A$  is a subcollection of the universe of discourse. Then

- $(\forall x \in A)P(x)$  is a shorthand notation for  $(\forall x)[(x \in A) \Rightarrow P(x)]$ .
- $(\exists x \in A)P(x)$  is a shorthand notation for  $(\exists x)[(x \in A) \wedge P(x)]$ .

When the universe of discourse is  $\mathbb{R}$ , a subcollection may be characterized by an inequality in which case one may use notations e.g.,

- $(\forall x > 0)(2x + 7 = 3)$        $\top / \text{F}$
- $(\exists x \geq 7)(x^2 - 4x + 3 > 0)$        $\top / \text{F}$

# Scope of Quantifiers

The scope of a quantifier is specified using appropriate delimiters.

**Example.** Let  $n$  be an element in  $\{2, 3, 5, 7\}$  and let

$P(n)$  :  $n$  is a prime number.

$Q(n)$  :  $n$  is an even number.

Then

- $(\forall n)P(n) \wedge Q(n)$  stands for
- $(\forall n)[P(n) \wedge Q(n)]$  stands for

Homework (1/21; due Wed 1/26)

Section 3: # 1(e-k), 3, 4