

## Tautologies and Conditional Proofs

### Announcements

- Quiz 1: today (20-min; noon - 11:59 PM)
- HW 1: next Wed (probs assigned this week)
- No class next Mon (MLK)
- OH next week: TW 4:30 PM - 6:00 PM (Zoom)

# Contents

① Some Remarks on Logical Connectives

② Conditional Proofs

# Some Remarks on Logical Connectives

The order of priority of the logical connectives (from highest to lowest):

$$\neg, \wedge, \vee, \Rightarrow, \Leftrightarrow$$

**Examples.**

$$\neg P \wedge \neg Q \quad \text{means} \quad (\neg P) \wedge (\neg Q)$$

$$P \wedge Q \vee R \quad \text{means} \quad (P \wedge Q) \vee R$$

$$P \wedge Q \Rightarrow P \vee Q \quad \text{means} \quad (P \wedge Q) \Rightarrow (P \vee Q)$$

$$P \Rightarrow (Q \Rightarrow R) \Leftrightarrow P \wedge Q \Rightarrow R \quad \text{means} \quad [P \Rightarrow (Q \Rightarrow R)] \Leftrightarrow [(P \wedge Q) \Rightarrow R]$$

- 
- Economical writing by omitting some parentheses
  - Judicious inclusion of some dispensable parenthesis may enhance readability

# Associativity of Conditionals

of  $\wedge$  and  $\vee$  are both commutative and associative

The logical connective  $\Rightarrow$  is not associative, that is,

$$P \Rightarrow (Q \Rightarrow R) \quad \text{and} \quad (P \Rightarrow Q) \Rightarrow R$$

are logically inequivalent. Furthermore, neither of the two is logically equivalent to

$$(P \Rightarrow Q) \wedge (Q \Rightarrow R)$$

For further discussions, see Exercise 11 and Remarks 2.18 and 2.19.

However, people commonly use

$$P \Rightarrow Q \Rightarrow R$$

to mean

$$(P \Rightarrow Q) \wedge (Q \Rightarrow R)$$

# Associativity of Biconditionals

The logical connective  $\Leftrightarrow$  is associative, that is,

$$P \Leftrightarrow (Q \Leftrightarrow R) \quad \text{and} \quad (P \Leftrightarrow Q) \Leftrightarrow R$$

are logically equivalent. However, neither of the two is logically equivalent to

$$(P \Leftrightarrow Q) \wedge (Q \Leftrightarrow R)$$

For further discussions, see Exercise 12 and Remark 2.20.

However, people commonly use

$$P \Leftrightarrow Q \Leftrightarrow R$$

to mean

$$(P \Leftrightarrow Q) \wedge (Q \Leftrightarrow R).$$

# Tautologies

In logic, a **tautology** is a sentence which is true under any possible truth values of its propositional variables.

## Examples.

- $P \vee \neg P$
- $[\neg(P \wedge Q)] \Leftrightarrow [\neg P \vee \neg Q]$
- $(P \wedge Q) \Rightarrow P$
- $P \Rightarrow (P \vee Q)$
- If  $R \equiv S$ , then the sentence  $R \Leftrightarrow S$  is a tautology. (Why?)

| $P$ | $\neg P$ | $P \vee \neg P$ |
|-----|----------|-----------------|
| T   | F        | T               |
| F   | T        | T               |

**Exercise.** Construct a tautology using three sentences  $P$ ,  $Q$ , and  $R$ .

# Conditional Proofs



# Conditional Proofs

"always" true, i.e., is a tautology.

## Conditional Proofs

To show that  $A \Rightarrow B$  is true, it suffices to consider the case where  $A$  is true and to show that in this case,  $B$  must also be true. This approach is known as the method of *conditional proof*.

**Template of Conditional Proof.** To show  $A \Rightarrow B$  is a tautology:

A1: Suppose that  $A$  is true.

Work to show that  $B$  is true under A1.

Discharging A1, we see that  $A \Rightarrow B$  is true under no assumptions.  
Therefore  $A \Rightarrow B$  is a tautology.

$A \Rightarrow B$   
Case 1  
Suppose  $A$  is true.  
Only when  $B$  is true,  
 $A \Rightarrow B$  is true.

Case 2  
Suppose  $A$  is false.  
Regardless of the  
truth value of  $B$ ,  
 $A \Rightarrow B$  is true.

## Conditional Proofs (cont')

$Q \Rightarrow (P \vee Q)$  is a tautology.

### Example

Use the method of conditional proof to explain in words why

$$P \Rightarrow (P \vee Q)$$

is a tautology. (Do not use cases. Be explicit about discharging assumptions.)

*Solution.*

A1: Suppose  $P$  is true.

Then  $P \vee Q$  is true.

We have shown that  $P \vee Q$  is true under the assumption A1 that  $P$  is true.

Discharging A1, we see that  $P \Rightarrow (P \vee Q)$  is true under no assumptions.

Therefore  $P \Rightarrow (P \vee Q)$  is a tautology, because we have shown that it is true under no assumptions on the truth values of  $P$  and  $Q$ . □

-

$(P \wedge Q) \Rightarrow P$  is a tautology.

$(P \wedge Q) \Rightarrow Q$  as well.

# Módus Pónens

The following is useful in proofs.

## Modus Ponens

If  $P \Rightarrow Q$  is true and  $P$  is also true, then  $Q$  must be true. This rule of inference is called *modus ponendo ponens* or, more commonly, *modus ponens*.

## Exercise 1

Use the method of conditional proof to explain in words why the sentence

$$[(P \Rightarrow Q) \wedge [(Q \Rightarrow R)] \Rightarrow (P \Rightarrow R)]$$

is a tautology. Be explicit about discharging assumptions.

nested  
cond. proof  
(2 lev.)

## Solution

A1: Suppose  $\overbrace{(P \Rightarrow Q) \wedge (Q \Rightarrow R)}^A$  is true.

We wish to show that  $\underbrace{P \Rightarrow R}_C$  is true.

A2: Suppose  $P$  is true.

We wish to show that  $R$  is true.

From A1, it follows that  $P \Rightarrow Q$  is true.

From this and A2, we see that  $Q$  is true, by modus ponens.

From A1 again, it follows that  $Q \Rightarrow R$  is true.

From this and the fact that  $Q$  is true, we see that  $R$  is true, by modus <sup>ponens.</sup>

We have shown that  $P \Rightarrow R$  is true under A1 and A2.

Discharging A2, we see that  $P \Rightarrow R$  is true under A1 alone.

Discharging A1, we see that  $\overbrace{A \Rightarrow C}^A$  is true under no assumptions. So it is a tautology.

## Exercise 2

Use the method of conditional proof to explain in words why the sentence

$$[P \Rightarrow (Q \Rightarrow R)] \Rightarrow [(P \Rightarrow Q) \Rightarrow (P \Rightarrow R)]$$

is a tautology. Be explicit about discharging assumptions.

nested  
cond. proof  
( 3 lev.)

Homework (1/14; due Wed 1/19)

Section 2: # 8, 9, 10, 15, 17