

## Exercises: Overdetermined Linear Systems (Solutions)

2. (a) Writing down the interpolating conditions, we have

$$\begin{cases} y_1 = p(x_1) \\ y_2 = p(x_2) \\ y_3 = p(x_3) \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} 3 = c_1 - 1 \cdot c_2 \\ 6 = c_1 + 2 \cdot c_2 \\ 9 = c_1 + 5 \cdot c_2 \end{cases}$$

Using matrix and vector notation, we can succinctly express the system as  $\mathbf{y} = X\mathbf{c}$ :

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 6 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix}}_{=: \mathbf{y}} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix}}_{=: X} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{bmatrix}}_{=: \mathbf{c}}.$$

- (b) With  $X$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  found in the previous part, we write the residual vector as

$$\mathbf{r} = X\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 - c_2 - 3 \\ c_1 + 2c_2 - 6 \\ c_1 + 5c_2 - 9 \end{bmatrix},$$

whose squared 2-norm is given by

$$\|\mathbf{r}\|_2^2 = (c_1 - c_2 - 3)^2 + (c_1 + 2c_2 - 6)^2 + (c_1 + 5c_2 - 9)^2 =: g(c_1, c_2).$$

- (c) Setting  $\nabla g = \mathbf{0}$ , we obtain the following two equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial g}{\partial c_1} &= 2(c_1 - c_2 - 3) + 2(c_1 + 2c_2 - 6) + 2(c_1 + 5c_2 - 9) = 0 \\ \frac{\partial g}{\partial c_2} &= -2(c_1 - c_2 - 3) + 4(c_1 + 2c_2 - 6) + 10(c_1 + 5c_2 - 9) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Dividing both equations by 2, collecting like terms, and moving constants to the other side of equations, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} 3c_1 + 6c_2 = 18 \\ 6c_1 + 30c_2 = 54 \end{cases}$$

which, in turn, is written as a matrix equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 6 \\ 6 & 30 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 18 \\ 54 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (\text{☺})$$

The problem never asked to solve for  $\mathbf{c}$ , so you may just stop here.

(d) By simple matrix calculation,

$$X^T X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 6 \\ 6 & 30 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad X^T \mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 6 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 18 \\ 54 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus, we verify that the normal equation  $X^T X \mathbf{c} = X^T \mathbf{y}$  is indeed the same as the matrix equation ☺ obtained in the previous part. How nice!

3. See the function `lsqrifact` in Lecture 21.
4. Begin by constructing the matrix and the vector as described.

```
A = reshape(1:40, 10, 4);
x = ( [1:10].^2 )';
```

Now we want to decompose  $\mathbf{x}$  into  $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{z}$ , where  $\mathbf{u}$  lies in  $\mathcal{R}(A)$  and  $\mathbf{z}$  is perpendicular to  $\mathbf{u}$  and thus lies in  $\mathcal{R}^\perp(A)$ . This is achieved when  $\mathbf{u}$  is an orthogonal projection of  $\mathbf{x}$  onto  $\mathcal{R}(A)$ . To this end, it is the most convenient to work with an orthonormal basis of the range of  $A$  rather than working with the columns of  $A$ . So let's grab one by doing Gram-Schmidt on columns of  $A$ .

Thankfully, we do not need to do this by hand and thus we will use the thin QR factorization of  $A = \hat{Q}\hat{R}$ ; the columns of  $\hat{Q}$  form an orthogonal basis of  $\mathcal{R}(A)$ . For the sake of simplicity, we will simply call them  $Q$  and  $R$  in the code below.

```
[Q, ~] = qr(A, 0); % R is not needed, but we still need a placeholder.
```

The projection of  $\mathbf{x}$  onto the range of  $A$  is now neatly written as

$$\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{q}_1^T \mathbf{x}) \mathbf{q}_1 + (\mathbf{q}_2^T \mathbf{x}) \mathbf{q}_2 + (\mathbf{q}_3^T \mathbf{x}) \mathbf{q}_3 + (\mathbf{q}_4^T \mathbf{x}) \mathbf{q}_4 = \sum_{j=1}^4 (\mathbf{q}_j^T \mathbf{x}) \mathbf{q}_j.$$

Once it is computed,  $\mathbf{z}$  is found simply by

$$\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}.$$

In MATLAB:

```
u = zeros(size(x));
for j = 1:4
    u = u + (Q(:, j)' * x) * Q(:, j);
end
z = x - u;
```

To confirm, try

```
u' * z % are u and z indeed orthogonal?
```

If the outcome is small,  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{z}$  are nearly orthogonal numerically.

Alternately, observe that

$$\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u} = (I - \hat{Q}\hat{Q}^T) \mathbf{x}.$$

So we can write a more compact code as

```
z1 = (eye(10) - Q*Q')*x;  
u1 = x - z1;  
u1'*z1
```

How close are the results to the previous ones?

```
norm(u-u1)  
norm(z-z1)
```