

# Fall 2019 Manifolds and Topology Preliminary Exam

University of Minnesota

## Part A

1. Give a precise definition of the product  $\alpha * \beta$  of two paths in a space  $X$ , including the conditions under which it is defined.

**Definition.** Let  $\alpha, \beta : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  be continuous functions into  $X$ . If  $\alpha(1) = \beta(0)$ , then the product  $\alpha * \beta : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  is defined to be


$$(\alpha * \beta)(t) = \begin{cases} \alpha(2t) & t \in [0, 1/2] \\ \beta(2t - 1) & t \in (1/2, 1] \end{cases}$$

2. Suppose that  $X$  is a path connected, semilocally 1-connected space whose fundamental group is  $\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$ . How many isomorphism classes of connected covering spaces does  $X$  have?

*Proof.* The isomorphism classes of covering spaces correspond to conjugacy classes of the fundamental group. Thus, this question is equivalent to counting the conjugacy classes of  $\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$ . Note that  $\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}$  is abelian and abelian groups have exactly one conjugacy class for each group element (since  $hgh^{-1} = hh^{-1}g = g$  for any  $g, h$ ). Thus, there are  $|\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2| = 4$  conjugacy classes, and hence there are 4 isomorphism classes of covering spaces of  $X$ .  $\square$

3. Give an example of a space  $X$  with open subsets  $U, V$  such that  $X = U \cup V$ ,  $U$  is simply connected,  $V$  is simply connected, but where  $X$  is not simply connected. Then explain why this does not violate the Siefert-van Kampen theorem.

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be the annulus of inner radius 1 and outer radius 2, shown below,:



and let  $U$  be the left half of the annulus (shown crosshatched) with  $\epsilon$  extra and let  $V$  be the right half of the annulus (shown filled with dots) also with  $\epsilon$  extra, where both  $U, V$  intersect in  $\epsilon$ -fattenings of the line segments  $\ell_+ = [(0, 1), (0, 2)]$  and  $\ell_- = [(0, -1), (0, -2)]$ .

Then  $\pi_1(U) = \pi_1(V) = 0$  since both are contractible. Additionally,  $\pi_1(U \cap V) = 0$  since both the  $\epsilon$ -fattenings of line segments  $\ell_+, \ell_-$  are contractible so regardless of choice of basepoint we are in a contractible connected component.  $U$  and  $V$  do not violate the hypothesis of the Siefert-van Kampen

theorem, because the intersection  $U \cap V$  is not path-connected. However if we were to ignore the requirement of path-connectedness, we might conclude that the theorem says  $\pi_1(X) = 0/0 \cong 0$ .

But we know that  $\pi_1(U \cup V) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  because the generators are the trivial loop and the loop which contains the hole in its interior.

Thus, we cannot choose  $U, V$  as in this example if we wish to use the Siefert-van Kampen theorem.  $\square$

4. Explain why the inclusion  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is not a covering map.

*Proof.* Suppose  $\iota : \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  were a covering map.

Then it is a theorem that the induced map on fundamental groups  $\iota_* : \pi_1(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathbb{R})$  is an injection.

On the other hand,  $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}) = 0$  and so no injection from  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$  exists, contradicting that  $\iota$  were a covering map.  $\square$

5. Define the *degree* of a map  $f : S^n \rightarrow S^n$  for  $n > 0$ . Explain why  $n = 0$  is a special case.

**Definition.** Let  $f : S^n \rightarrow S^n$  be a map. Then there is an induced homomorphism on homology  $f_* : H_n(S^n) \rightarrow H_n(S^n)$ . Since  $H_n(S^n) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $f_*$  must be multiplication by an integer (otherwise it would not be a homomorphism). The integer  $k$  such that  $f_*(x) = kx$  is called the *degree* of the map.

Note that  $n = 0$  is a special case, since  $H_0(S^0) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ , and so “multiplication by an integer” must be more carefully defined.

6. Suppose  $X, Y$  are spaces that are both abstractly homeomorphic to  $S^7$ . Show that the “degree” of the map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is only well-defined up to sign.
7. Let  $X$  be the space of upper triangular invertible  $2 \times 2$  matrices:

$$X = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{R}) : a \neq 0, d \neq 0 \right\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$$

Determine the homology groups  $H_*(X)$ .

*Proof.* First, note that  $X \cong (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}) \times (\mathbb{R}) \times (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$  by the map taking  $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \mapsto (a, b, d)$ .

Thus, we compute the homology groups  $H_*(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$  and  $H_*(\mathbb{R})$ .

Since  $X_1 := \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  is the disjoint union of the strictly positive real axis  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$  and the strictly negative real axis  $\mathbb{R}_{<0}$ , which are homeomorphic via the map  $x \mapsto -x$ , we have

$$H_n(\mathbb{R}_{>0}) = H_n(\mathbb{R}_{<0}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & n = 0 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Which means that

$$H_n(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}) = H_n(\mathbb{R}_{<0} \sqcup \mathbb{R}_{>0}) = H_n(\mathbb{R}_{<0}) \oplus H_n(\mathbb{R}_{>0}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}^2 & n = 0 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

For CW-complexes  $A, B$  a Künneth formula tells us that the following is a short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_i (H_i(A) \otimes H_{n-i}(B)) \rightarrow H_n(A \times B) \rightarrow \bigoplus_i \text{Tor}(H_i(A), H_{n-i-1}(B)) \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{i})$$

We will first compute  $H_\bullet(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$ , taking  $\mathbb{R} = A$  and  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} = B$ .

Rewriting the above sequence for our case, we see

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_i (H_i(\mathbb{R}) \otimes H_{n-i}(\mathbb{R} \setminus 0)) \rightarrow H_n(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \setminus 0) \rightarrow \bigoplus_i \text{Tor}(H_i(\mathbb{R}), H_{n-i-1}(\mathbb{R} \setminus 0)) \rightarrow 0$$

First, note that for every  $i$  we have  $H_i(\mathbb{R})$  is torsion-free, so  $\text{Tor}(H_i(\mathbb{R}), H_{n-i-1}(\mathbb{R} \setminus 0)) = 0$ , hence

$$\bigoplus_i (H_i(\mathbb{R}) \otimes H_{n-i}(\mathbb{R} \setminus 0)) \cong H_n(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \setminus 0)$$

When  $n = 0$  we have

$$H_0(\mathbb{R}) \otimes H_0(\mathbb{R} \setminus 0) = \mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{Z}^2 \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$$

When  $n > 0$  we have

$$H_i(\mathbb{R}) \otimes H_{n-i}(\mathbb{R} \setminus 0) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} \otimes 0 & i = 0 \\ 0 \otimes 0 & i \neq 0, n \\ 0 \otimes \mathbb{Z}^2 & i = n \end{cases} = 0,$$

and so

$$H_n(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \setminus 0) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}^2 & n = 0 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}.$$

We now use (??) with  $A = \mathbb{R} \setminus 0$  and  $B = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \setminus 0$  and the fact that every homology group of  $A$  is torsion-free to see

$$\bigoplus_i (H_i(\mathbb{R} \setminus 0) \otimes H_{n-i}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \setminus 0)) \cong H_n(\mathbb{R} \setminus 0 \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \setminus 0) \cong H_n(X).$$

Arguing as above we see when  $n = 0$  that

$$H_0(\mathbb{R} \setminus 0) \otimes H_0(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \setminus 0) = \mathbb{Z}^2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}^2 \cong \mathbb{Z}^4,$$

and for  $n > 0$

$$H_i(\mathbb{R} \setminus 0) \otimes H_{n-i}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \setminus 0) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}^2 \otimes 0 & i = 0 \\ 0 \otimes 0 & i \neq 0, n \\ 0 \otimes \mathbb{Z}^2 & i = n \end{cases} = 0,$$

and so

$$H_n(X) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}^4 & n = 0 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

□

## Part B

1. Give an example of a compact 2-dimensional manifold  $M$  for which there exists an embedding of  $M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  into Euclidean space of strictly smaller dimension than that given by the Whitney embedding theorem.

*Proof.* The Whitney embedding theorem states that a  $d$ -dimensional manifold with or without boundary can be properly smoothly embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^{2d+1}$ . Here, we take  $d = 2$  and so the embedding certainly exists in  $\mathbb{R}^5$ .

Consider the subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  given by  $I^2 := [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ . As a closed and bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  the Heine-Borel theorem tells us this is certainly compact.

Now consider the map  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  taking  $(x, y) \mapsto (x, y, 0)$ . This is a smooth, proper embedding of  $I^2$  into  $\mathbb{R}^3$  a Euclidean space of dimension strictly smaller than 5. To see that the map is smooth, note that  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 1$  and  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = 0$ . □

2. The cylindrical coordinate change is given by

$$(x, y) = (r \cos(\theta), r \sin(\theta)).$$

Express the vector field

$$(x^2 + y^2)^{-2/3} \left( x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)$$

in  $(r, \theta)$  coordinates.

*Proof.* Now recall that the change of coordinate map  $F$  which sends  $(x, y)$  coordinates to  $(r, \theta)$  coordinates are  $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$  and  $\theta = \arctan(\frac{y}{x})$ , and so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial r}{\partial x} &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} \frac{\partial(x^2 + y^2)}{\partial x} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} (2x) \\ &= \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} \end{aligned}$$

and by symmetry  $\frac{\partial r}{\partial y} = \frac{y}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}}$ . Now we compute  $\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}$  and  $\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y}$ . First,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} &= \frac{1}{1 + (y/x)^2} \frac{\partial(y/x)}{\partial x} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + (y/x)^2} \cdot \frac{-y}{x^2} \\ &= \frac{-y}{x^2 + y^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Next,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} &= \frac{1}{1 + (y/x)^2} \frac{\partial(y/x)}{\partial y} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + (y/x)^2} \cdot \frac{1}{x} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + (y/x)^2} \cdot \frac{x}{x^2} \\ &= \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2}. \end{aligned}$$

The chain rule tells us that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \frac{\partial r}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \\ &= \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{y}{x^2 + y^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \\ &= \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{\sin \theta}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial y} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \frac{\partial r}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} \\
&= \frac{y}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \\
&= \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{\cos \theta}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we change coordinates on  $x, y$ , leaving their differentials unchanged, then we substitute using the expressions for  $\partial/\partial x$  and  $\partial/\partial y$  which we found above and reduce using the Pythagorean trigonometric identity to see that the vector field in terms of cylindrical coordinates is:

$$\begin{aligned}
(x^2 + y^2)^{-2/3} \left( x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) &= ((r \cos \theta)^2 + (r \sin \theta)^2)^{-2/3} \left( (r \cos \theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + (r \sin \theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \\
&= r^{-4/3} \left( (r \cos \theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + (r \sin \theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \\
&= r^{-4/3} \left( (r \cos \theta) \left( \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{\sin \theta}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) + (r \sin \theta) \left( \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{\cos \theta}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) \right) \\
&= r^{-4/3} \left( (r \cos^2 \theta + r \sin^2 \theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + (-\sin \theta \cos \theta + \cos \theta \sin \theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) \\
&= r^{-4/3} \left( r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}
\end{aligned}$$

□

3. Determine the Lie bracket of the vector fields  $x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$  and  $y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - x \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

*Proof.*

□

4. Give an example of a surjection  $f : M \rightarrow N$  of manifolds that is not a submersion.

*Proof.* Consider the projection map  $\pi_1 : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  taking  $(x, y) \mapsto x$ . There is no right inverse to the projection map, since  $\pi$  is a many-to-one map. Hence it is not a submersion. □