

- FIG. 1.1:** Full disk image, looking down on North America. Many elements of the general circulation can be seen in this picture, including the rain band in the eastern North Pacific, the midlatitude baroclinic waves, and the low clouds associated with the subtropical highs. ----- 2
- FIG. 1.2:** This figure shows lidar backscatter from aerosols and clouds. The figure has been created using data from LITE, a lidar that flew on the space shuttle in 1994. The lidar cannot penetrate through thick clouds, which explains the vertical black stripes in the figure. The reddish layer just above the Earth's surface is the PBL, which is visible because the aerosol concentration decreases sharply upward at the PBL top. ----- 3
- FIG. 1.3:** A shuttle photograph of tropical thunderstorms. The storms are topped by thick anvil clouds. Much shallower convective clouds can be seen in the foreground. ----- 4
- FIG. 1.4:** This shuttle photograph shows cirrus clouds associated with the jet stream. ----- 5
- FIG. 1.5:** A beautiful baroclinic eddy over the North Atlantic Ocean in winter. - 6
- FIG. 1.6:** This ship was used to collect meteorological and oceanographic data in and above the Arctic ocean. The ship is surrounded by sea ice, and is enveloped by foggy low-level Arctic clouds. ----- 6
- FIG. 1.7:** Cold-looking clouds over the mountains of Antarctica. ----- 7
- FIG. 2.1:** March of the seasons. As the tilted Earth revolves around the sun, changes in the distribution of sunlight cause the succession of seasons. (From Imbrie and Imbrie, 1979) ----- 10
- FIG. 2.2:** The seasonal variation of the zonally (or diurnally) averaged insolation at the top the atmosphere. The units are W m^{-2} . ----- 11
- FIG. 2.3:** The zonally averaged incident solar radiation, albedo, outgoing longwave radiation, and net radiation at the top of the atmosphere, as observed in the Earth Radiation Budget Experiment (Barkstrom 1989). ----- 13
- FIG. 2.4:** The poleward energy transport by the atmosphere and ocean combined, as inferred from the observed annually averaged net radiation at the top of the atmosphere. A Petawatt is 10^{15} W . ----- 15
- FIG. 2.5:** The Earth's orography, averaged onto a mesh 1 degree of longitude "wide" by 1 degree of latitude "high." ----- 17
- FIG. 2.6:** The distributions of sea ice (shown in grey) for March and September. The data represent averages for grid cells 5° of longitude wide and 4° of latitude

high. 18

FIG. 2.7: a) Sea surface temperature distribution for January. The contour interval is 2 K, and values greater than 26 °C are shaded. b) Same for July. c) The sea surface temperature for March, minus the sea surface temperature for September. The contour interval is 2 K. The zero line is heavy, and negative values are indicated by dashed contours. - - - - -19

FIG. 2.8: A simplified depiction of the distribution of vegetation on the land surface. The resolution is one degree. “Permanent” land ice is shown in white. - - - -20

FIG. 2.9: Observations of the upward and downward solar (SW) and terrestrial (LW) radiation at the Boulder Atmospheric Observatory (BAO) tower near Boulder Colorado, for the years 1986 - 1988. Figure provided by Ellsworth Dutton. -24

FIG. 2.10: The zonally averaged (land and ocean) net solar radiation absorbed by the Earth’s surface. These estimates are uncertain by 5% or so. Based on Darnell et al. (1988, 1992). Note: These data are not true observations, although they are based on observations. - - - - -25

FIG. 2.11: The zonally averaged (land and ocean) net infrared cooling of the Earth’s surface. These estimates have large but difficult to quantify uncertainties. Based on Gupta (1989) and Gupta et al. (1992). Note: These data are not true observations, although they are based on observations. - - - - -25

FIG. 2.12: The zonally averaged net surface radiation, obtained by combining the data from Fig. 2.10 and Fig. 2.11. Note: These data are not true observations, although they are based on observations. - - - - -26

FIG. 2.13: The zonally averaged surface latent heat flux, positive upward, based on ECMWF analyses for 1987. Note: These data are not true observations, although they are based on observations. - - - - -26

FIG. 2.14: The zonally averaged surface sensible heat flux, positive upward, based on ECMWF analyses for 1987. Note: These data are not true observations, although they are based on observations. - - - - -27

FIG. 3.1: Sketch illustrating conservation of mass. Here V is a “control volume,” V is the velocity, and dA is a small element of area on the boundary of the control volume. Control volumes are always shaped like potatoes; hence the expression “Great Geophysical Potato.” - - - - -33

FIG. 3.2: An example of surface pressure plotted against surface height, for a particular observation time. Note that the vertical scale decreases upward. - 36

FIG. 3.3: Sea level pressure maps. The contour interval is 3 mb. - - - - -37

FIG. 3.4: The observed zonally averaged sea level pressure for January (solid line) and July (dashed line). 38

FIG. 3.5: The departure of the 2 m temperature from its zonal mean at each latitude, for January and July. Positive values are shaded. The contour interval is 2 K. 39

FIG. 3.6: Prof. Jule G. Charney, whose accomplishments include scale analyses of both extratropical and tropical motions, development of the quasigeostrophic model, development (in his Ph.D. thesis at UCLA) of a classical theory of baroclinic instability, pioneering work on numerical weather prediction, analysis of the interactions of cumulus convection with large-scale motions in tropical cyclones, development of a theory of planetary waves propagating through shear, analysis of blocking, and a theory of desertification. Because he did so much, Charney's work is frequently cited in this course. ----- 41

FIG. 3.7: The departure of the 500 mb temperature from its value at Darwin, Australia, for January. The contour interval is 2 K, and the zero contour is solid while negative contours are dashed. Note the amazing uniformity of the tropical temperatures. This is a consequence of the smallness of the Coriolis parameter in the tropics, as explained by Charney (1963). ----- 43

FIG. 3.8: Latitude-height section of the zonal wind. The contour interval is 5 m s⁻¹. Easterlies are shaded. ----- 44

FIG. 3.9: Maps of the 850 mb zonal wind, for January and July. The contour interval used is 3 m s⁻¹. Easterlies are shaded. ----- 45

FIG. 3.10: Image of Jupiter obtained from the Cassini probe in autumn 2000. Note the zonal bands, and the Great Red Spot in the southern hemisphere. ----- 46

FIG. 3.11: Maps of the 200 mb zonal wind for January and July. The contour interval is 5 m s⁻¹. Easterlies are shaded. ----- 47

FIG. 3.12: Latitude-height sections of the meridional wind, for January and July. The contour interval is 0.5 m s⁻¹. ----- 48

FIG. 3.13: The variation with season of the vertically integrated meridional velocity. The top panel shows variations with latitude, and the bottom panel shows the seasonal cycle at the Equator. From Trenberth et al. (1987). ----- 51

FIG. 3.14: The variations with season of the hemispherically averaged and globally averaged surface pressures associated with dry air and with water vapor. From Trenberth et al. (1987). ----- 52

FIG. 3.15: Maps of the 850 mb meridional wind, for January and July. The contour interval is 2 m s⁻¹. Northerlies are shaded. ----- 53

- FIG. 3.16:** Maps of the 200 mb meridional wind, for January and July. The contour interval is 2 m s^{-1} . Northerlies are shaded. - - - - - 54
- FIG. 3.17:** Streamlines of the 850 mb wind, for January and July. - - - - - 55
- FIG. 3.18:** Streamlines of the 200 mb wind, for January and July. - - - - - 56
- FIG. 3.19:** Maps of the geopotential height at 850 mb. The contour interval is 25 m. - - - - - 57
- FIG. 3.20:** Maps of the geopotential height at 200 mb. The contour interval is 40 m. - - - - - 58
- FIG. 3.21:** The stream function of the mean meridional circulation. Positive values represent counterclockwise circulations, while negative values represent clockwise circulations. - - - - - 59
- FIG. 3.22:** Seasonal change of the mean meridional circulation. - - - - - 61
- FIG. 3.23:** 500 mb w, the vertical “pressure velocity,” in mb s^{-1} . Negative values (corresponding to rising motion) are shaded. - - - - - 62
- FIG. 3.24:** The absolute (left panels) and relative (right panels) atmospheric angular momentum per unit mass, for January and July, as analyzed by ECMWF. The units are $10^7 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$. - - - - - 64
- FIG. 3.25:** Sketch illustrating the concept of “mountain torque.” High occurs on the upstream side of the mountain, and low on the downstream side. - - - - - 67
- FIG. 3.26:** Changes in the length of day as inferred from the angular momentum of the atmosphere (solid line) and as determined from astronomical data (dotted line) since July 1981. The mean difference between the two quantities has been subtracted from the angular momentum curve. From Rosen et al. (1984). - - 68
- FIG. 3.27:** The zonal mean of the annual zonal wind stresses for all oceans combined (Pa): Solid line: Han and Lee (1983); dashed line: Hellerman. From Han and Lee (1983). - - - - - 69
- FIG. 3.28:** Meridional profiles of the total surface torque and the mountain torque for annual mean conditions. The horizontal axis is labeled in HUs, which are “Hadley units.” A Hadley unit is $10^{18} \text{ kg m}^2 \text{ s}^{-2}$. Note that the scales in the two panels are different. Also note the difference in sign conventions relative to Fig. 3.27. (From Oort 1989, after Newton, 1971.) - - - - - 70
- FIG. 3.29:** Cross sections of: a) the zonally averaged zonal flow (m s^{-1}), and b) the stream function of the zonally averaged relative angular momentum transport in units of $10^{18} \text{ kg m}^2 \text{ s}^{-2}$. From Oort 1989. - - - - - 71

FIG. 3.30: a) An idealized midlatitude temperature profile, based on the U.S. Standard Atmosphere (1976). b) The observed vertical distribution of ozone at 35°N , for April, normalized by the total amount. From Manabe and Wetherald (1967). 72

FIG. 3.31: Latitude-height cross sections of temperature, for January and July. The contour interval is 5 K. ----- 73

FIG. 3.32: Latitude-height cross sections of potential temperature, for January and July. The contour interval is 10 K. ----- 74

FIG. 3.33: Maps of the 850 mb temperature for January and July. The contour interval is 5 K. ----- 75

FIG. 3.34: Maps of the 200 mb temperature for January and July. The contour interval is 2 K. ----- 76

FIG. 3.35: January zonal and time-averages as a function of latitude and potential temperature of: a) pressure (mb); b) pseudodensity ($\text{kg m}^{-2} \text{K}^{-1}$); c) zonal wind (m s^{-1}); and d) meridional wind (m s^{-1}). The shaded area at the bottom of each panel represents the “ground,” and the thick line represents the average tropopause. From Edouard et al. (1997). ----- 78

FIG. 3.36: The zonally averaged Ertel potential vorticity plotted as a function of latitude and potential temperature. The units are “potential vorticity units” (PVUs), which are defined as $10^{-6} \text{ m}^2 \text{ K s}^{-1} \text{ kg}^{-1}$. The shaded area at the bottom represents the “ground,” and the thick line represents the average tropopause. From Edouard et al. (1997). ----- 79

FIG. 3.37: Illustrative vertical profiles of a) the relative humidity (from Manabe and Wetherald, 1967), and b) the water vapor mixing ratio (from Dutton, 1976). 81

FIG. 3.38: Latitude-height section of water vapor mixing ratio. The contour interval used is 1 g kg^{-1} . ----- 83

FIG. 3.39: Maps of the 850 mb water vapor mixing ratio. The contour interval is 1 g kg^{-1} shaded values $> 10 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$. ----- 84

FIG. 3.40: The observed latitude-height distribution of the zonally averaged moist static energy, in kJ kg^{-1} , as analyzed by ECMWF. ----- 85

FIG. 3.41: The zonally averaged precipitation rate for January and July. ----- 86

FIG. 3.42: Maps of the January and July precipitation rate, from the Global Precipitation Climatology Center. The contour interval is 1 mm day^{-1} . Values greater than 4 mm day^{-1} are shaded. ----- 87

FIG. 3.43: Maps of the surface sensible heat flux, based on ECMWF reanalyses. These

are not real observations, although they are based on observations. The contour interval is 10 W m^{-2} . Values higher than 50 W m^{-2} are shaded. - - - - - 90

FIG. 3.44: Momentum balance without friction (left) and with friction (right). The thin arrows represent the wind vector, and the thick arrows represent various forces and apparent forces. The effect of friction is to make the wind turn towards low pressure. - - - - - 93

FIG. 3.45: Maps of the surface latent heat flux, based on ECMWF reanalyses. These are not real observations, although they are based on observations. The contour interval is 20 W m^{-2} . Values higher than 150 W m^{-2} are shaded. - - - - - 94

FIG. 3.46: Maps of the magnitude of the surface wind stress based on satellite data. The contour interval is 0.02 Pa . Values higher than 0.2 Pa are shaded. - - - - 95

FIG. 3.47: Climatological distribution of sea-surface temperature in the tropical Pacific ocean. Note the cold water along the Equator and throughout most of the eastern side of the ocean basin, and the warm water on the western side of the basin and stretching across the basin north of the Equator. - - - - - 96

FIG. 3.48: An example to illustrate the decompositions of fields into their zonal mean and eddy parts, and the zonal mean of an eddy product. The top and center panels show maps of the eddy parts of the January-mean meridional wind and temperature at 850 mb , respectively. The bottom panel shows the product, and on the bottom right the zonal mean of the product. See text for details. - - - - - 99

FIG. 3.49: Meridional flux of zonal momentum in the annual mean. The top panel shows the total flux, the second panel shows the transient-eddy flux, the third panel shows the stationary eddy flux, and the bottom panel shows the flux due to the mean meridional circulation (c). From Peixoto and Oort (1992). - - - - - 101

FIG. 3.50: The meridional flux of temperature. The top panel shows the transient-eddy flux, the second panel shows the stationary eddy flux, and the bottom panel shows the flux due to the mean meridional circulation. In the bottom panel, the globally averaged temperature on each pressure surface is removed before computing the flux. From Peixoto and Oort (1992). - - - - - 102

FIG. 3.51: A crude sketch of the variation of the eddy heat flux with latitude. Where the flux increases poleward, the air is cooled, and where it decreases poleward, the air is warmed. - - - - - 104

FIG. 3.52: A crude sketch of the mean meridional circulation. The subtropical sinking motion occurs where the eddies cool, and the midlatitude rising motion occurs where the eddies warm. - - - - - 104

FIG. 3.53: The stream function of the seasonally varying mean meridional circulation

as seen in p -coordinates (right panels) and θ -coordinates (left panels). Units are 10^{10} kg s^{-1} . In the left panels, the curved line near the bottom represents the surface of the Earth, along which the potential temperature is of course a function of latitude. From Townsend and Johnson (1985). ----- 106

FIG. 4.1: Sketch defining notation used in the text. ----- 112

FIG. 4.2: Definition of a spherical coordinate system whose pole is at an angle with respect to the axis of the Earth's rotation. See Problem 11. ----- 138

FIG. 4.3: A planet shaped like a doughnut. The inner radius is and the outer radius is . Latitude is measured from the outer Equator. See Problem 12. ----- 139

FIG. 5.1: a) Northward transport of dry static energy during DJF (December-January-February) in units of PW. (a) Total transport; (b) transport by the mean meridional circulation; (c) transport by stationary eddies; (d) transport by transient eddies. For all panels, the solid lines show the results obtained from ECMWF data, the dashed lines from GFDL data, and the dotted lines from Oort's (1983) statistics. Figure from Masuda (1988). b) Northward transport of dry static energy during JJA (June-July-August). From Masuda (1988). ----- 143

FIG. 5.2: a) Northward transport of latent energy during DJF (December-January-February). b) Northward transport of latent energy during JJA (June-July-August). From Masuda (1988). ----- 144

FIG. 5.3: Northward transport of moist static energy during the four seasons from the analyses of (a) ECMWF, (b) GFDL and (c) Oort (1983). The solid lines are for DJF, dashed lines for MAM, dash-dotted lines for JJA, and dotted lines for SON seasons. From Masuda (1988). ----- 145

FIG. 5.4: The required annual-mean total heat transport from the TOA radiation (RT) is given along with the estimates of the total atmospheric transport (AT) from NCEP and ECMWF reanalyses. From Trenberth and Caron (2001). ----- 146

FIG. 5.5: Decomposition of the annual mean northward moist static energy transport (solid lines) derived from (a) ECMWF, (b) GFDL and (c) Oort's data into the contributions of the mean meridional circulation (dashed lines), stationary eddies (dash-dotted lines) and transient eddies (dotted lines). From Masuda (1988). 147

FIG. 5.6: The northward ocean heat transports from the NCEP-derived and ECMWF-derived products are compared (top) for the Atlantic Ocean with direct ocean estimates from sections, as identified in the key. The dashed curves show estimates of the uncertainty for the derived transports. Where given in the original source, error bars are also plotted and the symbol is solid. Slight offsets in latitude are introduced where overlap would otherwise occur. Several sections are not exactly along a latitude circle, notably those for Bacon (1997) at 55°N and the Saunders and

King (1995) section along 45°S (South America to 10°E) to 35°S (Africa), plotted at 40°S. (middle) Comparison of the derived results with transports from the simulations with a coupled ocean-atmosphere model called HADCM3 and another model called CSM for the Atlantic. (bottom) Results for the global ocean along with those from Macdonald and Wunsch (1996) at 24°N and 30°S, and at 24°N the combined Lavin et al. (1998) and Bryden et al. (1991) and for Ganachaud and Wunsch (2000). From Trenberth and Caron (2001). - - - - - 148

FIG. 5.7: The required total heat transport from the TOA radiation (RT) is compared with the derived estimate of the adjusted ocean heat transport (OT, dashed) and implied atmospheric transport (AT) from NCEP reanalyses. From Trenberth and Caron (2001). - - - - - 149

FIG. 5.8: A plot of (vertical axis) as a function of latitude in radians (front axis) and normalized height (right axis). - - - - - 150

FIG. 5.9: A plot of (vertical axis) as a function of latitude in radians (front axis) and normalized height (right axis). - - - - - 152

FIG. 5.10: The latitude-height distribution of the zonal mean zonal wind, in m s^{-1} , for various values of the rotation parameter. From Williams (1988). - - - - - 157

FIG. 5.11: The stream function of the mean meridional circulation, in $10^{10} \text{ kg s}^{-1}$, for various values of the rotation parameter. From Williams (1988). - - - - - 158

FIG. 5.12: The latitude-height distribution of the temperature, in K, for various values of the rotation parameter. From Williams (1988). - - - - - 159

FIG. 5.13: The latitude-height distribution of the eddy kinetic energy, per unit mass, in $\text{m}^2 \text{ s}^{-2}$, for various values of the rotation parameter. From Williams (1988). 160

FIG. 5.14: Sketch illustrating the variation of the zonal wind with latitude under conservation of angular momentum, for the special case in which the zonal wind vanishes at the Equator. - - - - - 162

FIG. 6.1: Joanne Malkus (now Joanne Simpson), and the late Herbert Riehl. - - 167

FIG. 6.2: Flow chart for the numerical time integration in the radiative-convective equilibrium study of Manabe and Wetherald (1967). - - - - - 173

FIG. 6.3: Solid line, radiative equilibrium of the clear atmosphere with a given distribution of relative humidity; dashed line, radiative equilibrium of the clear atmosphere with a given distribution of absolute humidity; dotted line, radiative convective equilibrium of the atmosphere with a given distribution of relative humidity. From Manabe and Wetherald (1967). - - - - - 174

FIG. 6.4: Temperature profiles for radiative-convective equilibrium, as simulated by

the physical parameterizations of the CSU GCM. The surface temperature was prescribed as a more or less realistic function of latitude, and for each latitude the annual mean insolation was used. ----- 175

FIG. 6.5: (a) Zonal mean temperatures for 15 January calculated by using a time-marched radiative-convective-photochemical model. (b) Zonal mean temperatures for January. From Fels (1985). ----- 176

FIG. 6.6: Representative observed soundings for Darwin, Australia, Porto Santo Island in the Atlantic tradewind regime, and San Nicolas Island, in the subtropical marine stratocumulus regime off the coast of southern California. The curves plotted show the dry static energy, the moist static energy, and the saturation moist static energy. The panels on the right cover both the troposphere and lower stratosphere, while those on the right zoom in on the lower troposphere to show more detail. Values are divided by c_p to give units in K. ----- 177

FIG. 6.7: Observed locations of low-level stratus clouds, including the one west of California, as observed by ISCCP, the International Satellite Cloud Climatology Project. Cloud amounts higher than 26% are shaded. ----- 180

FIG. 6.8: Schematic diagram summarizing the relationships among the cloud regimes depicted in Fig. 6.6, and how they fit into the mean meridional circulation. From Schubert et al. (1995). ----- 181

FIG. 6.9: Estimates of the clear-sky radiative cooling rate in the eastern and central Pacific, based on ECMWF data. ----- 182

FIG. 6.10: Douglas Lilly, who has done leading work on a wide range of topics including cumulus convection, numerical methods, gravity waves, and stratocumulus clouds. ----- 184

FIG. 6.11: Representative observed soundings for Denver, Colorado and Barrow, Alaska, for July and January. The curves plotted show the dry static energy, the moist static energy, and the saturation moist static energy. The panels on the right cover both the troposphere and lower stratosphere, while those on the right zoom in on the lower troposphere to show more detail. Values are divided by c_p to give units in K. ----- 185

FIG. 6.12: Prof. Akio Arakawa, cruising along in mid-lecture. ----- 186

FIG. 6.13: Observed time sequence of the CAPE during TOGA COARE, over the Intensive Flux Array. K. Emanuel's code was used to construct these curves. X. Lin of CSU performed the computations. ----- 198

FIG. 7.14: Prof. Edward N. Lorenz, who proposed the concept of available potential energy, and has published a great deal of additional very fundamental research in the

atmospheric sciences and the relatively new science of nonlinear dynamical systems. -----205

FIG. 7.15: Sketch illustrating the concept of “massless layers.” ----- ‘206

FIG. 7.16: Sketch illustrating the transition from a given state to the A-state. Here increases downward and we assume that , which means that the atmosphere is statically stable. ----- 209

FIG. 7.17: Sketch illustrating the transition from a given state to the S state used to define the gross static stability. -----211

FIG. 7.18: A simple example used to explain the idea of gradient production. State B is obtained by homogenizing State A. In both State A and State B, the average of is . Variance seems to “disappear” in passing from State A to State B. In reality it is converted from the variance of the mean to an eddy variance. -----220

FIG. 7.19: Sketch illustrating the flow of energy through the atmospheric general circulation. Generation produces APE, which is converted to KE, which in turn is dissipated. -----230

FIG. 7.20: Energy cycle during winter (left) and summer (right) for both hemispheres. Integrals between 1000 and 50 mb are presented. Data are calculated from 00 GMT initialized analyses. Energy amounts are given in kJ m^{-2} and conversion rates in W m^{-2} . From Arpé et al. (1986). -----235

FIG. 7.21: Mean annual cycles of energy conversions and amounts for global and hemispheric averages. Data are calculated from 12 hour forecasts and for global averages. Data from initialized analyses are given as well (thinner curves). In the top three panels, the zero line is drawn for reference only. Note that the lower panels have two vertical scales. From Arpé et al. (1986). -----236

FIG. 7.22: Vertical and meridional distribution of zonal mean eddy kinetic energy KE together with contributions by wavenumber groups to vertical integrals in January and August. Units in the cross-sections are $\text{J (m}^2\text{Pa)}^{-1} = 100 \text{ kJ (m}^2\text{Bar)}^{-1}$. From Arpé et al. (1986). ----- 237

FIG. 7.23: Mean annual cycles of energy amounts and conversions in both hemispheres and contributions from wavenumber groups calculated from 12 hour forecasts. From Arpé et al. (1986). ----- 238

FIG. 8.1: A cartoon that appeared in Morel (1973). The man on the diving board is Jule Charney. The other three people are presumably M.I.T. graduate students of the early 1970s. -----242

FIG. 8.2: The solution procedure for free oscillations. The vertical structure equation

is solved first, yielding the equivalent depths as eigenvalues. The frequencies are then obtained as eigenvalues of the LTE. ----- 248

FIG. 8.3: Chain of vortices along a latitude circle, illustrating the westward propagation of Rossby waves. ----- 252

FIG. 8.4: Successive daily values of the phase angle for the 24 hour tendency field, ϕ , at the 500 mb level for the components ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 , during the 90 day period beginning 1 December 1956. The ordinate is time, in days. The abscissa represents the number of westward circulations round the Earth after the first passage of the Greenwich meridian, and so it is a measure of longitudinal phase propagation. From Eliassen and Machenhauer (1965). ----- 253

FIG. 8.5: Maps of the geopotential height for 9 winters: (a) rms unfiltered, contour interval 10 m; (b) average, contour interval 50 m. From Blackmon (1976). - 256

FIG. 8.6: Maps of the low-pass filtered rms fields (winter): (a) all waves, contour interval 10 m; (b) waves in Regime I, contour interval 5 m; (c) waves in Regime II, contour interval 5 m; (d) waves in Regime III, contour interval 5 m. From Blackmon (1976). ----- 257

FIG. 8.7: Maps of the medium-pass filtered rms fields (winter): (a) all waves, contour interval 5 m; (b) waves in Regime I, contour interval 2 m; (c) waves in Regime II, contour interval 2 m; (d) waves in Regime III, contour interval 2 m. From Blackmon (1976). ----- 258

FIG. 8.8: Upper panel: The total eddy heat transport across a latitude circle as a function of wave number for selected latitudes, for January 1962. Lower panel: The eddy momentum transport averaged with respect to pressure, as a function of zonal wave number, for selected latitudes, based on observations for January 1962. In both panels the gray scale at the bottom denotes the zonal wave number. From Wiin-Nielsen et al. (1963). ----- 260

FIG. 8.9: Schematic illustrating shallow water flowing over a “mountain.” --- 261

FIG. 8.10: The mean-square height response, $\overline{h^2}$, in the Charney-Eliassen model, as a function of k , for different values of the Rayleigh friction coefficient. The units are 10^4 m^2 . The integers written above the curve indicate the values of k for which particular zonal wave numbers resonate. From Held (1983). ----- 265

FIG. 8.11: Upper panel: The height response as a function of longitude in the Charney-Eliassen model for $\tau = 5$ days and $\tau = 17$ m s⁻¹ (solid line) and the observed climatological 500 mb eddy heights at 45° N in January (dashed line). The lower panel shows the topography used. From Held (1983). ----- 266

FIG. 8.12: Time-latitude sections of Northern Hemisphere climatological mean

stationary wave kinetic energy at the 200 mb level, based on data of Oort and Rasmusson (1971). a) Zonal wind component; b) meridional wind component, in units of $m^2 s^{-2}$. Arrows in a) denote the direction and relative magnitude of the meridional flux of zonal momentum by the stationary waves. From Wallace (1983). ----- 267

FIG. 8.13: A photograph of Prof. T. Matsuno, taken as he gave a lecture at UCLA in January 1998. ----- 268

FIG. 8.14: Model and coordinate system. From Matsuno (1966). ----- 269

FIG. 8.15: Units of time (left scale) and length (right scale) as functions of the phase velocity of a pure gravity wave. From Matsuno (1966). ----- 269

FIG. 8.16: Schematic used to explain the two-level model represented by Eqs. (8.73). ----- 270

FIG. 8.17: Frequencies as functions of wave number. Positive frequencies correspond to westward propagation. Thin solid line: eastward propagating inertia-gravity waves. Thin dashed line: westward propagating inertia-gravity waves. Thick solid line: Rossby (quasi-geostrophic) waves. Thick dashed line: The Kelvin wave. The westward moving wave with $n=0$ is the mixed Rossby-gravity or Yanai wave. It is denoted by a dashed line for ω , and by a solid line for ω' . From Matsuno (1966) - 274

FIG. 8.18: Pressure and velocity distributions of solutions for $n = 0$ and $k = 0.5$. a) Eastward moving inertia-gravity wave. b) Westward moving Yanai wave, which for this value of k behaves like an inertia-gravity wave. Panel c shows the structure of the Yanai wave for $n = 0$ and $k = 1$, in which case the Yanai wave acts like a Rossby wave. For each mode, v is a maximum on the Equator and does not pass through zero anywhere. This is characteristic of $n = 0$. From Matsuno (1966). 275

FIG. 8.19: Left side: Pressure and velocity distributions of solutions for $n = 1$. a) Eastward propagating inertia-gravity wave. For each mode, $u = 0$ on the Equator, as we expect for $n = 1$. b) Westward propagating inertia-gravity wave. c) Rossby wave. Right side: Corresponding results for $n = 2$. For each mode u is symmetrical across the Equator, as we expect for $n = 2$. From Matsuno (1966). ----- 276

FIG. 8.20: Pressure and velocity distributions for $n = -1$ and $k = 0.5$. This is the Kelvin wave. From Matsuno (1966). ----- 277

FIG. 8.21: The variability of the OLR as a function of frequency and zonal wave number for modes that are symmetric across the Equator (right panel) and anti-symmetric (left panel). Eastward propagation is associated with positive wave numbers, and vice versa. From Wheeler and Kiladis (1999). ----- 277

FIG. 8.22: Longitudinal propagation of the Madden-Julian Oscillation (MJO;

discussed later), Kelvin waves, equatorial Rossby (ER) waves, and mixed-Rossby-gravity (MRG) waves, as seen in the OLR. The zero contour has been omitted. From Wheeler and Kiladis (1999). ----- 278

FIG. 8.23: Stationary circulation pattern (lower panel) forced by the mass source and sink shown in the upper panel. From Matsuno (1966). ----- 279

FIG. 8.24: Longitudinal propagation of eastward- and westward-propagating inertia gravity waves, as seen in the OLR. The zero contour has been omitted. From Wheeler and Kiladis (1999). ----- 279

FIG. 8.25: Solution of Gill's model for the case of heating symmetric about the Equator. The upper panel shows the heating field and the low-level wind field. The center panel shows the perturbation pressure field, which features low pressure along the Equator generally, with twin cyclones slightly off the Equator. The bottom panel shows the implied vertical motion and the zonal variation of the pressure along the Equator. From Gill (1980). ----- 281

FIG. 8.26: The response to antisymmetric heating. On the left side, the top panel shows contours of the mid-level vertical velocity superimposed on the horizontal wind vectors for the lower layer. The lower panel shows contours of the perturbation surface pressure, again with the lower-layer horizontal wind field superimposed. The right-hand panels show the zonally integrated solution corresponding to the results in the left-hand panels. The upper panel shows the latitude-height distributions of the zonal velocity and the stream function of the mean meridional circulation, as well as the meridional profile of the surface pressure. From Gill (1980). ----- 282

FIG. 8.27: The response of Gill's model to a combination of symmetric and antisymmetric heating. From Gill (1980). ----- 283

FIG. 8.28: Observed 850 mb wind vectors for a) January, and b) July. ----- 284

FIG. 8.29: Average elevation of the monsoon region. Data was averaged to $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$, and then 9-point smoothed. Terrain over 3000 m high is shaded. ----- 285

FIG. 8.30: Observed JJA climatological 500 mb temperatures. Contour interval is 2 K. ----- 285

FIG. 8.31: Dates of onset of the Asian monsoon near India in 1988 (actual) and mean (normal). From Krishnamurti et al. (1990). ----- 286

FIG. 8.32: a) Observed daily rainfall along the southwest coast of India for the summer monsoon seasons of 1963 and 1971. From Webster (1987). b) Progress of a monsoon depression across India. Many such depressions occur throughout the summer monsoon. From Webster (1981). ----- 286

FIG. 8.33: The observed JJA climatological precipitation (Legates and Willmott, 1990). The contour interval is 2 mm day⁻¹. - - - - -287

FIG. 8.34: Mean latitudinal position of the monsoon trough in the Indian Ocean for the summers of 1973-1977, as obtained from the maximum cloudiness zone and the 700 mb trough. Numbers refer to longevity of a particular cloudiness zone, with extended break periods indicated by parentheses. From Webster (1987), Webster (1983), and Sikka and Gadgil (1980). - - - - - 287

FIG. 8.35: Observed JJA climatological 500 mb vertical velocity. Contour interval is 20 mb day⁻¹. Areas with vertical velocities more negative than -60 mb day⁻¹ have light shading. - - - - - 288

FIG. 8.36: JJA climatological 200 mb winds. The scale vector is 50 m s⁻¹. - - -288

FIG. 8.37: Latitude-pressure plot of the JJA climatological zonal winds at 77.5 E. The contour interval is 5 m s⁻¹. - - - - - 289

FIG. 8.38: Observed Fourier power spectra of surface pressure. a) at 10°N, 77.5°E, near the southern tip of India, for oscillations with a period of 0-5 days; b) at 18°N, 77.5°E, for oscillations with a period of 0-5 days; c) at 26°N, 77.5°E, for oscillations with a period of 0-5 days; d) at 10°N, 77.5°E, for oscillations with a period of 0-90 days; e) at 18°N, 77.5°E, for oscillations with a period of 0-90 days; and f) at 26°N, 77.5°E, for oscillations with a period of 0-90 days. - - 290

FIG. 8.39: The Walker and Hadley Circulations. From Philander (1990). - - - - -291

FIG. 8.40: The longitude-height cross-sections of the zonal wind (left, in m s⁻¹) and vertical velocity (right, in Pa s⁻¹) along the Equator, for January, as analyzed by ECMWF. - - - - -292

FIG. 8.41: Streamlines and horizontal wind vectors for the tropical Pacific at 1000 mb for a) January 1989 and b) July 1989. The units are m s⁻¹. - - - - -293

FIG. 8.42: Contour plot of mean vertical velocity at 300mb (units, 10⁻² Pa s⁻¹) from the ECMWF reanalysis dataset for a) January 1989 and b) July 1989. Contour interval is 2 x 10⁻² Pa s⁻¹; negative contours are dashed. Data were obtained from NCAR. - - - - -294

FIG. 8.43: Streamlines and horizontal wind vectors for the tropical Pacific at 200 mb for a) January 1989, and b) July 1989. - - - - -295

FIG. 8.44: Tropical skin temperature for January 1989 from the ECMWF reanalysis dataset obtained from NCAR. Resolution for this dataset is 2.5° and the contour interval is 2 K. - - - - -296

FIG. 8.45: Tropical OLR for January averaged over 1985 to 1988. Daily means from

the Earth Radiation Budget Experiment (ERBE) were averaged and interpolated onto a $5^\circ \times 4^\circ$ (longitude-latitude) grid. The units are W m^{-2} . ----- 296

FIG. 8.46: Observed annual-mean low-cloud amount (upper panel) and the net effects of clouds on the Earth's radiation budget (lower panel). Negative values in the lower panel indicate a cooling, i.e. shortwave reflection dominates longwave trapping. 298

FIG. 8.47: Reproduction of Pierrehumbert's schematic representation of the 'furnace/radiator-fin' model of the tropical circulation. The symbols E and TS represent the evaporation rate and SST, respectively. The subscripts 1 and 2 denote the Warm Pool or furnace and the Cold Pool or radiator fin. ----- 299

FIG. 8.48: Variance spectrum for station pressures at Nauru Island, 0.4° S , 161.0° E . Ordinate (variance/frequency) is logarithmic and abscissa (frequency) is linear. The 40-50-day period range is indicated by the dashed vertical lines. Prior 95% confidence limits and the bandwidth of the analysis (0.008 day^{-1}) are indicated by the cross. From Madden and Julian (1994). Taken from Madden and Julian (1972 a). ----- 300

FIG. 8.49: Schematic depiction of the time and space (zonal plan) variations of the disturbance associated with the 40-50-day oscillation. Dates are indicated symbolically by the letters at the left of each chart and correspond to dates associated with the oscillation in Canton's station pressure. The letter A refers to the time of low pressure at Canton and E is the time of high pressure there. The other letters represent intermediate times. The mean pressure disturbance is plotted at the bottom of each chart with negative anomalies shaded. The circulation cells are based on the mean zonal wind disturbance. Regions of enhanced large-scale convection are indicated schematically by the cumulus and cumulonimbus clouds. The relative tropopause height is indicated at the top of each chart. From Madden and Julian (1994). Taken from Madden and Julian (1972 a). ----- 301

FIG. 8.50: Mean phase angles (in degrees), coherence squares, and background coherence squares for approximately the 36-to-50-day period range of cross spectra between surface pressures at all stations and those at Canton. The plotting model is given in the lower right-hand corner. Positive phase angle means Canton time series leads. Stars indicate stations where coherence squares exceed a smooth background at the 95% level. Mean coherence squares at Shemya (52.8° N , 174.1° E) and Campbell Island (52.6° S , 169.2° E ; not shown) are 0.08 and 0.02, respectively. Both are below their average background coherence squares. Values at Dar es Salaam (0.8° S , 39.3° E) are from a cross spectrum with Nauru. The arrows indicate propagation direction. From Madden and Julian (1994), adapted from Madden and Julian (1972). ----- 302

FIG. 8.51: Schematic describing the details of the large-scale eastward-propagating cloud complexes [slanting ellipses marked ISV (intraseasonal variability) on the

left-hand side]. Slanting heavy lines represent super cloud clusters (SCC) within the larger complexes or ISV. The right-hand side illustrates the fine structure of the SSC with smaller westward-moving cloud clusters that develop, grow to maturity, and decay in a few days. From Nakazawa (1988). - - - - - 303

FIG. 8.52: Schematic illustration of the structure of a Rossby wave. - - - - - 307

FIG. 8.53: Earth-moon geometry. - - - - - 308

FIG. 9.1: The deceleration of the zonally averaged zonal flow, induced by orographically forced gravity waves, as simulated with a general circulation model. The units are $\text{m s}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$. From McFarlane (1987). - - - - - 314

FIG. 9.2: The actual change in the zonally averaged zonal wind caused by the introduction of gravity wave drag in a general circulation model, as inferred by comparison with a control run. The units are m s^{-1} . From McFarlane (1987). 315

FIG. 9.3: The actual change in the zonally averaged temperature caused by the introduction of gravity wave drag in a general circulation model, as inferred by comparison with a control run. The units are K. From McFarlane (1987). 316

FIG. 9.4: The square of the index of refraction for summer and winter, averaged between 30° and 60°N , for waves of different wavelengths, L . The short-dashed lines correspond to $L = 6,000 \text{ km}$, the long-dashed lines correspond to $L = 10,000 \text{ km}$, and the solid lines correspond to $L = 14,000 \text{ km}$. From Charney and Drazin (1961). - - - - - 321

FIG. 9.5: These Northern Hemisphere data were collected during the International Geophysical Year. Geopotential heights for July 15 1958 are shown on the left, and those for January 15 1959 are shown on the right. The levels plotted are 500 mb, 100 mb, and 10 mb. From Charney (1973). - - - - - 323

FIG. 9.6: a) The latitudinal gradient of the potential vorticity, \bar{q} , expressed as a multiple of the Earth's rotation rate. b) An idealized but somewhat realistic basic state zonal wind distribution (m s^{-1}) in the Northern Hemisphere winter. c) The refractive index square N^2 , for the wave. d) Computed distribution of energy flow in the meridional plane associated with zonal wave number 1. From Matsuno (1970). 324

FIG. 9.7: a) Daily vertical eddy flux of geopotential through 100 and 10 mb. Units: $\text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$. b) Daily divergence of the vertical eddy flux of geopotential for 100-10 mb, and for the region 90°N to 20°N . Units: $\text{erg cm}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$. Data for 1964. From Dopplack (1971). - - - - - 325

FIG. 9.8: Annual energy cycle for the lower stratosphere between 100-10 mb and for the region 20°N to 90°N . Units: contents 10^7 erg cm^{-2} ; conversions: $\text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$. "B" indicates boundary effects (at the lower or equatorward boundaries), and

“G” indicates generation. Overall, the stratosphere gains kinetic energy through interactions with the troposphere. The kinetic energy is converted to potential energy. This balances a loss of potential energy through radiative effects. From Dopplick (1971). ----- 326

FIG. 9.9: a) Latitude-time section of zonal mean temperature (K) measured by channel A for 31 December 1970 to 16 January 1971. Regions of temperature lower than 258 K are shaded. A major warming had a peak at this level on 9 January at 80°N. b) Latitude-time section of amplitude of zonal wavenumber one of channel A temperature (K) for 31 December 1970 to 16 January 1971. Maximum amplitude occurred on 4 January at 65°N. From Barnett (1974). ----- 331

FIG. 9.10: 10 mb charts during a sudden warming in January 1963. Height contours (solid lines) at 32 dm intervals. Isotherms (dashed lines) at 10 K intervals. Note that the process spans 9 days. From Sawyer (1965). ----- 332

FIG. 9.11: Contribution of *transient* eddies to the seasonally averaged Eliassen-Palm cross sections for the troposphere: (a) 5-year average from Oort and Rasmusson (1971) for winter; (b) the same for summer. The contour interval is $20 \times 10^{15} \text{ m}^3$ for (a), and $1 \times 10^{15} \text{ m}^3$ for (b). The horizontal arrow scale for the horizontal component in units of m^3 is indicated at bottom right; note that it is different from diagram to diagram. A vertical arrow of the same length represents the vertical component, in $\text{m}^3 \text{ kPa}$, equal to that for the horizontal arrow multiplied by 80.4 kPa. From Edmon et al. (1980). ----- 337

FIG. 9.12: Contribution of stationary eddies to the seasonally averaged Eliassen-Palm cross sections for the troposphere: (a) 5-year average from Oort and Rasmusson (1971) for winter; (b) the same, respectively, for summer. The contour interval is $1 \times 10^{15} \text{ m}^3$ for both panels. The horizontal arrow scale in units of m^3 is indicated at bottom right. From Edmon et al. (1980). ----- 339

FIG. 9.13: Total (transient plus stationary) Eliassen-Palm cross sections for the troposphere: (a) 5-year average from Oort and Rasmusson (1971) for winter; (b) the same, respectively, for summer. The contour interval is $2 \times 10^{15} \text{ m}^3$ for (a), and $1 \times 10^{15} \text{ m}^3$ for (b). The horizontal arrow scale in units of m^3 is indicated at bottom right. From Edmon et al. (1980). ----- 340

FIG. 9.14: The stream function of the seasonally averaged residual meridional circulations. (a) 5-year average from Oort and Rasmusson (1971) for winter, and (b) the same for summer. The contour interval is $7.5 \times 10^{16} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s Pa}$. From Edmon et al. (1980). ----- 341

FIG. 9.15: Time-height plot of the monthly mean zonal wind based on observations from various equatorial stations, namely Canton Island (January 1953 to August 1967), Maldives Islands (September 1967 to December 1975) and Singapore

(January 1976 to May 1992). Contour interval 10 m s⁻¹, westerlies shaded. From James (1994). ----- 347

FIG. 9.16: Energy and momentum fluxes associated with a Kelvin wave. The wavy lines show the heights of isentropic surfaces. Energy is propagating both upward and downward, away from the energy source. Where the energy flux is upward, the momentum flux is also upward, and vice versa. Westerly momentum is transported away from the energy source region, which feels an easterly acceleration to compensate. -----348

FIG. 9.17: Contours of the fourth root of the Ertel potential vorticity on the 320 K isentropic surface, which slopes from 200 mb near the pole to 600 mb in the tropics. Panels a - e are for successive days. The contour interval is 0.001 in SI units. The bold contour is 0.005. From Shutts (1986). -----350

FIG. 9.18: (a) Mean sea level pressure field for 15 February 1983, 12Z. Contour interval: 5 mb. (b) Height of the 500-mb surface for 15 February, 12Z. Contour interval: 8 dam. From Shutts (1986). -----351

FIG. 9.19: The relationships between the A and B components of the meridional wind and the topography in the model of Charney and Devore. The topography is indicated by the wavy line in the center. The arrows indicate the direction of the v-wind component, with “up” corresponding to “southerly.” The arrows above the topography are for the B-component of the wave, and the arrows below are for the A-component, assuming that A and B are positive. The indicated regions are cyclonic or anticyclonic for the case of $f > 0$ (the Northern Hemisphere). -- 353

FIG. 9.20: From Speranza (1986). Equilibrium solutions of (9.108)-(9.110), found by setting the time-rate of change terms to zero, solving the resulting linear system (9.108)-(9.109) for A and B as functions of U, and selecting the appropriate value of U by requiring that (9.110) also be satisfied. The straight line represents the (B, U) pairs that satisfy (9.110), while the peaked line represents the (B, U) pairs that satisfy (9.108)-(9.109). Evidently there are three equilibrium solutions, but it can be shown that the middle one is unstable. -----354

FIG. 10.1: The basic mechanism of shearing instability. -----358

FIG. 10.2: The components of the velocity normal and tangent to the vorticity vector, and the stretching and twisting processes associated with these velocity components. -----359

FIG. 10.3: Diagram used in the explanation of Fjortoft’s (1953) analysis of the exchanges of energy and enstrophy among differing scales in two-dimensional motion. -----362

FIG. 10.4: A time sequence of the vorticity distribution in an idealized numerical

simulation of quasi-two-dimensional turbulence, by McWilliams (1984). In panel A the vorticity is highly disorganized. Through a process of enstrophy dissipation and vortex mergers, the vorticity gradually organizes itself into a single pair of vortices -- one cyclonic and one anti-cyclonic.----- 368

FIG. 10.5: Sketch illustrating the flow of kinetic energy through wave-number space, from a low-wave number region with an energy source, to a high-wave number region in which dissipation removes kinetic energy. ----- 369

FIG. 10.6: Schematic indicating the kinetic energy spectrum in the Earth's atmosphere, as implied by dimensional analysis. Baroclinic instability adds energy at wave number , and convection adds energy at wave number . See text for details. 370

FIG. 10.7: Spectra of zonal and meridional winds and potential temperature near the tropopause, obtained from aircraft data over many parts of the world. The meridional wind spectrum is displaced one decade to the right, and the potential temperature spectrum two decades. From Lilly (1998), after Nastrom and Gage (1985). ----- 371

FIG. 10.8: The observed vertically integrated spectra of kinetic energy (panel a) and enstrophy (panel b). The solid lines denote total, the dashed stationary, and the dashed-dot transient. From Boer and Shepherd (1983). ----- 373

FIG. 10.9: Slope of straight line fitted to the kinetic energy spectrum, for two-dimensional indices in the range 14 to 25. The dash-dotted line represents the results of Baer (1972), and the dashed line shows the results of Chen and Wiin-Nielsen (1978). From Boer and Shepherd (1983). ----- 374

FIG. 10.10: Observed nonlinear kinetic energy exchanges as a function of height. From Boer and Shepherd (1983). ----- 374

FIG. 10.11: Potential vorticity (solid lines) and potential temperature (dashed lines) plotted as functions of pressure and latitude. From Sun and Lindzen (1994). 375

FIG. 10.12: How two weather patterns diverge. From nearly the same starting point, Lorenz noticed that two numerical solutions grew farther and farther apart until all resemblance disappeared. From Gleick (1987). ----- 381

FIG. 10.13: The Butterfly Attractor of Lorenz (1963), obtained as the solution of (10.74). From Drazin (1992). ----- 382

FIG. 10.14: Sketch illustrating the role of instability in leading to error growth, and of nonlinearity in leading to the movement of error from small scales to larger scales . ----- 383

FIG. 10.15: A simplified depiction of the energy spectrum $E(k)$ (upper curve), and the

error-energy spectra (lower curves) at 15 minutes, 1 hour, 5 hours, 1 day, and 5 days, as interpolated from the result of a numerical study. The lower curves coincide with the upper curve, to the right of their intersections with the upper curve. Areas are proportional to energy. From Lorenz (1969). - - - - -384

FIG. 10.16: Root mean-square temperature error in January simulations performed with the two-level Mintz-Arakawa model. “N” and “S” denote the Northern and Southern Hemispheres, respectively. The subscripts “1” and “2” denote the two model levels. From Charney et al. (1966). - - - - -385

FIG. 10.17: Zonal average of root-mean-square error (RMSE; left panels) and ratio (RMSE/STD; right panels) of root mean square and standard deviation of daily values for sea-level pressure. (a) RMSE and (b) RMSE/STD for six pairs of control and perturbation runs during winter; (c) RMSE and (d) RMSE/STD for three pairs of runs during summer. From Shukla (1985). - - - - -387

FIG. 10.18: Root-mean-square error (solid line) averaged for six pairs of control and perturbation runs and averaged for latitude belt 40-60°N for 500-mb height for (a) wave numbers 0-4 and (b) wave numbers 5-12. Dashed line is the persistence error averaged for the three control run. Vertical bars denote the standard deviation of the error values. [From Shukla (1981).] - - - - -388

FIG. 10.19: A long sequence of one-day and two-day forecasts. - - - - -389

FIG. 10.20: The growth of ϵ with time. Rapid initial growth is followed by saturation. - - - - -390

FIG. 10.21: The growth of ϵ and σ with time. - - - - -391

FIG. 10.22: Global root-mean-square 500-mb height differences Δz , in meters, between t -day and t -day forecasts made by the ECMWF operational model for the same day, for t , plotted against t . Values of Δz are shown beside some of the points. Heavy curve connects values of Δz . The thin curves connect values of Δz for constant t . From Lorenz (1982). - - - - -392

FIG. 10.23: Diagram illustrating the expected variation of the error growth rate σ with the magnitude of the error. - - - - -393

FIG. 10.24: Increases in global root-mean-square 500-mb height differences, Δz , plotted against average height differences \bar{z} , in meters, for each one-day segment of each thin curve in Fig. 1 (large dots), and increases Δz plotted against average differences \bar{z} , for each one-day segment of heavy curve in Fig. 1 (crosses). Parabola of “best fit” to large dots is shown. - - - - -394

FIG. 10.25: (a) Observed sea-surface temperature anomaly (K) for January 1983. (b) Simulated rainfall anomaly (mm day⁻¹). (c) Observed rainfall anomaly (mm day-

1) as inferred from outgoing long-wave radiation during winter 1983. From Shukla (1985). ----- 397

FIG. 10.26: Model and observed zonally-averaged standard deviations, σ_m and σ_o , as functions of latitude, and their ratio, for: (a) mean July sea-level pressure; and (b) rainfall. Observed values are for land stations and model values are for grid-points over land. From Charney and Shukla (1981). ----- 398

FIG. 10.27: Temporal variations of monthly indices of sea surface temperature, zonal wind at 200 and 950 mb, precipitation, east-west sea level pressure gradient across the South Pacific, 200 mb height and the Pacific-North American pattern, for the first (left half of figure) and second (right half) 15-year model runs. The smooth curves superimposed on these time series are obtained using a running mean. From Lau (1985). ----- 399

FIG. 10.28: Variations of σ with t , for steady solutions of (10.82) with β , γ , and δ . H represents the Hadley solution. From Lorenz (1984). ----- 400

FIG. 10.29: Solutions of (10.82) extending for 100 time steps, starting from initial values of 0.0999 (upper), 0.1000 (middle), and 0.1001 (lower). The straight-line segments joining consecutive points are solely for the purpose of making the chronological order easier to see. This figure illustrates sensitive dependence on initial conditions. From Lorenz (1975). ----- 401

FIG. 10.30: The variations of σ (dimensionless) with t (months) in a numerical solution of (10.82), with β , γ , δ , and ϵ (summer conditions). The initial state is (2.4, 1.0, 1). (b) The same as panel a, except that the initial state is (2.5, 1.0, 0). From Lorenz (1990). ----- 401

FIG. 10.31: (a) The same as Fig. 8.19a, except that ϵ (winter conditions). (b) The same as panel b, except that the initial state is (2.5, 1.0, 0). From Lorenz (1990). - 402

FIG. 10.32: The variations of σ (dimensionless) with t (months) in a 6-year numerical solution of (10.82), with β , γ , δ , and ϵ , where ϵ is the standard deviation of within the period July through September. From Lorenz (1990). ----- 403

FIG. 10.33: The variations of σ (dimensionless) with t (years) in a 100-year numerical solution of (10.82), for the conditions of Fig. 6, where s is the standard deviation of within the period July through September. From Lorenz (1990). ----- 403

FIG. 10.34: The impacts of various imposed forcings on the PDF of the butterfly model. state vector with running time mean, in the σ plane. From Palmer (1999). --- 404

FIG. 11.1: The Walker and Hadley Circulations. From Philander (1990). ----- 415

FIG. 11.2: The longitude-height cross-sections of the zonal wind (left, in m s^{-1}) and vertical velocity (right, in Pa s^{-1}) along the Equator, for January, as analyzed by ECMWF. -----416

FIG. 11.3: January 1989 Equatorial u - ω vectors in units of m s^{-1} . The ω values, which were originally in units of Pa s^{-1} , were scaled by -300 m Pa^{-1} . -----417

FIG. 11.4: Streamlines and horizontal wind vectors for the tropical Pacific at 1000 mb for a) January 1989 and b) July 1989. The units are m s^{-1} . -----418

FIG. 11.5: Contour plot of mean vertical velocity at 300mb (units, $10^{-2} \text{ Pa s}^{-1}$) from the ECMWF reanalysis dataset for a) January 1989 and b) July 1989. Contour interval is $2 \times 10^{-2} \text{ Pa s}^{-1}$; negative contours are dashed. Data were obtained from NCAR. -----419

FIG. 11.6: Streamlines and horizontal wind vectors for the tropical Pacific at 200 mb for a) January 1989 and b) July 1989. The units are m s^{-1} . -----420

FIG. 11.7: Tropical skin temperature for January 1989 from the ECMWF reanalysis dataset obtained from NCAR. Resolution for this dataset is 2.5° and the contour interval is 2 K. -----421

FIG. 11.8: Tropical OLR for January averaged over 1985 to 1988. Daily means from the Earth Radiation Budget Experiment (ERBE) were averaged and interpolated onto a $5^\circ \times 4^\circ$ (longitude-latitude) grid. The units are W m^{-2} . -----421

FIG. 11.9: Observed annual-mean low-cloud amount (upper panel) and the net effects of clouds on the Earth's radiation budget (lower panel). Negative values in the lower panel indicate a cooling, i.e. shortwave reflection dominates longwave trapping. -----423

FIG. 11.10: Reproduction of Pierrehumbert's schematic representation of the 'furnace/radiator-fin' model of the tropical circulation. The symbols E and TS represent the evaporation rate and SST, respectively. The subscripts 1 and 2 denote the Warm Pool or furnace and the Cold Pool or radiator fin. -----424

FIG. 11.11: Schematic of the Sun-Liu coupled model. The boxes represent the atmosphere (light hatching), the Warm Pool (heavy hatching), the Cold Pool (stippling), and the undercurrent (clear box). Heavy arrows denote ocean currents, light arrows denote local heating and the dashed arrow represents the surface winds. -----426

FIG. 11.12: The Equilibrium solution from the Sun-Lu coupled model for (a) current strength measured by q/c , and for (b) non-dimensionalized SST as given by β , as functions of β . -----427

FIG. 11.13: The Southern Oscillation. From Philander (1990).	428
FIG. 11.14: Time series of sea level pressure at Darwin and Tahiti. From Philander (1990).	429
FIG. 11.15: Longitude-time section of the sea surface temperature. From Philander (1990).	429
FIG. 11.16: Time series of precipitation at selected locations. The black bars are El Niño years. From Philander (1990).	430
FIG. 11.17: Observed SSTs for November 1982 and November 1983. From Philander (1990).	430
FIG. 11.18: Maps of sea surface temperature anomalies during 1982 and 1983. From Philander (1990).	431
FIG. 11.19: Anomalies of outgoing longwave radiation and the winds, for 19982 and 1983. From Philander (1990).	432
FIG. 11.20: Streamfunction of the 200 mb winds. The bottom panel is a difference plot. From Philander (1990).	433
FIG. 11.21: The assumed density distribution in the upper ocean. The depth, z , increases downward from zero at a level near the surface. The surface depth, z_s , is not necessarily zero; it can be slightly positive or slightly negative as sea level varies.	434
FIG. 11.22: A sloping thermocline below a sloping sea surface.	435

