

Automated and Early Detection of Disease Outbreaks AEDDO

Master Thesis



Automated and Early Detection of Disease Outbreaks

AEDDO

Master Thesis August, 2023

By

Kasper Schou Telkamp

Copyright: Reproduction of this publication in whole or in part must include the cus-

tomary bibliographic citation, including author attribution, report title, etc.

Cover photo: Vibeke Hempler, 2012

Published by: DTU, Department of Applied Mathematics and Computer Science,

Richard Petersens Plads, Building 324, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby Denmark

www.compute.dtu.dk

ISSN: [0000-0000] (electronic version)

ISBN: [000-00-0000-000-0] (electronic version)

ISSN: [0000-0000] (printed version)

ISBN: [000-00-0000-000-0] (printed version)

Approval

This thesis has been prepared over six months at the Section for Dynamical Systems, Department of Applied Mathematics and Computer Science, at the Technical University of Denmark, DTU, in collaboration with Epidemiologisk Forskning / Modelgruppen at Statens Serum Institut, SSI, in partial fulfilment for the degree Master of Science in Engineering, MSc Eng., Quantitative Biology and Disease Modelling.

It is assumed that the reader has a basic knowledge in the areas of statistics.

Kasper Schou Telkamp - \$170397
Signature
Date Date

Abstract

Acknowledgements

Lasse Engbo Christiansen, Senior Researcher, Statens Serum Institut

Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like "Huardest gefburn"? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

Notation

All vectors are column vectors. Vectors and matrices are emphasized using a bold font. Lowercase letters are used for vectors and uppercase letters letters are used for matrices. Transposing is denoted with the upper index T . Random variables are always written using uppercase letters. Thus, it is not possible to distinguish between a multivariate random variable and a matrix. However, variables and random variables are assigned to letters from the last part of the alphabet (X,Y,Z,U,V,\dots) , while constants are assigned to letters from the first part of the alphabet (A,B,C,D,\dots) . From the context it should be possible to distinguish between a matrix and a random vector.

Contents

	Preface	
	Abstract	
	Notation	
		•
1	Introduction	1
2	Literature	3
3	Dataset	5
4	Methods	7
	4.1 Novel outbreak detection algorithm	
	4.2 General mixed effects models	7
	4.3 Hierarchical generalized linear models	
	4.4 Implementation in R using TMB	13
5	Results	15
		15
	5.2 Simulation study	
6	Discussion	17
7	Conclusion	19
Bi	ibliography	21
Α	Some probability functions	23
	A.1 The Poisson distribution model	23
	A.2 The Gamma distribution model	
	A.3 The Negative Binomial distribution model	
	A.4 The Normal distribution model	
В	Proofs	25
	B 1 Hierarchical Poisson Gamma model	25

1 Introduction

2 Literature

In recent years there has been a surge in interest for statistical methods for automated and early detection of infectious disease outbreaks. The methodologies ranges the spectrum of statistical methods and includes regression techniques, time series methodology, methods inspired by statistical process control, methods incorporating spatial information, and multivariate outbreaks detection. A review of the aforementioned methods can be found in Buckeridge (2007) and Unkel et al. (2012).

Write a paragraph that focus on Farrington et al. (1996) and Noufaily et al. (2013)!

Moreover, state-of-the-art methods for aberration detection is presented in Salmon, Schumacher, and Höhle (2016) and implemented in the R package **surveillance**, which is available from the Comprehensive R Archive Network at https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/surveillance/index.html. The R package includes methods such as the Farrington method introduced by Farrington et al. (1996) together with the improvements proposed by Noufaily et al. (2013). As a Bayesian counterpart to these methods the BODA method presented by Manitz and Höhle (2013) allows for easy integration of covariates. Common for these methods are that no accumulation of evidence takes place and they detect aberrations only when the count of the currently monitored timepoint is above the threshold. Another method, which is also implemented in **surveillance** is the negative binomial cumulative sum of Höhle and Paul (2008) that allows for the detection of sustained shifts by accumulating evidence over several timepoints.

3 Dataset

In Denmark the surveillance of infectious diseases is carried out by Statens Serum Institut (SSI). The surveillance is a central part of the national and international disease preparedness. Thanks to The National Board of Health Statutory Order on Physicians' Notification of Infectious Diseases, the quality of the Danish surveillance registers is very high. In the Statutory Order it is stated that a number of diseases¹ are individually notifiable for physicians and general practitioners. The notifications include relevant information on the patient, and are notified on a paper form to the Ministry of Health and to SSI, respectively. A modern surveillance system comprises not only collection and registration of disease data, but also timely and continuous communication of knowledge to authorities responsible for treatment, prevention, and control. The national surveillance system comprises only diseases of serious character, diseases that are particularly infectious, and most of the vaccine-preventable diseases.

For the purpose of this master thesis, only a subset of the individually notifiable diseases are considered. ...(WRITE SOMETHING ABOUT WHAT CHARACTERISES THE CHOSEN SUBSET I.E. SEASONALITY, INCIDENCE, ETC.)... and the subset includes: Shiga toxin producing Escherichia coli (STEC), X, X, X (CHOOSE 4).

Include a section with plots and tables of the data.

¹For a full list of individually notifiable diseases see https://www.ssi.dk/sygdomme-beredskab-og-forskning/anmeldelse-af-sygdomme/lovpligtige-meldesystemer/individ_anmeldelses_sygdomme

4 Methods

In this chapter the outbreak detection algorithm together with theory related to generalized mixed effects models and hierarchical generalized linear models is presented. These models are used in this master thesis to model the count observations y, but more importantly they are used to assess the unobserved random variables or random effects u, which are used directly in the detection algorithm to characterize an outbreak. In general it is impossible to obtain closed form solutions for generalized mixed effects models and hence an outline of the Laplace approximation is included in this chapter. Moreover, the implementation of aforementioned models in R is presented. The presentation of this chapter is mostly inspired by Madsen and Thyregod (2011).

4.1 Novel outbreak detection algorithm

along with a novel method for the prospective detection of disease outbreaks. The novel method utilize a hierarchical Poisson Normal model to model the count observations y and to assess the unobserved random effects u. These random effects are in turn used directly in the detection algorithm to characterize an outbreak.

The novel method utilize a hierarchical Poisson Gamma model to model the count observations y and to assess the unobserved random effects u. These random effects are in turn used directly in the detection algorithm to characterize an outbreak.

Maybe I should gather the information about the detection algorithm here.

4.2 General mixed effects models

In this section selected theory related to generalized mixed effects models is presented. The general mixed effects model can be represented by its likelihood function

$$L_M(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \boldsymbol{y}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^q} L(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{y}) d\boldsymbol{u}$$
 (4.1)

where ${\pmb y}$ is the observed random variable, ${\pmb \theta}$ is the model parameters to be estimated and ${\pmb U}$ is the q unobserved random variables. The likelihood function L is the joint likelihood of both the observed and the unobserved random variables. The likelihood function for estimating ${\pmb \theta}$ is the marginal likelihood L_M obtained by integrating out the unobserved random variables. In general it is difficult to solve the integral in (4.1) if the number of unobserved random variables is more than a few and hence numerical methods must be used.

4.2.1 Hierarchical models

It is useful to formulate the model as a hierarchical model containing a first stage model

$$f_{Y|u}(\mathbf{y}; \mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) \tag{4.2}$$

which is a model for the observed random variables given the unobserved random variables, and a *second stage model*

$$f_U(\boldsymbol{u};\boldsymbol{\Psi}) \tag{4.3}$$

which is a model for the unobserved random variables. Here β represent the fixed effects parameters and Ψ is a model parameter. The total set of parameters is $\theta = (\beta, \Psi)$. Hence the joint likelihood is given as

$$L(\beta, \Psi; u, y) = f_{Y|u}(y; u, \beta) f_U(u; \Psi)$$
(4.4)

To obtain the likelihood for the model parameters (β, Ψ) the unobserved random variables are integrated out. The likelihood function for estimating (β, Ψ) is as in (4.1) the marginal likelihood

$$L_M(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\Psi}; \boldsymbol{y}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^q} L(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\Psi}; \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{y}) d\boldsymbol{u}$$
 (4.5)

where q is the number of unobserved random variables, and β and Ψ are the parameters to be estimated.

4.2.2 Laplace Approximation

The Laplace approximation will be outlined in the following. A thorough description of the Laplace approximation in nonlinear mixed effects models is found in Wolfinger and Lin (1997).

For a given set of model parameters θ the joint log-likelihood $\ell(\theta, u, y) = \log \left(L(\theta, u, y) \right)$ is approximated using a second order Taylor approximation around the optimum $\tilde{u} = \hat{u}_{\theta}$ of the log-likelihood function w.r.t. the unobserved random variables u, i.e.,

$$\ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{y}) \approx \ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}, \boldsymbol{y}) - \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{u} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}})^T \boldsymbol{H}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}) (\boldsymbol{u} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}})$$
 (4.6)

where the first-order term of the Taylor expansion disappears since the expansion is done around the optimum \tilde{u} and $H(\tilde{u}) = -\ell''_{uu}(\theta, u, y)|_{u=\tilde{u}}$ is the negative Hessian of the joint log-likelihood evaluated at \tilde{u} .

It is readily seen that the joint log-likelihood for the hierarchical model specified in Section 4.2.1 is

$$\ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{y}) = \ell(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\Psi}, \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{y}) = \log f_{Y|u}(\boldsymbol{y}; \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) + \log f_{U}(\boldsymbol{u}; \boldsymbol{\Psi})$$
(4.7)

which implies that the Laplace approximation becomes

$$\ell_{M,LA}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{y}) = \log f_{Y|u}(\boldsymbol{y}; \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) + \log f_{U}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}, \boldsymbol{\Psi}) - \frac{1}{2} \log \left| \frac{\boldsymbol{H}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}})}{2\pi} \right|$$
(4.8)

4.2.3 Formulation of the hierarchical Poisson Normal model

In order to simplify the notation, the probability density functions are presented for a specific observation and hence the subscripts indicating the group and time are omitted. The conditional distribution of the count observations is assumed to be a Poisson distribution with intensities λ

$$f_{Y|u}(y; u, \beta) = \frac{\lambda \exp(u)^y}{y!} \exp\left(-\lambda \exp(u)\right)$$
 (4.9)

Also, it is assumed that the count is proportional to the population size x. Hence, in terms of the canonical link for the Poisson distribution the model for the fixed effects is

$$\log(\lambda_{it}) = X_t^T \beta + \log(x_{it}), \quad i = 1, ..., k, \quad t = 1, ..., n$$
 (4.10)

Here X_t and β are p-dimensional vectors of covariates and fixed effects parameters respectively, where p denotes the number of fixed effects parameters, k denotes the number of groups, and n denotes the length of the period. Moreover, the probability density function for the distribution of the random effects is assumed to follow a Gaussian distribution, $u \sim \mathsf{N}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2)$, i.e.

$$f_U(u;\sigma) = rac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-rac{u^2}{2\sigma^2}
ight)$$
 (4.11)

where σ is a model parameter.

Henceforth, the total set of parameters are $\theta = (\beta, \sigma)$ and the model can be formulated as a two-level hierarchical model

$$Y|u \sim \mathsf{Pois}\left(\lambda \exp(u)\right)$$
 (4.12a)

$$\boldsymbol{u} \sim \mathsf{N}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2) \tag{4.12b}$$

The joint likelihood for the count observations y and the random effects u becomes

$$L(\beta, \sigma; u_{it}, y_{it}) = \prod_{t=1}^{n} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{(\lambda_{it} \exp(u_{it}))^{y_{it}}}{y_{it}!} \exp\left(-\lambda_{it} \exp(u_{it})\right) \prod_{t=1}^{n} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{u_{it}^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \tag{4.13}$$

4.3 Hierarchical generalized linear models

In this section selected theory related to hierarchical generalized linear models is presented. The model class was initially formulated by Lee and Nelder (1996) as a natural generalization of the generalized linear models to also incorporate random effects. A starting point in hierarchical modelling is an assumption that the distribution of random effects may be modeled by an exponential dispersion family. This family of models were first introduced by Fisher and Russell (1922), and has proven to play an important role in mathematical statistics because of their simple inferential properties. The exponential dispersion family considers a family of distributions, which can be written on the form

$$f_Y(y;\theta) = c(y,\lambda) \exp\left(\lambda \{\theta y - \kappa(\theta)\}\right)$$
 (4.14)

Here the parameter $\lambda>0$ is called the *precision parameter*, which in some cases represents a known shape parameter as for the Gamma distribution. In other cases the precision parameter represents an over-dispersion that is not related to the mean. These distributions combine with the so-called *standard conjugate distributions* in a simple way, and lead to marginal distributions that may be expressed in a closed form suited for likelihood calculations.

4.3.1 Standard conjugate distribution

Now the general notion of a *standard conjugate distribution* for an exponential dispersion family is introduced.

Consider an exponential dispersion family $\mathsf{ED}(\mu,\mathsf{V}(\mu)/\lambda)$ with density (4.14) for $\theta\in\Omega$. Let $\mathcal{M}=\tau(\Omega)$ denote the mean value space for this family. Let $m\in\mathcal{M}$ and consider

$$g_{\theta}(\theta; m, \gamma) = \frac{1}{C(m, \gamma)} \exp\left(\frac{\theta m - \kappa(\theta)}{\gamma}\right)$$
 (4.15)

with

$$C(m,\gamma) = \int_{\Omega} \exp\left(\frac{\theta m - \kappa(\theta)}{\gamma}\right) d\theta \tag{4.16}$$

for $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_+$ for which the integral converges. Then (4.15) defines the density function of a probability distribution for θ . This distribution is called the *standard conjugate distribution* for θ corresponding to (4.14).

4.3.2 Definition of the hierarchical generalized linear model

Consider a set of observations $Y = (Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_k)^T$ such that for a given value of a parameter θ the distribution of Y_i is given by an exponential dispersion model with density (4.14) and with canonical parameter space Ω (for θ), mean value $\mu = \kappa'(\theta)$, mean value space \mathcal{M} (for μ) and canonical link $\theta = g(\mu)$.

Let the *conjugate distribution* of θ be given by (4.15), and the corresponding conjugate distribution of μ , (e.g., $f_Y(y)$ Poisson distribution; $g_{\mu}(\mu)$ Gamma distribution; link $g(\mu) = \log(\mu)$).

The variables in a hierarchical generalized linear model are

- i) the observed responses $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k \ (\in \mathcal{M})$
- ii) the unobserved random effects $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_q \ \ (\in \mathcal{M})$
- iii) and the corresponding unobserved canonical variables $v_i = g(u_i) \quad (\in \Omega)$

The *linear predictor* is of the form

$$\theta = q(\mu|v) = X\beta + Zv \tag{4.17}$$

The distribution of $V \in \Omega$ is a conjugated distribution to the canonical parameter θ . The derived distribution of $U \in \mathcal{M}$ is the corresponding conjugated distribution to the mean value parameter μ such that $\mathsf{E}[U] = \psi$. When the conditional distribution of $Y|\mu$ is a Poisson distribution, and the distribution of V is constructed in such a way that the distribution of $V = \mathsf{log}(V)$ is a Gamma distribution with mean value $\mathsf{E}[U] = \psi = 1$, then it follows that the distribution of $V = \mathsf{log}(V)$ is a negative binomial distribution with parameters determined by $\mathsf{X} \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathsf{Z} v$.

4.3.3 Formulation of the hierarchical Poisson Gamma model

In the compound Poisson Gamma model the conditional distribution of the count observations are assumed to be a Poisson distribution with intensities λ

$$f_{Y|u}(y; u, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \frac{(\lambda u)^y}{y!} \exp(-\lambda u)$$
 (4.18)

The model for the fixed effects is specified as

$$\log(\lambda_{it}) = X_t^T \beta + \log(x_{it}), \quad i = 1, ..., k, \quad t = 1, ..., n$$
 (4.19)

Here X_t and β are p-dimensional vectors of covariates and fixed effects parameters respectively, where p denotes the number of fixed effects parameters, k denotes the number of groups, and n denotes the length of the period. Additionally, the probability density function for the random effects u are assumed to follow a reparametrized Gamma distribution with mean 1, $u \sim \mathsf{G}(1/\phi,\phi)$ that is

$$f_u(u;\phi) = \frac{1}{\phi\Gamma(1/\phi)} \left(\frac{u}{\phi}\right)^{1/\phi - 1} \exp(-u/\phi) \tag{4.20}$$

Subsequently, the model can be formulated as a two-level hierarchical model

$$Y|u \sim \mathsf{Pois}(\lambda u)$$
 (4.21a)

$$\boldsymbol{u} \sim \mathsf{G}(1/\phi, \phi)$$
 (4.21b)

Given (4.18) and (4.20), the probability function for the marginal distribution of Y is determined from

$$g_{Y}(y;\boldsymbol{\beta},\phi) = \int_{u=0}^{\infty} f_{Y|u}(y;\boldsymbol{\mu},\boldsymbol{\beta}) f_{u}(u;\phi) du$$

$$= \int_{u=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda u)^{y}}{y!} \exp(-\lambda u) \frac{1}{\phi \Gamma(1/\phi)} \left(\frac{u}{\phi}\right)^{1/\phi-1} \exp(-u/\phi) du$$

$$= \frac{\lambda^{y}}{y! \Gamma(1/\phi) \phi^{1/\phi}} \int_{u=0}^{\infty} u^{y+1/\phi-1} \exp\left(-u(\lambda \phi + 1)/\phi\right) du$$
(4.22)

In (4.22) it is noted that the integrand is the *kernel* in the probability density function for a Gamma distribution, G $(y+1/\phi,\phi/(\lambda\phi+1))$. As the integral of the density shall equal one, we find by adjusting the norming constant that

$$\int_{u=0}^{\infty} u^{y+1/\phi-1} \exp\left(-u/\left(\phi/(\lambda\phi+1)\right)\right) du = \frac{\phi^{y+1/\phi}\Gamma(y+1/\phi)}{(\lambda\phi+1)^{y+1/\phi}} \tag{4.23}$$

Therefore, it can be shown that the marginal distribution of Y is a negative binomial distribution, $Y \sim \mathsf{NB}\left(1/\phi, 1/(\lambda\phi + 1)\right)$. The probability function for Y is

$$P[Y = y] = g_Y(y; \boldsymbol{\beta}, \phi)$$

$$= \frac{\lambda^y}{y!\Gamma(1/\phi)\phi^{1/\phi}} \frac{\phi^{y+1/\phi}\Gamma(y+1/\phi)}{(\lambda\phi+1)^{y+1/\phi}}$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(y+1/\phi)}{\Gamma(1/\phi)y!} \frac{1}{(\lambda\phi+1)^{1/\phi}} \left(\frac{\lambda\phi}{\lambda\phi+1}\right)^y$$

$$= \left(\frac{y+1/\phi-1}{y}\right) \frac{1}{(\lambda\phi+1)^{1/\phi}} \left(\frac{\lambda\phi}{\lambda\phi+1}\right)^y, \quad \text{for } y = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

$$(4.24)$$

where we have used the convention

for z real and y integer values. Consequently, the likelihood function for estimating (β,ϕ) is

$$L(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \phi; y_{it}) = \prod_{t=1}^{n} \prod_{i=1}^{k} {y_{it} + 1/\phi - 1 \choose y_{it}} \frac{1}{(\lambda_{it}\phi + 1)^{1/\phi}} \left(\frac{\lambda_{it}\phi}{\lambda_{it}\phi + 1}\right)^{y_{it}}$$
(4.26)

Inference on individual groups

In order to simplify the notation, the subscript indicating the group and time are omitted. Consider the compound Poisson Gamma model in (4.21), and assume that a value Y=y has been observed. Then the conditional distribution of u for given Y=y is a Gamma distribution.

$$u|Y = y \sim G\left(y + 1/\phi, \phi/(\lambda\phi + 1)\right) \tag{4.27}$$

with mean

$$\mathsf{E}[u|Y=y] = \frac{y\phi + 1}{\lambda\phi + 1} \tag{4.28}$$

and variance

$$V[u|Y = y] = \frac{(\phi^2 + \phi)}{(\lambda \phi + 1)^2}$$
 (4.29)

Why do we choose the Gamma distribution to represent the variation between days?

The Gamma distribution is chosen for three simple reasons. First of all, the support of the Gamma distribution, $0 < u_{it} < \infty$ conforms to the mean-value space, $\mathcal M$ for the Poisson distribution. Secondly, the two-parameter family of Gamma distributions is a rather flexible class of unimodal distribution, ranging from an exponential distribution to a fairly symmetrical distribution on the positive real line. A third reason may be observed in the derivation of the marginal distribution of Y. The fact that the kernel $u^{\alpha-1} \exp(-u/\beta)$ of the mixing distribution have the same structure as the kernel $u^y \exp(-u)$ of the likelihood function corresponding to the sampling distribution of Y. This feature have the consequence that the integral has a closed form representation in terms of known functions.

4.4 Implementation in R using TMB

The models specified in (4.12) and (4.21) is implemented in R using the open source R package **TMB** (Template Model Builder) by Kristensen et al. (2016). The package implements maximum likelihood estimation and uncertainty calculations for θ and u in an efficient manner. It does so by maximizing an objective function provided by the user in the form of a C++ template. Hereafter, the maximization of the likelihood, or a Laplace approximation for the marginal likelihood, is performed using conventional R optimization routines.

Moreover, **TMB** uses Automatic Differentiation (AD) (Griewank and Walther 2008) to evaluate first, second, and possibly third order derivatives. For an introduction to the concept of AD, it is recommended to read sections 2.1 and 2.2 of Fournier et al. (2012).

5 Results

Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like "Huardest gefburn"? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

5.1 Case studies

Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like "Huardest gefburn"? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

5.2 Simulation study

6 Discussion

7 Conclusion

20

Bibliography

- Buckeridge, David L. (2007). "Outbreak detection through automated surveillance: A review of the determinants of detection". In: *Journal of Biomedical Informatics* 40.4. Public Health Informatics, pp. 370–379. ISSN: 1532-0464. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbi. 2006.09.003. URL: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1532046406000980.
- Unkel, Steffen et al. (2012). "Statistical methods for the prospective detection of infectious disease outbreaks: a review". In: *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series A (Statistics in Society)* 175.1, pp. 49–82. ISSN: 09641998, 1467985X. URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/41409708 (visited on 02/15/2023).
- Farrington, C. P. et al. (1996). "A Statistical Algorithm for the Early Detection of Outbreaks of Infectious Disease". In: *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series A (Statistics in Society)* 159.3, pp. 547–563. ISSN: 09641998, 1467985X. URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/2983331 (visited on 01/27/2023).
- Noufaily, Angela et al. (Mar. 2013). "An Improved Algorithm for Outbreak Detection in Multiple Surveillance Systems". en. In: *Online Journal of Public Health Informatics* 32.7, pp. 1206–1222.
- Salmon, Maëlle, Dirk Schumacher, and Michael Höhle (2016). "Monitoring Count Time Series in R: Aberration Detection in Public Health Surveillance". In: *Journal of Statistical Software* 70.10, pp. 1–35. DOI: 10.18637/jss.v070.i10. URL: https://www.jstatsoft.org/index.php/jss/article/view/v070i10.
- Manitz, Juliane and Michael Höhle (2013). "Bayesian outbreak detection algorithm for monitoring reported cases of campylobacteriosis in Germany". In: *Biometrical Journal* 55.4. Cited by: 18, pp. 509–526. DOI: 10.1002/bimj.201200141. URL: https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-84880042358&doi=10.1002%5C%2fbimj.201200141&partnerID=40&md5=4658480d9c1cd84c23335bdb9269f0bc.
- Höhle, Michael and Michaela Paul (2008). "Count data regression charts for the monitoring of surveillance time series". In: *Computational Statistics and Data Analysis* 52.9. Cited by: 56, pp. 4357–4368. DOI: 10.1016/j.csda.2008.02.015. URL: https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-42749087852&doi=10.1016%5C%2fj.csda.2008.02.015&partnerID=40&md5=8d18fdad2a28d99ecbda5441a8fbeae3.
- Madsen, Henrik and Poul Thyregod (2011). *Introduction to general and generalized linear models*. English. Texts in statistical science. CRC Press. ISBN: 9781420091557.
- Wolfinger, Russell D. and Xihong Lin (1997). "Two Taylor-series approximation methods for nonlinear mixed models". In: *Computational Statistics and Data Analysis* 25.4. Cited by: 87, pp. 465–490. DOI: 10.1016/S0167-9473(97)00012-1. URL: https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-0031222254&doi=10.1016%2fS0167-9473%2897%2900012-1&partnerID=40&md5=dbf7ca1e47d836029af4044ff9880463.
- Lee, Y. and J. A. Nelder (1996). "Hierarchical Generalized Linear Models". In: *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological)* 58.4, pp. 619–678. ISSN: 00359246. URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/2346105 (visited on 05/16/2023).
- Fisher, R. A. and Edward John Russell (1922). "On the mathematical foundations of theoretical statistics". In: *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Containing Papers of a Mathematical or Physical Character* 222.594-604, pp. 309–368. DOI: 10.1098/rsta.1922.0009. eprint: https://royalsocietypublishing.org/doi/pdf/10. 1098/rsta.1922.0009. URL: https://royalsocietypublishing.org/doi/abs/10.1098/rsta.1922. 0009.

- Kristensen, Kasper et al. (2016). "TMB: Automatic Differentiation and Laplace Approximation". In: *Journal of Statistical Software* 70.5, pp. 1–21. DOI: 10.18637/jss.v070.i05.
- Griewank, Andreas and Andrea Walther (2008). *Evaluating Derivatives*. Second. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics. DOI: 10.1137/1.9780898717761. eprint: https://epubs.siam.org/doi/pdf/10.1137/1.9780898717761. URL: https://epubs.siam.org/doi/abs/10.1137/1.9780898717761.
- Fournier, David A. et al. (2012). "AD Model Builder: using automatic differentiation for statistical inference of highly parameterized complex nonlinear models". In: *Optimization Methods and Software* 27.2, pp. 233–249. DOI: 10.1080/10556788.2011.597854. eprint: https://doi.org/10.1080/10556788.2011.597854. URL: https://doi.org/10.1080/10556788.2011.597854.

A Some probability functions

This chapter serves as a reference, specifying notation, properties, and moments related to the various distributions used in this master thesis.

Name	Support	Density	E[Y]	V[Y]
$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Poisson} \\ \textbf{Pois}(\lambda) \end{array}$	$0, 1, 2, \dots$ $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$	$rac{\lambda^y}{y!} \exp(-\lambda)$	λ	λ
Gamma $G(\alpha, \beta)$	\mathbb{R}_+ $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+, \beta \in \mathbb{R}_+$	$rac{1}{\Gamma(lpha)eta} \Big(rac{y}{eta}\Big)^{lpha-1} \exp(-y/eta)$	$\alpha\beta$	$\alpha \beta^2$
$\begin{array}{c} \text{Neg. Bin.} \\ \text{NB}(r,p) \end{array}$	$0, 1, 2, \dots$ $r \in \mathbb{R}_+, p \in]0, 1]$	$\binom{r+y-1}{y}p^r(1-p)^y$	$\frac{r(1+p)}{p}$	$\frac{r(1-p)}{p^2}$
	\mathbb{R} $\mu \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma^2 \in \mathbb{R}_+$	$rac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}\exp\left(-rac{(y-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} ight)$	μ	σ^2

Table A.1: Density, support, mean value, and variance for a number of distributions used in this master thesis.

A.1 The Poisson distribution model

Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like "Huardest gefburn"? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

A.2 The Gamma distribution model

Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like "Huardest gefburn"? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

A.3 The Negative Binomial distribution model

A.4 The Normal distribution model

B Proofs

B.1 Hierarchical Poisson Gamma model

This section is a collection of proofs for the derivation of the compound Poisson Gamma model in (4.21).

B.1.1 Conditional distribution of Y

The conditional distribution is found using Bayes Theorem

$$\begin{split} g_u(u|Y=y) &= \frac{f_{y,u}(y,u)}{g_Y(y;\lambda,\phi)} \\ &= \frac{f_{y|u}(y;u)g_u(u)}{g_Y(y;\lambda,\phi)} \\ &= \frac{1}{g_Y(y;\lambda,\phi)} \left(\frac{(\lambda u)^y}{y!} \exp(-\lambda u) \frac{1}{\phi\Gamma(1/\phi)} \left(\frac{u}{\phi} \right)^{1/\phi-1} \exp(-u/\phi) \right) \\ &\propto u^{y+1/\phi-1} \exp\left(-u(\lambda\phi+1)/\phi\right) \end{split} \tag{B.1}$$

We identify the kernel of the probability density function

$$u^{y+1/\phi-1}\exp(-u(\lambda\phi+1)/\phi) \tag{B.2}$$

as the kernel of a Gamma distribution, $G(y + 1/\phi, \phi/(\lambda \phi + 1))$

Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like "Huardest gefburn"? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

Technical
University of
Denmark

Richard Petersens Plads, Building 324 2800 Kgs. Lyngby Tlf. 4525 1700