

## Chain rule: proof

Given two functions  $f$  and  $g$  we are interested in the composite function  $f(g(x))$ , often written as  $f \circ g$ , and in particular, we wish to derive an expression for the derivative

$$\frac{d}{dx} (f \circ g) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(g(x+h)) - f(g(x))}{h}$$

Naturally, we insist that  $g$  is differentiable at  $x$  and  $f$  is differentiable at  $g(x)$ .

The chain rule is the formula:

$$\frac{d}{dx} (f \circ g) = f'(g(x)) \cdot g'(x)$$

which is more easily remembered as

$$\frac{df}{dx} = \frac{df}{dg} \frac{dg}{dx}$$

As an example, if we write

$$y = g(x) = x^2$$

$$z = f(y) = y^2$$

$$z' = 2y \cdot 2x = 2(x^2)2x = 4x^3$$

which is easily checked by recognizing that  $z = x^4$ .

**proof**

The proof is a little tedious, but here goes. Again, we want to compute:

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(g(x+h)) - f(g(x))}{h}$$

Since  $g(x)$  is differentiable at  $x$

$$g'(x) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(x+h) - g(x)}{h}$$

Rearrange and define a new variable  $v$

$$v = \frac{g(x+h) - g(x)}{h} - g'(x)$$

$v$  depends on  $h$  and  $v \rightarrow 0$  as  $h \rightarrow 0$ . We can set up a similar expression involving  $f$ , namely:

$$w = \frac{f(y+k) - f(y)}{k} - f'(y)$$

$w$  depends on  $k$  and  $w \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow 0$ .

Rearrange some more:

$$g(x+h) = g(x) + [g'(x) + v] h$$

$$f(y+k) = f(y) + [f'(y) + w] k$$

So now we want to rewrite  $f(g(x+h))$ . Using the first equation

$$f(g(x+h)) = f(g(x) + h [g'(x) + v])$$

Now, pick a particular  $k = [g'(x) + v] h$ , So that is (using the second equation and  $g(y) = g(x+h)$ ):

$$f(g(x+h)) = f(g(x)) + [f'(g(x)) + w] k$$

and substituting for  $k$ :

$$\begin{aligned} f(g(x+h)) &= \\ &= f(g(x)) + [f'(g(x)) + w] [g'(x) + v] h \end{aligned}$$

Go back to the difference quotient:

$$\frac{f(g(x+h)) - f(g(x))}{h}$$

Now that we have extracted  $f(g(x))$  from the first term we can put everything together and see some cancellations:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{f(g(x+h)) - f(g(x))}{h} = \\ &= \frac{f(g(x)) + [f'(g(x)) + w] [g'(x) + v] h - f(g(x))}{h} \end{aligned}$$

Cancel the first and last term in the numerator

$$= \frac{[f'(g(x)) + w] [g'(x) + v] h}{h}$$

Cancel the  $h$

$$= [f'(g(x)) + w] [g'(x) + v]$$

So now, we just need to put in the limit:

$$\begin{aligned} &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} [f'(g(x)) + w] [g'(x) + v] \\ &= [\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} f'(g(x)) + \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} w] [\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} g'(x) + \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} v] \end{aligned}$$

But, as  $h \rightarrow 0$ , so  $k \rightarrow 0$ , and as  $k \rightarrow 0$ , so  $v \rightarrow 0$  and  $w \rightarrow 0$ , and we just have:

$$= [\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} f'(g(x))] [\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} g'(x)]$$

which is the chain rule.

According to my source, the often-seen proof involves multiplying by the inverse of  $g'(x)$ :

$$(f \circ g)'(x) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(g(x+h)) - f(g(x))}{h}$$

$$(f \circ g)'(x) \left( \frac{1}{g'(x)} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(g(x+h)) - f(g(x))}{h} \frac{h}{g(x+h) - g(x)} \right)$$

whereupon we cancel the solitary  $h$

$$= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(g(x+h)) - f(g(x))}{g(x+h) - g(x)}$$

$$= f'(g(x))$$

Hence

$$(f \circ g)'(x) = f'(g(x))g'(x)$$

But this proof is "technically incorrect".