

Stokes Theorem and the divergence

Stokes

$$\oint_C \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = \iint_S (\nabla \times \mathbf{F}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} dS$$

Stokes theorem applies to a curve in space (it does not have to lie in a plane). The theorem says that the work going around the curve is equal to the integral over *any surface* with that curve as its boundary, of the component of the curl of \mathbf{F} normal to the surface.

Stokes theorem applied to a region in the xy -plane reduces to

$$\oint \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = \iint_R \nabla \times \mathbf{F} dA$$

Alternatively

$$\int_C P dx + Q dy + R dz = \iint_R \langle R_y - Q_z, P_z - R_x, Q_x - P_y \rangle \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} dS$$

Divergence in space

The last theorem is called the divergence theorem, or Gauss's theorem (and there are other names).

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_S \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{n} dS &= \iiint_V \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} dV \\ &= \iiint_V (P_x + Q_y + R_z) dV \end{aligned}$$

Other coordinates

Above, in R^3 , the divergence was given as

$$\iiint_V \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} \, dV$$

The "del" operator is

$$\nabla = \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right\rangle$$

The divergence of \mathbf{F} is

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}$$

if $\mathbf{F} = \langle P, Q, R \rangle$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = P_x + Q_y + R_z$$

The divergence of a vector field is a scalar quantity.

In cylindrical and spherical coordinates, the divergence is more complicated. Although the expression above is often given as the *definition* of divergence, Schey makes a big deal out of saying that he prefers this definition

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{F} = \lim_{\Delta V \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\Delta V} \iint_S \mathbf{F} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} \, dS$$

As further illustration, the divergence has a more complicated form in cylindrical and spherical coordinates. In the former it is

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{F} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}(r F_r) + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}(F_\theta) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}(F_z)$$

where F_z is not a partial derivative but just the component of \mathbf{F} in the z -direction, and so on.

Similarly, in spherical coordinates the divergence is

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{F} = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}(r^2 F_r) + \frac{1}{r \sin \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}(\sin \phi F_\phi) + \frac{1}{r \sin \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}(F_\theta)$$

example

Here is an example to explore divergence in cylindrical coordinates. Suppose we have a cylinder oriented along the z -axis with its length equal to 1 and its radius $r = 2$.

Further, we have a field with some divergence, like $\mathbf{F} = \langle x, y, 0 \rangle$.

This field is written in x, y, z coordinates, when we switch to cylindrical coordinates (of course) $x = r \cos \theta$ and $y = r \sin \theta$.

We want to check the divergence theorem

$$\iint_S \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, dS = \iiint_V \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} \, dV$$

(The integral above is an integral over a closed surface, in this case, the cylinder with top and bottom included).

When we rewrite \mathbf{F} in cylindrical coordinates, we will have

$$\mathbf{F} = \langle r, \theta, z \rangle$$

The given field is independent of θ and z and since

$$r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$$

$$\mathbf{F} = \langle r, 0, 0 \rangle$$

Using the definition of divergence from above, we have

$$\text{div } \mathbf{F} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}(r F_r) + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}(F_\theta) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}(F_z)$$

since \mathbf{F} has no z or θ component and the r component is $F_r = r$ (this is *not* a derivative), we have

$$\text{div } \mathbf{F} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}(r^2) = 2$$

So the triple integral uses the cylindrical volume element and is just

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^1 \int_0^2 2r \, dr \, dz \, d\theta \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^1 \left[r^2 \right]_0^2 \, dz \, d\theta = 8\pi \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the value of the integral scales linearly with z and like r^2 . Now for the surface integral. In standard form, the cylinder has

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathbf{n}} \, dS &= \langle x, y, 0 \rangle \, d\theta \, dz \\ \iint_S \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, dS &= \langle x, y, 0 \rangle \cdot \langle x, y, 0 \rangle \, d\theta \, dz \\ &= \iint_S x^2 + y^2 \, d\theta \, dz \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_0^{2\pi} r^2 \, d\theta \, dz = 2\pi r^2 = 8\pi\end{aligned}$$

I almost forgot the top and bottom of the cylinder. However the flux $\mathbf{F} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} = 0$ everywhere on these two surfaces, so the total is still just 8π .