

Auroux Ch. 23: Flux

To review, we compute work as

$$W = \int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r}$$

As a shorthand we say that

$$W = \int_C Mdx + Ndy$$

We get from one to the other by deconstructing $d\mathbf{r}$

$$d\mathbf{r} = \hat{\mathbf{T}} ds = \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt} dt$$

where

$$\frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt} = \left\langle \frac{dx}{dt}, \frac{dy}{dt} \right\rangle$$

Hence, if we have $\mathbf{F} = \langle M, N \rangle$ then

$$W = \int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt} dt = \int_C \langle M, N \rangle \cdot \left\langle \frac{dx}{dt}, \frac{dy}{dt} \right\rangle dt = \int_C Mdx + Ndy$$

example

If

$$\mathbf{F} = \langle -y, x \rangle$$

$$x = t, \quad y = t^2$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} W &= \int_C \langle M, N \rangle \cdot \left\langle \frac{dx}{dt}, \frac{dy}{dt} \right\rangle dt \\ &= \int_C \langle -t^2, t \rangle \cdot \langle 1, 2t \rangle dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_C t^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{3} t^3 \Big|_{P_1}^{P_2}
\end{aligned}$$

Flux

In this write-up, we're concerned with Flux, which also has a shorthand

$$Flux = \int_C -N dx + M dy$$

We switched M for N and changed signs.

Work is done by the component of the force in the direction of $\hat{\mathbf{T}}$. It's the "tail wind", if you will. Flux is the "cross-wind", it is the component $\perp \hat{\mathbf{T}}$.

$$Flux = \int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} \, ds$$

We'll show a derivation below.

Example

Sometimes we don't need to calculate! Suppose

$$\mathbf{F} = \langle x, y \rangle$$

a radial field. Let C be a circle of radius a centered at the origin. In this situation the formula with $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ is useful.

$$\mathbf{F} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} = |F||n|\cos\theta = |F| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} = a$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
Flux &= \int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} \, ds \\
&= \int_C a \, ds = a \int_C ds \\
&= a \, 2\pi a \\
&= 2\pi a^2
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ is just $\hat{\mathbf{T}}$ rotated by 90° cw. (The convention is that the integral should be positive if we move along the curve with the region on our left). Now

$$\begin{aligned} d\mathbf{r} &= \langle dx, dy \rangle \\ &= \hat{\mathbf{T}} ds \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\hat{\mathbf{n}} ds = \langle dy, -dx \rangle$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} ds \\ &= \int_C \langle M, N \rangle \cdot \langle \frac{dy}{dt}, -\frac{dx}{dt} \rangle dt \end{aligned}$$

which we can try to remember as

$$= \int_C -N dx + M dy$$

as shown above.

Green's Theorem

Our statement of the theorem was that

$$\boxed{\int_C M dx + N dy = \int \int_R (N_x - M_y) dA} \quad (1)$$

We can use the "del" notation to make this shorter

$$\begin{aligned} \int_C M dx + N dy &= \int \int_R (N_x - M_y) dA \\ &= \int \int_R \nabla \times \mathbf{F} dA \end{aligned}$$

I will come back to that cross-product in a minute. But $N_x - M_y$ is the curl of \mathbf{F} . Now we just switch letters! Put $-N$ for M and M for N

$$\boxed{\int_C -N dx + M dy = \int \int_R (M_x + N_y) dA = \int \int_R \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} dA} \quad (2)$$

This is Green's Theorem for Flux. The left-hand side is the Flux, the right-hand side is a way to calculate the same quantity using $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}$

example

Suppose $\mathbf{F} = \langle x, y \rangle$ and the curve is a circle of radius a , but centered at $(0, 2)$. So now parametrization gets a little trickier.. But notice that

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = 2$$

So we can calculate the Flux by using Green's Thm (for Flux). It is just

$$\int \int_R \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} dA = 2 \int \int_R dA = 2\pi a^2$$

and this is true regardless of where the circle is.

Notation

So we have the symbol ∇ which we use as an operator

$$\nabla = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$

We have already used this in defining the *gradient* of f

$$\nabla f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = \langle f_x, f_y, f_z \rangle$$

Now we introduce a dot product and cross product employing ∇ . The first is the divergence of \mathbf{F}

$$\mathbf{F} = \langle P, Q, R \rangle$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = P_x + Q_y + R_z$$

And the second is the curl of \mathbf{F}

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{F}$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} \hat{i} & \hat{j} & \hat{k} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ P & Q & R \end{vmatrix}$$

This determinant has three components

$$|(\frac{\partial R}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial Q}{\partial z}) - (\frac{\partial R}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial P}{\partial z}) + (\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial P}{\partial y})|$$

What we have in Green's Theorem (with different letters, substitute N for Q and M for P), is a vector with only x and y components and hence only one of the terms.