

## Vertex and roots

### min/max value

We start with the standard form for a quadratic

$$y = ax^2 + bx + c$$

We have been told that the vertex of the graph lies at the point with

$$x = -\frac{b}{2a}$$

We might call that point  $x_m$  for min/max but I prefer to just call it  $m$ :

$$x = m = -\frac{b}{2a}$$

It's the  $x$ -value at the vertex. If you like, you can also figure out the value of  $y$  at the vertex by plugging in to the equation, but we won't do that.

Instead, we want to focus on the zeros or roots of the equation, those values of  $x$  that give  $y = 0$ . (We ignore the complication that for some equations, some graphs, they may not cross the  $x$ -axis so there are no such values in the normal way of thinking. We'll explain later).

$$0 = ax^2 + bx + c$$

And having that 0 immediately suggests

$$0 = x^2 + \frac{b}{a}x + \frac{c}{a}$$

The same  $x$ -values that give zero in the first equation also give zero in the second one, but the second is simpler to solve.

**average of  $s$  and  $t$**

Here is the equation we wrote previously using the zeros  $s$  and  $t$

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= (x - s)(x - t) \\0 &= x^2 - (s + t)x + st\end{aligned}$$

Compare with

$$0 = x^2 + \frac{b}{a}x + \frac{c}{a}$$

If these are two forms of the *same* equation, then the cofactors of  $x$  must match:

$$\begin{aligned}-(s + t) &= \frac{b}{a} \\s + t &= -\frac{b}{a}\end{aligned}$$

And if, as we said, the vertex  $x = m$  is the average of  $s$  and  $t$

$$\begin{aligned}m &= \frac{1}{2}(s + t) \\&= \frac{1}{2}\left(-\frac{b}{a}\right) = -\frac{b}{2a}\end{aligned}$$

So that explains where the formula for the vertex  $m$  comes from.

The other part  $c/a$  must also match. That is

$$\frac{c}{a} = st$$

we'll come back to that.

**completing the square**

We have

$$m = -\frac{b}{2a}$$

Re-arranging:

$$-2m = \frac{b}{a}$$

Let us plug that into the standard form

$$x^2 + \frac{b}{a}x + \frac{c}{a} = 0$$

$$x - 2mx = -\frac{c}{a}$$

We want the left-hand side be a perfect square.

$$(x + \text{ something } )^2$$

The trick is to see that if we add  $m^2$  it will work with  $m$  as the something

$$x - 2mx + m^2 = (x - m)^2$$

Of course, we must add  $m^2$  on both sides of the original equation so from

$$x - 2mx = -\frac{c}{a}$$

we get

$$x - 2mx + m^2 = m^2 - \frac{c}{a}$$

$$(x - m)^2 = m^2 - \frac{c}{a}$$

Now it's just a little algebra. We take the square root, which means we can have either the positive or the negative branch.

$$x - m = \pm \sqrt{m^2 - c/a}$$

$$x = m \pm \sqrt{m^2 - c/a}$$

This is a second formula to memorize. If you plug in the value of  $m$  (i.e.  $m = -b/2a$ ) and also  $c/a$ , then it will give us the roots of the equation that we started with.

### problems

In the previous write-up we had this problem

$$y = -16t^2 + 64t + 144$$

and we want to know the time  $t$  when  $y = 0$  so

$$0 = -16t^2 + 64t + 144$$

Divide both sides by 16

$$0 = -t^2 + 4t + 9$$

$m = -b/2a = 2$  and the roots are

$$x = 2 \pm \sqrt{4 - 4(-1)(9)} = 2 \pm \sqrt{40}$$

It's not a round number but  $2 + \sqrt{40}$  is about 8.3 seconds. Notice that we pick the branch with a positive square root because we are interested only in positive values of  $t$ .

The sum of two numbers is 18 and their product is 56. What are they?

$$u + v = 18$$

$$uv = 56$$

$$u(18 - u) = 56$$

$$u^2 - 18u + 56 = 0$$

The factors of 56 are  $2 \cdot 28$ ,  $4 \cdot 14$ , and  $7 \cdot 8$ .

$$4 + 14 = 18$$

so

$$(u - 4)(u - 14) = 0$$

The numbers are 4 and 14.

The product of two consecutive positive odd numbers is 255. Find the numbers.

Odd numbers can be described as  $2n + 1$  for some  $n$ , since that is one more than the even number  $2n$ . So we have

$$(2n + 1)(2n + 3) = 255$$

$$4n^2 + 8n + 3 = 255$$

$$4n^2 + 8n - 252 = 0$$

We are looking for zeros. So we can divide by 4:

$$n^2 + 2n - 63 = 0$$

Two numbers multiply to give  $-63$  and add to give 2:

$$(n + 9)(n - 7) = 0$$

The roots are  $-9, 7$ .

But we specified positive numbers which means  $n = 7$ . The numbers are  $2 \cdot 7 + 1 = 15$  and 17, easily confirmed.

Alternatively we can write the numbers as  $2n + 1$  and  $2n - 1$  and then

$$(2n + 1)(2n - 1) = 255$$

This gives a simpler solution.

$$4n^2 - 1 = 255$$

$$n^2 = 64$$

$$n = \pm 8$$

Again  $n > 0$  so  $n = 8$  and the numbers are  $2n-1 = 15$  and  $2n+1 = 17$ .

### quadratic formula

I use the formula

$$x = m \pm \sqrt{m^2 - c/a}$$

because it's relatively simple, but the one you will find in your book doesn't use  $m$ , it uses  $a, b$  and  $c$ .

Let us plug in  $m = -b/2a$  and see what we get.

$$x = -\frac{b}{2a} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{-b}{2a}\right)^2 - \frac{c}{a}}$$

The trick is to put  $c$  over the same denominator as everything else

$$x = -\frac{b}{2a} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{-b}{2a}\right)^2 - \frac{4ac}{4a^2}}$$

We multiplied the last term by  $4a$  on top and bottom.

So then

$$x = -\frac{b}{2a} \pm \sqrt{\frac{b^2}{(2a)^2} - \frac{4ac}{(2a)^2}}$$

Factor out the square root of  $(2a)^2$

$$x = -\frac{b}{2a} \pm \frac{1}{2a} \sqrt{(-b)^2 - 4ac}$$

And then combine everything over the common denominator so finally

$$x = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}$$

This is the quadratic formula which has been memorized by generations of algebra students. I really prefer

$$x = m \pm \sqrt{m^2 - c/a}$$

but it's the same thing.

### **alternative approach**

There is a different way to get the quadratic formula. We said that  $m$  lies exactly halfway between  $s$  and  $t$ . Let the distance from  $m$  to  $s$  (or to  $t$ ) be  $d$ . Then

$$m - d = s \quad m + d = t$$

$$(m - d)(m + d) = st$$

$$m^2 - d^2 = st$$

So we have a formula for  $d^2$  in terms of  $m$ ,  $s$  and  $t$ .

$$d^2 = m^2 - st$$

But remember we know that

$$\frac{c}{a} = st$$

and said we'd come back? So

$$d^2 = m^2 - c/a$$

$$d = \pm \sqrt{m^2 - c/a}$$

and then the roots are

$$s = m - d = m - \sqrt{m^2 - c/a}$$

$$t = m + d = m + \sqrt{m^2 - c/a}$$

And that, I hope, shows the meaning of the quadratic formula.

The roots lie an equal distance  $d$  on either side of the vertex at  $m$ , and that distance is given by what's under the square root.

### complex numbers

Let us take a look at what is under the square root. Here, it is probably simpler to use  $a, b$  and  $c$ .

The expression we have is called the *discriminant*

$$D = b^2 - 4ac$$

Depending on the particular example,  $D$  may be positive, negative, or even zero. And if it is the case that  $D < 0$ , then we'll have the square root of a negative number.

There are no real numbers with that property. And this corresponds to the case where the graph does not cross the  $x$ -axis. Then, there are no roots.

Notice that if  $a > 0$ , so the graph opens up, then by making  $c$  more and more positive we can eventually make  $4ac > b^2$ . We can always shift the graph up above the  $x$ -axis by adding more to  $c$ .

The way we explain these weird roots is to define  $i$  as a special number with the property  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ , or equivalently  $i^2 = -1$ , so then if  $D < 0$ , let's say

$$D = -p^2$$



where  $p^2$  is a positive real number, then

$$\sqrt{D} = ip$$

So we have that the roots are

$$s = m - ip$$

$$t = m + ip$$

Take a look at  $s$  times  $t$

$$\begin{aligned} st &= (m + ip)(m - ip) \\ &= m^2 - i^2 p^2 \end{aligned}$$

but remember  $i^2 = -1$  so

$$st = m^2 + p^2$$

and we get the standard form as

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (x - s)(x - t) \\ &= x^2 - (s + t)x + st \end{aligned}$$

$m$  is the average of  $s$  and  $t$ , meaning that  $2m = s + t$ , and we computed just now  $st = m^2 + p^2$  so

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= x^2 - 2m + m^2 + p^2 \\ &= (x - m)^2 + p^2 \end{aligned}$$

The  $i$  has gone away.

This is a perfectly valid equation for  $y$

$$y = (x - m)^2 + p^2$$

but it only has solutions as long as  $y \geq 0$ ! It is possible to have  $m$  and  $p$  both zero, so then  $y = x^2$ . But there is no way to have  $y < 0$

because it is the sum of two squares, which are both either 0 or positive but never negative.

Which is another way of saying that the graph does not cross the  $x$ -axis. There is no  $x$  such that  $y < 0$ .

### shifting the vertex

We won't prove it, but just say that any quadratic (in fact, any formula) can be re-written in a form like:

$$(y - k) = a(x - h)^2$$

where  $a$  is the shape factor, as usual, and  $(h, k)$  is the point where the vertex lies. (We abandon our favorite symbol  $m$  for this part).

Multiplying out

$$\begin{aligned} y - k &= ax^2 - 2ahx + ah^2 \\ y &= ax^2 - 2ahx + ah^2 + k \end{aligned}$$

by comparison with the standard form

$$y = ax^2 + bx + c$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned} b &= -2ah \\ h &= -\frac{b}{2a} \end{aligned}$$

Which matches what we said before. The  $x$ -value at the vertex is

$$x = h = -\frac{b}{2a}$$

### intersection

You have a parabola and a line and want to know where (or if) they intersect. Write the equation of the line as

$$y = kx + y_0$$

To make life easier, we will consider a parabola that is translated to have its vertex at the origin so

$$y = ax^2$$

We are interested in the  $x$ -values that give equal  $y$ . So

$$kx + y_0 = ax^2$$

Gather like terms

$$0 = ax^2 + -kx - y_0$$

We have a quadratic. So

$$m = -\frac{-k}{2a} = \frac{k}{2a}$$

And the roots are

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \frac{k}{2a} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{k}{2a}\right)^2} \\ &= \frac{k}{2a} \pm \frac{k}{2a} \\ &= 0, \frac{k}{a} \end{aligned}$$

The interesting thing is when there is only a single root, a single intersection. That happens when the line is the tangent to the parabola at the point of intersection.

There

$$x = \frac{k}{2a}$$

$$k = 2ax$$

The slope of the tangent line is  $2ax$ , which matches the result given by calculus.