

VIENNA UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

192.137 HEURISTIC OPTIMIZATION TECHNIQUES

TU WIEN ALGORITHMICS AND COMPLEXITY GROUP

Programming Project 1

Authors:

Tobias SLOVIAK
01204691

Camilo TELLO FACHIN
12127084

Supervisors:

Prof. Dr. Günther RAIDL

Dipl. Ing. Enrico IURLANO

Dipl. Ing. Laurenz TOMANDL

November 20, 2023



TECHNISCHE
UNIVERSITÄT
WIEN

Vienna University of Technology

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Questions	3
3	Questions to Consider during development	4
4	Deterministic Construction Heuristic	5
5	Randomized Construction Heuristic	6
6	Neighborhood Structures and Step Functions	7
7	Variable Neighborhood Descent (VNS)	8
8	Greedy Randomized Adaptive Search Procedure (GRASP)	9
9	General Variable Neighborhood Search (GVNS) on top of VND	10
10	Manual Paramter Tuning	11
11	Delta Evaluation	12
12	Experimental Results	13
13	Results and Conclusion	14
	References	14

To Do's

- either make framework work or create new framework
- analyze locks in lecture slides and in paper:
 - – Test-and-Set Lock
 - Test-and-Test-and-Set Lock
 - Ticket Lock
 - Array Lock
 - CLH Lock
 - MCS Lock
 - Hemlock (from paper..)
- in the lectureslides from the project it says:
 - – Benchmark the following two under various scenarios, meaning low/high contention
 - Benchmark Throughput (probably locks-unlocks performed per time)
 - Benchmark Latency
 - Benchmark for fairness, we have to think of some number to measure fairness, maybe lock-s/unlocks achieved per thread

1 Introduction

2 Questions

In the end, here will be the answer to the question "Think about a meaningful real-world application for this problem and briefly describe it."

1. Think about a meaningful real-world application for this problem and briefly describe it.
2. Develop a meaningful deterministic construction heuristic.
3. Derive a randomized construction heuristic to be applied iteratively.
4. Develop or make use of a framework for basic local search which is able to deal with:
 - different neighborhood structures
 - different step functions (first-improvement, best-improvement, random)
5. Develop a set of meaningful neighborhood structures to address the different aspects of the problem, i.e., related to the quality of the s-plexes, or the assignment of nodes to s-plexes.
6. Develop or make use of a Variable Neighborhood Descent (VND) framework which uses your neighborhood structures.
7. Implement a Greedy Randomized Adaptive Search Procedure (GRASP) using your randomized construction heuristic and an effective neighborhood structure with one step function or (a variant of) your VND. Note that the union of existing neighborhood structures also constitutes a (composite) neighborhood structure.
8. Implement one of the following metaheuristics:
 - General Variable Neighborhood Search (GVNS) on top of your VND
 - Simulated Annealing (SA)
 - Tabu Search (TS)
9. Perform some manual tuning of relevant algorithmic parameters to find sensible parameter settings for the final experiments. Relevant parameters may be related to the degree of randomization, neighborhood structure sizes, probabilities for the random step function in composite neighborhood structures, the cooling schedule, the tabu list length and its variation, etc. Report the impact of a number of different settings on the solution quality of a selected meaningful subset of instances.
10. Use delta-evaluation. Explain which steps in your algorithm use delta-evaluation and describe why delta-evaluation results in better performance in this step. Are there other elements in your algorithm that could have also benefited from delta-evaluation?
11. Run experiments and compare all your algorithms on the instances provided in TUWEL:
 - (a) deterministic and randomized construction heuristic and GRASP
 - (b) Use the solution of the deterministic construction heuristic to test the other implementations:
 - i. Local search for at least three selected (possibly composite) neighborhood structures using each of the three step functions (i.e., at least nine different algorithm variants).
 - ii. VND
 - iii. GVNS, SA, or TS
12. Write a report containing the description of your algorithms, the experimental results and what you conclude from them; see the general information document for more details.

3 Questions to Consider during development

- How is your solution represented?
- Ad 4: How do you generate different solutions? Which parts of your algorithm can be reasonably randomized and how can you control the degree of randomization?
- Ad 3 and 4: Does randomization and iterated application improve the generated solutions?
- What parameters do you use and which values do you chose for them?
- Can subsequent – possibly non-improving – moves in your neighborhood structures reach every solution in the search space? Or at least one optimal solution? • Local search: How many iterations does it take on average to reach a local optima? What does this say about your neighborhood structures?
- How does incremental evaluation work for your neighborhood structures?
- What is the time complexity to fully search one neighborhood of your neighborhood structures?
- VND: Does the order of your neighborhood structures affect the solution quality?

4 Deterministic Construction Heuristic

deterministic construction heuristic where we explain how the construction heuristic is done. Maybe only do on the problem with 9 vertices and plot some results.

5 Randomized Construction Heuristic

6 Neighborhood Structures and Step Functions

Develop or make use of a framework for basic local search which is able to deal with:

- different neighborhood structures
- different step functions (first-improvement, best-improvement, random)

5. Develop a set of meaningful neighborhood structures to address the different aspects of the problem, i.e., related to the quality of the s-plexes, or the assignment of nodes to s-plexes.

7 Variable Neighborhood Descent (VNS)

text

8 Greedy Randomized Adaptive Search Procedure (GRASP)

9 General Variable Neighborhood Search (GVNS) on top of VND

10 Manual Paramter Tuning

Perform some manual tuning of relevant algorithmic parameters to find sensible parameter settings for the final experiments. Relevant parameters may be related to the degree of randomization, neighborhood structure sizes, probabilities for the random step function in composite neighborhood structures, the cooling schedule, the tabu list length and its variation, etc. Report the impact of a number of different settings on the solution quality of a selected meaningful subset of instances.

11 Delta Evaluation

Use delta-evaluation. Explain which steps in your algorithm use delta-evaluation and describe why delta-evaluation results in better performance in this step. Are there other elements in your algorithm that could have also benefitted from delta-evaluation?

12 Experimental Results

13 Results and Conclusion

Run experiments and compare all your algorithms on the instances provided in TUWEL:

- (a) deterministic and randomized construction heuristic and GRASP
- (b) Use the solution of the deterministic construction heuristic to test the other implementations:
 - i. Local search for at least three selected (possibly composite) neighborhood structures using each of the three step functions (i.e., at least nine different algorithm variants).
 - ii. VND
 - iii. GVNS, SA, or TS