Mathematics 5.

Teemu Weckroth, September 7, 2023.

Landau's \mathcal{O} -symbol.

Let f and g be given functions. If there exists a positive r and a positive, finite M such that

$$|f(x)| \le M|g(x)|$$
 for all $x \in [-r, r]$,

we say that f(x) is of order g(x) for x going to zero. Symbolically we write this as

$$f(x) = \mathcal{O}(g(x))$$
 for $x \to 0$.

If $f(x) = \mathcal{O}(x^p)$ and $g(x) = \mathcal{O}(x^q)$ for $x \to 0$ with $p \ge 0, q \ge 0$, then

- $f(x) = \mathcal{O}(x^s)$ for $x \to 0$ with $0 \le s \le p$
- $\alpha f(x) + \beta g(x) = \mathcal{O}(x^r)$ for $x \to 0$ with $r = \min\{p, q\}$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$
- $f(x)g(x) = \mathcal{O}(x^{p+q})$ for $x \to 0$
- $\frac{f(x)}{x^t} = \mathcal{O}(x^{p-t}) \text{ for } x \to 0 \text{ if } 0 \le t \le p$

Taylor expansions.

Assume $f(x) \in C^{n+1}[a,b]$ is given. Then for all $c,x \in (a,b)$ there exists a number ξ between c and x such that

$$f(x) = T_n(x) + R_n(x),$$

in which

$$T_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{f^{(k)}(c)}{k!} (x-c)^k,$$

and

$$R_n(x) = \frac{f^{(n+1)}(\xi)}{(n+1)!} (x-c)^{n+1} = \mathcal{O}((x-c)^{n+1}).$$

Lagrange interpolation - linear.

Assume two data points $(x_0, f(x_0))$ and $(x_1, f(x_1))$ of a function f are given. The linear Lagrange basis polynomials L_{i1} , $i \in \{0, 1\}$ are defined by

$$L_{01} = \frac{x - x_1}{x_0 - x_1}$$
 and $L_{11} = \frac{x - x_0}{x_1 - x_0}$,

and the linear Lagrange interpolation polynomial L_1 by

$$L_1(x) = f(x_0)L_{01}(x) + f(x_1)L_{11}(x).$$

Assume $f \in C^2[a,b]$ with $x_0,x_1 \in [a,b]$. Then for each $x \in [a,b]$ there exists a $\xi \in (a,b)$ such that

$$f(x) - L_1(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x - x_0)(x - x_1)f''(\xi).$$

Furthermore it holds that

$$|f(x) - L_1(x)| \le \frac{1}{8}(x_1 - x_0)^2 \max_{\gamma \in (a,b)} |f''(\gamma)|.$$

Assume two distinct measured data points $(x_0, \hat{f}(x_0))$ and $(x_1, \hat{f}(x_1))$ of a function $f \in C^2[a,b]$ are given with $x_0, x_1 \in [a,b]$. Also assume $|f(x_0) - \hat{f}(x_0)| \le \varepsilon$ and $|f(x_1) - \hat{f}(x_1)| \le \varepsilon$ and \hat{L}_1 is the linear Lagrange interpolation polynomial using the measured values. Then for all $x \in [a,b]$ it holds that

$$|L_1(x) - \hat{L}_1(x)| \le \frac{|x_1 - x| + |x - x_0|}{|x_1 - x_0|} \varepsilon.$$

Lagrange interpolation - general.

Assume n+1 data points $(x_0,f(x_0)),(x_1,f(x_1)),...,(x_n,f(x_n))$ of a function f are given. The Lagrange basis polynomials $L_{kn},k\in\{0,1,...,n\}$ are defined by

$$L_{kn} = \prod_{\substack{j=0\\j\neq k}}^{n} \frac{x - x_j}{x_k - x_j},$$

and the n^{th} degree Lagrange interpolation polynomial L_n by

$$L_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n f(x_k) L_{kn}(x).$$

Assume $f \in C^{n+1}[a,b]$ with $x_0, \dots, x_n \in [a,b]$. Then for each $x \in [a,b]$ there exists a $\xi \in (a,b)$ such that

$$f(x) - L_n(x) = \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \prod_{k=0}^{n} (x - x_k) f^{(n+1)}(\xi).$$

Furthermore it holds that

$$|L_n(x) - \hat{L}_n(x)| \le \sum_{k=0}^n |L_{kn}(x)| \varepsilon,$$

with ε an upper bound for measurement errors.

Spline interpolation.

Consider nodes $a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b$ and a function $f \in C[a, b]$. A spline of degree p is a piecewise polynomial $s \in C^{p-1}[a, b]$ that assumes the values of f in the nodes, and is defined by

$$s(x) = \begin{cases} s_0(x), & x \in [x_0, x_1), \\ s_1(x), & x \in [x_1, x_2), \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ s_{n-1}(x), & x \in [x_{n-1}, x_n] \end{cases}$$

where $s_k, k \in \{0, 1, ..., n-1\}$ are polynomials of degree p.

Numerical integration.

Assume $f \in C[x_L, x_R]$ and $x_M = \frac{1}{2}(x_L + x_R)$. We can define the following integration rules:

left rectangle rule
$$\int_{x_L}^{x_R} f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \approx (x_R - x_L) f(x_L)$$
 right rectangle rule
$$\int_{x_L}^{x_R} f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \approx (x_R - x_L) f(x_R)$$
 midpoint rule
$$\int_{x_L}^{x_R} f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \approx (x_R - x_L) f(x_M)$$
 trapezoidal rule
$$\int_{x_L}^{x_R} f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \approx \frac{1}{2} (x_R - x_L) (f(x_L) + f(x_R))$$
 Simpson's rule
$$\int_{x_L}^{x_R} f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \approx \frac{1}{4} (x_R - x_L) (f(x_L) + 4 f(x_M) + f(x_R))$$

Numerical integration - accuracy.

Assume $f \in C^q[x_L, x_R]$, $x_M = \frac{1}{2}(x_L + x_R)$ and $m_q = \max_{x \in [x_L, x_R]} |f^{(q)}(x)|$. Then it holds that:

$$\begin{split} & \left| \int_{x_L}^{x_R} f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x - (x_R - x_L) f(x_L) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} m_1 (x_R - x_L)^2 \\ & \left| \int_{x_L}^{x_R} f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x - (x_R - x_L) f(x_R) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} m_1 (x_R - x_L)^2 \\ & \left| \int_{x_L}^{x_R} f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x - (x_R - x_L) f(x_M) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{24} m_2 (x_R - x_L)^3 \\ & \left| \int_{x_L}^{x_R} f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x - \frac{1}{2} (x_R - x_L) (f(x_L) + f(x_R)) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{12} m_2 (x_R - x_L)^3 \\ & \left| \int_{x_L}^{x_R} f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x - \frac{1}{6} (x_R - x_L) (f(x_L) + 4 f(x_M) + f(x_R)) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2880} m_4 (x_R - x_L)^5 \end{split}$$

Composite numerical integration.

Assume $f \in C[a, b]$ and $a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = b$ are given nodes. If I_k is an integration rule that approximates $\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_k} f(x) \, dx$, we can approximate $\int_a^b f(x) \, dx$ with the composite integration rule

$$I = \sum_{k=1}^{n} I_k.$$

Composite numerical integration - accuracy.

Assume f is defined on [a,b] and $a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = b$ with $x_k = a + kh$ and $h = \frac{b-a}{n}$. Also assume I is a composite integration rule based on the integration rule I_k for which we know $|\int_{x_k}^{x_k} |f(x) dx - I_k| \le c_k h^{p+1}$. Then

$$\left| \int_{a}^{b} f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x - I \right| \le c(b - a)h^{p},$$

where $c = \max\{c_1, c_2, ..., c_n\}$.

Composite numerical integration - errors.

Assume I is a composite integration rule based on the function \hat{f} and \hat{I} is the same composite integration rule based on the function \hat{f} containing measurement and/or rounding error for which we know $|f(x) - \hat{f}(x)| = \varepsilon(x) \le \varepsilon_{\text{max}}$. Then

$$\left| \int_a^b f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x - \hat{I} \right| \le \left| \int_a^b f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x - I \right| + \left| I - \hat{I} \right|.$$

Initial-value problems.

A first-order initial-value problem for a scalar function y of the independent Consider the initial-value problem variable *t* is a system of the form

$$\begin{cases} y' = f(t, y), & \text{for } t \ge t_0 \\ y(t_0) = y_0, \end{cases}$$

where f is a known function and v_0 is a known value.

Initial-value problems - stability.

Consider the two first-order initial-value problems

$$\begin{cases} y' = f(t, y), & t \ge t_0, \\ y(t_0) = y_0, \end{cases} \text{ and } \begin{cases} \tilde{y}' = f(t, \tilde{y}), & t \ge t_0, \\ \tilde{y}(t_0) = y_0 + \varepsilon_0, \end{cases}$$

where f is a known function and y_0 and ε_0 are known values. Define $\varepsilon(t) = \tilde{v}(t) - v(t)$. The left initial-value problem is stable if

$$|\varepsilon(t)| < \infty$$
 for all $t \ge t_0$,

and absolutely stable if it is stable and

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} |\varepsilon(t)| = 0.$$

Define $\lambda = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \nu}(\hat{t}, \hat{y})$. The left initial-value problem is stable for typical value of \hat{t} and \hat{v} if $\lambda \leq 0$ and absolutely stable if $\lambda < 0$.

Test equation.

The differential equation

$$y' = \lambda y$$

is called the test equation.

Forward Euler method.

Consider the initial-value problem

$$\begin{cases} y' = f(t, y), & \text{for } t \ge t_0 \\ y(t_0) = y_0. \end{cases}$$

Define w_n as the numerical approximation of $y(t_n)$. Then the Forward Euler method is defined by the discrete dynamical system

$$\begin{cases} w_{n+1} = w_n + \Delta t f(t_n, w_n), & \text{for } n \ge 0 \\ w_0 = y_0, \end{cases}$$

where $t_n = t_0 + n\Delta t$.

Local truncation error $\tau_{n+1} = \mathcal{O}(\Delta t)$.

Stability attained if $\Delta t \leq -\frac{2}{3}$.

Backward Euler method.

Consider the initial-value problem

$$\begin{cases} y' = f(t, y), & \text{for } t \ge t_0 \\ y(t_0) = y_0. \end{cases}$$

Define w_n as the numerical approximation of $v(t_n)$. Then the Backward Euler method is defined by the discrete dynamical system

$$\begin{cases} w_{n+1} = w_n + \Delta t f(t_{n+1}, w_{n+1}), & \text{for } n \ge 0, \\ w_0 = y_0, & \end{cases}$$

where $t_n = t_0 + n\Delta t$.

Local truncation error $\tau_{n+1} = \mathcal{O}(\Delta t)$.

Stability attained for all Δt .

Trapezoidal method.

$$\begin{cases} y' = f(t, y), & \text{for } t \ge t_0, \\ y(t_0) = y_0. \end{cases}$$

Define w_n as the numerical approximation of $y(t_n)$. Then the Trapezoidal method is defined by the discrete dynamical system

$$\begin{cases} w_{n+1} = w_n + \frac{1}{2} \Delta t(f(t_n, w_n) + f(t_{n+1}, w_{n+1})), & \text{for } n \ge 0, \\ w_0 = y_0, & \end{cases}$$

where $t_n = t_0 + n\Delta t$.

Local truncation error $\tau_{n+1} = \mathcal{O}(\Delta t^2)$.

Stability attained for all Δt .

Modified Euler method.

Consider the initial-value problem

$$\begin{cases} y' = f(t, y), & \text{for } t \ge t_0, \\ y(t_0) = y_0. \end{cases}$$

Define w_n as the numerical approximation of $y(t_n)$. Then the Modified Euler method is defined by the discrete dynamical system

$$\begin{cases} \overline{w}_{n+1} = w_n + \Delta t f(t_n, w_n), & \text{for } n \ge 0, \\ w_{n+1} = w_n + \frac{1}{2} \Delta t (f(t_n, w_n) + f(t_{n+1}, \overline{w}_{n+1})), & \text{for } n \ge 0, \\ w_0 = y_0, & \end{cases}$$

where $t_n = t_0 + n\Delta t$.

Local truncation error $\tau_{n+1} = \mathcal{O}(\Delta t^2)$.

Stability attained if $\Delta t \leq -\frac{2}{1}$.

RK4 method.

Consider the initial-value problem

$$\begin{cases} y' = f(t, y), & \text{for } t \ge t_0 \\ y(t_0) = y_0. \end{cases}$$

Define w_n as the numerical approximation of $y(t_n)$. Then the Fourth-order method of Runge-Kutta (RK4 method) is defined by the discrete dynamical system

$$\begin{cases} k_1 = \Delta t f(t_n, w_n), & \text{for } n \geq 0, \\ k_2 = \Delta t f(t_n + \frac{1}{2} \Delta t, w_n + \frac{1}{2} k_1), & \text{for } n \geq 0, \\ k_3 = \Delta t f(t_n + \frac{1}{2} \Delta t, w_n + \frac{1}{2} k_2), & \text{for } n \geq 0, \\ k_4 = \Delta t f(t_n + \Delta t, w_n + k_3), & \text{for } n \geq 0, \\ w_{n+1} = w_n + \frac{1}{6} (k_1 + 2k_2 + 2k_3 + k_4), & \text{for } n \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

where $t_n = t_0 + n\Delta t$ and $w_0 = y_0$.

Local truncation error $\tau_{n+1} = \mathcal{O}(\Delta t^4)$.

Stability attained if $\Delta t \leq \frac{2.8}{|\lambda|}$.

Numerical stability.

Consider the two first-order initial-value problems

$$\begin{cases} y' = f(t, y), & t \ge t_0, \\ y(t_0) = y_0, \end{cases} \text{ and } \begin{cases} \tilde{y}' = f(t, \tilde{y}), & t \ge t_0, \\ \tilde{y}(t_0) = y_0 + \varepsilon_0, \end{cases}$$

where f is a known function and y_0 and ε_0 are known values. Assume a time-integration method has been applied to both initial-value problems, leading to the numerical solutions w_n , n = 0, 1, ... and \tilde{w}_n , n = 0, 1, ...Define $\bar{\varepsilon}_n = \tilde{w}_n - w_n$. The used time-integration method applied to the left initial-value problem is (numerically) stable if

$$|\bar{\varepsilon}_n| < \infty$$
 for all $n \ge 0$.

Amplification factor *Q*.

The amplification factor Q of a time-integration method is defined by applying the time-integration method to the test equation. It satisfies the equation

$$w_{n+1} = Q(\lambda \Delta t) w_n$$

forward Euler
$$Q(\lambda \Delta t) = 1 + \lambda \Delta t$$

backward Euler $Q(\lambda \Delta t) = \frac{1}{1 - \lambda \Delta t}$
trapezoidal $Q(\lambda \Delta t) = \frac{1 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda \Delta t}{1 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda \Delta t}$
modified Euler $Q(\lambda \Delta t) = 1 + \lambda \Delta t + \frac{1}{2}(\lambda \Delta t)^2$
RK4 $Q(\lambda \Delta t) = 1 + \lambda \Delta t + \frac{1}{2}(\lambda \Delta t)^2 + \frac{1}{6}(\lambda \Delta t)^3 + \frac{1}{24}(\lambda \Delta t)^4$

Numerical stability - amplification factor.

Consider a time-integration method with amplification factor O applied to the initial-value problem

$$\begin{cases} y' = f(t, y), & t \ge t_0, \\ y(t_0) = y_0, \end{cases}$$

and define $\lambda=\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(\hat{t},\hat{y})$. The chosen time-integration method applied to the initial-value problem is stable if

$$|Q(\lambda \Delta t)| \le 1$$

for typical values of \hat{t} and \hat{y} .

Local truncation error τ .

Given is that $y_{n+1} = y(t_{n+1})$ is the exact solution and that z_{n+1} is the numerical approximation of y_{n+1} with a chosen numerical time-integration method with starting point $y_n = y(t_n)$ and time step Δt . The local truncation error at time step n+1, τ_{n+1} , is then defined as

$$\tau_{n+1} = \frac{y_{n+1} - z_{n+1}}{\Delta t}.$$

To obtain a formula for the local truncation error the following steps can be performed:

- 1. Formulate a Taylor expansion of y_{n+1} around $\Delta t = 0$;
- 2. Formulate a Taylor expansion of z_{n+1} around $\Delta t = 0$;
- 3. Substitute 1. and 2. into the definition;
- 4. Simplify.

Given is a time-integration method with amplification factor Q. Then the local truncation error for this method applied to the test equation $y' = \lambda y$ is given by

$$\tau_{n+1} = \frac{e^{\lambda \Delta t} - Q(\lambda \Delta t)}{\Delta t} y(t_n).$$

To obtain a formula for the local truncation error for the test equation the following steps can be performed:

- 1. Formulate a Taylor expansion of $e^{\lambda \Delta t}$ around $\Delta t = 0$;
- 2. Formulate a Taylor expansion of $Q(\lambda \Delta t)$ around $\Delta t = 0$;
- 3. Substitute 1. and 2. into the equation above;
- 4. Simplify.

Global truncation error e.

Given is that $y_{n+1} = y(t_{n+1})$ is the exact solution and that w_{n+1} is the numerical approximation of y_{n+1} with a chosen solution time-integration method with time step Δt after n+1 time steps. The global truncation error at time t_{n+1} , e_{n+1} , is then defined as

$$e_{n+1} = y_{n+1} - w_{n+1}$$

Consistency and convergence.

A numerical time-integration method is called consistent if

$$\lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \tau_{n+1} = 0$$

where $T = (n+1)\Delta t$ is a fixed time. If it is consistent and $\tau_{n+1} = \mathcal{O}(\Delta t^p)$, we call the method consistent of order p.

A numerical time-integration method is called convergent if

$$\lim_{\Delta t \to 0} e_{n+1} = 0,$$

where $T = (n+1)\Delta t$ is a fixed time. If it is convergent and $e_{n+1} = \mathcal{O}(\Delta t^p)$, we call the method convergent of order p.

If a numerical method is both stable and consistent, it is convergent. In that case the global and local truncation errors are of the same order.

Error estimation.

Define $w_N^{\Delta t}$ as the numerical approximation of y(t) using N time steps of size Δt and $e(t, \Delta t) = y(t) - w_N^{\Delta t}$ as the global truncation error at time t using time steps of size Δt .

Consider a time-integration method that is convergent of order p and assume Δt is small enough. Then the global truncation error can be estimated by

$$e(t, \Delta t) = c_p(t)\Delta t^p = \frac{w_N^{\Delta t} - w_{N/2}^{2\Delta t}}{2^p - 1}.$$

Consider a time-integration method that is convergent, but the order p is unknown and assume Δt is small enough. Then the order of the global truncation error can be estimated from

$$2^{p} = \frac{w_{N/2}^{2\Delta t} - w_{N/4}^{4\Delta t}}{w_{n}^{\Delta t} - w_{N/2}^{2\Delta t}}.$$

Assume Q(h) is an approximation of an unknown value M. For sufficiently small h the truncation error M - Q(h) can be estimated by

$$M - Q(h) = c_p h^p = \frac{Q(h) - Q(2h)}{2^p - 1}$$

if p is known. If p is not known, it can be estimated from

$$2^{p} = \frac{Q(2h) - Q(4h)}{Q(h) - Q(2h)}.$$

Stability of systems of differential equations.

Consider a vector-valued initial-value problem

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{y}' = A\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{g}(t), & t \ge t_0 \\ \mathbf{y}(t_0) = \mathbf{y}_0, \end{cases}$$

with A an $n \times n$ -matrix. Define λ_j , j = 1, 2, ..., n as the eigenvalues of A, repeated according to their algebraic multiplicity. The system is stable if

$$Re(\lambda_i) \leq 0$$

for j = 1, 2, ..., n.

Consider the vector-valued initial-value problem

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{y}' = \mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{y}), & t \ge t_0, \\ \mathbf{y}(t_0) = \mathbf{y}_0, & \end{cases}$$

with $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Define λ_j , j=1,2,...,n as the eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix $J(\hat{t},\hat{\mathbf{y}})$ of \mathbf{f} , repeated according to their algebraic multiplicity, and typical values of \hat{t} and $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$. The system is stable if

$$Re(\lambda_i) < 0$$
,

for j = 1, 2, ..., n.

A numerical time-integration method with amplification factor *Q* is stable if

$$|Q(\lambda_j \Delta t)| \leq 1,$$

for j = 1, 2, ..., n.

Stability regions.

Consider a numerical time-integration method with amplification factor *Q*. The stability region *S* of this method is defined as

$$S = {\lambda \Delta t \in \mathbb{C} : |O(\lambda \Delta t)| < 1}.$$

Stiff systems.

Consider a solution $\mathbf{y}(t)$ of some initial-value problem, and assume it contains a rapidly-decaying part and a slowly-varying part. Then we call the rapidly-decaying part the transient of the solution, and the initial-value problem is called a stiff system.

Superstability.

Consider a numerical time-integration method with amplification factor Q applied to an n-dimensional initial-value problem with eigenvalues λ_j , $j=1,\ldots,n$. This method is superstable if it is stable and

$$\lim_{\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_i \Delta t) \to -\infty} |Q(\lambda_j \Delta t)| < 1$$

for j = 1, ..., n.

All explicit methods are never superstable.

The Backward Euler method is always superstable.

The Trapezoidal method is never superstable.

First-order derivative.

Consider a function $f \in C[a, b]$. Then the first-order derivative f' is defined by

$$f' = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h},$$

if this limit exists.

Finite differences.

Consider a function $f \in C^1[a, b]$. The value f'(x) can be approximated by the following finite differences:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{forward difference} & Q_f(h) = \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}, \\ \\ \text{backward difference} & Q_b(h) = \frac{f(x) - f(x-h)}{h}, \\ \\ \text{central difference} & Q_c(h) = \frac{f(x+h) - f(x-h)}{2h}. \end{array}$$

Truncation error R.

Consider a function $f \in C^1[a, b]$ and a finite difference Q(h) as an approximation of f'(x). Then the truncation error R(h) is defined as

$$R(h) = f'(x) - Q(h).$$

Consider a function $f \in C^3[a, b]$. Then:

$$R_f(h) = f'(x) - Q_f(h) = -\frac{h}{2}f''(\xi) = \mathcal{O}(h)$$
 with $\xi \in (x, x + h)$,

$$R_b(h) = f'(x) - Q_b(h) = \frac{h}{2}f''(\eta) = \mathcal{O}(h) \qquad \text{with } \eta \in (x - h, x),$$

$$R_c(h) = f'(x) - Q_c(h) = -\frac{h^2}{6}f'''(\zeta) = \mathcal{O}(h^2)$$
 with $\zeta \in (x - h, x + h)$.

Rounding error S, total error E.

Consider a function $f \in C^1[a,b]$ and a finite difference Q(h) as an approximation of f'(x). Also assume \hat{f} is the function f including rounding errors and $\hat{Q}(h)$ is the finite difference based on \hat{f} . Then the rounding error S(h) is defined as

$$S(h) = |Q(h) - \hat{Q}(h)|,$$

and the total error E(h) is defined as

$$E(h) = |f'(x) - \hat{Q}(h)|.$$

Boundary value problems.

A second-order boundary value problem is the (unknown) variable y(x) on [K,L] is a system of the form

$$\begin{cases} -(p(x)y'(x))' + r(x)y'(x) + q(x)y(x) = f(x), & K \le x \le L, \\ a_K y(K) + b_K y'(K) = c_K, \\ a_L y(L) + b_L y'(L) = c_L, \end{cases}$$

where the last two equations are called boundary conditions and all constants and functions are known. Assume p(x) > 0 and $q(x) \ge 0$.

Boundary conditions.

Assume a boundary condition of the form

$$a_K y(K) + b_K y'(K) = c_K$$

is given.

If $a_K \neq 0$, $b_K = 0$, we call the BC a Dirichlet boundary condition.

If $a_K = 0$, $b_K \neq 0$, we call the BC a Neumann boundary condition.

If $a_K \neq 0$, $b_K \neq 0$, we call the BC a Robin or mixed boundary condition.

Finite difference method.

Assume a boundary-value problem in y(x) on [K, L] is given. The finite difference method constructs a system of the form

$$A\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{f}$$

where w_j is an approximation of $y(x_j)$ and $x_j = K + j\Delta x$ and $(n+1)\Delta x = L - K$. Algorithm:

- 1. Define the grid size $\Delta x = \frac{L-K}{n+1}$ and $x_j = K + j\Delta x$.
- 2. Evaluate the differential equation in x_j and replace all derivatives with finite differences.
- 3. Approximate $y(x_i)$ by w_i and ignore all remainder terms.
- 4. Incorporate the boundary condition at x = K.
- 5. Incorporate the boundary condition at x = L.
- 6. Formulate A, \mathbf{w} , and \mathbf{f} such that \mathbf{w} contains all unknown values and $A\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{f}$.
- 7. Solve $A\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{f}$ for \mathbf{w} .

Discretisation - (p(x)y'(x))'.

The term

$$p(x)y'(x)$$
)' $\Big|_{x=x_i}$

is discretised with the $\mathcal{O}(\Delta x^2)$ finite difference

$$\frac{p(x_{j+1/2})(w_{j+1}-w_j)-p(x_{j-1/2})(w_j-w_{j-1})}{\Delta x^2}.$$

Discretisation - p(K)y'(K).

The term

is discretised with the $\mathcal{O}(\Delta x^2)$ finite difference

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(p(x_{-1/2}) \frac{w_0 - w_{-1}}{\Delta x} + p(x_{1/2}) \frac{w_1 - w_0}{\Delta x} \right),\,$$

for the term coming from (p(x)y'(x))' and with the $\mathcal{O}(\Delta x^2)$ finite difference

$$p(x_0)\frac{w_1-w_{-1}}{2\Delta x}$$

for the term coming from r(x)y'(x).

Discretisation - p(L)y'(L).

The term

is discretised with the $\mathcal{O}(\Delta x^2)$ finite difference

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(p(x_{n+1/2}) \frac{w_{n+1} - w_n}{\Delta x} + p(x_{n+3/2}) \frac{w_{n+2} - w_{n+1}}{\Delta x} \right),$$

for the term coming from (p(x)y'(x))' and with the $\mathcal{O}(\Delta x^2)$ finite difference

$$p(x_{n+1})\frac{w_{n+2} - w_n}{2\Delta x}$$

for the term coming from r(x)y'(x).

Upwind discretisations.

An upwind discretisation of the term $vy'(x_j)$ in the differential equation -y'' + vy' + q(x)y = f(x) is given by

$$vy'(x_j) \approx \begin{cases} v \frac{w_j - w_{j-1}}{\Delta x}, & \text{if } v \ge 0, \\ v \frac{w_{j+1} - w_j}{\Delta x}, & \text{if } v < 0. \end{cases}$$

Norms.

The Euclidean norm $||\mathbf{x}||$ of a vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined as

$$||\mathbf{x}|| = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2},$$

where $\mathbf{x} = [x_1, x_2, ..., x_n]^T$.

The natural matrix norm ||A|| of an $n \times n$ -matrix A related to the Euclidean norm is defined as

$$||A|| = \max_{||x||=1} ||A\mathbf{x}||,$$

where $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Gershgorin circle theorem.

The eigenvalues of an $n \times n$ -matrix A are located in the complex plane in the union of circles

$$|z - a_{ii}| \le \sum_{\substack{j=1\\j \ne i}}^n |a_{ij}|,$$

where $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Condition number.

The condition number $\kappa(A)$ of an $n \times n$ -matrix A is defined as

$$\kappa(A) = ||A|| \cdot ||A^{-1}||.$$

If *A* is a symmetric $n \times n$ -matrix with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$, then

$$\kappa(A) = \frac{|\lambda|_{\max}}{|\lambda|_{\min}}.$$

Local errors.

The local truncation error ε of the finite difference method scheme $A\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{f}$ is defined as

$$\varepsilon = A\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{f}$$

where y contains the exact solutions at the same grid nodes as the approximations in w.

A finite difference method scheme $A\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{f}$ is consistent if

$$\lim_{\Delta x \to 0} ||\varepsilon|| = 0.$$

Stability.

The finite difference method scheme A**w** = **f** is stable if there exists a constant C independent of Δx such that

$$||A^{-1}|| \le C \text{ for } x \to 0.$$

Global errors.

The global truncation error \mathbf{e} of the finite difference method scheme $A\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{f}$ is defined as

$$e = y - w$$

where y contains the exact solutions at the same grid nodes as the approximations in w.

A finite difference method scheme $A\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{f}$ is convergent if

$$\lim_{\Delta x \to 0} ||\mathbf{e}|| = 0.$$

Equations and solutions.

A solution p of an equation is of the form

$$f(x) = 0$$

is called a root of the equation and a zero of the function f. Assume $f \in C[a, b]$ and f(x)f(y) < 0 for some $x \in [a, b]$ and some

 $y \in [a, b]$. Then f has a zero in [a, b]. A solution p of an equation of the form

$$g(x) = x$$

is called a fixed point of the function g.

Assume $g \in C[a,b]$ and $g(x) \in [a,b]$ for all $x \in [a,b]$. Then g has a fixed point in [a,b].

Assume $g \in C^1[a,b]$ and that g has a fixed point in [a,b]. If there is a positive value k < 1 such that

$$|g'(x)| \le k$$
 for all $x \in [a, b]$,

then p is unique on [a, b].

Convergence.

Assume some numerical method generates a sequence $\{p_n\}=p_0, p_1, p_2, \dots$ If $\lim_{n\to\infty}p_n=p$, we call the sequence convergent (to p). If there exists $\lambda>0$ and $\alpha>0$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{|p-p_{n+1}|}{|p-p_n|^{\alpha}}=\lambda,$$

then $\{p_n\}$ converges to p with order α and asymptotic constant λ .

If $\alpha = 1$ the sequence is linearly convergent.

If $\alpha = 2$ the sequence is quadratically convergent.

Assume $\{p_n\}$ satisfies

$$|p - p_n| \le k|p - p_{n-1}|$$
, for $n = 1, 2, ...$

with $0 \le k \le 1$. Then $\{p_n\}$ converges to p. Stopping criteria (examples):

- $|p-p_n|<\varepsilon$
- $|p_n p_{n-1}| < \varepsilon$
- $\cdot \frac{|p_n p_{n-1}|}{|p_n|} < \varepsilon$
- $\cdot |f(p_n)| < \varepsilon$

Bisection method.

Assume f is continuous on the interval [a,b] and f(a)f(b)<0. Then the Bisection method produce a sequence $\{p_n\}$ that converges to a zero $p\in [a,b]$ of f with order $\alpha=1$, asymptotic constant $\lambda=\frac{1}{2}$, and

$$|p-p_n| \le \frac{b-a}{2^{n+1}}$$
, for $n = 0, 1, 2, ...$

Algorithm:

- 1. Set n = 0, $a_0 = a$, $b_0 = b$.
- 2. For n = 0, 1, 2, 3, ...:
 - (a) $p_n = \frac{1}{2}(a_n + b_n)$
 - (b) If $f(a_n)f(p_n) < 0$, set $a_{n+1} = a_n$, $b_{n+1} = p_n$.
 - (c) Otherwise set $a_{n+1} = p_n$, $b_{n+1} = b_n$.
 - (d) If converged, stop.

Fixed-point iteration.

Assume $g \in C[a,b]$. Then the fixed-point iteration produces a sequence $\{p_n\}$ that could converge to a fixed point p of g. Algorithm:

- 1. Set n = 0 and pick $p_0 \in [a, b]$.
- 2. For n = 0, 1, 2, 3, ...:
 - (a) $p_{n+1} = g(p_n)$
 - (b) If converged, stop.

Assume $g \in C^1[a,b]$, $g(x) \in [a,b]$ for all $x \in [a,b]$ and that there is a positive value k < 1 such that

$$|g'(x) \le k|$$
 for all $x \in [a, b]$.

Then the fixed-point iteration $p_{n+1} = g(p_n)$ converges to a unique fixed point $p \in [a,b]$ for each $p_0 \in [a,b]$. If $|g'(p)| \neq 0$, then the fixed-point iteration converges to p with order $\alpha = 1$ and asymptotic constant $\lambda = |g'(p)|$. If |g'(p)| = 0, then $\alpha > 1$.

Newton-Raphson method.

Assume $f \in C^1[a,b]$, a root $\in [a,b]$ of f exists and $f'(x) \neq 0$ on [a,b]. Then the Newton-Raphson method produces a sequence $\{p_n\}$ that could converge to a zero p of f. Algorithm:

- 1. Set n = 0 and choose p_0 .
- 2. For n = 0, 1, 2, 3, ...:
 - (a) $p_{n+1} = p_n \frac{f(p_n)}{f'(p_n)}$
 - (b) If converged, stop.

Assume $f \in C^2[a,b]$ and that f has a zero $p \in [a,b]$ with $f'(p) \neq 0$. Then there is a $\delta > 0$ such that the Newton-Raphson method produces a sequence $\{p_n\}$ that converges to p for any $p_0 \in [p-\delta,p+\delta]$ with order $\alpha=2$ and asymptotic constant $\lambda = \left|\frac{f''(p)}{2f'(n)}\right|$.

Newton-Raphson method variants.

If $f'(p_n)$ is unknown or unavailable in $p_{n+1} = p_n - \frac{f(p_n)}{f'(p_n)}$ the following variants can be used:

quasi-Newton method $f'(p_n) = \frac{f(p_n + h) - f(p_n)}{h}$ with h > 0 small

secant method $f'(p_n) = \frac{f(p_n) - f(p_{n-1})}{p_n - p_{n-1}}$

Regula-Falsi method Use the Bisection method with the change

$$p_n = a_n - f(a_n) \frac{b_n - a_n}{f(b_n) - f(a_n)}$$

Systems of non-linear equations.

A system of non-linear equations is a system of the form

 $\text{fixed-point form} \begin{array}{l} \left\{ \begin{aligned} g_1(x_1,\dots,x_m) &= x_1, \\ g_2(x_1,\dots,x_m) &= x_2, \\ &\vdots \\ g_m(x_1,\dots,x_m) &= x_m, \end{aligned} \right.$

or of the form

 $\text{general form} \begin{array}{ll} \begin{cases} f_1(x_1,\ldots,x_m) &= 0,\\ f_2(x_1,\ldots,x_m) &= 0,\\ &\vdots\\ f_m(x_1,\ldots,x_m) &= 0. \end{cases}$

In vector notation:

$$\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} \text{ or } \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Fixed-point iteration for systems.

Assume $\mathbf{g} \in C(\mathbb{R}^m)$. Then the fixed-point iteration produces a sequence $\{\mathbf{p}^{(n)}\}$ that could converge to a fixed point \mathbf{p} of \mathbf{g} . Algorithm:

- 1. Set n=0 and pick $\mathbf{p}^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^m$.
- 2. For n = 0, 1, 2, 3, ...:
 - (a) $\mathbf{p}^{(n+1)} = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{p}^{(n)})$
 - (b) If converged, stop.

Newton-Raphson method for systems.

Assume $\mathbf{f} \in C(\mathbb{R}^m)$ and a root \mathbf{p} of \mathbf{f} exists. Then the Newton-Raphson method produces a sequence $\{\mathbf{p}^{(n)}\}$ that could converge to a zero \mathbf{p} of \mathbf{f} . Algorithm:

- 1. Set n = 0 and choose $\mathbf{p}^{(0)}$.
- 2. For n = 0, 1, 2, 3, ...:
 - (a) Solve for $\mathbf{s}^{(n)}$: $J(\mathbf{p}^{(n)})\mathbf{s}^{(n)} = -\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{p}^{(n)})$.
 - (b) $\mathbf{p}^{(n+1)} = \mathbf{p}^{(n)} + \mathbf{s}^{(n)}$
 - (c) If converged, stop.

Initial-and-boundary-value problems.

An initial-and-boundary-value problem in the function y = y(x, t) is a problem of the form

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial y}{\partial t} = k(x,t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(p(x,t) \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \right) - r(x,t) \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} - q(x,t) y + f(x,t), & L \leq x \leq K, \\ y(L,t) + p(L,t) \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = c_L(t), & t \geq t_0, \\ y(K,t) + p(K,t) \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = c_K(t), & t \geq t_0, \\ y(X,0) = y_0(x), & L \leq x \leq K. \end{cases}$$

Semi-discretisation.

A semi-discretisation of an initial-and-boundary-value problem is a system of the form

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\mathrm{d}t} = K\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{r}(t), t \ge t_0. \\ \mathbf{u}(t_0) = \mathbf{y}_0, \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}(t)$ is a vector containing the approximations $u_i(t)$ of $y(x_i,t)$. The semi-discretisation is obtained by applying the finite-difference method to the problem in the spatial coordinate.

Any time-integration method above can be applied to the semi-discretisation. We use \mathbf{w}^j as the approximation of $\mathbf{u}(t_j)$. The *i*-th component of \mathbf{w}^j , w_i^j , is an approximation of $u_i(t_j)$ and therefore an approximation of $y(x_i, t_i)$.

The local truncation error is defined as

$$\tau^{j+1} = \frac{\mathbf{y}^{j+1} - \mathbf{z}^{j+1}}{\Delta t},$$

where $y_i^{j+1} = y(x_i, t_j)$ and \mathbf{z}^{j+1} is the result of applying one time step with $\mathbf{w}^j = \mathbf{y}^j$.