



MIDDLE EAST TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY

DEPARTMENT OF
ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

EE493 ENGINEERING DESIGN I

Car Chasing Robot Conceptual Design Report

Supervisor: Assoc. Prof. Emre Özkan
METU EE / C-112

Project Start: 4/10/2018
Project End: 26/5/2019
Project Budget: \$450

Company Name : Duayenler Ltd. Şti.

Members	Title	ID	Phone
Sarper Sertel	Electronics Engineer	2094449	0542 515 6039
Enes Taştan	Hardware Design Engineer	2068989	0543 683 4336
Erdem Tuna	Embedded Systems Engineer	2617419	0535 256 3320
Halil Temurtas	Control Engineer	2094522	0531 632 2194
İlker Sağlk	Software Engineer	2094423	0541 722 9573

May 10, 2019

Contents

1 Executive Summary	3
2 Introduction	4
3 Design Description	5
3.1 Sensing System	6
3.1.1 Lane Detection Subsystem	6
3.1.2 Vehicle Detection Subsystem	8
3.2 Computation System	9
3.2.1 Data Processing Subsystem	9
3.2.2 PID Controller Subsystem	14
3.3 Communication System	19
3.3.1 Internal Communication Subsystem	20
3.3.2 External Communication Subsystem	21
3.4 Driving System	23
3.4.1 Direction Subsystem	24
3.4.2 Speed Subsystem	24
3.5 Motion System	25
3.5.1 Wheels Subsystem	26
3.5.2 Motors Subsystem	26
3.6 Structure System	26
3.6.1 Chassis Subsystem	27
3.6.2 Printed Circuit Board Subsystem	27
3.7 Compatibility of the Subsystems	28
4 Detailed Tests for the Subsystems	28
4.1 Lane Detection Subsystem Tests and Results	28
4.2 Vehicle Detection Subsystem Tests and Results	29
4.3 Data Processing Subsystem Tests and Results	31
4.4 PID Controller Subsystem Tests and Results	36
4.5 Internal Communication Subsystem Tests and Results	38
4.6 External Communication Subsystem Tests and Results	38
4.7 Direction Subsystem Tests and Results	40
4.8 Speed Subsystem Tests and Results	41
4.9 Wheels Subsystem Tests and Results	42
4.10 Motors Subsystem Tests and Results	42
4.11 Chassis Subsystem Tests and Results	42
4.12 Printed Circuit Board Subsystem Tests and Results	42
5 Budget	43
5.1 Actual Expenditures	43
5.2 Cost Analysis of Reproducible Product	43
6 Power Analysis	44

7	Deliverables	44
8	Discussions	44
8.1	Safety Issues	45
8.2	Application Areas	45
8.3	Environmental Effects	45
9	Conclusion	45
Appendix A USER MANUAL		46

1 Executive Summary

The developments in microelectronic industry and computer architecture brought the wind at their back to many industries, as automotive industry being one of them. With such advances in technology, automobile industry is trying to come up with different new technologies. Most of them being related the customer comfort, one technology differentiates from the rest, that is autonomous driving. Autonomous driving will not just provide comfort the people inside the car but it also will change the way traffic works nowadays. The vehicles will create a new network of traffic that will have no human judge on the flow. Autonomous driving will enable many new concepts, however, there are a lot of sub-technologies that must be used in coordination to come up with a clear cut autonomous driving. Some of them are vehicle vision, inter-vehicle communication, vehicle-human interaction, vehicle safety and so on. To address the needs in development of aforementioned technologies, DUAYENLER Ltd. Şti. (DUAYENLER) is founded. DUAYENLER aims to be one of the pioneers of the industry with its novel approaches.

The company consists of talented engineers from different fields, namely computer, electronics and control. DUAYENLER employs an inter-disciplinary work on research and development phase. Indeed, this allows DUAYENLER to develop complex but simple looking technologies. DUAYENLER is able to accomplish and complete the tasks with all its energy and willpower.

DUAYENLER has several focus points to develop an autonomous driving technology. These are, vehicle vision, inter-vehicle communication, vehicle control and physical design of the vehicle. Actually, those are the main building blocks of the main technology. Vehicle vision summarizes the concept of understanding path properties, that is to be able to extract and differentiate path and obstacles in every weather condition. Obviously, inter-vehicle communication provides cooperation environment with opponent vehicles and enables a traffic hierarchy. As the vehicle has path vision, the decision of steering must be made that brings the need for vehicle control. Being able to follow a path and to head towards bends are essential for a vehicle. Besides, the physical structure of the vehicle must be able to suit with the rest of the technologies. The height, the weight, the width of the vehicle, the chassis material choice and additional implementation-specific features are handled in physical design. This structure and combination of the systems provide suitable environment for DUAYENLER to develop autonomous driving technology.

This report gives the reader a solid view and understanding of the project. Detailed design considerations with technical details and test results do not leave any vague point on the implementation. The duration of the project is 33 weeks, from the beginning of October 2018 to the second week of May 2019. The total cost is calculated to be [WRITE HERE ACTUAL COST VALUE], whereas cost to produce the commercial vehicle is [WRITE HERE ONLY PRODUCTION VALUE]. Along with the vehicle, the customer is provided with user manual, elliptical path, rechargeable batter and the charger. The vehicle has two (2) years of warranty.

DON'T
FOR-
GET
THESE
VAL-
UES

2 Introduction

3 Design Description

The ultimate objective of the project is to design and manufacture a self driving vehicle. The vehicle meets certain criteria that are defined in Standard Committee Report. The project is composed of six main systems together with twelve subsystems. The overall top-down organization of the project is shown in *Figure 1*.




Figure 1: Organization of the Project

V-Model provides a tool for companies to structure and track their product development processes. DUAYENLER constructed V-Model for the project as shown in *Figure 2*.

This section includes finalized system and subsystem level design descriptions. The ultimate algorithms, calculations, theoretical approaches and diagrams are presented in the related subsections.




Figure 2: V-Model

THIS
PART
IS
REWRIT-
TEN
FOR
FI-
NAL
RE-
PORT.

3.1 Sensing System

This system is responsible for interpreting data from the environment. And the requirements for this system are as follows;

1. The system should detect the sides of the road.
2. The system should not be effected from external disturbances.
3. The system should detect the opponent vehicle.

The system has two subsystems namely,

1. **Lane Detection Subsystem** which is responsible for detecting sides of the path as its name suggests
2. **Vehicle Detection Subsystem** which is responsible for detecting opponent vehicle if it is close to the vehicle more than 5 cm

3.1.1 Lane Detection Subsystem

A. Requirements for the Solution

- 1) The subsystem should be able to detect only the shades of green color.
- 2) The subsystem should be able to detect edges in the camera frame in any light condition.
- 3) The subsystem should be able to extract lane lines out of captured frame.

B. Solution for the Subsystem

The task of the subsystem is to detect the lane lines. The tool utilized to realize the task is OpenCV libraries together with developed pipelined algorithm. The block diagram of the subsystem is given in *Figure 3*.




Figure 3: Block Diagram of the Lane Detection Subsystem

THIS
PART
IS
REWRIT-
EN
FOR
FI-
NAL
RE-
PORT.



Figure 4: Explanation of Frame Regions

The input to this subsystem is provided by Raspberry Pi camera mounted on top of the vehicle. The camera frame resolution is 640x480 px. One thing to note for the camera frame is that horizontal mapping and the vertical mapping of the pixels are not the same. That is, same amount of pixels in both directions do not correspond to same length in real life. The proposed solution first masks out a region of interest (ROI) of 640x200px. The visual explanation of the frame sections is provided in *Figure 4*. The masking eliminates the process of excessive data and increases the process speed of the pipeline. Formerly, ROI size was fixed in 640x200px. However, when the opponent vehicle starts to appear in ROI, this was causing wrong line detection in the subsystem. To eliminate such behaviour, adaptive ROI is implemented to avoid such improper situations. Adaptive ROI determines ROI size dynamically with the front distance sensor measurement provided by Vehicle Detection Subsystem and scales ROI size (only in vertical direction) with a linear function. The equations that define the height of ROI are as follows:

$$ROI_Width = 640px \quad (1)$$


$$ROI_Height = (ROI_High - ROI_Low)px \quad (2)$$

$$ROI_Low = 25px \quad (3)$$

$$ROI_High = \begin{cases} 225, & front_distance \geq 13cm \\ 14 * (front_distance) + 43, & 3 \leq front_distance < 13cm \\ 10 * (front_distance) + 55, & front_distance \leq 3cm \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

The graphical representation of the equations are given in *Figure 5*

As the next step of the processing pipeline, the target color green is filtered by applying Gaussian denoise (with zero mean) and HSV filters. The lower bound for HSV filter is [H=60, S=120, V=106] and the upper bound is [H=82, S=255, V=245]. This process sets the pixels that are in the green threshold to white and the rest to black. Next, the edges are detected by Canny edge detector. As edges are found, the pixels that may constitute a line are found by Hough line detector. The resulting output is an array of coordinates in the form of $[x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2]$ where



(a) Graph of ROI_High vs front_distance

(b) ROI_Height Variation

Figure 5: Graphs Defining ROI_Height

(x_1, y_1) is the starting point of the line and (x_2, y_2) is the end point of the line. The found coordinate array is passed to Data Processing Subsystem.

C. Discussions on the Solution

The main structure of the proposed solution has not changed since Conceptual Design Review Report. An addition to process pipeline is introducing adaptive ROI. This is done to be able to follow opponent vehicle on the back.

For this subsystem to be stable, HSV filter must produce a clean filtered result. The filter is responsive as long as it is tuned according to light condition.

3.1.2 Vehicle Detection Subsystem

1. Requirements for the Solution

- (a) The subsystem should detect the opponent to be caught with in a 5 cm
- (b) The subsystem should detect the chasing opponent if it reaches from back with in a 5 cm
- (c) The subsystem should trigger the handshake protocol

2. Solution for the Subsystem

The subsystem is the first step of safely competing with an opponent in a racing path. This subsystem uses two time of flight distance sensors, called vl6180x. Time of flight sensors are enhanced IR sensors. One at the back of the vehicle is responsible for detecting the chasing opponent and one at the front of the vehicle is responsible for detecting the chased opponent. The sensors use I2C protocol. The serial data coming from sensors is between 0 and 255, which corresponds to the reading in terms of millimeters. That is, vl6180x can make measurements up to 25.5 cm. Since sensor reading is performed using Raspberry Pi, the required trigger for handshake protocol can be easily accessed by the external communication subsystem.

Note that, having 2 sensors means that there are 2 I2C slaves. In I2C, master device sends data to slaves according to their addresses. The main problem emerging from

THIS
PART
IS
REWRIT-
TEN
FOR
FI-
NAL
RE-
PORT.

this is that if two sensors have the same address, reading sensors is not possible. Therefore, the addresses of the sensors must be changed. However, there is also another problem. Changing address is also done by sending serial data to sensors. If both sensors are connected at the same time to Raspberry Pi, changing addresses is not possible because there are still 2 devices with same addresses. To solve this issue, the chip enable(CE) inputs of the sensors is used. Firstly, only one device is activated by applying high to its CE input pin. After changing its address, other sensor's CE pin can be safely made high. By doing that, two I2C devices with different addresses are obtained. From this point on, sensors can be read successfully.

3. Discussions on the Solution

The proposed method is not changed after Critical Design Review Report. Changing I2C slave addresses is done to successfully use both sensors. Also, the sensor readings are integrated to handshake code.

3.2 Computation System

This system is responsible for computational works of the vehicle. The system mainly give meaning to data generated by the sensing system. The requirements for this system are as follows:

- The system should be able to produce middle line to follow
- The system should be able to control the robot

The system has two subsystems namely,

1. **Data Processing Subsystem** is responsible for processing the output data of lane detection unit and produce data for PID control unit.
2. **PID Controller Subsystem** is responsible for controlling the motors of the vehicle.

3.2.1 Data Processing Subsystem

A. Requirements for the Solution

- 1) The subsystem should be able to analyze data produced by Sensing System.
- 2) The subsystem should be able to produce the angle information that is sent to the Controller Subsystem.
- 3) The subsystem should be compatible with Raspberry Pi.
- 4) The subsystem should be able to process one frame at most in 100 milliseconds together with Lane Detection Subsystem.

THIS
PART
IS
REWRIT-
TEN
FOR
FI-
NAL
RE-
PORT.

THIS
PART
IS
REWRIT-
TEN
FOR
FI-
NAL
RE-
PORT.




Figure 6: Block Diagram of the Data Processing Subsystem

- 5) The subsystem should be able to ignore disturbances on the path.

B. Solution for the Subsystem

The task of this subsystem is to extract control parameters so that the vehicle can follow the path without falling on the ground. The input of the subsystem is the line coordinate array produced by Lane Detection Subsystem. The input is processed by a detailed algorithm and the outputs are lane angle and distance of vehicle to the right lane. The output parameters are explained in 3.2.2. The outputs are generated for the region of target (ROT) that is shown in *Figure 4*. The Lane Detection Subsystem extracted ROI out of full camera frame. The Data Processing Subsystem processes the data in the ROI but produces output for the ROT. The reason for such a distinction between frame regions is that, ROI is good to determine possible obstacles on the path but producing control outputs that are almost 12 cm away from the vehicle would decrease the performance of the PID Controller Subsystem. For this reason ROI is not used, instead ROT is used. As ROI is adaptive, ROT must also be adaptive to stay in ROI region. The equations that define the ROT are as below. The graphical representation of the equations are given in *Figure 7*.

$$ROT_Width = 640px \quad (5)$$

$$ROT_Height = (ROT_High - ROT_Low)px \quad (6)$$

$$ROT_Low = 50px \quad (7)$$

$$ROT_High = \begin{cases} 175, & front_distance \geq 13cm \\ 10 * (front_distance) + 45, & 3 \leq front_distance < 13cm \\ 21 * (front_distance) + 12, & front_distance \leq 3cm \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

There are four main steps to determine lane angle and distance of vehicle to the right lane. The first step is to classify the lines as left and right. The second step is to eliminate the possible incorrect lines, if any. The third step is to fit the best lines through the left and right lines and reduce the total number of lines to two that are left and right lane lines. The last step is to find control outputs. The block diagram of the subsystem is given in *Figure 6*.




Figure 7: Graphs Defining ROT_Height

Classifying a line as left or right requires the knowledge of the center of the path. If a pixel is part of the path, it is indicated by pixel value 255, that is result of HSV filtering. So, the path is constructed by white pixels. Analogously, if white pixels on a column is counted, that counts yield an information regarding the start and end points of the path. The explanation will be made based on an example frame (with ROI masked) as in *Figure 8*. Obviously, the white pixel count through every column in the arrow directions between red bars is 0. However, from red bars to yellow bars, white pixel count in columns starts to increase. The maximum pixel count in a column is known from ROI equations. If the column indices that are close to maximum pixel count in a column can be determined, then the right and left bounds of the path are found. Then, the center of the image is simply $(right_bound + left_bound)/2$. *Algorithm 1*. As the center of the image is found, lines can be separated as left or right according to their coordinates.




Figure 8: Finding Center of the Path

The next step is to determine whether there are disturbances on the lane lines or not. This is the most complex part of the Data Processing subsystem. Actually the correctness of the steering angle depends on how successful this step is realized. The idea behind this step is evaluating the slopes consecutive lines and assessing

Algorithm 1: Finding Image Center

```

whitePixels[640]
confidenceCount = 190 //thresholdPixelCount
for Every Row i do
    for Every Column j do
        if frame[i][j] == 255 then
            whitePixels[j] ++
for Elements of whitePixels from left to right do
    Find the first index that has white pixel count greater than confidenceCount;
    That index is left_bound;
for Elements of whitePixels from right to left do
    Find the first index that has white pixel count greater than
        confidenceCount; That index is right_bound;
image_center = (left_bound + right_bound)/2

```




Figure 9: A Sample Scenario on Eliminating Incorrect Lines

whether change in the slope is ordinary or abnormal. The *Figure 9* exemplifies a possible scenario. In this figure, the blue lines represent the detected lines in ROI whereas α and β represent the slopes of the detected lines. Clearly, there are no disturbance on left lines since α values are similar to each other. However if β values are observed, possibly there is an obstacle on right line covered by β_3 , β_4 and β_5 . This can be concluded by observing slope differences $(\beta_2 - \beta_3)$ and $(\beta_5 - \beta_6)$. To ignore this obstacle, it is enough to remove lines with slopes β_3 , β_4 and β_5 as in *Figure 9b*. Even though the count of lines is decreased, elimination of incorrect lines are realized and the best line fit will be more correct. Another scenario is shown in *Figure 10*. Again the shown lines are the ones in ROI. In this scenario, left line has no problems. Right lines, however, a bit problematic. The problem is revealed when $(\beta_3 - \beta_4)$ is observed. To determine whether $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3)$ or (β_4, β_5) is the correct set of lines, left lines are observed and the set which is




Figure 10: Another Scenario on Eliminating Incorrect Lines

more symmetric to left lines are selected as right lines. The resulting correction is shown in *Figure 10b*. This is the basic idea behind eliminating incorrect lines in Data Processing subsystem. This idea is generalized by considering other possible obstacle types and shapes. The generalized idea is complicated and would take too long to present here. The summarized idea is presented in *Algorithm 2*.

The third step is to fit best lines through the remaining lines. This is realized by using built-in Least-Squares method. As a result of this step, the number of lines is dropped to two as left line and right line.

The last step is to find the steering angle. The target point is determined as the average of the middle points left and right lines. So the target point is always in the form of $(x_{avg}, 305)$. The y-coordinate is found by simple math (referencing from *Figure ??*) $480px - 50px - 125px$. The current point of the vehicle is always $(320, 480)$. So the line connecting two points to each other constitutes the track path and the \arctan of the slope gives the steering angle. Steering angle is in the $[-90, 90]$ range where negative values indicate to turn left and positive values indicate to turn right. This output is sent to PID Controller subsystem.

C. Discussions on the Solution

The proposed algorithm is mostly the same as in Conceptual Design Review Report. An improvement is made on the way algorithm determines the center of the image. With this new approach, image center is always determined correctly. Line classification and obstacle elimination show satisfactory results regarding robustness.

Algorithm 2: Line Elimination Algorithm

```
array[n]lines
array[n]line_slope_angles
array[n - 1]slope_angle_differences
slopeAngle_threshold
slopeAngle_difference_threshold
for slope_angle_differences do
    if kth item > slopeAngle_difference_threshold then
        // Check kth and (k + 1)th items in line_slope_angles array
        if kth item in line_slope_angles array > slopeAngle_threshold then
            Mark index k in lines array problematic
        else if (k + 1)th item in line_slope_angles array > slopeAngle_threshold
            then
                Mark index (k + 1) in lines array problematic
Delete the lines between problematic indexes
```

3.2.2 PID Controller Subsystem

1. Requirements for the Solution
 - (a) The subsystem should be able to control the motors
 - (b) The subsystem should be able to react the external disturbances
2. Solution for the Subsystem

PID Controller Subsection is the main subsystem of the vehicle whose responsibility is keeping the vehicle at the middle of the path it is following. To achieve this task, this subsystem includes a PID controller for the lateral movement of the vehicle. As the achieved purpose is to stay in the middle of the lane, this subsystem creates a PWM differences between motors in order to rotate the vehicle via differential drive.

For that purpose, the *Data Processing Subsystem* produces the necessary feed-back elements for this subsystem. For the control purpose, in ideal circumstances data processing unit determines eight main point on its vision to create processed variables as in *Figure 11*. These can be explained namely as;

- **A1 & A2:** Beginning and end points of left line at ROT (Region of Target).
- **B1 & B2:** Beginning and end points of right line at ROT.
- **Image Center Back (ICB):** Beginning point of our heading line in ROT.
- **Image Center Front (ICF):** End point of our heading line in ROT.
- **Lane Center Back (LCB):** The middle point of the lane at the starting of the ROT. Can be found by averaging *A1 & B1*.

This
sub-
sub-
sec-
tion
was
rewrit-
ten
for
final
re-
port

- **Lane Center Front (LCF):** The middle point of the lane at the end of the ROT. Can be found by averaging $A2$ & $B2$.




Figure 11: Controlled Variables of the System

By utilizing these points and their coordinates, the data processing can produce four main variables that can be used for PID controller and speed subsystems. These are;

- α : The angle between the current direction of the vehicle and the direction the vehicle should follow in order to arrive at point **LCF**. It is a main controlled variable for lateral position control with angle variable.
- β : The angle of the line that connects the points **LCB** and **LCF**. It represents the angle of the lane, and it can be used for longitudinal movement control in speed subsystem.
- y_1 : The instantaneous distance error of the vehicle from the center line. It can be calculated by subtracting the x-coordinate of **LCB** from the x-coordinate of **ICB**. Due to delays in the system, it is not fed to controller. However, it is a quite useful variable for observing the system.
- y_2 : The expected distance error of the vehicle from the center line at the end of ROT. It can be calculated by subtracting the x-coordinate of **LCF** from the x-coordinate of **ICF**. This results in a distance in a scale of pixels, to convert this to a distance in centimeter, the error can be multiplied by a constant. It is a main controlled variable for lateral position control with distance variable.

In our application, we have decided to use distance variable y_1 and angle variable for control purposes. The main purpose of the PID Subsystem is to compensate the lateral distance error of the vehicle, i.e., staying exactly on the center lane. In our control design, we have decided to use y_1 as control signal and, zero as reference signal. Basic block diagram can be seen at *Figure 31*. The output of this

PID controller then send to the DC motors as PWM difference. The actual base PWM is produced by the Speed Subsystem.




Figure 12: Block Diagram of the Project and the Interaction of the Subsystems

Pulse Transfer Function of a Digital PID Controller

However, since the controller operates on the microcontroller, thereby, on discrete-time domain. We firstly find its pulse transfer function and transferred it to discrete-time domain. General PID controller can be expressed in *Laplace* domain as

$$G_c(s) = K_c \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tau_I s} + \tau_d s \right)$$

Discretization of I Controller

Casual discrete time approximation of the I-Controller can be written as;

$$y[k] = y[k-1] + \frac{u[k] + u[k-1]}{2} * T_s$$

Where $y[k]$ is output and $u[k]$ is the output. Taking its Z-transform, the pulse transfer function becomes,

$$Y(z) = Y(z)z^{-1} = \frac{T_s}{2} (U(z) + U(z) * z^{-1})$$

$$G_I(z) = \frac{Y(z)}{U(z)} = \frac{T_s(1 + Z^{-1})}{2(1 + Z^{-1})}$$

Discretization of D Controller

Casual discrete time approximation of the D-Controller can be written as;

$$y[k] = \frac{e[k] - e[k-1]}{T_s}$$

Where $y[k]$ is output and $e[k]$ is the error input. Taking its Z-transform, the pulse transfer function becomes,

$$Y(z) = Y(z)z^{-1} = \frac{T_s}{2} (E(z) + E(z)z^{-1})$$

$$G_D(z) = \frac{Y(z)}{E(z)} = \frac{(1 - Z^{-1})}{T_s}$$

Therefore pulse transfer function of the PID controller becomes,

$$G_{PID}(z) = K_p + \frac{T_s(1 + Z^{-1})}{2(1 + Z^{-1})} + \frac{(1 - Z^{-1})}{T_s}$$

$$G_{PID}(z) = \frac{K_p(1 - z^1) + K_i \frac{T_s(1+z^{-1})}{2} + K_d(1 - 2z^{-1} + z^{-2})}{1 - z^{-1}}$$

Implementation of the PID Controller

$$\frac{Y(z)}{E(z)} = \frac{K_p(1 - z^1) + K_i \frac{T_s(1+z^{-1})}{2} + \frac{K_d}{T_s}(1 - 2z^{-1} + z^{-2})}{1 - z^{-1}}$$

$$Y(z) - z^{-1}Y(z) = K_pE(z) - K_pz^{-1}E(z) + \frac{K_i T_s}{2}E(z) + \frac{K_i T_s}{2}E(Z)z^{-1}..$$

$$+ \frac{K_d}{T_s}E(z) - 2z^{-1}E(z) + \frac{K_d}{T_s}z^{-2}E(z)$$

$$y[k] - y[k-1] = K_p e[k] - K_p e[k-1] + \frac{K_i T_s}{2} + K_i T_s e[k-1]/2 + \frac{K_d}{T_s} e[k] - 2e[k-1] \frac{K_d}{T_s} + \frac{K_d}{T_s} e[k-2]$$

$$y[k] - y[k-1] = (K_p + \frac{K_i T_s}{2} + K_d)e[k] + (-K_p + \frac{K_i T_s}{2} - 2\frac{K_d}{T_s})e[k-1] + (\frac{K_d}{T_s})e[k-2]$$

If we add all past terms together to find $y[k]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
y[k] - y[k-1] &= (K_p + \frac{K_i T_s}{2} + \frac{K_d}{T_s})e[k] + (-K_p + \frac{K_i T_s}{2} - 2\frac{K_d}{T_s})e[k-1].. \\
&\quad +(K_d)e[k-2] \\
y[k-1] - y[k-2] &= (K_p + \frac{K_i T_s}{2} + \frac{K_d}{T_s})e[k-1] + (-K_p + \frac{K_i T_s}{2} - 2\frac{K_d}{T_s})e[k-2].. \\
&\quad +(K_d)e[k-3] \\
y[k-2] - y[k-1] &= (K_p + \frac{K_i T_s}{2} + \frac{K_d}{T_s})e[k-2] + (-K_p + \frac{K_i T_s}{2} - 2\frac{K_d}{T_s})e[k-3].. \\
&\quad +(K_d)e[k-4] \\
&\dots \\
&\dots \\
y[k-n] - y[k-n-1] &= (K_p + \frac{K_i T_s}{2} + \frac{K_d}{T_s})e[k-n] + (-K_p + \frac{K_i T_s}{2} - 2\frac{K_d}{T_s})e[k-n-1].. \\
&\quad +(K_d)e[k-n-2] \\
y[k] - y[k-n-1] &= (K_p + \frac{K_i T_s}{2} + \frac{K_d}{T_s})e[k] + (K_i T_s - \frac{K_d}{T_s})e[k-1] + (K_i T_s)e[k-2].. \\
&\quad +(K_i T_s)e[k-2].... + (K_i T_s)e[k-n-1]
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore;

$$y[k] = y[k-n-1] + K_p(e[k]) + K_d(\frac{e[k] - e[k-1]}{T_s}) + K_i(T_s \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} e[k-i])$$

This equation was utilized on Arduino microcontroller considering the past three errors for the integral term.

Sampling Time Matching and Controller Output Limit

In our implementation, the sampling time of the plant, i.e., the processing time in which the Raspberry Pi processes each picture frame is approximately 54 miliseconds, however, the sampling time of each Arduino loop is very small in comparison to our sampling time. To handle this problem, we implemented delay function of the Arduino to match the sampling times.

A saturation limit is also present to keep the overall PWM signal send to the DC motors within a 0-255 PWM range to prevent oscillation. Another saturation limit also controls the output of the controller subsystem to avoid any undesired errors that might happen at the video processing.

Overall PID algorithm can be investigated at *Algorithm 4*.

Algorithm 3: PID Controller Algorithm

```
maxSum // Integral Wind-up term
Ts // Sampling time
// Update of past error array
for int i = pastSize - 1; i > 0; i- do
    pastError[i] = pastError[i - 1]
pastError[0] = error
// Calculation of Derivative Term
delta = (pastError[0] - pastError[1]) / Ts
// Calculation of Integral term
sum = min(max(sum + (pastError[0] + pastError[1] + pastError[2]) / 3 * Ts,
-1 * maxSum), maxSum)
// Calculation of PID output
motorCmd = int(Kp * error + Kd * delta + Ki * sum) motorCmd =
min(motorCmd,Max_Delta_PWM) delay(Ts-duration) // duration:duration of
each Arduino loop
```

3. Discussions on the Solution

The proposed algorithm lacks the modelling of the system, thus, tuning of the PID parameters were handled by try and error. The state-space model of the plant will further be investigated under the term project of the another undergraduate course of our department, EE498:CONTROL SYSTEM DESIGN AND SIMULATION. However course, it was not included due to its incompleteness.

Other than the modelling part, the proposed methods are same as the ones proposed in the conceptual design review report. However, due to unidealities in the motors, the controller tuned for the CCW movement failed to pass the test proposed under *Section 4.4*, therefore, the PID parameters and the base speed parameters were separated in order to have same performance at both sides. To ensure the proper operation following algorithm is utilized.

3.3 Communication System

1. The subsystem should ensure safe internal communication
2. The subsystem should ensure safe external communication

The system has two subsystems namely, ,

1. **Internal Communication Subsystem** which is responsible for communication inside the vehicle mainly the communication between Raspberry Pi and Arduino.
2. **External Communication Subsystem** which is responsible for the communication of the vehicle with the outside world mainly with the opponents.

Algorithm 4: PID Controller Algorithm

```
// Update pastYon array
for int i = yonSize - 1 ⇒ 0 do
    pastyon[i] = pastyon[i - 1]
 $pastyon[0]=yon$ 
 $yonSum=0$ 
for int k = 0; k < (yonSize-1) ; k++ do
    yonSum = yonSum + pastyon[k]
 $yonAvg=yonSum/yonSize$ 
if yonAvg > 0.5 then
    yonFin = 0
else
    yonFin = 1
```

3.3.1 Internal Communication Subsystem

1. Requirements for the Solution

- (a) The microcontrollers should be able to communicate with each other via serial communication
- (b) The internal communication speed should be compatible with the processing speed of the lane detection subsystem

2. Solution for the Subsystem

- (a) Arduino should be connected to the Pi.
- (b) Using Arduino IDE or any other method such as listing serial ports and checking for Arduino and so on, the serial port name should be detected
- (c) Baud rates of two sides should be the same. 9600 is generally enough but if needed, it can be incremented to satisfy fast communication rate.
- (d) On Arduino side, `Serial.begin(9600)` command should be executed and serial port should be read repeatedly to capture the incoming data
- (e) On Pi side, using C++ messages can be send to serial port

Script 1: C++ class for serial communication

```
1 void ArduinoComm::sendToController( std :: string payload ) {
2 *****
3 int serialDeviceId = 0;
4 serialDeviceId = serialOpen( "/dev/ttyACM0" , 9600 );
5 std :: cout << "sender " << serialDeviceId << std :: endl ;
6 if (serialDeviceId == -1) {
7 std :: cout << "Unable to open serial device" << std :: endl ;
8 return;
```

```

9  }
10 if (wiringPiSetup() == -1) {
11 return;
12 }
13 serialPuts(serialDeviceId, payload.c_str());
14 return;
15 }
```

3. Discussions on the Solution

3.3.2 External Communication Subsystem

1. Requirements for the Solution

- (a) The subsystem should be able to communicate with the opponent via P2P Wi-Fi protocol
- (b) The subsystem should start race with the 3-way handshake mechanism required for establishment of TCP connection
- (c) Similarly, the subsystem should be able to trigger similar handshake mechanism during the race, which is referred as the main handshake mechanism throughout this report
- (d) For the second handshaking, the subsystem should be able to get the sensor data from vehicle detection subsystem to send messages
- (e) LEDs with corresponding colors should be used to identify and display the messages that are sent

2. Solution for the Subsystem

The main solution for this subsystem is setting the device as a P2P host. Sockets are used to send or receive messages. Since the host can act as a server as well as a client, both socket functions are used to establish communication. The subsystem requires opponent ID and host type(client/server) from the user and sensor readings from the vehicle detection subsystem. It can initiate and terminate other subsystems when necessary.

The first step of the game is setting up a Wireless Ad-Hoc Network. The fact that which side will provide the network is decided according to the the agreement with the opponent. After that decision, by changing the Pi's configuration files accordingly, one side sets up the network and the other connects to it after rebooting.

To implement the communication, the socket data structures are created in both server and client sides. At the beginning of the race, the peer acting as a server listens to the port 5000, which is specified in Standard Committee. Then, the connect() function is called in the client side, so that the request is sent. At the

THIS
PART
IS
REWRIT-
TEN
FOR
FI-
NAL
RE-
PORT.

same time, server side acknowledges the request by means of accept() function. At this moment, client side also sends acknowledgement by default, hence race starts. The peers are connected during the race. This mechanism is common in every protocol that utilizes TCP, and called 3-way handshake.

The process for the finishing handshake also utilizes socket functions, but the process is a little bit different. For the win case, vehicle detection subsystem (front sensor) initiates the handshake. A catch message (ID00) is sent to the opponent. If acknowledgment is taken from the opponent, stop message (ID10) is sent. On the other hand, for the defeat case, a catch message is received by the opponent. Then, vehicle detection subsystem is called. According to the returned value from the back sensor, the acknowledge (ID01) or reject (ID11) are sent. Also, LEDs corresponding to messages are lit for the finishing handshake.




Figure 13: ASM Chart of the Algorithm of the External Communication Subsystem for Client Side

The ASM chart describing the algorithm of the external communication subsystem can be seen in *Figure 14* and *Figure 13*, for client and server sides, respectively. The fact that which team will be server or client is decided with the agreement between the teams before the race. Notice that, the only difference between client and server codes is the TCP connection parts before starting the race.




Figure 14: ASM Chart of the Algorithm of the External Communication Subsystem for Server Side

In the simplest form, there are two repeated actions inside the main loop. Firstly, front sensor is read. Secondly, device waits to receive message before timeout occurs. Setting proper timeout value is a little bit tricky. It is done according to the tests conducted with the opponents. The details of it can be found in the "External Communication Subsystem Tests and Results" section.

3. Discussions on the Solution

The proposed method is not changed after the Critical Design Review Report. In the previous report, creating an ad-hoc wifi is proposed, but was not implemented. Implementation has been done by making appropriate changes in Pi's configuration files. The code was previously written in Python for simplicity. To unite it with image processing code, it is rewritten in C++. Besides, improvements such as adding proper timeout value and LED integration is made.

3.4 Driving System

1. The subsystem should control motion subsystem according to output of the computation system

The system has two subsystems namely,

1. **Direction Subsystem** which is responsible for the orientation of the vehicle and keeps the road and the vehicle aligned.
2. **Speed Subsystem** which is responsible for the overall speed of the vehicle by adjusting it considering other effects on the vehicle.

3.4.1 Direction Subsystem

1. Requirements for the Solution

- (a) The subsystem should drive the motors according to computation system outputs
- (b) The system should ensure that the vehicle follows the lane

2. Solution for the Subsystem

- PWM Offset Value that determines the speed of the vehicle at longitudinal movement. This data is acquired from the **Speed Subsystem**.
- PWM Difference Value that determines the speed difference between the two motors. This difference helps the vehicle in lateral movement. This data is acquired from the **PID Controller Subsystem**.

3. Discussions on the Solution

3.4.2 Speed Subsystem

1. Requirements for the Solution

- (a) The subsystem should decrease the vehicle speed at the narrow lane
- (b) The subsystem should increase the vehicle speed at the wide lane
- (c) The subsystem should decrease the vehicle speed at the extreme disturbance

2. Solution for the Subsystem

This subsystem is responsible for determining the base speed of the both DC motors. In order to do so, this subsystem produces a *PWM Offset* value that is sent to the *Direction Subsystem*. To produce this PWM value, the lane angle value β that was introduced in *Section 3.2.2*.

Main algorithm of this subsystem relies on the inverse ratio principle, that is, as the lane angle increases the base speed for the motors is decreased. This can be formalized as follows;

$$PWM\ Offset = K_{max} - K_1\beta$$

where K_{max} is the maximum PWM value the base PWM should reach as it is on the straight path, the value for K_{max} can be determined by try and error test.

However, it is also desired that the minimum PWM values shoul satisfy satisfying speed perfomance for the vehicle, therby a minimum PWM restrictions were put on this PWM calculation. It can be formulated as;

$$PWM\ Offset = \max\{K_{max} - K_1\beta, K_{min}\}$$

where K_{min} is the minimum allowable PWM value for the DC motors, and it can be found by try and error. Moreover, since the path has varying properties, it was desired to have K_{min} is also dependent on β value. The implemented algorithm can be summuried at *Algorithm 5* and the hypotatical β vs base PWM graph can be investigated at *Figure 15*.

Algorithm 5: Base Speed Algorithm

```

if  $\beta > \beta_1$  then
     $K_{min} = K_{min1}$ 
else
     $K_{min} = K_{min2}$ 
 $Base\_pwm = \max(K_{max} - K_1 * \beta, K_{min})$ 
```

3. Discussions on the Solution

3.5 Motion System

1. The system should ensure that the vehicle can drive itself with enough power.
1. **Wheels Subsystem** which is responsible for transferring power from motor shaft to road.
2. **Motors Subsystem** which is responsible for converting electrical power to mechanical power




Figure 15: Therotical Base Speed PWM vs Theoretical β Angle

3.5.1 Wheels Subsystem

1. Requirements for the Solution
 - (a) The subsystem should ensure that the wheels can grip lane without slipping in all conditions
2. Solution for the Subsystem
3. Discussions on the Solution

3.5.2 Motors Subsystem

1. Requirements for the Solution
 - (a) The subsystem should ensure that the motors can supply enough torque to accelerate the vehicle
 - (b) The subsystem should ensure that the motors can execute driving system outputs without deviation
2. Solution for the Subsystem
3. Discussions on the Solution

3.6 Structure System

1. The system should ensure that structure is robust for external effects
2. The system should ensure that structure is balanced

3. The system should ensure that vehicle has a good appearance

The system has two subsystems namely,

1. **Chassis Subsystem** which is responsible for the connections of mechanical components in the vehicle.
2. **Printed Circuit Board Subsystem** which is responsible for the placement of electrical components.

3.6.1 Chassis Subsystem

1. Requirements for the Solution

- (a) The subsystem should ensure that the chassis is rigid
- (b) The subsystem should ensure that the chassis have enough space for components
- (c) The subsystem should ensure that the chassis can provide low center of mass
- (d) Camera holder should be integrated to the front of the vehicle
- (e) Camera holder should be as rigid as possible to reduce the vibration on the camera
- (f) Camera holder should be light weight so that does not effect the center of mass considerably
- (g) Camera holder should be adjustable in terms both elevation and camera angle

2. Solution for the Subsystem




Figure 16: Motor holder 3D view

Current version of chassis can be seen in *Figure 17*.

3. Discussions on the Solution




Figure 17: Isometric view of the 3D Drawing of the Vehicle

3.6.2 Printed Circuit Board Subsystem

1. Requirements for the Solution

- (a) The subsystem should ensure that all the electronic components are placed on PCB
- (b) The subsystem should ensure that all the connections are firmly secured and robust to vibrations.

2. Solution for the Subsystem

3.7 Compatibility of the Subsystems

4 Detailed Tests for the Subsystems

This section presents followed test procedures and the outcome of the tests.

4.1 Lane Detection Subsystem Tests and Results

1. Light Condition Test

- (a) Mirror the Raspberry Pi screen into Laptop via VNC
- (b) Execute the lane detection algorithm in Raspberry Pi
- (c) Change the location of the camera and Pi to conduct test

THIS
PART
IS
REWRIT-
TEN
FOR
FI-
NAL
RE-
PORT.




Figure 18: Block Diagram of the Project and the Interaction of the Subsystems

- (d) Observe the results in different locations
 - (e) If the visible lane sides can be detected without any additional object, the result of the test can be considered as success.
2. Visual Disturbance Test
- (a) Mirror the Raspberry Pi screen into Laptop via VNC
 - (b) Execute the lane detection algorithm in Raspberry Pi
 - (c) Put different objects into lane
 - (d) Observe the results with different disturbances
 - (e) If the objects outside of lane is not detected and the objects inside the road only detected only at its border with road, the result of the test can be considered as success.
3. Results of Lane Detection Subsystem Tests

The lane detection tests are conducted to assess reliability of the detection pipeline. A sample test result is shown in *Figure 19*. The tests reveal that the subsystem satisfies its requirements by detecting lane lines. Note that, not all lines are detected, only the lines that are in the ROI are detected. One thing to note for the robustness of this subsystem is subsystem works as expected long as HSV filter is tuned for the medium.




Figure 19: Lane Detection Test Result

4.2 Vehicle Detection Subsystem Tests and Results

1. Vehicle Detection Test in Closed Environment with One Sensor:
 - (a) Make the connection of the desired sensor and Pi properly
 - (b) Hold the sensor at an angle of 90 degree with respect to ground
 - (c) Place the test object 5 cm in front of the desired
 - (d) Observe the output of the subsystem
 - (e) Repeat the step 3 & 4 with different distances
 - (f) If the output of the subsystem is very closed to the actual measurement (i.e., with an error rate approximately 1 percent) the test result can be considered as success.
2. Vehicle Detection Test in Closed Environment with Two Sensors:
 - (a) Repeat the test steps of the *Front Vehicle Detection Test in Closed Environment with One Sensor* when both sensors are connected to the rear of the vehicle.
3. Angled Approach Test:
 - (a) Make the connection of the desired sensor and Pi properly
 - (b) Hold the sensor at an angle of 90 degree with respect to ground

THIS
PART
IS
REWRIT-
TEN
FOR
FI-
NAL
RE-
PORT.

- (c) Place the test object 5 cm in front of the sensor with 30 degree angle with respect to the sensor
- (d) Observe the output of the subsystem
- (e) Repeat the step 3 & 4 with different distance and angle values
- (f) If the output of the subsystem is very closed to the actual measurement (i.e., with an error rate approximately 1 percent) the test result can be considered as success.

4. Vehicle Detection in Different Sunlight Conditions Test:

- (a) Repeat the test steps of the *Front Vehicle Detection Test in Closed Environment with Two Sensors* in CCC (Cultural and Convention) ground under direct sunlight
- (b) Repeat step 1 in CCC (Cultural and Convention) under artificial light, in other words, under no direct sunlight conditions
- (c) Repeat steps 1 & 2 for different locations of E Building including Graduation Laboratory
- (d) If the output of the subsystem is very closed to the actual measurement (i.e., with an error rate approximately 1 percent) the test result can be considered as success.

5. Results of Vehicle Detection Subsystem Tests

All the test procedures mentioned at the **Section 4.2** is applied to the VL6180X Time-of-Flight distance sensor. At first, test results were satisfying for the back sensor, but not for the front one. Tests were repeated with switching front and rear sensors. Again, the sensor in the front of the vehicle measured erroneously. Then it is realized that the position of the front sensor is problematic. In the first design of the chassis, the front sensor was placed behind a hole under the stick supporting camera. It is realized that this configuration was blocking the sensor. Then, in the second chassis design, front sensor is brought in front of the stick. After repeating tests results, it is confirmed that the problem with the front sensor is eliminated.

Time-of-flight sensors show very accurate result inside the closed environments like laboratory under artificial lights. The results under direct sunlight especially in CCC is also good as expected. In addition to the success in different light conditions test, the sensor shows very accurate result especially in *Angled Approach Test*. According to test, the sensor gives correct results up to 30 degrees. Considering the fact that the path itself is elliptical and there would always be an angle between vehicle even though it may be very small for some cases, it is confirmed that time of flight sensors are quite good choice for this subsystem.

4.3 Data Processing Subsystem Tests and Results

1. Data Assessment Test
 - (a) Link the output of Lane Detection subsystem to Data Processing subsystem.
 - (b) Assess if the output coincide with physical reality of the path
2. Output Stability Test
 - (a) Place the vehicle on a fixed point on the path
 - (b) Observe the variation of the control outputs
3. Results of Data Processing Subsystem Tests


The tests assess the ability and performance of the subsystem. Numerous tests are made. The first set of tests cover the robustness of the subsystem by placing an obstacle of the subsystem. The test scenario and its results are presented in *Figure 20, 21 and 22*. It can be seen that the algorithm ignores the obstacles in 7 cases out of 9 tests. In two cases, the algorithm fails to ignore the obstacles and determines the steering angle as if obstacle constitutes the lane line. Besides the results, on the presence of obstacles, in some particular obstacle placements, the output of the subsystem is observed to be unstable. Since the implementation is frozen after Conceptual Design Report, there has not been any significant change in the test performances since then.

The second set of tests cover the robustness of the subsystem as well, but under changing lighting conditions and on different surface materials. The results of this test is presented in *Figure 23 and 24*. The presented results are promising, the steering angles are true. A problem is that these results are a bit unstable when the luminosity difference between the shadows and flighty parts increase. The shadows are sometimes detected as lines and cause untrue lane line evaluations.

The third and the last test is made to see if the outputs are consistent when the vehicle is fixed on a point on the path. This test reveals the robustness of Data Processing Subsystem as it gives a measure on the stability of control outputs. PID Controller can work as expected, only if the provided inputs are predictable and repeatable. The test case and the results are given in *Figure 25, 26 and 27*. Statistical measures graphical data is also visible in *Table 1*. The stability test is satisfactory, as the variance of the three measurements are quite low.


Table 1: Statistical Stability Results

Test	mean(β)	variance(β)	mean(y1)	variance(y1)
Straight Area	-3.3	0.7	3.7	0.2
Bend Area	-12.3	0.2	-15.6	0.1
Curved Area	-21.8	1.8	-44.5	0.5




(a) Obstacle is at the Beginning of the Path (b) Obstacle is at the Middle of the Path (c) Obstacle is at the End of the Path.

Figure 20: A Test Scenario: Downward Inclined Obstacle on the Path.
Upper Half: Proposed Tests, Lower Half: Results




(a) Obstacle is at the Beginning of the Path (b) Obstacle is at the Middle of the Path (c) Obstacle is at the End of the Path.

Figure 21: A Test Scenario: Upward Inclined Obstacle on the Path.
Upper Half: Proposed Tests, Lower Half: Results




(a) Obstacle is at the Beginning of the Path (b) Obstacle is at the Middle of the Path (c) Obstacle is at the End of the Path.

Figure 22: A Test Scenario: Parallel Placed Obstacle on the Path.
Upper Half: Proposed Tests, Lower Half: Results



(a) Path is Placed on Black Marble (b) Path is Placed on Black and White Marble (c) Path is Placed on White Marble

Figure 23: A Test Scenario Results: KKM Indoor Path Detection



(a) Daylight and Shadow Test-1 (b) Daylight and Shadow Test-2 (c) Daylight and Shadow Test-3

Figure 24: A Test Scenario Results: KKM Outdoor Path Detection




Figure 25: Left: The Vehicle is placed on Straight Area.
Right: The Control Outputs




Figure 26: Left: The Vehicle is placed on Bended Area.
Right: The Control Outputs




Figure 27: Left: The Vehicle is placed on Curved Area.
Right: The Control Outputs

4.4 PID Controller Subsystem Tests and Results

1. PID Parameters Test for Given Input:
 - (a) Connect the Vehicle Motors to Motor Controller
 - (b) Connect the Motor Driver to Arduino
 - (c) Give the angle value that the subsystem should compensate
 - (d) Give the power to the motors
 - (e) Observe the behaviour of the vehicle
 - (f) If the vehicle rotates with an angle given in step 3 without any feedback given, the result of the test can be considered as success.
2. Bump Test for Distance Control:
 - (a) Set-up a lane as in *Figure 28*.
 - (b) Make the necessary connection between motors Arduino and data processing unit
 - (c) Drive the vehicle with PID parameters to be tested.
 - (d) Collect the distance error between the center of the lane and current position of the vehicle.
 - (e) Plot the time vs distance graph at Matlab using the collected distance errors.
 - (f) Calculate necessary performance parameters from the plot.




Figure 28: Bump Test for Distance Control

3. Bump Test for Angle Control:
 - (a) Set-up a lane as in *Figure 29*.
 - (b) Follow similar steps with *Bump Test for Distance Control*, this time, however, collect the error angle information and plot accordingly.
4. Path Tracking Test:




Figure 29: Bump Test for Angle Control

- (a) Make the necessary connection between motors Arduino and data processing unit
- (b) Place the vehicle to the desired empty path
- (c) Observe the behaviour of the vehicle
- (d) If the vehicle can follow the path smoothly, the result of the test can be considered as success.

5. Tracking a Path with Obstacles Test:

- (a) Make the necessary connection between motors Arduino and data processing unit
- (b) Place the vehicle to the desired path with obstacles
- (c) Observe the behaviour of the vehicle
- (d) If the vehicle can follow the path and compensate the steady state errors due to obstacles without showing oscillatory behaviour and in a reasonable time (in less than 2 seconds), the result of the test can be considered as success.

6. Path Tracking Test with Physical Disturbances:

- (a) Make the necessary connection between motors Arduino and data processing unit
- (b) Place the vehicle to the desired empty path
- (c) Observe the behaviour of the vehicle
- (d) If the vehicle can follow the path and compensate the steady state errors due to physical disturbance without showing oscillatory behaviour and in a reasonable time (in less than 2 seconds), the result of the test can be considered as success.

7. Results of PID Controller Subsystem Tests




Figure 30: A Sample Scenario on Eliminating Incorrect Lines

4.5 Internal Communication Subsystem Tests and Results

1. Data Retrieval Test

- Generate data on Raspberry Pi in a rate that reflects the time consumed of Data Processing subsystem. This will yield a realistic data rate.
- Send random text data to Arduino.
- Do the initial integration between Arduino and Raspberry Pi.
- Send data from Raspberry Pi to Arduino.
- Increase data speed to the specified data rate.
- Check the accuracy of the retrieved data.

2. Results of Internal Communication Subsystem Tests

4.6 External Communication Subsystem Tests and Results

1. Catching the Opponent Test:

- Create an Ad-Hoc Wi-Fi from Pi so that opponent can connect (Or connect to opponent's Ad-Hoc Wi-Fi)
- Enter opponent's ID number and host type (server or client) to the terminal
- Approach the opponent less than 5 cm
- The test result can be considered as success if blue LED is lit after RED LED without significant delay(i.e. no crashing) and the device immediately stops.

2. Caught by the Opponent Test:

- Create an Ad-Hoc Wi-Fi from Pi so that opponent can connect (Or connect to opponent's Ad-Hoc Wi-Fi)
- Enter opponent's ID number and host type (server or client) to the terminal

THIS PART IS REWRITTEN FOR FINAL REPORT.




Figure 31: Block Diagram of the Project and the Interaction of the Subsystems

- (c) Let the opponent to approach less than 5 cm
 - (d) The test result can be considered as success if green LED is lit without significant delay(i.e. no crashing) and the device immediately stops.
3. Rejected by the Opponent Test:
- (a) Create an Ad-Hoc Wi-Fi from Pi so that opponent can connect (Or connect to opponent's Ad-Hoc Wi-Fi)
 - (b) Enter opponent's ID number and host type (server or client) to the terminal
 - (c) Place an object in front of the front sensor in a distance less than 5 cm
 - (d) The test result can be considered as success if RED LED is lit without significant delay(i.e. no crashing) and the device does not stop.
4. Rejecting the Opponent Test:
- (a) Create an Ad-Hoc Wi-Fi from Pi so that opponent can connect (Or connect to opponent's Ad-Hoc Wi-Fi)
 - (b) Enter opponent's ID number and host type (server or client) to the terminal
 - (c) Let the opponent to place object in front of the front sensor in a distance less than 5 cm
 - (d) The test result can be considered as success if yellow LED is lit without significant delay(i.e. no crashing) and the device does not stop.

5. Results of External Communication Subsystem Tests

As mentioned in the design description section of the external communication subsystem, there exists a waiting period to receive messages from opponent. This duration, which is also called timeout, directly affects the frequency of the front sensor readings, because it is spent between consecutive readings. In the test results, setting it to high values(higher than 1s) gives good results in *Caught by the Opponent Test*, since it increases the probability to receive messages from the opponent. However, it results in crashing in *Catching the Opponent Test*, because of the latency of the sensor reading. On the other hand, setting very low timeout(lower than 100 ms) speeds up the process and yields successful results in *Catching the Opponent Test*. However, it decreases the probability of getting messages from the opponent, so *Caught by the Opponent Test* fails. After test results, it is decided that 0.3 seconds is an appropriate value for timeout. By doing so, the probability of crashing is made lower and receiving messages is made higher.

4.7 Direction Subsystem Tests and Results

1. Straight Drive Test:

- (a) Make the necessary connections between motors, motor controller and the Arduino
- (b) Set the PWM values of the motors equal
- (c) Observe the behaviour of the motors
- (d) Increase the PWM value of the slower motor until a point the vehicle can go in a straight line.
- (e) Record this PWM difference to use in PID controller subsystem

2. Circular Drive Test:

- (a) Make the necessary connections between motors, motor controller and the Arduino
- (b) Desired curvature is decided
- (c) According to motion of the vehicle PWMs of the motors are set
- (d) PID parameters are set according to this test

3. Results of Direction Subsystem Tests

The results of *Tests 1 & 2* proposed in *Section 3* revealed to the team that, the differential drive method is capable of returning as desired and can keep up with the lane to be followed.

4.8 Speed Subsystem Tests and Results

1. Determination of Base Speed:

- (a) Set β value that is supplied to *Speed Subsystem* equal to zero.
- (b) Give PWM Base as 255 RPM and observe the vehicle on the path
- (c) Decrease the PWM Value by 10 PWM and observe the vehicle.
- (d) Repeat the step 3 until the desired base speed value is observed.
- (e) Record this value.

2. Determination of Constant K_1 :

- (a) Use the PWM Base value determined at the *Test 1*
- (b) Set β value that is supplied to *Speed Subsystem* equal to ten degree.
- (c) Set K_1 value equal to one and observe the vehicle on the path.
- (d) Increase the coefficient K_1 and observe the behaviour of the vehicle on the path.
- (e) Repeat step 4 until the desired coefficient K_1 is determined.
- (f) Record this value.

3. Results of Speed Subsystem Tests




Figure 32: Experimental Base Speed PWM vs Experimental β Angle

4.9 Wheels Subsystem Tests and Results

1. Handling Test:
 - (a) Place the vehicle on the path
 - (b) Apply a horizontal force
 - (c) Observe the behaviour
 - (d) If the vehicle is slipping, the test can be considered to be failure. If not, the test result can be considered as success. In other word, friction between road and wheel should greater than road and ground.

4.10 Motors Subsystem Tests and Results

1. Torque Test:
 - (a) Fix the motor at horizontal position with respect to ground
 - (b) Attach an object of one kilogram
 - (c) Contact the seller for more information
2. Results of Motion System Tests

4.11 Chassis Subsystem Tests and Results

1. Inertia test:
 - (a) Prepare a straight path
 - (b) Power up the vehicle
 - (c) Execute the edge detection and control algorithm
 - (d) Give different type of disturbances
 - (e) Observe the deviation from straight line
 - (f) Repeat the process with different component configurations

4.12 Printed Circuit Board Subsystem Tests and Results

1. Short test: Aims to check all the wanted connections are present. The test procedure is as follows:
 - (a) Open multimeter for short circuit test
 - (b) Find the ends of each routing
 - (c) Check the continuity using multimeter probes
 - (d) Check if there is any unwanted short circuit
 - (e) If exist, eliminate
2. Results of Structure System Tests

THIS
PART
IS
NEW
FOR
FI-
NAL
RE-
PORT.

THIS
PART
IS
NEW
FOR
FI-
NAL
RE-
PORT.

Like
200
dolar
hesap
is-
tiyor.

this
table
was
recre-
ated
for
the
final
re-
port,
please
do
the-
real
spend-
ings

5 Budget

5.1 Actual Expenditures

5.2 Cost Analysis of Reproducible Product

Cost analysis for the **Kobra 6.5** can be investigated under *Table 2*. The reproducible vehicle costs under 200 dollar as stated by the project requirements. However, it should be noted that the 200 dollar budget does not cover additional expenses such as li-po battery charger and micro-USB chager.

Table 2: Cost Analysis for the Project

Component	Number	Total Price (in Dollar)
Raspberry Pi 3B	1	49
Waveshare Raspberry Pi Camera (D)	1	22
Chassis Components	1	15
Arduino Nano	1	4.8
Polulu 150:1 6 V 200 RPM DC Motor	2	42
Bond Silicon Wheel	2	9
Motor Driver	1	2.5
Xiaomi 10000 mAh Mi Powerbank (Ver 3)	1	12
ProFuse 11.1 V 1750 mAh Li-po Battery	1	24
Polulu VL6180X ToF Distance Sensor	2	18
LED headlight/LED	-	0.2
Total Project	-	198.5

6 Power Analysis

Table 3: Estimated Cost Analysis for the Project

Component	Current (Avg),A	Power (Avg),W	Current (Max),A	Power (Max),W
Raspberry Pi 3B	0.85	4.25	2.5	12.5
Arduino Nano	80m	0.4	0.2	1
DC Motors & Motor Driver	0.4	4.8	1.1	12.12
Distance Sensor	19m	62.7m	40m	132m
Total	1.52m	9.153	3.84	25.75




Figure 33: Electrical Architecture of the Project

7 Deliverables

8 Discussions

This sections presents a discussion on several things such as safety issues, application areas and environmental effects of the final product.

8.1 Safety Issues

8.2 Application Areas

8.3 Environmental Effects

The environmental effects can be discussed regarding the material used in body of the car. Chassis and camera arm are all made of plexiglass (PMMA) material. PMMA is a versatile, durable, recyclable and sustainable material. As it has such properties, PMMA based products have replaced products which were previously made from wood, iron and other natural ingredients. With this way, pressure on natural

THIS
PART
IS
NEW
FOR
FI-
NAL
RE-
PORT.

THIS
PART
IS
NEW
FOR
FI-
NAL
RE-
PORT.

THIS
PART
IS
NEW
FOR
FI-
NAL
RE-
PORT.

supplies is decreased. Hence, the acrylic products can be considered as an environment friendly option.

Regarding the battery, a Li-Po battery is used on the vehicle. Production of Li-Po batteries require lots of chemical and energy. And, what is worse is that there are currently no good programs to recycle lithium-polymer batteries. So, it can be concluded that Li-Po batteries are not environment friendly.

9 Conclusion

A USER MANUAL

ABOUT KRAL KOBRA:




Figure 1: KRAL KOBRA information

WARNING: Do not allow children to dismantle the KRAL KOBRA!

WARNING: Do not overcharged the KRAL KOBRA!

BOX INCLUDES

1x KRAL KOBRA

1x Race Lane

1x Li-Po battery (3s 11.1 V)

1x Power bank (Li-Ion 10 000 mAh)

1x USB Cable

1x Flash stick

1x Li-Po Charger

USING PROCEDURE:

Before race:

- 1- Turn on KRAL KOBRA
- 2- Check batteries (1xLi-Po and 1xLi-Ion)
- 3- Connect to opponent
- 4- Set the lane up
- 5- Place two KRAL KOBRA on the lane
- 6- Check connection according to LEDs

CONNECTION

By using provided software, you can connect two KRAL KOBRA

CHARGING OF KRAL KOBRA

KRAL KOBRA has two power supply into its chassis, so two of them should charge correctly.

Li-Po battery should charge up to 12.6 V, overcharging is DANGEROUS.

NOTE: Provided charger can avoid from overcharge, so it's strictly recommended to use.

Li-Ion battery could charge up to 100%.