Members:

Enes Taştan, 2068989, 0543 683 4336 Halil Temurtaş, 2094522, 0531 632 2194 Sarper Sertel, 2094449, 0542 515 6039 Erdem Tuna, 2167419, 0535 256 3320 İlker Sağlık, 2094423, 0541 722 9573



October 29 - November 05 Weekly Report

1 Progress

- [Team] Criteria for pairwise comparison table are determined by giving weight to each criterion. The table is used to choose the most suitable project among the four projects. The resultant table is Figure 1.
- [Team] The project to be realized is determined according to the criteria listed in pairwise comparison table. The chosen project is "Chasing Cars in an Elliptic Path". The results are presented in Figure 2. Points are as follows:

- Balloon Catching: 5.28

Air Hockey: 5.84Chasing Car: 7.48

- Mapping: 4.04

	Having Fun	Competition	Original Solution	Budget	Mechanical Challenges	Complexity	Marketability	Total	Weighted Objectives
Having Fun	0	0,5	0,75	0,8	0,9	0,6	0,8	4,35	0,2
Competition	0,5	0	0,7	0,7	0,5	0,75	0,8	3,95	0,2
Original Solution	0,25	0,3	0	0,6	0,7	0,55	0,8	3,2	0,16
Budget	0,2	0,3	0,4	0	0,2	0,3	0,8	2,2	0,1
Mechanical Challenges	0,1	0,3	0,3	0,8	0	0,3	0,8	2,6	0,12
Complexity	0,4	0,25	0,45	0,7	0,7	0	0,8	3,3	0,16
Marketability	0,2	0,2	0,2	0,2	0,2	0,2	0	1,2	0,06
								20,8	1

Figure 1: Objective Tree.

	Having Fun (0.2)	Competition (0.2)	Original Solution (0.16)	Budget (0.1)	Mechanical Challenges (0.12)	Complexity (0.16)	Marketability (0.06)	Total
Balloon	8	10	6	4	0	2	6	5,28
	1,6	2	0,96	0,4	0	0,32	0,36	
Air Hockey	8	8	4	8	2	6	8	5,84
	1,6	1,6	0,64	0,8	0,24	0,96	0,48	
Chasing Cars	10	8	8	6	6	8	10	7,48
	2	1,6	1,28	0,6	0,72	1,28	0,6	
Mapping	4	4	8	2	8	0	6	4,04
	0,8	0,8	1,28	0,2	0,96	0	0,36	

Figure 2: Project Evaluation.



Enes Taştan, 2068989, 0543 683 4336 Halil Temurtaş, 2094522, 0531 632 2194 Sarper Sertel, 2094449, 0542 515 6039 Erdem Tuna, 2167419, 0535 256 3320 İlker Sağlık, 2094423, 0541 722 9573

• [Team] Progressed in proposal report. Systems and sub-systems (see Figure 3) of the project are determined along with the project requirements (see Figure 3).




Figure 3: Project Subsystems.

- [Team] Subsystems are determined. Possible solution methods (pros and cons) for subsystems are determined (to be detailed in proposal report).
- [Team] Distance sensor is tested: Very bad accuracy for indirect surfaces.
- [Team] Discussions on Standard Committee meeting. Some the of topics are as follows:
 - Handshake protocol
 - Detectability of the front of a vehicle
 - Distance sensor bug
 - Elevation of path
 - Brake system

2 Plans

- [Team] Initial tests for sub-system solutions are to be made.
- [Team] Quantitative comparisons between solution methods according to test results.



Enes Taştan, 2068989, 0543 683 4336 Halil Temurtaş, 2094522, 0531 632 2194 Sarper Sertel, 2094449, 0542 515 6039 Erdem Tuna, 2167419, 0535 256 3320 İlker Sağlık, 2094423, 0541 722 9573




Figure 4: Project Requirements.

