EE402 - Discrete Time Systems

Spring 2018

Lecture 15

Lecturer: Asst. Prof. M. Mert Ankarali

Reachability & Controllability

Reachability & Controllability of CT Systems

For LTI a continuous time state-space representation

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t)$$

$$y(t) = Cx(t) + Du(t)$$

- A state x_d is said to be **reachable** if there exist a finite time interval $t \in [0, t_f]$ and an input signal defined on this interval, u(t), that transfers the state vector x(t) from the origin (i.e. x(0) = 0) to the state x_d within this time interval, i.e. $x(t_f) = x_d$.
- A state x_d is said to be **controllable** if there exist a finite time interval $t \in [0, t_f]$ and an input signal defined on this interval, u(t), that transfers the state vector x(t) from the initial state x_d (i.e. $x(0) = x_d$) to the origin this time interval, i.e. $x(t_f) = 0$.
- The set \mathcal{R} of all reachable states is a linear (sub)space: $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$
- The set \mathcal{C} of all controllable states is a linear (sub)space: $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$

For CT systems $x_d \in \mathcal{R}$ if and only if $x_d \in \mathcal{C}$, the Reachability and Controllability conditions are equivalent.

• If the reachable (or controllable) set is the entire state space, i.e., if $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{R}^n$, then the system is called reachable (or controllable).

One way of testing reachability/controllability is checking the rank (or the range space) the of reachability/controllability matrix

$$\mathbf{M} = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} B & AB & \cdots & A^{n-1}B \end{array} \right]$$

A CT system is reachable/controllable if and only of

$$rank(\mathbf{M}) = n$$

or equivalently

$$Ra(\mathbf{M}) = \mathbb{R}^n$$

15-2 Lecture 15

Reachability & Controllability of DT Systems

For LTI a discrete time state-space representation

$$x[k+1] = Ax[k] + Bu[k]$$
$$y[k] = Cx[k] + Du[k]$$

- A state x_d is said to be **reachable**, if there exist an input sequence, u[k], that transfers the state vector x[k] from the origin (i.e. x[0] = 0) to the state x_d in finite number of steps, i.e. $x[k] = x_d$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.
- A state x_d is said to be **controllable**, if there exist an input sequence, u[k], that transfers the state vector x[k] from the initial state x_d (i.e. $x[0] = x_d$) to the origin in finite number of steps, i.e. x[k] = 0 for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$
- The set \mathcal{R} of all reachable states is a linear (sub)space: $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$
- The set \mathcal{C} of all controllable states is a linear (sub)space: $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$

Unlike from CT systems the Reachability and Controllability conditions are not equivalent.

- $x_d \in \mathcal{R} \Rightarrow x_d \in \mathcal{C}$
- $x_d \in \mathcal{C} \not\Rightarrow x_d \in \mathcal{R}$
- $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{C}$

Thus Reachability implies Controllability but Controllability does not necessarily implies Reachability. For this reason, the term of Reachability is generally preferred for DT systems.

- If the reachable set is the entire state space, i.e., if $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{R}^n$, then the system is called Reachable (and automatically Controllable).
- If the controllable set is the entire state space, i.e., if $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{R}^n$, then the system is called Controllable. But there is no guarantee of Reachability.

Example: Consider the following autonomous system

$$x[k+1] = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] x[k]$$

What can we infer about the Reachability and Controllability of this system.

Solution: Since this is an autonomous system, obviously

$$B = \left[\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right]$$

Thus input has no affect on the states. If x[0] = 0, then x[k] = 0, $\forall k > 0$. Thus the system is obviously NOT Reachable.

Now let's compute x[2] for a general $x[0] = x_0$,

$$x[2] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^2 x_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Obviously $\forall x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \ x[2] = 0$, thus all state-space is Controllable.

Lecture 15 15-3

Test of Reachability on DT Systems

When x[0] = 0, the solution of x[k] is given by

$$x[k] = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} G^{k-j-1} H u[j]$$

$$= \left[G^{k-1} H \mid G^{k-2} H \mid \dots \mid GH \mid H \right] \begin{bmatrix} u[0] \\ u[1] \\ \vdots \\ u[k-2] \\ u[k-1] \end{bmatrix}$$

Let

then if a state x_d is reachable at k steps, it should satisfy the following equation for some \mathcal{U}_k .

$$\mathbf{M}_k \mathbf{U}_k = x_d$$

In order this matrix equation to have a solution x_d should be in the range space of \mathbf{M}_k .

$$x_d \in \operatorname{Ra}(\mathbf{M}_k)$$

It is fairly easy to see that

$$Ra(\mathbf{M}_k) \subset Ra(\mathbf{M}_{k+1})$$

Thus increasing k increases the chance of x_d being in the reachable subset.

Theorem: For k < n < l

$$\operatorname{Ra}(\mathbf{M}_k) \subset \operatorname{Ra}(\mathbf{M}_n) = \operatorname{Ra}(\mathbf{M}_l)$$

Proof: In order to prove this Theorem, we need to use a different well-known theorem.

Cayley-Hamilton Theorem states that every square matrix satisfies its own characteristic equation. In other words, Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, and let $p(\lambda)$ be the characteristic equation defined as

$$p(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A)$$

= $\lambda^n + a_1 \lambda^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-1} \lambda + a_n \lambda$
= 0

Then by Cayley-Hamilton theorem we conclude that

$$p(G) = G^{n} + a_1 G^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-1} G + a_n I = 0$$

15-4 Lecture 15

Using this we can see easily that

$$G^{n}B = -a_{1}G^{n-1}B - \dots - a_{n-1}GB - a_{n}I$$

Now lets observe M_{n+1}

$$\mathbf{M}_{n+1} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} G^n H & G^{n-1} H & \cdots & GH & H \end{array} \right]$$

If we follow the Cayley-Hamilton theorem and associated derivations, we can see that the first column G^nH is a linear combination of other columns this it can not increase the rank of the matrix.

This the reachability matrix is defined as

$$\mathbf{M} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} G^{n-1}H & G^{n-2}H & \cdots & GH & H \end{array} \right]$$

where n is the dimension of the state-space.

The DT system is called reachable if

$$rank(\mathbf{M}) = n$$

or equivalently

$$Ra(\mathbf{M}) = \mathbb{R}^n$$

Observability

It turns out it is more natural to think in terms of "un-observability" as reflected in the following definitions.

- For CT systems, a state x_o of a finite dimensional linear dynamical system is said to be unobservable, if with $x(0) = x_o$ and for every u(t) we get the same y(t) as we would with x(0) = 0.
- For DT systems, a state x_o of a finite dimensional linear dynamical system is said to be unobservable, if with $x[0] = x_o$ and for every u[k] we get the same y[k] as we would with x[0] = 0.

In other words, for both CT and DT systems an unobservable initial condition cannot be distinguished from the zero initial condition.

The set $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$ of all unobservable states is a linear (sub)space: $\bar{\mathcal{O}} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$

- If the unobservable set only contains the origin, i.e., if $\bar{\mathcal{O}} = \{0\}$,
- If the dimension of unobservable subspace is equal to 0, dim = $(\bar{\mathcal{O}}) = 0$,
- If any initial condition, x(0) or x[0], can be uniquely determined from input-output measurement,

then the system is called Observable.

Lecture 15 15-5

Test of Observability on CT Systems

One way of testing Observability of CT systems is checking the rank (or the range space, or null space) the of the Observability matrix

$$\mathbf{O} = \begin{bmatrix} C \\ CA \\ CA^2 \\ \vdots \\ CA^{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

A CT system is Observable if and only of

$$rank(\mathbf{O}) = n$$

or equivalently

$$Ra(\mathbf{O}) = \mathbb{R}^n$$

or equivalently

$$\dim \left(\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{O}) \right) = 0$$

Test of Observability on DT Systems

Without loss of generality, let's assume that u[k] = 0. Under this assumption, we know that

$$y[k] = CG^k x_0$$

Based on this solution we can write

$$y[0] = Cx_0$$

$$y[1] = CGx_0$$

$$y[2] = CG^2x_0$$

$$\vdots$$

$$y[k] = CA^kx_0$$

If we combine these equations matrix form we obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} y[0] \\ y[1] \\ y[2] \\ \vdots \\ y[k] \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C \\ CG \\ CG^2 \\ \vdots \\ CG^k \end{bmatrix} x_0$$

Let

$$\mathbf{Y}_k = \begin{bmatrix} y[0] \\ y[1] \\ y[2] \\ \vdots \\ y[k] \end{bmatrix} \quad , \quad \mathbf{O}_k = \begin{bmatrix} C \\ CG \\ CG^2 \\ \vdots \\ CG^k \end{bmatrix}$$

15-6 Lecture 15

Then the equation takes the simple form $\mathbf{Y}_k = \mathbf{O}_k x_0$. If x_0 is an unobservable state, then for-all k we should have $\mathbf{O}_k x_0 = 0$, or equivalently $x_0 \in \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{O}_k\right)$ (Null-space).

From this point, we can conclude that, the DT system is observable if and only if,

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}, \dim (\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{O}_k)) = 0$$

However we don't need to test all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. First of all it should be obvious that we should take k as large as possible to guarantee weather x_0 is unobservable of not. Formally speaking,

$$\mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{O}_{k+1}\right) \subset \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{O}_{k}\right)$$

However from Cayley-Hamilton theorem, we know that CA^n can be written as a linear combination of $\{CA^{n-1}, CA^{n-2}, \dots, CA, C\}$, thus we have

$$\mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{O}_{n+1}\right) = \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{O}_{n}\right)$$

For this reason it is necessary and sufficient to test \mathbf{O}_n for observability. In conclusion, observability matrix is defined as

$$\mathbf{O} = \begin{bmatrix} C \\ CG \\ CG^2 \\ \vdots \\ CG^{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

The DT system is called Observable if

$$\operatorname{rank}\left(\mathbf{O}\right) = n$$

or equivalently

$$\operatorname{Ra}\left(\mathbf{O}\right) = \mathbb{R}^{n}$$

$$\dim \left(\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{O}) \right) = 0$$