# ARTEMIS GAS, INC Penetration Test Technical Report

Nathan Tendido
Cybersecurity Career Track, Springboard
Penetration Test Capstone
August 20, 2023

# **Table of Contents**

1.0	Scope	e of Work	3
	1.1	In Scope	3
	<u>1.2</u>	Out of Scope	3
2.0	Project Objectives		
	2.1	Objectives	4
	2.2	Goals	4
3.0	Ass	sumptions	<u>5</u>
	<u>3.1</u>	Risks	<u>5</u>
4.0	Time	Timeline	
	<u>4.1</u>	Phase 1: Perform Reconnaissance	7
	4.2	Phase 2: Identify Targets and Run Scans	8
	4.3	Phase 3: Identify Vulnerabilities	8
	4.4	Phase 4: Threat Assessment	9
	<u>4.5</u>	Phase 5: Reporting	9
<b>5.0</b>	Summary of Findings		10
	<u>5.1</u>	Remediation Summary	9
6.0	Recommendations		13
	<u>6.1</u>	Patch and update systems	<u>13</u>
	<u>6.2</u>	Remove/Replace EOL Hardware	<u>0</u>
	6.3	Review and modify web application access controls	0
	<u>6.4</u>	Change all default passwords	<u>0</u>
	<u>6.5</u>	Fully Implement Zscaler and block RDP connections	0
	6.6	Implement Strong Password Mechanisms	0
	6.7	Review web directory permission	0
	<u>6.8</u>	Remediation for Microsoft Exchange Server Vulnerability	0
		<u>CVE-2021-26855</u>	
	69	Enable input validation for Oracle 12c Database	0

Note: When viewed in Google Docs, the outline sidebar function can be used to quickly go to sections.

## 1.0 Scope of Work

The penetration test generally focused on ARTEMIS GAS, INC and its networks and systems. Activities included in the test were only those immediately relevant to reconnaissance, profiling, network/system scanning, and vulnerability assessments. Other activities and networks unrelated to ARTEMIS GAS, INC, unless specified, were considered out of scope and were not included in the penetration test.

### 1.1 In Scope

- Select and configure tools to perform reconnaissance, enumeration, and vulnerability scans during penetration test of ARTEMIS GAS, INC
- Perform external perspective reconnaissance activities of ARTEMIS GAS, INC to create a robust company profile
- Configure and perform scans to discover and enumerate ARTEMIS GAS, INC networks and systems
- Configure and perform vulnerability scanning to identify potential vulnerabilities in ARTEMIS GAS, INC networks and systems
- Perform a vulnerability assessment using vulnerability scan results and document recommendations for remediation, including risk, risk potential, affected systems, and CVSS scores
- Compile results of penetration test in a summarized executive summary report for ARTEMIS GAS, INC executive stakeholders
- Compile results of penetration test in a detailed technical report for ARTEMIS GAS,
   INC technical teams

#### 1.2 Out of Scope

- Performing any penetration test activities requiring credentials or access which could not be obtained through reconnaissance and enumeration activities
- Testing physical controls at any of ARTEMIS GAS, INC physical business sites
- Testing business processes, such as (but not limited to): business continuity activities, disaster response activities, technical support, and help desk activities

# 2.0 Project Objectives

### 2.1 Objectives

 Perform a structured external perspective walkthrough of ARTEMIS GAS, INC to identify network and system vulnerabilities and provide remediation recommendations

### 2.2 Goals

- Improve the security of ARTEMIS GAS, INC for all users and contractors accessing its networks and systems
- Improve organization-wide awareness of regulatory system requirements and encourage ARTEMIS GAS, INC to promote security within its teams

# 3.0 Assumptions

- ARTEMIS GAS, INC's networks are operational and currently in use by its employees, contractors, and other clients
- ARTEMIS GAS, INC will not be shutting down its networks in response to any penetration test activities
- ARTEMIS GAS, INC's security team will continue performing SOC tasks during the testing period
- ARTEMIS GAS, INC has some publicly available information, such as employee names, profiles, contact numbers, etc.
- Tools requiring licensing will be ready by the start of during and will continue to be available over the course of the testing period.
- Testers will have the testing environment operational and ready by the beginning of the testing period.

#### 3.1 Risks

Risks outlined have the potential to negatively impact business operations and should be given extra care during the testing period.

Risk	Description	Severity
Client networks are	Will result in a complete halt to client's	Critical
offline or shut down	day-to-day business operations and will	
during the testing period	completely prevent testers from performing	
	any testing activity directly interacting with the	
	client's networks. Can lead to financial loss and	
	delays in penetration testing activities.	
Client's SOC does not	May result in inaccurate and incomprehensive	High
perform regular SOC	test findings.	
activities as they would		
normally		
Testers are unable to find	May hinder Reconnaissance activities and	Medium
any publicly available	result in early end to testing period.	

information on ARTEMIS		
GAS, INC or its employees		
Testing tools are phased	May result in compromised security systems	Critical
out due to lack of support	and lead to delays to testing period.	
during testing	Replacement tools would need to be acquired.	
Testing environment is	May result in delays to the beginning of the	High
not ready for testing.	testing phase.	

## 4.0 Timeline

Testing was performed over a one week period between August 13, 2023 and August August 20, 2023. Penetration testing was divided into five phases, each with its own objectives, testing tools and techniques, and deliverable.

### 4.1 Phase 1: Perform Reconnaissance (2 days)

Testing Objective	Build a robust profile of ARTEMIS GAS, INC and potential testing targets using only publicly available information
Tools	<ul> <li>OSINT Framework</li> <li>Google Dorks</li> <li>theHarvester</li> <li>Recon-ng</li> <li>Maltego</li> <li>Business Info Resources</li> <li>Social Media Resources</li> <li>Employment Resources</li> <li>VoilaNorbert</li> <li>Shodan</li> <li>SecurityTrails by Recorded Future</li> <li>Builtwith</li> <li>PassiveDNS by Mnemonic</li> <li>DNSDumpster</li> <li>DNSDumpster</li> </ul>
Techniques	<ul> <li>Search Engine and Advanced Techniques</li> <li>Automated OSINT Frameworks</li> <li>Link Analysis and Data Visualization</li> <li>Domain and Web Intelligence</li> <li>Online Presence and Social Media Profiling</li> <li>Email and Contact Gathering</li> <li>Internet Scanning and Enumeration</li> <li>DNS and Domain Discovery</li> </ul>
Deliverables	Business and Target Profile (Google Doc)     Visualization Map (Maltego)

## 4.2 Phase 2: Identify Targets and Run Scans (1 days)

Testing Objective	Run scans to perform host discovery and enumerate the target's network
Tools	<ul> <li>Nmap</li> <li>Netcat</li> <li>OpenVAS</li> <li>Nessus by Tenable</li> <li>Dirbuster by OWASP</li> <li>SQLmap</li> </ul>
Techniques	<ul> <li>Network scanning</li> <li>Network enumeration</li> <li>Vulnerability assessment</li> <li>Web application testing</li> </ul>
Deliverables	1. Host and Network Report (Google Doc)

## 4.3 Phase 3: Identify Vulnerabilities (1 days)

Testing Objective	Perform comprehensive vulnerability assessment scans to identify security weaknesses, misconfigurations, and security gaps in target systems
Tools	<ul> <li>Nessus by Tenable</li> <li>GVM</li> <li>BurpSuite</li> <li>ZAP Zed Attack Proxy</li> <li>OpenSCAP</li> </ul>
Techniques	<ul> <li>Network Vulnerability Scanning</li> <li>Web Server Vulnerability Scanning</li> <li>Application Vulnerability Scanning</li> <li>Compliance Scanning</li> </ul>
Deliverables	1. Compiled Scan Results (Google Doc)

## 4.4 Phase 4: Threat Assessment (2 days)

Testing Objective	Analyze previous scan results to identify system vulnerabilities, associated risks, attack vectors, and recommend steps for remediation.		
Tools	NIST CVSS v3.0 Calculator		
Techniques	Threat Assessment		
Deliverables	<ol> <li>Threat Assessment Summary (Google Docs</li> <li>Risk Register (Google Sheet)</li> </ol>		

# 4.5 Phase 5: Reporting (2 days)

Testing Objective	Compile results and findings from previous phases into a summarized executive summary and a detailed technical report to be shared with ARTEMIS GAS, INC stakeholders.
Tools	Google Doc
Techniques	Reporting
Deliverables	<ol> <li>Executive Summary (Google Doc)</li> <li>Technical Report (Google Doc)</li> </ol>

## **5.0 Summary of Findings**

Testers were able to complete all testing activities for this project.

Testers were able to create a detailed profile of ARTEMIS GAS, INC's business, business practices, executives, and employees, by using only publicly available information obtained using OSINT framework methodologies.

Testers were successful in performing scans and enumerating ARTEMIS GAS, INC's web servers, internal network, associated IP addresses, domains, and email addresses.

Testers were successful in performing vulnerability assessment scans and identified nine observed vulnerabilities. Vulnerabilities are assessed using a CVSS calculator to generate a score, which is ranked following CVSS v3.0 severity rankings as follows: None 0.0; Low 0.1 - 3.9; Medium 4.0 - 6.9; High 7.0 - 8.9; Critical 9.0 - 10.0.

Among nine total vulnerability observations, the number of severity ranks are:

- Critical: 3
- High: 6
- Medium
- Low
- None: 0

### **5.1** Remediation Summary

Below are statements summarizing recommendations for remediation. Each statement is elaborated on in more detail in <u>6.0 Recommendations</u>.

Patch and update systems
☐ Remove/Replace EOL hardware
☐ Review and modify web application access controls
☐ Change all default passwords
☐ Fully implement Zscaler and block RDP connections
☐ Implement strong password mechanisms
Review web directory permissions

Remediation for Microsoft Exchange Server Vulnerability CVE-2021-26855	
Enable input validation for Oracle 12c database	

### 6.0 Recommendations

### 6.1 Patch and update systems

Applicable systems: Windows devices, ERP, Cisco devices, on-prem Apache web servers

Several vulnerabilities found have been identified and fixed in vendor patches. However, these vendor patches are absent from client's systems at the time of testing. Security team should test and apply patch updates as soon as possible for immediate remediation. Additionally, implementing a patch routine process would significantly decrease the likelihood of future system exploits.

#### Risk if not remediated:

- Attacker backdoor access on all exploited Windows devices and on-prem web servers (Risk
   1)
- Loss of web server file integrity (Risk 4)
- Attacker execution of arbitrary commands (Risk 7)
- Attacker access to user emails and attachments (Risk 9)
- Attacker access to confidential proprietary information (Risk 9)

#### 6.2 Remove/Replace EOL hardware

Applicable systems: Windows devices, Cisco devices, on-prem Apache web servers

Security team should check with system vendors for up-to-date patch information and check for vendor support. If devices are no longer being supported by the vendor, the security team should make immediate steps to: 1) migrate any mission critical files and processes to new supported hardware and 2) decommission and destroy all old end-of-life devices. Security team should follow the organization's decommissioning process in order to ensure that data remnants cannot be obtained from decommissioned devices.

#### Risk if not remediated:

Potential infiltration of malware/ransomware on EOL devices (Risk 1, 4, 7)

13

• Lack of vendor support in the event of future system attacks (Risk 1, 4, 7

6.3 Review and modify web application access controls.

Applicable systems: PARS, APOLLO

Broken access control has been identified in the PARS and APOLLO applications. Security team should review access control privileges and re-assign access following the least privilege principle. Role-Based Access Control (RBAC) is recommended (assigning access to Roles, and then assigning

Roles to individuals) in order to ease management and monitoring of access controls.

Risk if not remediated:

• Loss of non-repudiation (vulnerability to session hijacking) (Risk 6)

• Exposure of sensitive data such as PID, passwords, and financial details (Risk 6)

6.4 Change all default passwords

Applicable systems: Cisco devices

Default admin passwords have been identified on the Cisco Admin Portal. Default passwords are publicly documented and well-known amongst cybercriminals. Changing the password to a non-default password is immediate remediation.

Two-factor or Multi-factor authentication is also recommended for Cisco devices.

Risk if not remediated:

• Attacker brute-force access to Cisco systems (Risk 3)

6.5 Fully implement Zscaler and block RDP connections.

Applicable systems: Windows devices

PENETRATION TEST REPORT

14

Unpatched RDP has been found to be exposed to the internet. Client is already using Zscaler for

secure remote application access. A listing of users requiring remote application access should be

created and evaluated before granted access to Zscaler. Additionally, a formal onboarding/training

process should be developed and tracked for employees being granted access to Zscaler. Employees

should be trained on secure remote working practices using Zscaler and discouraged to use RDP for

all remote activities.

RDP should be closed on all Windows systems. Cisco, Fortinet, Palo Alto, and F5 firewalls should be

configured to block inbound and outbound RDP connections.

Risk if not remediated:

• Attacker backdoor access on all exploited Windows devices and on-prem web servers (Risk

1)

• Potential attacker lateral movement throughout the network (Risk 1)

6.6 Implement strong password mechanisms

Applicable systems: Cisco devices, Windows devices, PARS, APOLLO

Client is currently utilizing Single Sign-On (SSO) but with only single-factor authentication. Client

should review password requirements and implement the use of mixed character, mixed case,

punctuation, and prohibit the use of common dictionary passwords.

Security team should also implement quarterly password expiration and user session limits.

Multi-factor authentication is also recommended for all user accounts, especially accounts with

admin privileges.

Risk if not remediated:

Attacker gaining access to user accounts via brute-force or rainbow table attacks (Risk 3, 6)

6.7 Review web directory permissions

Applicable systems: On-prem Apache web servers, AWS servers

**Back to Table of Contents** 

15

Sensitive web server data and cloud storage data has been identified and found using Google Dorks

techniques. Security team should review and adjust web directory permissions to ensure that

potential threat actors would not be able to directly access exposed directories and block attempts

at directory traversal.

Additionally, S3 bucket permissions should be reviewed and reconfigured.

Risk if not remediated:

• Extraction of sensitive user data (Risk 5)

• Identity theft (Risk 5)

• Attackers accessing and modifying critical cloud data (Risk 8)

6.8 Remediation for Microsoft Exchange Server Vulnerability CVE-2021-26855

Applicable systems: Windows devices, ERP, Cisco devices, on-prem Apache web servers

Several vulnerabilities found have been identified and fixed in vendor patches. However, these vendor patches are absent from client's systems at the time of testing. Security team should test and apply patch updates as soon as possible for immediate remediation. Additionally, implementing a patch routine process would significantly decrease the likelihood of future system exploits.

Risk if not remediated:

• Attacker backdoor access on all exploited Windows devices and on-prem web servers (Risk

1)

• Loss of web server file integrity (Risk 4)

• Attacker execution of arbitrary commands (Risk 7)

• Attacker access to user emails and attachments (Risk 9)

• Attacker access to confidential proprietary information (Risk 9)

6.9 Enable input validation for Oracle 12c Database

Applicable systems: ERP, Oracle 12c Database

A vulnerability to SQL injection via the web application input fields has been identified. Security team can enable server-side input validation, to reject unusual/invalid inputs (such as comments, script commands, and overflow attempts) and also disable client-side input validation.

#### Risk if not remediated:

- Exfiltration of sensitive user data (Risk 2)
- Attacker gaining ability to execute arbitrary SQl queries (Risk 2)