

# **PL\_TDF Definition**

**Issue 2.1.0 (Aug 1993)**

Crown Copyright ©June 1993

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Introduction . . . . .	1
2	Notation . . . . .	2
2.1	Syntax description . . . . .	2
2.2	Lexical Units . . . . .	2
2.3	Pre-processing . . . . .	3
3	The Language . . . . .	4
3.1	Program . . . . .	5
3.1.1	Tokdec . . . . .	5
3.1.2	Tokdef . . . . .	5
3.1.3	Tagdec . . . . .	6
3.1.4	Tagdef . . . . .	6
3.1.5	Altagdef . . . . .	6
3.1.6	Structdef . . . . .	7
3.1.7	Procdef . . . . .	7
3.2	First-class SORT expansions . . . . .	8
3.2.1	Access . . . . .	8
3.2.2	Al_tag . . . . .	8
3.2.3	Alignment . . . . .	8
3.2.4	Bitfield_variety . . . . .	9
3.2.5	Bool . . . . .	9
3.2.6	Error_treatment . . . . .	9
3.2.7	Exp . . . . .	9
3.2.7.1	ExpTerm . . . . .	10
3.2.8	Floating_variety . . . . .	11
3.2.9	Label . . . . .	11
3.2.10	Nat . . . . .	12
3.2.11	Ntest . . . . .	12
3.2.12	Rounding_mode . . . . .	13
3.2.13	Shape . . . . .	13
3.2.14	Signed_Nat . . . . .	13
3.2.15	Tag . . . . .	14
3.2.16	Token . . . . .	14
3.2.17	Transfer_mode . . . . .	14
3.2.18	Variety . . . . .	14
3.3	Control structure and local declarations . . . . .	15
3.3.1	ConditionalExp and Assertion . . . . .	15
3.3.2	RepeatExp . . . . .	16
3.3.3	LabelledExp . . . . .	16
3.3.4	Local_Defn . . . . .	16
4	Example PL_TDF programs . . . . .	17
4.1	Sieve of Erastosthenes . . . . .	17
4.2	Example with structures . . . . .	19
4.3	Test for case . . . . .	20
4.4	Example of use of high-order TOKENs . . . . .	21
4.5	A test for long jumps . . . . .	22
5	Use of the PL_TDF compiler . . . . .	23

---

---

# 1 Introduction

---

---

PL\_TDF is a language in the lineage of Wirth's PL360 and its later derivatives. The basic idea in PL360 was to give one an assembler in which one could express all of the order-code of the IBM 360 while still preserving the logical structure of the program using familiar programming constructs. If one had to produce a program at the code level, this approach was much preferable to writing "flat" assembly code using a traditional assembler, as anyone who has used both can testify.

In the TDF "machine" the problem is not lack of structure at its "assembly" level, but rather too much of it; one loses the sense of a TDF program because of its deeply nested structure. Also the naming conventions of TDF are designed to make them tractable to machine manipulation, rather than human reading and writing. However, the approach is basically the same. PL\_TDF provides shorthand notations for the commonly occurring control structures and operations while still allowing one to use the standard TDF constructors which, in turn, may have shorthand notations for their parameters. The naming is always done by identifiers where the sort of the name is determined by its declaration, or by context.

The TDF derived from PL\_TDF is guaranteed to be SORT correct; however, there is no SHAPE checking, so one can still make illegal TDF.

---

---

## 2 Notation

---

---

---

### 2.1 Syntax description

---

Words enclosed in angle brackets,  $\langle \rangle$ , form non-terminal symbols. Other symbols and words stand for themselves as terminal symbols. An expansion of a non-terminal is indicated using  $::=$  with its expansion given as a sequence (possibly empty) of terminals and non-terminals. For example:

$$\langle \text{Exp} \rangle ::= * \langle \text{ident} \rangle$$

is a possible expansion of an EXP SORT. If the word for the non-terminal starts with a capital letter then it will be totally described by a set of such expansions; otherwise the expansion of the non-terminal will be given by other methods in the text.

The post-fix -Opt on a non terminal is an abbreviation allowing an empty expansion. For example:

$$\langle \text{Access} \rangle\text{-Opt}$$

is equivalent to the use of another non-terminal  $\langle \text{AccessOption} \rangle$  whose expansions are:

$$\langle \text{AccessOption} \rangle ::=$$
$$\langle \text{AccessOption} \rangle ::= \langle \text{Access} \rangle$$

The post-fix -List on a non terminal is an abbreviation for lists of objects separated by the , -symbol. For example:

$$\langle \text{Exp} \rangle\text{-List}$$

is equivalent to the use of another non-terminal  $\langle \text{ExpList} \rangle$  whose expansions are:

$$\langle \text{ExpList} \rangle ::= \langle \text{Exp} \rangle$$
$$\langle \text{ExpList} \rangle ::= \langle \text{ExpList} \rangle , \langle \text{Exp} \rangle$$

Both of these post-fix notations are also used with sequences of terminals and non-terminals within the angle brackets with the same kind of expansion. In these cases, the expansion within the angle brackets form an anonymous non-terminal.

---

### 2.2 Lexical Units

---

The terminal symbols  $()$ ,  $[]$ , and  $\{ \}$  always occur as parenthetical pairs and never form part of other terminal symbols.

The terminal symbols  $,$   $;$  and  $:$  are similarly terminators for other terminal symbols.

White space is a terminator for other terminal symbols but is otherwise ignored except in strings.

All other terminal symbols are sequences of ASCII symbols not including the above. These are divided into seven classes: keywords, TDF constructors, operators,  $\langle \text{integer\_denotation} \rangle$ s,  $\langle \text{floating\_denotation} \rangle$ s,  $\langle \text{string} \rangle$ s and  $\langle \text{ident} \rangle$ s.

The keywords and operators are expressed directly in the syntax description. The TDF constructors are those given in the TDF specification which have first-class SORTs as parameters and results.

---

An <integer\_denotation> allows one to express an integer in any base less than 16, with the default being 10.

<integer\_denotation> ::= <digit>  
<integer\_denotation> ::= <integer\_denotation> <digit>  
<integer\_denotation> ::= <base> <integer\_denotation>

<base> ::= <integer\_denotation> r

Examples are 31, 16r1f, 8r37, 2r11111 - all giving the same value.

A <floating\_denotation> is an <integer\_denotation> followed by the . symbol and a sequence of digits. The radix of the <floating\_denotation> is given by the base of its component <integer\_denotation>

A <string> is the same as a C string - any sequence of characters within “ ”. The same C conventions hold for \ within strings for single characters.

A <character> is an string character within ‘ ’. The same \ conventions hold.

An <ident> is any other sequence of characters. They will be used to form names for TAGs, TOKENs, AL\_TAGs and LABELs.

---

## 2.3 Pre-processing

---

At the moment there is only one pre-processing directive. A line starting with #include will textually include the following file (named within string quotes), using the same path conventions as C.

Comments may be included in the text using the /\* ... \*/ notation; this differs slightly from the C convention in that comments may be nested.

---

---

## 3 The Language

---

---

The basic philosophy of PL\_TDF is to provide the “glue” constructors of TDF automatically, while still allowing the programmer to use the significant constructors in their most general form. By “glue” constructors, I mean those like `make_link`, `make_group` etc. which are there to provide tedious, but vital, constructions concerned with linking and naming. The “significant” constructors really come in two groups, depending on their resulting SORTs. There are those SORTs like TOKDEC, whose SORTs are purely syntactic and can’t be used as results of token applications or `_cond` constructions. On the other hand, the first-class SORTs, like EXP, can be used in those situations and generally have a much richer set of constructors. These first-class SORTs are precisely those which have SORTNAMES. These SORTNAMES appear in PL\_TDF as expansions of `<Sortname>`:

```
<Sortname> ::= ACCESS
<Sortname> ::= AL_TAG
<Sortname> ::= ALIGNMENT
<Sortname> ::= BITFIELD_VARIETY
<Sortname> ::= BOOL
<Sortname> ::= ERROR_TREATMENT
<Sortname> ::= EXP
<Sortname> ::= FLOATING_VARIETY
<Sortname> ::= LABEL
<Sortname> ::= NAT
<Sortname> ::= NTEST
<Sortname> ::= ROUNDING_MODE
<Sortname> ::= SHAPE
<Sortname> ::= SIGNED_NAT
<Sortname> ::= TAG
<Sortname> ::= TRANSFER_MODE
<Sortname> ::= VARIETY
```

All of the significant constructors are expanded by non-terminals with names related to their resulting SORT e.g. all EXPs are expanded by `<Exp>` and all TOKDECs are expanded by `<Tokdec>`. Any first-class SORT can be expanded by using the constructor names given in the TDF specification, provided that the parameter SORTs are also first-class. For example, the following are all valid expansions of `<Exp>` :

```
make_top
return(E)           where E is an expansion of <Exp>
goto(L)             where L is an expansion of <Label>
assign(E1, E2)      where E1 and E2 are expansions of <Exp>
```

Any such use of TDF constructors will be checked for the SORT-correctness of their parameters. I will denote such a constructor as an `<exp_constructor>`; similarly for all the other first-class sorts.

Any of the first-class sorts may also be expanded by a token application. Tokens in PL\_TDF are given `<ident>` names by `<Tokdef>` or `<Tokdec>` which must occur before their use in applications. In applications, these names will be denoted by `<exp_token>`, `<shape_token>` etc. , depending on the result sort of their introduction.

The principle of “no use before declaration” also applies to `<ident>` names given to TAGs.

---

## 3.1 Program

---

The root expansion of a PL\_TDF program is given by <Program>:

<Program> ::= <ElementList> Keep ( <Item>-List-Opt )

<ElementList> ::= <Element> ;

<ElementList> ::= <Element> ; <ElementList>

<Element> ::= <Tokdec>

<Element> ::= <Tokdef>

<Element> ::= <Tagdec>

<Element> ::= <Tagdef>

<Element> ::= <Altagdef>

<Element> ::= <Structdef>

<Element> ::= <Procdef>

<Item> ::= <tag>

<Item> ::= <token>

<item> ::= <altag>

A <Program> consists of a list of definitions and declarations giving meaning to various <ident>s, as TAGs, TOKENs and AL\_TAGs. The <Item>-List-Opt indicates which of these names will be externally available via CAPSULE\_LINKs; in addition any other names which are declared but not defined will also be linked externally.

A <Program> will produce a single TDF CAPSULE.

### 3.1.1 Tokdec

A <Tokdec> introduces an <ident> as a TOKEN:

<Tokdec> ::= Tokdec <ident> : [ <TokDecPar>-List-Opt ] <ResultSort>

<ResultSort> ::= <Sortname>

<TokDecPar> ::= <Sortname>

<TokDecPar> ::= TOKEN [ <TokDecPar>-List-Opt ] <ResultSort>

This produces a TOKDEC in a tokdec UNIT of the CAPSULE. Further uses of the introduced <ident> will be treated as a <x-token> where x is given by the <ResultSort>.

### 3.1.2 Tokdef

A <Tokdef> defines an <ident> as a TOKEN; this <ident> may have previously been introduced by a <Tokdec>:

<Tokdef> ::= Tokdef <ident> = <Tok\_Defn>

<Tok\_Defn> ::= [ <TokDefPar>-List-Opt ] <ResultSort> <result\_sort>

<TokDefPar> ::= <ident> : <TokDecPar>

This produces a TOKDEF in a tokdef UNIT of the CAPSULE. The expansion of <result\_sort> depends on <ResultSort>, e.g. if <ResultSort> is EXP then <result\_sort> ::= <Exp> and so on.

---

Each of the <ident>s in the <TokDefPar>s will be names for tokens whose scope is <result\_sort>. A use of such a name within its scope will be expanded as a parameterless token application of the appropriate sort given by its <TokDecPar>. Note that this is still true if the <TokDecPar> is a TOKEN - if a <TokDefPar> is:

x: TOKEN[ LABEL ]EXP

then x[L] is expanded as:

exp\_apply\_token( token\_apply\_token(x, ()), L)

<Tok\_defn> also occurs in an expansion of <Token>, as a parameter of a token application.

### 3.1.3 Tagdec

A <Tagdec> introduces an <ident> as a TAG:

<Tagdec> ::= <DecType> <ident> <Access>-Opt : <Shape>

<DecType> ::= Vardec

<DecType> ::= Iddec

<DecType> ::= Commondec

This produces a TAGDEC in a tagdec UNIT of the CAPSULE, using a make\_id\_tagdec for the Iddec option, a make\_var\_tagdec for the Vardec option and a common\_tagdec for the Commondec option.

The <Shape>s in both <Tagdec>s and <Tagdef>s will produce SHAPE TOKENs in a tagdef UNIT; these may be applied in various shorthand operations on TAG <ident>s.

### 3.1.4 Tagdef

A <Tagdef> defines an <ident> as a TAG. This <ident> may have previously been introduced by a <Tagdec>; if it has not the < : <Shape> >-Opt below must not be empty and a TAGDEC will be produced for it.

<Tagdef> ::= Var <ident> < : <Shape> >-Opt < = <Exp>>-Opt

Produces a make\_var\_tagdef.

<Tagdef> ::= Common <ident> < : <Shape> >-Opt < = <Exp> >-Opt

Produces a common\_tagdef.

<Tagdef> ::= Let <ident> < : <Shape> >-Opt = <Exp>

Produces a make\_id\_tagdef.

<Tagdef> ::= String <ident> <Variety>-Opt = <string>

This is a shorthand for producing names which have the properties of C strings. The <Variety>-Opt gives the variety of the characters with the string, an empty option giving unsigned chars. The TDF produced is a make\_var\_tagdef initialised by a make\_nof\_int. This means that given a String definition:

String format = "Result = %d\n"

the tag <ident>, format, could be used straightforwardly as the first parameter of printf - see Section 4 (Example PL\_TDF programs).

### 3.1.5 Altagdef

An <Altagdef> defines an <ident> as an AL\_TAG:

<Altagdef> ::= Al\_tagdef <ident> = <Alignment>

This produces an AL\_TAGDEF in an al\_tagdef UNIT of the CAPSULE. The <ident> concerned can be previously used in as an expansion of <Alignment>.



---

### 3.1.6 Structdef

A <Structdef> defines a TOKEN for a structure SHAPE, together with two TOKENs for each field of the structure to allow easy access to the offsets and contents of the field:

<Structdef> ::= Struct <Structname> ( <Field>-List )

<Structname> ::= <ident>

<Field> ::= <Fieldname> : <Shape>

<Fieldname> ::= <ident>

This produces a TOKDEF in a tokdef UNIT defining <Structname> as a SHAPE token whose expansion is an EXP OFFSET(a1,a2) where the OFFSET is the size of the structure with standard TDF padding and offset addition of the component SHAPES and sizes<sup>1</sup>.

Each <Fieldname> will produce two TOKENs. The first is named by <Fieldname> itself and is a [EXP]EXP which gives the value of the field of its structure parameter. The second is named by prefixing <Fieldname> by the .-symbol and is an [ ]EXP giving the OFFSET of the field from the start of the structure. Thus given:

Struct Complex (re: Double, im: Double)

Complex is a TOKEN for a SHAPE defining two Doubles; re[E] and im[E] will extract the components of E where E is an EXP of shape Complex; .re and .im give EXP OFFSETs of the the two fields from the start of the structure.

### 3.1.7 Procdef

A <Procdef> defines a TAG to be a procedure; it is simply an abbreviation of a an Iddec <Tagdef>:

<Procdef> ::= Proc <ident> = <Proc\_Dfn>

<Proc\_dfn> ::= <Shape> ( <TagShAcc>-List-Opt <VarIntro>-Opt ) <ClosedExp>

<TagShAcc> ::= <Parametername> <Access>-Opt : <Shape>

<Parametername> ::= <ident>

<VarIntro> ::= Varpar <Varparname> : <Alignment>

<Varparname> ::= <ident>

A <Procdef> produces a TAGDEF in a tagdef UNIT and and, possibly, a TAGDEC in a tagdef UNIT. A <Proc\_Dfn> produces a make\_proc with the obvious operands. The scope of the tag names introduced by <Parametername> and <Varparname> is the <ClosedExp> (see section 3.3 on page 15).

---

1. Note that this may not correspond precisely with C sizes.

---

## 3.2 First-class SORT expansions

---

All of the first-class sorts have similar expansions for native TDF constructions and for token applications. I shall take `<Shape>` as the paradigm sort and allow the reader to conjugate the following for the other sorts.

Those first-class sorts which include the `_cond` constructions denote them in the same way:

$$\langle \text{Shape} \rangle ::= \text{SHAPE ? ( } \langle \text{Exp} \rangle, \langle \text{Shape} \rangle, \langle \text{Shape} \rangle \text{ )}$$

This produces a `shape_cond` with the obvious parameters.

Each constructor for `<Shape>` with parameters which are first-class sorts can be expanded:

$$\langle \text{Shape} \rangle ::= \langle \text{shape\_constructor} \rangle < ( \langle \text{constructor\_param} \rangle\text{-List} ) >\text{-Opt}$$

Each `<constructor_param>` will be the first-class SORT expansion, required by the `<shape_constructor>` as in the TDF specification eg the constructor, pointer, requires a `<constructor_param> ::= <Alignment>`.

Any `<ident>` which is declared to be a `<shape_token>` by a TOKDEF or TOKDEC can be expanded:

$$\langle \text{Shape} \rangle ::= \langle \text{shape\_token} \rangle < [ \langle \text{token\_param} \rangle\text{-List} ] >\text{-Opt}$$

This will produce a `shape_apply_token` with the appropriate parameters. Each `<token_param>` will be the first-class SORT expansion required by the SORT given by the `<TokDecPar>` of the TOKDEF or TOKDEC which introduced `<shape_token>`.

### 3.2.1 Access

$$\langle \text{Access} \rangle ::= \text{ACCESS ? ( } \langle \text{Exp} \rangle, \langle \text{Access} \rangle, \langle \text{Access} \rangle \text{ )}$$
$$\langle \text{Access} \rangle ::= \langle \text{access\_constructor} \rangle < ( \langle \text{constructor\_param} \rangle\text{-List} ) >\text{-Opt}$$
$$\langle \text{Access} \rangle ::= \langle \text{access\_token} \rangle < [ \langle \text{token\_param} \rangle\text{-List} ] >\text{-Opt}$$

There are no expansions of `<Access>` other than the standard ones.

### 3.2.2 Al\_tag

$$\langle \text{Al\_tag} \rangle ::= \langle \text{al\_tag\_token} \rangle < [ \langle \text{token\_param} \rangle\text{-List} ] >\text{-Opt}$$

The standard token expansion.

$$\langle \text{Al\_tag} \rangle ::= \langle \text{ident} \rangle$$

Any `<ident>` found as an expansion of `<Al_tag>` will be declared as the name for an `AL_TAG`.

### 3.2.3 Alignment

$$\langle \text{Alignment} \rangle ::= \text{ALIGNMENT ? ( } \langle \text{Exp} \rangle, \langle \text{Alignment} \rangle, \langle \text{Alignment} \rangle \text{ )}$$
$$\langle \text{Alignment} \rangle ::= \langle \text{alignment\_constructor} \rangle < ( \langle \text{constructor\_param} \rangle\text{-List} ) >\text{-Opt}$$
$$\langle \text{Alignment} \rangle ::= \langle \text{alignment\_token} \rangle < [ \langle \text{token\_param} \rangle\text{-List} ] >\text{-Opt}$$

The standard expansions.

$$\langle \text{Alignment} \rangle ::= \langle \text{Al\_tag} \rangle$$

This results in an `obtain_al_tag` of the `AL_TAG`.

$$\langle \text{Alignment} \rangle ::= ( \langle \text{Alignment} \rangle\text{-List-Opt} )$$

The `<Alignment>`s in the `<Alignment>-List` are united using `unite_alignments`. The empty option results in the top `ALIGNMENT`.

### 3.2.4 Bitfield\_variety

$\langle \text{Bitfield\_variety} \rangle ::= \text{BITFIELD\_VARIETY ? ( } \langle \text{Exp} \rangle , \langle \text{Bitfield\_variety} \rangle , \langle \text{Bitfield\_variety} \rangle )$   
 $\langle \text{Bitfield\_variety} \rangle ::= \langle \text{bitfield\_variety\_constructor} \rangle < ( \langle \text{constructor\_param} \rangle\text{-List} ) >\text{-Opt}$   
 $\langle \text{Bitfield\_variety} \rangle ::= \langle \text{bitfield\_variety\_token} \rangle < [ \langle \text{token\_param} \rangle\text{-List} ] >\text{-Opt}$

The standard expansions.

$\langle \text{Bitfield\_variety} \rangle ::= \langle \text{BfSign} \rangle\text{-Opt } \langle \text{Nat} \rangle$

$\langle \text{BfSign} \rangle ::= \langle \text{Bool} \rangle$

$\langle \text{BfSign} \rangle ::= \text{Signed}$

$\langle \text{BfSign} \rangle ::= \text{Unsigned}$

This expands to `bfvar_bits`. The empty default on the sign is `Signed`.

### 3.2.5 Bool

$\langle \text{Bool} \rangle ::= \text{BOOL ? ( } \langle \text{Exp} \rangle , \langle \text{Bool} \rangle , \langle \text{Bool} \rangle )$   
 $\langle \text{Bool} \rangle ::= \langle \text{bool\_constructor} \rangle < ( \langle \text{constructor\_param} \rangle\text{-List} ) >\text{-Opt}$   
 $\langle \text{Bool} \rangle ::= \langle \text{bool\_token} \rangle < [ \langle \text{token\_param} \rangle\text{-List} ] >\text{-Opt}$

There are no expansions of  $\langle \text{Bool} \rangle$  other than the standard ones.

### 3.2.6 Error\_treatment

$\langle \text{Error\_treatment} \rangle ::= \text{ERROR\_TREATMENT ?}$   
 $\quad ( \langle \text{Exp} \rangle , \langle \text{Error\_treatment} \rangle , \langle \text{Error\_treatment} \rangle )$   
 $\langle \text{Error\_treatment} \rangle ::= \langle \text{error\_treatment\_constructor} \rangle < ( \langle \text{constructor\_param} \rangle\text{-List} ) >\text{-Opt}$   
 $\langle \text{Error\_treatment} \rangle ::= \langle \text{error\_treatment\_token} \rangle < [ \langle \text{token\_param} \rangle\text{-List} ] >\text{-Opt}$

The standard expansions.

$\langle \text{Error\_treatment} \rangle ::= \langle \text{Label} \rangle$

This gives an `error_jump` to the label.

### 3.2.7 Exp

$\langle \text{Exp} \rangle ::= \langle \text{ExpTerm} \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{Exp} \rangle ::= \langle \text{ExpTerm} \rangle \langle \text{BinaryOp} \rangle \langle \text{ExpTerm} \rangle$

The  $\langle \text{BinaryOp} \rangle$ s include the arithmetic, offset, logical operators and assignment and are given in table 1. In this expansion, any `error_treatments` are taken to be `wrap`.

**Table 1.**

$\langle \text{BinaryOp} \rangle$	TDF constructor	$\langle \text{BinaryOp} \rangle$	TDF constructor
And	and	Or	or
Xor	xor	*+.	add_to_ptr
*_*	subtract_ptrs	.*	offset_mult
.*.	offset_add	.-.	offset_subtract
./	offset_div_by_int	./.	offset_div
.max.	offset_max	%	rem2
%1	rem1	*	mult
+	plus	-	minus

**Table 1.**

<BinaryOp>	TDF constructor	<BinaryOp>	TDF constructor
/	div2	/1	div1
<<	shift_left	>>	shift_right
F*	floating_mult	F+	floating_plus
F-	floating_minus	F/	floating_div
=	assign		

The names like `*+`, (i.e. `add_to_ptr`) do have a certain logic; the `*` indicates that the left operand must be a pointer expression and the `.` that the other is an offset

The further expansions of `<Exp>` are all `<ExpTerm>`s

### 3.2.7.1 ExpTerm

`<ExpTerm> ::= EXP ? ( <Exp> , <Exp>, <Exp>)`

`<ExpTerm> ::= <exp_constructor> < ( <constructor_param>-List ) >-Opt`

`<ExpTerm> ::= <exp_token> < [ <token_param>-List ] >-Opt`

The standard expansions.

`<ExpTerm> ::= <ClosedExp>`

For `<ClosedExp>`, see section 3.3 on page 15.

`<ExpTerm> ::= ( <Exp> )`

`<ExpTerm> ::= - ( <Exp> )`

The negate constructor.

`<ExpTerm> ::= Sizeof ( <Shape> )`

This produces the EXP OFFSET for an index multiplier for arrays of `<Shape>`. It is the `shape_offset` of `<Shape>` padded up to its alignment.

`<ExpTerm> ::= <Tag>`

This produces an `obtain_tag`.

`<ExpTerm> ::= * <ident>`

The `<ident>` must have been declared as a variable TAG and the construction produces a contents operation with its declared SHAPE.

`<ExpTerm> ::= * ( <Shape> ) <ExpTerm>`

This produces a contents operation with the given `<Shape>`.

`<ExpTerm> ::= <Assertion>`

For `<Assertion>`, see section 3.3.1 on page 15

`<ExpTerm> ::= Case <Exp> ( <RangeDest>-List )`

`<RangeDest> ::= <Signed_Nat> < : <Signed_Nat> >-Opt -> <Label>`

This produces a case operation.

---

$\langle \text{ExpTerm} \rangle ::= \text{Cons } [ \langle \text{Exp} \rangle ] ( \langle \text{Offset} \rangle : \langle \text{Exp} \rangle \text{-List} )$

$\langle \text{Offset} \rangle ::= \langle \text{Exp} \rangle$

This produces a `make_compound` with the  $[ \langle \text{Exp} \rangle ]$  as the size and fields given by  $\langle \text{Offset} \rangle : \langle \text{Exp} \rangle \text{-List}$ .

$\langle \text{ExpTerm} \rangle ::= [ \langle \text{Variety} \rangle ] \langle \text{ExpTerm} \rangle$

This produces a `change_variety` with a `wrap_error_treatment`.

$\langle \text{ExpTerm} \rangle ::= \langle \text{Signed\_Nat} \rangle ( \langle \text{Variety} \rangle )$

This produces a `make_int` of the  $\langle \text{Signed\_Nat} \rangle$  with the given variety.

$\langle \text{ExpTerm} \rangle ::= \langle \text{floating\_denotation} \rangle \langle \text{E } \langle \text{Signed\_Nat} \rangle \text{-Opt} \rangle$

$\langle \text{ExpTerm} \rangle ::= - \langle \text{floating\_denotation} \rangle \langle \text{E } \langle \text{Signed\_Nat} \rangle \text{-Opt} \rangle$

Produces a `make_floating`.

$\langle \text{ExpTerm} \rangle ::= \langle \text{ProcVal} \rangle [ \langle \text{Shape} \rangle ] ( \langle \text{Exp} \rangle \text{-List-Opt } \langle \text{Varpar } \langle \text{Exp} \rangle \text{-Opt} )$

$\langle \text{ProcVal} \rangle ::= \langle \text{Tag} \rangle$

$\langle \text{ProcVal} \rangle ::= ( \langle \text{Exp} \rangle )$

Produces an `apply_proc` with the given parameters returning the given  $\langle \text{Shape} \rangle$ .

$\langle \text{ExpTerm} \rangle ::= \text{Proc } \langle \text{Proc\_defn} \rangle$

Produces a `make_proc`. For  $\langle \text{Proc\_defn} \rangle$ , see section 3.1.7 on page 8

$\langle \text{ExpTerm} \rangle ::= \langle \text{string} \rangle ( \langle \text{Variety} \rangle )$

Produces a `make_nof_int` of the given variety.

$\langle \text{ExpTerm} \rangle ::= \# \langle \text{string} \rangle$

This produces a TDF `fail_installer`; this construction is useful for narrowing down SHAPE errors detected by the translator.

### 3.2.8 Floating\_variety

$\langle \text{Floating\_variety} \rangle ::= \text{FLOATING\_VARIETY } ?$

$( \langle \text{Exp} \rangle , \langle \text{Floating\_variety} \rangle , \langle \text{Floating\_variety} \rangle )$

$\langle \text{Floating\_variety} \rangle ::= \langle \text{floating\_variety\_constructor} \rangle \langle ( \langle \text{constructor\_param} \rangle \text{-List} ) \text{-Opt} \rangle$

$\langle \text{Floating\_variety} \rangle ::= \langle \text{floating\_variety\_token} \rangle \langle [ \langle \text{token\_param} \rangle \text{-List} ] \text{-Opt} \rangle$

The standard constructions.

$\langle \text{Floating\_variety} \rangle ::= \text{Float}$

An IEEE 32 bit floating variety.

$\langle \text{Floating\_variety} \rangle ::= \text{Double}$

An IEEE 64 bit floating variety.

### 3.2.9 Label

$\langle \text{Label} \rangle ::= \langle \text{label\_token} \rangle \langle [ \langle \text{token\_param} \rangle \text{-List} ] \text{-Opt} \rangle$

The standard token application.

$\langle \text{Label} \rangle ::= \langle \text{ident} \rangle$

The  $\langle \text{ident} \rangle$  will be declared as a LABEL, whose scope is the current procedure.

---

### 3.2.10 Nat

`<Nat> ::= NAT ? ( <Exp> , <Nat> , <Nat> )`

`<Nat> ::= <nat_constructor> < ( <constructor_param>-List ) >-Opt`

`<Nat> ::= <nat_token> < [ <token_param>-List ] >-Opt`

The standard expansions.

`<Nat> ::= <integer_denotation>`

Produces a `make_nat` on the integer

`<Nat> ::= <character>`

Produces a `make_nat` on the ASCII value of the character.

### 3.2.11 Ntest

`<Ntest> ::= NTEST ? ( <Exp> , <Ntest> , <Ntest> )`

`<Ntest> ::= <ntest_constructor> < ( <constructor_param>-List ) >-Opt`

`<Ntest> ::= <ntest_token> < [ <token_param>-List ] >-Opt`

The standard expansions.

`<Ntest> ::= !<`

Produces `not_less_than`.

`<Ntest> ::= !<=`

Produces `not_less_than_or_equal`.

`<Ntest> ::= !=`

Produces `not_equal`.

`<Ntest> ::= !>`

Produces `not_greater_than`.

`<Ntest> ::= !>=`

Produces `not_greater_than_or_equal`.

`<Ntest> ::= !Comparable`

Produces `not_comparable`.

`<Ntest> ::= <`

Produces `less_than`.

`<Ntest> ::= <=`

Produces `less_than_or_equal`.

`<Ntest> ::= ==`

Produces `equal`.

`<Ntest> ::= >`

Produces `greater_than`.

`<Ntest> ::= >=`

Produces `greater_than_or_equal`.

### 3.2.12 Rounding\_mode

```

<Rounding_mode> ::= ROUNDING_MODE?
                        ( <Exp> , <Rounding_mode> , <Rounding_mode> )
<Rounding_mode> ::= <ntest_constructor> < ( <constructor_param>-List ) >-Opt
<Rounding_mode> ::= <ntest_token> < [ <token_param>-List ] >-Opt

```

There are no constructions for <Rounding\_mode> other than the standard ones.

### 3.2.13 Shape

```

<Shape> ::= SHAPE ? ( <Exp> , <Shape> , <Shape> )
<Shape> ::= <shape_constructor> < ( <constructor_param>-List ) >-Opt
<Shape> ::= <shape_token> < [ <token_param>-List ] >-Opt

```

The standard expansions.

```
<Shape> ::= Float
```

The shape for an IEEE 32 bit float.

```
<Shape> ::= Double
```

The shape for an IEEE 64 bit float.

$$\langle \text{Shape} \rangle ::= \langle \text{Sign} \rangle - \text{Opt Int}$$

<Sign> ::= Signed

<Sign> ::= Unsigned

The shape for a 32 bit signed or unsigned integer. The default is signed.

$\langle \text{Shape} \rangle ::= \langle \text{Sign} \rangle \text{-Opt Long}$

The shape for a 32 bit signed or unsigned integer.

<Shape> ::= <Sign>-Opt Short

The shape for a 16 bit signed or unsigned integer.

$$\langle \text{Shape} \rangle ::= \langle \text{Sign} \rangle \text{-Opt Char}$$

The shape for a 8 bit signed or unsigned integer.

$$\langle \text{Shape} \rangle ::= \text{Ptr } \langle \text{Shape} \rangle$$

The SHAPE pointer(alignment(<Shape>)).

### 3.2.14 Signed Nat

```

<Signed_Nat> ::= SIGNED_NAT ? ( <Exp> , <Signed_Nat> , <Signed_Nat> )
<Signed_Nat> ::= <signed_nat_constructor> < ( <constructor_param>-List ) >-Opt
<Signed_Nat> ::= <signed_nat_token> < [ <token_param>-List ] >-Opt

```

The standard expansions.

$$\langle \text{Signed\_Nat} \rangle ::= \langle \text{integer\_denotation} \rangle$$
$$\langle \text{Signed\_Nat} \rangle ::= - \langle \text{integer\_denotation} \rangle$$

This produces a `make_signed_nat` on the integer value.

<Signed Nat> ::= <character>

`<Signed_Nat> ::= - <character>`

This produces a `make_signed_nat` on the ASCII value of the character.

---

**<Signed\_Nat> ::= LINE**

This produces a `make_signed_nat` on the current line number of the file being compiled - useful for writing test programs.

### 3.2.15 Tag

**<Tag> ::= <tag\_token> < [ <token\_param>-List ] >-Opt**

The standard token application.

**<Tag> ::= <ident>**

This gives an `obtain_tag`; the `<ident>` must be declared as a TAG either globally or locally.

### 3.2.16 Token

TOKEN is rather a limited first-class sort. There is no explicit construction given for `token_apply_token`, since the only place where it can occur is in an expansion of a token parameter of another token; here it is produced implicitly. The only place where `<Token>` is expanded is in an actual TOKEN parameter of a token application; other uses (e.g. as in `<shape_token>`) are always `<ident>`s.

**<Token> ::= <ident>**

The `<ident>` must have been declared by a `<Tokdec>` or `<Tokdec>` or is a formal parameter of TOKEN.

**<Token> ::= Use <Tok\_Defn>**

This produces a `use_tokdef`. For `<Tok_Defn>` see section 3.1.2 on page 6. The critical use of this construction is to provide an actual TOKEN parameter to a token application where the `<Tok_Defn>` contains uses of tags or labels local to a procedure.

### 3.2.17 Transfer\_mode

**<Transfer\_mode> ::= TRANSFER\_MODE ? ( <Exp> , <Transfer\_mode> , <Transfer\_mode> )**

**<Transfer\_mode> ::= <transfer\_mode\_constructor> < ( <constructor\_param>-List ) >-Opt**

**<Transfer\_mode> ::= <transfer\_mode\_token> < [ <token\_param>-List ] >-Opt**

There are no expansions for `<Transfer_mode>` other than the standard expansions.

### 3.2.18 Variety

**<Variety> ::= VARIETY ? ( <Exp> , <Variety> , <Variety> )**

**<Variety> ::= <variety\_constructor> < ( <constructor\_param>-List ) >-Opt**

**<Variety> ::= <variety\_token> < [ <token\_param>-List ] >-Opt**

The standard expansions.

**<Variety> ::= <Signed\_Nat> : <Signed\_Nat>**

This produces `var_limits`.

**<Variety> ::= <Sign>-Opt Int**

**<Variety> ::= <Sign>-Opt Long**

**<Variety> ::= <Sign>-Opt Short**

**<Variety> ::= <Sign>-Opt Char**

This produces the variety of the appropriate integer shape.



---

### 3.3 Control structure and local declarations

---

The control and declaration structure is given by `<ClosedExp>`:

`<ClosedExp> ::= { <ExpSeq> }`

`<ExpSeq> ::= <Exp>-Opt`

`<ExpSeq> ::= <ExpSeq> ; <Exp>-Opt`

This produces a TDF sequence if there is more than one `<Exp>-Opt`; if there is only one it is simply the production for `<Exp>-Opt`; any empty `<Exp>-Opt` produce `make_top`.

`<ClosedExp> ::= <ConditionalExp>`

`<ClosedExp> ::= <RepeatExp>`

`<ClosedExp> ::= <LabelledExp>`

`<ClosedExp> ::= <Local_Defn>`

The effect of these, together with the expansion of `<Assertion>` is given below.

#### 3.3.1 ConditionalExp and Assertion

`<ConditionalExp> ::= ? { <ExpSeq> | <LabelSetting>-Opt <ExpSeq> }`

`<LabelSetting> ::= : <Label> :`

This produces a TDF conditional. The scope of a LABEL `<ident>` which may be introduced by `<Label>` is the first `<ExpSeq>`. A branch to the second half of the conditional will usually be made by the failure of an `<Assertion>` ( ie a TDF \_test) in the first half.

`<Assertion> ::= <Query> ( <Exp> <Ntest> <Exp> <FailDest>-Opt )`

`<Query> ::= ?`

The assertion will be translated as an `integer_test`

`<Query> ::= F?`

The assertion will be translated as a `floating_test` with a `wrap error_treatment`.

`<Query> ::= *?`

The assertion will be translated as a `pointer_test`.

`<Query> ::= .?`

The assertion will be translated as an `offset_test`.

`<Query> ::= P?`

The assertion will be translated as a `proc_test`.

`<FailDest> ::= | <Label>`

The `<Assertion>` will produce the appropriate `_test` on its component `<Exp>`s. If the test fails, then control will pass to the `<FailDest>-Opt`. If `<FailDest>-Opt` is not empty, this is the `<Label>`. Otherwise, the `<Assertion>` must be in the immediate context of a `<ConditionalExp>` or `<RepeatExp>` with an empty `<LabelSetting>-Opt`; in which case this is treated as an anonymous label and control passes to there. For example, the following `<Conditional>` delivers the maximum of two integers:

`?{ ?(a >= b); a | b }`

---

This is equivalent to:

$\{ \{ (a \geq b \mid L); a \mid L: b \}$

without the hassle of having to invent the LABEL name, L.

### 3.3.2 RepeatExp

$\langle \text{RepeatExp} \rangle ::= \text{Rep } \langle \text{Starter} \rangle \text{-Opt } \{ \langle \text{LabelSetting} \rangle \text{-Opt } \langle \text{ExpSeq} \rangle \}$

$\langle \text{Starter} \rangle = ( \langle \text{ExpSeq} \rangle )$

This produces a TDF repeat. The loop will usually repeat by an  $\langle \text{Assertion} \rangle$  failing to the  $\langle \text{LabelSetting} \rangle \text{-Opt}$ ; an empty  $\langle \text{LabelSetting} \rangle \text{-Opt}$  will follow the same conventions as one in a  $\langle \text{Conditional} \rangle$ . An empty  $\langle \text{Starter} \rangle \text{-Opt}$  will produce `make_top`.

### 3.3.3 LabelledExp

$\langle \text{LabelledExp} \rangle ::= \text{Labelled } \{ \langle \text{ExpSeq} \rangle \langle \text{Places} \rangle \}$

$\langle \text{Places} \rangle ::= \langle \text{Place} \rangle$

$\langle \text{Places} \rangle ::= \langle \text{Places} \rangle \langle \text{Place} \rangle$

$\langle \text{Place} \rangle ::= | : \langle \text{Label} \rangle : \langle \text{ExpSeq} \rangle$

This produces a TDF labelled with the obvious parameters. The scope of any LABEL  $\langle \text{idents} \rangle$  introduced by the  $\langle \text{Label} \rangle$ s is the  $\langle \text{LabelledExp} \rangle$ .

### 3.3.4 Local\_Defn

A  $\langle \text{Local_Defn} \rangle$  introduces an  $\langle \text{ident} \rangle$  as a TAG for the scope of the component  $\langle \text{ClosedExp} \rangle$ . Any containing an  $\langle \text{Access} \rangle$  visible is also available globally - however it will only make sense in the constructor `env_offset`.

$\langle \text{Local_Defn} \rangle ::= \text{Var } \langle \text{ident} \rangle \langle \text{Access} \rangle \text{-Opt } \langle \text{VarInit} \rangle \langle \text{ClosedExp} \rangle$

$\langle \text{VarInit} \rangle ::= = \langle \text{Exp} \rangle$

This  $\langle \text{Local_Defn} \rangle$  produces a TDF variable with the obvious parameters.

$\langle \text{Local_Defn} \rangle ::= \text{Var } \langle \text{ident} \rangle \langle \text{Access} \rangle \text{-Opt } : \langle \text{Shape} \rangle \langle \text{VarInit} \rangle \text{-Opt } \langle \text{ClosedExp} \rangle$

Also a TDF variable. An empty  $\langle \text{VarInit} \rangle \text{-Opt}$  gives `make_value( $\langle \text{Shape} \rangle$ )` as the initialisation to the variable. Using this form of variable definition also has the advantage of allowing one to use the simple form of the contents operation ( \* in section 3.2.7 on page 10).

$\langle \text{Local_Defn} \rangle ::= \text{Let } \langle \text{ident} \rangle \langle \text{Access} \rangle \text{-Opt } = \langle \text{Exp} \rangle \langle \text{ClosedExp} \rangle$

This produces a TDF identify with the obvious parameters.

---

---

## 4 Example PL\_TDF programs

---

---

---

### 4.1 Sieve of Erasthenes

---

```
/* Print out the primes less than 10000 */
String s1 = "%d\t";          /* good strings for printf */
String s2 = "\n";

Var n: nof(10000, Char);      /* will contain 1 for prime; 0 for composite */

Tokdef N = [ind:EXP]EXP n *+. (Sizeof(Char) . * ind);
/* Token delivering pointer to element of n */

lddec printf : proc;          /* definition provided by ansi library */

Proc main = top ()
  Var i: Int
  Var j: Int
  { Rep (i = 2(Int))
    { /* set i-th element of n to 1 */
      N[* i] = 1(Char);
      i = (* i + 1(Int));
      ?(* i >= 10000(Int)) /* NB assertion fails to continue loop */
    }
    Rep (i = 2(Int) )
    {
      ?{ /* (* i)N[* i] == 1(Char);
          /* if its a prime ... */
          Rep ( j = (* i + * i) )
          { /*... wipe out composites */
            N[* j] = 0(Char);
            j = (* j + * i);
            ?(* j >= 10000(Int))
          }
        }
      | make_top
    };
    i = (* i + 1(Int));
    ?(* i >= 100(Int))
  };
```

---

```

Rep (i = 2(Int); j = 0(Int) )
{
    ?{
        ?( *(Char)N[* i] == 1(Char));
        /* if it's a prime, print it */
        printf[top](s1, * i);
        j = (* j + 1(Int));
        ?{
            ?( * j == 5(Int));
            /* print new line */
            printf[top](s2);
            j = 0(Int)
        }
        | make_top
    }
    | make_top
};
i = (* i + 1(Int));
?(* i >= 10000(Int))
};
return(make_top)
};

```

Keep (main)      /\* main will be an external name; so will printf since it is not defined \*/

---

## 4.2 Example with structures

---

```
Struct C (re:Double, im:Double);
      /* define TOKENs : C as a SHAPE for complex, with field offsets .re and .im
      and selectors re and im */

Iddec printf:proc;

Proc addC = C (lv:C, rv:C)      /* add two complex numbers */
  Let l = * lv
  Let r = * rv
  { return( Cons[shape_offset(C)] ( .re: re[l] F+ re[r], .im: im[l] F+ im[r]) ) } ;

String s1 = "Ans = (%g, %g)\n";

Proc main = top()
  Let x = Cons[shape_offset(C)] (.re: 1.0(Double), .im:2.0(Double))
  Let y = Cons[shape_offset(C)] (.re: 3.0(Double), .im:4.0(Double))
  Let z = addC[C](x,y)
  {
    printf[top](s1, re[z], im[z]);
    /* prints out "Ans = (4, 6)" */
    return(make_top)
  };

Keep(main)
```

---

## 4.3 Test for case

---

```
Iddec printf:proc;
```

```
String s1 = "%d is not in [%d,%d]\n";
```

```
String s2 = "%d OK\n";
```

```
Proc test = top(i:Int, l:Int, u:Int)      /* report whether l<=i<=u */
  ?{      ?(* i >= * l); ?(* i <= * u);
    printf[top](s2, * i);
    return(make_top)
  |      printf[top](s1, * i, * l, * u);
    return(make_top)
  };
```

```
String s3 = "ERROR with %d\n";
```

```
Proc main = top()      /* check to see that case is working */
Var i:Int = 0(Int)
  Rep {
    Labelled {
      Case * i (0 -> l0, 1 -> l1, 2:3 -> l2, 4:10000 -> l3)
      | :l0: test[top](* i, 0(Int), 0(Int))
      | :l1: test[top](* i, 1(Int), 1(Int))
      | :l2: test[top](* i, 2(Int), 3(Int))
      | :l3: printf[top](s3, * i)
    };
    i = (* i + 1(Int));
    ?(* i > 3(Int));
    return(make_top)
  };
```

```
Keep (main, test)
```

---

## 4.4 Example of use of high-order TOKENs

---

```
Tokdef IF = [ boolexp:TOKEN[LABEL]EXP, thenpt:EXP, elsept:EXP] EXP
    ?{ boolexp[lab]; thenpt | :lab: elsept };
/* IF is a TOKEN which can be used to mirror a standard if ... then ... else
   construction; the boolexp is a formal TOKEN with a LABEL parameter
   which is jumped to if the boolean is false */
```

```
Iddec printf: proc;
```

```
String cs = "Correct\n";
```

```
String ws = "Wrong\n";
```

```
Proc main = top()
```

```
    Var i:Int = 0(Int)
```

```
    {
```

```
        IF[ Use [!:LABEL]EXP ?(* i == 0(Int) | I), printf[top](cs), printf[top](ws) ];
```

```
        /* in other words if (i==0) printf("Correct") else printf("Wrong") */
```

```
        IF[ Use [!:LABEL]EXP ?(* i != 0(Int) | I), printf[top](ws), printf[top](cs) ];
```

```
        i = IF[ Use [!:LABEL]EXP ?(* i != 0(Int) | I), 2(Int), 3(Int)];
```

```
        IF[ Use [!:LABEL]EXP ?(* i == 3(Int) | I), printf[top](cs), printf[top](ws) ];
```

```
        return(make_top)
```

```
    };
```

```
Keep (main)
```

---

## 4.5 A test for long jumps

---

```
lddec printf:proc;
```

```
Proc f = bottom(env:pointer(frame_alignment), lab:pointer(code_alignment) )  
{  
    long_jump(* env, * lab)  
};
```

```
String s1 = "Should not reach here\n";  
String s2 = "long-jump OK\n";
```

```
Proc main = top()  
Labelled{  
    f[bottom](current_env, make_local_lv(l));  
    printf[top](s1);          /* should never reach here */  
    return(make_top)  
| :l:  
    printf[top](s2);  
    return(make_top)  
};
```

```
Keep (main)
```



---

---

## 5 Use of the PL\_TDF compiler

---

---

Conventionally, PL\_TDF programs are held in normal text files with suffix .pl. The PL\_TDF compiler is invoked by:

```
pl [-v] [-linclude_path ...] [-g] infile.pl outfile.j
```

This compiles infile.pl to TDF in outfile.j. This file can be linked and loaded just as any other .j file using tcc.

The -v option will produce a cut-down pretty print of the TDF for the definitions and declarations of the tags, tokens and al\_tags of the program on the standard output.

The -I options will defines the paths for any #include pre-processing directives in the text.

The -g option will put line number information into the TDF.

Compile-time error reporting is rather rudimentary and error recovery non-existent. Only the first error found will be reported on the standard error channel. This will give some indication of the type of error, together with the text line number and a print-out of the line, marking the place within the line where the error was detected.

Errors which can only be detected at translate-time are much more difficult to correct. These are usually shape or alignment errors, particularly in the construction of offsets. Try compiling and translating with the -g option. On the error, the translator will output the source filename and an approximate line-number corresponding to the position of the error in the PL\_TDF.

Translating with the -g option may sometimes give warning messages from the system assembler being used; some assemblers object to being given line number information in anything else but the .text segment of the program. The main intention of the -g option is to detect and correct errors thrown up by the translators and not for run-time de-bugging, so do not regard a warning like this as a bug in the system.