

Windows Security

Interprocess Communication

Adrian Coleșa

Universitatea Tehnică din Cluj-Napoca
Computer Science Department

12 august 2014

Purpose and Contents
Windows IPC Security
Window Messaging
Pipes
Mailslots
Remote Procedure Calls
Bibliography

The purpose of this lecture

- 1
- 2

Outline

- 1 Windows IPC Security
 - The Redirector
 - Impersonation
- 2 Window Messaging
- 3 Pipes
- 4 Mailslots
- 5 Remote Procedure Calls
 - RPC Connections
 - RPC Transports
 - Microsoft Interface Definition Language (MIDL)
 - Application Configuration Files (ACF)
 - RPC Servers
 - Impersonation in RPC

Outline

- 1 **Windows IPC Security**
 - The Redirector
 - Impersonation
- 2 Window Messaging
- 3 Pipes
- 4 Mailslots
- 5 Remote Procedure Calls
 - RPC Connections
 - RPC Transports
 - Microsoft Interface Definition Language (MIDL)
 - Application Configuration Files (ACF)
 - RPC Servers
 - Impersonation in RPC
 - Context Handles and State
 - Threading in RPC

Outline

- 1 Windows IPC Security
 - The Redirector
 - Impersonation
- 2 Window Messaging
- 3 Pipes
- 4 Mailslots
- 5 Remote Procedure Calls
 - RPC Connections
 - RPC Transports
 - Microsoft Interface Definition Language (MIDL)
 - Application Configuration Files (ACF)
 - RPC Servers
 - Impersonation in RPC
 - Context Handles and State
 - Threading in RPC

Universal Naming Convention

- UNC network path: “\\server\share\path”
- server could be: an IP, a NETBIOS name, a qualified DNS name
- server name “.” is for the local host

Session Credentials

- connection to any remote machine generates a set of session credentials for it, stored in the logon session
- a login session maintains only one set of credentials per remote system

SMB Relay Attack

- when connecting to another system, the server presents the client with a random challenge value
- the client responds with a message authentication code (MAC) incorporating the password hash and the challenge value
- this way LAN Manager (LM) and NT LAN Manager (NTLM) authentication avoid presenting the password hash to a potentially malicious server
- problem: server identity is never verified

SMB Relay Attack (cont.)

- vulnerable to a type of a man-in-the-middle attack: SMB Relay or SMB proxy
 - causes the victim (e.g. by emails) to establish a SMB connection to an attacker-controlled system
 - initiates a connection to a target system and acts as a proxy between the victim and the target
 - after the challenge exchange is completed, the attacker is connected to the target server with the victim's credentials

Outline

- 1 Windows IPC Security
 - The Redirector
 - **Impersonation**
- 2 Window Messaging
- 3 Pipes
- 4 Mailslots
- 5 Remote Procedure Calls
 - RPC Connections
 - RPC Transports
 - Microsoft Interface Definition Language (MIDL)
 - Application Configuration Files (ACF)
 - RPC Servers
 - Impersonation in RPC
 - Context Handles and State
 - Threading in RPC

Impersonation Levels

- impersonation allows credentials to be transferred automatically to processes in another session on the same or remote machine
- one of the foundational components of Windows single sign-on (SSO) mechanism
- allow a client to restrict the degree to which an IPC server can use the client's credentials
- levels
 - *SecurityAnonymous*
 - *SecurityIdentification*

Impersonation Levels (cont.)

- *SecurityImpersonation*
- *SecurityDelegation*
- usually specified as a parameter in IPC connection functions

SeImpersonatePrivilege

- a required privilege for impersonating another user
- a normal user does not have it by default
- granted to the built-in service accounts

Outline

- 1 Windows IPC Security
 - The Redirector
 - Impersonation
- 2 Window Messaging**
- 3 Pipes
- 4 Mailslots
- 5 Remote Procedure Calls
 - RPC Connections
 - RPC Transports
 - Microsoft Interface Definition Language (MIDL)
 - Application Configuration Files (ACF)
 - RPC Servers
 - Impersonation in RPC
 - Context Handles and State
 - Threading in RPC

Window Stations Object

- the primary method of isolating GUI-based communication
- contains essential GUI information, like
 - a private atom table (a shared collection of strings)
 - a clipboard
 - windows
 - one or more desktop objects
- each logon is associated with a single window station
- processes can be moved between window stations, assuming the associated tokens have adequate privileges
- *Winsta0*: the single window station for keyboard, mouse and the primary display

Window Stations Object (cont.)

- all services running under the network service account share a single window station (“Service-0x0-3e6\$”) and desktop
- all services running under a local service account share a separate desktop and window station (“Service-0x0-3e5\$”)
- DACL on a window station is quite strict: limits access to essentially the system account and the owning user
- for *Winsta0* an ACE for user’s SID is added to the DACL at logon and removed at logoff

Window Stations Object (cont.)

- processes started in the contexts other than the window station's owner inherit an open handle to the window station from the parent process

The Desktop Object

- a securable UI object that functions as a display surface for attached threads
- every thread on the system is associated to a single desktop
- desktops exist as objects inside a window station
- *Winsta0* contains three desktop objects
 - default
 - Winlogon
 - screen server

The Desktop Object (cont.)

- access control on a desktop determines which users can manipulate the display surface
- desktop does not affect processing of window messages

Window Messages

- UI windows receive events through the use of window messages with the following structure

```
typedef struct {  
    HWND hwnd;  
    UINT message;  
    WPARAM wParam;  
    LPARAM lParam;  
    DWORD time;  
    POINT pt;  
} MSG, *PMSG;
```

- OS delivers messages to windows in a FIFO manner
- generated by system events (e.g. mouse movements, key presses)

Window Messages (cont.)

- there are four essential steps in creating a functional windowed application
 - create a *WindowProc()* function to handle messages
 - define a class that associate the *WindowProc* to a window type
 - create an instance of the *Window* class
 - create a message-processing loop

Window Messages (cont.)

```
int MainWindowProc(HWND hWnd, UINT iMsg, WPARAM wParam, LPARAM lParam)
{
    switch(iMsg) {
        case WM_CREATE:
            return 0;
        case WM_DESTROY:
            return PostQuitMessage(0);
        default:
            return DefWindowProc(hWnd, iMsg, wParam, lParam);
    }
}

BOOL InitClass(HINSTANCE hInst)
{
    WNDCLASSEX wc;

    ZeroMemory(&wc, sizeof(wc));
    wc.hInstance = hInst;
    wc.lpszClassName = "Main";
    wc.lpfnWndProc = (WNDPROC) MainWindowProc;
    wc.cbSize = sizeof(WNDCLASSEX);
```

Window Messages (cont.)

```
    return RegisterClassEx(&wc);
}

int APIENTRY WinMain(HINSTANCE hInst, HINSTANCE hPrev,
                    LPSTR lpCmdLine, int nCmdShow)
{
    WINDOW hwnd;

    InitClass(hInst);

    hwnd = CreateWindow("Main", "Main", 0,0,0,0,0,0,0, HWND_MESSAGE, 0);

    while (GetMessage(&msg, 0, 0, 0) &&
           GetMessage(&msg, 0, 0, 0) != -1) {
        TranslateMessage(&msg);
        DispatchMessage(&msg);
    }

    return msg.wParam;
}
```

Window Messages (cont.)

- *CreateWindow* creates a message-only window that is never displayed, but only handles window messages
- function *SendMessage* could be used to send a window message to a window whose handle is available to a process

Shatter Attacks

- there is no method to restrict and verify a message source
- attackers must have access to a window station before they can send messages
- the original shatter attack (privilege escalation)
 - sends a “WM_PASTE” message to a privileged process with the message pump on the same window station
 - this allows the attacker to place shell code in the address space of the privileged process
 - the attack is completed by sending a “WM_TIMER” message that includes the address of the injected code

Shatter Attacks (cont.)

- many other messages like “WM_TIMER” could also be used similarly
- the root of the problem: a privileged process (e.g. a service) cannot safely interact with a potentially hostile desktop
- code audit: identify situations that cause a privileged service to interact with a normal user desktop

Dynamic Data Exchange (DDE)

- a legacy form of IPC that exchange data by using a combination of window messages and shared memory
- two ways
 - requires handling “WM_DDE_*” window messages with *PackDDEkParam()* and *UnpackDDElParam()* functions
 - uses DDE Management Library (DDEML) API
- was a common form of IPC in earlier versions of Windows
- now deprecated

Dynamic Data Exchange (DDE) (cont.)

- has no real security impact when used to establish communication between processes with the same security context
- problems
 - supports communication between processes with different user security contexts on a shared window station
 - supports exchange data over the network by using file shares
 - supports impersonation of clients in a DDE communication

Terminal Sessions

- Windows Terminal Services (WTS) provides the capability of a single Windows system to host multiple interactive user sessions
- terminal sessions place additional restrictions on the interaction between processes in different sessions
- each terminal session has a unique *Winsta0* associated with it
- objects are distinguished between sessions by using “Global\” and “Local\” namespaces prefixes

Outline

- 1 Windows IPC Security
 - The Redirector
 - Impersonation
- 2 Window Messaging
- 3 Pipes
- 4 Mailslots
- 5 Remote Procedure Calls
 - RPC Connections
 - RPC Transports
 - Microsoft Interface Definition Language (MIDL)
 - Application Configuration Files (ACF)
 - RPC Servers
 - Impersonation in RPC
 - Context Handles and State
 - Threading in RPC

Pipe Permissions

- anonymous pipes
 - uni-directional
 - used between threads of a single process and between parent and child processes
- named pipes
 - can be referred to by arbitrary processes, even remotely
 - multi-directional
 - work in a client-server architecture (one server and one or more clients)
- all pipes are securable objects
- permissions

Pipe Permissions (cont.)

- PIPE_ACCESS_DUPLEX
- PIPE_ACCESS_INBOUND
- PIPE_ACCESS_OUTBOUND

Named Pipe Creation

- function *CreateNamedPipe()*

```
HANDLE CreateNamedPipe(LPCTSTR lpName, DWORD dwOpenMode, DWORD dwPipeMode,  
    DWORD nMaxInstances, DWORD nOutBufferSize,  
    DWORD nInBufferSize, DWORD nDefaultTimeout,  
    LPSECURITY_ATTRIBUTES lpSecurityAttributes)
```

- *dwOpenMode*

- PIPE_ACCESS_DUPLEX, PIPE_ACCESS_INBOUND,
 PIPE_ACCESS_OUTBOUND,
 FILE_FLAG_FIRST_PIPE_INSTANCE,
 FILE_FLAG_WRITE_THROUGH, FILE_FLAG_OVERLAPPED

- *dwPipeMode*

- PIPE_TYPE_BYTE, PIPE_TYPE_MESSAGE

Named Pipe Creation (cont.)

- just sending a message on a pipe could be done using *CallNamedPipe()* function

Impersonation in Pipes

- a named pipe can impersonate the credentials of client servers that connect to it
- function *ImpersonateNamedPipeClient()*
- the calling thread impersonates the context of the last message read from the pipe
 - if the connection is local, impersonation fails unless data has first been read from and written to the pipe
 - if the client is remote, impersonation might succeed because messages are transferred in establishing the connection

Impersonation in Pipes (cont.)

- in both cases, it is best to make sure the pipe is read from before impersonation is attempted
- clients can restrict the degree to which a server can impersonate them by specifying an impersonation level in *CreateFile()*
- impersonation flags in the *dwFlagsAndAttributes* parameter of *CreateFile()*, when “SECURITY_SQOS_PRESENT” is used
 - SECURITY_ANONYMOUS, SECURITY_IDENTIFICATION, SECURITY_IMPERSONATION, SECURITY_DELEGATION, SECURITY_EFFECTIVE_ONLY, SECURITY_CONTEXT_TRACKING

Impersonation in Pipes (cont.)

- example of a correct code, protecting itself from being impersonation by the server

```
hPipe = CreateFile("\\\\.\\pipe\\MyPipe", GENERIC_ALL, 0, NULL, OPEN_EXISTING,  
                  SECURITY_SQOS_PRESENT | SECURITY_IDENTIFICATION, NULL);
```

- example of a vulnerable code omitting to check the result of impersonation function

Impersonation in Pipes (cont.)

```
for (;;) {  
    rc = ReadFile(hPipe, bufferm BUFSIZE, &bytes, NULL);  
  
    switch (buffer[0]) {  
        case REQUEST_FILE:  
            extract_filename(buffer, bytes, fname);  
            ImpersonateNamedPipeClient(hPipe);  
            write_file_to_pipe(hPipe, fname);  
            RevertToSelf();  
            break;  
    }  
}
```

- if *ImpersonateNamedPipeClient()* fails, the server (privileged application) write the file with its own privileges and permission rights

Pipe Squatting

- it is possible for named pipes
- developers must be careful in deciding how to create and open a named pipe
- code review
 - implications for servers that are vulnerable to name squatting
 - implications for clients that are vulnerable to name squatting

Pipe Squatting for Servers

- when fails to check if the pipe has already been created
- when creates a pool of pipes and uses *ConnectNamedPipe()* to service multiple connections
- when creating a single-instance pipe using *CreateFile()*, squatting vulnerability could occur the same way it does for files
- example of vulnerable code, not using `FILE_FLAG_FIRST_PIPE_INSTANCE`

```
hPipe = CreateNamedPipe ("\\\\.\\pipe\\MyPipe", PIPE_ACCESS_DUPLEX,  
    PIPE_TYPE_BYTE, PIPE_UNLIMITED_INSTANCES, 1024,  
    1024, NWPWAIT_USE_DEFAULT_WAIT, psd);
```



Pipe Squatting for Servers (cont.)

- attacker can create and connect to a pipe named “MyPipe” before the vulnerable application
 - clients connect to the attacker’s pipe

Pipe Squatting for Clients

- they can unintentionally connect to a malicious pipe
- introduction of *SeImpersonatePrivilege* eliminated this type of vulnerability

Outline

- 1 Windows IPC Security
 - The Redirector
 - Impersonation
- 2 Window Messaging
- 3 Pipes
- 4 Mailslots**
- 5 Remote Procedure Calls
 - RPC Connections
 - RPC Transports
 - Microsoft Interface Definition Language (MIDL)
 - Application Configuration Files (ACF)
 - RPC Servers
 - Impersonation in RPC
 - Context Handles and State
 - Threading in RPC

Mailslot Permissions

- mailslots are neither connection-oriented nor bidirectional
- clients just send messages to the server
- clients never read from mailslots
- do not have a unique set of access rights: use the standard file access rights

Mailslot Squatting

- is not possible the same way as for other named objects
- they only have a creation function, *CreateMailslot()*, which fails if a mailslot of the same name already exists
- a client could send a message to a server it does not intend to

Outline

- 1 Windows IPC Security
 - The Redirector
 - Impersonation
- 2 Window Messaging
- 3 Pipes
- 4 Mailslots
- 5 Remote Procedure Calls**
 - RPC Connections
 - RPC Transports
 - Microsoft Interface Definition Language (MIDL)
 - Application Configuration Files (ACF)
 - RPC Servers
 - Impersonation in RPC
 - Context Handles and State
 - Threading in RPC

Outline

1 Windows IPC Security

- The Redirector
- Impersonation

2 Window Messaging

3 Pipes

4 Mailslots

5 Remote Procedure Calls

- **RPC Connections**
- RPC Transports
- Microsoft Interface Definition Language (MIDL)
- Application Configuration Files (ACF)
- RPC Servers
- Impersonation in RPC
- Context Handles and State
- Threading in RPC

RPC Connections

- Windows uses DCE RPC
- before a client can make a RPC, it needs to create a binding
- binding = an application-level connection between client and server
- binding handles
- endpoint mapper

Outline

- 1 Windows IPC Security
 - The Redirector
 - Impersonation
- 2 Window Messaging
- 3 Pipes
- 4 Mailslots
- 5 Remote Procedure Calls**
 - RPC Connections
 - RPC Transports**
 - Microsoft Interface Definition Language (MIDL)
 - Application Configuration Files (ACF)
 - RPC Servers
 - Impersonation in RPC
 - Context Handles and State
 - Threading in RPC

NCACN

- NCACN = network computing architecture connection oriented
- ncacn_nb_tcp
- ncacn_nb_ipx
- ncacn_nb_nb
- ncacn_ip_tcp
- ncacn_np
- ncacn_http

NCADG

- NCADG = network computing architecture datagram protocol
- connectionless
- `ncadg_ip_udp`
- `ncadg_ipx`

NCALRPC

- local RPC, when both server and client are on the same machine
- `ncalrpc`

Outline

- 1 Windows IPC Security
 - The Redirector
 - Impersonation
- 2 Window Messaging
- 3 Pipes
- 4 Mailslots
- 5 Remote Procedure Calls**
 - RPC Connections
 - RPC Transports
 - Microsoft Interface Definition Language (MIDL)**
 - Application Configuration Files (ACF)
 - RPC Servers
 - Impersonation in RPC
 - Context Handles and State
 - Threading in RPC

Definition

- MIDL has a C-like structure
- IDL Interface
- IDL definition body
- compiler features

IDL File Structure. IDL Interface Header

- specifies a set of attributes

```
attribute_name (Attribute_arguments)
```

- example

```
[  
    uuid(12345678-12234-1234-1234-123456789012),  
    version(1.1.),  
    endpoint("ncacn_ip_tcp:[1234]")  
]
```

IDL File Structure. IDL Definition Body

- details all the procedures available for clients

```
interface myinterface  
{  
    ... definitions ....  
}
```

- example

```
interface myinterface  
{  
    int RunCommand([in] int command,  
                  [in, string] unsigned char * args,  
                  [out, string] unsigned char * res);  
}
```


IDL File Structure. Compiler Features

- attributes like “size_is”, “length_is”, “range”
- compiler option “/robust”
- example

```
interface myinterface
{
    int SendCommand([in, range(0,16)] int msg_id,
                    [in, range(0,1023)] int msg_len,
                    [in,length_is(msg_len)] unsigned char * msg);
}
```

Outline

- 1 Windows IPC Security
 - The Redirector
 - Impersonation
- 2 Window Messaging
- 3 Pipes
- 4 Mailslots
- 5 Remote Procedure Calls**
 - RPC Connections
 - RPC Transports
 - Microsoft Interface Definition Language (MIDL)
 - Application Configuration Files (ACF)**
 - RPC Servers
 - Impersonation in RPC
 - Context Handles and State
 - Threading in RPC

Application Configuration Files

- each interface has ACFs
- describe attributes that are local to the client or server and affect certain behavior
- have the same syntax like IDL, except the attributes they specify do not alter the interface definition

Outline

- 1 Windows IPC Security
 - The Redirector
 - Impersonation
- 2 Window Messaging
- 3 Pipes
- 4 Mailslots
- 5 Remote Procedure Calls**
 - RPC Connections
 - RPC Transports
 - Microsoft Interface Definition Language (MIDL)
 - Application Configuration Files (ACF)
 - RPC Servers**
 - Impersonation in RPC
 - Context Handles and State
 - Threading in RPC

Registering Interfaces

- function *RpcServerRegisterIf()*
- function *RpcServerRegisterIfEx()*
- flags relevant to security
 - `RPC_IF_ALLOW_CALLBACKS_WITH_NO_AUTH`
 - `RPC_IF_ALLOW_LOCAL_ONLY`
- function *RpcServerRegisterIf2()*
- example 1

```
RpcServerRegisterIfEx(hSpec, NULL, NULL, 0, 20, NULL);
```

- example 2

```
RpcServerRegisterIfEx(hSpec, NULL, NULL,  
    RPC_IF_ALLOW_LOCAL_ONLY, 20, MyCallback);
```

Binding to an Endpoint

- after registering an interface, the server needs to bind to endpoints so that clients can contact it
- register protocol sequences that the server should accept connections on
- functions *RcpServerUseProtseq()*, *RcpServerUseProtseqEx()*, and *RcpServerUseAllProtseqs()*
- register the endpoints for each protocol sequence
- the endpoint is protocol-specific information required for contacting the RPC server

Binding to an Endpoint (cont.)

- function *RpcEnRegister()*
- provides the endpoint mapper with the endpoints of an RPC interface

Listening for Requests

- function *RocServerListen()*
- indicates that the server is expecting requests and potentially exposed to malicious input

Authentication

- attack surface of an RPC server depends heavily on the level of authentication it requires
- levels
 - `RPC_C_AUTH_LEVEL_DEFAULT`
 - `RPC_C_AUTH_LEVEL_NONE`
 - `RPC_C_AUTH_LEVEL_CONNECT`
 - `RPC_C_AUTH_LEVEL_CALL`
 - `RPC_C_AUTH_LEVEL_PKT`
 - `RPC_C_AUTH_LEVEL_PKT_PRIVACY`
 - `RPC_C_AUTH_LEVEL_PKT_INTEGRITY`

Authentication (cont.)

- each authentication service must be registered by calling *RpcServerRegisterAuthInfo()*

Authenticating Requests

- the server can provide a DACL for a binding
- two routines can be used in a security callback
 - *RpcBindingInqAuthClient()*
 - *RpcServerInqCallAttributes()*

Outline

- 1 Windows IPC Security
 - The Redirector
 - Impersonation
- 2 Window Messaging
- 3 Pipes
- 4 Mailslots
- 5 Remote Procedure Calls**
 - RPC Connections
 - RPC Transports
 - Microsoft Interface Definition Language (MIDL)
 - Application Configuration Files (ACF)
 - RPC Servers
 - Impersonation in RPC**
 - Context Handles and State
 - Threading in RPC

Impersonation in RPC

- RPC can impersonate clients as named pipes
- it is the effective method for accessing secure objects safely in the calling user's context
- functions *RpcImpersonateClient()* and *RpcGetAuthorizationContextForClient()*
- clients can restrict server's capability to impersonate them, by using *RpcBindingSetAuthInfoEx()*
- levels of impersonation
 - `RPC_C_IMP_LEVEL_DEFAULT`
 - `RPC_C_IMP_LEVEL_ANONYMOUS`

Impersonation in RPC (cont.)

- `RPC_C_IMP_LEVEL_IDENTIFY`
- `RPC_C_IMP_LEVEL_IMPERSONATE`
- `RPC_C_IMP_LEVEL_DELEGATE`

Outline

- 1 Windows IPC Security
 - The Redirector
 - Impersonation
- 2 Window Messaging
- 3 Pipes
- 4 Mailslots
- 5 Remote Procedure Calls**
 - RPC Connections
 - RPC Transports
 - Microsoft Interface Definition Language (MIDL)
 - Application Configuration Files (ACF)
 - RPC Servers
 - Impersonation in RPC
 - Context Handles and State**
 - Threading in RPC

Context Handles and State

- RPC is stateless, but provides explicit mechanisms for maintaining stateless
- typical mechanism: context handle
- a unique token a client can supply to a server, similar in function to a session ID stored in a HTTP cookie
- a context handle could be exposed to malicious users in a variety of ways
 - sniffing the network transport
 - through the actions of a malicious client
 - another RPC interface might even reveal the context handle if strict context handles are not used

Strict Context Handles

- RPC service normally accepts any valid context handle, regardless of the originating interface
- developers can prevent this by using strict context handles
- defined by “`strict_context_handle`” attribute
- is valid only only for the originating interface

Proprietary State Mechanisms

- look for the following vulnerabilities
- predictable sessions identifiers
- short session identifiers vulnerable to brute-force attacks
- discoverable session identifiers
- session identifiers that leak sensitive information

Outline

- 1 Windows IPC Security
 - The Redirector
 - Impersonation
- 2 Window Messaging
- 3 Pipes
- 4 Mailslots
- 5 Remote Procedure Calls**
 - RPC Connections
 - RPC Transports
 - Microsoft Interface Definition Language (MIDL)
 - Application Configuration Files (ACF)
 - RPC Servers
 - Impersonation in RPC
 - Context Handles and State
 - Threading in RPC**

Threading in RPC

- RPC subsystem services calls via a pool of worker threads
- an RPC call can occur on any thread
- an RCP call can be preempted at any time, even by another instance of the same call
- such behavior could lead to vulnerabilities when access to shared resources is not synchronized properly

Bibliography

- 1 “The Art of Software Security Assessments”, chapter 8,
“Windows II: Interprocess Communication”, pp. 685 – 754