

PHYS 512 Problem Set 5

Teophile Lemay, 281081252

1

Running the provided code with the initial hard-coded parameters gives $\chi^2 = 15267.937150261654$. Given 2501 degrees of freedom, the fit for the initial values has a reduced $\chi_r^2 = \frac{\chi^2}{n_{dof}} = 6.1047329669178945$. A good fit within uncertainty should produce $\chi_r^2 \approx 1$, or $\chi^2 \approx n_{dof}$. The initial guesses produce $\chi^2 \approx 6 \cdot n_{dof}$ which is more than 2 times the variance $\sigma_{\chi^2}^2 = 2n_{dof}$ away from the mean so I do not believe that the initial guesses make a good fit to the data.

Adjusting the values of the parameters to

$$\begin{aligned}H_0 &= 69 \\ \Omega_b h^2 &= 0.022 \\ \Omega_c h^2 &= 0.12 \\ \tau &= 0.06 \\ A_s &= 2.1e9 \\ n_s &= 0.95\end{aligned}$$

produces a fit with $\chi^2 = 3272.2053559202204$. This gives a value of $\chi_r^2 = 1.3083587988485488$. With the new parameters, χ^2 for the fit is approximately equal to n_{dof} so the new parameters are an acceptable fit.

2

I used Newton's method with numerical derivatives to fit the parameters to the data. The model is calculated from the parameter using the `get_spectrum` function defined in the example script "planck_likelihood.py". The gradients in parameter space were computed using central differences for each parameter. Optimal step size for the central difference derivative is given by

$$dp = \left(\frac{\epsilon f}{f'''} \right)^{1/3} p$$

where ϵ is machine precision. My function assumes that $f \approx \frac{d^3 f}{dx^3}$ and uses step sizes $dp = p \cdot \epsilon^{1/3}$. In order for Newton's method to converge, I also had to omit the first line in the dataset. This is an acceptable omission since the first two values of the model (monopole, and multipole 2) are omitted by `get_spectrum`, and skipping the first data line corresponds to multipole 2. Thus, both the model and data in use start at multipole 3. The initial guesses for Newton's method were the poor fit parameters from the example code.

Parameter errors were calculated according to

$$\langle (\Delta m)^2 \rangle = (\nabla A^T N^{-1} \nabla A)^{-1}$$

where ∇A is the gradient of the model with respect to the parameters, and N is a diagonal matrix containing the noise at each point in the data. Best fit parameters and error are saved to "planck_fit.params.txt" with the data in the first column (ordered as $H_0, \Omega_b h^2, \Omega_c h^2, \tau, A_s, n_s$), and estimated error in the second column.

The best fit parameters from Newton's method are

$$\begin{aligned}
H_0 &= 68.94 \pm 0.12 \\
\Omega_b h^2 &= 0.022375 \pm 0.000025 \\
\Omega_c h^2 &= 0.17398 \pm 0.00025 \\
\tau &= 0.0522 \pm 0.0041 \\
A_s &= (2.078 \pm 0.016) \cdot 10^{-9} \\
n_s &= 0.96849 \pm 0.00072
\end{aligned}$$


which gives a fit with $\chi^2 = 2586.62$ for 2500 degrees of freedom. This is a good fit to the data.

time permitting, vary value of dark matter density.

3

Over multiple different iterations, the best results were from an MCMC running for 40000 steps, with changes for each parameter produced by a random number drawn from a Gaussian distribution centered at 0 with variance 1, multiplied by the estimated error of the Newton's method fit. As shown in figure 1, all parameters appear to stabilize after approximately 20000 steps, so only the second half of the chains was used to estimate parameters. While they

Figure 1: MCMC chains



remain near a fixed value, none of the parameters appear to converge very well as all the chains contain some relatively large scale oscillations. The imperfect convergence of the chains is further shown by the power spectra and corner plot in figure 2. First, the power spectra do contain a flattened left-end, indicating convergence. However, the knee is located near 0.0007 giving an independent sample at approximately every 1400 steps. Over the more stable 20000 steps kept, this gives only 14 independent samples. Furthermore, the pairwise comparisons between parameter spreads shown in the corner plots do not show tight, uncorrelated convergences for each parameter. For example, τ and A_s appear to have a strong positive correlation, and H_0 and $\Omega_c h^2$ have an apparent negative correlation. Given the appearances and behaviours of my chains, I do not believe that they are properly converged.

This may be due to the fact that τ almost immediately jumps to around twice it's expected value and does not explore other regions in parameter space. Thus, with τ staying near a poor value, the other parameters are limited in their convergence to a best fit and may be able to vary more freely with less "steep" likelihood controls. Despite τ not converging near the expected value, the chains were still able to produce a good fit to the data with χ^2 values around 2580 for 2501 degrees of freedom throughout most of the fit (figure 3 shows χ^2 values for the last 20000 steps). Best fit parameters and uncertainty were calculated using the mean and standard deviation of the last 20 000 steps of the MCMC (see first print statement in figure !!!WHATEVER THE LAST NUMBER IS!!!).

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 &= \\ \Omega_b h^2 &= \\ \Omega_c h^2 &= \\ \tau &= \\ A_s &= \\ n_s &= \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the CAMB model made using the MCMC parameters to the data gives $\chi^2 = STC$ which is a good fit.

Assuming the universe is flat: $\Omega_b + \Omega_c + \Omega_\Lambda = 1$. Therefore, the mean value of dark energy Ω_Λ can be estimated from my MCMC fit:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_\Lambda &= 1 - \Omega_b - \Omega_c = 1 - \frac{\Omega_b h^2}{h^2} - \frac{\Omega_c h^2}{h^2} = 1 - \frac{\Omega_b h^2}{(H_0/100)^2} - \frac{\Omega_c h^2}{(H_0/100)^2} \\ \Omega_\Lambda &= STC \pm . \end{aligned}$$

The expected value of Ω_Λ is

4

Run new MCMC with constrained parameters

Figure 2: MCMC convergence: Power spectra and corner plot




Figure 3: Misc. Code outputs

```
parameters:
$H_0$ 69.6979320745904 (+/-) 0.48901054081556317
$\Omega_b h^2$ 0.02245438619206941 (+/-) 0.00015876903947106252
$\Omega_c h^2$ 0.11512093113208911 (+/-) 0.0012398747871251645
$\tau$ 0.13223892049791447 (+/-) 0.019599817158860524
$A_s$ 2.421679970083134e-09 (+/-) 9.067177875394221e-11
$n_s$ 0.9804428829081606 (+/-) 0.0037464566012977625

chisq of mcmc: 2571.4527620705853
chisq for mcmc with swapped tau: 18229.48852743998
```