

Combinatorial aspects of τ -tilting theory

January 19, 2021

1 Introduction

In these notes, $A = k\Gamma/I$ will denote the quotient of a path algebra over an admissible ideal. In particular, A is finite dimensional. We now recall some important definitions from tau-tilting theory.

2 Duality on support τ -tilting modules

There is a theory of duality for support τ -tilting modules which we now describe.

For a support τ -tilting A -module (M, P) , let M_p be the projective part of M . We then have

$$(M, P)^\dagger = (\text{Tr}M \oplus P^*, M_p^*)$$

and $(M, P)^\dagger$ is a support τ -tilting A^{op} -module.

The following observation will be particularly useful when studying the mutation graphs of symmetric algebras.

Observation 2.1. *An ordering of (M, P) induces an ordering on $(M, P)^\dagger$. With this induced ordering,*

$$G_{(M, P)^\dagger} = -G_{(M, P)}$$

Proof. Any indecomposable summand X in (M, P) appears as exactly one indecomposable summand of $(M, P)^\dagger$, either in the tau-rigid part or in the second part.

Also note that the canonical bijection between the vertices of A and A^{op} induces a canonical isomorphism between the Groethendieck groups $K_0(A)$ and $K_0(A^{\text{op}})$.

Let now X be a nonprojective summand of M . Then we have a projective presentation $P_i \rightarrow P_j \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$, inducing a projective presentation of the transpose $\text{Tr}X$

$$P_j^* \rightarrow P_i^* \rightarrow \text{Tr}X \rightarrow 0$$

Then it directly follows that $g^{\text{Tr}X} = -g^X$.

If X is a projective summand of M , $(M, P)^\dagger = (N, Q \oplus X^*)$ for some appropriate N and Q . Then by the definition of g-matrices for support τ -tilting

modules, the column corresponding to the g -vector of X^* is given a negative sign, as it is placed in the second part.

Lastly, if X is a summand of P , it is moved from the second part to the τ -rigid part. This means that the column of the G -matrix $(M, P)^\dagger$ corresponding to this summand will be sign-inverted.

As all columns are thus sign-inverted and we have proved the statement. \square

3 Mutation quivers of symmetric algebras

For a symmetric algebra A , any A^{op} -module M may be viewed as an A -module.

In particular, for a support τ -tilting A -module (M, P) , $(M, P)^\dagger$ may also be viewed as a support τ -tilting module over A . This implies that the duality of support τ -tilting modules induces an automorphism on the set of (not necessarily ordered) support τ -tilting modules of symmetric algebras.