

# Combinatorial aspects of $\tau$ -tilting theory

April 30, 2021

## 1 Introduction

In these notes,  $A = k\Gamma/I$  will denote the quotient of a path algebra over an admissible ideal. In particular,  $A$  is finite dimensional. We now recall some important definitions from tau-tilting theory.

## 2 Duality on support $\tau$ -tilting modules

There is a theory of duality for support  $\tau$ -tilting modules which we now describe.

For a support  $\tau$ -tilting  $A$ -module  $(M, P)$ , let  $M_p$  be the projective part of  $M$ . We then have

$$(M, P)^\dagger = (\text{Tr}M \oplus P^*, M_p^*)$$

and  $(M, P)^\dagger$  is a support  $\tau$ -tilting  $A^{\text{op}}$ -module.

The following observation will be particularly useful when studying the mutation graphs of symmetric algebras.

**Observation 2.1.** *An ordering of  $(M, P)$  induces an ordering on  $(M, P)^\dagger$ . With this induced ordering,*

$$G_{(M, P)^\dagger} = -G_{(M, P)}$$

*Proof.* Any indecomposable summand  $X$  in  $(M, P)$  appears as exactly one indecomposable summand of  $(M, P)^\dagger$ , either in the tau-rigid part or in the second part.

Also note that the canonical bijection between the vertices of  $A$  and  $A^{\text{op}}$  induces a canonical isomorphism between the Groethendieck groups  $K_0(A)$  and  $K_0(A^{\text{op}})$ .

Let now  $X$  be a nonprojective summand of  $M$ . Then we have a projective presentation  $P_i \rightarrow P_j \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ , inducing a projective presentation of the transpose  $\text{Tr}X$

$$P_j^* \rightarrow P_i^* \rightarrow \text{Tr}X \rightarrow 0$$

Then it directly follows that  $g^{\text{Tr}X} = -g^X$ .

If  $X$  is a projective summand of  $M$ ,  $(M, P)^\dagger = (N, Q \oplus X^*)$  for some appropriate  $N$  and  $Q$ . Then by the definition of g-matrices for support  $\tau$ -tilting

modules, the column corresponding to the  $g$ -vector of  $X^*$  is given a negative sign, as it is placed in the second part.

Lastly, if  $X$  is a summand of  $P$ , it is moved from the second part to the  $\tau$ -rigid part. This means that the column of the  $G$ -matrix  $(M, P)^\dagger$  corresponding to this summand will be sign-inverted.

As all columns are thus sign-inverted and we have proved the statement.  $\square$

### 3 Mutation quivers of symmetric algebras

For a symmetric algebra  $A$ , any  $A^{op}$ -module  $M$  may be viewed as an  $A$ -module.

In particular, for a support  $\tau$ -tilting  $A$ -module  $(M, P)$ ,  $(M, P)^\dagger$  may also be viewed as a support  $\tau$ -tilting module over  $A$ . This implies that the duality of support  $\tau$ -tilting modules induces an automorphism on the set of (not necessarily ordered) support  $\tau$ -tilting modules of symmetric algebras.