




Based Methods

Lecture 9

Termeh Shafie


1

The Vocabulary




2

The Vocabulary




3

The Vocabulary




4

The Vocabulary




5

The Vocabulary




6

The Vocabulary




7

The Vocabulary




8

The Vocabulary




9

Data Type: Categorical




10

Data Type: Categorical



11

Data Type: Continuous



12


Classification and Regression Trees (CART)

13

Tree-Based Classification

nonparametric algorithms **partitioning the feature space** into a number of smaller (non-overlapping) regions with **similar response** values using a set of **splitting rules**

example: classification tree based on two predictors



14

Classification and Regression Trees


1. Start with an empty decision tree (undivided feature space)
2. Choose the 'optimal' predictor on which to split,
3. choose the 'optimal' threshold value for splitting by applying a **splitting criterion**
4. Recurse on each new node until stopping condition is met

Splitting Criteria

$$\text{Gini Index: } GI = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2$$

$$\text{Entropy: } H = - \sum_i p_i \log(p_i)$$

Goal: split where GI or H is minimized




15

Example

cats	house	ho	children	income
1	0	1	1	34
0	1	0	1	58.3
1	1	1	0	71.5
0	0	0	1	74.9
0	0	0	1	75.3
1	0	0	1	75.6
0	0	0	1	81
1	1	1	0	82.3
1	1	1	0	85.6
1	1	1	1	95.4

$$GI = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2$$




16

Example

cats	house	ho	children	income
1	0	1	1	34
0	1	0	1	58.3
1	1	1	0	71.5
0	0	0	1	74.9
0	0	0	1	75.3
1	0	0	1	75.6
0	0	0	1	81
1	1	1	0	82.3
1	1	1	0	85.6
1	1	1	1	95.4

$$GI = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2$$




17

Example

cats	house	ho	children	income
1	0	1	1	34
0	1	0	1	58.3
1	1	1	0	71.5
0	0	0	1	74.9
0	0	0	1	75.3
1	0	0	1	75.6
0	0	0	1	81
1	1	1	0	82.3
1	1	1	0	85.6
1	1	1	1	95.4

$$GI = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2$$




18

Example

cats	house	ho	children	income
1	0	1	1	34
0	1	0	1	58.3
1	1	1	0	71.5
0	0	0	1	74.9
0	0	0	1	75.3
1	0	0	1	75.6
0	0	0	1	81
1	1	1	0	82.3
1	1	1	0	85.6
1	1	1	1	95.4

$$GI = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2$$




19

Example

cats	house	ho	children	income
1	0	1	1	34
0	1	0	1	58.3
1	1	1	0	71.5
0	0	0	1	74.9
0	0	0	1	75.3
1	0	0	1	75.6
0	0	0	1	81
1	1	1	0	82.3
1	1	1	0	85.6
1	1	1	1	95.4

$$GI = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2$$




20

Example

$$0.4 \quad 0.16 \quad 0.343 \quad 0.343 \quad GI = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2$$


cats	house	ho	children	income
1	0	1	1	34
0	1	0	1	58.3
1	1	1	0	71.5
0	0	0	1	74.9
0	0	0	1	75.3
1	0	0	1	75.6
0	0	0	1	81
1	1	1	0	82.3
1	1	1	0	85.6
1	1	1	1	95.4



21

Review: Basic Steps

1. Compute Gini index or Entropy as measure of impurity for each node
2. Choose node with lowest score
3. If the parent node has the lowest score, it is a leaf



22

Variable Importance Measure: Gini Importance

1. How much does this feature reduce node impurity?

$$\text{node-impo}_j = \underbrace{w_j C_j}_{\text{importance of node } j} - \left(\underbrace{w_{\text{left},j} C_{\text{left},j}}_{\text{weighted child node impurity}} + \underbrace{w_{\text{right},j} C_{\text{right},j}}_{\text{weighted child node impurity}} \right)$$

weighted parent node impurity

A decision tree diagram. The root node is a rectangle. It splits into two branches, each leading to a leaf node. The bottom-most leaf nodes are empty rectangles.

feature importance (f_i):

$$f_i = \frac{\sum_{j \in S_i} \text{node-impo}_j}{\sum_{k \in S_{\text{all}}} \text{node-impo}_k} \quad \text{where } S_i \text{ is set of all nodes that split on feature}_i$$

23

Variable Importance Measure: Permutations

2. How much does reshuffling of a variable reduce model performance?

The larger the discrepancy between baseline model predictions and reshuffled model predictions, the more important is that feature


Cat icon

cats	ho
1	1
0	0
1	1
0	0
0	0
1	0
0	0
1	1
1	1
1	1

24

Regression Trees


when what we wish to predict is continuous instead of categorical



25


Regression Trees

when what we wish to predict is continuous instead of categorical




26

Regression Trees




27

Regression Trees




28

Regression Trees




29

Regression Trees




30

Regression Trees




31

Regression Trees




32

Regression Trees




33

Regression Trees




34

Regression Trees




35

Regression Trees



36

Regression Trees




37

Regression Trees

- For **classification**, purity of the regions is a good indicator the performance of the model
 - For **regression**, we want to select a splitting criterion that promotes splits that improves the predictive accuracy of the model as measured by e.g. the MSE (or RSS as the previous example)
 - start with an empty decision tree
 - choose a predictor on which to split and choose a threshold for splitting such that the weighted average MSE of the new region is as small as possible
 - Recurse on each node until **stopping condition** is met
 - maximum depth
 - minimum number of points in region
- instead of purity gain, we instead compute accuracy gain


38

Regression Trees




39

Regression Trees



40

Motivation for Pruning



41

Cost Complexity Pruning

- we can obtain a simpler tree by ‘pruning’ a complex one
- we select from an array of smaller subtrees of the full model that optimizes a balance of performance and efficiency

$$C(T) = \text{Error}(T) + \alpha |T|$$

where T is a decision subtree


$|T|$ is the number of leaves in the tree

α penalizes model complexity

1. Fix α
2. Find best tree for a given α and based on complexity C
3. Find the best using CV and error measure

42


Regression Trees



43

Regression Trees: Pruning


compare using
 $C(T) = \text{Error}(T) + \alpha |T|$



44

Regression Trees: Pruning

Example: Hitters (ISLR2)




45

Decision Trees


+ Decision trees models are highly interpretable and fast to train

- In order to capture a complex decision boundary we need a large trees:
 - have **high variance** and prone to **overfitting**
 - often underperform compared to other classification/regression methods



46

Random Forests




Ensemble method comprising:

- Bagging
- Random Feature Selection

47

Bagging (Bootstrap Aggregating)

- **Bootstrap:**
 - generate multiple samples of training data via bootstrapping
 - train a full decision tree on each sample of data
- **Aggregate:**
 - given an input, we output the averaged outputs of all the models for that input
- Works with **the rows** of the data



48

Random Feature Selection

- Just like bagging but
 - works with **the columns** of the data
 - without replacement
- Every tree will have slightly different predictors



49

Random Forest

- Benefits
 - + By using full trees, each model is able to approximate complex function and decision boundaries
 - + By averaging the predictions of all models reduces the variance in the final prediction (given sufficiently large number of trees)
- Drawback
 - the averaged model is no longer easily interpretable - we can no longer trace the 'logic' of an output through a series of decisions based on predictor values


50

Tuning the Random Forest

- Random forest models have multiple hyper-parameters to tune
 - the number of predictors to randomly select at each split
 - the total number of trees in the ensemble
 - the minimum leaf node size
- Generally tuned through cross validation (⇒ data and problem dependent)
- Use **out-of-bag errors** to evaluate model's predictive accuracy
 - cease training once the out-of-bag error stabilizes
 - if sample large enough, estimate is approximately LOO-CV error for bagging
- When the number of predictors is large, but the number of relevant predictors is small, random forests can perform poorly
- Increasing number of trees in ensemble does not increase risk of overfitting
 - but the trees in the ensemble may become more correlated, increase the variance.

51

Out-Of-Bag Errors (OOB Errors)



52

Boosting Trees

53

Boosting Trees

The trees are grown sequentially:

- Each tree is grown using information from previously grown trees
- The boosting approach *learns slowly*, thus avoiding overfitting

correct errors made by all previous trees




54

Boosting Trees

The trees are grown sequentially:

- Each tree is grown using information from previously grown trees
- The boosting approach *learns slowly*, thus avoiding overfitting

correct errors made by all previous trees




55

Boosting Trees

The trees are grown sequentially:

- Each tree is grown using information from previously grown trees
- The boosting approach *learns slowly*, thus avoiding overfitting

correct errors made by all previous trees




56

Boosting Trees

The trees are grown sequentially:

- Each tree is grown using information from previously grown trees
- The boosting approach *learns slowly*, thus avoiding overfitting



57

Example

	age	initial guess	residual
obs 1	21	22	-1
obs 2	22	22	0
obs 3	23	22	1
obs 4	22	22	0
obs 5	21	22	-1

actual value = predicted + residual

what if we had a tree that could predict the residuals made by the initial model?

⇒ gradient boosting tree!

instead of fitting a bunch of independent trees,
we incrementally improve on our initial guess



58

Example


	age	initial guess	residual
obs 1	21	22	-1
obs 2	22	22	0
obs 3	23	22	1
obs 4	22	22	0
obs 5	21	22	-1

actual value = predicted + residual

what if we had a tree that could predict the residuals made by the initial model?

⇒ gradient boosting tree!

instead of fitting a bunch of independent trees,
we incrementally improve on our initial guess



59

Gradient Boosting Trees


Intuitively:

- Gradient boosting is a method for iteratively building a complex model T by adding simple models.
- Each new simple model added to the ensemble compensates for the weaknesses of the current ensemble:
 - each simple model $T^{(i)}$ we add to our ensemble model T , models the errors of T
 - Thus, with each addition of $T^{(i)}$, the residual is reduced

60

Gradient Boosting Trees


Original Data and First Tree Fit



61

Gradient Boosting Trees


Residuals After First Tree



62

Gradient Boosting Trees


Fitted Residuals and Second Tree Predictions



63


Gradient Boosting Trees

Updated Predictions After Second Tree



64

Gradient Boosting Trees



65

Gradient Boosting Trees: The Algorithm

1. Fit a simple model $T^{(0)}$ on the training data $\{(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_N, y_N)\}$
Set $T \leftarrow T^{(0)}$ and compute residuals $\{r_1, \dots, r_N\}$ for T
2. Fit a simple model $T^{(1)}$ to the current residuals, i.e. train using $\{(x_1, r_1), \dots, (x_N, r_N)\}$
3. Set $T \leftarrow T + \lambda T^{(1)}$ where λ is the learning rate (usually 0.01 or 0.001)
4. Compute residuals, set $r_n \leftarrow r_n - \lambda T^{(i)}(x_n)$, $n = 1, \dots, N$
5. Repeat steps 2-4 until stopping condition is met

66

Gradient Boosting Trees: The Math

$$0.01 \text{ tree} + 0.01 \text{ tree} + 0.01 \text{ tree} + \dots + 0.01 \text{ tree}$$

$$z_0 + 0.01z_1 + 0.01z_2 + \dots + 0.01z_n$$

baseline prediction **how do we choose these errors to correct?**



future trees predict error for a regression tree given defined loss function

let F_i be our predictions $F_i = \sum_{t=0}^i z_t$

$F_1 = z_0 + z_1$	$F_2 = z_0 + z_1 + z_3$
\vdots	

$$F_i = F_{i-1} + z_i$$

$$z_i = -\frac{\partial \text{Loss}(y, F_i)}{\partial F_i}$$

67

Gradient Boosting Trees: The Math

$$0.01 \text{ tree} + 0.01 \text{ tree} + 0.01 \text{ tree} + \dots + 0.01 \text{ tree}$$

$$z_0 + 0.01z_1 + 0.01z_2 + \dots + 0.01z_n$$



At iteration i :

- You already have a model $F_{i-1}(x)$
- You want to add a new tree $z_i(x)$ so that loss decreases

So you update: $F_i(x) = F_{i-1}(x) + z_i(x)$

To reduce loss, $z_i(x)$ should point in direction of steepest decrease of the loss:

$$z_i(x) = -\frac{\partial \text{Loss}(y, F_{i-1}(x))}{\partial F_{i-1}(x)} \quad \text{That's exactly the negative gradient.}$$

68

Gradient Boosting Trees: The Math



$$z_i = - \frac{\partial \text{Loss}(y, F_i)}{\partial F_i}$$

Negative Gradient of Loss w.r.t. Ensemble Prediction

- The Negative Gradient tell us what adjustments we should make to our prediction F_i in order to decrease our loss
- Example:



$$\text{Loss}(y, \hat{y}) = (y - \hat{y})^2 \implies -\frac{\partial \text{Loss}(y, \hat{y})}{\partial \hat{y}} \implies 2(y - \hat{y})$$

- With squared loss, error is the negative gradient, but the negative gradient will work in other situations!

69

Choosing a Learning Rate: Convexity



- Under ideal conditions, gradient descent iteratively approximates and converges to the optimum
- For a constant learning rate λ
 - if λ is too small, it takes too many iterations to reach the optimum
 - if λ is too large, algorithm may ‘bounce’ around the optimum and never get close



- Better to treat learning rate as a variable, that is let the value depend on gradient
- around optimum λ is small, and far from optimum λ is larger

70

This Week's Practical



71