# CRF-ADF Sequential Tagging Toolkit v1.0

## Xu Sun (xusun@pku.edu.cn)

School of EECS, Peking University http://klcl.pku.edu.cn/member/sunxu/index.htm

## 1. Overview

This is a general purpose software for sequential tagging (or called sequential labelling, linear-chain structured classification). The CRF (Conditional Random Fields) model is described in (Lafferty et al., 2001) and the ADF (Adaptive stochastic gradient Decent based on Feature-frequency information) fast training algorithm is described in (Sun et al., 2012).

Main features:

- Developed with C#
- High accuracy (72.3% on Bio-Entity Recognition Task at BioNLP/NLPBA 2004, and 97.5% on Chinese Word Segmentation MSR Task)
- Fast training (faster convergence rate than traditional batch/online training methods, including LBFGS & SGD)
- General purpose (it is task-independent & trainable using your own tagged corpus)
- Support rich edge features (Sun et al., 2012)
- Support various training methods, including ADF training, SGD training, & Limited-memory BFGS training
- Support automatic n-fold cross-validation for tuning hyper-parameters
- Support various evaluation metrics, including token-accuracy, string-accuracy, & F-score

## 2. Installation

Need C# compiler, e.g.,  $\it VisualStudio.net$  or  $\it Mono$ 

#### 3. Format of Data Files

The sample train/test files are given for illustrating the format of data files. The sample train/test files are extracted from a noun-phrase chunking task.

- ftrain.txt feature file for training
- gtrain.txt → gold-standard tagging file for training
- ftest.txt feature file for testing
- gtest.txt gold-standard tagging file for testing (essentially it is not required, it is only for evaluation of the model accuracy)

The training files (ftrain.txt) and gtrain.txt) should follow a specially defined format for CRF-ADF to work properly.

ftrain.txt includes the total-#feature-information and the detailed features of each training instance. Take the sample file ftrain.txt for example, the 1st line "40636" of the file is the total-#feature-information, and it means 40636 features in total. There should be boundaries between the total-#feature-info and training instances, and among different training instances. A boundary is expressed by a blank-line. A training instance has multiple lines of features. A feature (e.g., "the current word is cat") is expressed by an index (e.g., "532") with the index started from 0. The 1st line of features corresponds to the 1st token (e.g., a word in a sentence or a signal in a signal sequence), the 2nd line of features corresponds to the 2nd token in the sequence, and so on. For each line, the features/indices are sorted incrementally.

gtrain.txt includes the total-#tag-information and the detailed gold-standard tags. In gtrain.txt, the 1st line "3" is the total-#tag-information, and it means this task has 3 tags in total. Also, a boundary is expressed by a blank-line. A tag sequence (expressed by a line) has multiple tags. A tag (e.g., "Beginning of a chunk") is expressed by an index (e.g., "0") with the index started from 0. In a line, the 1st tag corresponds to the 1st token, and 2nd tag corresponds to the 2nd token, and so on.

The test files, ftest.txt and gtest.txt, have the same format like the training files.

## 4. How to Use

You can build a CRF model based on your own tagged data of a task. The only thing need to do is to provide the properly formatted tagged files. Use the command "option1:value1 option2:value2 ..." for setting values of options (hyper-parameters). The command "help" shows help information on command format. Below is the options and values:

```
'm' 

setting Global.runMode
Optional values:

train (normal training without rich edge features);

train.rich (training with rich edge features);

test (test mode);

tune (automatic tuning for stochastic training);

tune.rich (automatic tuning for stochastic training with rich edge features);

cv (automatic n-fold cross validation, default is 4-fold CV);

cv.rich (automatic n-fold cross validation with rich edge features, n = 4 by default)

Default: train
```

• 'mo'  $\longrightarrow$  setting Global.modelOptimizer

Optional values:

crf.adf (train CRF model with ADF training algorithm)

crf.sgd (train CRF model with SGD of fast/lazy regularization (Sun et al., 2013))

crf.sgder (train CRF with SGD of exact regularization)

crf.bfgs (train CRF with Limited-Memory BFGS batch training)

Default: crf.adf

• 'a'  $\longrightarrow$  setting Global.rate0

Optional values:

a real-value (set the initial value of the learning rate  $\gamma$  in (Sun et al., 2012))

Default: 0.1

• 'r'  $\longrightarrow$  setting Global.regList

Optional values:

one or multiple real-values (e.g., "r:1" for setting regularizer as 1.0; "r:1,5,10" for multiple rounds of training with the regularizer of 1.0, 5.0, and 10.0, respectively) Default: 1

• 'd'  $\longrightarrow$  setting Global.random

Optional values:

 $\theta$  (all weights are initialized with 0)

1 (random initialization of weights)

Default:  $\theta$ 

• 'e' -> setting Global.evalMetric

Optional values:

tok.acc (evaluation metric is token-accuracy)

str.acc (evaluation metric string-accuracy)

f1 (evaluation metric F1-score, i.e., balanced F-score)

Default: tok.acc

• 't' -> setting Global.taskBasedChunkInfo

Optional values:

np.chunk (set task-based-chunk-information as NP-chunking-task. This option is for calculating F-score because F-score is task-dependent. This option is useless when the evaluation metric is not F-score. You can also set other specific task-based-chunk-information. In this case you should re-define the getChunkTagMap() function.)

bio.ner (for Bio-NER-task)

Default: np.chunk

• 'ss' → setting Global.trainSizeScale

Optional values:

a real value (this is for scaling training data. For example, can set as 0.1 to use 10% training data for experiments. The default value 1 means 100% of training data) Default: 1

• 'i'  $\longrightarrow$  setting Global.ttlIter

Optional values:

an integral value (the total number of training iterations)

Default: 50

• 'n'  $\longrightarrow$  setting Global.nUpdate

Optional values:

an integral value (The default value of 10 means that the feature-frequency-information is updated 10 times per iteration. This value is for computing q in (Sun et al., 2012). Using this default value works well in most cases)

Default: 10

• 's'  $\longrightarrow$  setting Global.save

Optional values:

1 (model weights will be saved as model.txt file when training ends)

 $\theta$  (no save of model)

Default: 1

• 'of'  $\longrightarrow$  setting Global.outFolder

Optional values:

a string (setting the folder name for storing the output files)

Default: out

• 'mb'  $\longrightarrow$  setting Global.miniBatch

Optional values:

an integral value (set the size of mini-batches in ADF and SGD stochastic training. Typically set it as 1 for online training)

Default: 1

• 'up'  $\longrightarrow$  setting Global.upper

Optional values:

a real value (set ADF decay rate upper-bound, the  $\alpha$ , in (Sun et al., 2012). The default value works well for most cases.)

Default: 0.995

• 'lw'  $\longrightarrow$  setting Global.lower

Optional values:

a real value (set ADF decay rate lower-bound, the  $\beta$ , in (Sun et al., 2012). The default value works well for most cases.)

Default: 0.6

#### 4.1 How to Train the Model

Command examples:

• ./run.exe m:train mo:crf.adf a:0.05 r:5 e:str.acc i:200 It means: use the normal training mode; training algorithm is ADF; initial value of the learning rate  $\gamma$  is 0.05; the regularizer value is 5.0; evaluation metric is string-accuracy; total number of training iteration is 200.

• ./run.exe m:train.rich mo:crf.sgd a:0.05 r:1,5,10 e:f1 t:np.chunk i:200 of:out.sgd.f1

It means: training with rich edge features for higher accuracy (yet with slower speed); training algorithm is SGD; initial value of the learning rate  $\gamma$  is 0.05; 3 rounds of training will be automatically conducted with the regularizer values of 1.0, 5.0, and 10.0 respectively; evaluation metric is F-score; the task-information (chunk structures) is np.chunk; total number of training iteration is 200; the output folder is out.adf.f1.

#### 4.2 How to Evaluate on Test Data

Evaluation on the test data is simpler than training, because there are less hyper-parameters to set. Command examples:

- ./run.exe m:test
  It means: use the test mode (with default settings of hyper-parameters).
- ./run.exe m:test e:str.acc of:out.test

  It means: use the test mode; evaluation metric is string-accuracy; output folder is out.test.

# 4.3 How to Perform Cross-Validation & Tuning

Cross-validation (CV) is typically used for tuning hyper-parameters on a new task. Cross-validation is automatic and is based solely on the training data, without the need to observe the test data. The tool can conduct automatic n-fold CV with user defined value of n. By default, n = 4. The CV command is similar to the training command, and the only difference is to set the option 'm' as CV mode (i.e., m:cv or m:cv.rich). Command examples:

- ./run.exe m:cv mo:crf.adf a:0.05 r:5 e:str.acc i:200

  It means: cross-validation on the training data with the specific settings on hyperparameters.
- ./run.exe m:cv.rich mo:crf.sgd a:0.05 r:1,5,10 e:f1 t:np.chunk i:200 of:out.cv

It means: cross-validation with rich edge features.

The m:tune and m:tune.rich options can be seen as simplified versions of the CV. Compared with the CV option, the m:tune and m:tune.rich options randomly sample a held-out dataset from the training set for tuning hyper-parameters. The m:tune and m:tune.rich options are less reliable on tuning hyper-parameters compared with the CV option, but the time cost is lower. Moreover, the m:tune and m:tune.rich options only tune major hyper-parameters of stochastic training methods, while the CV option can tune all hyper-parameters of all methods.

#### 5. About Output Files

•  $./out/trainLog.txt \longrightarrow recording detailed training information of each iteration.$ 

- ./out/rawResult.txt recording the evaluation-score-on-test-data, time-cost, objective-function-value, etc. of each training iteration. This file has 2 formats available, including a matrix format.
- $./out/outputTag.txt \longrightarrow$  the tags predicted from the test data.
- $./model/model.txt \longrightarrow$  the model file derived from training.

## 6. About Code Files

- A.Global.cs 

  This file has the definitions and values of global variables. Most
  hyper-parameters are stored here.
- A.Main.cs → Main() function
- Base.\*\*.cs → These files defines the basic data structures (e.g., hashmap, matrix) and general algorithms (e.g., Viterbi decoding)
- CRF.Dataset.cs → For storing and processing data (feature files and tag files) in CRF-ADF
- ullet CRF.FeatureGenerator.cs  $\longrightarrow$  For generating features
- CRF.Gradient.cs For computing CRF gradient, which is useful in training
- CRF. Inference.cs --> For CRF inference & decoding
- CRF.Model.cs  $\longrightarrow$  For reading & writing CRF model
- CRF.RichEdge.cs For using rich edge features described in (Sun et al., 2012), using rich-edge features typically brings higher accuracy yet with slower training speed
- ullet CRF.ToolboxTrainTest.cs  $\longrightarrow$  For high level functions of training & testing
- ullet Optim.BatchLBFGS.cs  $\longrightarrow$  For detailed implementation of the LBFGS batch training method
- ullet Optim.Stochastic.cs  $\longrightarrow$  For detailed implementation of the ADF and SGD online/stochastic training methods

# 7. Code Update History

Dec. 17 2013  $\longrightarrow$  version 1.0

## References

- John Lafferty, Andrew McCallum, and Fernando Pereira. Conditional random fields: Probabilistic models for segmenting and labeling sequence data. In *ICML'01*, pages 282–289, 2001.
- Xu Sun, Houfeng Wang, and Wenjie Li. Fast online training with frequency-adaptive learning rates for chinese word segmentation and new word detection. In *Proceedings of A-CL'12*, pages 253–262, 2012.
- Xu Sun, Yao zhong Zhang, Takuya Matsuzaki, Yoshimasa Tsuruoka, and Jun'ichi Tsujii. Probabilistic chinese word segmentation with non-local information and stochastic training. *Inf. Process. Manage.*, 49(3):626–636, 2013.