

CS146 HW3

1

The VC dimension is 3.

If we choose 3 points x_1, x_2, x_3 , for any label y_1, y_2, y_3 assigned to the points. We can just let $ax_i^2 + bx_i + c = 1$ if $y_i = 1$ or -1 if $y_i = 0$. Then we get 3 equations regard of a,b,c. We can definitely find values of a,b,c that satisfies the requirement. So H can shatter 3 points, $VC(H) \geq 3$.

For any 4 points x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 , that $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq x_3 \leq x_4$, if the label is $y_1 = 1, y_2 = 0, y_3 = 1, y_4 = 0$. Then there is no a,b,c that can correctly predict those. So $VC(H) \leq 4$, which shows that $VC(H)=3$.

2

Because $x^*z = z^*x$, $K_\beta(x, z) = (1 + \beta x * z)^3 = (1 + \beta z * x)^3 = K_\beta(z, x)$.

$K_\beta(x, z) = \beta^3(x \cdot z)^3 + 3\beta^2(x \cdot z)^2 + 3\beta x \cdot z + 1 = \beta^3(x_1z_1 + x_2z_2)^3 + 3\beta^2(x_1z_1 + x_2z_2)^2 + 3\beta(x_1z_1 + x_2z_2) + 1 = \beta^3((x_1z_1)^3 + 3(x_1z_1)^2x_2z_2 + 3x_1z_1(x_2z_2)^2 + (x_2z_2)^3) + 3\beta^2((x_1z_1)^2 + 2x_1z_1x_2z_2 + (x_2z_2)^2) + 3\beta(x_1z_1 + x_2z_2) + 1$

$\Theta(x) = (1, \sqrt{3\beta}x_1, \sqrt{3\beta}x_2, \sqrt{3\beta}x_1^2, \sqrt{3\beta}x_2^2, \sqrt{6\beta}x_1x_2, \sqrt{3\beta^3}x_1^2x_2, \sqrt{3\beta^3}x_1x_2^2, \sqrt{\beta^3}x_1^3, \sqrt{\beta^3}x_2^3)$.

The terms are the same but they have different coefficients which will be the same if $\beta = 1$.

β is a parameter that can be used to add weights to different terms that can balance the weight of high-order and low-order term.

3

(a)

The maximum-margin linear classifier should be equally distant from x_1 and x_2 . So the classifier passes the middle point between x_1 and x_2 , which is $(1, 0.5)^T$. $\omega^* = (\omega_1, -2 * \omega_1)$. $y_1\omega^T x_1 = -\omega_1 \geq 1$, $y_2\omega^T x_2 = -\omega_1 \geq 1$. We get $\omega_1 \leq -1$, so the smallest $\|\omega\|$ is when $\omega_1 = -1$, $\omega^* = (-1, 2)$.

(b)

Let the classifier be (ω_1, ω_2) , since the classifier should still pass the middle point $(1, 0.5)$, $\omega_1 + 0.5\omega_2 + b^* = 0$. Then we get $\omega_2 = -2b^* - 2\omega_1$. Plug this into $y_n \omega^T x_n \geq 1$, we get $\omega_1 - 2b^* - 2\omega_1 + b^* = -\omega_1 - b \geq 1$, $\omega_1 + b \leq -1$. So $b \geq -1 - \omega_1$. $\|\omega^*\|^2 = \omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2 = 5\omega_1^2 + 8\omega_1 + 4b^2$. This is minimized when $\omega_1 = 0$, so $b \geq -1 \rightarrow b = -1$. So $\omega^* = (0, 2)$ and $b^* = -1$. Compared with the solution without offset, ω_2 is the same but ω_1 is different.

4

4.1

(d) I have finished the extraction and generated the splits.

4.2

(b)

Maintaining the class proportion across folds prevents the occurrence of abnormal folds, for example in which nearly all points are positive or negative. Folds like this doesn't represent the whole dataset well and thus not helpful.

(d)

C	accuracy	F1-score	AUROC
10^{-3}	0.7089	0.8297	0.8105
10^{-2}	0.7107	0.8306	0.8111
10^{-1}	0.8060	0.8755	0.8576
10^0	0.8146	0.8749	0.8712
10^1	0.8182	0.8766	0.8696
10^2	0.8182	0.8766	0.8696
best C	10.0	10.0	1.0

For all three metrics, the score tends to increase when C is small and increasing. But after C reaches a certain value, 10.0 for accuracy and F1-score, 1.0 for AUROC, the score starts decreases or not increase.

4.3

(a) I choose C=10.0 because this is the setting with overall best score.

(c) For C=10.0

The performance employed accuracy metric is 0.7428571428571429.

The performance employed f1-score metric is 0.43749999999999994.

The performance employed AUROC metric is 0.7463556851311952.