

# *NASA LEC Robotic Mining*

1.0

## **Project Proposal**

**August 2020**

By: Taylor Ertrachter, Bailey Hamant, James Spies

## **Table of Contents**

### [1 Team Background](#)

#### [1.1 Names and Emails of Project Members](#)

##### [1.1.1 Faculty Advisor](#)

### [2 Project Scope](#)

#### [2.1 Faculty Advisor](#)

#### [2.2 Client](#)

#### [2.3 Development Meeting Schedule](#)

#### [2.4 Goals and Motivations](#)

#### [2.5 Approach](#)

#### [2.6 Novel Features & Functionalities](#)

#### [2.7 Technical Challenges](#)

### [3 Project Milestone Outlines](#)

#### [3.1 Milestone 1 Outline](#)

#### [3.2 Task Matrix for Milestone 1](#)

#### [Faculty Advisor Approval](#)

# 1 Team Background

## 1.1 Names and Emails of Project Members

| Name              | Email                  | Position   |
|-------------------|------------------------|------------|
| Taylor Ertrachter | tertrachter@gmail.com  | Programmer |
| Bailey Hamant     | bhamant2017@my.fit.edu | Programmer |
| James Spies       | jspies2017@my.fit.edu  | Programmer |

### 1.1.1 Faculty Advisor

| Name               | Email            |
|--------------------|------------------|
| Dr. Marius Silaghi | msilaghi@fit.edu |

# 2 Project Scope

## 2.1 Faculty Advisor

Our Faculty advisor will be Dr. Marius Silaghi.

## 2.2 Client

Our client is the Aerospace NASA Lunabotics Engineering Competition(LEC) team division. Our boss is Dr. Kimberly Demoret, the Aerospace team advisor.

## 2.3 Development Meeting Schedule

During the summer we met with everyone in the software team and Steven to discuss preparations for the fall semester. Our current fall semester has us meeting with just the full Aerospace Team at 5pm on Mondays. At 5:30pm, Dr. Demoret joins our meetings. Currently, the only Computer Science majors are Taylor, Bailey, and James; however, Steven is the leader of the Software Subteam for the NASA LEC project. He is an Aerospace major, but he is helping to manage our goals and progression with the software we work on.

## 2.4 Goals and Motivations

Every year, Florida Tech's entries for the NASA Lunabotics Engineering Competition have been met with varying degrees of success. Upon reflection, we have come to the conclusion that while the hardware has always been a strong part of the project, the software is usually lacking due to the team's vague knowledge of basic programming taught in their curriculum. It is our goal as computer scientists to apply the level of excellence found in the hardware design of the robot to its software.

The motivation behind this project is to further improve upon the work done by the people before us. This year, we all believe that we can create an autonomous robot that can perform the tasks laid out in the NASA LEC guidelines.

## 2.5 Approach

Our system will implement these three key features into the robot:

1. Manually controllable robot actions for all major motors (navigation, claw, conveyor belt) through Xbox wireless controller.
2. Object detection for future autonomous navigation functionality.
3. Fully autonomous sample collection, navigation, and deposition system using manual controls implemented in feature two as backup.

We also plan on creating a simulation to test the code in so that if we are working on a specific piece of the robot that has not been finished by the hardware team, we can still run and test our code. Having a virtual simulation will allow us to test code that we are wary of, and see what the code does so as to not break the actual robot running untested code. This was also suggested to us so that in case of something happening in the future where the robot is not built, or is not accessible due to online only learning, we can still showcase that we have written code to operate a robot on a similar level.

## 2.6 Novel Features & Functionalities

A novelty feature in this project would definitely be the autonomy of the robot. Even though we are still far away from achieving that goal, I believe that having an autonomous robot will be a huge breakthrough for this team. There is a massive difference between having a controllable robot that simply takes input from a controller, and having a robot that does all the work for you when you turn it on. There has yet to be a fully autonomous robot that can do all of the tasks on its own, so getting anywhere near full automation will be a huge achievement.

## 2.7 Technical Challenges

The client has requested that for readability purposes, the entire code be written in as much Python as possible. This means that all of our controls for the robot will be done using new libraries that we are unfamiliar with. Along with this, we are using new APIs, that we have no experience with, to interface with the camera for object detection and motor controls. We also have very limited experience with object detection and automation, so we will need to learn how to do these processes while applying them to our project.

Another challenge for us will be online learning. Currently, all of the group members for the Software Subteam are present in Melbourne, but that status may change as time goes on. If an order comes from the school to move all classes to online again, then we may have to abandon working on the physical robot and purely maintain work on our virtual environment robot.

## 3 Project Milestone Outlines

### 3.1 Milestone 1 Outline

Create a virtual environment in which we can test robot controls on a simulated level. This is so that we can continue to work on code for specific functions even if the hardware has not been finished for it yet. It is important that we have the virtual environment set up as soon as possible so that once we start writing and testing code, we know that there is a way to actually see what it will do.

We plan on having very similar, or the same, code be run in the virtual environment as the real robot. This is to ensure that the testing we do inside the virtual environment reflects what will happen to the physical robot.

### 3.2 Task Matrix for Milestone 1

| Tasks                                  | Bailey  | James  | Taylor   |
|--|---|--|--|
| Compare and select Technical Tools     | Unity   | Blender  | Python   |
| "hello world" demos                    | Low level robot with the same features as real robot      | The robot's wheels can go forward, backward, and turn  | The robot's arm and conveyer belt are operable         |
| Resolve Technical Challenges           | 3D model of robot is accurate to real life specifications | Creating controller for wheels to allow for locomotion | Robot arm and conveyer belt can move in all directions |
| Compare and select Collaboration Tools | Google Docs, Google Slides                                | Whatsapp   | Discord, Github  |
| Requirement Document                   | write 50%   | write 25%  | write 25%  |
| Design Document                        | write 25%   | write 25%  | write 50%  |
| Test Plan                              | write 25%   | write 50%  | write 25%  |

## Faculty Advisor Approval

"I have discussed with the team and I approve this project plan. I will evaluate the progress and assign a grade for each of the three milestones."

DocuSigned by:  
Signature: Dr. Marius Silaghi Date: 8/30/2020  
4C78522EF27840A...