1 Symmetric RW on finitely generated groups

[DOMA17]

The next two results are generalizations of the case of finite stochastic matrices in [DOMA17] and [DOMA17], respectively.

Proposition 1.1. Let Γ be a countable discrete finitely generated group, and μ be an admissible finitely supported symmetric probability measure on Γ . Then, π_z is an irreducible representation for each $z \in \Gamma$.

Proof. For $z \in \Gamma$, we get that $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_z) \triangleleft \pi_z(\mathcal{T}(\Gamma, \mu))$ from [**DOAD21**]. Since the image of π_z contains a copy of compact operators, it is indeed an irreducible subalgebra of $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_z)$.

Proposition 1.2. Let Γ be a countable discrete finitely generated group, and μ be an admissible finitely supported symmetric probability measure on Γ . Then, the representations $\pi_z|_{\mathcal{T}(\Gamma,\mu)}$ and $\pi_{z'}|_{\mathcal{T}(\Gamma,\mu)}$ are not unitarily equivalent for $z,z' \in \Gamma$ such that $z \neq z'$.

Proof. We suppose that π_z and $\pi_{z'}$ are unitarily equivalent for $z, z' \in \Gamma$ such that $z \neq z'$, i.e. there exists a unitary $U \colon \mathcal{H}_z \to \mathcal{H}_{z'}$ such that $U\pi_z(T) = \pi_{z'}U(T)$ for all $T \in \mathcal{T}(\Gamma, \mu)$. Now, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $Q^{(m)}$ denote the orthogonal projection from \mathcal{H} onto $\mathcal{H}^{(m)}$, and for $x \in \Gamma$, define $Q_z^{(0)} := S_{z,z}^{(0)}Q^{(0)} = Q^{(0)}S_{z,z}^{(0)}$. Then, by [**DOAD21**], we get that $Q_z^{(0)} \in \mathcal{T}(\Gamma, \mu)$. Moreover, for $e_{z,z}^{(0)} \in \mathcal{H}_z$, we obtain

$$(\pi_{z'}(Q_z^{(0)})U)(e_{z,z}^{(0)}) = (U\pi_z(Q_z^{(0)}))(e_{z,z}^{(0)}) = U(e_{z,z}^{(0)}).$$

On the contrary, since $(\pi_{z'}(Q^{(0)})U)(e_{z,z}^{(0)}) = c \cdot e_{z',z'}^{(0)}$ for some non-zero $c \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$(\pi_{z'}(Q_z^{(0)})U)(e_{z,z}^{(0)}) = c \cdot \pi_{z'}(S_{z,z}^{(0)})(e_{z',z'}^{(0)}) = c \cdot \delta_{z,z'}e_{z',z'}^{(0)}.$$

This clearly leads to a contradiction $0 = c \cdot \delta_{z,z'} e_{z',z'}^{(0)} = U(e_{z,z}^{(0)}) \neq 0$.

Notation. For $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(x, y) \in Gr(P)$, denote the operators

$$T_{x,y}^{(n)} \colon \mathcal{H}(\Gamma,\mu) \to \mathcal{H}(\Gamma,\mu),$$

$$e_{y',z}^{(m)} \mapsto \delta_{y,y'} \sqrt{\frac{P^m(y,z)}{P^{n+m}(x,z)}} e_{x,z}^{(n+m)},$$

where the adjoints are given by

$$(T_{x,y}^{(n)})^*(e_{x',z}^{(n+m)}) = \delta_{x,x'}\sqrt{\frac{P^m(y,z)}{P^{n+m}(x,z)}}e_{y,z}^{(m)}.$$

This construction allows for $T_{x,y}^{(n)}(\mathcal{H}_z^{(m)}) \subset \mathcal{H}_z^{(n+m)}$

We now present a version of [**DOMA17**] for symmetric random walks on finitely generated discrete groups.

Proposition 1.3. Let Γ be a countable discrete finitely generated group which is not virtually \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{Z}^2 , and μ be an admissible finitely supported symmetric probability measure on Γ . Then, π_z is a boundary representation for each $z \in \Gamma$.

Proof. Similar to [**DOMA17**], we will apply [**ARWI11**] to show that each π_z is completely strongly peaking in the sense of Definition (REF). Note using the above decomposition of representations for a given irreducible representation $\rho \neq \pi_z$, we end up with two possibilities. Either ρ is the unique extension of the irreducible representation of compact operators which is unitarily equivalent to a subrepresentation of the identity representation, and, thus, it is unitarily equivalent to some $\pi_{z'}$ for $z' \neq z$. Or ρ annihilates the ideal of compact operators, i.e. it can be expressed uniquely as a composition of another irreducible representation and a quotient map into the Cuntz-Pimsner-Viselter algebra. Hence, we reduce the problem to show that there exists $T \in \mathcal{T}^+(\Gamma, \mu)$ such that

$$\|\pi_z(T)\| > \max\{\sup_{z'\neq z} \|\pi_{z'}(T)\|, \|q(T)\|\}.$$

Using the action of Γ , we further reduce the problem for the first case to showing the inequality just for π_e since $\|\pi_z(T)\| = \|\pi_z \circ \operatorname{Ad}_{z^{-1}}(T)\| = \|\pi_e(T)\|$.

Now, let $z \in \Gamma$ with $z \neq e$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $y \in \Gamma$, and $(x, m) \in \mathrm{ST}_y$, we get $T_{x,y}^{(n)} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{P^n(x,y)}} S_{x,y}^{(n)}$. Hence, these operators are bounded and $T_{x,y}^{(n)} \in \mathcal{T}^+(\Gamma,\mu)$. We choose

 $T := T_{e,e}^{(n)}$ and notice that each $\mathcal{H}_z^{(m)}$ and even the linear spans of each $e_{e,z}^m$ are reducing for both T^*T and TT^* . Thus, these operators are diagonalizable and for a fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we get

$$\|\pi_e(T)\|^2 \ge \|\pi_e((T_{e,e}^{(n)})^*(T_{e,e}^{(n)}))(e_{e,e}^{(0)})\| = \left\|\frac{1}{P^n(e,e)}e_{e,e}^{(0)}\right\| = \frac{1}{P^n(e,e)}$$

and

$$\|\pi_{z}(T)\|^{2} = \|\pi_{z}(TT^{*})\| = \|TT^{*}|_{\mathcal{H}_{z}}\|$$

$$= \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \|(T_{e,e}^{(n)})(T_{e,e}^{(n)})^{*}(e_{e,z}^{(m)})\| = \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{P^{m-n}(e,z)}{P^{m}(e,z)} \|e_{e,z}^{(m)}\|$$

$$= \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} K_{\mathrm{ST}}((e,n),(z,m)).$$

Hence, we have to show the following

$$\sup_{z \neq e} \|\pi_z(T)\|^2 = \sup_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{N} \\ z \neq e}} K_{\text{ST}}((e, n), (z, m)) < \frac{1}{P^n(e, e)} \le \|\pi_e(T)\|^2.$$

Note that the space-time Markov kernel vanishes unless $(z, m) \in ST_e$. Viewing the graph ST_e as a dense subset of the space-time Martin compactification $\Delta_{ST}\Gamma$ yields that the value of the supremum can be attained on either ST_e itself or on the space-time Martin boundary $\partial_{ST}\Gamma$.

First, suppose that there exists $(z_0, m_0) \in ST_e$ for which the value of the supremum is attained. Then, we find $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $0 < P^n(e, e) < 1$ and

$$P^{n}(e,e)P^{m_0}(e,z_0) < P^{n+m_0}(e,z_0).$$

Finally, we obtain

$$K_{\text{ST}}((e,n),(z_0,m_0)) = \frac{P^{m_0-n}(e,z_0)}{P^{m_0}(e,z_0)} < \frac{1}{P^n(e,e)}.$$

Second, for $(z_0, m_0) \in \partial_{ST}\Gamma$, it follows by the Poisson-Martin representation theorem that

$$K_{\mathrm{ST}}((e,n),(z_0,m_0)) = \int_{\partial_{\mathrm{ST}}^m \Gamma} K_{\mathrm{ST}}((e,n),(r,\zeta)) d\nu((r,\zeta)) \le \sup_{(\lambda,\xi) \in \partial_{\mathrm{ST}}^m \Gamma} K_{\mathrm{ST}}((e,n),(\lambda,\xi))$$

for some probability measure ν on $\partial_{ST}^m \Gamma$. Now, using Theorem 4.2 (REF!!!), we get

$$K_{\mathrm{ST}}((e,n),(z_0,m_0)) \le \sup_{(\lambda,\xi)\in\sqcup_{\lambda\in[0,R]}\partial_{M,\lambda}^m\Gamma} K_{\mathrm{ST}}((e,n),(\lambda,\xi)).$$

Now, either $\lambda = 0$ and $\xi \in \partial_{M,0}^m \Gamma$, implying

$$K_{\rm ST}((e, n), (\lambda, \xi)) = K_0(e, \xi) \chi_{|e|=n} \equiv 0,$$

or $\lambda \in (0, R]$ and $\xi \in \partial_{M,\lambda}^m \Gamma$, so that

$$K_{\rm ST}((e,n),(\lambda,\xi)) = \lambda^n K_{\lambda}(e,\xi) \le R^n.$$

This trivially reduces to the inequality

$$R^n P^n(e, e) < 1,$$

which follows using [WOWO00].

Now, note that for $\|\pi_e(T)\| > \|q(T)\|$, it is enough to show

$$||q(T)||^2 \le ||TT^* - Q_e^{(n)}TT^*|| < \frac{1}{P^n(e,e)} \le ||\pi_e(T)||^2,$$

where $Q_e^{(n)}TT^*$ is compact as a finite-rank operator. First, we see that

$$||T||^2 = \sup_{z \in \Gamma} ||TT^*|_{\mathcal{H}_z}|| = \sup_{z \in \Gamma} \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{P^{m-n}(e, z)}{P^m(e, z)}.$$

Since for any $(z,m) \in ST_e$, clearly $P^n(e,e)P^{m-n}(e,z) \leq P^m(e,z)$ for $(z,m) \in ST_e$ and for $(e,n) \in ST_e$, the equality holds, we have that $||T||^2 = \frac{1}{P^n(e,e)}$. On the other hand, we get

$$||TT^* - Q_e^{(n)}TT^*|| = \sup_{z \in \Gamma} \sup_{m > n} \frac{P^{m-n}(e, z)}{P^m(e, z)},$$

which we have shown above to satisfy the strict inequality. Hence, this concludes the proof. $\hfill\Box$