Section	Details
Progress Overview (inkl. Reasons for Deviations of the Plan)	 ✓ Evaluated and fixed PASSION results reproduction issue on group level ✓ Fixed checkpoint handling ✓ Code integration into PASSION I would need to test (and potentially fix) it also for the impetigo experiment for a proper integration ✓ Ran the evaluation on the tiny model to get a proper baseline ✓ Analyzed which biases exist in the baseline ✓ Stratified split experiment ✓ Created split files using different stratified splits based on identified biases ✓ Trained small models with all split files without folds X Analyze runs, rerun with folds for the 2 best performing split files to evaluate the best split ✓ Progress on the report ✓ Created a plan for the last 3 weeks X another experiment, due to missing time
Accomplishments	 ✓ Provided value by fixing the code ✓ Bias analysis on tiny model baseline ✓ First results regarding stratified split experiment ready for analysis
Risks and Measures	 Incomplete code integration leading to loose strings at the end of the thesis Focus on finalizing the integration instead of starting new experiments OR: officially delay the proper code integration until after the thesis Burn out Reduce external workload by taking time off from work (ongoing). Focus on finalizing existing work. Schedule and take explicit off time. Deliver too less in terms of executed experiments Transparently explain the trade-offs made in regards of the quality-over-quantity approach. Outline which further experiments could be done in the outlook. OR: do another quick experiment
Next Steps	• See attachement
Discussion Points / Questions	 What are the expectations for the pitch video besides that it takes 1.5 minute? Do you expect another report for the meeting in the last week?
Additional Notes	-
Attachments	• Plan for the upcoming weeks

Protocol	Topic
	•
	Topic
	•