

Section	Details
Progress Overview (inkl. Reasons for Deviations of the Plan)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Problem Statement 📁 Finalizing documentation of Literature Review 📁 Reproducing PASSION results <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – had to fix issues in current evaluation package – to reproduce an experiment on GPUHub takes 32.5h (6.5h x 5 folds) - and there are 4 experiments ✗ evaluate baseline with fairness metrics <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Reproduction is not yet done
Accomplishments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Categorization of the methods ✓ Got the evaluation running ✓ Progress on the report
Risks and Measures	<p>Issues with interpreting the results</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clarifying the open questions <p>The fine-tuning-experiments takes to long</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improve checkpoint handling • Use another machine (afraid to lose information and canceled runs due to getting disconnected from GPUHub) <p>Focus loss bc of tendency to want to fix / improve systems</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Setting clear boundaries for myself: only do what must be fixed to enable running the mitigation experiments efficiently
Next Steps	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Get checkpoints for each experiment • Evaluate the fairness for each subgroup on the experiment checkpoints
Discussion Points / Questions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Have I understood the experiment result csv correctly? (<i>- Details in presentation</i>) • Do you have any tips on how to work efficiently on the GPU Hub? • How is the correct naming for the attributes in the PASSION dataset? Maybe I misunderstood the terms Features and Labels
Additional Notes	I was sick for roughly 4 days.
Attachments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • experiment_standard_split_conditions_passion.csv
Protocol	<p>Topic</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <p>Topic</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •