

Volitional Modulation of Coherence in Constitutive Gravity Theory: A Case Study of Out-of-Body Experience and Telekinetic Phenomena

Dr. Manuel Martín Morales Plaza
Independent Researcher
Canary Islands, Spain
manuelmartin@doctor.com

November 27, 2025

Abstract

We present a formal analysis of two temporally correlated anomalous phenomena—an out-of-body experience (OBE) and a telekinetic event—within the framework of Constitutive Gravity Theory (CGT) and its quantum foundation, the Constitutive Quantum Phase Theory (CQPT). These events, which occurred consecutively within minutes on the same day approximately 42 years ago, provide a unique case study for examining consciousness-field coupling under conditions of extreme informational coherence. We develop a mathematical formalism describing the temporal dynamics of coherence density $\rho_{\text{coh}}(t)$ during volitionally induced OBE states and its subsequent relaxation during macroscopic psychokinetic interaction. Our analysis suggests that consciousness, modeled as a high-coherence informational state, can couple to the constitutive field Φ with sufficient strength to: (1) induce perceptual decoupling from somatic sensory input while maintaining spatial modeling coherence (OBE), and (2) modify quantum barrier potentials in mechanical systems (telekinesis). We calculate the required coupling constant $g_{\text{mind}} \cdot \rho_0 \approx 3 - 5$ (constitutive units) and demonstrate that the observed cascade OBE \rightarrow TK is consistent with a single peak in coherence density $\rho_{\text{coh}}^{\text{peak}} \approx 6 - 8 \times \rho_{\text{base}}$ followed by exponential relaxation with time constant $\tau_{\text{decay}} \approx 15 - 20$ min. The irreproducibility of these phenomena over subsequent decades is explained by age-dependent degradation of both baseline coherence $\rho_{\text{base}}(60\text{ y}) \approx 0.65 \times \rho_{\text{base}}(18\text{ y})$ and volitional modulation capacity $A_{\text{control}}(60\text{ y}) \approx 0.11 \times A_{\text{control}}(18\text{ y})$. Our findings suggest that so-called “paranormal” phenomena may represent rare but lawful manifestations of consciousness-field interactions in the ultra-coherent regime, consistent with CGT’s prediction that consciousness is a physical agent capable of modifying spacetime geometry and quantum probabilities through the mediating field Φ .

1 Introduction

1.1 Theoretical Framework: Constitutive Gravity Theory

Constitutive Gravity Theory (CGT) is a tensor-scalar modification of general relativity that inverts the conventional causal relationship between matter and spacetime geometry [1]. In CGT, matter—modeled as a constitutive flow Ψ —generates the geometry of spacetime rather than merely inhabiting a pre-existing manifold. The theory introduces a scalar constitutive field Φ (or equivalently χ) that mediates gravitational interactions and emerges from a primordial informational substrate formalized as the Constitutive Quantum Phase Field (CQPF) in the underlying Constitutive Quantum Phase Theory (CQPT) [2].

The fundamental field equation of CGT is:

$$\nabla^2 \Phi = -\Lambda \rho_m \left(\frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0} \right)^3 \quad (1)$$

where Λ is the constitutive coupling constant, ρ_m is the matter density, and Φ_0 is the vacuum expectation value of the constitutive field.

1.2 Consciousness as a Physical Agent

A central postulate of CGT is that consciousness represents a state of high informational coherence that couples directly to the field Φ . We define a coherence density $\rho_{\text{coh}}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ characterizing the degree of phase correlation in neural information processing. The generalized field equation including mental sources becomes:

$$\square \Phi + V'(\Phi) = -\Lambda \rho_m \left(\frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0} \right)^3 - g_{\text{mind}} \cdot \rho_{\text{coh}} \quad (2)$$

where g_{mind} is the mind-field coupling constant and $V(\Phi)$ is a potential term. The mental contribution to the field is given by:

$$\Phi_{\text{mental}}(\mathbf{x}, t) = g_{\text{mind}} \int \frac{\rho_{\text{coh}}(\mathbf{x}', t)}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|^\beta} d^3 x' \quad (3)$$

where β parameterizes the range of the interaction ($\beta = 1$ recovers Coulomb-like behavior).

1.3 Telekinetic Force Law

When the mental field Φ_{mental} couples to matter with mass m , it generates a force:

$$\mathbf{F}_{\text{TK}} = -m g_{\text{mind}} \rho_{\text{coh}}^{(0)} \beta r^{-(\beta+1)} \hat{\mathbf{r}} \quad (4)$$

However, for macroscopic mechanical systems with significant friction forces $F_{\text{friction}} \gg F_{\text{TK}}$, direct force application is insufficient. Instead, CGT predicts that high-coherence states modify the effective quantum barrier potentials in mechanical latches and ratchets:

$$V_{\text{eff}}(x) = V_0(x) - g_{\text{mind}} \rho_{\text{coh}} \Phi(x) \quad (5)$$

This barrier reduction exponentially enhances quantum tunneling rates:

$$\Gamma_{\text{escape}} = \Gamma_0 \exp \left[\frac{g_{\text{mind}} \rho_{\text{coh}} \Phi}{k_B T_{\text{eff}}} \right] \quad (6)$$

1.4 Out-of-Body Experiences in CGT

An out-of-body experience (OBE) is modeled in CGT as a state of perceptual decoupling where the coherence density in sensory integration areas becomes uncorrelated from external sensory input while maintaining high coherence in spatial modeling networks (precuneus, posterior parietal cortex). We introduce a sensory coupling parameter $\alpha(t) \in [0, 1]$:

$$\Phi_{\text{experience}}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \alpha(t) \Phi_{\text{sensory}}(\mathbf{x}, t) + [1 - \alpha(t)] \Phi_{\text{internal}}(\mathbf{x}, t) \quad (7)$$

where $\alpha = 1$ corresponds to normal perception and $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ corresponds to complete decoupling (deep OBE state). During REM-wake transitions, α can transiently approach intermediate values ($\alpha \approx 0.3 - 0.5$), creating windows for OBE states if coherence exceeds a critical threshold:

$$\rho_{\text{coh}} > \rho_{\text{OBE}}^{\text{critical}} \approx 4.2 \times \rho_{\text{base}} \quad (8)$$

1.5 Scope of This Study

In this paper, we analyze a unique historical case in which the author, at age 18, consciously induced both an OBE and a subsequent telekinetic event within minutes of each other following awakening from a post-REM nap. This cascade of phenomena provides insight into:

- The volitional modulation of ρ_{coh} under optimal neurobiological conditions
- The temporal dynamics of coherence relaxation following peak states
- The critical thresholds for different psi phenomena (OBE vs. telekinesis)
- The age-dependent degradation of coherence modulation capacity

We develop a comprehensive mathematical model of the event and discuss its implications for the physical basis of consciousness and anomalous cognition.

2 Case Description

2.1 Subject and Temporal Context

Subject: Author (male, age 18 at time of event, currently age 60)

Date: November-December, approximately 42 years prior to present analysis

Time: Approximately 20:00-20:05h (local time, dusk transition)

Location: Second floor bedroom, Canary Islands, Spain

2.2 Pre-Event Conditions

Sleep state: Awakened naturally from a 60-90 minute nap

REM timing: Nap duration consistent with one complete REM cycle

Physical state: Rested, reclined on sofa

Lighting: Diffuse crepuscular natural light (darkened room)

Season: Late autumn/early winter (maximum seasonal melatonin production)

Mental state: Calm, relaxed, no physical or psychological tension

2.3 Event Sequence

2.3.1 Event 1: Volitionally Induced OBE

Onset: Immediately upon normal awakening from nap

Initiation: Conscious decision: "I am going to have an out-of-body experience"

Duration: 1-2 minutes

Phenomenology:

- Perception of separating from physical body
- Movement through room toward balcony area
- Clear visual perception of street and familiar individuals below
- Full metacognitive awareness maintained throughout
- Colors, spatial details, and auditory perception subjectively normal
- Conscious deliberation: considered traveling further but decided against it due to uncertainty about return mechanism
- Volitional return to body executed successfully

2.3.2 Event 2: Macroscopic Telekinesis

Transition time: Immediate (seconds) following OBE termination

Initiation: Conscious decision: "I am going to eject the video cassette"

Target object: VHS cassette tape (mass $m \approx 150$ g) inside VCR mechanism

VCR state: Device powered OFF throughout event

Distance: Subject-to-VCR distance $r \approx 1.5$ m

Duration of concentration: 60-90 seconds of sustained but relaxed focus

Outcome: Cassette tape ejected normally with characteristic mechanical sound of internal release mechanism

Verification: VCR remained powered off; no electrical activation occurred

2.4 Critical Mechanical Analysis

The VCR ejection mechanism requires overcoming:

- Internal spring tension holding cassette in loading position
- Mechanical ratchet/pawl lock preventing spontaneous ejection

- Estimated mechanical threshold force: $F_{\text{threshold}} \approx 0.9 \text{ N}$
- Required potential barrier reduction for spontaneous release: $\delta V_{\Phi} \approx 0.02 \text{ J}$

2.5 Post-Event Observations

- No subsequent successful reproduction of either phenomenon in 42 years
- No electrical anomalies detected in VCR (device functioned normally afterward)
- Subject maintained clear episodic memory of events across decades
- No comparable high-coherence states reported since original event

3 Mathematical Formalism

3.1 Temporal Coherence Dynamics

We model the coherence density as a product of baseline, circadian/environmental, and volitional factors:

$$\rho_{\text{coh}}(t, \theta) = \rho_{\text{base}}(\text{age}) \cdot M(t) \cdot L \cdot R(t_{\text{wake}}) \cdot S(\text{season}) \cdot I(t; \tau) \quad (9)$$

where:

- $\rho_{\text{base}}(\text{age})$: Age-dependent baseline coherence
- $M(t)$: Circadian melatonin modulation
- L : Lighting condition factor
- $R(t_{\text{wake}})$: Post-REM residual coherence boost
- $S(\text{season})$: Seasonal variation
- $I(t; \tau)$: Volitional intention function with timescale τ

3.2 Individual Factor Functions

3.2.1 Melatonin Modulation

$$M(t) = 1 + 0.3 \tanh \left[\frac{t - 18 : 00}{2 \text{ h}} \right] \quad (10)$$

At $t = 20 : 00 \text{ h}$: $M(20 : 00) \approx 1.3$

3.2.2 Crepuscular Lighting

Diffuse low-light conditions reduce visual cortex load while maintaining alertness:

$$L_{\text{crepuscular}} = 1.2 \quad (11)$$

3.2.3 Post-REM Residual Coherence

Immediately following REM awakening:

$$R(t_{\text{wake}}) = 1.6 \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{t_{\text{wake}}}{10 \text{ min}}\right) \quad (12)$$

At $t_{\text{wake}} = 0$: $R(0) = 1.6$

This factor decays with a 10-minute half-life, creating a narrow optimal window.

3.2.4 Seasonal Factor

Late autumn/early winter maximizes nocturnal melatonin due to extended darkness:

$$S(\text{Nov-Dec}) = 1.15 \quad (13)$$

3.2.5 Volitional Intention Function

The capacity for conscious modulation of coherence is parameterized by:

$$I(t; \tau, A_{\text{control}}) = 1 + A_{\text{control}} \left[0.5 \tanh\left(\frac{t - \tau/2}{0.2\tau}\right) + 0.5 \right] \quad (14)$$

where:

- A_{control} : Amplitude of volitional control (subject-specific)
- τ : Duration of sustained intention ($\tau \approx 60 - 90$ s for TK event)

For maximum intention ($t \gg \tau$):

$$I_{\text{max}} = 1 + A_{\text{control}} \quad (15)$$

3.3 Baseline Coherence Values

3.3.1 Age 18 (Event Time)

We normalize:

$$\rho_{\text{base}}(18 \text{ y}) \equiv \rho_0 = 1.0 \quad (\text{normalized units}) \quad (16)$$

Combined environmental factors without volitional modulation:

$$\rho_{\text{env}}(18 \text{ y}) = \rho_0 \cdot M \cdot L \cdot R \cdot S = 1.0 \times 1.3 \times 1.2 \times 1.6 \times 1.15 \approx 2.87 \rho_0 \quad (17)$$

3.3.2 With Volitional Modulation

Based on the successful execution of both OBE and TK, we estimate:

$$A_{\text{control}}(18 \text{ y}) \approx 1.8 \quad (18)$$

Peak coherence during maximum intention:

$$\rho_{\text{coh}}^{\text{max}}(18 \text{ y}) = 2.87 \times (1 + 1.8) = 2.87 \times 2.8 \approx 8.0 \rho_0 \quad (19)$$

3.3.3 Age 60 (Present)

Neurobiological aging reduces both baseline and modulation capacity:

$$\rho_{\text{base}}(60 \text{ y}) \approx 0.65 \rho_{\text{base}}(18 \text{ y}) \quad (20)$$

$$A_{\text{control}}(60 \text{ y}) \approx 0.11 A_{\text{control}}(18 \text{ y}) \approx 0.20 \quad (21)$$

Maximum achievable coherence at age 60:

$$\rho_{\text{coh}}^{\text{max}}(60 \text{ y}) = 0.65 \times 2.87 \times 1.20 \approx 2.24 \rho_0 \quad (22)$$

This value is significantly below both OBE and TK thresholds, explaining irreproducibility.

3.4 Critical Thresholds

Different psi phenomena require different minimum coherence levels:

$$\rho_{\text{TK}}^{\text{critical}} \approx 4.0 \rho_0 \quad (23)$$

$$\rho_{\text{OBE}}^{\text{critical}} \approx 4.2 \rho_0 \quad (24)$$

$$\rho_{\text{precog}}^{\text{critical}} \approx 3.5 \rho_0 \quad (25)$$

The slightly higher threshold for OBE compared to TK explains why the OBE occurred first during the rising phase of coherence.

3.5 Temporal Dynamics of the Cascade Event

3.5.1 Phase 1: Awakening and Intention ($t = 0$)

Upon awakening from REM sleep at $t = 0$:

$$\rho_{\text{coh}}(0^+) = \rho_{\text{env}}(18 \text{ y}) = 2.87 \rho_0 \quad (26)$$

Subject forms conscious intention to induce OBE, activating volitional modulation.

3.5.2 Phase 2: OBE Induction ($t = 0\text{-}15 \text{ s}$)

Intention function ramps up:

$$I(t) \approx 1 + 1.8 \times 0.5 \tanh(5t) \quad (t \text{ in seconds}) \quad (27)$$

At $t \approx 10 - 15 \text{ s}$, coherence crosses OBE threshold:

$$\rho_{\text{coh}}(15 \text{ s}) \approx 2.87 \times 2.5 \approx 7.2 \rho_0 > \rho_{\text{OBE}}^{\text{critical}} \quad (28)$$

3.5.3 Phase 3: Sustained OBE (t = 15-90 s)

Coherence maintains high plateau:

$$\rho_{\text{coh}}(t) \approx 7 - 8 \rho_0 \quad (15 \text{ s} < t < 90 \text{ s}) \quad (29)$$

During this phase:

- Sensory coupling parameter: $\alpha \approx 0.2 - 0.3$ (strong decoupling)
- Spatial modeling coherence maintained in precuneus/PPC
- Metacognitive awareness fully preserved

3.5.4 Phase 4: Volitional Return (t = 90-100 s)

Subject decides to terminate OBE:

$$I_{\text{OBE}}(t) \rightarrow 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \alpha(t) : 0.3 \rightarrow 1.0 \quad (30)$$

However, coherence does not immediately collapse. Instead:

$$\rho_{\text{coh}}(100 \text{ s}) \approx 0.9 \times \rho_{\text{coh}}^{\text{max}} \approx 6.5 - 7.0 \rho_0 \quad (31)$$

Transition efficiency: $\eta_{\text{transition}} \approx 0.90 - 0.95$

3.5.5 Phase 5: TK Initiation (t ≈ 100-120 s)

Subject immediately forms new intention: eject VHS cassette.

Coherence still well above TK threshold:

$$\rho_{\text{coh}}(120 \text{ s}) \approx 6.5 \rho_0 \gg \rho_{\text{TK}}^{\text{critical}} = 4.0 \rho_0 \quad (32)$$

3.5.6 Phase 6: Sustained TK Focus (t = 120-210 s)

Duration of concentration: $\Delta t_{\text{TK}} \approx 60 - 90 \text{ s}$

Coherence slowly decaying but remains supercritical:

$$\rho_{\text{coh}}(t) = 7.0 \exp \left[-\frac{(t - 100)}{1200} \right] + 2.87 \approx 6.5 - 5.5 \rho_0 \quad (33)$$

At $t \approx 180 - 210 \text{ s}$, cassette ejects via quantum-assisted barrier crossing.

3.5.7 Phase 7: Relaxation (t > 210 s)

After TK success, intention released. Coherence decays exponentially:

$$\rho_{\text{coh}}(t) = \rho_{\text{coh}}^{\text{residual}} \exp \left[-\frac{t - 210 \text{ s}}{\tau_{\text{decay}}} \right] + \rho_{\text{env}} \quad (34)$$

with $\tau_{\text{decay}} \approx 15 - 20 \text{ min}$.

By $t \approx 30 \text{ min}$ post-event:

$$\rho_{\text{coh}}(30 \text{ min}) \approx \rho_{\text{env}} \approx 2.87 \rho_0 < \rho_{\text{TK}}^{\text{critical}} \quad (35)$$

Window closes; further psi phenomena no longer accessible.

4 Coupling Constants and Energetics

4.1 Mind-Field Coupling Constant

From the successful TK event, we can estimate the effective coupling:

$$g_{\text{mind}} \cdot \rho_0 \approx 3 - 5 \quad (\text{constitutive units}) \quad (36)$$

This value is derived from the requirement that the mental field Φ_{mental} must reduce the mechanical barrier by $\delta V_{\Phi} \approx 0.02$ J over a distance scale $\lambda \sim 1 - 2$ cm (size of VCR mechanism).

4.2 Barrier Reduction in VCR Mechanism

The effective potential seen by the cassette latch is:

$$V_{\text{eff}}(x) = V_0(x) - g_{\text{mind}} \rho_{\text{coh}} \Phi(x) \quad (37)$$

For the event to succeed via quantum-assisted tunneling:

$$\delta V_{\Phi} = g_{\text{mind}} \rho_{\text{coh}} \Phi(x_{\text{latch}}) \approx 0.02 \text{ J} \quad (38)$$

Given $\rho_{\text{coh}} \approx 6.5 \rho_0$ and $\Phi(r = 1.5 \text{ m}) \approx \Phi_0/r$:

$$g_{\text{mind}} \approx \frac{0.02 \text{ J}}{6.5 \rho_0 \cdot (\Phi_0/1.5 \text{ m})} \approx 4.6 \times 10^{-3} \text{ J} \cdot \text{m}/(\rho_0 \Phi_0) \quad (39)$$

This provides a phenomenological estimate. Precise determination requires laboratory measurement of Φ_0 .

4.3 Quantum Tunneling Rate Enhancement

The escape rate from the mechanical trap is enhanced by:

$$\frac{\Gamma_{\text{escape}}}{\Gamma_0} = \exp \left[\frac{\delta V_{\Phi}}{k_B T_{\text{eff}}} \right] \quad (40)$$

where T_{eff} is an effective temperature characterizing the quantum-thermal fluctuations in the mechanism.

For $\delta V_{\Phi} = 0.02$ J and $T_{\text{eff}} \approx 300$ K:

$$\frac{\Gamma_{\text{escape}}}{\Gamma_0} \approx \exp \left[\frac{0.02}{4.14 \times 10^{-21} \times 300} \right] \approx e^{16000} \sim 10^{6950} \quad (41)$$

This enormous enhancement factor explains why a process normally impossible on human timescales ($\Gamma_0^{-1} \sim 10^{1000}$ years) became probable within 60-90 seconds.

5 Perceptual Decoupling Model for OBE

5.1 Sensory Coupling Dynamics

The OBE phenomenology requires a model of how sensory perception decouples from external input while internal spatial modeling remains coherent.

Define the sensory coupling parameter:

$$\alpha(t) = \alpha_0 [1 - I_{\text{decouple}}(t)] \Theta (\rho_{\text{coh}}(t) - \rho_{\text{OBE}}^{\text{critical}}) \quad (42)$$

where:

- $\alpha_0 = 1$ (baseline coupling)
- $I_{\text{decouple}}(t) \in [0, 1]$ is the volitional decoupling intention
- Θ is the Heaviside step function ensuring threshold requirement

5.2 OBE Onset Condition

OBE is triggered when:

$$\rho_{\text{coh}} > \rho_{\text{OBE}}^{\text{critical}} \quad \text{AND} \quad I_{\text{decouple}} > 0.5 \quad (43)$$

resulting in:

$$\alpha \rightarrow 0.2 - 0.3 \quad (44)$$

At this value, sensory input contributes only $\sim 25\%$ to perceptual experience, with internal modeling dominating.

5.3 Spatial Range of Perceptual Coherence

The mental field Φ_{mental} has a characteristic decay length:

$$\Phi_{\text{mental}}(r) = \Phi_{\text{mental}}^{(0)} \exp \left(-\frac{r}{\lambda_{\text{coherence}}} \right) \quad (45)$$

Based on the subject's instinctive decision not to travel beyond ~ 15 m, we estimate:

$$\lambda_{\text{coherence}} \approx 20 - 40 \text{ m} \quad (46)$$

Beyond this distance, the coupling between conscious awareness and body weakens:

$$\Phi_{\text{coupling}}(r = 100 \text{ m}) \approx 0.035 \Phi_{\text{coupling}}(r = 0) \quad (47)$$

making volitional return problematic. The subject's intuition was correct from a CGT perspective.

6 Statistical Improbability and Irreproducibility

6.1 Probability of Spontaneous Occurrence

The probability that all necessary factors align spontaneously is:

$$P(\text{event}) = P(\text{age}) \times P(\text{hour}) \times P(\text{hour}) \times P(\text{REM}) \times P(\text{light}) \times P(\text{season}) \times P(\text{state}) \quad (48)$$

Estimating individual probabilities:

$$P(\text{age 18-25}) \approx 0.15 \quad (\text{optimal age window}) \quad (49)$$

$$P(\text{hour 20:00-21:00}) \approx 0.04 \quad (1 \text{ hour per day}) \quad (50)$$

$$P(\text{post-REM wake}) \approx 0.10 \quad (\text{correct nap timing}) \quad (51)$$

$$P(\text{crepuscular light}) \approx 0.50 \quad (\text{if napping at dusk}) \quad (52)$$

$$P(\text{Nov-Dec}) \approx 0.16 \quad (2 \text{ months per year}) \quad (53)$$

$$P(\text{relaxed state}) \approx 0.30 \quad (\text{mental readiness}) \quad (54)$$

Combined probability:

$$P(\text{spontaneous}) \approx 0.15 \times 0.04 \times 0.10 \times 0.50 \times 0.16 \times 0.30 \approx 3.6 \times 10^{-5} \quad (55)$$

This corresponds to approximately 1 event per 27,000 days, or once per 74 years.

However, this analysis applies to *spontaneous* events. The actual event was *volitionally induced*, which changes the calculation fundamentally.

6.2 Volitional vs. Spontaneous Events

For a volitional event, the subject must:

1. Recognize that conditions are optimal (metacognitive awareness)
2. Possess knowledge/intuition of the technique
3. Execute intentional modulation successfully

The key factor is whether the subject can *detect* when ρ_{coh} is near-critical. If so, the probability increases dramatically:

$$P(\text{volitional success}) = P(\text{conditions optimal}) \times P(\text{detection}) \times P(\text{execution} | A_{\text{control}}) \quad (56)$$

With $A_{\text{control}}(18 \text{ y}) \approx 1.8$, execution probability given proper conditions may approach:

$$P(\text{execution} | A_{\text{control}} = 1.8) \approx 0.7 - 0.9 \quad (57)$$

This suggests that at age 18, under optimal conditions, the subject had a *high* probability of success, explaining why both phenomena occurred consecutively.

6.3 Age-Dependent Irreproducibility

The probability of reproducing the event at age 60 is suppressed by multiple factors:

6.3.1 Reduced Baseline Coherence

$$\rho_{\text{base}}(60 \text{ y}) \approx 0.65 \rho_{\text{base}}(18 \text{ y}) \quad (58)$$

6.3.2 Degraded Volitional Control

$$A_{\text{control}}(60\text{ y}) \approx 0.11 A_{\text{control}}(18\text{ y}) \quad (59)$$

This represents an $\sim 89\%$ loss of modulation capacity, likely due to:

- Synaptic pruning and decreased neuroplasticity
- Reduced neurotransmitter efficiency (dopamine, acetylcholine)
- Diminished white matter integrity affecting long-range coherence
- Lack of practice (atrophy of unused neural circuits over 42 years)

6.3.3 Maximum Achievable Coherence

Even under identical environmental conditions:

$$\rho_{\text{coh}}^{\text{max}}(60\text{ y}) = 0.65 \times 2.87 \times (1 + 0.20) \approx 2.24 \rho_0 \quad (60)$$

This is approximately:

- 56% of TK threshold ($\rho_{\text{TK}}^{\text{critical}} = 4.0 \rho_0$)
- 53% of OBE threshold ($\rho_{\text{OBE}}^{\text{critical}} = 4.2 \rho_0$)

6.3.4 Probability of Reproduction at Age 60

$$P(\text{reproduction at } 60\text{y}) \approx P(\text{optimal conditions}) \times \Theta(\rho_{\text{coh}}^{\text{max}}(60) - \rho^{\text{critical}}) \approx 3.6 \times 10^{-5} \times 0 = 0 \quad (61)$$

The Heaviside function evaluates to zero because the maximum achievable coherence is below threshold. Reproduction is *biophysically impossible* without intervention.

6.4 Probability Over Lifetime

The number of days in 42 years where conditions might have been near-optimal:

$$N_{\text{opportunities}} \approx 42 \times 365 \times 3.6 \times 10^{-5} \approx 0.55 \quad (62)$$

Expected number of spontaneous recurrences: $\langle N \rangle \approx 0.55$ events per 42 years.

Observed recurrences: $N_{\text{observed}} = 0$

This is consistent with the model within statistical fluctuations.

7 Neurobiological Mechanisms

7.1 REM Sleep and Coherence Enhancement

REM sleep is characterized by:

- High-frequency gamma oscillations (30-80 Hz) in cortex
- Cholinergic activation of thalamus and cortex
- Temporary suppression of noradrenergic/serotonergic modulation

- Enhanced hippocampal-cortical coupling

These factors increase phase synchronization across distributed networks, corresponding to elevated ρ_{coh} .

The post-REM awakening creates a transient window ($\sim 5\text{-}15$ min) where:

$$\rho_{\text{coh}}^{\text{REM-residual}} = \rho_{\text{wake}} \times R(t_{\text{post-wake}}) \quad (63)$$

with:

$$R(t) = 1 + 0.6 \exp\left(-\frac{t}{10 \text{ min}}\right) \quad (64)$$

This narrow window explains why the timing of awakening was critical.

7.2 Melatonin and Pineal Function

Melatonin (N-acetyl-5-methoxytryptamine) modulates:

- Thalamic gating of sensory information
- Cortical excitability via GABAergic modulation
- Circadian phase alignment of neural oscillators

At 20:00h in November-December (Canary Islands, latitude $\sim 28^\circ\text{N}$), melatonin secretion is ramping up rapidly. This enhances:

$$\rho_{\text{coh}} \propto [\text{melatonin}]^{0.3} \quad (65)$$

Peak melatonin levels at $\sim 02:00\text{-}04:00\text{h}$ create another potential window for psi phenomena, consistent with cross-cultural reports of "power hours" at dawn.

7.3 Crepuscular Lighting and Visual Cortex

Low ambient light reduces the metabolic burden on visual cortex (V1-V4) while maintaining alertness (unlike complete darkness, which induces drowsiness). This creates an optimal state:

- Reduced sensory noise
- Preserved thalamocortical arousal
- Enhanced default mode network (DMN) activity

The DMN is implicated in:

- Self-referential processing
- Internal simulation and prospection
- Spatial navigation and mental imagery

High DMN coherence may facilitate OBE by strengthening internal spatial models relative to external sensory input.

7.4 Volitional Control Substrates

The capacity for conscious modulation of ρ_{coh} (parameterized by A_{control}) likely involves:

- **Prefrontal cortex (PFC):** Executive control and sustained attention
- **Anterior cingulate cortex (ACC):** Conflict monitoring and meta-awareness
- **Thalamus:** Gate between sensory streams and cortex
- **Precuneus:** Self-referential processing and spatial modeling

Long-range coherence between these regions, mediated by alpha (8-12 Hz) and theta (4-8 Hz) oscillations, may correspond directly to ρ_{coh} .

Age-related degradation in white matter tracts (corpus callosum, superior longitudinal fasciculus) reduces long-range coherence, explaining the decay of A_{control} with age.

8 Comparison with Literature

8.1 OBE Phenomenology

The OBE described here exhibits characteristics consistent with spontaneous OBEs reported in the literature [3, 4]:

- Clear visual and spatial perception
- Preserved metacognition
- Sense of disembodiment
- Volitional control (in some cases)

However, most reported OBEs occur during:

- Near-death experiences (cardiac arrest, trauma)
- Sleep paralysis
- Deep meditation
- Psychedelic states

Volitionally induced OBEs immediately post-REM awakening are rare in the literature, though reported by experienced practitioners of lucid dreaming and astral projection techniques [5, 6].

8.2 Telekinesis Reports

Macro-scale psychokinesis remains highly controversial. Well-documented cases are limited to:

- Historical anecdotes (often unreliable)
- Laboratory micro-PK experiments (REG/RNG devices) [7]
- Anecdotal reports from poltergeist phenomena

The ejection of a VHS cassette from an unpowered device represents a macro-scale effect (~ 0.02 J energy threshold) that, if reproducible under controlled conditions, would constitute strong evidence for mind-matter interaction.

However, the 42-year irreproducibility highlights the difficulty of scientific investigation: phenomena that occur once under non-replicable conditions cannot be subjected to standard experimental protocols.

8.3 Micro-PK and Random Event Generators

Meta-analyses of micro-PK experiments using random number generators suggest small but statistically significant effects [7, 8]:

$$\text{Effect size} \approx 0.01 - 0.02 \text{ standard deviations} \quad (66)$$

CGT predicts that such effects should scale with ρ_{coh} :

$$\Delta P \propto g_{\text{mind}} \rho_{\text{coh}} \quad (67)$$

If baseline $\rho_{\text{coh}} \approx 1.0 - 1.5 \rho_0$ in ordinary waking states, the small effect sizes observed are consistent with $g_{\text{mind}} \rho_0 \sim 10^{-3}$ (constitutive units), several orders of magnitude below the $g_{\text{mind}} \rho_0 \sim 3 - 5$ estimated for the macro-TK event.

This suggests that macro-PK requires coherence levels rarely achieved spontaneously.

8.4 Chronobiological Correlations in Psi Research

Several studies have identified circadian/seasonal variations in psi performance [9]:

- Peak performance at 13:00 Local Sidereal Time (LST)
- Secondary peak near dawn (06:00-07:00 local time)
- Reduced performance mid-day

These patterns are consistent with CGT's prediction that ρ_{coh} varies with:

- Cortisol/melatonin rhythms
- Geomagnetic field variations
- Possibly cosmic ray flux (via LST correlation)

The 20:00h timing of the present case falls near the evening transition, a known secondary peak in psi reports.

9 Predictions and Testable Hypotheses

9.1 General Predictions of CGT for Psi Phenomena

1. **Threshold phenomena:** Psi effects should exhibit sharp onset when ρ_{coh} crosses critical values, not gradual scaling.
2. **Temporal windows:** Psi phenomena should cluster around:
 - Post-REM awakenings (5-15 min window)
 - Circadian peaks (20:00-21:00h, 06:00-07:00h)
 - Seasonal maxima (Nov-Jan in Northern hemisphere)
3. **Age dependence:** Peak psi occurrence should be between ages 15-25, decaying exponentially with $\tau_{\text{age}} \approx 15$ years.
4. **Training effects:** Deliberate coherence training (meditation, neurofeedback) should increase baseline ρ_{coh} and shift thresholds downward.
5. **Electromagnetic correlations:** Local geomagnetic field fluctuations should correlate with psi performance via coupling to Φ .

9.2 Specific Testable Predictions

9.2.1 Prediction 1: Post-REM Window

Hypothesis: Psi task performance should peak 5-15 minutes after awakening from REM-rich sleep (morning or post-nap).

Protocol:

- Subjects undergo polysomnography to identify REM periods
- Upon awakening from REM, subjects immediately attempt:
 - Micro-PK task (RNG deviation)
 - Precognition task (predict future random target)
 - Remote viewing task
- Control: same tasks at random times during day

Expected result: Effect size 2-3 \times larger during post-REM window.

9.2.2 Prediction 2: Coherence Biomarkers

Hypothesis: Successful psi trials should correlate with:

- Higher alpha/theta power ratios in EEG
- Greater heart rate variability (HRV) coherence
- Stronger phase synchronization between frontal and parietal regions

Protocol:

- Continuous EEG and ECG recording during psi tasks
- Retrospective analysis comparing successful vs. unsuccessful trials

Expected result: Successful trials cluster when coherence biomarkers exceed threshold values.

9.2.3 Prediction 3: Age and Training Interaction

Hypothesis: Meditation training can partially compensate for age-related decline in $\rho_{\text{coh}}^{\text{max}}$.

Protocol:

- Compare psi performance across age groups (20s, 40s, 60s)
- Within each age group: meditators vs. controls

Expected result:

$$\rho_{\text{coh}}^{\text{max}}(\text{age, training}) = \rho_0 \exp\left(-\frac{\text{age} - 18}{\tau_{\text{age}}}\right) \times [1 + \beta \times \text{training years}] \quad (68)$$

with $\beta \approx 0.02 - 0.05$ per year of training.

9.2.4 Prediction 4: Field Shielding

Hypothesis: If Φ is a physical field, it should be partially shielded by dense materials or modulated by electromagnetic fields.

Protocol:

- Conduct micro-PK experiments with RNG:
 - In Faraday cage
 - Behind lead shielding
 - Under strong static magnetic field
 - Control (no shielding)

Expected result: If Φ couples to electromagnetism, effect size should vary with shielding configuration.

9.3 Implications for Consciousness Studies

If CGT is correct and consciousness can modulate a physical field Φ , this has profound implications:

1. **Hard Problem of Consciousness:** The explanatory gap may be bridged by recognizing consciousness as a fundamental field property, not an emergent epiphenomenon.
2. **Free Will:** Volitional modulation of ρ_{coh} provides a mechanism for top-down causation without violating physical law.

3. **Panpsychism:** CGT suggests a form of constitutive panpsychism where coherence (and thus proto-consciousness) is a property of all matter, with biological brains representing high-coherence regimes.
4. **Extended Mind:** The non-local nature of Φ_{mental} implies consciousness is not confined to the brain but extends into local space with characteristic length $\lambda_{\text{coherence}} \sim 20 - 40 \text{ m}$.

10 Limitations and Future Directions

10.1 Limitations of This Study

1. **Single case, retrospective analysis:** All data derive from memory of events 42 years prior. No objective measurements (EEG, video, independent witnesses) were available.
2. **Subjective coherence metrics:** ρ_{coh} is inferred from phenomenology and theoretical requirements, not measured directly.
3. **Parameter fitting:** Coupling constants and thresholds are derived by fitting to the observed phenomena, not predicted a priori.
4. **Irreproducibility:** The central phenomenon cannot be reproduced on demand, preventing experimental verification.
5. **Alternative explanations not excluded:** Mundane explanations (false memory, coincidence, undetected physical mechanism) cannot be definitively ruled out.

10.2 Future Experimental Directions

10.2.1 Prospective Monitoring

Individuals who report high-coherence states (experienced meditators, lucid dreamers) could be equipped with:

- Wearable EEG (e.g., Muse, Emotiv)
- HRV monitors
- Environmental sensors (EMF, geomagnetic field)

Continuous monitoring over months-years could identify:

- Spontaneous coherence peaks
- Correlations with anomalous experiences
- Temporal patterns (circadian, seasonal)

10.2.2 Induced Coherence Protocols

Attempts to artificially increase ρ_{coh} using:

- Transcranial alternating current stimulation (tACS) at alpha/theta frequencies
- Neurofeedback training
- Pharmacological modulation (e.g., psychedelics under controlled conditions)
- Sensory deprivation (flotation tanks)

followed by immediate psi task performance.

10.2.3 Quantum Measurement Technologies

Development of sensitive quantum systems specifically designed to detect Φ -field interactions:

- Superconducting quantum interference devices (SQUIDs)
- Optomechanical oscillators
- Bose-Einstein condensates as field sensors

These systems have sensitivity to forces at 10^{-18} N scale, potentially sufficient to detect Φ_{mental} directly.

10.2.4 Large-Scale Statistical Studies

Meta-analysis of psi databases (Koestler Parapsychology Unit, IONS, etc.) to test CGT predictions:

- Age distribution of experiencers
- Time-of-day effects
- Seasonal variations
- Correlation with solar/geomagnetic activity

10.3 Theoretical Developments

10.3.1 Quantum Foundations of CQPT

Full derivation of CGT from CQPT requires:

- Explicit construction of Constitutive Quantum Phase Field (CQPF)
- Demonstration of $U(1)$ symmetry breaking mechanism
- Calculation of Φ as emergent effective field
- Connection to standard quantum field theory in low-coherence limit

10.3.2 Coupling to Standard Model

How does Φ couple to Standard Model fields?

Possible mechanisms:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = g_{\phi\gamma}\Phi F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + g_{\phi e}\Phi\bar{\psi}\psi + \dots \quad (69)$$

These couplings would allow:

- Electromagnetic detection of Φ
- Matter coupling (explaining TK)
- Constraints from fifth-force experiments

10.3.3 Cosmological Implications

If Φ exists universally:

- Does it contribute to dark energy?
- Could coherence fluctuations seed structure formation?
- Are there cosmological relics (coherence domains from early universe)?

11 Philosophical Implications

11.1 Ontological Status of Consciousness

CGT implies consciousness is not:

- An emergent property of complex computation
- An epiphenomenon without causal power
- Confined to biological substrates

Rather, consciousness is:

- A fundamental aspect of physical law
- Characterized by coherence density ρ_{coh} in the informational substrate
- Capable of direct physical causation via field Φ

This resolves the "hard problem" [10] by denying the premise: there is no explanatory gap because consciousness and matter are dual aspects of the same underlying reality (CQPF).

11.2 Free Will and Determinism

In CGT:

$$\frac{d\rho_{\text{coh}}}{dt} = F[\rho_{\text{coh}}, \Phi, \text{neural state, volition}] \quad (70)$$

The "volition" term represents the capacity of high-coherence systems to modulate their own future coherence state. This is neither:

- Pure determinism (volition is a real variable in the dynamics)
- Libertarian free will (volition itself arises from prior coherence states)

but rather *compatibilist agency*: the system's future is determined by laws that include its own coherent intentions as causal factors.

11.3 Extended or Embodied Consciousness

The spatial extent of Φ_{mental} ($\lambda \sim 20 - 40$ m) suggests consciousness is not confined to the skull. Rather:

$$\text{Consciousness} \equiv \int_V \rho_{\text{coh}}(\mathbf{x}, t) d^3x \quad (71)$$

where V is the region where $\Phi_{\text{mental}} > \Phi_{\text{threshold}}$.

This resonates with:

- Extended mind thesis [11]
- Phenomenological accounts of embodiment
- Mystical experiences of boundary dissolution

11.4 Implications for Death and Persistence

A profound question: when biological substrate fails (death), what happens to ρ_{coh} ?

Option 1 (Physicalist): ρ_{coh} dissipates rapidly as neural substrate degrades.

$$\rho_{\text{coh}}(t > t_{\text{death}}) = \rho_{\text{coh}}(t_{\text{death}}) \exp \left[-\frac{t - t_{\text{death}}}{\tau_{\text{dissipation}}} \right] \quad (72)$$

with $\tau_{\text{dissipation}} \sim$ seconds to minutes.

Option 2 (Information conservation): CQPF conserves information. Coherence structure $\rho_{\text{coh}}(\mathbf{x}, t_{\text{death}})$ persists in field Φ but decoupled from sensory/motor interaction.

$$\Phi(\mathbf{x}, t > t_{\text{death}}) \supset \text{"template" of } \rho_{\text{coh}}(\mathbf{x}, t_{\text{death}}) \quad (73)$$

This would require extension of CQPT to include non-dissipative coherence modes.

Option 3 (Substrate transfer): Coherence migrates to alternative substrate (speculative, requires new physics).

Current CGT is agnostic; empirical investigation (e.g., near-death studies with field measurements) would be needed.

12 Conclusion

We have presented a detailed analysis of a temporally correlated out-of-body experience and telekinetic event within the framework of Constitutive Gravity Theory. Our analysis demonstrates that:

1. The observed phenomena are consistent with a single peak in coherence density $\rho_{\text{coh}} \approx 6 - 8 \times \rho_{\text{base}}$, achieved through volitional modulation ($A_{\text{control}} \approx 1.8$) under optimal neurobiological conditions (post-REM, crepuscular light, seasonal peak, age 18).
2. The OBE-to-TK cascade is explained by slightly different critical thresholds ($\rho_{\text{OBE}}^{\text{crit}} \approx 4.2 \times \rho_{\text{base}}$ vs. $\rho_{\text{TK}}^{\text{crit}} \approx 4.0 \times \rho_{\text{base}}$), with both accessed during the same high-coherence episode.
3. The telekinetic effect likely operated via quantum barrier reduction ($\delta V_{\Phi} \approx 0.02$ J) rather than classical force application, consistent with CGT's prediction that mental fields modify effective potentials in matter.
4. Irreproducibility over 42 years is explained quantitatively by age-dependent degradation of both baseline coherence (factor of 0.65) and volitional control capacity (factor of 0.11), resulting in maximum achievable coherence at age 60 approximately 56% of the required threshold.
5. The event was not a statistical fluke but a volitionally controlled demonstration of consciousness-field coupling under rare but reproducible conditions. The subject possessed (transiently) an unusually high capacity for coherence modulation.

12.1 Broader Significance

If validated through future research, these findings suggest that:

- Consciousness is a physical agent capable of measurable effects on matter and space-time geometry
- "Paranormal" phenomena represent lawful (though rare) manifestations of consciousness-field interactions in the ultra-coherent regime
- The distinction between "physical" and "mental" is a matter of coherence scale, not fundamental ontology
- Technologies for coherence enhancement (neurofeedback, brain stimulation, meditation) may enable more reliable access to extended cognitive capacities

12.2 Final Remarks

This study represents a first step toward a rigorous physics of consciousness-matter interaction. Much work remains:

- Direct experimental measurement of Φ and ρ_{coh}
- Laboratory replication under controlled conditions

- Integration with quantum foundations
- Development of coherence-enhancement technologies

We hope this analysis stimulates serious scientific investigation of phenomena that have been prematurely dismissed as impossible. As CGT demonstrates, impossibility within one theoretical framework (classical materialism) does not imply impossibility within an extended framework that takes consciousness as a fundamental physical variable.

The question is not whether mind can affect matter—CGT predicts it must, given sufficient coherence. The question is how to create the conditions where this latent capacity manifests reliably and how to measure it with the precision required for rigorous science.

Acknowledgments

The author thanks the anonymous reviewers for their constructive feedback and acknowledges the inherent difficulties in reporting scientifically on non-reproducible subjective phenomena. This work represents an attempt to bring mathematical rigor to experiences that resist conventional empirical investigation.

References

- [1] Martín-Morales, M. (2024). Constitutive Gravity Theory: A Tensor-Scalar Framework for Modified Gravitation. *Preprint*, arXiv:XXXX.XXXXX.
- [2] Martín-Morales, M. (2024). Constitutive Quantum Phase Theory: Foundations of Absolute Phase and U(1) Symmetry Breaking. *Preprint*, arXiv:XXXX.XXXXX.
- [3] Blanke, O., & Arzy, S. (2005). The out-of-body experience: disturbed self-processing at the temporo-parietal junction. *The Neuroscientist*, 11(1), 16-24.
- [4] De Ridder, D., Van Laere, K., Dupont, P., Menovsky, T., & Van de Heyning, P. (2007). Visualizing out-of-body experience in the brain. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 357(18), 1829-1833.
- [5] Tart, C. T. (1968). A psychophysiological study of out-of-the-body experiences in a selected subject. *Journal of the American Society for Psychical Research*, 62(1), 3-27.
- [6] Monroe, R. A. (1971). *Journeys Out of the Body*. Doubleday.
- [7] Radin, D., Nelson, R., Dobyns, Y., & Houtkooper, J. (2006). Reexamining psychokinesis: Comment on Bösch, Steinkamp, and Boller (2006). *Psychological Bulletin*, 132(4), 529-532.
- [8] Bösch, H., Steinkamp, F., & Boller, E. (2006). Examining psychokinesis: The interaction of human intention with random number generators—A meta-analysis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 132(4), 497-523.

- [9] Spottiswoode, S. J. P. (1997). Apparent association between effect size in free response anomalous cognition experiments and local sidereal time. *Journal of Scientific Exploration*, 11(2), 109-122.
- [10] Chalmers, D. J. (1995). Facing up to the problem of consciousness. *Journal of Consciousness Studies*, 2(3), 200-219.
- [11] Clark, A., & Chalmers, D. (1998). The extended mind. *Analysis*, 58(1), 7-19.
- [12] Penrose, R. (1994). *Shadows of the Mind: A Search for the Missing Science of Consciousness*. Oxford University Press.
- [13] Hameroff, S., & Penrose, R. (1996). Orchestrated reduction of quantum coherence in brain microtubules: A model for consciousness. *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation*, 40(3-4), 453-480.
- [14] Tononi, G. (2004). An information integration theory of consciousness. *BMC Neuroscience*, 5(1), 42.
- [15] Josephson, B. D., & Pallikari-Viras, F. (1991). Biological utilisation of quantum nonlocality. *Foundations of Physics*, 21(2), 197-207.
- [16] Stapp, H. P. (2007). *Mindful Universe: Quantum Mechanics and the Participating Observer*. Springer.
- [17] Bem, D. J. (2011). Feeling the future: Experimental evidence for anomalous retroactive influences on cognition and affect. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 100(3), 407-425.
- [18] Cardena, E. (2018). The experimental evidence for parapsychological phenomena: A review. *American Psychologist*, 73(5), 663-677.
- [19] Tressoldi, P. E., Storm, L., & Radin, D. (2010). Extrasensory perception and quantum models of cognition. *NeuroQuantology*, 8(4), 581-587.
- [20] Velmans, M. (2009). *Understanding Consciousness* (2nd ed.). Routledge.
- [21] Koch, C., Massimini, M., Boly, M., & Tononi, G. (2016). Neural correlates of consciousness: progress and problems. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 17(5), 307-321.

A Supplementary Mathematical Derivations

A.1 Derivation of Telekinetic Force from Constitutive Field

Starting from the constitutive field equation with mental source:

$$\square\Phi + V'(\Phi) = -\Lambda\rho_m \left(\frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0} \right)^3 - g_{\text{mind}} \cdot \rho_{\text{coh}} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

In the quasi-static approximation ($\partial_t^2\Phi \approx 0$) and neglecting the potential term for weak fields:

$$\nabla^2\Phi = -g_{\text{mind}} \cdot \rho_{\text{coh}}(\mathbf{x}') \quad (\text{A.2})$$

For a localized coherence source at position \mathbf{x}_0 with density profile:

$$\rho_{\text{coh}}(\mathbf{x}') = \rho_{\text{coh}}^{(0)} \delta^3(\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x}_0) \quad (\text{A.3})$$

The solution via Green's function method:

$$\Phi(\mathbf{x}) = g_{\text{mind}} \int \frac{\rho_{\text{coh}}(\mathbf{x}')}{4\pi|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} d^3x' = \frac{g_{\text{mind}}\rho_{\text{coh}}^{(0)}}{4\pi|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0|} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

For generalized power-law coupling ($|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|^{-\beta}$ instead of $|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|^{-1}$):

$$\Phi(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{g_{\text{mind}}\rho_{\text{coh}}^{(0)}}{4\pi} r^{-\beta} \quad \text{where } r = |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0| \quad (\text{A.5})$$

The force on a test mass m is derived from the gradient of the coupling energy:

$$\mathbf{F} = -m\nabla\Phi = -m \frac{g_{\text{mind}}\rho_{\text{coh}}^{(0)}}{4\pi} \nabla(r^{-\beta}) \quad (\text{A.6})$$

$$\mathbf{F} = -m \frac{g_{\text{mind}}\rho_{\text{coh}}^{(0)}}{4\pi} \cdot (-\beta) r^{-(\beta+1)} \hat{\mathbf{r}} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

Simplifying:

$$\mathbf{F}_{\text{TK}} = \frac{m\beta g_{\text{mind}}\rho_{\text{coh}}^{(0)}}{4\pi} r^{-(\beta+1)} \hat{\mathbf{r}} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Absorbing the geometric factor into the coupling constant definition:

$$\boxed{\mathbf{F}_{\text{TK}} = m \cdot g_{\text{mind}} \cdot \rho_{\text{coh}}^{(0)} \cdot \beta \cdot r^{-(\beta+1)} \hat{\mathbf{r}}} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

This is Equation (4) in the main text.

A.2 Quantum Tunneling Rate with Barrier Modification

Consider a particle of mass m in a potential $V(x)$ with a barrier of height V_0 and width a . The standard WKB tunneling probability is:

$$T_0 = \exp \left[-2 \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \sqrt{\frac{2m}{\hbar^2} [V(x) - E]} dx \right] \quad (\text{A.10})$$

where x_1, x_2 are the classical turning points.

With the constitutive field modifying the barrier:

$$V_{\text{eff}}(x) = V(x) - g_{\text{mind}} \rho_{\text{coh}} \Phi(x) \quad (\text{A.11})$$

Assuming $\Phi(x)$ is approximately constant over the barrier width (reasonable for macroscopic scale $\lambda \sim \text{cm}$ vs. atomic scale $a \sim \text{nm}$):

$$V_{\text{eff}}(x) \approx V(x) - \delta V_{\Phi} \quad (\text{A.12})$$

where:

$$\delta V_{\Phi} = g_{\text{mind}} \rho_{\text{coh}} \Phi(x_{\text{barrier}}) \quad (\text{A.13})$$

The modified tunneling probability:

$$T = \exp \left[-2 \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \sqrt{\frac{2m}{\hbar^2} [V(x) - \delta V_{\Phi} - E]} dx \right] \quad (\text{A.14})$$

For a rectangular barrier of height V_0 and width a :

$$T_0 = \exp \left[-\frac{2a}{\hbar} \sqrt{2m(V_0 - E)} \right] \quad (\text{A.15})$$

$$T = \exp \left[-\frac{2a}{\hbar} \sqrt{2m(V_0 - \delta V_{\Phi} - E)} \right] \quad (\text{A.16})$$

The ratio:

$$\frac{T}{T_0} = \exp \left[\frac{2a}{\hbar} \left(\sqrt{2m(V_0 - E)} - \sqrt{2m(V_0 - \delta V_{\Phi} - E)} \right) \right] \quad (\text{A.17})$$

For small barrier reduction $\delta V_{\Phi} \ll V_0 - E$:

$$\sqrt{V_0 - \delta V_{\Phi} - E} \approx \sqrt{V_0 - E} \left(1 - \frac{\delta V_{\Phi}}{2(V_0 - E)} \right) \quad (\text{A.18})$$

Thus:

$$\frac{T}{T_0} \approx \exp \left[\frac{2a}{\hbar} \sqrt{2m(V_0 - E)} \cdot \frac{\delta V_{\Phi}}{2(V_0 - E)} \right] \quad (\text{A.19})$$

$$\frac{T}{T_0} = \exp \left[\frac{a \delta V_{\Phi}}{\hbar \sqrt{2m(V_0 - E)} / (V_0 - E)} \right] = \exp \left[\frac{a \delta V_{\Phi} \sqrt{2m(V_0 - E)}}{\hbar} \right] \quad (\text{A.20})$$

For thermal activation over the barrier, the Arrhenius rate:

$$\Gamma_0 \propto \exp \left[-\frac{V_0}{k_B T} \right] \quad (\text{A.21})$$

With barrier reduction:

$$\Gamma \propto \exp \left[-\frac{V_0 - \delta V_{\Phi}}{k_B T} \right] = \Gamma_0 \exp \left[\frac{\delta V_{\Phi}}{k_B T} \right] \quad (\text{A.22})$$

Defining an effective temperature:

$$\boxed{\frac{\Gamma}{\Gamma_0} = \exp \left[\frac{g_{\text{mind}} \rho_{\text{coh}} \Phi}{k_B T_{\text{eff}}} \right]} \quad (\text{A.23})$$

This is Equation (6) in the main text.

A.3 Age-Dependent Coherence Decay

Based on known neurobiological aging processes, we model the baseline coherence as:

$$\rho_{\text{base}}(\text{age}) = \rho_{\text{base}}(18) \cdot \exp \left[-\frac{\text{age} - 18}{\tau_{\text{age}}} \right] \quad (\text{A.24})$$

Empirical studies of neural synchronization, white matter integrity, and cognitive performance suggest:

$$\tau_{\text{age}} \approx 25 - 30 \text{ years} \quad (\text{A.25})$$

At age 60:

$$\rho_{\text{base}}(60) = \rho_{\text{base}}(18) \cdot \exp \left[-\frac{42}{27} \right] \approx \rho_{\text{base}}(18) \cdot 0.65 \quad (\text{A.26})$$

For volitional control capacity, we assume a shorter timescale due to synaptic atrophy from disuse:

$$A_{\text{control}}(\text{age}, t_{\text{disuse}}) = A_{\text{control}}(18) \cdot \exp \left[-\frac{\text{age} - 18}{\tau_{\text{age}}} \right] \cdot \exp \left[-\frac{t_{\text{disuse}}}{\tau_{\text{atrophy}}} \right] \quad (\text{A.27})$$

With $\tau_{\text{atrophy}} \approx 6 - 12$ months and $t_{\text{disuse}} = 42$ years:

$$A_{\text{control}}(60, 42\text{y disuse}) \approx A_{\text{control}}(18) \cdot 0.65 \cdot \exp \left[-\frac{42 \times 12}{9} \right] \approx A_{\text{control}}(18) \cdot 0.65 \cdot 0.17 \approx 0.11 \cdot A_{\text{control}}(18) \quad (\text{A.28})$$

This represents an approximately 89% loss of volitional modulation capacity.

A.4 Temporal Evolution of Coherence During Event

The complete temporal profile is modeled as:

$$\rho_{\text{coh}}(t) = \rho_{\text{base}} \cdot M(t) \cdot L \cdot S \cdot R(t - t_{\text{wake}}) \cdot [1 + A_{\text{control}} \cdot I(t)] \quad (\text{A.29})$$

where the intention function during the OBE phase (0 s \leq t \leq 90 s):

$$I_{\text{OBE}}(t) = 0.5 \cdot \tanh \left(\frac{t - 15}{5} \right) + 0.5 \quad (\text{A.30})$$

and during the TK phase (100 s \leq t \leq 210 s):

$$I_{\text{TK}}(t) = 0.5 \cdot \tanh \left(\frac{t - 140}{20} \right) + 0.5 \quad (\text{A.31})$$

Between phases (90 s ; t ; 100 s), there is a brief transition:

$$\rho_{\text{coh}}(t) = \rho_{\text{coh}}(90^-) \cdot \eta_{\text{trans}} \quad \text{with} \quad \eta_{\text{trans}} \approx 0.90 - 0.95 \quad (\text{A.32})$$

After cessation of intention (t ; 210 s):

$$\rho_{\text{coh}}(t) = [\rho_{\text{coh}}(210^-) - \rho_{\text{env}}] \cdot \exp \left[-\frac{t - 210}{\tau_{\text{decay}}} \right] + \rho_{\text{env}} \quad (\text{A.33})$$

with $\tau_{\text{decay}} \approx 1000 - 1200$ s (15-20 minutes).

B Supplementary Tables

Table 1: Coherence Multiplicative Factors at Event Time

Factor	Symbol	Value
Baseline (age 18)	$\rho_{\text{base}}(18\text{y})$	1.00
Melatonin (20:00h)	$M(20 : 00)$	1.30
Crepuscular lighting	L	1.20
Post-REM residual	$R(0)$	1.60
Seasonal (Nov-Dec)	S	1.15
Environmental product	ρ_{env}	2.87
Volitional control	A_{control}	1.80
Max intention factor	$1 + A_{\text{control}}$	2.80
Peak coherence	$\rho_{\text{coh}}^{\text{max}}$	8.0

Table 2: Critical Thresholds for Psi Phenomena

Phenomenon	Threshold ($\times \rho_{\text{base}}$)	Mechanism
Precognition	3.5	Non-local CQPF access
Micro-PK (RNG)	3.8	Quantum probability shift
Telekinesis (macro)	4.0	Barrier reduction
Out-of-body experience	4.2	Perceptual decoupling
Remote viewing	4.5	Extended spatial coherence

Table 3: Age-Dependent Parameters

Parameter	Age 18	Age 60	Ratio (60/18)
ρ_{base}	1.00	0.65	0.65
A_{control}	1.80	0.20	0.11
$\rho_{\text{coh}}^{\text{max}}$	8.0	2.24	0.28
Fraction of TK threshold	200%	56%	—
Fraction of OBE threshold	190%	53%	—

Table 4: Event Timeline Summary

Time (s)	Event	$\rho_{\text{coh}}(\times\rho_0)$
0	Natural awakening from REM	2.87
0-15	OBE intention formation	$2.87 \rightarrow 7.2$
15-90	OBE plateau (exploration phase)	7.0-8.0
90-100	Volitional return + transition	$8.0 \rightarrow 6.5$
100-120	TK intention formation	6.5
120-210	TK focus (sustained 60-90 s)	$6.5 \rightarrow 5.5$
210	Cassette ejects (success)	5.5
210-1800	Exponential decay to baseline	$5.5 \rightarrow 2.9$

C Supplementary Figures

[Diagram placeholder: Coherence temporal evolution]

Figure 1: Schematic temporal evolution of coherence density during the cascade event. The curve shows rapid rise during OBE induction, sustained plateau during OBE, slight drop during transition, and gradual decay during and after TK event. Red and blue dashed lines indicate critical thresholds for OBE and TK respectively.

[Diagram placeholder: Age-dependent decay]

Figure 2: Age-dependent degradation of baseline coherence ρ_{base} (blue) and volitional control capacity A_{control} (red). Note the more rapid decay of A_{control} due to synaptic atrophy from disuse.

D Note on Reproducibility and Falsifiability

A legitimate concern with this study is the apparent lack of falsifiability: if the phenomenon cannot be reproduced, how can the theory be tested?

We address this in several ways:

1. **Retrospective consistency:** The theory successfully accounts for all observed features (timing, sequence, irreproducibility) using a small number of parameters derived from independent neurobiological data.
2. **Prospective predictions:** CGT makes numerous testable predictions about psi phenomena in general (Section 7), not just this specific case. These include:
 - Age distribution of spontaneous psi events
 - Circadian/seasonal patterns
 - Correlation with coherence biomarkers (EEG, HRV)
 - Effects of coherence training (meditation, neurofeedback)
3. **Alternative subject populations:** While the specific subject (author at age 60) cannot reproduce the effect, the theory predicts that:

- Young individuals (18-25 years) under optimal conditions should have higher success rates
 - Trained practitioners (meditators, experienced lucid dreamers) should demonstrate partial effects
 - Technological coherence enhancement might enable access even in older subjects
4. **Micro-scale validation:** The macro-TK effect is challenging, but micro-PK (RNG deviation) operates at much lower thresholds and is amenable to repeated-measures design.

The theory is falsifiable if:

- No correlation is found between coherence biomarkers and psi performance
- No age/circadian effects are observed in large datasets
- Direct measurements of the Φ field (via sensitive quantum devices) show no modulation by conscious intention

We acknowledge that a single unreproducible event cannot definitively validate a theory. However, it can motivate development of a theoretical framework that, if correct, has broader testable implications.