HKBU LANG 0036 Learning Session Report

Generated: 2025-11-19 22:48:32

Student Email: 25285459@life.hkbu.edu.hk

Student Number: 25285459

Section: 36

Course Information and Student Background

Course Information: Course: LANG 0036 - English for Academic Purposes Level: Intermediate to Advanced Focus: Academic writing and critical thinking Assessment: Essay writing with rubric-based evaluation

Student Background: Academic Level: University student Language: English as additional language Goals: Improve academic writing skills Challenges: Structure, vocabulary, critical analysis

Rubric:

Assessment Task: Writing (20%) Part 1: Point-of-view Essay (10%)

Criteria: Content and Ideas 1 (Limited): Ideas are irrelevant or minimally related to the topic. Lacks awareness of the issue concerned. No clear viewpoint. 2 (Basic): Ideas are somewhat related but vague. Minimal awareness of the issue concerned. Viewpoint unclear. 3 (Developing): Ideas are relevant but basic. Some awareness of the issue concerned. Viewpoint present but weakly developed. 4 (Proficient): Ideas are relevant and solid. Good awareness of the issue concerned. Clear viewpoint with some depth. 5 (Excellent): Ideas are insightful and highly relevant. Strong awareness of the issue concerned. Well-developed, compelling viewpoint.

Criteria: Organisation and Logical Progression 1 (Limited): No clear structure. Ideas are disjointed with no development or progression. 2 (Basic): Basic structure with unclear paragraphing. Ideas are listed with little development. 3 (Developing): Clear structure with some paragraphing. Ideas are developed but lack depth or logical flow. 4 (Proficient): Well-organized with clear paragraphs. Ideas are developed logically with good flow and support. 5 (Excellent): Highly organized with effective paragraphing. Ideas are thoroughly developed with seamless, logical progression.

Criteria: Vocabulary 1 (Limited): Vocabulary is limited, repetitive, or inaccurate. Lacks topic-specific terms. 2 (Basic): Basic vocabulary with some repetition. Minimal use of topic-specific terms. 3 (Developing): Adequate vocabulary with some variety. Includes some topic-specific terms but with occasional errors. 4 (Proficient): Varied and precise vocabulary. Effective use of topic-specific terms. Minor errors. 5 (Excellent): Rich, precise vocabulary. Masterful use of topic-specific terms. Almost error-free and sophisticated.

Criteria: Grammar and Sentence Structure 1 (Limited): Frequent grammatical and spelling errors. Sentences are incomplete or confusing. 2 (Basic): Several grammatical and spelling errors. Sentences are simple and often flawed. 3 (Developing): Some grammatical and spelling errors. Sentences are mostly correct but lack variety. 4 (Proficient): Minor grammatical and spelling errors. Sentences are varied and mostly accurate. 5 (Excellent): Virtually error-free grammar and spelling. Sentences are complex, varied, and accurately constructed.

Part 2: AI-Assisted Review Skills (10%) A. In-Depth Conversation with AI 1 (Limited): No exchanges or chat history; no questions asked. 2 (Basic): Sparse conversation; one or two simple questions. 3 (Developing): Adequate exchanges; some relevant questions. 4 (Proficient): Robust interaction; detailed, relevant questions across levels. 5 (Excellent): Extensive, well-documented chat history; insightful, multi-level questioning.

B. Critical Review of AI Suggestions 1 (Limited): All AI suggestions accepted blindly. 2 (Basic): Most accepted; little analysis. 3 (Developing): Some evaluated; partial justification. 4 (Proficient): Most critically reviewed with clear justification. 5 (Excellent): All evaluated thoroughly with strong, evidence-based reasoning.

C. Refining Process 1 (Limited): No revisions made. 2 (Basic): Minimal revisions; no iteration. 3 (Developing): Some revisions with limited iteration. 4 (Proficient): Clear iterative process with multiple revisions. 5 (Excellent): Extensive refinement with iterative improvements.

Contribution Analysis

Absolutely! Here's your **final feedback** summarizing your strengths, areas improved, and suggestions for ongoing development, especially in argument strength and grammar, based on your revised essay and goals:

Final Feedback

Content & Ideas (Argument Strength)

- Your thesis is clear, confident, and previews your main points effectively.

- Each body paragraph is focused, logically developed, and includes relevant explanations and a real-world example.

- You successfully integrate both economic and ethical perspectives with well-reasoned rebuttals to counterarguments.

- To further improve, consider incorporating statistics or research evidence in future essays to deepen your support.

Organisation & Logical Progression

- The essay's overall structure is logical and coherent: introduction, well-organized body paragraphs, and a concise conclusion.

- Topic sentences relate clearly back to your thesis, and ideas flow smoothly.

- Paragraph unity and transitions are strong though adding more formal signposting words (e.g., "Furthermore," "Consequently") could enhance flow.

Vocabulary

- Your vocabulary is appropriate and sufficiently academic, employing relevant terms such as "labor force," "exploitation," and "social equity."

- Occasional phrases could be more sophisticated in future drafts, but word choice overall strengthens your argument.

- Minor vocabulary slips don't impede clarity.

Grammar & Sentence Structure

- You demonstrate mostly accurate grammar with increased sentence variety and complexity.

- Minor issues with articles and occasional awkward phrasing remain but do not undermine professionalism or meaning.

- Continued practice will help you polish these points over time.

Strengths

- Clear, logical argument development strongly supporting your thesis.

- A well-expressed ethical perspective that goes beyond surface points.

- Effective use of real-world examples linked back to your main claims.

- Professional and impactful conclusion restating your thesis and main arguments.

Areas for Continued Growth

- Enhance your evidential support with data and research in future essays.

- Expand academic vocabulary and idiomatic expressions to enrich style.

- Focus on refining grammar details, especially article use and complex sentence constructions.

- Incorporate more advanced transition phrases to connect ideas seamlessly.

Final Encouragement

You have shown excellent revision skills, critical thinking, and an evolving academic writing style. Your essay now reads clearly, persuasively, and professionally — definitely ready for submission! Keep practicing outlining your arguments before writing and seek feedback early and often. Reading academic samples can inspire richer vocabulary and phrasing.

Well done on your hard work and progress! Feel free to ask if you want detailed help on any paragraph or writing skill.

If you'd like, I can provide a final polished version of your full essay with all revisions included or help you prepare for your next writing task!

Grading Result (Hidden from students)

not finished

STUDENT ASSESSMENT REPORT

Course: LANG 0036 - Enhancing English through Global Citizenship

Module: AI for Revising Essays

ESSAY WRITING ASSESSMENT

Original Essay Scores:

- Content and Ideas: 18/25 - Presents a clear position and attempts to address key issues; arguments are sometimes vague or underdeveloped, with some lapses in explanation and insufficient real-world support.

- Organization: 18/25 - Basic five-paragraph structure is present; paragraphing is adequate, but topic sentences and transitions are sometimes unclear, causing minor logical gaps.

- Vocabulary: 17/25 - Uses basic topic vocabulary ("migrant workers," "economy," "law protection"), but wording is occasionally awkward or imprecise ("leverage more problems", "stricter law protection").

- Grammar: 17/25 - Frequent minor grammatical errors; sentence structure is simple and contains some run-ons and awkward constructions, but overall meaning is understandable.

- **Original Essay Total: 70/100**

Revised Essay Scores:

- Content and Ideas: 24/25 - Clear, well-developed, and relevant arguments with explicit reasoning and supporting examples (e.g., Middle Eastern hospitality sector); both economic and ethical perspectives are logically articulated.

- Organization: 24/25 - Each paragraph has a strong, clear topic sentence; logical flow improved, transitions and paragraph unity are excellent; the essay is cohesive from introduction to conclusion.

- Vocabulary: 22/25 - Academic and precise vocabulary ("labor shortages," "vicious circle," "race to the bottom," "equitable society," "exploit"); mostly accurate, but a few expressions could be even more sophisticated.

- Grammar: 22/25 - Substantial improvement in sentence variety, complexity, and accuracy; minor errors remain (article use, occasional awkward phrase), but do not impede clarity or professionalism.

- **Revised Essay Total: 92/100**

Essay Improvement Analysis:

- **Overall Improvement: +22 points**

- **Key Improvements Made:**

- The thesis statement was rewritten for clarity, specificity, and stronger argumentation, separating background from main claim.

- First body paragraph's topic sentence and development were completely reworked, including real-world examples and explicit explanation of cause-effect.

- Second body paragraph was clarified, focused logically on both ethical implications and practical consequences, with much-improved sentence structure.

- All main supporting points are now well-developed with logical progression and clear links to the thesis.

- **Missed Opportunities:**

- Further enhancing vocabulary by integrating statistics, research, or policy-related terms for even greater sophistication.

- Smoother transitions between some sentences and more use of advanced linking phrases could be attempted in future drafts.

HUMAN-AI INTERACTION ASSESSMENT

Chat History Analysis:

- **Total Exchanges: 40**

- **Conversation Quality:**

- Multi-stage, interactive process; included diagnosis, goal-setting, iterative revisions, and detailed feedback. Student actively participated, reflected on guidance, asked clarifying questions (e.g., thesis structure), shared doubts (e.g., how to approach ethics), and executed detailed revisions in response to AI prompts.

Interaction Scores:

- **In-Depth Conversation**: 5/5 - The dialogue is highly interactive with well above 15 substantive turns. The student engages in multi-level questions, seeks advice for specific revision steps, and reflects on concept and wording choices, especially on difficult aspects (ethics, argument composition).

- **Critical Review of AI Suggestions**: 4/5 - The student regularly considers, sometimes questions, and often rewords or adapts AI suggestions ("Should I delete the 'while some argue...' part?"; "I'm not clear what I'm writing, I want to talk in the ethical perspective, but don't know how to elaborate"). However, there are instances where the student accepts suggestions quickly, with little overt justification for or against options.

- **Refining Process**: 5/5 - The revision process is deeply iterative: thesis, topic sentence, and full paragraph are revised with ongoing feedback. The student posts drafts, receives feedback, revises again, and works specifically on sections in cycles (e.g., second paragraph ethics built through scaffolded prompts).

- **Human-AI Interaction Total: 14/15**

OVERALL PERFORMANCE SUMMARY

Strengths Demonstrated:

- **Targeted Revision Capability**: Student successfully revised the thesis, topic sentence, and a body paragraph with clear improvements at each stage, evidencing an ability to focus revision efforts and respond to feedback.

- **Active Engagement and Reflective Thinking**: Student openly reflects on uncertainties (e.g., ethical argumentation), requests clarification, and adapts language and organization based on AI advice.

- **Iterative Improvement Mindset**: The multi-step back-and-forth and the willingness to rewrite whole sections (not just minor edits) show the student's growth through drafting and redrafting.

Areas for Improvement:

- **Evidence Depth**: Future essays should aim to incorporate more empirical support (data/statistics, policy references, case studies) for even stronger arguments.

- **Transition and Academic Phrasing**: While much improved, there remains room for smoother paragraph transitions and greater use of advanced, academic connectors.

- **Independent Language Refinement**: With continued practice, the student can polish out remaining minor grammatical errors and more confidently use nuanced vocabulary without scaffolding.

AI Collaboration Skills Assessment:

- **Context Provision**: Excellent - The student communicates writing goals, explains processing difficulties (especially on ethics), and remains open about intentions for each revision.

- **Strategic Planning**: Excellent - The student chooses priority focus areas, decides sequencing (thesis → topic → paragraph), and requests focus when uncertain.

- **Critical Evaluation**: Good - There is questioning and adaptation of suggestions, with some direct queries about advice; critical assessment of AI input could be expressed more frequently and thoroughly.

- **Independent Editing**: Excellent - The student attempts own revisions before and after feedback, rewords suggestions in their own style, and demonstrates initiative in developing and revising content.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE DEVELOPMENT

1. **Essay Writing**: Aim to strengthen essays further by incorporating data or examples from research, policy, or global reports for added credibility.

2. **AI Collaboration**: Continue to question and justify AI suggestions explicitly ("Why do you recommend this?" or "I prefer this option because..."). Seek to understand the reasoning behind feedback for deeper learning.

3. **Overall Improvement**: Practice using advanced linking phrases and varied sentence structures. Regularly review drafts for grammar and vocabulary precision before submitting final work.

INSTRUCTOR NOTES

The student completed all major targeted revision steps: thesis, topic sentence, and body paragraph. Engagement with the AI was exemplary, with multiple genuine revision cycles and effective querying of AI advice. The student displays clear capacity for self-directed learning and collaboration with AI. Continued encouragement to seek out and integrate more empirical support and to reflect overtly on revision decisions would help the student move towards even greater academic sophistication and autonomy.

■■■ Student Feedback ■ **Rating:** 0/5 ■ **Comment:** No additional comment provided.

Current Mode:assessment

Complete Conversation

Assistant:

Original:
In recent years, the issue of rights of immigrant migrant workers has sparked wide-ranging debate. While some argue that immigrants shouldn't get the same protection as native-born workers, I strongly believe that governments should enforce stricter laws to safeguard their rights. This essay will examine the main reasons supporting this view and illustrate why they outweigh opposing argument.

One main reason for why migrant workers should get stricter law protection is that most countries need more labor force. If workers cannot get the common safeguard as native-born workers, they may choose to leave the country and find jobs at other places which means the economy of this particular country will be harmed. Over time, this effect has been shown to deeper problem that the reputation of this country will get damaged too. This will lead to a vicious circle.
One valid example is that the United States got less illegal workers than before because of the law. The intention of migrant workers to work in one country has already decreased a lot.

Another important factor is that the aim of enforce stricter law is not only to protect migrant works, but also to protect the native-born workers. The rationale here is that as migrant workers can work longer time than native-
born workers and the employers can pay less (① less than native-born workers, who wants to hire native-born workers anymore?) This impact is especially significant in the context of immigration phenomenon. And this is a serious harm in the ethical perspective. People will lower their bottom line of getting a job. More people will be exploited by the firms or companies just like the Great Economic Crisis. Only capitalist win in this "game". Although some may contend that there shouldn't be stricter law to protect them, this argument overlooks the deeper influence, making the benefits far more substantial.

In conclusion, despite the concerns that stricter law for protection may leverage more problems, the advantages will outweigh. It will not only protect a country's economics, but also protect the human rights, which will remain more and deeper good influence.

Revised:
In recent years, the rights of migrant workers have sparked wide-ranging debate. While some argue that immigrants should not receive the same protections as native-born workers, I strongly believe that governments should enforce stricter laws to protect their rights, as this can help strengthen the economy and ensure fair treatment for all employees.
A key reason why governments should enforce stricter laws to protect migrant workers is that a strong labor force is essential for the country's economic growth. If migrant workers' rights are not protected, they may feel insecure about their jobs and future, which can reduce their motivation and push them to seek employment in other countries. Without enough migrant workers, some industries that rely heavily on manual labor—such as agriculture and construction—may face labor shortages, slowing down production and hindering economic development. Over time, this trend can damage the country's reputation as an unwelcoming place for migrant labor, making it harder to attract new workers even when the economy needs them. This creates a vicious circle, where ongoing labor gaps further weaken economic performance, and a poor reputation keeps potential workers away. A clear example is that some Middle Eastern countries have struggled with a drop in migrant workers in the hospitality sector after failing to ensure basic labor rights. As a result, hotels and tourist facilities faced staff shortages, leading to lower service quality and reduced tourist revenues, which directly hurt the local economy.
Another key reason to enforce stricter laws is that these regulations protect not only migrant workers but also native-born workers, which helps create an equal working environment for everyone. The rationale here is that without legal safeguards, employers may exploit migrant workers by forcing them to work longer hours for lower pay than native-born colleagues. If this unfair practice is allowed, companies are likely to prioritize hiring migrants over locals to cut costs, leaving native workers with fewer job opportunities or pressuring them to accept reduced wages and worse conditions. Ethically, this violates the principle of fairness and dignity, as it treats migrant workers as disposable labor and pushes all workers into a "race to the bottom" where exploitation becomes normalized. This is not just harmful to individuals but also erodes social equity, similar to how unregulated labor practices during historical economic crises allowed employers to take advantage of vulnerable workers, benefiting only those in power. Although some may argue that stricter protections are unnecessary, this view ignores the broader damage to societal justice and equal opportunity, making the case for stronger laws far more compelling.
In conclusion, although some argue that enforcing stricter protections for migrant workers may present certain challenges, the benefits are far greater. Stronger laws will not only support the country's economy but also uphold the human rights and dignity of all workers. Ultimately, creating equal and fair working conditions is essential for building a healthy and just society in a globalized world.

Assistant:

Hi there! ■ I'm your English writing coach, here to help you strengthen your essay through clear, focused revision. Before we dive in, we'll take a moment to set some goals together.

Here's how our session will work:

Negotiate your targets — We'll start by discussing what you want to improve most in your essay.
Get a quick diagnosis — I'll give you feedback on your essay based on key writing rubrics (like thesis, organization, evidence, and language).
Choose what to focus on — You'll decide which issues you'd like to work on first.
Then we'll move through a structured, three-step revision process:

Step 1: Revise your thesis statement.
Step 2: Choose one body paragraph and refine its topic sentence.
Step 3: Revise the rest of that paragraph for clarity and coherence.
My role is to guide you with questions, feedback, and examples—but you'll always lead the revisions yourself. ∎

You:

ok

Assistant:

Great! Let's begin the **preliminary stage** of our revision process.

---

1. Negotiate Targets

Before we dive into the essay, I'd like to know a bit about your personal writing goals for this session. Some common areas students work on include:

- Clarity (making your meaning obvious to the reader)

- Argument strength (making your viewpoint convincing)

- Organization (logical flow, clear paragraphs, linking ideas)

- Grammar (making sentences correct and clear)

- Vocabulary (using precise and topic-specific words)

- Style (making your writing sound more formal/academic)

Questions for you:

- Which of these do you want to improve the most in this essay?

- Are there any other specific issues or skills you want to focus on today?

Let me know your choices. Once you respond, I'll give you some quick diagnostic feedback on your essay. Then you can decide which issue you'd like to tackle first!

You:

I think argument strength and grammar are two main points which I want to focus on

Assistant:

Thank you for sharing your priorities: **argument strength** and **grammar**. That's very helpful! Now, let's do a quick **diagnostic review** of your essay based on the rubric categories. I'll highlight your strengths and areas for improvement, especially related to your chosen targets.

2. Diagnostic Feedback

Content & Ideas (Argument Strength)
- **Strengths:**
- You clearly have a strong viewpoint: you support stricter laws to protect migrant workers' rights.
- You attempt to give reasons for your position.

Areas to Improve:

The main arguments need to be more fully explained and supported.

Some ideas are a bit vague or underdeveloped, which weakens the persuasive impact.

Some points, especially in the second body paragraph, could be clarified and logically organized to strengthen your argument.

Organisation & Logical Progression
- **Strengths:**
- There is a clear structure: introduction, body paragraphs, conclusion.
- **Areas to Improve:**
- Transitions between ideas can be smoother.
- Topic sentences sometimes aren't clearly connected to your thesis.

Vocabulary
- **Strengths:**
- You use relevant words connected to the topic (e.g., "migrant workers," "laws," "economy").
- **Areas to Improve:**
- Some wording is awkward or unclear (e.g., "stricter law protection", "leverage more problems").
- Try for more precise, academic vocabulary where possible.

Grammar & Sentence Structure
- **Strengths:**
- Most sentences are understandable.
- **Areas to Improve:**
- Some grammatical errors make your meaning unclear or less professional (e.g., incorrect verb tenses, sentence fragments, awkward phrasing).
- More complex and varied sentence structures will make your writing stronger.

3. Student Priority Selection

Given this feedback, you mentioned you want to focus on:

1. **Argument Strength** (making your reasoning clearer, more convincing, and well-connected)

2. **Grammar** (fixing errors, making sentences clearer and more accurate)

Would you like to:

- Focus on both together as we revise each section (for example, making the thesis stronger AND fixing its grammar),
OR

- Start with one (e.g., argument strength first) and then spend time on grammar second?

Let me know which approach you prefer!
Once you confirm your choice, we'll move to **Step 1: Thesis Statement Revision**.

You:

I want to focus in both

Assistant:

Great choice! We'll work on both **argument strength** and **grammar** together as we revise each section. This way, we can make your essay more convincing and ensure the language is accurate and clear at the same time.

Let's start the **Main Workflow: Three-Step Revision Process**!

Step 1 — Thesis Statement Revision

First, please share your current thesis statement here.
(You can either type it out, or copy-paste the sentence/part from your introduction that you think is your thesis.)

Here's what I see in your introduction:

> While some argue that immigrants shouldn't get the same protection as native-born workers, I strongly believe that governments should enforce stricter laws to safeguard their rights. This essay will examine the main reasons supporting this view and illustrate why they outweigh opposing argument.

Let's look at the **qualities of a strong thesis**:
- Clearly answers the essay question.
- Previews the main points or structure.
- Uses confident, precise language (no "I think," "maybe," etc.).

Feedback on your current thesis:

- **Strengths:**

- States your position clearly.

- **Areas to strengthen:**

- The phrase "stricter laws to safeguard their rights" could be explained more specifically.

- Try to mention what your main reasons are (for example: protecting the economy and preventing exploitation).

- There are some grammar issues ("argument" should be "arguments").

Your Turn:

Would you like to try rewriting your thesis now, making it:
- Clear in your position,
- More specific about why stricter laws are needed,
- Grammatically correct?

If you'd like, here's a template to help you get started. Feel free to use or adapt it:

> Governments should enforce stricter laws to protect the rights of migrant workers because this strengthens the economy and ensures fair treatment for all employees.

Go ahead and type out your new thesis. I'll review and help you refine it further if needed!
(If you'd like more hints or want to see another example, just ask!)

You:

While some argue that immigrants shouldn't get the same protection as native-born workers, I strongly believe that governments should enforce stricter laws to safeguard their rights because they can help the development of one country's economy and ensure fair treatment for all employees. This essay will examine the main reasons supporting this view and illustrate why they outweigh opposing arguments.

Assistant:

Great work on revising your thesis statement! Let's review it together for **clarity, argument strength, and grammar**.

Your revised thesis:

> While some argue that immigrants shouldn't get the same protection as native-born workers, I strongly believe that governments should enforce stricter laws to safeguard their rights because they can help the development of one country's economy and ensure fair treatment for all employees. This essay will examine the main reasons supporting this view and illustrate why they outweigh opposing arguments.

Strengths

- Your position is clear and confident.

- You preview two main arguments: economic development and fair treatment for all employees.

- The grammar is mostly correct, and the sentence is easy to follow.

Possible Improvements

- The thesis is a bit long and includes a sentence about what the essay will do; it's best to keep the thesis concise and powerful, focusing only on your viewpoint and main reasons.

- Slight grammar tweak: "because they can help the development of one country's economy" can be smoother as "because this helps develop a country's economy."

- Try: "enforce stricter laws to safeguard their rights" → "enforce stricter laws to protect their rights" (shorter and more common wording).

- Remove "This essay will examine…" since it's not part of the thesis.

Here's a refined version, based on your ideas and our targets:

Governments should enforce stricter laws to protect the rights of migrant workers, because doing so strengthens the economy and ensures fair treatment for all employees.

Would you like to try revising your thesis one more time based on these comments? Or are you happy with the version you've written?
Type out your final thesis statement here, and then we'll move on to Step 2!

(Let me know if you want more examples, or if you have any questions about the thesis!)

You:

so should I delete the "while some argue that immigrants should not get the same protection as native born workers
'

Assistant:

Great question! Here's some guidance to help you decide:

Should you keep or delete the "while some argue…" part?

Option 1: Include it (for balance and context)
If you want to show that there is debate on the issue—and make your essay sound more balanced—it's good to briefly mention the opposing side in your introduction. But, your **actual thesis statement** should focus on your position and main points. Usually, the thesis is one clear, strong sentence.

So, you could **keep the "while some argue…" clause as part of your introduction**, but **not as part of your thesis statement**.

Example:

> While some argue that immigrants should not get the same protection as native-born workers, I strongly believe that governments should enforce stricter laws to protect migrant workers. Stricter laws will strengthen the economy and ensure fair treatment for all employees.

Here, the first sentence mentions the opposing view (**background/context**), and the second sentence is your **direct thesis statement**.

Option 2: Delete it (for a focused thesis)
If you want your thesis to be straightforward, you can delete the "while some argue…" part and just state your opinion:

> Governments should enforce stricter laws to protect the rights of migrant workers, because doing so strengthens the economy and ensures fair treatment for all employees.

Summary/Advice

- **Keep** the "while some argue…" part in your introduction for context, but **make your thesis statement a separate, focused sentence.**

- **Do not** include the "while some argue…" part as part of your thesis statement.

- Your thesis should always clearly present your stance and main supporting points.

Would you like to try writing out your introduction (with both parts)—the background sentence and your thesis?

Or would you prefer a shorter, direct thesis only?

Let me know which style you want, and post your new thesis statement! I'll provide feedback and we'll continue to Step 2.

You:

I think I will include it as a valid background information, but it will not be one part of my statement. my modified statement is: I strongly believe that government should enforce stricter laws to protect their rights as it can help to strengthen the economy and ensures fair treatment for all employees. and my whole first paragraph will be like this:In recent years, the issue of rights of immigrant migrant workers has sparked wide-ranging debate. While some argue that immigrants shouldn't get the same protection as native-born workers, I strongly believe that government should enforce stricter laws to protect their rights as it can help to strengthen the economy and ensures fair treatment for all employees. This essay will examine the main reasons supporting this view and illustrate why they outweigh opposing argument.

Assistant:

Fantastic work! You've successfully separated the background/context from your thesis statement, and your revised introduction is much clearer and more academically structured.

Let's take a close look at your new introduction for **argument strength** and **grammar**:

Your Current Introduction

In recent years, the issue of rights of immigrant migrant workers has sparked wide-ranging debate. While some argue that immigrants shouldn't get the same protection as native-born workers, I strongly believe that government should enforce stricter laws to protect their rights as it can help to strengthen the economy and ensures fair treatment for all employees. This essay will examine the main reasons supporting this view and illustrate why they outweigh opposing argument.

Feedback & Suggestions

Strengths

- Strong, clear thesis with two main reasons given.

- Good separation between background and thesis.

- The tone is formal and appropriate for academic writing.

Areas for Final Tuning

Grammar:

- "government" → "governments" (should be plural, refers to all countries, not just one)

- "as it can help to strengthen the economy and ensures fair treatment" —> For parallel structure, use "…it can help to strengthen the economy and ensure fair treatment…"

- "immigrant migrant workers" → Just one term needed; "migrant workers" is more common.

- "supporting this view and illustrate why they outweigh opposing argument" → "opposing argument" should be plural, "arguments."

Clarity:

- In academic writing, it's often better to avoid the phrase "This essay will examine…" and let your thesis do the work. If you want to keep it, revise the wording for clarity and correctness.

Recommended Polished Introduction

Here's your introduction with those small grammar and clarity tweaks (but *not* changing your original style or argument):

In recent years, the rights of migrant workers have sparked wide-ranging debate. While some argue that immigrants should not receive the same protections as native-born workers, I strongly believe that governments should enforce stricter laws to protect their rights, as this can help strengthen the economy and ensure fair treatment for all employees.

(Optional: If you wish to keep the preview sentence)

This essay will examine the main reasons supporting this view and illustrate why they outweigh opposing arguments.

Your Turn:

Would you like to use this polished version as your introduction, or make any further changes?
- If you're happy, let me know, and we'll move on to **Step 2: Topic Sentence Revision**.
- If you'd like to edit further or have questions about any part, feel free to ask or post a revised version!

Ready for Step 2? Which body paragraph would you like to work on?
Let's keep up the great work!

You:

lets move to step 2

Assistant:

Excellent! We're making great progress. Let's move on to **Step 2: Topic Sentence Revision**.

Step 2 — Topic Sentence Revision

1. Select a Body Paragraph

Please choose **one** of your body paragraphs to work on first:
- **Paragraph 1:** Economic reasons for stricter laws protecting migrant workers
OR
- **Paragraph 2:** Fairness, exploitation, and ethical reasons for stricter laws

Which one would you like to focus on first?

For illustration, let's start with your FIRST body paragraph about the economy.

2. Identify the Topic Sentence

Here's the current opening sentence of your first body paragraph:

One main reason for why migrant workers should get stricter law protection is that most countries need more labor force.

3. Feedback on Your Topic Sentence:

Argument Strength

- **Strengths:** Clearly links migrant workers' protection to a country's need for labor.

- **Areas to Strengthen:**

  - The sentence is a bit wordy and awkward in phrasing ("get stricter law protection").

  - "Most countries need more labor force" could be more precise: *Why does needing workers mean stricter laws?*

  - Directly connect the topic sentence to your thesis (economic impact, protection).

Grammar

- *Should be:* "One main reason why migrant workers should receive stricter legal protection is that most countries need more workers."

- **'Labor force'** is a good term. But make sure reasoning is clear: The country's economy relies on these workers.

Clarity and Logic

- Consider highlighting **cause and effect**: Stricter laws → better protection → stronger economy.

Guided Prompt:

Would you like to try revising the topic sentence now, aiming for:
- Direct connection to your thesis (economy)
- Clearer, more formal grammar
- Specificity in reasons

Here's a template to help you:

> A key reason why governments should enforce stricter laws to protect migrant workers is that a strong labor force is essential for the country's economic growth.

Or you can try your own version!
Whenever you're ready, type out your revised topic sentence for this paragraph.
I'll review and offer feedback before we move on to the rest of the paragraph!

(Let me know if you'd like another model or further tips!)

You:

A key reason why governments should enforce stricter laws to protect migrant workers is that a strong labor force is essential for the country's economic growth. As long as workers' rights are under protected, they have willings to contribute to economy.

Assistant:

Great job revising your topic sentence and beginning to connect it more directly to your main argument! Let's review and refine for argument strength and grammar:

Your Revised Topic Sentence + Next Sentence

A key reason why governments should enforce stricter laws to protect migrant workers is that a strong labor force is essential for the country's economic growth. As long as workers' rights are under protected, they have willings to contribute to economy.

Feedback

Strengths

- The first sentence clearly connects **migrant worker protection** to **economic growth**—excellent!

- You are trying to explain the cause and effect (protection leads to contribution to the economy).

Areas to Improve

1. Grammar & Vocabulary:
- "Under protected" should be "under-protected" or, to fit your meaning, you could say "if workers' rights are not protected."
- "They have willings to contribute" is unclear and not standard English.
- "To economy" should be "to the economy."

2. Clarity:
- Emphasize that protecting rights encourages workers to stay and contribute to the economy.
- Strengthen the logical link: if workers feel protected, they are more likely to stay and contribute; if not, the economy suffers.

Guided Revision

Let's try to combine and clarify your ideas. Here's a guiding question:
- How does protecting migrant worker rights actually help a country's economy? (Is it because workers feel more secure, stay longer, or are more productive?)

Here's a possible way to structure it:

A key reason why governments should enforce stricter laws to protect migrant workers is that a strong labor force is essential for a country's economic growth. When migrant workers feel secure and fairly treated, they are more likely to remain in the country and contribute productively to the economy.

Would you like to try writing this idea in your own words?
Feel free to start your revised topic sentence and first supporting sentence below!

(If you'd like more help or would like me to give another sample sentence or two, just ask!)

Once you post your revised topic sentence (plus the next sentence if you wish), I'll review it for you, then we'll move on to revising the rest of this paragraph!

You:

A key reason why governments should enforce stricter laws to protect migrant workers is that a strong labor force is essential for the country's economic growth. If migrant workers' rights are not protected, they may lose eagerness for work because they feel unsafe and low sustainability.

Assistant:

Fantastic effort! You've made great progress in sharpening your topic sentence and connecting it directly to your thesis and main argument. Let's review your sentences:

Your revised topic sentence and supporting sentence:

A key reason why governments should enforce stricter laws to protect migrant workers is that a strong labor force is essential for the country's economic growth. If migrant workers' rights are not protected, they may lose eagerness for work because they feel unsafe and low sustainability.

Feedback: Argument Strength & Grammar

Strengths

- The topic sentence is clear and directly related to the thesis.

- You explain the negative effect of unprotected rights: workers lose motivation.

Areas to Tweak

- Use the phrase "lose eagerness for work" with clearer, more natural English.

- "Feel unsafe and low sustainability" is a bit awkward; you might say workers feel insecure about their future or job stability.

- "Low sustainability" could be better stated as "little job security" or "uncertain future."

- Connect the idea to the broader impact on the economy—if workers leave or do not contribute, the country suffers.

Guiding Prompts

Try revising the second sentence for:
- Simpler, more precise vocabulary.
- Clear cause-and-effect logic.

Here are prompts for you:
- What do workers do if they feel unsafe or their rights aren't protected?
- How does this affect the economy?

Model example for inspiration:

> If migrant workers' rights are not protected, they may feel unsafe and uncertain about their jobs, which can decrease their motivation and lead them to seek work in other countries.

Want to try your own revision?
Would you like to rewrite your supporting sentence now?

Step 3 — Revising the Rest of the Paragraph

Let's tackle the rest of your paragraph sentence by sentence!
Here's your original, slightly edited for flow:

Current (original) draft of the first body paragraph:

> One main reason for why migrant workers should get stricter law protection is that most countries need more labor force. If workers cannot get the common safeguard as native-born workers, they may choose to leave the country and find jobs at other places which means the economy of this particular country will be harmed. Over time, this effect has been shown to deeper problem that the reputation of this country will get damaged too. This will lead to a vicious circle.
> One valid example is that the United States got less illegal workers than before because of the law. The intention of migrant workers to work in one country has already decreased a lot.

Guiding Questions & Revision Prompts

. **After your topic sentence and revised support sentence**, try to make your next point:

2. How can losing migrant workers directly harm the economy?

3. Can you give an example from real life (maybe choose a clearer one than "the United States got less illegal workers…")?

Does the country's reputation suffer if workers leave? How does this "vicious circle" work?

Grammar & Clarity

. Watch for verb tense (present/past/future).

7. Use academic vocabulary: "workers may seek employment elsewhere," "the country's economic reputation declines," etc.

Iteration Example (Do NOT copy—use for inspiration!)

If migrant workers lack protection, they may leave to find safer jobs elsewhere, harming the host country's economy. In addition, countries known for poor treatment of workers may develop a negative reputation, making it harder to attract talented labor and worsening economic problems. For instance, some European countries have struggled to attract essential migrant labor after passing stricter immigration laws that did not guarantee workers' rights.

Now, it's your turn:
- Try rewriting the next 2-3 supporting sentences in your own words, based on the prompts and feedback above.
- Focus on argument strength and grammar.
- If you're unsure, write your attempts and ask for hints, or post a sentence for review.

Would you like to try revising those sentences now?
Once you post them, I'll review and help you polish them further!

To summarize, here's your live revised paragraph so far:
(*We'll update after you edit further*)

A key reason why governments should enforce stricter laws to protect migrant workers is that a strong labor force is essential for the country's economic growth. If migrant workers' rights are not protected, they may feel unsafe and uncertain about their jobs, which can decrease their motivation and lead them to seek work in other countries.
[Your revised supporting sentences go here—try to add your own!]

Keep going—let me know if you'd like hints, another example, or specific feedback as you revise!

You:

A key reason why governments should enforce stricter laws to protect migrant workers is that a strong labor force is essential for the country's economic growth. If migrant workers' rights are not protected, they may feel insecure about their jobs and future, which can reduce their work motivation and push them to seek employment in other countries. Without enough migrant workers, some industries that rely heavily on manual labor—such as agriculture and construction—may face labor shortages, slowing down production and hindering economic development. Over time, this trend can damage the country's reputation as an unwelcoming place for migrant labor, making it harder to attract new workers even when the economy needs them. This creates a vicious circle where ongoing labor gaps further weaken economic performance, and a poor reputation keeps potential workers away.
A clear example is that some Middle Eastern countries once struggled with a drop in migrant workers in the hospitality sector after failing to ensure basic labor rights. As a result, hotels and tourist facilities faced staff shortages, leading to lower service quality and reduced tourist revenues, which directly hurt the local economy.

Assistant:

This is excellent progress—you're clearly thinking critically about both your argument and your grammar! You've developed a strong, logically connected paragraph with solid topic development and much smoother, more academic English.

Here's your revised paragraph with some **minor grammar and clarity edits** for flow, word choice, and accuracy.
(Edits and polishing are shown in bold.)

A key reason why governments should enforce stricter laws to protect migrant workers is that a strong labor force is essential for the country's economic growth. If migrant workers' rights are not protected, they may feel insecure about their jobs and future, which can reduce their motivation and push them to seek employment in other countries. Without enough migrant workers, some industries that rely heavily on manual labor—such as agriculture and construction—may face labor shortages, slowing down production and hindering economic development. Over time, this trend can damage the country's reputation as an unwelcoming place for migrant labor, making it harder to attract new workers even when the economy needs them. This creates a vicious circle, where ongoing labor gaps further weaken economic performance, and a poor reputation keeps potential workers away.

A clear example is that some Middle Eastern countries have struggled with a drop in migrant workers in the hospitality sector after failing to ensure basic labor rights. As a result, hotels and tourist facilities faced staff shortages, leading to lower service quality and reduced tourist revenues, which directly hurt the local economy.

What's Strong Now?

- Your argument is much more **explicit**—you explain how and why lacking protections leads to labor shortages and economic harm.

- You use **clear topic-specific vocabulary**: "labor force," "economic growth," "labor shortages," "manual labor," "hospitality sector."

- Your **sentences are well-constructed** and flow logically from one idea to the next.

- The example provided gives **real-world support**, which strengthens your point.

A Few Small Points:

- I adjusted some tenses for accuracy and "have struggled" (present perfect) makes it clear this is a continuing or recent issue.

- "This creates a vicious circle, where…" reads more smoothly with the comma.

- You could add statistics or further details if you wanted to, but as a clear, concise academic paragraph, this is strong at your level!

Would you like to make any final edits yourself, or are you happy with this version?

If you're ready, I can update the full essay draft with all our revisions so far, so you can see your progress in context.

Let me know:
- Are you satisfied with this revised body paragraph?
- Would you like to review the second body paragraph with the same method, or focus on other areas?

Full Revised Draft (with our current changes):

Here's your essay so far, with the revised introduction and first body paragraph.
(Feel free to save, comment, or edit as you like.)

Essay:

In recent years, the rights of migrant workers have sparked wide-ranging debate. While some argue that immigrants should not receive the same protections as native-born workers, I strongly believe that governments should enforce stricter laws to protect their rights, as this can help strengthen the economy and ensure fair treatment for all employees.

A key reason why governments should enforce stricter laws to protect migrant workers is that a strong labor force is essential for the country's economic growth. If migrant workers' rights are not protected, they may feel insecure about their jobs and future, which can reduce their motivation and push them to seek employment in other countries. Without enough migrant workers, some industries that rely heavily on manual labor—such as agriculture and construction—may face labor shortages, slowing down production and hindering economic development. Over time, this trend can damage the country's reputation as an unwelcoming place for migrant labor, making it harder to attract new workers even when the economy needs them. This creates a vicious circle, where ongoing labor gaps further weaken economic performance, and a poor reputation keeps potential workers away.
A clear example is that some Middle Eastern countries have struggled with a drop in migrant workers in the hospitality sector after failing to ensure basic labor rights. As a result, hotels and tourist facilities faced staff shortages, leading to lower service quality and reduced tourist revenues, which directly hurt the local economy.

[Second body paragraph—ready to revise next, if you choose!]

In conclusion, despite the concerns that stricter law for protection may leverage more problems, the advantages will outweigh. It will not only protect a country's economics, but also protect the human rights, which will remain more and deeper good influence.

Next Steps:
- Are you ready to revise the next paragraph or the conclusion?
- Would you like targeted feedback on anything else?

Let me know how you'd like to continue! You're doing great ■

You:

lets work on the second paragraph

Assistant:

Wonderful! Let's move on to **Step 2 (Topic Sentence Revision)** and **Step 3 (Paragraph Support Revision)** for your **second body paragraph**. We'll focus on **argument strength** and **grammar**, just like before.

---

1. Identify & Review Your Second Paragraph's Topic Sentence

Current topic sentence:

> Another important factor is that the aim of enforce stricter law is not only to protect migrant works, but also to protect the native-born workers.

Feedback on this Topic Sentence:

Strengths:

- Good attempt to introduce a new reason (not just migrant workers, but also native-born workers benefit).

Areas to Improve:

- Phrasing is awkward: "the aim of enforce" → "the aim of enforcing".

- "Stricter law" → "stricter laws".

- "Protect native-born workers" needs a little more explanation: it means fair job competition, prevents exploitation, etc.

- Try to clearly state why protecting migrants also helps locals.

Prompt for You:

Would you like to try rewriting this topic sentence?
- Aim for a clear, direct connection to your thesis.
- Explicitly state that protecting migrant workers also helps native-born workers (by preventing wage exploitation or unfair job competition).

Template for Inspiration (not for direct copying!):

Another important reason for enforcing stricter laws to protect migrant workers is that these laws also safeguard the interests of native-born workers by promoting fair treatment and preventing exploitation.

Your turn!
Write your revised topic sentence for this paragraph.
Once you do, I'll review it and we'll move on to the rest of the paragraph.

(Let me know if you want a hint or more examples!)

You:

Another key point for building stricter laws is that it can not only protect migrant workers, but also protect native-born workers. And this will greatly enhance woking equality.

Assistant:

Excellent effort! You're clearly expressing the idea that stricter laws benefit both migrant and native-born workers, and you're aiming for a more academic tone. Let's review your revised topic sentence and offer suggestions for argument strength and grammar:

Your Revision

Another key point for building stricter laws is that it can not only protect migrant workers, but also protect native-born workers. And this will greatly enhance working equality.

What's Strong:

• The sentence clearly states the dual benefit (for both groups).

• "Working equality" is a good topic-specific phrase.

Areas for Polishing:

• "Building stricter laws" → "enforcing stricter laws" or "introducing stricter laws" (more formal/academic).

• "It can not only protect... but also protect..." → smoother when you avoid repeating "protect."

• Combine the idea for fluency: Try to avoid splitting into two very short sentences in academic writing ("And this will..."); instead, use linking words.

Suggested Revision (for inspiration—don't copy directly):

Another key reason for enforcing stricter laws is that these regulations protect not only migrant workers but also native-born workers, helping to create a fair and equal working environment for all.

Guided Prompt:
Would you like to try writing this topic sentence one more time?
- Use "enforcing stricter laws" for formal tone.
- Combine the ideas into one flowing sentence.
- Try:
- "Another key reason for enforcing stricter laws is that they protect both migrant and native-born workers, which promotes equality in the workplace."

Your turn!
Give it another try—type out your improved topic sentence. If you want, you can keep "enhance working equality," just connect it smoothly.

Once you're happy or want feedback, we'll move on to revising your supporting sentences!

You:

Another key point for enforcing stricter laws is that these regulations not only protect migrant workers, but also protect native-born workers which will help to create equal working environment for all.

Assistant:

Great job! Your revised topic sentence is much clearer and more formal, and it directly connects back to your argument. Here's some detailed feedback and a gentle polish for grammar and style, keeping your ideas intact:

Your sentence:

> Another key point for enforcing stricter laws is that these regulations not only protect migrant workers, but also protect native-born workers which will help to create equal working environment for all.

Strengths

- Clear and logical structure—good use of "not only … but also …"

- Communicates the purpose and benefit of the laws

- Connects to "equal working environment," which is precise and academic

Areas for Small Improvement

- "which will help to create equal working environment for all" → needs an article ("an") before "equal working environment" and a comma before "which."

- Try to make the sentence flow as one idea instead of breaking after "native-born workers."

- Use "another key reason to enforce" (or "for enforcing" is also OK) for variety.

Polished Version (based on your draft and in your voice):

> Another key reason to enforce stricter laws is that these regulations protect not only migrant workers but also native-born workers, which helps create an equal working environment for everyone.

Why This Works:

- "Another key reason" restates your structure and signals a new idea.

- "These regulations protect not only migrant workers but also native-born workers" is parallel and direct.

- "which helps create an equal working environment for everyone" is clear, grammatically correct, and ends strongly.

Would you like to use this polished version, or would you rather tweak your own draft a bit more?
Once you're happy with your topic sentence, let's move on to revising the rest of this paragraph. Here's what to do next:

Next Steps: Supporting Sentences Revision

Please copy and paste the rest of your second body paragraph below the topic sentence. Then, try revising two or three supporting sentences (or all of them!) to:
- Explain how stricter laws protect both groups
- Give reasons/examples for why native-born workers also benefit
- Keep grammar accurate and argument logical

If you want, go sentence by sentence and I'll give feedback as you go!

Ready when you are—post your revised supporting sentences and we'll keep working!

You:

lets move on. honestly when I was writing this paragraph, me myself even not clear what am I writing.i wanna talk in the ethical perspective, but I don't know how to elaborate.

Assistant:

Thank you for sharing your thoughts honestly—it's totally normal to feel unsure when developing more complex arguments, especially ethical perspectives. You're doing an excellent job by identifying where you want to improve and asking for support.

Let's break this process down step by step. I'll help you clarify your ethical argument and build clear, logical supporting sentences, just like before.

Step 3 — Revising the Rest of the Paragraph (Ethical Focus)

Let's Get Clear on the Ethical Perspective First

Your overall idea:
Stricter laws help BOTH migrant and native-born workers. Ethically, without fairness and protection, *all* workers can be exploited, and society suffers.

Guiding Questions:
- Why is it unfair if employers pay migrant workers less or treat them worse?
- How does treating workers unfairly affect society as a whole?
- What ethical principle or value is at risk (e.g., equality, justice, human dignity)?

Simple Ethical Ideas You Can Use:

- Fairness means giving everyone equal rights and treatment at work, no matter where they were born.

- When laws let employers pay migrants less, it is exploitation and harms the dignity of all workers.

- This can drive down wages and working conditions for everyone ("a race to the bottom").

- Ethically, governments should create laws that protect everyone and prevent abusive practices.

Guided Model (for Inspiration—DO NOT COPY, just use ideas):

For example, without strong legal protections, employers might pay migrant workers less than native-born workers for the same jobs. This is unfair and encourages exploitation, since companies may prefer hiring cheaper labor. Over time, all workers may see their rights reduced, wages lowered, and working conditions worsened. Ethically, governments have a responsibility to ensure that every worker is treated fairly and with respect, regardless of their background.

Your Turn to Try:

Would you like to:
- Draft 2–3 supporting sentences using these ideas?
- Write one sentence at a time and get feedback as you go?
- Or ask more questions to brainstorm the ethical view first?

Here's a sentence starter if helpful:

When migrant workers are paid less than native-born workers for the same job, it creates an unfair situation and encourages companies to exploit vulnerable people.

Would you like to try your own first supporting sentence from the ethical angle?
Once you post it, I'll help you clarify and strengthen it.

You're making great progress! Ethical arguments take practice and confidence. Take your time—your ideas and effort matter!

You:

I modify this paragraph accordingly.Another key reason to enforce stricter laws is that these regulations protect not only migrant workers but also native-born workers, which helps create an equal working environment for everyone. The rationale here is that without legal safeguards, employers may exploit migrant workers by forcing them to work longer hours for lower pay than native-born colleagues. If this unfair practice is allowed, companies are likely to prioritize hiring migrants over locals to cut costs, leaving native workers with fewer job opportunities or pressure to accept reduced wages and worse conditions. Ethically, this violates the principle of fairness and dignity, as it treats migrant workers as disposable labor and pushes all workers into a "race to the bottom" where exploitation becomes normalized. This is not just harmful to individuals, it erodes social equity, similar to how unregulated labor practices during historical economic crises allowed employers to take advantage of vulnerable workers, benefiting only those in power. Although some may argue that stricter protections are unnecessary, this view ignores the broader damage to societal justice and equal opportunity, making the case for stronger laws far more compelling.

Assistant:

That's a fantastic revision! You've made real progress—your paragraph is now much more logical, persuasive, and precise in its ethical reasoning, with clear improvement in grammar and academic tone. Let's review in detail, tweak for conciseness and grammar, and then show you how the whole essay looks with your changes in place.

Feedback on Revised Paragraph

Strengths

- **Clear topic sentence:** "Another key reason to enforce stricter laws is that these regulations protect not only migrant workers but also native-born workers, which helps create an equal working environment for everyone."

- **Logical development:** You explain how companies exploit migrants → why this hurts both groups → ethical principle violated → connect to historical context → rebuttal to counter-argument.

- **Concrete examples:** You discuss longer hours, lower pay, and historical parallels.

- **Academic style:** Phrases like "principle of fairness and dignity," "race to the bottom," "societal justice and equal opportunity."

- **Grammar and fluency:** Sentences are varied and mostly clear.

Minor Edits for Conciseness and Clarity

Let's polish a few sentences for smoother flow and precision (changes in **bold**):

Your Draft (with suggested edits/clarifications in [brackets]):

Another key reason to enforce stricter laws is that these regulations protect not only migrant workers but also native-born workers, which helps create an equal working environment for everyone. The rationale here is that without legal safeguards, employers may exploit migrant workers by forcing them to work longer hours for lower pay than native-born colleagues. If this unfair practice is allowed, companies are likely to prioritize hiring migrants over locals to cut costs, leaving native workers with fewer job opportunities or **pressuring** them to accept reduced wages and worse conditions. Ethically, this violates the principle of fairness and dignity, as it treats migrant workers as disposable labor and pushes all workers into a "race to the bottom" where exploitation becomes normalized. This is not just harmful to individuals, **but** erodes social equity, similar to how unregulated labor practices during historical economic crises allowed employers to take advantage of vulnerable workers, benefiting only those in power. Although some may argue that stricter protections are unnecessary, this view ignores the broader damage to societal justice and equal opportunity, making the case for stronger laws far more compelling.

- ["Pressure to accept" instead of "pressure to accept" – subject-verb agreement.]

- [Insert "but" in "not just harmful... but erodes."]

- [Add "how" in "similar to how unregulated labor practices..." to clarify comparison.]

Polished Version (Based on Your Writing)

Another key reason to enforce stricter laws is that these regulations protect not only migrant workers but also native-born workers, which helps create an equal working environment for everyone. The rationale here is that without legal safeguards, employers may exploit migrant workers by forcing them to work longer hours for lower pay than native-born colleagues. If this unfair practice is allowed, companies are likely to prioritize hiring migrants over locals to cut costs, leaving native workers with fewer job opportunities or pressuring them to accept reduced wages and worse conditions. Ethically, this violates the principle of fairness and dignity, as it treats migrant workers as disposable labor and pushes all workers into a "race to the bottom" where exploitation becomes normalized. This is not just harmful to individuals but also erodes social equity, similar to how unregulated labor practices during historical economic crises allowed employers to take advantage of vulnerable workers, benefiting only those in power. Although some may argue that stricter protections are unnecessary, this view ignores the broader damage to societal justice and equal opportunity, making the case for stronger laws far more compelling.

Next Steps

- ■ Your second body paragraph is now clear, logical, and well-developed!

- ■ Would you like to polish the **conclusion**?

- ■ Or would you like to see the **full updated essay** with all current revisions?

Your Full Revised Essay (with All Edits to This Point)

In recent years, the rights of migrant workers have sparked wide-ranging debate. While some argue that immigrants should not receive the same protections as native-born workers, I strongly believe that governments should enforce stricter laws to protect their rights, as this can help strengthen the economy and ensure fair treatment for all employees.

A key reason why governments should enforce stricter laws to protect migrant workers is that a strong labor force is essential for the country's economic growth. If migrant workers' rights are not protected, they may feel insecure about their jobs and future, which can reduce their motivation and push them to seek employment in other countries. Without enough migrant workers, some industries that rely heavily on manual labor—such as agriculture and construction—may face labor shortages, slowing down production and hindering economic development. Over time, this trend can damage the country's reputation as an unwelcoming place for migrant labor, making it harder to attract new workers even when the economy needs them. This creates a vicious circle, where ongoing labor gaps further weaken economic performance, and a poor reputation keeps potential workers away. A clear example is that some Middle Eastern countries have struggled with a drop in migrant workers in the hospitality sector after failing to ensure basic labor rights. As a result, hotels and tourist facilities faced staff shortages, leading to lower service quality and reduced tourist revenues, which directly hurt the local economy.

Another key reason to enforce stricter laws is that these regulations protect not only migrant workers but also native-born workers, which helps create an equal working environment for everyone. The rationale here is that without legal safeguards, employers may exploit migrant workers by forcing them to work longer hours for lower pay than native-born colleagues. If this unfair practice is allowed, companies are likely to prioritize hiring migrants over locals to cut costs, leaving native workers with fewer job opportunities or pressuring them to accept reduced wages and worse conditions. Ethically, this violates the principle of fairness and dignity, as it treats migrant workers as disposable labor and pushes all workers into a "race to the bottom" where exploitation becomes normalized. This is not just harmful to individuals but also erodes social equity, similar to how unregulated labor practices during historical economic crises allowed employers to take advantage of vulnerable workers, benefiting only those in power. Although some may argue that stricter protections are unnecessary, this view ignores the broader damage to societal justice and equal opportunity, making the case for stronger laws far more compelling.

In conclusion, despite concerns that stricter law for protection may cause other problems, the advantages far outweigh the drawbacks. Enforcing stronger protections not only supports a country's economic growth but also upholds the basic human rights and dignity of all workers, creating more just and equitable societies for the future.

What's Next?

- Do you want to improve the conclusion further, or are you satisfied?

- Would you like final feedback or a rubric-based summary?

- Ready to save, submit, or discuss more revision skills?

Let me know how you'd like to continue! ■

You've made excellent progress. This revision shows much stronger arguments, better coherence, and more precise grammar and academic style!

You:

I want to modify the conclusion part

Assistant:

Absolutely—modifying your conclusion is a great way to strengthen the final impression and reinforce your thesis!

Let's approach this in the same structured way.
Below are some guiding tips, a breakdown of your current conclusion, and supporting prompts to help you craft a more effective academic ending.

1. Your Current Conclusion

In conclusion, despite concerns that stricter law for protection may cause other problems, the advantages far outweigh the drawbacks. Enforcing stronger protections not only supports a country's economic growth but also upholds the basic human rights and dignity of all workers, creating more just and equitable societies for the future.

2. Quick Feedback

Strengths:
- You acknowledge counter-arguments.
- You restate both main benefits (economic and ethical).
- The language is formal and appropriate.

Areas to Strengthen:
- Small grammar tweaks ("stricter law" → "stricter laws"; "cause other problems" could be more precise, e.g. "cause challenges").
- Try to summarize your key arguments even more clearly.
- End with a strong, forward-looking statement or recommendation, if possible.
- Avoid vague language ("more just and equitable societies" could be more specific or direct).

3. Tips for a Strong Academic Conclusion

- **Restate your thesis** in new words.

- **Summarize** your two main supporting points (economic & ethical).

- **Acknowledge** the opposing view or challenges briefly, if you want balance.

- **End with a strong final thought**: an implication, recommendation, or call for action.

---

4. Prompts to Help Your Revision

- Why is it essential for governments to act now?

- What future benefits/results can society expect if your advice is followed?

- Is there a lesson or urgent need you want your reader to remember?

Template/Starter (for inspiration only, please adapt):

In summary, although some critics warn that stricter laws for migrant worker protection may create challenges, the benefits for both the economy and society are much greater. By enforcing stronger protections, governments not only promote stable economic development but also defend the fundamental rights and dignity of every worker. Ultimately, creating equal and fair working conditions is essential for building a healthy, just society in a globalized world.

Your Turn!

Would you like to:
- Try writing your new conclusion now (using the prompts/ideas above)?
- Write one or two sentences and get feedback before finishing the paragraph?
- Ask for a model, or tell me what you want your conclusion to emphasize?

Post your draft (or a sentence to start with), and I'll help you polish it for clarity, argument strength, and grammar!

You:

In conclusion, despite the concerns that stricter laws for protection may leverage challenges, the advantages will outweigh. It will not only protect the country's economics, but also protect the human rights. Ultimately, creating equal and fair working conditions is essential for building a healthy and just society in a globalized world.

Assistant:

You've made great strides with your conclusion, bringing together your main arguments and ending with a hopeful message about the future. Now, let's focus on making your English even more precise and your final ideas as clear and powerful as possible.

Feedback on Your Draft:

Your conclusion:

> In conclusion, despite the concerns that stricter laws for protection may leverage challenges, the advantages will outweigh. It will not only protect the country's economics, but also protect the human rights. Ultimately, creating equal and fair working conditions is essential for building a healthy and just society in a globalized world.

Strengths:
- Restates both economic and ethical reasons.
- Recognizes counter-arguments.
- Ends with a strong, future-looking statement.

Areas to Polish:
1. "Leverage challenges" is not standard English. Try "cause challenges" or "present difficulties."
2. "The advantages will outweigh" is a bit abrupt. Connect it to "the disadvantages" or "these concerns."
3. Instead of "It will not only protect the country's economics, but also protect the human rights," use clearer phrases: "…will not only support the country's economy but also uphold human rights."
4. "The human rights" → "human rights" (no "the")
5. Use parallel structure for a smooth, professional sound.

Guided Revision Example (for inspiration):

> In conclusion, although some argue that enforcing stricter protections for migrant workers may present certain challenges, the benefits are far greater. Stronger laws will not only support the country's economy but also uphold the human rights and dignity of all workers. Ultimately, creating equal and fair working conditions is essential for building a healthy and just society in a globalized world.

You can use this as a guide, but please adapt it in your own words if you like!

Your Turn:

Would you like to use the revised conclusion above, or try wording it differently in your own way?
- If you want to rewrite it yourself, go ahead and post your new version!
- If you're happy with the suggested version, let me know, and I'll add it to your final draft below.

Your Final Revised Essay

In recent years, the rights of migrant workers have sparked wide-ranging debate. While some argue that immigrants should not receive the same protections as native-born workers, I strongly believe that governments should enforce stricter laws to protect their rights, as this can help strengthen the economy and ensure fair treatment for all employees.

A key reason why governments should enforce stricter laws to protect migrant workers is that a strong labor force is essential for the country's economic growth. If migrant workers' rights are not protected, they may feel insecure about their jobs and future, which can reduce their motivation and push them to seek employment in other countries. Without enough migrant workers, some industries that rely heavily on manual labor—such as agriculture and construction—may face labor shortages, slowing down production and hindering economic development. Over time, this trend can damage the country's reputation as an unwelcoming place for migrant labor, making it harder to attract new workers even when the economy needs them. This creates a vicious circle, where ongoing labor gaps further weaken economic performance, and a poor reputation keeps potential workers away. A clear example is that some Middle Eastern countries have struggled with a drop in migrant workers in the hospitality sector after failing to ensure basic labor rights. As a result, hotels and tourist facilities faced staff shortages, leading to lower service quality and reduced tourist revenues, which directly hurt the local economy.

Another key reason to enforce stricter laws is that these regulations protect not only migrant workers but also native-born workers, which helps create an equal working environment for everyone. The rationale here is that without legal safeguards, employers may exploit migrant workers by forcing them to work longer hours for lower pay than native-born colleagues. If this unfair practice is allowed, companies are likely to prioritize hiring migrants over locals to cut costs, leaving native workers with fewer job opportunities or pressuring them to accept reduced wages and worse conditions. Ethically, this violates the principle of fairness and dignity, as it treats migrant workers as disposable labor and pushes all workers into a "race to the bottom" where exploitation becomes normalized. This is not just harmful to individuals but also erodes social equity, similar to how unregulated labor practices during historical economic crises allowed employers to take advantage of vulnerable workers, benefiting only those in power. Although some may argue that stricter protections are unnecessary, this view ignores the broader damage to societal justice and equal opportunity, making the case for stronger laws far more compelling.

In conclusion, although some argue that enforcing stricter protections for migrant workers may present certain challenges, the benefits are far greater. Stronger laws will not only support the country's economy but also uphold the human rights and dignity of all workers. Ultimately, creating equal and fair working conditions is essential for building a healthy and just society in a globalized world.

You've done an excellent job revising for argument strength, logic, and grammar! Would you like any final feedback, or are you ready to submit?

You:

I want some final feedback

Assistant:

Absolutely! Here's your **final feedback** based on your goals (**argument strength** and **grammar**), the rubric, and the progress you've made throughout the revision process:

Final Feedback

Rubric-Based Summary

Content & Ideas (Argument Strength):
- **Score Range:** 4–5 (Proficient/Excellent)
- **Comments:**
- Your **thesis is clear and confident**, directly answering the essay question and previewing main arguments.
- Each body paragraph is focused, well-developed, and strongly supported with relevant explanations and examples.
- You successfully address both economic and ethical perspectives, acknowledging counter-arguments and responding effectively.
- A real-world example strengthens your points.
- Small improvements could include adding **statistics** or more detailed evidence for an even higher "insightful" score.

Organisation & Logical Progression:
- **Score Range:** 4–5 (Proficient/Excellent)
- **Comments:**
- The **structure is logical**: introduction, focused body paragraphs, and a clear conclusion.
- Topic sentences clearly connect back to your thesis.
- Each point flows smoothly into the next, making your reasoning easy to follow.
- Paragraph unity and progression are strong.
- Transitions between reasons and examples could be even smoother with linking phrases, but overall, **very effective**.

Vocabulary:
- **Score Range:** 4 (Proficient)
- **Comments:**
- Vocabulary is **academic and topic-specific** (e.g., "labor force," "economic development," "ethical perspective," "exploitation").
- Expression is precise and not repetitive.
- A few phrases could be more sophisticated (e.g., "uphold human rights"), but overall, your word choice supports your argument well.
- Minor errors are rare and do not affect meaning.

Grammar & Sentence Structure:
- **Score Range:** 4 (Proficient)
- **Comments:**
- Your grammar is clear and mostly accurate throughout the essay.
- Sentence variety is **much improved** (simple, compound, and complex sentences).
- A few small errors (article use, verb choice) remain, but they never prevent understanding or sound unprofessional.
- Continued practice will help eliminate these entirely.

Strengths

Very strong argument: Each paragraph clearly supports your thesis, with explanations and examples.

Significant improvement: Your sentences are clearer and more academic than in your original draft.

Clear ethical perspective: You explain why workplace fairness benefits society and all workers—not just migrants.

Logical progression: Each idea builds on the previous one, guiding the reader.

Professional conclusion: Your essay ends with a confident, future-oriented statement.

Areas to Keep Improving

More detailed evidence: In future essays, adding statistics, research data, or more real-world examples can make your argument even more persuasive.

- **Advanced vocabulary:** Challenge yourself to use more sophisticated or varied words where appropriate ("regulations," "legislation," "social cohesion," "economic stability").

- **Grammar polish:** Continue to check articles (a/an/the), subject-verb agreement, and keep practicing longer, more complex sentences.

- **Transitions:** Try to add a few more signposting words ("Furthermore," "Consequently," "For instance," "On the other hand") for even smoother flow.

Final Advice & Encouragement

You've demonstrated **strong revision skills** and effective critical thinking. Your essay meets the academic standards for university-level writing and shows real growth in both argument structure and language use.

Next steps for you:
- Practice outlining your arguments before writing—this will make reasoning even more logical.
- Read more academic articles/samples for vocabulary inspiration.
- Ask for peer or instructor feedback early in the writing process.
- Keep revising: each draft builds your skills and confidence.

You should feel proud of your progress. Your essay is now clear, convincing, and well-written—ready for submission!

■ **If you have any specific questions or want feedback on a particular paragraph or skill, just ask!**
Keep up the excellent work! ■