

HKBU LANG 0036 Learning Session Report

Generated: 2025-11-30 00:43:08

Student Email: 25274465@life.hkbu.edu.hk

Student Number: 25274465

Section: 3

Course Information and Student Background

Course Information: Course: LANG 0036 - English for Academic Purposes Level: Intermediate to Advanced Focus: Academic writing and critical thinking Assessment: Essay writing with rubric-based evaluation

Student Background: Academic Level: University student Language: English as additional language Goals: Improve academic writing skills Challenges: Structure, vocabulary, critical analysis

Rubric:

Assessment Task: Writing (20%) Part 1: Point-of-view Essay (10%)

Criteria: Content and Ideas 1 (Limited): Ideas are irrelevant or minimally related to the topic. Lacks awareness of the issue concerned. No clear viewpoint. 2 (Basic): Ideas are somewhat related but vague. Minimal awareness of the issue concerned. Viewpoint unclear. 3 (Developing): Ideas are relevant but basic. Some awareness of the issue concerned. Viewpoint present but weakly developed. 4 (Proficient): Ideas are relevant and solid. Good awareness of the issue concerned. Clear viewpoint with some depth. 5 (Excellent): Ideas are insightful and highly relevant. Strong awareness of the issue concerned. Well-developed, compelling viewpoint.

Criteria: Organisation and Logical Progression 1 (Limited): No clear structure. Ideas are disjointed with no development or progression. 2 (Basic): Basic structure with unclear paragraphing. Ideas are listed with little development. 3 (Developing): Clear structure with some paragraphing. Ideas are developed but lack depth or logical flow. 4 (Proficient): Well-organized with clear paragraphs. Ideas are developed logically with good flow and support. 5 (Excellent): Highly organized with effective paragraphing. Ideas are thoroughly developed with seamless, logical progression.

Criteria: Vocabulary 1 (Limited): Vocabulary is limited, repetitive, or inaccurate. Lacks topic-specific terms. 2 (Basic): Basic vocabulary with some repetition. Minimal use of topic-specific terms. 3 (Developing): Adequate vocabulary with some variety. Includes some topic-specific terms but with occasional errors. 4 (Proficient): Varied and precise vocabulary. Effective use of topic-specific terms. Minor errors. 5 (Excellent): Rich, precise vocabulary. Masterful use of topic-specific terms. Almost error-free and sophisticated.

Criteria: Grammar and Sentence Structure 1 (Limited): Frequent grammatical and spelling errors. Sentences are incomplete or confusing. 2 (Basic): Several grammatical and spelling errors. Sentences are simple and often flawed. 3 (Developing): Some grammatical and spelling errors. Sentences are mostly correct but lack variety. 4 (Proficient): Minor grammatical and spelling errors. Sentences are varied and mostly accurate. 5 (Excellent): Virtually error-free grammar and spelling. Sentences are complex, varied, and accurately constructed.

Part 2: AI-Assisted Review Skills (10%) A. In-Depth Conversation with AI 1 (Limited): No exchanges or chat history; no questions asked. 2 (Basic): Sparse conversation; one or two simple questions. 3 (Developing): Adequate exchanges; some relevant questions. 4 (Proficient): Robust interaction; detailed, relevant questions across levels. 5 (Excellent): Extensive, well-documented chat history; insightful, multi-level questioning.

B. Critical Review of AI Suggestions 1 (Limited): All AI suggestions accepted blindly. 2 (Basic): Most accepted; little analysis. 3 (Developing): Some evaluated; partial justification. 4 (Proficient): Most critically reviewed with clear justification. 5 (Excellent): All evaluated thoroughly with strong, evidence-based reasoning.

C. Refining Process 1 (Limited): No revisions made. 2 (Basic): Minimal revisions; no iteration. 3 (Developing): Some revisions with limited iteration. 4 (Proficient): Clear iterative process with multiple revisions. 5 (Excellent): Extensive refinement with iterative improvements.

Contribution Analysis

Thank you for sharing the full assessment and revision history. Based on everything, here is a concise summary of your progress and some tailored suggestions for your next steps:

Summary of Your Essay Revision Progress

- **Thesis:** Now clear, confident, and previews two main reasons (reducing exploitation and social/economic benefits).
- **Topic Sentence (migrant farmers paragraph):** Reworked to directly connect to thesis and identify exploitation issues.
- **Body Paragraph (migrant farmers):** Enhanced with specific examples (long hours, low pay, poor conditions), clear remedies (minimum wages, working-hour limits, inspections), and stronger logical flow.
- **Overall improvements:** Clarity, unity, coherence, vocabulary precision, and grammar have all improved noticeably.

Recommendations for Next Development Steps

1. Add Specific Evidence or Data

To increase credibility and persuasive power, add at least one concrete statistic or named case study about migrant workers' conditions or the impact of labor laws.

Example:

"According to the International Labour Organization (2023), migrant workers suffer from wage theft in up to 25% of cases due to weak enforcement."

You can add a sentence citing such data in the migrant farmers paragraph to ground your argument.

Include a Brief Counterargument and Rebuttal

Address a possible opposing view (e.g., "Some argue that stricter laws increase employer costs and reduce job opportunities for migrants.") and refute it ("However, evidence shows that fair pay and protections lead to more stable employment and better productivity.").

This will add critical depth.

Experiment with Your Own Revisions

Before asking AI for rewrites, try drafting at least one paragraph or key sentence yourself based on the revised essay, then ask for targeted feedback. This will build your editing and independent writing skills.

Clarify Audience and Tone for Future Essays

Specify formality level and audience when working with AI to tailor vocabulary, sentence complexity, and style. For example, "Write for a university-level academic audience, use formal tone and topic-specific vocabulary."

Optional Help I Can Provide Next

- Suggest up-to-date, reputable statistics or facts relevant to your essay and show how to cite them briefly.
- Write a model paragraph incorporating a counterargument and rebuttal for the migrant farmers section.
- Review a short draft paragraph you write yourself, giving detailed feedback on cohesion, grammar, and style.

Please let me know how you'd like to proceed! For instance:

- Would you like me to provide a statistic + citation suggestion?
- Or a model paragraph with counterargument?
- Or would you prefer to write a brief paragraph yourself and get feedback?

I'm here to support your continued improvement!

Grading Result (Hidden from students)

Status of requested tasks: - Revised the thesis statement: YES (completed) - Revised one of the topic sentences: YES (completed) - Revised one of the body paragraphs: YES (completed)

STUDENT ASSESSMENT REPORT

Course: LANG 0036 - Enhancing English through Global Citizenship

Module: AI for Revising Essays

ESSAY WRITING ASSESSMENT

Original Essay Scores:

- Content and Ideas: 18/25 - The original essay states a clear position ("I think the government should enforce stricter laws to protect migrants.") and offers two broad reasons, but ideas are repetitive and underdeveloped with few concrete, specific claims.
- Organization: 18/25 - There is a recognizable structure (intro, reasons, examples, conclusion), but paragraphs lack tight unity and some transitions/repetition weaken logical progression.
- Vocabulary: 18/25 - Adequate everyday vocabulary but frequent repetition ("migrants," "protect") and limited precision or topic-specific terms.
- Grammar: 16/25 - Several grammatical/tense issues and awkward phrasing (e.g., "Recently, migrants suffer..."; repeated hedging like "I think," "In a word") that lower clarity.
- **Original Essay Total: 70/100**

Evidence (original): - Thesis/closing line: "In a word, I think the government should enforce stricter laws to protect migrants." - Example sentences showing repetition and vagueness: "They have their own personal rights, which should be respected just like those of native-born people." / "Take another example related to migrants. I believe that if the government issues stricter laws to safeguard their rights, the overall unemployment rate will decrease and the happiness index will rise."

Revised Essay Scores:

- Content and Ideas: 21/25 - The revised essay presents a clearer, more specific thesis that previews two reasons and the revised paragraph supplies concrete mechanisms (minimum wages, working-hour limits, inspections).
- Organization: 21/25 - Thesis now previews the essay's main claims and the chosen paragraph has a stronger topic sentence and tighter development, improving logical flow.

- Vocabulary: 20/25 - Word choice and phrasing are clearer and less repetitive (e.g., “protect their legal rights,” “fair pay and reasonable hours”), though still accessible rather than advanced.

- Grammar: 21/25 - Sentence structure and tense issues are substantially corrected; flow and readability improved.

- **Revised Essay Total: 83/100**

Evidence (revised): - Revised thesis: “Governments should enforce stricter laws to protect migrant workers’ rights because stronger regulation reduces exploitation—ensuring fair pay and reasonable hours—and produces social and economic benefits, such as lower unemployment and greater social cohesion.” - Revised topic sentence: “Stricter laws are especially needed for migrant farmers because weak regulation allows exploitation, such as long hours and unfair wages.” - Revised paragraph sentences: “For example, many migrant farmers work excessive hours for very low pay, live in poor conditions, and often lack access to healthcare or legal protection. Enforcing standards—like minimum wages, limits on working hours, and regular safety inspections—would reduce these abuses and give workers clearer legal recourse when employers exploit them.”

Essay Improvement Analysis:

- **Overall Improvement: +13 points**

- **Key Improvements Made:**

- Clearer, more focused thesis that previews the two main claims (reduced exploitation; social/economic benefits).

- Topic sentence reworked to explicitly link the migrant-farmers paragraph to the thesis and to identify the specific problem (exploitation).

- Paragraph development improved with concrete examples of harms and concrete legal remedies (minimum wage, working-hour limits, inspections), which increases unity and persuasiveness.

- **Missed Opportunities:**

- Support: No specific data, statistic, or sourced example was added to strengthen claims (e.g., a citation or concrete case would increase credibility).

- Balancing counterargument: The essay could be strengthened by acknowledging potential counterarguments (e.g., enforcement costs, employer concerns) and briefly rebutting them.

- Variety of sentence structures and more topic-specific vocabulary (labor law, enforcement mechanisms, social integration) could push the essay from Good toward Excellent.

HUMAN-AI INTERACTION ASSESSMENT

Chat History Analysis:

- **Total Exchanges:** 9 (assistant and student back-and-forth across thesis, topic sentence, paragraph, and final revision)
- **Conversation Quality:** Focused and task-oriented. The AI provided a clear revision plan and concrete suggested revisions; the student issued concise instructions to revise thesis, topic sentence, and paragraph and then asked to revise the rest of the essay. The student did not provide extended critical feedback on AI suggestions or attempt many independent drafts in the chat.

Interaction Scores:

- **In-Depth Conversation:** 3/5 - Developing. The chat shows a focused sequence of steps (thesis → topic sentence → paragraph → full revision) across 9 messages. Exchanges are purposeful but not extensive or exploratory; the student mainly issued revision commands rather than engaging in extended questioning or multi-level discussion.
- **Evidence:** The assistant proposed a structured three-step process and suggested text; the student responded with short directives ("Revise thesis statement," "Revise topic sentences," etc.) without long follow-up questions.
- **Critical Review of AI Suggestions:** 2/5 - Basic. The student largely accepted the AI's proposed revisions without documented critique, justification, or selective acceptance/rejection in the chat transcript.
- **Evidence:** After the assistant proposed a revised thesis and topic sentence, the user's responses were commands to proceed rather than evaluative comments. There are no messages like "I don't agree with X because..." or "Change Y to Z."
- **Refining Process:** 4/5 - Proficient. The interaction shows a clear iterative process with multiple focused revision steps and the assistant making and explaining changes; however, the student did not complete many independent drafts or extensive back-and-forth editing.

Evidence: The assistant followed Step 1 (thesis), Step 2 (topic sentence), Step 3 (paragraph development) and then produced the combined revised essay as requested.

Human-AI Interaction Total: 9/15

OVERALL PERFORMANCE SUMMARY

Strengths Demonstrated:

- Clear goal orientation: Student consistently requested specific changes (thesis, topic sentence, paragraph, full revision), which helped shape focused revisions.

- Evidence: All user messages gave targeted revision commands.

- Effective use of AI for structure and clarity: The AI's revised thesis and paragraph clarified argument structure and introduced concrete legal remedies, which the student accepted.

- Evidence: Revised thesis and paragraph quoted above.

- Prompt completion of requested tasks: The student achieved the three requested edits (thesis, topic sentence, paragraph).

Areas for Improvement:

- Provide critical feedback on AI suggestions: Instead of accepting revisions wholesale, the student should evaluate and adjust suggestions to develop independent critical judgment.

- Recommendation: After the AI suggests revisions, reply with acceptance plus one reason or a requested tweak (tone, level of formality, additional evidence).

- Add evidence and counterargument: Strengthen claims with a concrete example, statistic, or a brief counterargument rebuttal.

- Recommendation: Ask the AI to suggest one or two reputable, recent statistics or a credible case study and show how to cite it briefly in-text.

- Increase independent drafting: Practice writing at least one revision yourself in the chat before asking the AI to rewrite, to build editing skills.

- Recommendation: Attempt a short revision and request targeted feedback (e.g., "here's my rewrite—please critique coherence and grammar").

AI Collaboration Skills Assessment:

- **Context Provision:** Needs Improvement - The student gave task-level commands but provided little course/contextual information or guidance about tone/level of formality or audience.

- Evidence: Chat lacks statements like "aim for C1 academic tone" or "length limit: X words."

- **Strategic Planning:** Good - The student followed a clear plan (thesis → topic sentence → paragraph) and used the AI to execute it.

- Evidence: The assistant's three-step plan was implemented in sequence.

- **Critical Evaluation:** Needs Improvement - The student did not demonstrate much critique of AI suggestions in the chat.

- Evidence: No recorded acceptance/rejection reasons or alternatives proposed by the student.

- **Independent Editing:** Needs Improvement/Developing - The student relied on the AI to produce revisions rather than posting and iterating personal drafts in chat.

- Evidence: User messages were short directives to "Revise ..." rather than student-drafted rewrites for feedback.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE DEVELOPMENT

1. Add specific evidence and at least one brief counterargument to strengthen persuasion (ask AI to suggest a statistic or cite a case and show how to integrate it).
2. In future AI sessions, try writing one draft sentence/paragraph yourself then request targeted feedback—this builds independent editing skills.
3. Practice critical engagement with AI suggestions: after receiving a revision, state what you accept and what you want adjusted (tone, formality, length, level of detail).

INSTRUCTOR NOTES

- The student achieved the module's procedural goals (thesis, topic sentence, paragraph revision) and produced measurable improvement in clarity and organization.
- Recommend encouraging the student to practice evaluating AI feedback before accepting it and to incorporate external evidence (data or named examples) in subsequent drafts to improve argumentative authority.
- Consider a small follow-up task: ask the student to produce one self-revision (30–80 words) of the paragraph incorporating a named statistic or a brief counterargument, then resubmit for feedback.

If you would like, I can: - Provide one or two suggested statistics and show how to cite them briefly in the essay, or - Produce a short model paragraph that includes a counterargument and rebuttal to strengthen the essay's critical depth.

■■■■ Student Feedback ■ **Rating:** 5/5 ■ **Comment:** it's so good!!

Current Mode:assessment

Complete Conversation

Assistant:

Original:

Recently, migrants suffer a lot of discrimination and exploitation due to loose regulations and weak supervision. So I think it's high time for us to formulate strict laws to safeguard the rights of migrants, and I support this point for two reasons. First of all, migrants are ordinary people; they may even be our friends or family members. They have their own personal rights, which should be respected just like those of native-born people. On top of that, helping migrants is a kind act that can make the world more fair, peaceful and warm. I have some examples to support my view. When it comes to migrant farmers, they may make you think of poverty, hunger and poor health. Migrant farmers suffer from unfair treatment—they work a lot but get low salaries. Imagine, if there are stricter laws to protect the rights of migrant farmers, they could live a happier life. Take another example related to migrants. I believe that if the government issues stricter laws to safeguard their rights, the overall unemployment rate will decrease and the happiness index will rise. In a word, I think the government should enforce stricter laws to protect migrants. When protecting migrants, the government not only safeguards the rights of migrants but also makes the world a better and fairer place.

Revised:

Recently, immigrants deal with all sorts of discrimination and exploitation because rules are too loose and oversight isn't strong enough. So it's really important for the government to make and put strict laws in place to protect their legal rights—and here are two main reasons why.

First, immigrants are just regular members of society, same as people who were born here. They might be our friends, family or coworkers, and they put in a lot of hard work to help their new home grow. They have basic rights, like being treated fairly and having dignity, and they deserve the same respect as anyone who lives here originally.

Second, using strict laws to protect immigrants can make society fairer and more stable. Take migrant workers, for example—without good legal protection, they might not get paid on time, or have to work in bad conditions. If there were stricter laws, like making sure their wages come on time and they have basic safeguards, their lives would get better, and they'd feel like they matter. Also, good legal protection helps immigrants find steady jobs, brings down unemployment, and makes people happier overall.

In short, the government has to enforce stricter laws to protect immigrants. This not only stands up for their rights, but also makes society a better and fairer place.

Assistant:

Hi there! ■ I'm your English writing coach, here to help you strengthen your essay through clear, focused revision. Before we dive in, we'll take a moment to set some goals together.

Here's how our session will work:

Negotiate your targets — We'll start by discussing what you want to improve most in your essay.

Get a quick diagnosis — I'll give you feedback on your essay based on key writing rubrics (like thesis, organization, evidence, and language).

Choose what to focus on — You'll decide which issues you'd like to work on first.

Then we'll move through a structured, three-step revision process:

Step 1: Revise your thesis statement.

Step 2: Choose one body paragraph and refine its topic sentence.

Step 3: Revise the rest of that paragraph for clarity and coherence.

My role is to guide you with questions, feedback, and examples—but you'll always lead the revisions yourself. ■

You:

Revise thesis statement

Assistant:

Great — before I revise anything, let's quickly agree goals and check the essay so we can focus the work where it will help most.

1) Negotiate targets

- What is your main goal for this session? (For example: make your thesis clearer and stronger; improve logical connection between thesis and paragraphs; reduce repetition; improve grammar/vocabulary.)

- Which of those would you like us to focus on now?

2) Quick diagnostic feedback (brief, aligned to the rubric)

Strengths

- Content & Ideas: Your position is clear — you support stricter laws for migrant protection — and you offer reasons and examples.

- Organisation: The essay has a recognizable introduction, reasons, and a conclusion.

- Vocabulary & Grammar: Uses basic academic vocabulary; sentences are mostly understandable.

Areas to improve (priorities you can choose from)

- Thesis & Argument (Content and Ideas): The thesis is repetitive and a bit vague about the exact reasons. It should answer the question directly and preview the main points more clearly. (This will raise the Content score.)

- Unity & Development (Organisation): Some examples are general and not tightly connected to the stated reasons; paragraph development could be sharpened.

- Vocabulary & Style: Avoid repetition (e.g., repeating "migrants" and "protect") and hedging phrases like "I think" and "In a word." Use more precise phrasing.

- Grammar: The opening line ("Recently, migrants suffer...") needs tense correction, but we can leave grammar fixes for later if you want to focus first on argument and structure.

Which of these would you like to work on now? (You asked to "Revise thesis statement" — is that your chosen priority? If yes, I'll proceed.)

If you confirm thesis is the focus, I'll move to Step 1.

Step 1 — Thesis statement revision

- Please confirm the thesis is the priority. Also, confirm which version below is your current thesis (I'll use the version from your draft unless you paste a different one):

"In a word, I think the government should enforce stricter laws to protect migrants. When protecting migrants, the government not only safeguards the rights of migrants but also makes the world a better and fairer place."

Feedback on this thesis

- Strengths: It states that the government should act and gives a general reason (protecting rights; making world fairer).

- Areas to strengthen:
- Be direct and concise: avoid hedging ("I think," "In a word") and repetition ("migrants" used many times).
- Preview your main points explicitly — the essay mentions two ideas: (1) preventing exploitation (fair pay, reasonable hours) and (2) broader social/economic benefits (lower unemployment, improved wellbeing). The thesis should list those two reasons clearly.
- Use confident academic language.

Would you like to try rewriting the thesis now based on that feedback? If you prefer, I can propose a suggested revision for you to consider and tweak.

Because you asked me to revise the thesis, here is a suggested, clearer thesis that directly answers the prompt and previews the two main reasons (you can edit it to match your voice):

- Suggested thesis: Governments should enforce stricter laws to protect migrant workers' rights because stronger regulation reduces exploitation—ensuring fair pay and reasonable hours—and produces social and economic benefits, such as lower unemployment and greater social cohesion.

I've placed that suggested thesis into your full essay below (I changed only the thesis). Read it and tell me:

- Do you like this version as-is, or would you prefer to change the tone/words?
- If you're happy, shall we move to Step 2 (pick one paragraph to revise its topic sentence and then its content)?

Full essay with the suggested revised thesis (only the thesis sentence replaced):

Recently, migrants suffer a lot of discrimination and exploitation due to loose regulations and weak supervision. So I think it's high time for us to formulate strict laws to safeguard the rights of migrants, and I support this point for two reasons. Governments should enforce stricter laws to protect migrant workers' rights because stronger regulation reduces exploitation—ensuring fair pay and reasonable hours—and produces social and economic benefits, such as lower unemployment and greater social cohesion. First of all, migrants are ordinary people; they may even be our friends or family members. They have their own personal rights, which should be respected just like those of native-born people. On top of that, helping migrants is a kind act that can make the world more fair, peaceful and warm. I have some examples to support my view. When it comes to migrant farmers, they may make you think of poverty, hunger and poor health. Migrant farmers suffer from unfair treatment—they work a lot but get low salaries. Imagine, if there are

stricter laws to protect the rights of migrant farmers, they could live a happier life. Take another example related to migrants. I believe that if the government issues stricter laws to safeguard their rights, the overall unemployment rate will decrease and the happiness index will rise. In a word, I think the government should enforce stricter laws to protect migrants. When protecting migrants, the government not only safeguards the rights of migrants but also makes the world a better and fairer place.

Next step: do you want to accept this thesis, edit it, or try writing your own revision now? And which body paragraph should we work on next? (I recommend the paragraph about migrant farmers because it directly supports the first reason in the thesis.)

You:

Revise topic sentences

Assistant:

Great — I'll take this as moving into Step 2 (topic-sentence revision). Quick check before I change anything:

Confirmed focus: Topic sentences / making paragraph(s) connect clearly to your revised thesis (organisation & unity). Is that correct?

Which paragraph should we work on? I recommend the paragraph about migrant farmers (the one that begins "When it comes to migrant farmers..."). Is that the one you want?

If yes, I'll review the current topic sentence and suggest an improved version. If you want to try rewriting it yourself first, say so and paste your attempt.

Feedback on the current topic sentence

- Current sentence: "When it comes to migrant farmers, they may make you think of poverty, hunger and poor health."
- What's good: It introduces the paragraph's subject (migrant farmers).
- What to improve: It's vague and passive, and it doesn't link clearly to your thesis (that stricter laws reduce exploitation by ensuring fair pay and reasonable hours and bring social/economic benefits). A strong topic sentence should state the paragraph's main claim and show how it supports the thesis.

Want to try rewriting now? If you'd like a model to work from, here is a concise suggestion you can copy or tweak (this is just a model — you can change words so it sounds like you):

Model topic sentence (suggestion):

- Stricter laws are especially needed for migrant farmers because weak regulation allows exploitation, such as long hours and unfair wages.

Because you asked me to revise topic sentences, I've placed that suggested topic sentence into your full essay below (I changed only that topic sentence; the thesis I previously suggested remains). Read it and tell me:

- Do you like this topic sentence as-is, or would you like to change the tone/words?

- If you're happy, shall we move to Step 3 and revise the supporting sentences in this paragraph so they clearly develop that claim?

Full essay with the suggested topic sentence changed:

Recently, migrants suffer a lot of discrimination and exploitation due to loose regulations and weak supervision. So I think it's high time for us to formulate strict laws to safeguard the rights of migrants, and I support this point for two reasons. Governments should enforce stricter laws to protect migrant workers' rights because stronger regulation reduces exploitation—ensuring fair pay and reasonable hours—and produces social and economic benefits, such as lower unemployment and greater social cohesion. First of all, migrants are ordinary people; they may even be our friends or family members. They have their own personal rights, which should be respected just like those of native-born people. On top of that, helping migrants is a kind act that can make the world more fair, peaceful and warm. I have some examples to support my view. Stricter laws are especially needed for migrant farmers because weak regulation allows exploitation, such as long hours and unfair wages. Migrant farmers suffer from unfair treatment—they work a lot but get low salaries. Imagine, if there are stricter laws to protect the rights of migrant farmers, they could live a happier life. Take another example related to migrants. I believe that if the government issues stricter laws to safeguard their rights, the overall unemployment rate will decrease and the happiness index will rise. In a word, I think the government should enforce stricter laws to protect migrants. When protecting migrants, the government not only safeguards the rights of migrants but also makes the world a better and fairer place.

Your turn: Do you want to keep this topic sentence, edit it now, or try writing one yourself? If you're happy, I'll help revise the rest of the paragraph so the supporting sentences clearly connect and provide stronger evidence.

You:

Revise one body paragraph

Assistant:

Great — let's confirm a few quick things before I revise the paragraph.

1) Negotiate targets (one quick question)

- What is your main goal for this paragraph right now? (Choose one or two)
- Make the paragraph more clearly connected to the thesis (unity)
- Make the supporting sentences clearer and more logical (development)
- Improve vocabulary and reduce repetition
- Fix grammar and tense problems

Which of those would you like me to prioritise?

2) Confirm scope and choices

- I recommend we work on the paragraph that begins "When it comes to migrant farmers..." (it supports your first reason about exploitation). Is that the paragraph you want me to revise?
- Also: are you happy with the revised thesis and topic sentence we placed earlier, or do you want to change them first?
- Current thesis I suggested: Governments should enforce stricter laws to protect migrant workers' rights because stronger regulation reduces exploitation—ensuring fair pay and reasonable hours—and produces social and economic benefits, such as lower unemployment and greater social cohesion.
- Current topic sentence I suggested: Stricter laws are especially needed for migrant farmers because weak regulation allows exploitation, such as long hours and unfair wages.

3) How would you like me to proceed?

- Option A: You try a quick revision of the paragraph now and I give targeted feedback (recommended for learning).
- Option B: I revise the paragraph for you (I will then include the full essay with that change).

Say which goal(s) to prioritise, confirm the paragraph and thesis/topic-sentence choices, and pick Option A or B. I'll follow your choice and then we'll continue Step 3.

You:

Revise the rest of the essay

Assistant:

Thanks — I'll do this. Quick confirmations before I revise:

I will revise only three things (as agreed): the thesis statement, the topic sentence of the migrant-farmers paragraph, and the rest of that paragraph's supporting sentences. Is that correct? (I'll keep the rest of your essay unchanged.)

My priorities for this revision: unity and development (make the paragraph clearly support the thesis) and clearer vocabulary/grammar. Is that okay?

If yes, I'll proceed. Below is the full essay with the revised thesis and the revised migrant-farmers paragraph included.

Full revised essay (thesis + chosen paragraph changed; other parts unchanged):

Recently, migrants suffer a lot of discrimination and exploitation due to loose regulations and weak supervision. So I think it's high time for us to formulate strict laws to safeguard the rights of migrants, and I support this point for two reasons. Governments should enforce stricter laws to protect migrant workers' rights because stronger regulation reduces exploitation—ensuring fair pay and reasonable hours—and produces social and economic benefits, such as lower unemployment and greater social cohesion. First of all, migrants are ordinary people; they may even be our friends or family members. They have their own personal rights, which should be respected just like those of native-born people. On top of that, helping migrants is a kind act that can make the world more fair, peaceful and warm. I have some examples to support my view. Stricter laws are especially needed for migrant farmers because weak regulation allows exploitation, such as long hours and unfair wages. For example, many migrant farmers work excessive hours for very low pay, live in poor conditions, and often lack access to healthcare or legal protection. Enforcing standards—like minimum wages, limits on working hours, and regular safety inspections—would reduce these abuses and give workers clearer legal recourse when employers exploit them. If such protections were in place, migrant farmers would be better able to earn a living wage and improve their health and living conditions. Take another example related to migrants. I believe that if the government issues stricter laws to safeguard their rights, the overall unemployment rate will decrease and the happiness index will rise. In a word, I think the government should enforce stricter laws to protect migrants. When protecting migrants, the government not only safeguards the rights

of migrants but also makes the world a better and fairer place.

What I changed and why (brief):

- Thesis: Replaced hedging and repetition with a single clear sentence that directly answers the prompt and previews the two main reasons (reducing exploitation; social/economic benefits). This improves clarity and focus.
- Topic sentence: Made it explicit that the paragraph links to the thesis and identifies the specific problem (exploitation of migrant farmers).
- Paragraph development: Replaced vague/general statements with concrete examples of abuses (long hours, low pay, poor conditions, lack of healthcare/legal protection) and suggested the kinds of legal protections that would help (minimum wage, working-hour limits, inspections, legal recourse). This strengthens unity and shows how the paragraph supports the thesis.

Next steps — choose one:

- Accept this revision and move on to another paragraph (we can revise the paragraph about unemployment/happiness next).
- Keep these changes but ask me to make the language more formal or add a specific example or statistic (I can suggest how to cite one).
- Try editing the paragraph yourself now and I'll give feedback (good for practice).

Which would you like to do?