HKBU LANG 0036 Learning Session Report

Course Information and Student Background

Course Information: Course: Level: Focus: Assessment:

Student Background: Academic Level: Language: Goals: Challenges:

Rubric:

Contribution Analysis

Thank you for providing the full assessment report along with the chat history context. Here's a concise summary and guidance for moving forward based on what I see:

Summary of Your Work and Feedback

What you did well: - You clearly improved your thesis from a rough initial sentence to a focused, academic-level statement. - You revised topic sentences and paragraphs to make the essay easier to follow and more logical in progression. - Grammar, vocabulary, and spelling were significantly polished, helping your essay sound more formal and credible.

Areas to focus on next: - Add **specific evidence or examples** (such as statistics, brief cases, or facts) to make your argument stronger and more persuasive. - Complete your **conclusion fully**, avoiding sentence truncation, to leave a confident and polished final impression. - When working with AI or tutors, it's helpful to **write your reasoning in the chat**—which suggestions you accept or reject and why. This shows you critically engage and learn actively.

Practical Next Steps

1. **Enhance Argument with Support**
   Identify one or two claims in your essay and find some brief evidence to back them up. For example:

2. A fact about how migrant workers contribute economically

A known case or government program that incentivizes compliance

Rewrite the Conclusion
Finish your final sentence fully, e.g.:
"In conclusion, enforcing stricter laws to protect migrant workers is not only a moral imperative but also a pragmatic strategy to build a fairer, more resilient society."
Or expand a bit more to summarize key points.

Document Your Revision Process in Chat
When you revise, try pasting your updated sentences or paragraphs here and tell me which suggestions you followed or adapted, and why. This will make your revision steps visible and strengthen your critical skills.

If you want, we can continue working together now on adding evidence or perfecting your conclusion. Or I can help you draft a brief rationale explaining your key edits. Just let me know what you want to focus on!

Let me know how you'd like to proceed.

Grading Result (Hidden from students)

The student has completed the three required tasks: - Revised the thesis statement — completed (revised: "I firmly contend that governments must enforce stricter laws to safeguard migrant workers' rights.") - Revised one of the topic sentences — completed (e.g., "First, migrant workers are disproportionately vulnerable to human rights abuses...") - Revised one of the body paragraphs — completed (see the polished paragraphs in the revised essay)

STUDENT ASSESSMENT REPORT

Course: LANG 0036 - Enhancing English through Global Citizenship

Module: AI for Revising Essays

ESSAY WRITING ASSESSMENT

Original Essay Scores:

- Content and Ideas: 17/25 - The draft expresses a clear position (supporting stricter laws for migrant protection) but ideas are only partly developed and often unclear due to wording errors. Evidence: original thesis sentence and points such as "proecting migrant workers prometes social integration and economic stablity" show intent but lack development and specificity.

- Organization: 15/25 - There is an attempted structure (intro, reasons, counterargument, conclusion) but paragraphing and logical progression are weakened by abrupt transitions and sentence-level confusion. Example: sentence order and connectors are inconsistent, making flow choppy.

- Vocabulary: 14/25 - Key topic words appear (migrant, exploitation, welfare), but frequent misspellings and imprecise word choices (e.g., "beliere," "striker laws," "safe gund") reduce clarity and credibility.

- Grammar and Sentence Structure: 14/25 - Frequent errors in spelling, punctuation, agreement, and sentence formation significantly impede readability. Example: "Frist of all ■exploting migrant workers human rights and undermines social aquity."

- **Original Essay Total: 60/100**

Revised Essay Scores:

- Content and Ideas: 21/25 - The revised essay presents a clear, focused thesis ("I firmly contend that governments must enforce stricter laws to safeguard migrant workers' rights.") and develops reasons (protection from exploitation; social integration and stability; rebuttal of business-cost objection). Lacks concrete examples or data to reach the highest band.

- Organization: 22/25 - Paragraph structure and transitions are much improved: clear topic sentences ("First, migrant workers are disproportionately vulnerable..." ; "Second, protecting migrant workers fosters...") and a logical progression from claim to counterargument and rebuttal. Note: final sentence is truncated in the provided revised text ("...to build a fairer, more resilient") — this weakens the conclusion.

- Vocabulary: 21/25 - Vocabulary and phrasing are significantly more precise and academic ("deter exploitation," "social integration," "compliance incentives"). Word choice shows variety and appropriateness.

- Grammar and Sentence Structure: 21/25 - Sentences are largely grammatically correct and varied in structure; punctuation and mechanics improved. Deducted for the truncated ending and occasional stylistic stiffness.

- **Revised Essay Total: 85/100**

Essay Improvement Analysis:

- **Overall Improvement: +25 points**

- **Key Improvements Made:**

- Thesis clarified and academicized: from "Yes, i strongly beliere..." to "I firmly contend that governments must enforce stricter laws to safeguard migrant workers' rights."

- Topic sentences and paragraph structure improved (e.g., "First, migrant workers are disproportionately vulnerable..." and "Second, protecting migrant workers fosters social integration and economic stability.").

- Grammar, spelling, and vocabulary were substantially corrected and formalized (e.g., "exploitation," "social tensions," "compliance incentives").

- **Missed Opportunities:**

- Lack of specific evidence or concrete examples (statistics, case studies, or brief factual details) to support claims—argument remains general.

- Conclusion truncation: revised essay ends abruptly ("...to build a fairer, more resilient") — finish the thought fully and provide a stronger closing.

- Could further develop the counterargument/rebuttal with concrete policy trade-offs or examples of successful incentive programs to strengthen persuasiveness.

HUMAN-AI INTERACTION ASSESSMENT

Chat History Analysis:

- **Total Exchanges: 3 messages** (assistant initiation, student pasted original draft, assistant diagnostic & plan)

- **Conversation Quality:** The assistant provided a clear, scaffolded revision plan (three-step process: thesis → topic sentence → paragraph). The student pasted the original draft but did not engage further in the chat transcript to negotiate or show iterative revision steps in the conversation. The revised essay appears outside the chat log provided.

Interaction Scores:

- **In-Depth Conversation**: 2/5 - The assistant initiated a substantive plan and diagnostic, but the chat contains only three exchanges and lacks sustained back-and-forth. Evidence: after the assistant's instruction and diagnostic, the student did not continue interacting in this chat to perform the guided iterative steps.

- **Critical Review of AI Suggestions**: 1/5 - The chat shows no evidence that the student critically evaluated AI suggestions (no acceptance/rejection comments, no justification or selective adoption). The revised essay indicates the student applied changes, but the chat lacks documented critical reasoning.

- **Refining Process**: 2/5 - The assistant provided a clear three-step refinement process and diagnostic, but the chat does not record multiple revision cycles or checkpoints. The presence of a revised essay indicates offline revision occurred, yet the iterative process is not documented in the chat.

- **Human-AI Interaction Total: 5/15**

OVERALL PERFORMANCE SUMMARY

Strengths Demonstrated:

- Clear improvement in rhetorical clarity: the thesis was rewritten into a concise, academic claim ("I firmly contend that governments must enforce stricter laws to safeguard migrant workers' rights.").

- Improved paragraph topic sentences and logical paragraph structure (evidence: revised topic sentences and organized rebuttal paragraph).

- Significant correction of grammar and vocabulary that enhanced readability and formality.

Areas for Improvement:

- Provide concrete supporting evidence (statistics, short examples, or policy references) to strengthen arguments and move from persuasive generalities to substantiated claims.

- Finish the revised conclusion fully and ensure final proofreading to eliminate truncation or lingering errors.

- In chat interactions, document your revision choices: explain which AI suggestions you accepted or rejected and why to demonstrate critical engagement.

AI Collaboration Skills Assessment:

- **Context Provision**: Good - The student provided an original draft and the course context is implicit; the assistant's initial message offered a structured plan. Evidence: the assistant's plan and diagnostic were relevant to the student's draft.

- **Strategic Planning**: Good - The assistant set a stepwise revision strategy (thesis → topic sentence → paragraph). The student appears to have followed that plan offline, judging by the revised essay.

- **Critical Evaluation**: Needs Improvement - The chat does not show the student evaluating AI suggestions or articulating reasoning for revisions; this should be demonstrated in the chat for full credit.

- **Independent Editing**: Good - The revised essay shows the student (or collaboration) executed substantial edits independently, improving language and structure. However, explicit documentation of the student's decision-making in chat is missing.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE DEVELOPMENT

1. Add specific evidence: include one or two short, concrete facts or examples (e.g., a statistic about migrant labor, a brief policy example) to support each major claim.

2. Document iterative work in the chat: when working with an AI, respond with your draft revisions, explain which AI suggestions you accept/reject, and why—this demonstrates critical thinking.

3. Final proofreading: complete the conclusion and run one last check for truncated sentences and cohesion before submission.

INSTRUCTOR NOTES

- The student clearly improved the essay content, organization, and mechanics between drafts (score improvement +25). However, the chat log lacks documentation of the iterative revision steps and critical evaluation of AI recommendations. For assessment of AI collaboration skills, request that students paste intermediate revisions and brief rationales into the chat to show the decision-making process.

■■■ Student Feedback ■ **Rating:** 5/5 ■ **Comment:** No additional comment provided.

Current Mode:assessment

Complete Conversation

Assistant:

Original:
*Yes, i strongly beliere that goverments must enforce striker laws to safe gund migrant' right.

Frist of all ■exploting migrant workers human rights and undermines social aquity. Migrants countribute to the host connecy's economy through labor, yet wook regulartions leave them out.

Meanhile, a stricter laws can deter expluitation and ensure migrants are protected like other warkors. Secondly■proecting migrant workers prometes social integration and economic stablity When migrant are treated fairly, they wore are more likely to engage posititively with society, reducing social tensions. In the long rwn, this strengthens the labor market and supports sustainable economic growth.

Some critics may argue that stricter laws increase business costs, but this concern is short-sighted. The social costs of unregnlated eplaitation, for example, tho incrensed reliance on welfore, social unrest, is far ant weigh the miner burdens ndentrelagers. Gorermens can also balence. this by offering tombrents te business that comply with labor standards.

In conclusion, strider laws protect migrant workers are not only a moral obligation but aise a pragmatic choice to build a fairer, more stable society.

Revised:
Optimized Version (Academic-Level Polished)

I firmly contend that governments must enforce stricter laws to safeguard migrant workers' rights.

First, migrant workers are disproportionately vulnerable to human rights abuses, which erodes social equity. Migrants make substantial economic contributions to their host countries through labor, yet inadequate labor regulations often exclude them from core protections. Stricter legal frameworks deter exploitation and ensure migrants enjoy the same safeguards as native workers.

Second, protecting migrant workers fosters social integration and economic stability. Fair treatment enhances their willingness to engage constructively with society, mitigating social tensions. Over time, this strengthens labor market resilience and underpins sustainable economic growth.

Critics may object that stricter laws raise business costs, but this view is short-sighted. The social costs of unregulated exploitation—including increased welfare dependency and social unrest—far outweigh the modest burdens on employers. Governments can balance these costs by offering compliance incentives to businesses that adhere to labor standards.

In conclusion, enforcing stricter laws to protect migrant workers is not merely a moral imperative but also a pragmatic strategy to build a fairer, more resilient

Assistant:

Hi there! ■ I'm your English writing coach, here to help you strengthen your essay through clear, focused revision. Before we dive in, we'll take a moment to set some goals together.

Here's how our session will work:

Negotiate your targets — We'll start by discussing what you want to improve most in your essay.
Get a quick diagnosis — I'll give you feedback on your essay based on key writing rubrics (like thesis, organization, evidence, and language).
Choose what to focus on — You'll decide which issues you'd like to work on first.
Then we'll move through a structured, three-step revision process:

Step 1: Revise your thesis statement.
Step 2: Choose one body paragraph and refine its topic sentence.
Step 3: Revise the rest of that paragraph for clarity and coherence.
My role is to guide you with questions, feedback, and examples—but you'll always lead the revisions yourself. ■

You:

*Yes, i strongly beliere that goverments must enforce striker laws to safe gund migrant' right.

Frist of all ■exploting migrant workers human rights and undermines social aquity. Migrants countribute to the host connecy's economy through labor, yet wook regulartions leave them out.

Meanhile, a stricter laws can deter expluitation and ensure migrants are protected like other warkors. Secondly■proecting migrant workers prometes social integration and economic stablity When migrant are treated fairly, they wore are more likely to engage posititively with society, reducing social tensions. In the long rwn, this strengthens the labor market and supports sustainable economic growth.

Some critics may argue that stricter laws increase business costs, but this concern is short-sighted. The social costs of unregnlated eplaitation, for example, tho incensed reliance on welfore, social unrest, is far ant weigh the miner burdens ndentrelagers. Gorermens can also balence. this by offering tombrents te business that comply with labor standards.

In conclusion, strider laws protect migrant workers are not only a moral obligation but aise a pragmatic choice to build a fairer, more stable society.

Assistant:

Hi — I'm glad you shared this draft. Before we dive in, let's set clear goals so our revision is focused and useful.

1) Negotiating targets
- What do you want to improve most in this session? (Pick 1–2, e.g. clarity of argument, thesis strength, paragraph structure, grammar/spelling, or evidence/support.)
- If you're not sure, I can recommend priorities based on a quick diagnosis.

2) Quick diagnostic feedback (based on rubric categories)
- Thesis & Argument
- Strengths: You take a clear position in the draft (you support stronger laws to protect migrants).
- Needs work: The thesis is unclear, has grammatical errors, and does not preview the main points clearly.
- Organization
- Strengths: There is an attempt at a clear structure (points about rights, integration, and rebuttal).
- Needs work: Paragraphing and transitions need tightening; the order of ideas could be clearer.
- Evidence & Development
- Strengths: You attempt to consider counterarguments (business costs).
- Needs work: Claims need clearer explanation, examples, or specifics. Some sentences are vague or repetitive.
- Language Use (grammar, word choice, mechanics)
- Strengths: Key vocabulary appears (migrant, exploitation, labor, social integration).
- Needs work: Frequent spelling mistakes, punctuation problems, and grammar errors make the meaning hard to follow.

Overall diagnosis: The argument is promising, but we should focus first on making your central claim (thesis) clear and then fixing one paragraph so the argument reads clearly and convincingly. After that, we can clean language and mechanics.

3) Student priority selection
Which of these would you like to focus on now? (Please choose up to two)
- Strengthen the thesis (clarity + preview of main points)
- Improve one body paragraph's topic sentence + supporting sentences (organization + development)
- Fix grammar/spelling in that paragraph (language use)

Once you confirm which targets to work on, we'll start Step 1.

Step 1 preview (what will happen next)
- I'll ask you to share your current thesis (or confirm the sentence you meant as your thesis).
- I'll give brief feedback and a simple frame to help you rewrite it.
- I'll ask you to try rewriting the thesis yourself (I'll encourage you and give hints, but I won't rewrite it for you).

Your turn: tell me which targets you want to work on, and if that sentence at the top of your draft — "Yes, i strongly beliere that goverments must enforce striker laws to safe gund migrant' right." — is meant to be your thesis. Would you like to try rewriting the thesis now? If yes, try to include: a clear position, and 2–3 linked reasons that you'll develop in the essay (e.g., protection from exploitation; social/economic benefits; addressing counterarguments). I can give a short sentence frame if you want.