

HKBU LANG 0036 Learning Session Report

Generated: 2025-12-07 14:11:19

Student Email: 25285408@life.hkbu.edu.hk

Student Number: 25285408

Section: 17

Course Information and Student Background

Course Information: Course: LANG 0036 - English for Academic Purposes Level: Intermediate to Advanced Focus: Academic writing and critical thinking Assessment: Essay writing with rubric-based evaluation

Student Background: Academic Level: University student Language: English as additional language Goals: Improve academic writing skills Challenges: Structure, vocabulary, critical analysis

Rubric:

Assessment Task: Writing (20%) Part 1: Point-of-view Essay (10%)

Criteria: Content and Ideas 1 (Limited): Ideas are irrelevant or minimally related to the topic. Lacks awareness of the issue concerned. No clear viewpoint. 2 (Basic): Ideas are somewhat related but vague. Minimal awareness of the issue concerned. Viewpoint unclear. 3 (Developing): Ideas are relevant but basic. Some awareness of the issue concerned. Viewpoint present but weakly developed. 4 (Proficient): Ideas are relevant and solid. Good awareness of the issue concerned. Clear viewpoint with some depth. 5 (Excellent): Ideas are insightful and highly relevant. Strong awareness of the issue concerned. Well-developed, compelling viewpoint.

Criteria: Organisation and Logical Progression 1 (Limited): No clear structure. Ideas are disjointed with no development or progression. 2 (Basic): Basic structure with unclear paragraphing. Ideas are listed with little development. 3 (Developing): Clear structure with some paragraphing. Ideas are developed but lack depth or logical flow. 4 (Proficient): Well-organized with clear paragraphs. Ideas are developed logically with good flow and support. 5 (Excellent): Highly organized with effective paragraphing. Ideas are thoroughly developed with seamless, logical progression.

Criteria: Vocabulary 1 (Limited): Vocabulary is limited, repetitive, or inaccurate. Lacks topic-specific terms. 2 (Basic): Basic vocabulary with some repetition. Minimal use of topic-specific terms. 3 (Developing): Adequate vocabulary with some variety. Includes some topic-specific terms but with occasional errors. 4 (Proficient): Varied and precise vocabulary. Effective use of topic-specific terms. Minor errors. 5 (Excellent): Rich, precise vocabulary. Masterful use of topic-specific terms. Almost error-free and sophisticated.

Criteria: Grammar and Sentence Structure 1 (Limited): Frequent grammatical and spelling errors. Sentences are incomplete or confusing. 2 (Basic): Several grammatical and spelling errors. Sentences are simple and often flawed. 3 (Developing): Some grammatical and spelling errors. Sentences are mostly correct but lack variety. 4 (Proficient): Minor grammatical and spelling errors. Sentences are varied and mostly accurate. 5 (Excellent): Virtually error-free grammar and spelling. Sentences are complex, varied, and accurately constructed.

Part 2: AI-Assisted Review Skills (10%) A. In-Depth Conversation with AI 1 (Limited): No exchanges or chat history; no questions asked. 2 (Basic): Sparse conversation; one or two simple questions. 3 (Developing): Adequate exchanges; some relevant questions. 4 (Proficient): Robust interaction; detailed, relevant questions across levels. 5 (Excellent): Extensive, well-documented chat history; insightful, multi-level questioning.

B. Critical Review of AI Suggestions 1 (Limited): All AI suggestions accepted blindly. 2 (Basic): Most accepted; little analysis. 3 (Developing): Some evaluated; partial justification. 4 (Proficient): Most critically reviewed with clear justification. 5 (Excellent): All evaluated thoroughly with strong, evidence-based reasoning.

C. Refining Process 1 (Limited): No revisions made. 2 (Basic): Minimal revisions; no iteration. 3 (Developing): Some revisions with limited iteration. 4 (Proficient): Clear iterative process with multiple revisions. 5 (Excellent): Extensive refinement with iterative improvements.

Contribution Analysis

Thanks for your choice! Here is the full essay with the thesis and Paragraph 1 revised for clarity, grammar, and cohesion. The rest of the essay remains as in your latest draft so you can focus next where you want:

Governments should enforce stricter labour laws to protect migrant workers' rights, safeguard their physical and mental health, and promote social stability.

Weak enforcement of labour laws leaves migrant workers vulnerable to exploitation, causing physical injuries, mental health problems, and excessive working hours. For example, many migrant workers must work long hours with little or no overtime pay, which leads to chronic fatigue, an increased risk of accidents, and a reduced ability to care for their families. Unsafe working conditions—such as requiring very early starts, long shifts, and inadequate breaks—can cause physical injuries and exhaustion, reducing workers' ability to perform effectively or support their families. The stress of long hours and low pay often causes mental health problems such as anxiety and depression, which in turn affect job performance and family relationships. As a result, such exploitation not only harms individual workers but also undermines workplace productivity and can damage social stability and national reputation.

But if government enforce stricker laws, then the whole thing will change. Firstly, the strong regulation can safeguard the migrant workers's rights which will prevent them from getting hurts from works, both physically and psychologically. Furthermore, when the people is settled ad and feel comfortable of their life, they would like to love this country and have willing to follow its rules, which make the management easier. Government will also feel confident of their country, which will create a positive impact in the following development. Then the global reputation will be rised and the country development can go further. Overall, to enforce stricker laws is the only and the best choice the government can do to solve the recent problems with migrants workers. It'll only bring benefits, instead of harms.

Summary of what I changed:

- Created a strong, single-sentence thesis that previews your three main points.
- Rewrote the Paragraph 1 topic sentence and all supporting sentences to clarify cause-effect relationships, specify examples and impacts, improve vocabulary (e.g., "labour laws," "exploitation," "mental health problems"), and fix grammar and style.
- Kept your original ideas and examples intact while improving flow and readability.

Next steps:

Would you like to

- A) Revise Paragraph 2 now (starting with the topic sentence, then supporting sentences) to match this stronger thesis and paragraph 1?
- B) Move on to fixing grammar and vocabulary errors in the entire essay (spelling, articles, subject-verb agreement)?
- C) Receive a short self-editing checklist and revise one remaining paragraph yourself, then share it for feedback?

If you choose A, please paste your current Paragraph 2 topic sentence (or try drafting a new one), and I'll guide you through the revision process.

Let me know how you want to proceed!

Grading Result (Hidden from students)

All three tasks completed: the student revised the thesis statement, revised a topic sentence (Paragraph 1), and revised one body paragraph (Paragraph 1).

STUDENT ASSESSMENT REPORT

Course: LANG 0036 - Enhancing English through Global Citizenship

Module: AI for Revising Essays

ESSAY WRITING ASSESSMENT

Original Essay Scores:

- Content and Ideas: 18/25 - The original expresses a clear position (calls for stricter laws) but reasons are underdeveloped and vague.
Example: "From my perspective, it's really important for government to enforce stricter laws..." shows a stance but supporting explanations are weak and sometimes repetitive.
- Organization: 17/25 - Basic essay structure is present (intro, body, conclusion) but topic sentences and paragraph unity are weak and transitions jump (e.g., paragraph 1 mixes psychological and political consequences without clear links).
- Vocabulary: 15/25 - Some relevant vocabulary (exploitation, rights) but frequent awkward collocations and word choices (e.g., "long-hours work," "support their people," "get hurts from working") limit clarity.

- Grammar: 14/25 - Frequent errors in articles, verb forms, plurals and spelling (e.g., "stricker," "goverment," "another countries," "migrant workers's") hinder readability.

- **Original Essay Total: 64/100**

Revised Essay Scores:

- Content and Ideas: 20/25 - Thesis improved and paragraph 1 now gives clear, specific harms (physical injuries, mental health problems, excessive hours) and examples. Revised thesis: "Governments should enforce stricter labour laws to protect migrant workers' rights, safeguard their physical and mental health, and promote social stability." Paragraph 1 supports this with concrete consequences. Remaining paragraphs still underdeveloped.
- Organization: 19/25 - Thesis and Paragraph 1 show clear cause→effect progression and unity. The rest of the essay (Paragraph 2 and conclusion) remains poorly linked and contains logical jumps and repetition, so overall organization is improved but not consistent.
- Vocabulary: 18/25 - Improved use of topic-appropriate terms ("labour laws," "exploitation," "mental health problems," "excessive working hours"), but some collocations and phrasing in later paragraphs remain weak.
- Grammar: 17/25 - Significant corrections in thesis and Paragraph 1 (e.g., corrected plural/s possessive forms and smoother sentence structure). However, Paragraph 2 and the conclusion still contain many errors (e.g., "But if government enforce stricker laws," "migrant workers's rights," "settled ad"), so grammar overall is only moderately improved.
- **Revised Essay Total: 74/100**

Essay Improvement Analysis:

- **Overall Improvement: +10 points**

- **Key Improvements Made:**

- Thesis revised to a clear, concise roadmap: "Governments should enforce stricter labour laws to protect migrant workers' rights, safeguard their physical and mental health, and promote social stability."
- Topic sentence for Paragraph 1 strengthened: "Weak enforcement of labour laws leaves migrant workers vulnerable to exploitation, causing physical injuries, mental health problems, and excessive working hours."
- Paragraph 1 fully revised with specific supporting sentences and clearer cause→effect explanations (e.g., "many migrant workers must work long hours with little or no overtime pay, which leads to chronic fatigue, an increased risk of accidents, and a reduced ability to care for their families").

- **Missed Opportunities:**

- Paragraph 2 and conclusion were left largely unchanged and still contain grammatical errors, weak phrasing, and repetition. They need rewriting to match the clarity of the revised thesis and Paragraph 1.
- Add concrete evidence or data (statistics, cited examples, or short case details) to strengthen claims beyond assertions.
- Improve global cohesion: stronger transitions and clearer linking sentences between paragraphs would raise organization further.

HUMAN-AI INTERACTION ASSESSMENT

- **Chat History Analysis:**

- **Total Exchanges:** 31 (full back-and-forth between student and assistant across thesis, topic sentence, and paragraph revisions)
- **Conversation Quality:** Highly iterative and focused. The assistant provided a stepwise plan (thesis → topic sentence → paragraph), the student followed and repeatedly revised sentences, and the assistant gave targeted templates and micro-feedback. The sequence shows active learning and multiple revision cycles.

- **Interaction Scores:**

- **In-Depth Conversation:** 5/5 - Evidence: 31 exchanges, stepwise process, multiple iterations (thesis revised several times, topic sentence rewritten multiple times, paragraph sentences revised line-by-line). The assistant provided templates and the student repeatedly produced new drafts.
- **Critical Review of AI Suggestions:** 4/5 - Evidence: The student actively chose targets, accepted many AI suggestions, and adapted AI templates into original sentences (e.g., final thesis and topic sentence). There is some independent judgment (student chose which version to keep, selected scope "B" for limited corrections), but most AI suggestions were followed without long written critiques; therefore proficient rather than fully critical across all suggestions.

Refining Process: 5/5 - Evidence: Multiple meaningful revision cycles: student drafted, assistant diagnosed, student revised thesis, assistant suggested improvement, student revised topic sentence multiple times, assistant guided sentence-level edits and produced the polished paragraph. This demonstrates a sustained iterative process.

Human-AI Interaction Total: 14/15

OVERALL PERFORMANCE SUMMARY

Strengths Demonstrated:

- Clear improvement in thesis clarity and focus; the final thesis functions as a good roadmap for the essay.
- Effective iterative revision: the student repeatedly applied assistant feedback to produce improved sentences (e.g., final topic sentence and Paragraph 1).
- Paragraph 1 now contains specific examples and clear links between causes and effects, improving unity and content quality.

Areas for Improvement:

- Revise Paragraph 2 and conclusion to match the clarity and accuracy of Paragraph 1; avoid repetition and ensure each paragraph has a distinct purpose and clear supporting details.
- Address recurring grammar and mechanics problems across the whole essay (articles, subject–verb agreement, plural/s possessive forms, spelling).
- Add specific evidence or data and more varied vocabulary to strengthen content credibility and sophistication.

AI Collaboration Skills Assessment:

- **Context Provision:** Excellent - The student provided the full original essay and clear revision priorities ("clarity of ideas and argument" and "logical structure and paragraph unity"), enabling focused assistance.
- **Strategic Planning:** Good - The student and assistant followed a clear stepwise plan (thesis → topic sentence → paragraph), and the student chose scope for later corrections (selected "B"). Could improve by proposing own broader revision strategy for remaining sections.
- **Critical Evaluation:** Good - The student assessed alternatives (accepted some suggestions, declined or adjusted others) and selected final versions (for example, chose the thesis that included both physical and mental health). More explicit evaluation of each AI suggestion (e.g., written rationale for rejections) would strengthen this skill.
- **Independent Editing:** Good - The student generated multiple revised sentences independently and implemented corrections the assistant recommended. Continued practice on self-editing for grammar will build autonomy further.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE DEVELOPMENT

1. Revise Paragraph 2 and the conclusion to align with the clear thesis and Paragraph 1; use the same cause→effect and specific-example approach used successfully in Paragraph 1.
2. Run a focused grammar pass: check articles (the/a/zero), subject–verb agreement, plural/possessive forms (e.g., “migrant workers’ rights”), and spelling (e.g., “stricter,” “government,” “efficiency”). Consider using checklists or a grammar tool and then re-edit by hand.
3. Strengthen arguments with brief evidence: include one or two cited facts, statistics, or concrete examples (even hypothetical but realistic) to support claims about working hours, injuries, or reputation, and add linking sentences to improve transitions.

INSTRUCTOR NOTES

- The student successfully completed all three required revision tasks (thesis, one topic sentence, one body paragraph) and demonstrated strong engagement with the AI tutor through multiple iterative cycles.
- The revised thesis and Paragraph 1 show clear learning gains in clarity, specificity, and structure. However, the remainder of the essay still requires substantive revision for grammar and coherence.
- Recommended targeted support: a focused grammar workshop or one-on-one review on article use, subject–verb agreement, and possessives. Encourage the student to revise Paragraph 2 next and to practice integrating brief, credible supporting evidence into claims.

■■■■ Student Feedback ■ Rating: 5/5 ■ Comment: No additional comment provided.

Current Mode:assessment

Complete Conversation

Assistant:

Original:

Nowadays, migrant workers face long-hours work and unfair pay, which is called exploitation, because of their weak regulation. From my perspective, it's really important for government to enforce stricter laws to support their people and safeguard their rights. To begin with, the migrant workers, which mean coming from another countries, are eager to find a job to settle themselves in a new environment. The weakness of regulation is likely to cause a lot of problems on them. For example, there will be some hurts psychologically, which make them lack of energy to work. And there are also health problems if the jobs demand them to get up early and sleep late, which absolutely impact the efficiency and quantity of their works. Whenever they get hurts from working and realize the main reason of that is the weak regulation, they may blame it and finally fight against the government, which will break the peaceful management domestically on the one hand, and have a bad influence of the country's global reputation on the other hand.

But if government enforce stricker laws, then the whole thing will change. Firstly, the strong regulation can safeguard the migrant workers's rights which will prevent them from getting hurts from works, both physically and psychologically. Furthermore, when the people is settled ad and feel comfortable of their life, they would like to love this country and have willing to follow its rules, which make the management easier. Government will also feel confident of their country, which will create a positive impact in the following development. Then the global reputation will be rised and the country development can go further.

Overall, to enforce stricker laws is the only and the best choice the government can do to solve the recent problems with migrants workers. It'll only bring benefits, instead of harms.

Revised:

Governments should enforce stricter labour laws to protect migrant workers' rights, safeguard their physical and mental health, and promote social stability.

Weak enforcement of labour laws leaves migrant workers vulnerable to exploitation, causing physical injuries, mental health problems, and excessive working hours. For example, many migrant workers must work long hours with little or no overtime pay, which leads to chronic fatigue, an increased risk of accidents, and a reduced ability to care for their families. Unsafe working conditions—such as requiring very early starts, long shifts, and inadequate breaks—can cause physical injuries and exhaustion, reducing workers' ability to perform effectively or support their families. The stress of long hours and low pay often causes mental health problems such as anxiety and depression, which in turn affect job performance and family relationships. As a result, such exploitation not only harms individual workers but also undermines workplace productivity and can damage social stability and national reputation.

But if government enforce stricker laws, then the whole thing will change. Firstly, the strong regulation can safeguard the migrant workers's rights which will prevent them from getting hurts from works, both physically and psychologically. Furthermore, when the people is settled ad and feel comfortable of their life, they would like to love this country and have willing to follow its rules, which make the management easier. Government will also feel confident of their country, which will create a positive impact in the following development. Then the global reputation will be rised and the country development can go further. Overall, to enforce stricker laws is the only and the best choice the government can do to solve the recent problems with migrants workers. It'll only bring benefits, instead of harms.

Assistant:

Hi there! ■ I'm your English writing coach, here to help you strengthen your essay through clear, focused revision. Before we dive in, we'll take a moment to set some goals together.

Here's how our session will work:

Negotiate your targets — We'll start by discussing what you want to improve most in your essay.

Get a quick diagnosis — I'll give you feedback on your essay based on key writing rubrics (like thesis, organization, evidence, and language).

Choose what to focus on — You'll decide which issues you'd like to work on first.

Then we'll move through a structured, three-step revision process:

Step 1: Revise your thesis statement.

Step 2: Choose one body paragraph and refine its topic sentence.

Step 3: Revise the rest of that paragraph for clarity and coherence.

My role is to guide you with questions, feedback, and examples—but you'll always lead the revisions yourself. ■

You:

Nowadays, migrant workers face long-hours work and unfair pay, which is called exploitation, because of their weak regulation. From my perspective, it's really important for government to enforce stricter laws to support their people and safeguard their rights.

To begin with, the migrant workers, which mean coming from another countries, are eager to find a job to settle themselves in a new environment. The weakness of regulation is likely to cause a lot of problems on them. For example, there will be some hurts psychologically, which make them lack of energy to work. And there are also health problems if the jobs demand them to get up early and sleep late, which absolutely impact the efficency and quanlity of their works. Whenever they get hurts from working and realize the main reason of that is the weak regulation, they may blame it and finally fight against the goverment, which will break the peaceful management domestically on the one hand, and have a bad influence of the country's global reputation on the other hand.

But if government enforce stricker laws, then the whole thing will change. Firstly, the strong regulation can safeguard the migrant workers's rights which will prevent them from getting hurts from works, both physically and psychologically. Furthermore, when the people is settled ad and feel comfortable of their life, they would like to love this country and have willing to follow its rules, which make the management easier. Government will

also feel confident of their country, which will create a positive impact in the following development. Then the global reputation will be raised and the country development can go further.

Overall, to enforce stricter laws is the only and the best choice the government can do to solve the recent problems with migrant workers. It'll only bring benefits, instead of harms.

Assistant:

Thanks — I'm ready to help. First, let's agree the goals for this revision session.

1) Negotiating targets

- What do you most want to improve in this essay today? (Pick one or two)
- clarity of ideas and argument (thesis and topic sentences)
- logical structure and paragraph unity
- use of evidence and explanation
- vocabulary/word choice
- grammar and sentence accuracy

Tell me which of these you want to focus on now (or add your own).

2) Quick diagnostic feedback (brief — tied to the rubric)

- Strengths
- Clear position: you say the government should enforce stricter laws — that answers the question.
- Relevant ideas: you mention harms to health and psychological harm and outline benefits of stronger laws.
- Areas to improve (mapped to rubric categories)
- Content & Ideas: Developing (3). The viewpoint is present but needs clearer explanation and stronger reasons/examples.
- Organisation & Logical Progression: Developing (3). Paragraphs have a basic structure but topic sentences and links to the thesis are weak; some repetition and jumps in logic.
- Vocabulary: Basic to Developing (2–3). Some useful words but many errors and repetition; need more precise academic vocabulary.
- Grammar & Sentence Structure: Basic (2). Frequent grammar, article, and spelling errors make some sentences unclear.

3) Student priority selection

- Which issues from above do you want to work on in this session? (Pick up to two — I recommend: 1) thesis clarity/strength and 2) one body paragraph's topic sentence + unity.)
- Confirm your choice and then we'll begin Step 1.

When you confirm, we'll move to Step 1 (thesis revision). Please also paste or confirm the thesis you want us to work on (I see this sentence in your draft: "From my perspective, it's really important for government to enforce stricter laws to support their people and safeguard their rights." — is this the thesis you want to revise?).

If yes, great — try rewriting a single-sentence thesis now that:

- directly answers the question,
- previews the main reasons (2–3) you will discuss,
- uses confident language (no "I think"/"maybe"),
- is clear and concise.

Would you like to try rewriting the thesis now? Also tell me which body paragraph you want to revise (the paragraph about harms, or the paragraph about benefits).

You:

clarity of ideas and argument (thesis and topic sentences)
logical structure and paragraph unity

Assistant:

Great — thanks for choosing targets. I'll be a supportive guide and we'll focus only on the thesis and one body paragraph today.

Quick confirmation of your chosen targets

- You want to work on: 1) clarity of ideas and argument (thesis and topic sentences) and 2) logical structure and paragraph unity. Is that correct?

Brief diagnostic feedback (very short, tied to the rubric)

- Strengths: clear position (you argue for stricter laws); relevant reasons (health, psychological effects, social stability).
- Areas to improve:
- Content & Ideas: viewpoint is present but the reasons need clearer development and stronger wording.
- Organisation & Logical Progression: topic sentences are weakly linked to the thesis and paragraphs jump between points.
- Vocabulary & Grammar: errors and awkward phrasing reduce clarity.

Next step: choose the thesis and a paragraph

- Is this the thesis you want to revise? "From my perspective, it's really important for government to enforce stricter laws to support their people and safeguard their rights."
- Which body paragraph do you want to revise with me? (Pick one)
- Paragraph 1: harms experienced by migrant workers (health, psychological, working hours)
- Paragraph 2: benefits of stricter laws (social stability, national reputation, development)

Step 1 — Try revising the thesis now

- Please write one clear, confident sentence that:
- directly answers the question (should governments enforce stricter laws? yes/no and why),
- previews 2–3 main reasons you will discuss,
- avoids hedging (no "I think," "maybe").
- Example structure to follow (don't copy — try your own): "Governments should enforce stricter labour laws to protect migrant workers' health, prevent psychological harm, and promote social stability and economic trust."

Would you like to try rewriting your thesis now? Paste your sentence and tell me which paragraph you chose. I'll give focused feedback and then we'll move to Step 2.

You:

Revised sentence: Governments should enforce stricter laws to support their people and safeguard their rights. Because nowadays, migrant workers face long-hours work and unfair pay, which is called exploitation, because of their weak regulation. Also, it will do good to workers's health and prevent psychological harm.

Assistant:

Nice work — you've already tried to revise the thesis, and that helps a lot. Let's confirm goals then focus on improving the thesis.

1) Confirming targets

- You earlier said you want to work on: clarity of ideas and argument (thesis and topic sentences) and logical structure / paragraph unity. Is that still correct? (Yes / No)

2) Which paragraph to revise

- Which body paragraph do you want to work on after the thesis?
- Paragraph 1: harms to migrant workers (health, psychological, long hours)
- Paragraph 2: benefits of stricter laws (social stability, reputation, development)

Tell me which one you choose.

3) Quick feedback on your revised sentence

You wrote:

"Governments should enforce stricter laws to support their people and safeguard their rights. Because nowadays, migrant workers face long-hours work and unfair pay, which is called exploitation, because of their weak regulation. Also, it will do good to workers's health and prevent psychological harm."

What's good

- You state a clear position: governments should enforce stricter laws — that answers the question.

What to improve (brief, actionable)

- Make it one clear sentence. Right now it's three short sentences and one fragment ("Because nowadays...").
- Be specific: say "migrant workers" (not "their people") and "protect migrant workers' rights" rather than the vague "support their people."
- Preview 2–3 main reasons you will discuss (e.g., protect health, prevent psychological harm, and promote social stability/economic trust).
- Use confident, concise academic tone (avoid "it will do good" and possessive errors like "workers's").
- Keep grammar and article use simple and correct (e.g., "long working hours," "weak regulations").

Thesis checklist (use this to guide your next attempt)

- One sentence.
- Directly answers the question (Yes/No + why).
- Previews 2–3 reasons you will develop.
- Specific language: "migrant workers," "protect," "labour laws/regulations."
- Confident tone (no "I think," "maybe").

Would you like to try rewriting the thesis now? Please write a single sentence that follows the checklist. Try to keep it concise (one or two clauses). Once you paste it, I'll give focused feedback.

If you want, I can show one model sentence after you try — but I'd like you to have a go first. Which paragraph are we revising after the thesis?

You:

Revised: Governments should enforce stricter laws to support the migrant workers and safeguard their rights, and also protect workers' health and prevent psychological harm by long working hours and weak labour regulations.

Assistant:

Great — thanks for that revised thesis. First, quick confirmation of our targets: you want to work on clarity of ideas and argument (thesis and topic sentences) and logical structure/paragraph unity. Is that still correct? (Yes / No)

Also: which body paragraph do you want to revise next?

- Paragraph 1 (harms: health, psychological, long hours) or
- Paragraph 2 (benefits of stricter laws: stability, reputation, development)?

Feedback on your revised thesis (brief and specific)

Your sentence: Governments should enforce stricter laws to support the migrant workers and safeguard their rights, and also protect workers' health and prevent psychological harm by long working hours and weak labour regulations.

What's working

- Clear position: you answer the question (governments should act).
- You list relevant reasons (rights, health, psychological harm).

What to improve (actionable)

- Tone and concision: it's long and a bit repetitive ("support the migrant workers" + "safeguard their rights" overlap).
- Word choice and grammar: use "migrant workers" (no "the" unless needed); change "by long working hours and weak labour regulations" to "from long working hours and weak labour protections/enforcement."
- Logical clarity: connect reasons in a compact, parallel structure (three short phrases).
- Avoid "also" and unnecessary articles (e.g., "the migrant workers" → "migrant workers").

Short checklist for a stronger thesis

- One clear sentence.
- Direct answer + preview 2–3 reasons.
- Parallel phrasing for reasons (e.g., protect X, prevent Y, promote Z).
- Specific wording: "migrant workers," "labour laws/ protections/ enforcement," "health," "psychological harm," "social stability/economic trust" (if you want social/economic reason).

Quick template you can use (fill the blanks)

- Governments should enforce stricter labour laws to [protect migrant workers' rights], [prevent X], and [promote Y].

Would you like to try revising the thesis now using that template? Paste one single-sentence thesis and tell me which paragraph you chose to revise next. I'll give focused feedback and then we'll move to Step 2.

You:

targets are correct. I want to revise paragraph 1. Revised: Governments should enforce stricter labour laws to protect migrant workers' rights, prevent psychological harm, and promote social stability.

Assistant:

Nice work — that thesis is much clearer and stronger than the original. Quick feedback and one optional tweak, then we'll move on.

What's working

- Direct answer: Yes — governments should act.
- Previews reasons: rights, psychological harm, social stability — good coverage.
- Concise and confident tone.

One small suggestion

- Your paragraph 1 talks about health (physical effects) as well as psychological harm and long hours. You could add "physical" or "health" so the thesis matches the paragraph content. For example (optional):
Governments should enforce stricter labour laws to protect migrant workers' rights, safeguard their physical and mental health, and promote social stability.

Do you want to:

- A) Keep your sentence as is: "Governments should enforce stricter labour laws to protect migrant workers' rights, prevent psychological harm, and promote social stability."
or
B) Use the slightly revised version I suggested that adds physical/health language?

Also confirm: you want to revise Paragraph 1 (harms to migrant workers) — correct?

Step 2 (topic sentence)

- Please paste the current topic sentence for Paragraph 1 (or try rewriting it now). I'll give brief feedback and then ask you to revise it. Would you like to paste the original topic sentence or try a new one?

You:

I want to use this: Governments should enforce stricter labour laws to protect migrant workers' rights, safeguard their physical and mental health, and promote social stability. And I want to revise Paragraph 1. Current topic sentence for paragraph 1: The weakness of regulation is likely to cause a lot of problems on them.

Assistant:

Great — that thesis works well. Quick confirmation before we continue:

Thesis you want to use:

Governments should enforce stricter labour laws to protect migrant workers' rights, safeguard their physical and mental health, and promote social stability.

Is that final? (Yes / No)

Assuming yes, we'll move to Step 2 and revise Paragraph 1. You said you want to revise Paragraph 1 and you gave the current topic sentence: "The weakness of regulation is likely to cause a lot of problems on them."

Step 2 — feedback on your topic sentence and a clear prompt to revise it yourself

What's working about this sentence

- It tries to name the cause (weak regulation) and the effect (problems for migrant workers). That's the right idea for a topic sentence.

What to improve (short, specific)

- Grammar & phrasing: "weakness of regulation" → say "weak regulations" or "weak enforcement of labour laws."
- Preposition: "problems on them" → "problems for them" or better, "problems for migrant workers."
- Be specific: name the main kinds of problems you will discuss in the paragraph (e.g., physical health problems, psychological harm, long working hours, unfair pay).
- Link to the thesis: the topic sentence should directly support the thesis idea about protecting rights and health.

Checklist for a strong topic sentence

- Names the cause or reason that the paragraph will explain (weak laws/enforcement).
- States the main effect(s) you will discuss (health, psychological harm, long hours, unfair pay).
- Clearly connects to the thesis (show the problem that stricter laws would fix).
- Is a single clear sentence, grammatically correct.

Useful vocabulary/phrases you can use

- weak enforcement of labour laws / weak labour protections
- long working hours / excessive hours
- unfair pay / wage theft / low wages
- physical health problems / injuries / poor working conditions
- psychological harm / stress / burnout / mental health problems
- exploitation / vulnerable to exploitation

A short template you can use to write your new topic sentence

- "[Weak enforcement of labour laws] causes [specific problem(s)] for migrant workers, such as [example(s)], which [effect/why it matters]."

Would you like to try rewriting the topic sentence now using that template? Paste your new sentence and I'll give focused feedback to make it stronger and aligned with your thesis.

You:

Yes. New sentence: The weak regulation is likely to cause a lot of problems for migrant workers, such as physical health problems and psychological harm.

Assistant:

Nice try — this is a good start. Quick feedback and a short next step.

What's working

- You name the cause (weak regulation) and the effects (physical and psychological harm). That's exactly what a topic sentence should do.

What to improve (short, specific)

- Word choice: say "weak enforcement of labour laws" or "weak labour protections" rather than "weak regulation."
- Avoid vague phrases like "a lot of problems." Be specific about the main problems you will discuss (e.g., physical injuries/poor health, mental health issues, long working hours, unfair pay).
- Make it concise and directly linked to the thesis idea (this problem is why stricter laws are needed).

Useful words/phrases you can use

- weak enforcement of labour laws / weak labour protections
- vulnerable to exploitation / wage theft / unsafe working conditions
- physical injuries / poor physical health
- mental health problems / psychological harm / stress and burnout
- long working hours / excessive hours / unpaid overtime

A short template you can use (fill the blanks)

- "[Weak enforcement of labour laws] leaves migrant workers vulnerable to [exploitation], causing [physical health problems], [mental health issues], and [long working hours]."

Can you try rewriting your topic sentence now using that template or the suggestions above? Paste your new single sentence and I'll give quick, focused feedback. Would you like to try that now?

You:

The weak enforcement of labour laws is likely to cause problems for migrant workers, such as physical health problems and psychological harm, that's why stricter laws are needed.

Assistant:

Good revision — nice job naming cause and effects. Quick feedback and one short prompt so you can try again.

What's working

- Clear cause → effect structure (weak enforcement → harms for migrant workers).
- You name two main harms (physical health problems, psychological harm) — that makes the paragraph easier to develop.

What to fix (short, specific)

- Grammar: "..., that's why stricter laws are needed." is a comma splice and a bit informal for an academic topic sentence.
- Clarity/precision: prefer "weak enforcement of labour laws" or "weak labour protections" (not just "weak enforcement" alone).
- Focus: the topic sentence should state the main claim for the paragraph and preview the kinds of harms you will explain — avoid ending with a

clause that sounds like an afterthought ("that's why...").

- Be concise and use parallel phrasing for the harms (e.g., "physical injury, mental health problems, and excessive hours").

Useful short templates / phrases you can use (fill in the blanks)

- "Weak enforcement of labour laws leaves migrant workers vulnerable to exploitation, causing , , and ."
- "**Weak labour protections result in exploitation of migrant workers, including , , and .**"
- "Because labour laws are weakly enforced, migrant workers often suffer , , and ____."

Grammar tip

- To join two clauses correctly, use a semicolon, a period, or a subordinating phrase: e.g., "..., which is why stricter laws are needed." rather than "..., that's why ..."

Would you like to try rewriting the topic sentence now using one of those templates? Paste your single revised sentence and I'll give quick feedback before we move to Step 3.

You:

The weak enforcement of labour laws is likely to cause problems for migrant workers, such as physical health problems like physical injury and psychological harms like mental health problems, which is why stricter laws are needed.

Assistant:

Nice work — that revision is much closer to a strong topic sentence. A few focused notes to make it clearer and more academic, then I'll ask you to try again.

What's working

- Clear cause → effect structure: you link weak enforcement to harms for migrant workers.
- You name the kinds of harm (physical and psychological), which makes the paragraph easier to develop.

What to improve (short, actionable)

1. Avoid redundancy: "physical health problems like physical injury" repeats the idea. Pick one clear phrase (e.g., "physical injuries" or "poor physical health").
2. Use parallel phrasing for the list of harms: "physical injuries, mental health problems, and excessive working hours" (all noun phrases).
3. Be more direct and concise: "is likely to cause problems" is weak—try a stronger verb like "leaves migrant workers vulnerable to" or "results in."
4. Leave the policy conclusion (stricter laws are needed) mainly to the thesis/transition; the topic sentence should state the problem the paragraph will explain. If you want a linking phrase, use "Consequently" or "As a result," but don't end with a clause that sounds like an aside.
5. Minor grammar: "psychological harms like mental health problems" is awkward — choose one term ("mental health problems" or "psychological harm").

Try revising now

- Make it a single concise sentence.
- Use parallel nouns for the harms.
- Aim to state the problem clearly (so it ties directly to your thesis).

Use this template to help (fill the blanks):

- "Weak enforcement of labour laws leaves migrant workers vulnerable to exploitation, causing __, __, and __."

Would you like to try rewriting the topic sentence now? Paste your new single sentence and I'll give quick feedback. After you're happy with it, we'll move to Step 3 and work on the paragraph's supporting sentences.

You:

Weak enforcement of labour laws leaves migrant workers vulnerable to exploitation, causing physical problems like physical injury, psychological harms like mental illness, and excessive working hours.

Assistant:

Nice work — this is a clear, focused attempt and you've named the main harms. Quick, specific feedback and two short options to try next.

What's working

- Clear cause → effect structure (weak enforcement → exploitation).
- You list three harms, which gives the paragraph a clear plan to develop.

What to fix (brief)

- Redundancy: "physical problems like physical injury" repeats the same idea — choose one phrase (e.g., "physical injuries").
- Awkward phrasing: "psychological harms like mental illness" is clumsy — pick one term (e.g., "mental health problems" or "psychological harm").
- Tone: make the list parallel (all noun phrases): "physical injuries, mental health problems, and excessive working hours."

Two short model topic-sentence options you can copy, adapt, or use as a model to try again:

- 1) Weak enforcement of labour laws leaves migrant workers vulnerable to exploitation, causing physical injuries, mental health problems, and excessive working hours.
- 2) Weak labour protections allow employers to exploit migrant workers through long hours, unsafe conditions, and low pay that harm their physical and mental health.

Would you like to:

- A) Keep your sentence but edit those phrases (try rewriting it now), or
- B) Choose one of the model sentences above, or
- C) Try a different version and paste it here?

Once the topic sentence is final, paste the paragraph's supporting sentences (the ones you wrote about examples, health, psychological effects, effects on efficiency and national reputation). We'll then work on Step 3: improving those supporting sentences for clarity, unity, and coherence using short guiding questions (I'll ask one at a time).

You:

Weak enforcement of labour laws leaves migrant workers vulnerable to exploitation, causing physical injuries, mental health problems, and excessive working hours.

Assistant:

Great — you're doing well. Quick confirmations before we revise the paragraph.

1) Thesis confirmation

Is this the final thesis you want to use?

Governments should enforce stricter labour laws to protect migrant workers' rights, safeguard their physical and mental health, and promote social stability.

(Yes / No)

2) Quick feedback on your topic sentence

Your topic sentence — “Weak enforcement of labour laws leaves migrant workers vulnerable to exploitation, causing physical injuries, mental health problems, and excessive working hours.” — is strong: clear cause → effects, focused, and directly linked to the thesis. Nice work.

One tiny optional tweak (only if you want it):

- Consider making the three harms parallel and slightly more precise: “physical injuries, mental health problems, and excessive working hours or unpaid overtime.” You can keep your sentence as is if you prefer.

Step 3 — Revising the paragraph's supporting sentences

Now paste the current supporting sentences for that paragraph (the lines about examples, health effects, efficiency, and reputation) OR try revising the first supporting sentence yourself. I'll guide you with short, focused feedback.

Use these short guiding questions as you edit each supporting sentence:

- Does this detail clearly support the topic sentence's specific harms (physical injury, mental health problems, long hours)?
- Do I give a concrete example or brief explanation (who, what, when, how)?
- Do I explain why the example matters (effect on worker health, productivity, or social stability)?
- Is there a clear sentence linking this detail back to the topic sentence or thesis?

Helpful short templates (fill the blanks) you can use to rewrite one supporting sentence:

- “For example, many migrant workers are required to work [number/type of hours] with little or no overtime pay, which leads to [specific effect].”
- “Unsafe working conditions—such as [example]—can cause [physical injury/health problem], reducing workers' ability to work and support themselves.”
- “The stress of long hours and poor pay often causes [mental health issue], which in turn [effect on work or life].”
- “As a result, employers' exploitation not only harms individuals but also [link to efficiency/social stability/reputation].”

Would you like to:

- A) Paste the paragraph's current supporting sentences so I can comment line-by-line, or
- B) Try revising the first supporting sentence now using a template, then paste it here?

Which do you choose?

You:

- . Yes. Step 3: For example, many migrant workers are required to work type of hours with little or no overtime pay, which leads to specific effect. Unsafe working conditions—such as demanding workers to get up early and sleep late—can cause health problem, reducing workers' ability to work and support themselves. The stress of long hours and poor pay often causes mental health issue, which in turn effect on work or life. As a result, employers' exploitation not only harms individuals but also link to efficiency.

Assistant:

Great — thanks for that. Quick confirmations first:

Thesis final: Yes — Governments should enforce stricter labour laws to protect migrant workers' rights, safeguard their physical and mental health, and promote social stability. — Good.

We'll revise Paragraph 1 (harms to migrant workers). Your current topic sentence is strong and we'll keep it.

Now let's work on the supporting sentences you posted. I'll give short, specific feedback on each line and offer short templates you can use to rewrite them. After that, please try revising the first supporting sentence and paste it here; I'll give quick feedback and we'll proceed sentence by sentence.

Line-by-line feedback and small fixes

1) Your draft: "For example, many migrant workers are required to work type of hours with little or no overtime pay, which leads to specific effect."

- Problems: "type of hours" and "specific effect" are placeholders; sentence needs a concrete harm.

- Fixes/words to use: "long hours," "excessive hours," "unpaid overtime," "fatigue," "reduced productivity," "chronic illness."

- Short template to use (fill the blank):

For example, many migrant workers must work long hours with little or no overtime pay, which leads to _____ (e.g., chronic fatigue, increased accident risk, and reduced ability to care for family).

2) Your draft: "Unsafe working conditions—such as demanding workers to get up early and sleep late—can cause health problem, reducing workers' ability to work and support themselves."

- Problems: phrasing is awkward ("demanding workers to get up early and sleep late"); "health problem" should be plural or specific.

- Fixes/words to use: "requiring workers to start very early and finish late," "unsafe conditions," "physical injuries," "exhaustion."

- Short template:

Unsafe working conditions—such as requiring very early starts, long shifts, and inadequate breaks—can cause physical injuries and exhaustion, reducing workers' ability to perform their jobs or support their families.

3) Your draft: "The stress of long hours and poor pay often causes mental health issue, which in turn effect on work or life."

- Problems: singular/plural ("issue" → "issues"), verb agreement ("effect on" → "affects"), be specific about effects.

- Fixes/words to use: "mental health problems," "stress, anxiety, depression," "affects job performance and family life."

- Short template:

The stress of long hours and low pay often causes mental health problems—such as anxiety and depression—which in turn affect job performance and family relationships.

4) Your draft: "As a result, employers' exploitation not only harms individuals but also link to efficiency."

- Problems: grammar ("link to" wrong form) and vague ("efficiency"). Clarify what is harmed — productivity, social cohesion, national reputation.

- Fixes/words to use: "undermines productivity," "weakens social stability," "damages the country's international reputation."

- Short template:

As a result, such exploitation not only harms individual workers but also undermines workplace productivity and can damage social stability and the country's reputation.

Next step — your turn (short task)

Please rewrite the first supporting sentence now, using the template I gave (or your own wording). Keep it one clear sentence and make it specific (say what harm results). Paste only that one sentence and I'll give quick, focused feedback. After we finalize sentence 1, we'll do sentences 2–4 the same way, then I'll help you smoothly link them and add a closing sentence for the paragraph.

Helpful reminders as you rewrite

- Keep sentences concise and formal (avoid contractions).

- Use parallel noun phrases in lists (e.g., "physical injuries, mental health problems, and excessive hours").

- Always add a brief phrase explaining why the example matters (effect on work/life/productivity).

Whenever you paste your first revised sentence, I'll respond with a quick edit suggestion. You're doing well — one sentence at a time works best.

You:

For example, many migrant workers must work long hours with little or no overtime pay, which leads to chronic fatigue, increased accident risk, and reduced ability to care for family. Unsafe working conditions—such as requiring very early starts, long shifts, and inadequate breaks—can cause physical injuries and exhaustion, reducing workers' ability to perform their jobs or support their families. The stress of long hours and low pay often causes mental health problems—such as anxiety and depression—which in turn affect job performance and family relationships. As a result, such exploitation not only harms individual workers but also undermines workplace productivity and can damage social stability and the country's reputation.

Assistant:

Nice work — this paragraph is much improved. Quick, focused feedback so you can decide what to do next.

What's strong

- Clear cause → effects that match your thesis (good unity).
- Concrete harms listed (fatigue, injuries, mental health) — easy to develop.

Small edits I suggest (one-line each)

- 1) Sentence 1: change "increased accident risk" to "increased risk of accidents" and "care for family" to "care for their families" for natural phrasing.
- 2) Sentence 2: tighten "requiring very early starts, long shifts, and inadequate breaks" (good) and keep "physical injuries and exhaustion" — maybe change "perform their jobs" to "perform effectively."
- 3) Sentence 3: keep as is but use "mental health problems such as anxiety and depression" (no hyphen needed) — that's clear and academic.
- 4) Sentence 4: "such exploitation not only harms individual workers but also undermines workplace productivity and can damage social stability and the country's reputation." — good; you might use "national reputation" instead of "the country's reputation" if you prefer.

Would you like me to:

- A) Apply these tiny edits and show you the full essay with the revised thesis and revised paragraph 1, or
- B) Try one more revision yourself and paste it here?

Which do you choose? I'll follow your lead.

You:

For example, many migrant workers must work long hours with little or no overtime pay, which leads to chronic fatigue, increased risk of accident, and reduced ability to care for their family. Unsafe working conditions—such as requiring very early starts, long shifts, and inadequate breaks—can cause physical injuries and exhaustion, reducing workers' ability to perform effectively or support their families. The stress of long hours and low pay often causes mental health problems such as anxiety and depression, which in turn affect job performance and family relationships. As a result, such exploitation not only harms individual workers but also undermines workplace productivity and can damage social stability and national reputation.

Assistant:

Nice work — this paragraph is much clearer and fits the thesis. I made only a few tiny, grammar-focused edits so the sentences read naturally and stay academic. Quick notes on changes I made:

- "increased risk of accidents" (natural phrasing) and "their families" (plural).
- Made lists parallel (physical injuries, mental health problems, excessive hours) and tightened wording for clarity.
- Kept all larger ideas and your wording; I did not change paragraph 2 (we stayed within our agreed scope).

Here is the full essay with the revised thesis and your revised Paragraph 1 included.

Governments should enforce stricter labour laws to protect migrant workers' rights, safeguard their physical and mental health, and promote social stability.

Weak enforcement of labour laws leaves migrant workers vulnerable to exploitation, causing physical injuries, mental health problems, and excessive working hours. For example, many migrant workers must work long hours with little or no overtime pay, which leads to chronic fatigue, an increased risk of accidents, and a reduced ability to care for their families. Unsafe working conditions—such as requiring very early starts, long shifts, and inadequate breaks—can cause physical injuries and exhaustion, reducing workers' ability to perform effectively or support their families. The stress of long hours and low pay often causes mental health problems such as anxiety and depression, which in turn affect job performance and family relationships. As a result, such exploitation not only harms individual workers but also undermines workplace productivity and can damage social stability and national reputation.

But if government enforce stricker laws, then the whole thing will change. Firstly, the strong regulation can safeguard the migrant workers's rights which will prevent them from getting hurts from works, both physically and psychologically. Furthermore, when the people is settled ad and feel comfortable of their life, they would like to love this country and have willing to follow its rules, which make the management easier. Government will also feel confident of their country, which will create a positive impact in the following development. Then the global reputation will be rised and the country development can go further.

Overall, to enforce stricker laws is the only and the best choice the government can do to solve the recent problems with migrants workers. It'll only bring benefits, instead of harms.

Would you like to:

- A) Revise paragraph 2 next (topic sentence + supporting sentences) so it matches the stronger thesis and paragraph 1, or
- B) Work on vocabulary and grammar across the whole essay (spelling, articles, verb forms)?

Pick one and I'll guide the next focused step.

You:

B

Assistant:

Great — thanks for choosing to work on vocabulary and grammar. Before I start making edits, one quick scope check so we stay focused and helpful.

Do you want me to:

- A) Fix vocabulary and grammar across the whole essay and show the full revised essay (I'll make corrections and return the full text), or
- B) Limit corrections to the thesis and Paragraph 1 only (keep within our earlier scope) and then show the updated essay, or
- C) Give a concise list of the recurring vocabulary/grammar errors with short rules and model fixes so you can revise the rest yourself, then you paste any sentences you want me to check?

Pick A, B, or C. I recommend B (keeps progress focused) or C (helps you learn patterns), but I'll follow whatever you choose.

While you decide, here's a short, targeted list of the recurring issues I see across your essay with very brief corrections you can apply immediately. These are the patterns I'll fix if you choose A or B, or teach you to fix if you choose C.

Common errors and quick fixes (with tiny examples from your essay)

1) Subject–verb agreement

- Problem: verbs don't match subjects. Example: "But if government enforce stricker laws..."
- Fix: Include correct subject form and verb: "But if the government enforces stricter laws..." or "If governments enforce stricter laws..."

2) Articles (a / an / the / zero article)

- Problem: missing or incorrect articles. Examples: "from another countries" → "from other countries"; "for government to enforce" → "for the government to enforce" (or "for governments to enforce").
- Fix: Use "the government" when referring to a specific government; use no article for plural general nouns ("migrant workers").

3) Plurals and count nouns

- Problem: singular/plural confusion. Example: "another countries" → "other countries"; "migrants workers" → "migrant workers."
- Fix: Use plural form for more than one: "other countries," "migrant workers."

4) Possessives

- Problem: wrong placement of apostrophe. Example: "the migrant workers's rights" should be "migrant workers' rights."
- Fix: For plural nouns ending in s, add apostrophe after s: workers' rights.

5) Spelling and word form

- Frequent typos: "stricker" → "stricter"; "effecincy"/"efficency" → "efficiency"; "quanity" → "quality"; "rised" → "rose" or "improved"; "ad" → "and" or "settled" correction; "goverment" → "government."
- Fix: slow down on proofreading and use spell-check. I'll correct these if you want me to.

6) Word choice and collocations

- Problem: awkward phrases: "long-hours work" → "long working hours"; "get hurts from working" → "suffer injuries from work" or "become injured at work"; "support their people" → "protect migrant workers" (be specific).
- Fix: use common academic collocations: "long working hours," "unfair pay," "labour protections," "enforce labour laws."

7) Parallel structure and lists

- Problem: lists that are not parallel or use mixed forms. Example: "safeguard their rights, protect their health, and promote social stability" — keep parallel nouns/verb forms.
- Fix: Use the same grammatical form in lists: e.g., "protect X, safeguard Y, and promote Z."

8) Sentence-level grammar: comma splices and run-ons

- Problem: two independent clauses joined incorrectly (e.g., "...workers are harmed, that's why stricter laws are needed.")
- Fix: Use a period, semicolon, or subordinating connector: "..., which is why stricter laws are needed."

9) Cohesion and clarity

- Problem: vague pronouns or unclear referents (e.g., "their people" — whose people?), repetition.
- Fix: Use clear nouns ("migrant workers") and avoid vague pronouns.

Short correction templates you can copy

- "Weak enforcement of labour laws leaves migrant workers vulnerable to exploitation, causing [physical injuries], [mental health problems], and [excessive working hours]."
- "For example, many migrant workers must work long hours with little or no overtime pay, which leads to chronic fatigue and an increased risk of accidents."
- "As a result, such exploitation not only harms individual workers but also undermines workplace productivity and can damage national reputation."

Next step — quick decision

Please pick A, B, or C so I know how to proceed. If you choose A or B I will apply corrections and return the full revised essay (as requested earlier). If you choose C I'll give short practice tasks and wait for you to paste sentences to edit.

If you want, after I make edits we can do a short self-editing checklist you can use for future essays. Which option would you like?

You:

B: Limit corrections to the thesis and Paragraph 1 only (keep within our earlier scope) and then show the updated essay

Assistant:

Thanks — I've applied corrections only to the thesis and Paragraph 1, as you requested. Below is the full essay with those two parts revised; everything else is left as in your latest draft so you can choose the next focus.

Revised essay (thesis + Paragraph 1 updated; rest unchanged)

Governments should enforce stricter labour laws to protect migrant workers' rights, safeguard their physical and mental health, and promote social stability.

Weak enforcement of labour laws leaves migrant workers vulnerable to exploitation, causing physical injuries, mental health problems, and excessive working hours. For example, many migrant workers must work long hours with little or no overtime pay, which leads to chronic fatigue, an increased risk of accidents, and a reduced ability to care for their families. Unsafe working conditions—such as requiring very early starts, long shifts, and inadequate breaks—can cause physical injuries and exhaustion, reducing workers' ability to perform effectively or support their families. The stress of long hours and low pay often causes mental health problems such as anxiety and depression, which in turn affect job performance and family relationships. As a result, such exploitation not only harms individual workers but also undermines workplace productivity and can damage social stability and national reputation.

But if government enforce stricker laws, then the whole thing will change. Firstly, the strong regulation can safeguard the migrant workers's rights which will prevent them from getting hurts from works, both physically and psychologically. Furthermore, when the people is settled ad and feel comfortable of their life, they would like to love this country and have willing to follow its rules, which make the management easier. Government will also feel confident of their country, which will create a positive impact in the following development. Then the global reputation will be rised and the country development can go further.

Overall, to enforce stricker laws is the only and the best choice the government can do to solve the recent problems with migrants workers. It'll only bring benefits, instead of harms.

What I changed and why (brief)

- Thesis: made one clear, confident sentence that directly answers the question and previews three reasons (rights, physical and mental health, social stability). This gives your essay a stronger roadmap.
- Paragraph 1: rewrote the topic sentence and all supporting sentences for clarity, unity, and academic tone. I made harms specific (physical injuries, mental health problems, excessive hours) and added clear explanations of effects so this paragraph supports the thesis tightly.

Next steps — pick one

- A) Revise Paragraph 2 next (topic sentence + supports) so it matches the stronger thesis and paragraph 1.
- B) Work on global grammar and vocabulary corrections across the whole essay (spelling, articles, subject–verb agreement).
- C) I give a short editing checklist and you try one of the remaining paragraphs; I then give targeted feedback.

Which would you like to do next? If you choose A, paste your current topic sentence for Paragraph 2 (or try a new one) and we'll continue with the three-step process for that paragraph.