DarkData Scoring Function

Anirudh Prabhu, Stephan Zednik

June 22, 2016

Current Scoring Function:

$$\sum_{0}^{c} \left(\frac{\sum_{0}^{n_c} (f_{n_c} \cdot w_{n_c})}{\sqrt{n_c}} \right)$$

New function:

$$Score = \log_{10} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{c} \left(\frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n_c} (f_{n_c})}{max(f_{n_c})} \cdot w_c \right) \times \left(\frac{na_c}{na_{max}} \right) \right)$$

- $c \longrightarrow \text{Compatibility (Strong, Slight, Some, Negative, Indifferent)}$
- 0 to $n_c \longrightarrow$ All compatibility assertions
- $na_c \longrightarrow$ Number of Assertions for a specific 'c'.
- $f_{n_c} \longrightarrow$ Confidence for a specific assertion for a specific compatibility.
- $w_c \longrightarrow$ Weight for a specific compatibility.
- $na_{max} \longrightarrow \text{Maximum}$ value from all na_c values.

Example:

Candidate 1

	c_{slight}	c_{some}	c_{strong}	$c_{indifferent}$	$c_{negative}$
f	0.5	0.7	0.5	1	0.5
\overline{w}	1	3	5	0	-1
na	1	1	1	1	1

Applying the second formula,

$$Score = (0.5) + (0.7 \times 3) + (0.5 \times 5) + (0) + (0.5 \times -1) = 4.6$$

Once normalized , $\log_{10}(Score) = 0.6627$ Candidate 2

	c_{slight}	c_{some_1}	c_{some_2}	c_{some_3}	c_{strong}
f	0.5	0.7	0.5	1	0.5
w	1	3	3	3	5
na	1	3	3	3	1

Applying the second formula,

$$Score = (0.5 \times \frac{1}{3}) + ((0.7 + 0.5 + 1) \times 3) + (0.5 \times 5 \times \frac{1}{3}) = 7.5983$$

Once normalized , $\log_{10}(Score) = 0.8807$ Candidate 3

	c_{strong_1}	c_{strong_2}	c_{strong_3}	c_{strong_4}	c_{strong_5}
f	0.5	0.7	0.5	1	0.5
\overline{w}	5	5	5	5	5
na	5	5	5	5	5

Applying the second formula,

$$Score = (0.5 + 0.7 + 0.5 + 1 + 0.5) \times 5 = 16$$

Once normalized , $\log_{10}(Score) = 1.2041$ Candidate 4

	c_{slight_1}	c_{slight_2}	c_{slight_3}	c_{slight_4}	c_{slight_5}
f	0.5	0.7	0.5	1	0.5
w	1	1	1	1	1
na	5	5	5	5	5

Applying the second formula,

$$Score = (0.5 + 0.7 + 0.5 + 1 + 0.5) \times 1 = 3.2$$

Once normalized , $\log_{10}(Score) = 0.5051$

Notes : Initial Attempt

$$\sum_{i=0}^{c} \left(\frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n_c} \left(f_{n_c} \cdot w_c \right) \times \left(\frac{na_c}{na_{max}} \right)}{max \left(f_{n_c} \cdot w_c \right)} \right)$$

First Iteration of the formula uses the $f_{n_c} \cdot w_c$ combination to normalize, but this makes the weights assigned loose its importance and the final result only give priority to results where candidates have the same type of compatibility. (Irrespective of whether the value of the compatibility assertion is strong or weak.)

The second formula, solves this issue by using \log to normalize the results instead.