

P-Q-COENDS

THÉO DE OLIVEIRA SANTOS[‡] AND FOSCO LOREGIAN[§]

CONTENTS

1. Motivation:	1
1.1. "symmetrize" tensors of higher arity	1
1.2. Question(s)	2
1.3. generalised dinaturality recently introduced by A. Santamaria in his PhD thesis	3
2. Higher arity co/wedges	4
2.1. Why the weird "(p,q)-to-(q,p)" definition?	5
3. Higher arity co/ends	6
3.1. Basic properties	7
4. Examples:	9
4.1. Some of them are trivial	9
4.2. Juicy examples:	11
5. Kusarigamas	21
5.1. Reducing dinaturality to naturality, and other properties	22
5.2. Higher arity twisted arrow categories	24
6. Future work (?)	25
6.1. weighing co/ends: the full story	25
6.2. kusarigamas are a toy example of "extradiagonal" (for lack of a better name) transformation	25
6.3. A graphical language for higher arity co/ends.	25

1. MOTIVATION:

1.1. **"symmetrize" tensors of higher arity.** The so-called "Einstein summation convention" in linear algebra and differential geometry asserts that it is possible to suppress the summation symbol \sum in every formula like

$$\sum_i c_i v_i$$

at the cost of writing " $c^i v_i$ "; this means that contravariant tensors' indices are superscripts, while covariant tensors' indices are subscripts, and whenever homonymous indices appear in a string like $c^i v_i$, it means that we are

Date: September 19, 2020.

summing over that index. So, for example, the first Bianchi identity:

$$\nabla_i R^i_j = \frac{1}{2} \nabla_j R$$

stands for $\sum_i \nabla_i R^i_j = \dots$, and the definition of R itself expands to a daunting

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ij} = & - \sum_{a,b} \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 g_{ij}}{\partial x^a \partial x^b} + \frac{\partial^2 g_{ab}}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} - \frac{\partial^2 g_{ib}}{\partial x^j \partial x^a} - \frac{\partial^2 g_{jb}}{\partial x^i \partial x^a} \right) g^{ab} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b,c,d} \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial g_{ac}}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial g_{bd}}{\partial x^j} + \frac{\partial g_{ic}}{\partial x^a} \frac{\partial g_{jd}}{\partial x^b} - \frac{\partial g_{ic}}{\partial x^a} \frac{\partial g_{jb}}{\partial x^d} \right) g^{ab} g^{cd} \\ & - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{a,b,c,d} \left(\frac{\partial g_{jc}}{\partial x^i} + \frac{\partial g_{ic}}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^c} \right) \left(2 \frac{\partial g_{bd}}{\partial x^a} - \frac{\partial g_{ab}}{\partial x^d} \right) g^{ab} g^{cd}. \end{aligned}$$

This convention does not allow for "unbalanced" expression to be summed over: the same number of subscript must be paired with the same number of superscripts.

In category theory, the analogue operation of "summing over repeated indices" is taking a **coend** of a functor

$$T : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$$

intended as the quotient of $\coprod_C T(C, C)$ by the equivalence relation generated by the action of T on arrows; this analogy is not peregrine: if $S : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ and $T : \mathcal{B}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ are two "profunctors", their composition

$$ST(A, C) := \int^B S(A, B) \times T(B, C)$$

is akin to the matrix product of two matrices, seen as functions $S : [n] \times [m] \rightarrow K$, $T : [m] \times [r] \rightarrow K$.

(A perfect analogy is this: let A, B, C be discrete categories; then the profunctor composition of $S : A \times B \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ and $T : B \times C \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ is the matrix product of an $|A| \times |B|$ and a $|B| \times |C|$ matrix.)

1.2. Question(s).

- What if we want to sum/integrate/coend over an "unbalanced tensor" like

$$T : (\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}})^p \times \mathcal{C}^q = \mathcal{C}^{(p,q)} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$$

for $p, q \geq 1$?

- Is the resulting theory well-behaved as the classical one?
- No one would debate about the usefulness of "balanced" integrals; are the unbalanced ones good for something similar?

This work aims at answering all these questions in the positive:

- Yes, one can define a notion of **co/end** for "higher arity" functors $\mathcal{C}^{(p,q)} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$;

- Yes and no; higher arity co/ends are particular instances of co/ends, where T has been "completely symmetrised" (see later for a definition); as such, they do not constitute a "new" object; instead, a specialisation of classical co/end calculus;
- Yes, the resulting theory is expressive enough to capture some new phenomena.

At this point, perhaps the most enlightening example is the following, appearing in a paper by Street and Dubuc:

Proposition 1. *Let $F, G : \mathcal{C}^{op} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be two functors; define the functor*

$$D\text{Nat}(F^\uparrow, G^\downarrow) : \mathcal{C}^{op} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Set}$$

sending (A, B) to $\mathcal{D}(F_A^B, G_B^A)$; then, the set of dinatural transformations $F \xRightarrow{\bullet\bullet} G$ is canonically isomorphic to the end of $D\text{Nat}(F^\uparrow, G^\downarrow)$, i.e. to the equaliser of the diagram

$$\prod_C \mathcal{D}(F_C^C, G_C^C) \xrightleftharpoons[u]{u} \prod_{A \rightarrow B} \mathcal{D}(F_A^B, G_B^A)$$

1.3. generalised dinaturality recently introduced by A. Santamaria in his PhD thesis. A. Santamaria and McGusker recently introduced in [MS] the notion of dinaturality we started from; yet, his notion is too general for our purposes for two reasons:

- they do not assume a "transformation" satisfies any condition globally, treating the

notion of naturality as a property of a single component (this reads as: a transformation between two functors of a certain "type" is di/natural at an index i , but it can be "unnatural" elsewhere).

- they do not assume that the type of the domain functor and the codomain functor are the same.

Our notational convention is also different: they take into account functors $\mathcal{C}^\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, where α is a "binary multi-index", i.e. an element in the free monoid over the set $\{\oplus, \ominus\}$, and the convention is that $\mathcal{C}^\emptyset :=$, the terminal category, $\mathcal{C}^\oplus := \mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{C}^\ominus := \mathcal{C}^{op}$, and $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha \uplus \alpha'} := \mathcal{C}^\alpha \times \mathcal{C}^{\alpha'}$.

Here instead, we adopt a different convention: a generic power \mathcal{C}^α is always "reshuffled" in order for all its minus and plus signs to appear on the same side, respectively on the left and on the right. The categories \mathcal{C}^α and $\mathcal{C}^{(p,q)}$ so obtained are, of course, canonically isomorphic, and the tuple α is equivalent to the reshuffled tuple $(\ominus_1, \dots, \ominus_p, \oplus_1, \dots, \oplus_q)$.

Definition 1. *Let α, β be two multi-indices, and let $F : \mathcal{C}^\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, $G : \mathcal{C}^\beta \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be functors. A transformation $\phi : F \rightarrow G$ of type $|\alpha| \xrightarrow{\sigma} n \xleftarrow{\tau} |\beta|$ (with $n = |\mathbf{A}|$ a positive integer) is a family of morphisms in \mathcal{D}*

$$\phi_{A_1, \dots, A_n} : F(A_{\sigma 1}, \dots, A_{\sigma |\alpha|}) \rightarrow G(A_{\tau 1}, \dots, A_{\tau |\beta|}).$$

for each tuple of objects A_1, \dots, A_n of \mathcal{C} .

Notice that α and β are *different* multi-indices in this definition, and σ, τ need not be injective or surjective, so we may have repeated or unused variables.

Definition 2. Let $\phi = (\phi_{A_1, \dots, A_n}) : F \rightarrow G$ be a transformation. For $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we say that ϕ is *dinatural* in A_i (or, more precisely, *dinatural* in its i -th variable) if and only if for all $A_1, \dots, A_{i-1}, A_{i+1}, \dots, A_n$ objects of \mathcal{C} and for all $f : A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{C} the following hexagon commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & F(\mathbf{A}[A/i]\sigma) & \xrightarrow{\phi_{\mathbf{A}[A/i]}} G(\mathbf{A}[A/i]\tau) \\
 F(\mathbf{A}[f, A/i]\sigma) & \nearrow & \searrow G(\mathbf{A}[A, f/i]\tau) \\
 & F(\mathbf{A}[B, A/i]\sigma) & \\
 F(\mathbf{A}[B, f/i]\sigma) & \searrow & \nearrow G(\mathbf{A}[A, B/i]\tau) \\
 & F(\mathbf{A}[B/i]\sigma) & \xrightarrow{\phi_{\mathbf{A}[B/i]}} G(\mathbf{A}[B/i]\tau)
 \end{array}$$

where \mathbf{A} is the n -tuple (A_1, \dots, A_n) of the objects above with an additional (unused in this definition) object A_i of \mathcal{C} .

2. HIGHER ARITY CO/WEDGES

N1) A generic tuple of objects,

$$\underline{A} := (A_1, \dots, A_n)$$

often split as the juxtaposition $\underline{A}'; \underline{A}''$ of two sub tuples of length p, q ,

$$\underline{A}' := (A_1, \dots, A_p), \quad \underline{A}'' := (A_{p+1}, \dots, A_{p+q})$$

N2) As already said, the image of a split tuple $\underline{A}'; \underline{A}''$ under a functor of type $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} p \\ q \end{smallmatrix}\right]$, $F : \mathcal{C}^{(p,q)} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is denoted $F_{\underline{A}'}^{\underline{A}''}$: the contravariant components come first, and the covariant component second. So: contravariant components are always *left* in the typing

$$F : \mathcal{C}^{(p,q)} \oslash \mathcal{D}$$

of a functor, and *up* in its action on objects.

N3) Denoting a functor F of type $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} p \\ q \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ evaluated at a diagonal tuple: we write

$$F_{\underline{A}}^{\underline{A}} := F_{A, \dots, A}^{A, \dots, A},$$

where the superscript has p elements, and the subscript has q elements.

N4) Substitution of an object at a prescribed index

$$\underline{A}[X/i] := (A_1, \dots, A_{i-1}, X, A_{i+1}, \dots, A_n).$$

N5) Substitution of a tuple at a prescribed tuple of indices

$$\underline{A}[X_1, \dots, X_r/i_1, \dots, i_r] := ((\underline{A}[X_1/i_1])[X_2/i_2] \cdots)[X_r/i_r].$$

Definition 3. A (p, q) -dinatural transformation $\alpha : F \overset{\bullet\bullet}{\rightrightarrows} G$ is a collection

$$\{\alpha_A : F_{A, \dots, A}^{A, \dots, A} \longrightarrow G_{A, \dots, A}^{A, \dots, A} \mid A \in \mathcal{C}_o\}$$

p times q times
 q times p times

of morphisms of \mathcal{D} indexed by the objects of \mathcal{C} such that, for each morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ of \mathcal{C} , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & F_{A_q}^{A_p} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_A} G_{A_p}^{A_q} \\ & \uparrow F_{A_q}^{f_p} & \searrow G_{f_p}^{A_q} \\ F_{A_q}^{B_p} & & G_{B_p}^{A_q} \\ & \downarrow F_{f_q}^{B_p} & \nearrow G_{B_p}^{f_q} \\ & F_{B_q}^{B_p} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_B} G_{B_p}^{B_q} \end{array}$$

commutes.

A different name for this same notion: a (p, q) -to- (q, p) -dinatural transformation.

Example 1. For $(p, q) = (2, 1)$, a $(2, 1)$ -dinatural transformation is a collection

$$\{\alpha_A : F_A^{A,A} \rightarrow G_{A,A}^A \mid A \in \mathcal{C}_o\}$$

of morphisms of \mathcal{D} such that, for each morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ of \mathcal{C} , the following hexagonal diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & F_A^{A,A} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_A} G_{A,A}^A \\ & \uparrow F_A^{f,f} & \searrow G_{f,f}^A \\ F_A^{B,B} & & G_{B,B}^A \\ & \downarrow F_f^{B,B} & \nearrow G_{B,B}^f \\ & F_B^{B,B} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_B} G_{B,B}^B \end{array}$$

2.1. Why the weird "(p,q)-to-(q,p)" definition? We could have stick to Santamaria's definition of " (p, q) -to- (r, s) " dinaturality; we could have stick to the notion of (p, q) -to- (p, q) dinaturality. Our definition sits in the middle:

the type of domain and codomain of a "higher arity" dinatural transformation $\alpha : F \overset{\bullet\bullet}{\rightrightarrows} G$ are different, but just

swapped: the contravariant length of F is the covariant length of G , and vice-versa.

It is important, even if straightforward, to note that as far as higher arity co/wedges (i.e. higher arity dinatural transformations from/to a constant functor) are concerned, the notions of (p, q) -dinaturality and (p, q) -to- (r, s) -dinaturality agree and yield the same theory of higher arity co/ends.

(Recall Mac Lane principle: what is the "right" level of generality?)

Definition 4. Let $D : \mathcal{C}^{(p,q)} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor and let $X \in \mathcal{D}_o$.

CW1) A (p, q) -wedge for D under X is a (p, q) -dinatural transformation $\theta : X \xRightarrow{\bullet\bullet} D$ from the constant functor of type $[\frac{q}{p}]$ with value X to D ;

CW2) A (p, q) -cowedge for D over X is a (p, q) -dinatural transformation $\zeta : D \xRightarrow{\bullet\bullet} X$ from D to the constant functor of type $[\frac{q}{p}]$ with value X .

Remark 1.

CWU1) A (p, q) -wedge $\theta : X \xRightarrow{\bullet\bullet} D$ is a collection

$$\{\theta_A : X \rightarrow D_A^A : A \in \mathcal{C}_o\}$$

of morphisms of \mathcal{C} such that, for each morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ of \mathcal{C} , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\theta_B} & D_B^B \\ \theta_A \downarrow & & \downarrow D_B^f \\ D_A^A & \xrightarrow{D_f^A} & D_B^A \end{array}$$

commutes.

CWU2) A (p, q) -cowedge $\zeta : D \xRightarrow{\bullet\bullet} X$ is a collection

$$\{\zeta_A : D_A^A \rightarrow X : A \in \mathcal{C}_o\}$$

of morphisms of \mathcal{C} such that, for each morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ of \mathcal{C} , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xleftarrow{\zeta_B} & D_B^B \\ \zeta_A \uparrow & & \uparrow D_B^f \\ D_A^A & \xleftarrow{D_f^A} & D_B^A \end{array}$$

commutes.

3. HIGHER ARITY CO/ENDS

Definition 5. Let $D : \mathcal{C}^{(p,q)} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor.

PQ1) The (p, q) -end of D is, if it exists, the pair $\left(\int_{(p, q) A \in \mathcal{C}} D_{\underline{A}}^A, \omega \right)$ formed by an object

$$\int_{(p, q) A \in \mathcal{C}} D_{\underline{A}}^A$$

of \mathcal{D} , and a (p, q) -wedge

$$\omega : \int_{(p, q) A \in \mathcal{C}} D_{\underline{A}}^A \xRightarrow{\bullet\bullet} D$$

for $\int_{(p, q) A \in \mathcal{C}} D_{\underline{A}}^A$ over D , such that the (p, q) -wedge postcomposition natural transformation

$$\omega_* : \mathbf{h} \left(-, \int_{(p, q) A \in \mathcal{C}} D_{\underline{A}}^A \right) \Rightarrow \mathbf{Wd}_{(-)}^{(p, q)}(D)$$

is a natural isomorphism.

PQ2) The (p, q) -coend of D is, if it exists, the pair $\left(\int^{(p, q) A \in \mathcal{C}} D_{\underline{A}}^A, \xi \right)$ formed by an object

$$\int^{(p, q) A \in \mathcal{C}} D_{\underline{A}}^A$$

of \mathcal{D} , and a (p, q) -cowedge

$$\xi : D \xRightarrow{\bullet\bullet} \int^{(p, q) A \in \mathcal{C}} D_{\underline{A}}^A$$

for $\int^{(p, q) A \in \mathcal{C}} D_{\underline{A}}^A$ under D , such that the (p, q) -cowedge postcomposition natural transformation

$$\xi^* : \mathbf{h} \left(\int^{(p, q) A \in \mathcal{C}} D_{\underline{A}}^A, - \right) \Rightarrow \mathbf{CWd}_{(-)}^{(p, q)}(D)$$

is a natural isomorphism.

Remark 2. This means that the (p, q) -end of D is the terminal object of the category of wedges of D , whose morphisms $h : (\alpha : \Delta_X \xRightarrow{\bullet\bullet} D) \rightarrow (\beta : \Delta_Y \xRightarrow{\bullet\bullet} D)$ are defined as the morphisms $h : X \rightarrow Y$ of \mathcal{D} such that for every $A \in \mathcal{C}_o$ one has $\beta_A \circ h = \alpha_A$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{h} & Y \\ \alpha_A \searrow & & \swarrow \beta_A \\ & D_{\underline{A}}^A & \end{array}$$

3.1. Basic properties.

Proposition 2 (Properties of (p, q) -ends and (p, q) -coends). *Let $D : \mathcal{C}^{(p, q)} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor.*

PE1) *Functoriality.* Let $D : \mathcal{C}^{(p,q)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor. The assignments $D \mapsto (p,q)\int_A D_{\underline{A}}^A, (p,q)\int^A D_{\underline{A}}^A$ define functors

$$\begin{aligned} (p,q)\int_{A \in \mathcal{C}} & : \text{Cat}(\mathcal{C}^{(p,q)}, \mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}, \\ (p,q)\int^{A \in \mathcal{C}} & : \text{Cat}(\mathcal{C}^{(p,q)}, \mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D} \end{aligned}$$

with domain the category of functors from \mathcal{C} of type $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} p \\ q \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ to \mathcal{D} and natural transformations between them.

PE2) (p,q) -Wedges and (p,q) -diagonals. For each $X \in \mathcal{C}_o$ we have natural bijections

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Wd}_{(-)}^{(p,q)}(D) &\cong \text{Wd}_{(-)}(\Delta_*^{(p,q)}(D)), \\ \text{CWd}_{(-)}^{(p,q)}(D) &\cong \text{CWd}_{(-)}(\Delta_*^{(p,q)}(D)). \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta_{p,q}$ is the “twisted diagonal” functor

$$\Delta_{p,q} := \underbrace{\Delta^{\text{op}} \times \cdots \times \Delta^{\text{op}}}_{p \text{ times}} \times \underbrace{\Delta \times \cdots \times \Delta}_{q \text{ times}}.$$

PE3) (p,q) -Ends as ordinary ends. We have natural isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} (p,q)\int_{A \in \mathcal{C}} D_{\underline{A}}^A &\cong \int_{A \in \mathcal{C}} \Delta_*^{(p,q)}(D)_A^A, \\ (p,q)\int^{A \in \mathcal{C}} D_{\underline{A}}^A &\cong \int^{A \in \mathcal{C}} \Delta_*^{(p,q)}(D)_A^A. \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta_{p,q}$ is the twisted diagonal functor. In other words, the (p,q) -end functor factors as a composition

$$\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{(p,q)}, \mathcal{D}) \xrightarrow{\Delta_*^{(p,q)}} \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \xrightarrow{\int_A} \mathcal{D},$$

and similarly so do (p,q) -coends.

PE4) (p,q) -Ends as limits. The (p,q) -end and (p,q) -coend of D fit respectively into an equaliser and into a coequaliser diagram

$$\begin{aligned} (p,q)\int_{A \in \mathcal{C}} D_{\underline{A}}^A &\longrightarrow \prod_{A \in \mathcal{C}_o} D_{\underline{A}}^A \xrightarrow[\rho]{\lambda} \prod_{A \rightarrow B} D_{\underline{B}}^A \\ \prod_{A \rightarrow B} D_{\underline{B}}^A &\xrightarrow[\rho']{\lambda'} \prod_{A \in \mathcal{C}_o} D_{\underline{A}}^A \longrightarrow (p,q)\int^{A \in \mathcal{C}} D_{\underline{A}}^A \end{aligned}$$

for suitable maps $\lambda, \rho, \lambda', \rho'$, induced by the morphisms $D_{\underline{u}}^A, D_{\underline{B}}^u$.

PE5) (p,q) -Ends as limits, again. We have natural isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} (p,q)\int_{A \in \mathcal{C}} D_{\underline{A}}^A &\cong \lim \left(\text{Tw}(\mathcal{C}) \twoheadrightarrow \Sigma_{p,q} \mathcal{C}^{(p,q)} \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{D} \right), \\ (p,q)\int^{A \in \mathcal{C}} D_{\underline{A}}^A &\cong \text{colim} \left(\text{Tw}(\mathcal{C}) \twoheadrightarrow \Sigma_{p,q} \mathcal{C}^{(p,q)} \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{D} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Sigma_{p,q}: \mathbf{Tw}(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{(p,q)}$ is the composition $\Delta^{(p,q)} \circ \Sigma$, with Σ the usual Sigma functor from $\mathbf{Tw}(\mathcal{C})$ to $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C}$. Explicitly, $\Sigma^{(p,q)}$ is the functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Tw}(\mathcal{C}) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{(p,q)} \\ \left[\begin{array}{c} A \\ f \downarrow \\ B \end{array} \right] &\longmapsto (\underline{A}, \underline{B}) \\ \left[\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\ \phi \uparrow & & \downarrow \psi \\ C & \xrightarrow{g} & D \end{array} \right] &\longmapsto (\underline{\phi}, \underline{\psi}) \end{aligned}$$

PE6) (p, q) -Ends as limits, yet again. There exists a category $\mathbf{Tw}^{(p,q)}(\mathcal{C})$ together with a universal fibration

$$\Sigma: \mathbf{Tw}^{(p,q)}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{(p,q)}$$

inducing natural isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{(p,q) \int_{A \in \mathcal{C}}} D_{\underline{A}}^A &\cong \lim \left(\mathbf{Tw}^{(p,q)}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \Sigma \mathcal{C}^{(p,q)} \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{D} \right), \\ \int_{(p,q) \int_{A \in \mathcal{C}}} D_{\underline{A}}^A &\cong \text{colim} \left(\mathbf{Tw}^{(p,q)}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \Sigma \mathcal{C}^{(p,q)} \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{D} \right). \end{aligned}$$

PE7) (p, q) -Ends as $(p + r, q + s)$ -ends. we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{(p,q) \int_{A \in \mathcal{C}}} D_{\underline{A}}^A &\cong \int_{(p+r, q+s) \int_{A \in \mathcal{C}}} \delta_s^r(D)_{\underline{A}}^A, \\ \int_{(p,q) \int_{A \in \mathcal{C}}} D_{\underline{A}}^A &\cong \int_{(p+r, q+s) \int_{A \in \mathcal{C}}} \delta_s^r(D)_{\underline{A}}^A, \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_s^r(-)$ is “ (r, s) -dummyfication”.

PE8) Commutativity of (p, q) -ends with homs. We have natural isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D} \left(-, \int_{(p,q) \int_{A \in \mathcal{C}}} D_{\underline{A}}^A \right) &\cong \int_{(p,q) \int_{A \in \mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{D} \left(-, D_{\underline{A}}^A \right) \\ \mathcal{D} \left(\int_{(p,q) \int_{A \in \mathcal{C}}} D_{\underline{A}}^A, - \right) &\cong \int_{(q,p) \int_{A \in \mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{D} \left(D_{\underline{A}}^A, - \right). \end{aligned}$$

4. EXAMPLES:

4.1. Some of them are trivial.

Example 2 (Some (p, q) -co/ends are trivial for trivial reasons).

- The $(0, 2)$ -ends and $(0, 2)$ -coends of the functor $\otimes: \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ that gives \mathcal{C} a monoidal structure are trivial under very mild assumptions on \mathcal{C} . This rules out a class of possibly interesting examples coming from multilinear algebra.

Consider the category \mathbf{Mod}_R (with additional care one can take left modules and right modules, of course). To show that

$$\begin{aligned} (0,2)\int_A A \otimes A &\cong \lim_{A,B \in \mathbf{Mod}_R} A \otimes B \cong 0, \\ (0,2)\int^A A \otimes A &\cong \operatorname{colim}_{A,B \in \mathbf{Mod}_R} A \otimes B \cong 0, \end{aligned}$$

we just observe that \mathbf{Mod}_R is a sifted category, because it admits finite coproducts. The fact that a category \mathcal{C} is sifted if and only if \mathcal{C} is non-empty and the diagonal functor $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$ is final¹ then yields the result.

- If \mathcal{C} is a sifted category, all diagonal functors $\Delta: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^n$ are final, because the product and composition of final functors is itself final. Thus the same result transports to higher coends of higher arity functors: for example, $\bigwedge^k: \mathbf{Mod}_R^n \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_R$ sending M to $\bigwedge^k M$.
- Let R be a ring. The walking cochain complex ([?, Paragraph 35.1]) is the \mathbf{Mod}_R -enriched category Ch whose set of objects is the set of integers, and where the hom-sets are R -modules freely generated by

$$\mathcal{C}([m], [n]) = \begin{cases} \{d, 0\} & \text{if } m = n + 1, \\ \{1, 0\} & \text{if } m = n, \\ \{0\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now, a cochain complex is precisely a \mathbf{Mod}_R -enriched functor from the Ch to \mathbf{Mod}_R . Similarly, bicomplexes are \mathbf{Mod}_R -enriched functors from $Ch \boxtimes_{\mathbf{Mod}_R} Ch$ to \mathbf{Mod}_R .

Let $D: Ch \boxtimes_{\mathbf{Mod}_R} Ch \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_R$ be a bicomplex. We claim that its \mathbf{Mod}_R -enriched $(2,0)$ -end E is just the zero module. Indeed, looking at $(2,0)$ -wedges, we see that they are either of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \longrightarrow & D^{n,n} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ D^{n,n} & & \end{array} \quad \text{or of the form} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} E & \longrightarrow & D^{n+1,n+1} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow d^{n,n} & \\ D^{n,n} & & \end{array}$$

Now, it follows from the second diagram that

$$E \cong \{(a_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} D^{k,k} \mid a_{k+1} = d^{k,k}(a_k)\},$$

but differentials square to zero, so we must have $a_k = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and E is indeed isomorphic to the zero module. A similar argument shows that $(0,2)\int^{[k] \in Ch} D^{k,k} \cong 0$.

Example 3 (Bisimplicial sets). Recall that a bisimplicial set ([?, Chapter IV], [?, §3.1.15]) is a functor $X: \Delta^{\text{op}} \times \Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$; moreover, the diagonalisation of a bisimplicial set $X_{\bullet,\bullet}: \Delta^{\text{op}} \times \Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ is the simplicial set

¹This is due to [?]; see also [?, Proposition 5.3.2] or [?, Theorem 2.15] for reviews.

$d(X)_\bullet : \Delta^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \text{Set}$ given by

$$d(X)_n := X_{n,n}.$$

Joining the products and equalisers formula for (p, q) -coends we see that $\int_{(2,0)}^{[n] \in \Delta^{\text{op}}} X_{n,n}$ is the coequaliser of the diagram

$$\coprod_{[n] \rightarrow [m] \in \Delta} X_{m,m}, \Longrightarrow \coprod_{[n] \in \Delta} X_{n,n}$$

giving

$$\int_{(2,0)}^{[n] \in \Delta} X_{n,n} \cong \pi_0(d(X)).$$

By a similar argument, we have

$$\int_{(2,0)}^{[n] \in \Delta} X_{n,n} \cong X_{0,0}.$$

4.2. Juicy examples:

4.2.1. *A glance at weighted co/ends.* Weighted co/ends stand to co/ends in the same relation as weighted co/limits stand to limits.

Definition 6 (Weighted co/end). *Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be \mathcal{V} -enriched categories and $D : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ a \mathcal{V} -functor, and $W : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ a \mathcal{V} -presheaf.*

WE1) *The end of D weighted by W is, if it exists, the object $\int_{A \in \mathcal{C}}^W D_A^A$ of \mathcal{D} with the property that*

$$\text{hom}_{\mathcal{D}} \left(X, \int_{A \in \mathcal{C}}^W D_A^A \right) \cong \text{DiNat}_{\mathcal{V}}(W, \mathbf{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, D))$$

naturally in $X \in \mathcal{D}$.

WE2) *The coend of D weighted by W is, if it exists, the object $\int_W^{A \in \mathcal{C}} D_A^A$ of \mathcal{D} with the property that*

$$\text{hom}_{\mathcal{D}} \left(\int_W^{A \in \mathcal{C}} D_A^A, Y \right) \cong \text{DiNat}_{\mathcal{V}}(W, \mathbf{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(D, Y))$$

naturally in $Y \in \mathcal{D}$.

Example 4 (Weighted co/ends are $(2, 2)$ -co/ends). *A quick argument (to be discussed in future work [?]) gives $(2, 2)$ -co/end formulas for weighted co/ends:*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{A \in \mathcal{C}}^{[W]} D_A^A &\cong \int_{(2,2)}^{A \in \mathcal{C}} W_A^A \pitchfork D_A^A, \\ \int_{[W]}^{A \in \mathcal{C}} D_A^A &\cong \int_{(2,2)}^{A \in \mathcal{C}} W_A^A \odot D_A^A. \end{aligned}$$

Example 5 (Weighting Increases Arity). *Let $F, G: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and $W: \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ be \mathcal{V} -functors. In analogy with*

$$\mathbf{Nat}_{\mathcal{V}}(F, G) := \int_{A \in \mathcal{C}} \mathbf{hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F_A, G_A),$$

we define the object $\mathbf{Nat}^{[W]}(F, G)$ of natural transformations from F to G weighted by W by

$$(1) \quad \mathbf{Nat}^{[W]}(F, G) := \int_{A \in \mathcal{C}}^{[W]} \mathbf{hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F_A, G_A).$$

Taking W to be mute in its contravariant variable, we can give a reformulation of the universal property of weighted limits:

$$\mathbf{h}\left(-, \lim^W(D)\right) \cong \mathbf{Nat}^{[W]}(\Delta_{(-)}, D).$$

Defining $\mathbf{DiNat}_{\mathcal{V}}^{[W]}(F, G)$ by a similar formula, we also obtain the following isomorphism in the case of weighted ends:

$$\mathbf{h}\left(-, \int_{A \in \mathcal{C}}^{[W]} D_A^A\right) \cong \mathbf{DiNat}_{\mathcal{V}}^{[W]}(\Delta_{(-)}, D).$$

This naturally suggests a definition of doubly-weighted ends:

$$\mathbf{h}\left(-, \int_{A \in \mathcal{C}}^{[W_1, W_2]} D_A^A\right) \cong \mathbf{DiNat}_{\mathcal{V}}^{[W_1]}(W_2, D).$$

Repeating this process give you ends weighted by a collection of n functors W_1, \dots, W_n . These however, can be actually computed as $(n+1, n+1)$ -ends ([?]):

$$\int_{A \in \mathcal{C}}^{[W_1, \dots, W_n]} D_A^A \cong_{(n+1, n+1)} \int_{A \in \mathcal{C}} \left((W_1)_A^A \times \dots \times (W_n)_A^A \right) \odot D_A^A.$$

As such, we see that weighting an end increases its arity by $(1, 1)$.

4.2.2. Weighted Kan extensions. Another source of examples comes from “weighing” left and right Kan extensions. While the most general such weight is a profunctor, having type $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, weights of type $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ or $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ are specially interesting, as they give a more direct parallel with the classical theory of weighted co/limits.

Recall the definition of the object $\mathbf{Nat}^{[W]}(F, G)$ of weighted natural transformations.

Definition 7. *The left Kan extension of F along K weighted by W is, if it exists, the \mathcal{V} -functor*

$$\left(\mathbf{Lan}_K^{[W]} F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \right) : \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & \mathcal{D} \\ & \nearrow K & \downarrow \mathbf{Lan}_K^{[W]} F \\ W \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{E} \end{array}$$

for which we have a \mathcal{V} -natural isomorphism

$$(2) \quad \mathbf{Nat}_{\mathcal{V}} \left(\mathbf{Lan}_K^{[W]} F, G \right) \cong \mathbf{Nat}_{\mathcal{V}}^{[W]} (F, G \circ K),$$

natural in G .

One defines weighted right Kan extensions in a dual manner:

Definition 8. The right Kan extension of F along K weighted by W is, if it exists, the \mathcal{V} -functor

$$\left(\mathbf{Ran}_K^{[W]} F : \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \right) : \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & \mathcal{D} \\ & \nearrow K & \downarrow \mathbf{Ran}_K^{[W]} F \\ W \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{E} \end{array}$$

for which we have a \mathcal{V} -natural isomorphism

$$(3) \quad \mathbf{Nat}_{\mathcal{V}} \left(G, \mathbf{Ran}_K^{[W]} F \right) \cong \mathbf{Nat}_{\mathcal{V}}^{[W]} (G \circ K, F),$$

natural in G .

Example 6 (Weighted co/limits as weighted Kan extensions). Let $D : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a diagram on a category \mathcal{D} . Then we may canonically identify the left Kan extension of D along the terminal functor with its colimit:

$$\mathbf{Lan}_! D \cong [\mathrm{colim}(D)] \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & 1 \\ & \nearrow ! & \downarrow [\mathrm{colim}(D)] \\ \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{D} & \mathcal{D} \end{array}$$

Similarly, given a weight $W : \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Set}$, we have

$$\mathbf{Lan}_!^{[W]} D \cong [\mathrm{colim}^W(D)] \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & 1 \\ & \nearrow ! & \downarrow [\mathrm{colim}^W(D)] \\ W \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{D} & \mathcal{D} \end{array}$$

One can also prove that the following formulas hold ($[?]$):

$$(4) \quad \mathbf{Lan}_K^{[W]} F \cong \int_{[W]}^{A \in \mathcal{C}} \mathbf{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(K_A, -) \odot F_A \cong \int_{(2,2)}^{A \in \mathcal{C}} \left(W_A^A \times \mathbf{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(K_A, -) \right) \odot F_A,$$

$$(5) \quad \mathbf{Ran}_K^{[W]} F \cong \int_{A \in \mathcal{C}}^{[W]} \mathbf{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, K_A) \pitchfork F_A \cong \int_{(2,2)}^{A \in \mathcal{C}} \left(W_A^A \times \mathbf{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, K_A) \right) \pitchfork F_A.$$

Equipped with these, we now proceed to compute a few weighted Kan extensions.

Example 7. Consider the functor $i^{\text{op}} : 1^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \Delta^{\text{op}}$; the left and right Kan extensions of a set $X_{\bullet} : 1 \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ along i^{op} are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Lan}_{i^{\text{op}}}(X) &\cong \underline{X}_{\bullet} \\ \text{Ran}_{i^{\text{op}}}(X) &\cong \check{C}(X). \end{aligned}$$

Now take a weight $W : 1^{\text{op}} \times 1 \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \Delta^{\text{op}} \\ & \nearrow i^{\text{op}} & \downarrow \text{Lan}_{i^{\text{op}}}^{[W]} X \\ W \hookrightarrow 1^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{X} & \mathbf{Set} \end{array}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Lan}_{i^{\text{op}}}^{[W]}(X) &\cong \underline{W \times X}_{\bullet} \\ \text{Ran}_{i^{\text{op}}}^{[W]}(X) &\cong \check{C}(W \times X). \end{aligned}$$

Example 8. Now for the more interesting counterpart of the above:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi^{\text{op}} : \Delta^{\text{op}} & \longrightarrow & 1^{\text{op}} \\ [n] & \longmapsto & \star \end{array}$$

The left and right Kan extensions of a simplicial set $X_{\bullet} : \Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ along π^{op} are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Lan}_{\pi^{\text{op}}}(X_{\bullet}) &\cong \pi_0(X_{\bullet}) \\ \text{Ran}_{\pi^{\text{op}}}(X_{\bullet}) &\cong \text{ev}_0(X_{\bullet}) := X_0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, a weight $W_{\bullet} : \Delta^{\text{op}} \times \Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ is wonderfully complicated: it is a cosimplicial space!

Then

- (1) Taking $W = \Delta^{\bullet}$ almost gives the geometric realisation of X_{\bullet} :

$$\text{Lan}_{\pi^{\text{op}}}^{[\Delta^{\bullet}]}(X_{\bullet}) \cong \int^{[n] \in \Delta} \Delta^n \times X_n.$$

- (2) Dually, taking again $W = \Delta^{\bullet}$ but now a cosimplicial object $X^{\bullet} : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$,

$$\text{Ran}_{\pi}^{[\Delta^{\bullet}]}(X^{\bullet}) = \text{Tot}(X_{\bullet}).$$

- (3) If ou take $W = \Delta_{\bullet} = \text{hom}_{\Delta}(-, -)$, then I think you get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Lan}_{\pi^{\text{op}}}^{[\Delta_{\bullet}]}(X_{\bullet}) &\cong \int^{(2,2)}_{[n] \in \Delta} \Delta^n \times X_n \\ &\cong \int^{(2,2)}_{[n] \in \Delta} \Delta^n \times X_n \end{aligned}$$

Example 9. Using the fact that weighted left/right Kan extensions along the identity are adjoint to each other, we can study situations like

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & \Delta^{\text{op}} \\
 & \nearrow 1 & \downarrow ? \\
 W \bullet \hookrightarrow \Delta^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{X_\bullet} & \text{Set}
 \end{array}$$

This gives rise to an adjunction $L : \mathbf{sSet} \rightleftarrows \mathbf{sSet} : R$ with

$$\begin{aligned}
 L(X_\bullet) &\cong \int_{(2,2) \int [n] \in \Delta} W_n^n \odot X_n \cong \int_{(2,2) \int [n] \in \Delta} W_n^n \times X_n, \\
 R(X_\bullet) &\cong \int_{(2,2) \int [n] \in \Delta} W_n^n \pitchfork X_n \cong \int_{(2,2) \int [n] \in \Delta} [W_n^n, X_n].
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking $W = \Delta^\bullet$ gives $L = R = 1$, so let's take something more complicated, like Δ^\bullet_\bullet . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 L(X_\bullet) &\cong \int_{(2,2) \int [n] \in \Delta} \Delta^n[n] \times X_n \cong ? \\
 R(X_\bullet) &\cong \int_{(2,2) \int [n] \in \Delta} [\Delta^n[n], X_n] \cong ?
 \end{aligned}$$

Example 10 (Weighing the stalks of a sheaf). Let $i_p : \{p\} \hookrightarrow X$ be the inclusion of a point into a topological space X . We get an induced functor

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{O}(i_p) : \mathcal{O}(X) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(\{p\}) \\
 U & \longmapsto & i_p^{-1}(U)
 \end{array}$$

Considering now left Kan extensions along the opposite of $\mathcal{O}(i_p)$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & \mathcal{O}(\{p\})^{\text{op}} \\
 & \nearrow \mathcal{O}(i_p)^{\text{op}} & \downarrow \text{Lan}_{\mathcal{O}(i_p)^{\text{op}}} \mathcal{F} \\
 \mathcal{O}(X)^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} & \text{Set}
 \end{array}$$

we obtain a functor $\text{Lan}_{\mathcal{O}(i_p)^{\text{op}}} : \mathbf{PSh}(X) \longrightarrow \mathbf{PSh}(\{p\})$, whose image at \mathcal{F} is written $[\mathcal{F}_p]$ for simplicity. The restriction of this functor to $\mathbf{Sh}(X)$ can be identified with the stalk functor $(-)_p : \mathbf{Sh}(X) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Set}$: we have $\mathcal{O}(\{p\}) = \{\emptyset \hookrightarrow \{p\}\}$ and computing the images of \emptyset and $\{p\}$ under $[\mathcal{F}_p]$ via the

usual colimit formula for left Kan extensions gives

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathcal{F}_p](\{p\}) &\cong \operatorname{colim} \left((\mathcal{O}(\lceil p \rceil) \downarrow \underline{\{p\}})^{\operatorname{op}} \xrightarrow{\pi^{\operatorname{op}}} \mathcal{O}(X)^{\operatorname{op}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{Set} \right), \\
&\cong \operatorname{colim}_{U \ni p} (\mathcal{F}(U)), \\
&\cong \mathcal{F}_p \\
[\mathcal{F}_p](\emptyset) &\cong \operatorname{colim} \left((\mathcal{O}(\lceil p \rceil) \downarrow \underline{\emptyset})^{\operatorname{op}} \xrightarrow{\pi^{\operatorname{op}}} \mathcal{O}(X)^{\operatorname{op}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{Set} \right), \\
&\cong \operatorname{colim}_{U \rightarrow \emptyset} (\mathcal{F}(U)), \\
&\cong \mathcal{F}(\emptyset).
\end{aligned}$$

(in case \mathcal{F} is a sheaf, $\mathcal{F}(\emptyset)$ is the singleton set.) Consider the same situation, but now with a weight $W: \mathcal{O}(X) \times \mathcal{O}(X)^{\operatorname{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ (an "extradiagonal presheaf on X "):

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& & \mathcal{O}(\{p\})^{\operatorname{op}} \\
& \nearrow \mathcal{O}(i_p)^{\operatorname{op}} & \downarrow \operatorname{Lan}_{\mathcal{O}(i_p)^{\operatorname{op}}}^{[W]} \mathcal{F} \\
W \curvearrowright \mathcal{O}(X)^{\operatorname{op}} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} & \mathbf{Set}
\end{array}$$

We may compute $\operatorname{Lan}_{\mathcal{O}(i_p)^{\operatorname{op}}}^{[W]} \mathcal{F} := [\mathcal{F}_p^{[W]}]$ as the weighted coend

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathcal{F}_p^{[W]}] &:= \int_{[W]}^{U \in \mathcal{O}(X)} \operatorname{hom}_{\mathcal{O}(X)^{\operatorname{op}}} (\mathcal{O}(i_p^{\operatorname{op}})(U), -) \\
&\quad \cdot \mathcal{F}(U) \\
&\cong \int^{U \in \mathcal{O}(X)} W_U^U \times \operatorname{hom}_{\mathcal{O}(X)} (\chi_p(U), -) \\
&\quad \cdot \mathcal{F}(U),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\chi_p(U) = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } p \notin U, \\ U & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For instance, taking W to be a sheaf \mathcal{G} on X gives

$$\mathcal{F}_p^{[\mathcal{G}]} := [\mathcal{F}_p^{[\mathcal{G}]}](\{p\}) \cong (\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G})_p.$$

4.2.3. A glance at extradiagonality. “Extradiagonal” category theory arises when, instead of considering a natural transformation filling a higher-dimensional cell, we consider a *dinatural* one. Transformations that are more general than natural ones notoriously do not compose; yet, the category theory arising from this generalisation is interesting.

Definition 9 (Diagonal left Kan extensions). *The diagonal left Kan extension of a functor $F: \mathcal{C}^{\operatorname{op}} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ along a functor $K: \mathcal{C}^{\operatorname{op}} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is, if*

it exists the functor $\text{DiLan}_K F: \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}$ such that we have an isomorphism

$$\text{Nat}(\text{DiLan}_K F, G) \cong \text{DiNat}(F, G \circ K)$$

natural in G .

Example 11. *Standard examples of diagonal left Kan extensions are ends: Generalising the fact that the left Kan extension of a functor $D: \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ along the terminal functor $\pi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow 1$ can be identified with the colimit of \mathcal{D} , the diagonal left Kan extension of a functor $D: \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ along the terminal functor $\pi: \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow 1$ can be identified with the coend of \mathcal{D} .*

Now, while ordinary Kan extensions can be computed via co/end formulas, diagonal Kan extensions admit $(2, 2)$ -co/end formulas ([?]):

$$(6) \quad \text{DiLan}_K F \cong \int^{(2,2) A \in \mathcal{C}} \mathcal{D}(K_A^A, -) \odot F_A^A,$$

$$(7) \quad \text{DiRan}_K F \cong \int_{(2,2) A \in \mathcal{C}} \mathcal{D}(-, K_A^A) \pitchfork F_A^A,$$

where the pairing is such that $\text{DiLan}_K F$ is the coend of

$$(A, B) \mapsto \mathcal{D}(K_A^B, -) \odot F_B^A.$$

Alternatively, we may compute diagonal Kan extensions as hom-weighted Kan extensions ([?, ?]):

$$\text{DiLan}_K F \cong \int_{[\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, -)]}^{A, B \in \mathcal{C}} \mathcal{D}(K_A^B, -) \odot F_B^A,$$

$$\text{DiRan}_K F \cong \int_{A, B \in \mathcal{C}}^{[\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, -)]} \mathcal{D}(-, K_A^B) \pitchfork F_B^A.$$

This is a generalisation of the fact that ends are hom-weighted limits. A forthcoming work [?] will address the topic of this remark in its entirety, studying the category theory arising from the notion of a weighted co/end.

Example 12. Let \mathcal{C} be a closed monoidal category and $D: \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a diagram on \mathcal{D} . What is $\text{DiLan}_{[-,-]}D$ and $\text{DiRan}_{[-,-]}D$?

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & \mathcal{C} \\
 & \nearrow [-,-] & \downarrow \text{DiLan}_{[-,-]}D \\
 \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{D} & \mathcal{D}
 \end{array}$$

$$\text{DiLan}_{[-,-]}D \cong \int^{A \in \mathcal{C}} \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}([A, A], -) \odot D_A^A.$$

Example 13. Let $D: \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a diagram on \mathcal{D} . What is $\text{DiLan}_y D$ and $\text{DiRan}_y D$?

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & \text{PSh}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C}) \\
 & \nearrow y & \downarrow \text{DiLan}_y D \\
 \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{D} & \mathcal{D}
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{DiLan}_y D &\cong \int_{\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-,-)}^{A, B \in \mathcal{C}} \text{hom}_{\text{PSh}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C})}(y_A^B, -) \odot F_B^A, \\
 &\cong \int^{A \in \mathcal{C}} \text{hom}_{\text{PSh}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C})}(y_A^A, -) \odot F_A^A, \\
 &\cong \int^{(2,2) \int^{A \in \mathcal{C}}} \text{hom}_{\text{PSh}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C})}(y_A^A, -) \odot F_A^A, \\
 &:= \int^{(2,2) \int^{A \in \mathcal{C}}} \text{hom}_{\text{PSh}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C})}(\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C}}(-, (A, A)), -) \odot F_A^A, \\
 &:= \int^{(2,2) \int^{A \in \mathcal{C}}} \text{hom}_{\text{PSh}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C})}(\mathbf{h}^A \times \mathbf{h}_A, -) \odot F_A^A.
 \end{aligned}$$

In order to introduce the next example, we recall the following notation: we have an adjunction

$$(\pi \dashv \iota): 1 \xrightleftharpoons[\iota]{\pi} \Delta,$$

where

- $\iota: 1 \hookrightarrow \Delta$ is the functor choosing the terminal object;
- $\pi: \Delta \rightarrow 1$ is the terminal functor;

This induces a quadruple adjunction

$$(\pi_0 \dashv \underline{}_{\bullet} \dashv \text{ev}_0 \dashv \check{}): \text{Set} \xrightleftharpoons[\text{ev}_0]{\pi_0} \text{sSet}$$

Example 14. Let $S_\bullet^\bullet: \Delta^{\text{op}} \times \Delta \longrightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ be a cosimplicial space. What is $\text{DiLan}_{\pi^{\text{op}} \times \pi}(S_\bullet^\bullet)$?

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & 1^{\text{op}} \times 1 & \\
 \pi^{\text{op}} \times \pi \nearrow & \Downarrow \text{DiLan}_{\pi^{\text{op}} \times \pi}(S_\bullet^\bullet) & \\
 \Delta^{\text{op}} \times \Delta & \xrightarrow{S_\bullet^\bullet} & \mathbf{Set}
 \end{array}$$

It is just the end of S_\bullet^\bullet (btw do you know what this is?):

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{DiLan}_{\pi^{\text{op}} \times \pi}(S_\bullet^\bullet) &\cong \int^{[n] \in \Delta} \text{hom}_1(\star, \star) \odot S_n^n, \\
 &\cong \int^{[n] \in \Delta} S_n^n.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, given a set $X: 1^{\text{op}} \times 1 \longrightarrow \mathbf{Set}$, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \Delta^{\text{op}} \times \Delta & \\
 \iota^{\text{op}} \times \iota \nearrow & \Downarrow \text{DiLan}_{\iota^{\text{op}} \times \iota}(X) & \\
 1^{\text{op}} \times 1 & \xrightarrow{X} & \mathbf{Set}
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{DiLan}_{\iota^{\text{op}} \times \iota}(X) &\cong \int^{\star \in 1} \text{hom}_{\Delta^{\text{op}} \times \Delta}([0], [0]), (-1, -2)) \odot X, \\
 &\cong \text{hom}_{\Delta^{\text{op}} \times \Delta}([0], [0]), (-1, -2)) \odot X \\
 &\cong \text{hom}_{\Delta}([0], -2) \odot X \\
 &\cong \Delta^{-2}[0] \odot X.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, let $X_\bullet^\bullet: \Delta^{\text{op}} \times \Delta \longrightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ be a cosimplicial space again. What is $\text{DiLan}_{\Delta}(X_\bullet^\bullet)$?

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \mathbf{Set} & \\
 \Delta^{-2}[-1] \nearrow & \Downarrow \text{DiLan}_{\Delta}(X_\bullet^\bullet) & \\
 \Delta^{\text{op}} \times \Delta & \xrightarrow{X_\bullet^\bullet} & \mathbf{Set}
 \end{array}$$

$$\text{DiLan}_{\Delta}(X_\bullet^\bullet) \cong \int^{[n] \in \Delta} \text{Set}(\Delta^n[n], -) \odot X_n^n.$$

4.2.4. *Weighted diagonal Kan extensions.* In the same spirit, one can define weighted diagonal Kan extensions, mixing the two perspectives and considering now the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & \mathcal{D} \\
 & \nearrow K & \downarrow \text{DiLan}_K F \\
 W \curvearrowright \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{E}
 \end{array}$$

just to discover that these are actually computed as $(4, 4)$ -co/ends:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{DiLan}_K^{[W]} F &\cong \int_{(4,4)}^{A \in \mathcal{C}} \left(W_{A,A}^{A,A} \times \mathbf{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(K_A^A, -) \right) \odot F_A^A, \\
 \text{DiRan}_K^{[W]} F &\cong \int_{(4,4)}^{A \in \mathcal{C}} \left(W_{A,A}^{A,A} \times \mathbf{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, K_A^A) \right) \pitchfork F_A^A.
 \end{aligned}$$

At this point, the reader shall be convinced that the list of examples is virtually endless. We defer a thorough study of the topic to separate works [?, ?].

4.2.5. *Daydreaming About Operads.* Day convolution was introduced by B. Day in [?, ?], in order to classify monoidal structures on the category $\mathbf{PSh}(\mathcal{C})$ of presheaves on \mathcal{C} . Day proved that $\mathbf{PSh}(\mathcal{C})$ can be turned into a monoidal category in as many ways as \mathcal{C} can be turned into a pseudomonoid in the bicategory of profunctors.

We now propose a generalisation of this framework based on higher arity coends: let $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I)$ be a monoidal category, and let $\mathcal{K} := \mathbf{PSh}(\mathcal{C})$. Higher arity Day convolution is defined as a family of functors $\otimes_n : \mathcal{K}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$:

Definition 10. *The **Day** (n, n) -convolution of an n -tuple of presheaves $\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_n$ is the presheaf*

$$\otimes_n(\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_n) : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Set}$$

defined at $A \in \mathcal{C}_o$ as the (n, n) -coend

$$\otimes_n(\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_n) := A \mapsto \int^{A \in \mathcal{C}} \mathcal{F}_1(A) \times \dots \times \mathcal{F}_n(A) \times \mathcal{C}(-, A^{\otimes n}),$$

where $A^{\otimes n}$ is shorthand for the n -fold tensor product of A with itself.

Example 15 (Day convolution operad). *The **Day convolution operad associated to** $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I)$ is the free symmetric operad **Day** whose set of generating operations (see [?, Section 1.2.5]) is given by $\{1, \otimes_2, \otimes_3, \dots, \otimes_n, \dots\}$.*

Remark 3. We spell out in detail the first four sets of n -ary operations of Day:

$$\text{Day}_1 = \{1\}$$

$$\text{Day}_2 = \{\otimes_2(-, -)\}$$

$$\text{Day}_3 = \{\otimes_3(-, -, -), \otimes_2(\otimes_2(-, -), -), \otimes_2(-, \otimes_2(-, -))\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Day}_4 = \{ & \otimes_4(-, -, -, -), \otimes_2(\otimes_3(-, -, -), -), \otimes_2(-, \otimes_3(-, -, -)), \otimes_2(\otimes_2(-, -), \otimes_2(-, -)), \\ & \otimes_3(-, \otimes_2(-, -), -), \otimes_3(\otimes_2(-, -), -, -), \otimes_3(-, -, \otimes_2(-, -))\} \end{aligned}$$

All in all, the set Day_n can be succinctly described as

$$\text{Day}_n = \{\otimes_n\} \cup \sum_{p+q=n} \text{Day}_p \times \text{Day}_q$$

The operadic composition of Day is now defined via ‘grafting’ in the usual way:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Day}_n \times \text{Day}_{k_1} \times \cdots \times \text{Day}_{k_n} & \longrightarrow \text{Day}_{\sum k_i} \\ (\theta; \theta_1, \dots, \theta_k) & \longmapsto \theta(\theta_1(-_1, \dots, -_{k_1}), \dots, \theta_k(-_1, \dots, -_{k_n})) \end{aligned}$$

5. KUSARIGAMAS

Kusarigamas are functors of type $[^q_p]$ attached to a functor G of type $[^p_q]$, and enjoying a universal property among these. They are functors

$$\mathbb{J}^{p,q} : \text{Cat}(\mathcal{C}^{(p,q)}, \mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow \text{Cat}(\mathcal{C}^{(q,p)}, \mathcal{D}),$$

$$\Gamma^{p,q} : \text{Cat}(\mathcal{C}^{(p,q)}, \mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow \text{Cat}(\mathcal{C}^{(q,p)}, \mathcal{D}),$$

that can be regarded as

- Universal objects among (p, q) -dinatural transformations, through which all other (p, q) -dinaturals factor:

$$\text{DiNat}^{(p,q)}(F, G) \cong \text{Nat}(F, \Gamma^{p,q}(G)) \cong \text{Nat}(\mathbb{J}^{p,q}(F), G);$$

- Functors that can be inductively defined through suitable Kan extensions starting from the case $[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}]$:

$$\Gamma^{p,q}(G) \cong \text{Ran}_{\Delta_{p,q}^*} G \Gamma^{1,1}(G); \quad \mathbb{J}^{p,q}(F) \cong \text{Lan}_{\Delta_{p,q}^*} F \mathbb{J}^{1,1}(F).$$

The paramount property of the co/kusarigama functors is that

given a category \mathcal{C} , the category of elements of $\mathbb{J}^{p,q}(1)$, where $1 : \mathcal{C}^{(p,q)} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ is the terminal presheaf, is the universal fibration needed to build a higher-arity version of the *twisted arrow category* (i.e., the category of elements of $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}$).

This makes it possible to express the (p, q) -co/end of $G : \mathcal{C}^{(p,q)} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ as a co/limit over the (p, q) -twisted arrow category of \mathcal{C} :

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{(p,q)A \in \mathcal{C}} D_{\underline{A}}^{\underline{A}} &\cong \lim \left(\mathrm{Tw}^{(p,q)}(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\Sigma_{(p,q)}} \mathcal{C}^{(p,q)} \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{D} \right), \\ \int_{(p,q)A \in \mathcal{C}} D_{\underline{A}}^{\underline{A}} &\cong \mathrm{colim} \left(\mathrm{Tw}^{(p,q)}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}})^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{\Sigma_{(p,q)}} \mathcal{C}^{(p,q)} \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{D} \right). \end{aligned}$$

5.1. Reducing dinaturality to naturality, and other properties.

Construction 1 (Constructing co/kusarigamas).

- Suppose that \mathcal{D} is cocomplete. Then

$$\int_{(p,q)A \in \mathcal{C}} \left(\mathbf{h}_{\underline{A}_q}^- \times \mathbf{h}_{\underline{A}_p}^{\underline{A}_p} \right) \odot F_{\underline{A}_q}^{\underline{A}_p}$$

meaning the (p, q) -coend of

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}^{(p,q)} &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Cat}(\mathcal{C}^{(q,p)}, \mathcal{D}) \\ (\underline{A}, \underline{B}) &\longmapsto \mathrm{hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{(q,p)}}((\underline{B}, \underline{A}); (-, -)) \odot F_{\underline{B}}^{\underline{A}}, \end{aligned}$$

is the cokusarigama of F .

- Suppose that \mathcal{D} is complete. Then

$$\int_{(q,p)A \in \mathcal{C}} \left(\mathbf{h}_{\underline{A}_p}^{\underline{A}_p} \times \mathbf{h}_{\underline{A}_q}^- \right) \pitchfork G_{\underline{A}_p}^{\underline{A}_q},$$

meaning the (q, p) -coend of

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}^{(q,p)} &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Cat}(\mathcal{C}^{(p,q)}, \mathcal{D}) \\ (\underline{A}, \underline{B}) &\longmapsto \mathrm{hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{(q,p)}}((\underline{A}, \underline{B}); (-, -)) \pitchfork G_{\underline{B}}^{\underline{A}}, \end{aligned}$$

is the kusarigama of G .

Explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^{p,q}(G)(\underline{X}, \underline{Y}) &\cong \int_{(q,p)A \in \mathcal{C}} \left(\mathbf{h}_{\underline{X}_1}^{\underline{A}} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{h}_{\underline{X}_p}^{\underline{A}} \times \mathbf{h}_{\underline{A}}^{Y_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{h}_{\underline{A}}^{Y_q} \right) \pitchfork G_{\underline{A}, \dots, \underline{A}}^{\underline{A}, \dots, \underline{A}} \\ \mathbb{I}^{p,q}(F)(\underline{X}, \underline{Y}) &\cong \int_{(q,p)A \in \mathcal{C}} \left(\mathbf{h}_{\underline{X}_1}^{\underline{A}} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{h}_{\underline{X}_p}^{\underline{A}} \times \mathbf{h}_{\underline{A}}^{Y_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{h}_{\underline{A}}^{Y_q} \right) \odot F_{\underline{A}, \dots, \underline{A}}^{\underline{A}, \dots, \underline{A}} \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3 (Properties of Co/kusarigamas). *Let $D, F, G : \mathcal{C}^{(p,q)} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{D}$ be functors, where \mathcal{D} is a bicomplete category.*

PK1) Adjointness. We have an adjunction

$$\mathrm{Cat}(\mathcal{C}^{(p,q)}, \mathcal{D}) \xrightleftharpoons[\Gamma^{q,p}]{\mathbb{I}^{p,q}} \mathrm{Cat}(\mathcal{C}^{(q,p)}, \mathcal{D}).$$

PK2) *Commutativity with homs.* Let $F : \mathcal{C}^{(p,q)} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor, and let us consider the functors

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(F, 1) : \mathcal{D} &\rightarrow \text{Cat}(\mathcal{C}^{(q,p)}, \text{Set}), D \mapsto ((\underline{A}, \underline{B}) \mapsto \mathcal{D}(F_{\underline{B}}^{\underline{A}}, D)), \\ \mathcal{D}(1, F) : \mathcal{D}^{\text{op}} &\rightarrow \text{Cat}(\mathcal{C}^{(p,q)}, \text{Set}), D \mapsto ((\underline{A}, \underline{B}) \mapsto \mathcal{D}(D, F_{\underline{B}}^{\underline{A}})), \end{aligned}$$

then the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{D} & \\ \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{J}^{p,q}(F), 1) \swarrow & & \searrow \mathcal{D}(F, 1) \\ \text{Cat}(\mathcal{C}^{(q,p)}, \text{Set}) & \xrightarrow{\Gamma^{q,p}} & \text{Cat}(\mathcal{C}^{(p,q)}, \text{Set}) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{D} & \\ \mathcal{D}(1, \Gamma^{p,q}(F)) \swarrow & & \searrow \mathcal{D}(1, F) \\ \text{Cat}(\mathcal{C}^{(p,q)}, \text{Set}) & \xrightarrow{\Gamma^{p,q}} & \text{Cat}(\mathcal{C}^{(q,p)}, \text{Set}) \end{array}$$

commute:

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{J}^{p,q}(F), 1) \cong \Gamma^{q,p}(\mathcal{D}(F, 1)) \quad \mathcal{D}(1, \Gamma^{p,q}(D)) \cong \Gamma^{p,q}(\mathcal{D}(1, D)).$$

PK3) *Limits of kusarigamas.* We have functorial isomorphisms

$${}_{(p,q)} \int_{\underline{A} \in \mathcal{C}} D_{\underline{A}}^{\underline{A}} \cong \lim (\Gamma^{p,q}(D)), \quad {}^{(p,q)} \int^{\underline{A} \in \mathcal{C}} D_{\underline{A}}^{\underline{A}} \cong \text{colim} (\mathbb{J}^{q,p}(D)).$$

PK4) *Higher arity co/kusarigamas from (1,1)-co/kusarigamas.* The cokusarigama

$$\mathbb{J}^{p,q}(F) : \mathcal{C}^{(q,p)} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$$

of a functor $F : \mathcal{C}^{(p,q)} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is the left Kan extension of the (1,1)-cokusarigama of $\Delta_{p,q}^*(F)$ along $\Delta_{q,p}$:

$$\mathbb{J}^{p,q}(F) = \text{Lan}_{\Delta_{q,p}} \left(\mathbb{J}(\Delta_{p,q}^*(F)) \right)$$

Dually, the kusarigama

$$\Gamma^{q,p}(G) : \mathcal{C}^{(p,q)} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$$

of $G : \mathcal{C}^{(q,p)} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is the right Kan extension of the (1,1)-kusarigama of $\Delta_{q,p}^*(G)$ along $\Delta_{p,q}$:

$$\Gamma^{q,p}(G) = \text{Ran}_{\Delta_{p,q}} \left(\Gamma(\Delta_{q,p}^*(G)) \right)$$

5.2. Higher arity twisted arrow categories.

Definition 11. *The (p, q) -twisted arrow category is the category $\mathrm{Tw}^{(p, q)}(\mathcal{C})$ defined as the category of elements $\mathcal{C}^{(q, p)} \int \mathbb{J}^{p, q}(1)$ of $\mathbb{J}^{p, q}(1)$:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Tw}^{(p, q)}(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{\Sigma_{(p, q)}} & \mathcal{C}^{(p, q)} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \mathbb{J}^{p, q}(1) \\ 1 & \xrightarrow{1} & \mathbf{Set}. \end{array}$$

It is well-known that this comma object (as all categories of elements) admits an equivalent description as the comma object

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Tw}^{(p, q)}(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{\Sigma_{(p, q)}} & \mathcal{C}^{(p, q)} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow y_{\mathcal{C}^{(p, q)}} \\ 1 & \xrightarrow{[\mathbb{J}^{p, q}(1)]} & \mathbf{PSh}(\mathcal{C}^{(p, q)}), \end{array}$$

where $y_{\mathcal{C}^{(p, q)}}$ is the Yoneda embedding and $[\mathbb{J}^{p, q}(1)]$ is the “name” of the functor $\mathbb{J}^{p, q}(1)$ picking out the object $\mathbb{J}^{p, q}(1)$ of $\mathbf{PSh}(\mathcal{C}^{(p, q)})$. All in all, this means that the (p, q) -twisted arrow category of \mathcal{C} admits the following equivalent descriptions:

Proposition 4. $\mathrm{Tw}^{(p, q)}(\mathcal{C})$ can be equivalently characterised as:

PQT1) The full-subcategory of $\mathbf{PSh}(\mathcal{C})_{/\mathbb{J}^{p, q}(1)}$ spanned by representable presheaves, i.e. the category whose

- *Objects are natural transformations of the form $h_{\mathbf{A}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{J}^{p, q}(1)$ with $\mathbf{A} \in (\mathcal{C}^{(p, q)})_o$;*
- *Morphisms are natural transformations $h_{\mathbf{f}}: h_{\mathbf{A}} \longrightarrow h_{\mathbf{B}}$ such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} h_{\mathbf{A}} & \xrightarrow{h_{\mathbf{f}}} & h_{\mathbf{B}} \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & \mathbb{J}^{p, q}(1) & \end{array}$$

commutes.

PQT2) The category whose

- *Objects are triples $(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}, t)$ where (\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) is an object of $\mathcal{C}^{(p, q)}$, and t is an element of $\mathbb{J}^{p, q}(1)_{\mathbf{Y}}^{\mathbf{X}}$;*
- *Morphisms are “basepoint preserving” morphisms $(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{X}', \mathbf{Y}')$.*

PQT3) The category whose

- *Objects are collections $\{f_{ij}: A_i \longrightarrow B_j\}$ of morphisms of \mathcal{D} with $0 \leq i \leq p$ and $0 \leq j \leq q$;*

- *Morphisms are collections of factorisations of the codomain through the domain, of the form*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_i & \xrightarrow{f} & B_j \\ \phi_i \uparrow & & \downarrow \psi_j \\ A'_i & \xrightarrow{g} & B'_j, \end{array}$$

one for each $0 \leq i \leq p$ and each $0 \leq j \leq q$.

If \mathcal{C} has finite products and coproducts, we gain an additional equivalent description of $\mathsf{Tw}^{(p,q)}(\mathcal{C})$:

TWD1) The category whose

- *Objects are morphisms $A_1 \amalg \cdots \amalg A_p \longrightarrow B_1 \times \cdots \times B_q$;*
- *Morphisms are factorisations of the codomain through the domain, of the form*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_1 \amalg \cdots \amalg A_p & \xrightarrow{f} & B_1 \times \cdots \times B_q \\ \phi_1 \amalg \cdots \amalg \phi_p \uparrow & & \downarrow \psi_1 \times \cdots \times \psi_q \\ A'_1 \amalg \cdots \amalg A'_p & \xrightarrow{g} & B'_1 \times \cdots \times B'_q. \end{array}$$

From this,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{(p,q)} \int_{A \in \mathcal{C}} D_{\underline{A}}^{\underline{A}} &\cong \lim \left(\mathsf{Tw}^{(p,q)}(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\Sigma_{(p,q)}} \mathcal{C}^{(p,q)} \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{D} \right), \\ \int_{(p,q)} \int_{A \in \mathcal{C}} D_{\underline{A}}^{\underline{A}} &\cong \operatorname{colim} \left(\mathsf{Tw}^{(p,q)}(\mathcal{C}^{\operatorname{op}})^{\operatorname{op}} \xrightarrow{\Sigma_{(p,q)}} \mathcal{C}^{(p,q)} \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{D} \right). \end{aligned}$$

6. FUTURE WORK (?)

6.1. **weighing co/ends: the full story.** This and that

6.2. **kusarigamas are a toy example of "extradiagonal" (for lack of a better name) transformation.** This and that

6.3. **A graphical language for higher arity co/ends.** This and that

[‡]UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO,
INSTITUTO DE CIÊNCIAS MATEMÁTICAS E DE COMPUTAÇÃO,
AV. TRAB. SÃO CARLENSE, 400,
13566-590 SÃO CARLOS, BRASIL
THEO.DE.OLIVEIRA.SANTOS@USP.BR

[§]TALLINN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY,
INSTITUTE OF CYBERNETICS, AKADEEMIA TEE 15/2,
12618 TALLINN, ESTONIA
FOSCO.LOREGIAN@TALTECH.EE