

# Dynamic Programming 1

## Course Outline

1. Dynamic programming
2. Randomized algorithms
3. Divide and conquer
4. Graph algorithms
5. Max-Flow
6. Linear programming
7. NP-completeness

## Dynamic Programming Overview

1. Fibonacci numbers
2. Longest increasing subsequence
3. Longest common subsequence
4. Knapsack
5. Chain matrix multiply
6. Shortest path algorithms

## Fibonacci Numbers

1. Fibonacci numbers: 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, ...
  - $F_0 = 0$
  - $F_1 = 1$
  - for  $n > 1$ :  $F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$
2. Input:  $n \geq 0$
3. Output:  $n$ th Fibonacci number

## Fibonacci Numbers: Recursive Algorithm

Fib1(n):

```
input: integer n >= 0
output: Fn
if n == 0:
    return 0
if n == 1:
    return 1
return Fib1(n-1) + Fib1(n-2)
```

1. Let  $T(n)$  = number of steps for Fib1(n)
  - $T(n) \leq O(1) + T(n-1) + T(n-2)$
  - $T(n) \geq F_n$ 
    - Grows like  $\phi^n / \sqrt{5}$ 
      - \*  $\phi = (1 + \sqrt{5}) / 2 \sim 1.618$
      - \* Golden ratio
    - Running time is exponential

## Fibonacci Numbers: Exponential Running Time

1. The problem with the recursive solution is we recompute the same values many times




---

### Recursive Fibonacci Solution

---

2. Instead, we compute the small subproblems first and reuse them for future computations

### Fibonacci Numbers: Dynamic Programming

```
Fib2(n):
    F[0] = 0
    F[1] = 1
    for i in range(2, n):
        F[i] = F[i-1] + F[i-2]
    return F[n]
```

1. Runtime analysis
  - Initialization is  $O(1)$
  - For loop is  $O(n)$
  - Amount of work done in the loop is  $O(1)$
  - Total run time is  $O(n)$

### Fibonacci Numbers: Dynamic Programming Recap

1. No recursion in algorithm
  - Used the recursive nature of the algorithm, but solution is not recursive
2. Alternative: Memoization
  - Use hash table or some other structure to keep track of previous problems
    - Don't use this at all in this course!
    - No recursion in our algorithms
3. Advantages of dynamic programming vs memoization
  - More beautiful
  - Easier to analyze running time
  - Faster due to no overhead of recursion

## Longest Increasing Subsequences

1. Input:  $n$  numbers  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$
2. Goal: Find length of LIS in  $a_1:a_n$
3. Example:  $[5, 7, 4, -3, 9, 1, 10, 4, 5, 8, 9, 3]$ 
  - Substring: Set of consecutive elements
  - Subsequence: Subset of element in order (can skip)
  - LIS:  $-3, 1, 4, 5, 8, 9$  (length = 6)

### LIS: Subproblem Attempt 1

1. Define subproblem in words
  - $F[i]$  =  $i$ th Fibonacci number
2. State recursive relation
  - Express  $F[i]$  in terms of  $F[1], \dots, F[i-1]$ 
    - $F[i] = F[i-1] + F[i-2]$
3. LIS:
  - Define subproblem in words
    - Let  $L(i)$  = length of LIS on  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_i$
  - State recursive relation
    - Express  $L(i)$  in terms of  $L(1), \dots, L(i-1)$

### LIS: Recurrence Attempt 1

1.  $A = [5, 7, 4, -3, 9, 1, 10, 4, 5, 8, 9, 3]$
2.  $L = [1, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 5, 6, 6]$
3. Want to find LIS with minimum ending character
  - Gives us the most possibilities for appending in the future
4. Need to maintain suboptimal solutions
  - Require length of LIS for every ending character
  - There are  $i-1$  possible ending characters

### LIS: Subproblem Attempt 2

1. Let  $L(i)$  = length of LIS on  $a_1, \dots, a_i$  and includes  $a_i$
2. Example:
  - $A = [5, 7, 4, -3, 9, 1, 10, 4, 5, 8, 9, 3]$
  - $L = [1, 2, 1, 1, 3, 2, 4, 3, 4, 5, 6, 3]$

### LIS: Recurrence Attempt 2

1. Define subproblem in words
  - Let  $L(i)$  = length of LIS on  $a_1, \dots, a_i$  and includes  $a_i$
2. State recursive relation
  - $L(i) = 1 + \max\{L(j) : a_j < a_i \text{ \&\& } j < i\}$
  - $L(i) = 1 + \max(L(j))$  where  $1 \leq j \leq i-1, a_j < a_i$

### LIS: DP Algorithm

```
LIS( $a_1, \dots, a_n$ ):  
    for  $i$  in range(1,  $n$ ):  
         $L(i) = 1$   
        for  $j$  in range(1,  $i-1$ ):  
            if  $a[j] < a[i]$  and  $L[i] < 1 + L[j]$ :  
                 $L[i] = 1 + L[j]$ 
```

```

max = 1
for i in range(2, n):
    if L[i] > L[max]:
        max = i
return max

```

## LIS: DP Running Time

1. Two nested for loops, both of which loop over at most  $n$  elements
  - $O(n^2)$
2. Single for loop for computing max
  - $O(n)$
3. Total complexity is  $O(n^2)$

## LIS Recap

1. First, state problem in words
2. Then, find a recurrence relation
  - Like proof by induction
    - State an inductive hypothesis
      - \* Usually of the same form of the statement you're trying to prove
    - Try to prove inductive hypothesis
    - If you can't, strengthen the inductive hypothesis and try to prove it again

## Longest Common Subsequence

1. Input: Two strings  $X = x_1, \dots, x_n$  and  $Y = y_1, \dots, y_n$
2. Goal: Find the length of the longest string which is a subsequence of both  $X$  and  $Y$

## LCS Example

1.  $X = \text{BCDBCDA}$
2.  $Y = \text{ABECBAB}$
3.  $\text{LCS} = \text{BCBA}$  (length 4)
4. Application: Unix diff

## LCS: Subproblem Attempt 1

1. Define subproblem in words
  - Try same problem on prefix of input
  - For  $i$  where  $0 \leq i \leq n$ , let  $L(i)$  = length of LCS in  $X_1 \dots X_i, Y_1 \dots Y_i$
2. Define recurrence
  - Express  $L(i)$  in terms of  $L(1), \dots, L(i-1)$

## LCS: Recurrence Attempt 1

1. For  $i$  where  $0 \leq i \leq n$ , let  $L(i)$  = length of LCS in  $X_1 \dots X_i, Y_1 \dots Y_i$
2. Consider the last character:
  - Either  $X_i == Y_i$ 
    - $L(i) = 1 + L(i-1)$
  - Or  $X_i != Y_i$

## LCS: Recurrence Attempt 2

1. Consider the last character:
  - Either  $X_i == Y_i$

- $L(i) = 1 + L(i-1)$
- Or  $X_i \neq Y_i$ 
  - LCS does not include  $X_i$  and/or  $Y_i$
  - If  $X_i$  or  $Y_i$  is not included, we need to look up the LCS where  $X$  and  $Y$  are different lengths, which is not something we're tracking
- 2. For this subproblem definition, we are unable to define a valid recurrence
  - Need to account for prefixes of different lengths
  - Use  $i$  and  $j$  to track

### LCS: Subproblem Attempt 2

1. Revised subproblem
  - Two indices  $i$  and  $j$  and a 2-dimensional table
  - For  $i, j$  where  $0 \leq i \leq n$  and  $0 \leq j \leq n$ , let  $L(i, j)$  = length of LCS in  $X_1 \dots X_i, Y_1 \dots Y_j$
2. Recurrence:
  - $L(i, 0) = 0$
  - $L(0, j) = 0$

### LCS: Recurrence Unequal Case

1.  $X = \text{BCDBCDA}$
2.  $Y = \text{ABECBABD}$
3. If  $X_i \neq Y_j$  either  $X_i$  and/or  $Y_j$  are not in optimal solution
  - If drop  $X_i$  then  $L(i, j) = L(i-1, j)$
  - If drop  $Y_j$  then  $L(i, j) = L(i, j-1)$
  - $L(i, j) = \max\{L(i-1, j), L(i, j-1)\}$

### LCS: Recurrence Equal Case

1.  $X = \text{BCDBCDA}$
2.  $Y = \text{ABECBA}$
3. If  $X_i = Y_j$  either drop  $X_i$ , drop  $Y_j$ , or optimal solution ends at  $X_i = Y_j$ 
  - If drop  $X_i$  then  $L(i, j) = L(i-1, j)$
  - If drop  $Y_j$  then  $L(i, j) = L(i, j-1)$
  - If optimal solution then  $L(i, j) = 1 + L(i-1, j-1)$

### LCS: Recurrence Equal Recap

1. If  $X_i = Y_j$ :
  - $L(i, j) = \max\{L(i-1, j), L(i, j-1), 1 + L(i-1, j-1)\}$
  - This can be simplified to:
    - $L(i, j) = 1 + L(i-1, j-1)$

### LCS: Recurrence Summary

1. Let  $L(i, j)$  = length of LCS in  $X_1 \dots X_i, Y_1 \dots Y_j$ 
  - For  $i \geq 1, j \geq 1$ :
    - If  $X_i \neq Y_j$ 
      - \*  $L(i, j) = \max\{L(i-1, j), L(i, j-1)\}$
    - If  $X_i = Y_j$ 
      - \*  $L(i, j) = 1 + L(i-1, j-1)$
  - Fill  $L$  row by row

### LCS: DP Algorithm

```
LCS(X, Y):  
  for i = 0 to n:  
    L(i,0) = 0  
  for j = 0 to n:  
    L(0,j) = 0  
  for i = 1 to n:  
    for j = 1 to n:  
      if Xi = Yj:  
        L(i,j) = 1 + L(i-1,j-1)  
      else  
        L(i,j) = max(L(i-1,j), L(i,j-1))  
  return L(n,n)
```

### LCS: Time Complexity

1. What is the running time of the above DP algorithm for the LCS problem?
  - $O(n^2)$

### LCS: DP Table

## LCS Example

Input:

$X = \text{BCDBCDA}$

$Y = \text{ABECBA}$

Fill in the table row by row:

j	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
i		A	B	E	C	B	A
0		0	0	0	0	0	0
1 B		0	0	1	1	1	1
2 C		0					
3 D		0					
4 B		0					
5 C		0					
6 D		0					
7 A		0					

Here is an example problem with inputs  $X = \text{BCDBCDA}$ , and  $Y = \text{ABECBA}$ . The first couple of rows of the DP table  $L(i, j)$  have been filled in for you. Now try to fill in the third row (for **C**) in the box below, using comma-separated values, as indicated.

1			A	B	E	C	B	A
2			0	0	0	0	0	0
3	B	0	0	1	1	1	1	1
4	C	0	0	1	1	2	2	2
5								

DP Table

- Next row of table: 0,1,1,2,2,2

**LCS: Extract Sequence**

Here is what the DP table looks like when it is complete. The Longest Common Subsequence should be of length 4.

Input:

X = BCDBCDA

Y = ABECBA

Fill in the table row by row:

		j	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
i			A B E C B A						
				A	B	E	C	B	A
0			0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	B		0	0	1	1	1	1	1
2	C		0	0	1	1	2	2	2
3	D		0	0	1	1	2	2	2
4	B		0	0	1	1	2	3	3
5	C		0	0	1	1	2	3	3
6	D		0	0	1	1	2	3	3
7	A		0	1	1	1	2	3	4

### LCS: Extract Sequence

Now use this table to trace back and find the Longest Common Subsequence.

*Hint: Start with the last matching cell.*

---

### Complete DP Table

---

- Use this table to extract the LCS
  - Start with last matching cell and work backwards
  - ABCB



Input:

$X = \underline{BCDB} \underline{CDA}$

$Y = \underline{ABEC} \underline{BA}$

LCS:  $BCBA$

j	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
i		A	B	E	C	B	A
0		0	0	0	0	0	0
1	B	0	0	1	1	1	1
2	C	0	0	1	1	2	2
3	D	0	0	1	1	2	2
4	B	0	0	1	1	2	3
5	C	0	0	1	1	2	3
6	D	0	0	1	1	2	3
7	A	0	1	1	1	2	3

Solution

### DP1: Practice Problems

- Practice problems:
  - DPV 6.1 (contiguous subsequence aka substring)
  - DPV 6.2 (hotel stops)
  - DPV 6.3 (Yuckdonald's)
  - DPV 6.4 (string of words)
  - DPV 6.11 (longest common substring)
- Approach:
  - Define subproblem in words
    - Try the same problem on prefix
    - Add constraint - include last element
  - Define recurrence relation
    - $T(i)$  in terms of  $T(1), \dots, T(i-1)$

### DP1: Practice Problem 6.1

- Input:  $a_1, \dots, a_n$
- Goal: Substring with max sum
- Subproblem: for  $0 \leq i \leq n$ 
  - Let  $S(i)$  = max sum from substring of  $a_1, \dots, a_i$
  - $S(i)$  in terms of  $S(1), \dots, S(i-1)$
  - Need to strengthen subproblem definition to include  $a_{i-1}$

### DP1: Practice Solution

- Input:  $a_1, \dots, a_n$
- Goal: Substring with max sum
- Subproblem: for  $0 \leq i \leq n$ 
  - Let  $S(i)$  = max sum from substring of  $a_1, \dots, a_i$  which includes  $a_i$
  - $S(0) = 0$
  - $S(i) = a_i + \max(0, S(i-1))$
- Output:  $\max(S(i))$

5. Time complexity:  $O(n)$