Dispersive estimates for 1D matrix Schrödinger operators with threshold resonance

Yongming Li (Texas A&M University)

February 10, 2024

TexAMP

arxiv: 2307.04943

Motivation: asymptotic stability of 1D cubic NLS solitary waves

1D focusing cubic Schrödinger equation:

$$i\partial_t \psi + \partial_x^2 \psi + |\psi|^2 \psi = 0, \quad \psi : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$$

Solitary wave solution:

$$e^{it}Q(x), \quad Q(x)=\sqrt{2}\operatorname{sech}(x)$$

Perturbation (no modulation):

$$\psi(t,x)=e^{it}(Q(x)+u(t,x))$$

Evolution equation for perturbation:

$$i\partial_{t}\begin{bmatrix} u\\ \bar{u} \end{bmatrix} - \mathcal{H}\begin{bmatrix} u\\ \bar{u} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -Q(u^{2} + 2u\bar{u})\\ Q(\bar{u}^{2} + 2u\bar{u}) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -|u|^{2}u\\ |u|^{2}u \end{bmatrix}, \tag{1}$$

where

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 + \mathcal{V} = \begin{bmatrix} -\partial_x^2 + 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \partial_x^2 - 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -4 \, \text{sech}^2(x) & -2 \, \text{sech}^2(x) \\ 2 \, \text{sech}^2(x) & 4 \, \text{sech}^2(x) \end{bmatrix}$$

Goals:

- ▶ Study the spectrum of \mathcal{H} and the linear flow $e^{it\mathcal{H}}$
- Analyze long-time behavior of small solutions of (1)

Outline

- Dispersive and local decay estimates on the line
- Previous works for the matrix case
- ightharpoonup Spectrum of \mathcal{H}
- Characterizing threshold resonances
- ► Main result
- Application to the perturbation equation for 1D cubic NLS

Wave-type equations on the line

Let $a(\xi)$ be real. Consider

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u - a(\frac{1}{i}\partial_x)u = N(u,\bar{u}), & (t,x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \\ u(0) = u_0 \end{cases}$$
 (2)

Examples:

- $ightharpoonup a(\xi) = \xi^2$ Schrödinger
- $ightharpoonup a(\xi) = -\xi^3 \text{ Airy (linear KdV)}$
- $a(\xi) = \langle \xi \rangle = (1 + \xi^2)^{1/2}$ "half" Klein-Gordon
- $ightharpoonup a(\xi) = \xi$ "half" wave (transport)

Linear solutions of (2):

$$u(t,x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i(x\xi - ta(\xi))} \hat{u}_0(\xi) d\xi$$

Wave-type equations on the line

Let $a(\xi)$ be real. Consider

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u - a(\frac{1}{i}\partial_x)u = N(u,\bar{u}), & (t,x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \\ u(0) = u_0 \end{cases}$$
 (2)

Examples:

- $ightharpoonup a(\xi) = \xi^2$ Schrödinger
- $ightharpoonup a(\xi) = -\xi^3$ Airy (linear KdV)
- $a(\xi) = \langle \xi \rangle = (1 + \xi^2)^{1/2}$ "half" Klein-Gordon
- $ightharpoonup a(\xi) = \xi$ "half" wave (transport)

Linear solutions of (2):

$$u(t,x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i(x\xi - ta(\xi))} \hat{u}_0(\xi) d\xi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{it(\frac{x}{t}\xi - a(\xi))} \hat{u}_0(\xi) d\xi$$

resembles "oscillatory integral of the first kind"

$$\mathcal{I}(t) := \int_{\mathbb{D}} e^{it\phi(\xi)} g(\xi) \,\mathrm{d}\xi \tag{3}$$

 \leadsto Decay of $\mathcal{I}(t)$ depends on critical points of the phase $\phi(\xi)$ and the support/regularity of $g(\xi)$

Toolbox from Hamonic analysis

Lemma (Van der Corput)

Suppose $|\phi^{(k)}| \geq 1$ and $g, \partial_{\xi} g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Then,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{it\phi(\xi)} g(\xi) \, \mathrm{d}\xi \right| \le C_k t^{-\frac{1}{k}} \|\partial_{\xi} g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \tag{4}$$

holds when

- k > 2, or
- ightharpoonup k = 1 and ϕ' monotonic.

Corollary

Schrödinger

$$\|e^{it\partial_x^2}f\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_\xi \hat{f}\|_{L_{\varepsilon}^1(\mathbb{R})} \tag{5}$$

► Airy (linear KdV)

$$\|e^{t\partial_{\chi}^{3}}f\|_{L_{\chi}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{1}{3}} \|\partial_{\xi}\hat{f}\|_{L_{\varepsilon}^{1}(\mathbb{R})} \tag{6}$$

Improvement: use fundamental solution formulas and Young's convolution inequality to replace $\|\partial_{\xi}\hat{f}\|_{L^{1}_{c}(\mathbb{R})}$ by $\|f\|_{L^{1}_{v}(\mathbb{R})}$.

Lemma (Method of stationary phase)

Suppose $k \ge 2$, and

$$\phi(\xi_*) = \phi'(\xi_*) = \dots = \phi^{(k-1)}(\xi_*) = 0, \tag{7}$$

while $\phi^{(k)}(\xi_*) \neq 0$. If g is supported in neighborhood of ξ_* , then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{it\phi(\xi)} g(\xi) d\xi \sim t^{-\frac{1}{k}} \left(a_0 + a_1 t^{-\frac{1}{k}} + a_2 t^{-\frac{2}{k}} + \cdots \right). \tag{8}$$

Linear Schrödinger equation:

$$u(t,x) = e^{it\partial_x^2} u_0(x) = \frac{e^{i\frac{x^2}{4t}}}{\sqrt{4\pi it}} \hat{u}_0\left(\frac{x}{2t}\right) + r(x,t), \quad |r(x,t)| = \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{3}{2}})$$

 \leadsto Error term r(x,t) can be precisely quantified by measuring L^p -norms on the moments: $\|\langle x \rangle^{\alpha} u_0 \| \approx \|\langle \partial_{\xi} \rangle^{\alpha} \hat{u}_0 \|$ or $\|\langle \partial_{x} \rangle^{\alpha} u_0 \| \approx \|\langle \xi \rangle^{\alpha} \hat{u}_0 \|$ for some $\alpha \geq 1$.

Dispersive estimates for Schödinger operators with potentials

Threshold resonance: there exists a non-trivial $\varphi \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \setminus L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$

$$H\varphi = \left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V(x)\right)\varphi = 0. \tag{9}$$

Remark: $H_0 = -\partial_x^2$ has a threshold resonance $\varphi(x) \equiv 1$.

Theorem (Dispersive estimates. [Weder '00], [Goldberg-Schlag '04])

With or without threshold resonance:

$$\|e^{itH}P_cf\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}$$
 (10)

Theorem (Local decay estimates. [Goldberg '07])

Without threshold resonance:

$$\|\langle x\rangle^{-1}e^{itH}P_cf\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}}\|\langle x\rangle f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}$$
(11)

With threshold resonance:

$$\|\langle x \rangle^{-2} (e^{itH} P_c f - \frac{1}{\sqrt{-4\pi i t}} \langle \varphi, f \rangle \varphi)\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\langle x \rangle^2 f\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R})}$$
 (12)

Proof based on:

distorted Fourier transform

$$\widetilde{f}(\xi) = \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}f(\xi) = \langle e(\cdot,\xi), f \rangle, \quad e(x,\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \begin{cases} T(\xi)f_+(x,\xi), & \xi \geq 0, \\ T(-\xi)f_-(x,\xi), & \xi < 0. \end{cases}$$

where $T(\xi)$ is the transmission coefficient of the Jost solutions

$$Hf_{\pm}(x,\xi)=\xi^2 f_{\pm}(x,\xi), \quad f_{\pm}(x,\xi)\sim e^{\pm ix\xi} \text{ as } x o \pm \infty.$$

stationary phase analysis

$$e^{itH}P_cf=\int_{\mathbb{R}}e^{-it\xi^2}\widetilde{f}(\xi)e(x,\xi)d\xi.$$

Proof based on:

distorted Fourier transform

$$\widetilde{f}(\xi) = \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}f(\xi) = \langle e(\cdot,\xi), f \rangle, \quad e(x,\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \begin{cases} T(\xi)f_+(x,\xi), & \xi \geq 0, \\ T(-\xi)f_-(x,\xi), & \xi < 0. \end{cases}$$

where $T(\xi)$ is the transmission coefficient of the Jost solutions

$$Hf_{\pm}(x,\xi)=\xi^2f_{\pm}(x,\xi), \quad f_{\pm}(x,\xi)\sim e^{\pm ix\xi} \text{ as } x o \pm \infty.$$

stationary phase analysis

$$e^{itH}P_cf=\int_{\mathbb{R}}e^{-it\xi^2}\widetilde{f}(\xi)e(x,\xi)d\xi.$$

Question: Can we do a similar analysis for the 1D matrix Schrödinger operator

$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{bmatrix} -\partial_x^2 + \mu & 0 \\ 0 & \partial_x^2 - \mu \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -V_1(x) & -V_2(x) \\ V_2(x) & V_1(x) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof based on:

distorted Fourier transform

$$\widetilde{f}(\xi) = \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}f(\xi) = \langle e(\cdot,\xi), f \rangle, \quad e(x,\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \begin{cases} T(\xi)f_+(x,\xi), & \xi \geq 0, \\ T(-\xi)f_-(x,\xi), & \xi < 0. \end{cases}$$

where $T(\xi)$ is the transmission coefficient of the Jost solutions

$$Hf_{\pm}(x,\xi)=\xi^2 f_{\pm}(x,\xi), \quad f_{\pm}(x,\xi)\sim e^{\pm ix\xi} \text{ as } x o \pm \infty.$$

stationary phase analysis

$$e^{itH}P_cf=\int_{\mathbb{R}}e^{-it\xi^2}\widetilde{f}(\xi)e(x,\xi)d\xi.$$

Question: Can we do a similar analysis for the 1D matrix Schrödinger operator

$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{bmatrix} -\partial_x^2 + \mu & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \partial_x^2 - \mu \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -V_1(x) & -V_2(x) \\ V_2(x) & V_1(x) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Answer: Known if $\mathcal H$ has no threshold resonance and no embedded eigenvalues, and $\mathcal V$ is even and exponentially decaying. [Buslaev-Perelman '95], [Krieger-Schlag '06]

Previous works: selected references

$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{bmatrix} -\Delta + \mu & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta - \mu \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -V_1(x) & -V_2(x) \\ V_2(x) & V_1(x) \end{bmatrix}$$

Spectral theory of \mathcal{H} :

- ► For H arising from NLS [Weinstein '82-85], [Buslaev-Perelman '95], [Krieger-Schlag '06], [Chang et.al. '08]
- ▶ For general \mathcal{H} and spectral representation of $e^{it\mathcal{H}}$ under reasonable assumptions (next slide) [Erdogan-Schlag '06]

Dispersive estimates for $e^{it\mathcal{H}}P_s$:

- 1D (no threshold resonance) [Buslaev-Perelman '95], [Krieger-Schlag '06], [Collot-Germain '23]
- 2D (no resonance/eigenvalue) [Green-Erdogan '13], (s-wave resonance) [Toprak '17]
- ➤ 3D (no threshold resonance) [Schlag '04], (all scenarios) [Erdogan-Schlag '06]
- ▶ 5D (no threshold eigenvalue) [Green '12]

Closely related:

- classification of threshold resonance for 1D scalar Schrödinger operator
 [Jensen-Nenciu '01], [Boussaid-Comech '22]
- ▶ dispersive estimates for 1D massive Dirac operator [Erdogan-Green '20]

Spectrum of \mathcal{H} (non-self-adjoint operator)

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 + \mathcal{V} = \begin{bmatrix} -\partial_x^2 + \mu & 0 \\ 0 & \partial_x^2 - \mu \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -V_1(x) & -V_2(x) \\ V_2(x) & V_1(x) \end{bmatrix}$$

Spectral assumptions on \mathcal{H} :

- (A1) $-\sigma_3 V$ is positive matrix, where σ_3 is the Pauli matrix.
- (A2) $L_{-} := -\partial_{x}^{2} + \mu V_{1} + V_{2}$ is non-negative,
- (A3) there exists $\beta > 0$ such that $|V_1(x)| + |V_2(x)| \lesssim \langle x \rangle^{-\beta}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$,
- (A4) there are no embedded eigenvalues in $(-\infty, -\mu) \cup (\mu, \infty)$.

Lemma (Erdogan-Schlag, '06)

Assume (A1) - (A4). Then,

- ▶ $\operatorname{spec}(\mathcal{H}) = -\operatorname{spec}(\mathcal{H}) = \overline{\operatorname{spec}(\mathcal{H})} = \operatorname{spec}(\mathcal{H}^*) \subset \mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R}$,
- zero eigenvalues may have generalized eigenspaces, but finite dimensional,
- non-zero eigenvalues: algebraic and geometric multiplicities coincide and are finite.

Characterizing regularity at spectrum endpoint $\lambda=\mu$

For $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$, let

$$\mathcal{R}(z) = (\mathcal{H} - (\mu + z^2))^{-1}, \quad \mathcal{R}_0(z) = (\mathcal{H}_0 - (\mu + z^2))^{-1}.$$
 (13)

By (A1), write $V = -\sigma_3 vv = v_1 v_2$. Symmetric resolvent identity:

$$\mathcal{R}(z) = \mathcal{R}_0(z) - \mathcal{R}_0 v_1 (I + v_2 \mathcal{R}_0(z) v_1)^{-1} v_2 \mathcal{R}_0(z)$$
(14)

Laurent expansion of free resolvent $\mathcal{R}_0(z)$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{ie^{iz|x-y|}}{2z} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{e^{-\sqrt{z^2+2\mu}|x-y|}}{2\sqrt{z^2+2\mu}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{i}{2z} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{|x-y|}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{e^{-\sqrt{2\mu}|x-y|}}{2\sqrt{2\mu}} \end{bmatrix}}_{=:\mathcal{G}_0(x,y)} + \mathcal{O}(z|x-y|^2)$$

Definition (Regular)

Let T, P, Q be operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R})^2$ with integral kernels

$$T(x,y) = I + v_2(x)\mathcal{G}_0(x,y)v_1(y),$$

$$P(x,y) = ||V_1||_{L^1(\mathbb{P})}^{-1}v_2(x)\underline{e}_{11}v_1(y), \quad Q = I - P.$$

We say μ is regular if QTQ is invertible on the subspace $Q(L^2(\mathbb{R}) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}))$ \rightsquigarrow closely related to Birman-Schwinger principle.

Lemma (Characterizing threshold resonances of \mathcal{H})

Suppose μ is not regular (A5), and assumptions (A1) – (A4) hold.

1. Let $0 \neq \vec{\Phi} \in \ker(QTQ)$. Then $\vec{\Psi} := v_2^{-1} \vec{\Phi} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})^2$ is a distributional solution to $\mathcal{H}\vec{\Psi} = \mu \vec{\Psi}$. Furthermore, if

$$c_{2,\pm} := \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\pm\sqrt{2\mu}y} (V_2 \Psi_1 + V_1 \Psi_2)(y) dy = 0$$
 (A6)

then $\Psi_1 \notin L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\dim(\ker(QTQ)) = 1$.

2. Conversely, if there exists $0 \neq \vec{\Psi} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})^2$ satisfying $\mathcal{H}\vec{\Psi} = \mu\vec{\Psi}$, then $\vec{\Phi} = \nu_2\vec{\Psi} \in \ker(QTQ)$.

Remark: (A6) condition verified for the linearized operator (of 1D cubic NLS)

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -\partial_x^2 + 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \partial_x^2 - 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -4 \operatorname{sech}^2(x) & -2 \operatorname{sech}^2(x) \\ 2 \operatorname{sech}^2(x) & 4 \operatorname{sech}^2(x) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{\Psi}(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \tanh^2(x) \\ -\operatorname{sech}^2(x) \end{bmatrix}$$

Verification:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathsf{e}^{\mathsf{s} \mathsf{y}} (4 \, \mathsf{sech}^2(y) \, \mathsf{tanh}^2(y) - 2 \, \mathsf{sech}^4(y)) dy = \frac{\pi \mathsf{s} (\mathsf{s}^2 - 2)}{\mathsf{sin} (\frac{\pi \mathsf{s}}{2})} \Longrightarrow c_{2,\pm} = 0$$

Main result

Theorem (L.)

Suppose (A1) – (A6) hold, and let $\vec{\Psi}=(\Psi_1,\Psi_2)\in L^\infty\setminus L^2$ be the distributional solution to

$$\mathcal{H}\vec{\Psi} = \mu \vec{\Psi},$$

with the normalization

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \left(|\Psi_1(x)|^2 + |\Psi_1(-x)|^2 \right) = 2.$$

Then, for any $\vec{f}=(f_1,f_2)\in\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})\times\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ and $|t|\geq 1$, we have

1. the dispersive estimate

$$\left\| e^{it\mathcal{H}} P_{s} \vec{f} \right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \times L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left\| \vec{f} \right\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \times L^{1}(\mathbb{R})},$$

2. and local decay estimate

$$\left\| \langle x \rangle^{-2} (e^{it\mathcal{H}} P_{s} - F_{t}) \vec{f} \, \right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \times L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{3}{2}} \, \left\| \langle x \rangle^{2} \vec{f} \, \right\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \times L^{1}(\mathbb{R})},$$

where

$$F_t \vec{f} := rac{e^{it\mu}}{\sqrt{-4\pi it}} \langle \sigma_3 \vec{\Psi}, \vec{f}
angle \vec{\Psi} - rac{e^{-it\mu}}{\sqrt{4\pi it}} \langle \sigma_3 \sigma_1 \vec{\Psi}, \vec{f}
angle \sigma_1 \vec{\Psi}.$$

Short proof summary (small energies)

Spectral representation

$$e^{it\mathcal{H}}P_{s}^{+}=rac{e^{it\mu}}{\pi i}\int_{\mathbb{R}}e^{itz^{2}}z\mathcal{R}(z)dz,$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}(z) = \mathcal{R}_0(z) - \mathcal{R}_0(z)v_1(M(z))^{-1}v_2\mathcal{R}_0(z),$$

 $M(z) = I + v_2\mathcal{R}_0(z)v_1.$

Then, for $0 < |z| < z_0$ and z_0 small,

$$M(z)^{-1} = \frac{c_0}{z}S_1 + c_1PTS_1 + c_2S_1TP + c_3zP + \mathcal{O}(z^2)$$

with $P = v_2 \underline{e}_{11} v_1$ and $S_1 = (v_2 \vec{\Psi}) \otimes (v_2 \vec{\Psi})$.

⇒ Use oscillatory integral tools to prove dispersive estimates

$$\begin{split} \|e^{it\mathcal{H}}\chi_0(\mathcal{H}-\mu I)P_{\mathrm{s}}^+\vec{u}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})\times L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|\vec{u}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})\times L^1(\mathbb{R})} \\ \|\langle x\rangle^{-2}(e^{it\mathcal{H}}\chi_0(\mathcal{H}-\mu I)P_{\mathrm{s}}^+ - F_t^+)\vec{u}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})\times L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{3}{2}}\|\langle x\rangle^2\vec{u}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})\times L^1(\mathbb{R})} \end{split}$$

where
$$F_t^+ = rac{e^{it\mu}}{\sqrt{-4\pi it}} \vec{\Psi} \otimes \sigma_3 \vec{\Psi}$$
.

Application: 1D cubic NLS - perturbation equation (without modulation)

Recall $Q(x) = \sqrt{2} \operatorname{sech}(x)$ and (1):

$$i\partial_t \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \bar{u} \end{bmatrix} - \mathcal{H}_1 \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \bar{u} \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} -Q(u^2 + 2u\bar{u}) \\ Q(\bar{u}^2 + 2u\bar{u}) \end{bmatrix}}_{=:Q(U)} + \begin{bmatrix} -|u|^2u \\ |u|^2u \end{bmatrix}, \quad U = \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \bar{u} \end{bmatrix}$$

Threshold resonance:

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -\partial_x^2 - 4 \operatorname{sech}^2(x) + 1 & -2 \operatorname{sech}^2(x) \\ 2 \operatorname{sech}^2(x) & \partial_x^2 + 4 \operatorname{sech}^2(x) - 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{\Psi}(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \tanh^2(x) \\ -\operatorname{sech}^2(x) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Fix $F \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})^2$ and consider

$$U_{\text{free}}(t) := e^{-it\mathcal{H}_1} P_{\text{s}} F. \tag{15}$$

Leading order decomposition (via Theorem):

$$U_{\mathsf{free}}(t,x) = c_{-} \frac{e^{-it}}{\sqrt{t}} \Psi(x) + c_{+} \frac{e^{it}}{\sqrt{t}} \sigma_{1} \Psi(x) + R(t,x), \quad \|\langle x \rangle^{-2} R(t,x)\|_{L^{\infty}} \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Localized quadratic nonlinear term:

$$\mathcal{Q}(U_{\mathsf{free}}) = c_+^2 rac{e^{2it}}{t} \mathcal{Q}_1(\Psi) + c_+ c_- rac{1}{t} \mathcal{Q}_2(\Psi) + c_-^2 rac{e^{-2it}}{t} \mathcal{Q}_3(\Psi) + \mathcal{O}_{L^{\infty}}(t^{-2})$$

Examining source term contributed by threshold resonance...

Inhomogeneous linear equation:

$$\begin{cases} & i\partial_{t}V - \mathcal{H}_{1}V = P_{s}\left(c_{+}^{2}\frac{e^{2it}}{t}\mathcal{Q}_{1}(\Psi) + c_{+}c_{-}\frac{1}{t}\mathcal{Q}_{2}(\Psi) + c_{-}^{2}\frac{e^{-2it}}{t}\mathcal{Q}_{3}(\Psi)\right) \\ & V(1) = (0,0) \end{cases}$$

Darboux transformation [Martel '21]: there is an (explicit) operator \mathcal{D} such that

$$\mathcal{D}\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}_0\mathcal{D}, \quad \text{where } \mathcal{H}_0 := \begin{bmatrix} -\partial_x^2 + 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \partial_x^2 - 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Equation for transformed variable $W := \mathcal{D}V$

$$i\partial_t W - \mathcal{H}_0 W = \mathcal{D}\left(c_+^2 \frac{e^{2it}}{t} \mathcal{Q}_1(\Psi) + c_+ c_- \frac{1}{t} \mathcal{Q}_2(\Psi) + c_-^2 \frac{e^{-2it}}{t} \mathcal{Q}_3(\Psi)\right)$$

Duhamel formulation

$$W(t) = -ie^{-it\mathcal{H}_0} \int_1^t e^{is\mathcal{H}_0} \mathcal{D}\left(c_+^2 \frac{e^{2is}}{s} \mathcal{Q}_1(\Psi) + c_+ c_- \frac{1}{s} \mathcal{Q}_2(\Psi) + c_-^2 \frac{e^{-2is}}{s} \mathcal{Q}_3(\Psi)\right) ds$$

Representation of $e^{it\mathcal{H}_0}$ by Fourier transform

$$(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}e^{-it\mathcal{H}_0}\vec{f}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i(x\xi - t(\xi^2 + 1))}\hat{f}_1(\xi)d\xi \underline{e}_1 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i(x\xi + t(\xi^2 + 1))}\hat{f}_2(\xi)d\xi \underline{e}_2$$

Null structure for quadratic nonlinearity

Fourier transform of quadratic source term: $\mathcal{F}[e^{it\mathcal{H}_0}W(t)](\xi) =$

$$\begin{split} & \int_{1}^{t} \frac{e^{is(\xi^{2}+3)}}{s} \widehat{G_{1,1}}(\xi) ds + \int_{1}^{t} \frac{e^{is(\xi^{2}+1)}}{s} \widehat{G_{2,1}}(\xi) ds + \int_{1}^{t} \frac{e^{is(\xi^{2}-1)}}{s} \widehat{G_{3,1}}(\xi) ds \\ & + \int_{1}^{t} \frac{e^{-is(\xi^{2}-1)}}{s} \widehat{G_{1,2}}(\xi) ds + \int_{1}^{t} \frac{e^{-is(\xi^{2}+1)}}{s} \widehat{G_{2,2}}(\xi) ds + \int_{1}^{t} \frac{e^{-is(\xi^{2}+3)}}{s} \widehat{G_{3,2}}(\xi) ds \end{split}$$

where $G_{j,k}=(\mathcal{DQ}_j(\Psi))_k$. Time resonant (bad) frequencies at $\xi=\pm 1$ however

$$\widehat{G_{3,1}}(\pm 1) = \widehat{G_{1,2}}(\pm 1) = 0.$$
 (16)

Proof (Mathematica-assisted):

$$\begin{split} G_{3,1}(x) &= G_{1,2}(x) = 250 \operatorname{sech}^3(x) - 3720 \operatorname{sech}^5(x) + 9960 \operatorname{sech}^7(x) - 6720 \operatorname{sech}^9(x) \\ &\Longrightarrow \widehat{G_{3,1}}(\xi) = \widehat{G_{1,2}}(\xi) = -\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{6\sqrt{2}} (\xi^2 - 1) \xi^2 (\xi^2 + 1)^2 \operatorname{sech}(\frac{\pi \xi}{2}). \end{split}$$

Null structure for quadratic nonlinearity

Fourier transform of quadratic source term: $\mathcal{F}[e^{it\mathcal{H}_0}W(t)](\xi)=$

$$\begin{split} & \int_{1}^{t} \frac{e^{is(\xi^{2}+3)}}{s} \widehat{G_{1,1}}(\xi) ds + \int_{1}^{t} \frac{e^{is(\xi^{2}+1)}}{s} \widehat{G_{2,1}}(\xi) ds + \int_{1}^{t} \frac{e^{is(\xi^{2}-1)}}{s} \widehat{G_{3,1}}(\xi) ds \\ & + \int_{1}^{t} \frac{e^{-is(\xi^{2}-1)}}{s} \widehat{G_{1,2}}(\xi) ds + \int_{1}^{t} \frac{e^{-is(\xi^{2}+1)}}{s} \widehat{G_{2,2}}(\xi) ds + \int_{1}^{t} \frac{e^{-is(\xi^{2}+3)}}{s} \widehat{G_{3,2}}(\xi) ds \end{split}$$

where $G_{j,k}=(\mathcal{DQ}_j(\Psi))_k$. Time resonant (bad) frequencies at $\xi=\pm 1$ however

$$\widehat{G_{3,1}}(\pm 1) = \widehat{G_{1,2}}(\pm 1) = 0.$$
 (16)

Proof (Mathematica-assisted):

$$\begin{split} G_{3,1}(x) &= G_{1,2}(x) = 250 \, \text{sech}^3(x) - 3720 \, \text{sech}^5(x) + 9960 \, \text{sech}^7(x) - 6720 \, \text{sech}^9(x) \\ &\Longrightarrow \widehat{G_{3,1}}(\xi) = \widehat{G_{1,2}}(\xi) = -\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{6\sqrt{2}} (\xi^2 - 1) \xi^2 (\xi^2 + 1)^2 \, \text{sech}(\frac{\pi \xi}{2}). \end{split}$$

Open problem: give a perturbative proof of asymptotic stability for NLS solitary wave

$$i\partial_t \psi + \partial_x^2 \psi + |\psi|^{p-1} \psi = 0, \quad 1$$

Thank you for your attention!