

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

STATE	Total Voter Registration		Turnout	
	Total	SSVR-T	Total	TO/VR
STATE	14,047,871	23.0%	4,749,102	33.8%
DISTRICT 1	439,524	4.4%	162,196	36.9%
Bowie (76%)	43,545	1.9%	16,177	37.2%
Cass (100%)	19,307	1.5%	6,964	36.1%
Cherokee (100%)	26,960	6.2%	9,754	36.2%
Gregg (100%)	67,930	5.0%	23,486	34.6%
Harrison (100%)	42,822	3.2%	14,801	34.6%
Marion (100%)	7,023	1.6%	2,260	32.2%
Nacogdoches (100%)	30,969	5.8%	12,045	38.9%
Panola (100%)	15,770	2.6%	5,786	36.7%
Rusk (100%)	30,358	4.6%	10,613	35.0%
Sabine (100%)	7,453	1.6%	2,767	37.1%
San Augustine (100%)	6,167	2.1%	2,724	44.2%
Shelby (100%)	14,584	3.9%	5,627	38.6%
Smith (100%)	126,636	5.9%	49,192	38.8%
DISTRICT 2	370,829	11.8%	136,955	36.9%
Harris (9%)	215,714	14.0%	80,715	37.4%
Montgomery (54%)	155,115	8.8%	56,240	36.3%
DISTRICT 3	352,672	7.6%	127,010	36.0%
Collin (57%)	262,979	8.0%	95,515	36.3%
Delta (100%)	3,571	2.7%	1,405	39.3%
Franklin (100%)	6,651	4.1%	2,594	39.0%
Hopkins (100%)	21,486	4.8%	7,735	36.0%
Hunt (70%)	33,455	6.1%	11,437	34.2%
Morris (100%)	8,533	2.8%	3,166	37.1%
Titus (100%)	15,997	13.7%	5,158	32.2%
DISTRICT 4	383,108	4.8%	136,764	35.7%
Bowie (24%)	13,704	2.0%	5,345	39.0%
Collin (40%)	205,810	5.9%	75,706	36.8%
Denton (10%)	31,323	5.3%	11,565	36.9%
Fannin (100%)	19,885	3.4%	6,584	33.1%
Grayson (100%)	74,700	4.2%	23,718	31.8%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

	Total Voter Registration		Turnout	
	Total	SSVR-T	Total	TO/VR
Lamar (100%)	29,766	2.0%	11,022	37.0%
Red River (100%)	7,920	2.2%	2,824	35.7%
DISTRICT 5	367,238	10.1%	128,736	35.1%
Anderson (100%)	27,016	6.0%	10,238	37.9%
Dallas (16%)	194,920	13.9%	68,546	35.2%
Henderson (100%)	49,181	4.5%	17,367	35.3%
Kaufman (100%)	61,605	8.0%	20,580	33.4%
Van Zandt (100%)	34,516	3.6%	12,005	34.8%
DISTRICT 6	366,442	12.4%	131,402	35.9%
Dallas (8%)	75,096	21.5%	22,435	29.9%
Ellis (100%)	93,162	12.8%	32,323	34.7%
Johnson (53%)	44,858	9.5%	15,805	35.2%
Navarro (100%)	27,362	9.2%	9,681	35.4%
Tarrant (10%)	125,964	8.5%	51,158	40.6%
DISTRICT 7	324,716	16.7%	107,697	33.2%
Fort Bend (21%)	68,894	14.9%	19,905	28.9%
Harris (13%)	255,822	17.2%	87,792	34.3%
DISTRICT 8	323,901	14.5%	107,371	33.1%
Harris (8%)	156,122	20.3%	45,666	29.3%
Montgomery (46%)	126,656	8.7%	48,754	38.5%
Walker (38%)	11,161	9.5%	3,804	34.1%
Waller (100%)	29,962	10.6%	9,147	30.5%
DISTRICT 9	302,699	37.2%	82,124	27.1%
Harris (14%)	260,370	42.0%	69,470	26.7%
Liberty (100%)	42,329	7.5%	12,654	29.9%
DISTRICT 10	395,830	8.0%	153,642	38.8%
Bastrop (2%)	543	8.1%	289	53.2%
Brazos (100%)	93,172	12.4%	31,799	34.1%
Burleson (100%)	11,048	9.8%	4,212	38.1%
Grimes (100%)	15,283	9.6%	5,024	32.9%
Houston (100%)	12,940	3.1%	4,670	36.1%
Lee (100%)	9,876	9.5%	4,285	43.4%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

	Total Voter Registration		Turnout	
	Total	SSVR-T	Total	TO/VR
Leon (100%)	10,885	4.6%	4,275	39.3%
Madison (100%)	6,945	7.4%	2,448	35.2%
Polk (100%)	37,162	4.3%	10,507	28.3%
San Jacinto (100%)	16,524	4.8%	6,592	39.9%
Travis (21%)	151,260	7.4%	67,972	44.9%
Trinity (100%)	11,046	3.3%	3,896	35.3%
Walker (62%)	19,146	6.6%	7,673	40.1%
DISTRICT 11	372,448	22.2%	122,340	32.8%
Brown (100%)	22,747	11.4%	8,203	36.1%
Burnet (15%)	4,408	7.4%	2,122	48.1%
Coke (100%)	2,134	11.7%	787	36.9%
Coleman (100%)	5,901	11.1%	2,240	38.0%
Concho (100%)	1,645	25.3%	606	36.8%
Ector (100%)	68,547	39.4%	15,037	21.9%
Glasscock (100%)	757	13.6%	400	52.8%
Irion (100%)	1,313	17.8%	440	33.5%
Kimble (100%)	2,877	13.2%	1,231	42.8%
Llano (100%)	14,442	3.8%	7,135	49.4%
McCulloch (100%)	5,228	20.9%	1,753	33.5%
Mason (100%)	2,869	15.0%	1,371	47.8%
Menard (100%)	1,535	27.0%	486	31.7%
Midland (100%)	75,384	23.8%	23,685	31.4%
Runnels (100%)	6,595	23.4%	2,353	35.7%
San Saba (100%)	3,714	16.2%	1,447	39.0%
Sterling (100%)	843	22.2%	460	54.6%
Tom Green (100%)	61,754	24.7%	18,540	30.0%
Travis (12%)	72,487	13.6%	28,606	39.5%
Williamson (6%)	17,268	15.3%	5,438	31.5%
DISTRICT 12	335,006	16.1%	122,799	36.7%
Parker (79%)	63,043	5.0%	25,602	40.6%
Tarrant (31%)	271,963	18.7%	97,197	35.7%
DISTRICT 13	415,822	13.0%	141,439	34.0%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

	Total Voter Registration		Turnout	
	Total	SSVR-T	Total	TO/VR
Archer (100%)	6,300	3.0%	2,700	42.9%
Armstrong (100%)	1,334	3.3%	573	43.0%
Baylor (100%)	2,510	7.4%	844	33.6%
Briscoe (100%)	1,134	15.6%	527	46.5%
Carson (100%)	4,254	5.6%	1,864	43.8%
Childress (100%)	3,572	14.4%	1,100	30.8%
Clay (100%)	7,608	2.6%	2,858	37.6%
Collingsworth (100%)	1,891	15.9%	830	43.9%
Cottle (100%)	1,090	15.4%	367	33.7%
Dallam (100%)	3,092	24.5%	861	27.8%
Deaf Smith (100%)	8,455	50.5%	2,734	32.3%
Denton (17%)	71,522	10.4%	26,800	37.5%
Dickens (100%)	1,318	17.2%	518	39.3%
Donley (100%)	2,408	4.2%	944	39.2%
Foard (100%)	1,070	12.8%	331	30.9%
Gray (100%)	12,995	10.8%	3,770	29.0%
Hall (100%)	2,037	18.5%	587	28.8%
Hansford (100%)	3,070	20.3%	1,209	39.4%
Hardeman (100%)	2,567	11.3%	669	26.1%
Hartley (100%)	2,860	7.0%	1,114	39.0%
Hemphill (100%)	2,283	11.2%	830	36.4%
Hutchinson (100%)	13,433	11.1%	4,496	33.5%
King (100%)	186	7.5%	94	50.5%
Knox (100%)	2,372	23.2%	900	37.9%
Lipscomb (100%)	1,981	12.5%	888	44.8%
Montague (100%)	12,939	3.7%	6,821	52.7%
Moore (100%)	9,335	34.2%	2,774	29.7%
Motley (100%)	789	6.3%	374	47.4%
Ochiltree (100%)	5,220	22.7%	1,597	30.6%
Oldham (100%)	1,351	8.4%	563	41.7%
Potter (100%)	52,798	21.0%	13,877	26.3%
Randall (100%)	80,212	10.7%	28,413	35.4%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

	Total Voter Registration		Turnout	
	Total	SSVR-T	Total	TO/VR
Roberts (100%)	678	3.5%	352	51.9%
Sherman (100%)	1,521	20.0%	600	39.4%
Wheeler (100%)	3,476	7.9%	1,354	39.0%
Wichita (100%)	72,289	9.6%	21,297	29.5%
Wilbarger (100%)	7,952	16.9%	2,751	34.6%
Wise (15%)	5,920	4.4%	2,258	38.1%
DISTRICT 14	398,016	11.7%	137,905	34.6%
Brazoria (33%)	54,148	18.2%	18,389	34.0%
Chambers (0%)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Fort Bend (8%)	24,811	8.8%	9,820	39.6%
Galveston (100%)	192,382	13.5%	65,503	34.0%
Jefferson (56%)	76,110	8.9%	26,915	35.4%
Orange (100%)	50,565	3.5%	17,278	34.2%
DISTRICT 15	337,514	68.6%	99,306	29.4%
Aransas (82%)	12,752	17.2%	4,765	37.4%
Bee (100%)	15,126	55.8%	5,228	34.6%
Brooks (100%)	6,430	89.3%	2,513	39.1%
DeWitt (100%)	11,938	23.2%	4,049	33.9%
Goliad (100%)	5,525	29.6%	2,788	50.5%
Gonzales (100%)	12,105	31.1%	3,713	30.7%
Hidalgo (64%)	203,784	83.1%	56,045	27.5%
Jim Wells (100%)	25,665	74.2%	5,870	22.9%
Lavaca (100%)	13,131	9.4%	5,060	38.5%
Refugio (90%)	4,451	39.7%	1,935	43.5%
San Patricio (64%)	26,607	57.9%	7,340	27.6%
DISTRICT 16	371,404	69.5%	75,189	20.2%
El Paso (89%)	371,404	69.5%	75,189	20.2%
DISTRICT 17	389,562	11.9%	137,983	35.4%
Bell (9%)	18,147	15.8%	4,653	25.6%
Bosque (100%)	11,828	7.3%	4,949	41.8%
Falls (100%)	9,857	9.2%	3,068	31.1%
Freestone (100%)	11,431	3.9%	4,506	39.4%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

	Total Voter Registration		Turnout	
	Total	SSVR-T	Total	TO/VR
Hill (100%)	22,025	8.5%	9,005	40.9%
Johnson (9%)	9,234	5.4%	3,635	39.4%
Limestone (100%)	13,410	6.9%	4,314	32.2%
McLennan (100%)	128,740	12.6%	44,362	34.5%
Milam (100%)	14,418	12.7%	5,041	35.0%
Robertson (100%)	11,368	11.6%	5,113	45.0%
Williamson (49%)	139,104	13.5%	49,337	35.5%
DISTRICT 18	379,195	14.2%	123,696	32.6%
Fort Bend (18%)	77,149	13.4%	27,352	35.5%
Harris (13%)	302,046	14.4%	96,344	31.9%
DISTRICT 19	406,536	26.0%	122,332	30.1%
Andrews (100%)	8,523	36.1%	2,221	26.1%
Bailey (100%)	3,534	41.7%	1,005	28.4%
Borden (100%)	440	8.0%	263	59.8%
Callahan (11%)	1,002	6.0%	306	30.5%
Castro (100%)	3,965	44.1%	1,198	30.2%
Cochran (100%)	1,775	41.4%	495	27.9%
Crosby (100%)	3,594	44.0%	1,108	30.8%
Dawson (100%)	7,406	47.8%	2,054	27.7%
Fisher (100%)	2,773	20.0%	1,245	44.9%
Floyd (100%)	4,078	43.1%	1,098	26.9%
Gaines (100%)	8,073	32.1%	2,577	31.9%
Garza (100%)	2,736	27.4%	841	30.7%
Hale (100%)	19,354	44.9%	4,375	22.6%
Haskell (100%)	3,497	17.5%	1,068	30.5%
Hockley (100%)	13,513	33.4%	3,696	27.4%
Howard (100%)	16,790	26.6%	4,283	25.5%
Jones (100%)	9,885	15.9%	3,379	34.2%
Kent (100%)	611	9.8%	307	50.2%
Lamb (100%)	8,318	39.6%	2,120	25.5%
Lubbock (100%)	157,067	23.6%	47,632	30.3%
Lynn (100%)	3,864	40.3%	1,211	31.3%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

	Total Voter Registration		Turnout	
	Total	SSVR-T	Total	TO/VR
Martin (100%)	3,092	35.7%	1,206	39.0%
Mitchell (100%)	4,562	28.5%	1,233	27.0%
Nolan (100%)	8,557	24.2%	2,692	31.5%
Parmer (100%)	4,389	40.3%	1,392	31.7%
Scurry (100%)	9,380	26.1%	2,902	30.9%
Shackelford (100%)	2,313	7.0%	815	35.2%
Stonewall (100%)	1,012	9.8%	389	38.4%
Swisher (100%)	4,115	28.8%	1,462	35.5%
Taylor (100%)	75,871	14.4%	23,779	31.3%
Terry (100%)	7,183	42.3%	2,293	31.9%
Throckmorton (100%)	1,296	6.3%	535	41.3%
Yoakum (100%)	3,968	41.9%	1,152	29.0%
DISTRICT 20	358,759	57.8%	94,208	26.3%
Bexar (38%)	358,759	57.8%	94,208	26.3%
DISTRICT 21	434,908	21.2%	177,444	40.8%
Bandera (100%)	14,840	11.2%	6,431	43.3%
Bexar (17%)	191,525	29.7%	73,770	38.5%
Blanco (100%)	7,388	8.8%	3,611	48.9%
Comal (100%)	82,305	15.6%	34,932	42.4%
Gillespie (100%)	18,149	9.2%	8,876	48.9%
Hays (45%)	58,882	18.8%	21,883	37.2%
Kendall (100%)	26,733	11.0%	11,945	44.7%
Kerr (100%)	32,646	12.5%	14,936	45.8%
Real (100%)	2,440	18.4%	1,060	43.4%
DISTRICT 22	348,139	16.1%	130,006	37.3%
Brazoria (43%)	86,499	17.8%	29,155	33.7%
Fort Bend (54%)	193,324	15.2%	75,466	39.0%
Harris (4%)	68,316	16.3%	25,385	37.2%
DISTRICT 23	363,658	46.6%	118,566	32.6%
Bexar (19%)	156,519	26.0%	62,701	40.1%
Brewster (100%)	7,029	31.4%	2,949	42.0%
Crane (100%)	2,523	42.6%	851	33.7%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

	Total Voter Registration		Turnout	
	Total	SSVR-T	Total	TO/VR
Crockett (100%)	2,535	50.9%	835	32.9%
Culberson (100%)	1,759	67.4%	331	18.8%
Edwards (100%)	1,504	40.0%	904	60.1%
El Paso (11%)	33,275	77.2%	5,428	16.3%
Frio (100%)	9,973	75.1%	1,855	18.6%
Hudspeth (100%)	1,739	56.0%	480	27.6%
Jeff Davis (100%)	1,682	20.5%	1,051	62.5%
Kinney (100%)	2,295	41.8%	1,212	52.8%
Loving (100%)	122	18.9%	42	34.4%
Maverick (79%)	23,623	87.6%	4,705	19.9%
Medina (100%)	28,454	38.2%	9,858	34.6%
Pecos (100%)	8,160	59.0%	3,084	37.8%
Presidio (100%)	5,293	68.8%	1,050	19.8%
Reagan (100%)	1,775	44.0%	517	29.1%
Reeves (100%)	6,551	74.6%	1,223	18.7%
Schleicher (100%)	1,828	34.9%	860	47.0%
Sutton (100%)	2,494	45.7%	753	30.2%
Terrell (100%)	822	39.1%	423	51.5%
Upton (100%)	2,188	39.7%	670	30.6%
Uvalde (100%)	16,292	57.6%	5,209	32.0%
Val Verde (100%)	26,475	65.1%	7,116	26.9%
Ward (100%)	6,318	39.8%	1,986	31.4%
Winkler (100%)	3,693	38.3%	985	26.7%
Zavala (100%)	8,737	88.6%	1,488	17.0%
DISTRICT 24	431,534	8.1%	179,394	41.6%
Dallas (9%)	132,591	7.6%	59,641	45.0%
Tarrant (26%)	298,943	8.3%	119,753	40.1%
DISTRICT 25	374,782	10.0%	137,534	36.7%
Callahan (89%)	7,834	4.9%	2,712	34.6%
Comanche (100%)	8,593	12.8%	3,080	35.8%
Eastland (100%)	10,867	7.3%	3,984	36.7%
Erath (100%)	20,454	7.8%	7,468	36.5%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

	Total Voter Registration		Turnout	
	Total	SSVR-T	Total	TO/VR
Hood (100%)	35,903	4.9%	13,652	38.0%
Jack (100%)	4,794	4.7%	1,752	36.5%
Johnson (38%)	29,982	9.8%	9,844	32.8%
Palo Pinto (100%)	17,043	9.0%	5,714	33.5%
Parker (21%)	15,395	4.0%	6,257	40.6%
Somervell (100%)	5,812	8.8%	2,255	38.8%
Stephens (100%)	5,584	11.2%	1,948	34.9%
Tarrant (21%)	200,848	12.3%	73,451	36.6%
Young (100%)	11,673	6.8%	5,417	46.4%
DISTRICT 26	360,291	8.2%	124,993	34.7%
Cooke (100%)	23,973	5.3%	8,816	36.8%
Denton (74%)	305,856	8.5%	105,671	34.5%
Wise (85%)	30,462	7.6%	10,506	34.5%
DISTRICT 27	386,887	27.1%	140,008	36.2%
Aransas (18%)	3,061	9.7%	1,349	44.1%
Austin (100%)	18,567	11.2%	7,223	38.9%
Bastrop (98%)	42,030	16.0%	16,787	39.9%
Caldwell (100%)	21,213	33.6%	8,910	42.0%
Calhoun (100%)	12,696	36.3%	4,163	32.8%
Colorado (100%)	13,412	14.0%	5,220	38.9%
Fayette (100%)	15,866	8.5%	7,629	48.1%
Hays (55%)	47,750	26.7%	17,805	37.3%
Jackson (100%)	8,799	20.6%	2,947	33.5%
Matagorda (100%)	20,965	24.2%	7,032	33.5%
Nueces (17%)	34,505	70.1%	6,893	20.0%
Refugio (10%)	592	56.1%	224	37.8%
San Patricio (36%)	13,395	26.2%	4,459	33.3%
Travis (7%)	35,218	23.4%	14,738	41.8%
Victoria (100%)	51,896	33.8%	17,162	33.1%
Washington (100%)	22,251	5.4%	9,063	40.7%
Wharton (100%)	24,671	24.9%	8,404	34.1%
DISTRICT 28	331,460	82.8%	79,493	24.0%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

	Total Voter Registration		Turnout	
	Total	SSVR-T	Total	TO/VR
Atascosa (100%)	24,594	52.6%	7,608	30.9%
Dimmit (100%)	7,296	83.2%	1,972	27.0%
Duval (100%)	8,380	86.7%	3,398	40.5%
Hidalgo (36%)	116,445	82.8%	27,477	23.6%
Jim Hogg (100%)	3,854	90.9%	734	19.0%
La Salle (100%)	4,100	76.4%	868	21.2%
Live Oak (100%)	7,085	30.7%	2,461	34.7%
McMullen (100%)	702	28.5%	265	37.7%
Maverick (21%)	5,270	92.3%	666	12.6%
Starr (100%)	30,241	95.2%	5,422	17.9%
Webb (100%)	116,048	88.5%	27,440	23.6%
Zapata (100%)	7,445	86.9%	1,182	15.9%
DISTRICT 29	283,679	31.4%	74,609	26.3%
Harris (16%)	283,679	31.4%	74,609	26.3%
DISTRICT 30	376,879	13.0%	124,163	32.9%
Dallas (20%)	273,317	11.7%	92,591	33.9%
Tarrant (12%)	103,562	16.3%	31,572	30.5%
DISTRICT 31	347,141	11.2%	116,333	33.5%
Bell (91%)	150,825	12.3%	39,484	26.2%
Burnet (85%)	23,021	8.9%	9,918	43.1%
Coryell (100%)	35,244	9.4%	8,788	24.9%
Hamilton (100%)	5,388	4.0%	2,431	45.1%
Lampasas (100%)	13,088	10.4%	4,698	35.9%
Mills (100%)	3,381	8.6%	1,364	40.3%
Williamson (45%)	116,194	11.2%	49,650	42.7%
DISTRICT 32	384,271	8.4%	142,235	37.0%
Camp (100%)	7,231	6.7%	2,613	36.1%
Collin (4%)	17,588	4.8%	6,836	38.9%
Dallas (18%)	231,103	10.6%	84,028	36.4%
Hunt (30%)	15,668	4.6%	5,003	31.9%
Rains (100%)	6,826	3.2%	2,452	35.9%
Rockwall (100%)	51,805	7.6%	21,089	40.7%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

	Total Voter Registration		Turnout	
	Total	SSVR-T	Total	TO/VR
Upshur (100%)	26,393	2.5%	8,893	33.7%
Wood (100%)	27,657	3.2%	11,321	40.9%
DISTRICT 33	299,212	28.7%	83,288	27.8%
Dallas (29%)	299,212	28.7%	83,288	27.8%
DISTRICT 34	371,771	64.5%	99,782	26.8%
Cameron (100%)	186,662	78.9%	40,439	21.7%
Kenedy (100%)	346	69.4%	125	36.1%
Kleberg (100%)	17,405	62.7%	5,797	33.3%
Nueces (83%)	155,801	46.0%	51,182	32.9%
Willacy (100%)	11,557	84.6%	2,239	19.4%
DISTRICT 35	372,406	41.6%	117,003	31.4%
Bexar (26%)	251,453	48.7%	73,373	29.2%
Guadalupe (100%)	84,286	24.2%	30,607	36.3%
Karnes (100%)	7,816	44.9%	2,589	33.1%
Wilson (100%)	28,851	29.6%	10,434	36.2%
DISTRICT 36	415,382	11.7%	143,738	34.6%
Angelina (100%)	49,912	9.3%	16,969	34.0%
Brazoria (24%)	43,050	14.2%	14,769	34.3%
Chambers (100%)	25,108	9.4%	8,504	33.9%
Hardin (100%)	36,001	2.8%	11,663	32.4%
Harris (7%)	146,884	20.3%	50,174	34.2%
Jasper (100%)	22,333	2.5%	7,142	32.0%
Jefferson (44%)	69,883	5.5%	26,794	38.3%
Newton (100%)	8,959	1.7%	2,907	32.4%
Tyler (100%)	13,252	1.8%	4,816	36.3%
DISTRICT 37	398,874	19.7%	158,632	39.8%
Travis (59%)	398,874	19.7%	158,632	39.8%
DISTRICT 38	375,376	11.9%	150,787	40.2%
Harris (16%)	375,376	11.9%	150,787	40.2%

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

DISTRICT 1	STATE	U.S. SEN										GOVERNOR							
		Cornyn-R		Alameel-D		Paddock-L		Sanchez-G		Tahiro-W		Abbott-R		Davis-D		Glass-L		Parmer-G	
		2,861,244	61.6%	1,597,272	34.4%	133,736	2.9%	54,689	1.2%	1,075	0.0%	2,796,251	59.3%	1,835,458	38.9%	66,535	1.4%	18,519	0.4%
		120,130	75.7%	34,275	21.6%	3,440	2.2%	810	0.5%	64	0.0%	121,525	75.4%	37,503	23.3%	1,624	1.0%	389	0.2%
Bowie (76 %)		11,476	71.8%	3,930	24.6%	452	2.8%	109	0.7%	12	0.1%	11,423	70.6%	4,462	27.6%	232	1.4%	51	0.3%
Cass (100 %)		5,082	74.7%	1,538	22.6%	138	2.0%	41	0.6%	0	0.0%	5,185	74.6%	1,687	24.3%	57	0.8%	18	0.3%
Cherokee (100 %)		7,440	79.0%	1,724	18.3%	213	2.3%	42	0.4%	0	0.0%	7,709	79.6%	1,862	19.2%	94	1.0%	23	0.2%
Gregg (100 %)		16,939	74.5%	5,275	23.2%	396	1.7%	133	0.6%	3	0.0%	17,210	74.1%	5,808	25.0%	174	0.7%	47	0.2%
Harrison (100 %)		10,473	72.0%	3,714	25.5%	281	1.9%	65	0.4%	12	0.1%	10,384	70.8%	4,086	27.9%	158	1.1%	30	0.2%
Marion (100 %)		1,461	67.3%	657	30.2%	42	1.9%	12	0.6%	0	0.0%	1,480	66.9%	705	31.9%	17	0.8%	11	0.5%
Nacogdoches (100 %)		8,867	75.6%	2,497	21.3%	295	2.5%	75	0.6%	1	0.0%	9,021	74.9%	2,845	23.6%	130	1.1%	43	0.4%
Panola (100 %)		4,615	80.6%	986	17.2%	111	1.9%	13	0.2%	0	0.0%	4,614	80.2%	1,069	18.6%	56	1.0%	13	0.2%
Rusk (100 %)		8,437	80.9%	1,759	16.9%	190	1.8%	39	0.4%	4	0.0%	8,517	80.9%	1,906	18.1%	83	0.8%	21	0.2%
Sabine (100 %)		2,307	84.9%	348	12.8%	49	1.8%	13	0.5%	1	0.0%	2,372	85.9%	355	12.9%	27	1.0%	8	0.3%
San Augustine (100 %)		1,805	68.8%	740	28.2%	61	2.3%	19	0.7%	0	0.0%	1,920	70.5%	756	27.8%	34	1.2%	14	0.5%
Shelby (100 %)		4,293	79.2%	997	18.4%	94	1.7%	32	0.6%	2	0.0%	4,330	78.7%	1,116	20.3%	44	0.8%	12	0.2%
Smith (100 %)		36,935	76.3%	10,110	20.9%	1,118	2.3%	217	0.4%	29	0.1%	37,360	76.5%	10,846	22.2%	518	1.1%	98	0.2%
		100,444	74.5%	29,548	21.9%	3,731	2.8%	1,063	0.8%	3	0.0%	98,995	72.3%	35,637	26.0%	1,799	1.3%	427	0.3%
Harris (9 %)		56,575	71.3%	20,023	25.2%	2,064	2.6%	706	0.9%	2	0.0%	55,623	68.9%	23,787	29.5%	1,006	1.2%	253	0.3%
Montgomery (54 %)		43,869	79.2%	9,525	17.2%	1,667	3.0%	357	0.6%	1	0.0%	43,372	77.2%	11,850	21.1%	793	1.4%	174	0.3%
		88,973	71.3%	31,364	25.1%	3,482	2.8%	888	0.7%	95	0.1%	87,053	68.9%	36,909	29.2%	1,806	1.4%	432	0.3%
Collin (57 %)		65,604	69.6%	25,141	26.7%	2,765	2.9%	686	0.7%	88	0.1%	63,928	67.3%	29,369	30.9%	1,385	1.5%	306	0.3%
Delta (100 %)		1,030	77.4%	248	18.6%	38	2.9%	15	1.1%	0	0.0%	984	71.4%	351	25.5%	29	2.1%	14	1.0%
Franklin (100 %)		2,188	85.6%	295	11.5%	55	2.2%	15	0.6%	2	0.1%	2,196	84.7%	353	13.6%	34	1.3%	8	0.3%
Hopkins (100 %)		5,728	78.5%	1,384	19.0%	144	2.0%	40	0.5%	0	0.0%	5,745	75.2%	1,759	23.0%	103	1.3%	30	0.4%
Hunt (70 %)		8,621	77.0%	2,172	19.4%	314	2.8%	90	0.8%	5	0.0%	8,365	73.7%	2,758	24.3%	168	1.5%	53	0.5%
Morris (100 %)		1,990	65.4%	978	32.1%	65	2.1%	11	0.4%	0	0.0%	2,033	65.4%	1,031	33.2%	38	1.2%	5	0.2%
Titus (100 %)		3,812	74.9%	1,146	22.5%	101	2.0%	31	0.6%	0	0.0%	3,802	73.8%	1,288	25.0%	49	1.0%	16	0.3%
		96,260	71.6%	33,737	25.1%	3,524	2.6%	886	0.7%	59	0.0%	93,679	68.9%	40,028	29.4%	1,785	1.3%	418	0.3%
Bowie (24 %)		4,202	80.2%	870	16.6%	127	2.4%	35	0.7%	5	0.1%	4,151	77.7%	1,101	20.6%	71	1.3%	21	0.4%
Collin (40 %)		50,248	67.2%	21,809	29.2%	2,082	2.8%	530	0.7%	51	0.1%	48,375	64.2%	25,783	34.2%	998	1.3%	189	0.3%
Denton (10 %)		8,658	75.2%	2,537	22.0%	270	2.3%	46	0.4%	0	0.0%	8,375	72.4%	3,029	26.2%	139	1.2%	19	0.2%
Fannin (100 %)		4,991	78.6%	1,128	17.8%	171	2.7%	61	1.0%	1	0.0%	4,897	75.5%	1,449	22.3%	99	1.5%	39	0.6%
Grayson (100 %)		18,146	77.7%	4,522	19.4%	536	2.3%	143	0.6%	2	0.0%	18,103	77.0%	5,067	21.6%	276	1.2%	62	0.3%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

DISTRICT 1	GOVERNOR		LT. GOVERNOR						ATTORNEY GEN										
	Pavitt-W		Patrick-R		Van De Putte-D		Butler-L		Courtney-G		Paxton-R		Houston-D		Balagia-L		Osborne-G		
	STATE	1,141	0.0%	2,724,207	58.1%	1,813,847	38.7%	119,818	2.6%	27,716	0.6%	2,743,182	58.8%	1,773,114	38.0%	118,188	2.5%	29,589	0.6%
Bowie (76 %)	8	0.0%	11,361	71.4%	4,081	25.6%	402	2.5%	71	0.4%	10,935	69.0%	4,593	29.0%	254	1.6%	57	0.4%	
Cass (100 %)	0	0.0%	5,137	74.6%	1,593	23.1%	123	1.8%	30	0.4%	4,938	72.0%	1,812	26.4%	91	1.3%	20	0.3%	
Cherokee (100 %)	0	0.0%	7,600	79.0%	1,821	18.9%	177	1.8%	27	0.3%	7,419	77.4%	1,983	20.7%	155	1.6%	30	0.3%	
Gregg (100 %)	1	0.0%	16,786	72.6%	5,824	25.2%	415	1.8%	104	0.4%	16,832	72.5%	5,940	25.6%	335	1.4%	100	0.4%	
Harrison (100 %)	2	0.0%	10,269	70.6%	3,929	27.0%	293	2.0%	47	0.3%	10,134	69.9%	4,104	28.3%	218	1.5%	44	0.3%	
Marion (100 %)	0	0.0%	1,484	67.0%	687	31.0%	36	1.6%	8	0.4%	1,442	65.2%	728	32.9%	32	1.4%	8	0.4%	
Nacogdoches (100 %)	3	0.0%	8,906	74.6%	2,723	22.8%	274	2.3%	41	0.3%	8,803	74.0%	2,812	23.7%	215	1.8%	59	0.5%	
Panola (100 %)	0	0.0%	4,580	80.0%	1,048	18.3%	87	1.5%	7	0.1%	4,502	78.7%	1,145	20.0%	61	1.1%	9	0.2%	
Rusk (100 %)	4	0.0%	8,403	80.4%	1,853	17.7%	169	1.6%	28	0.3%	8,285	79.7%	1,945	18.7%	123	1.2%	41	0.4%	
Sabine (100 %)	0	0.0%	2,328	85.5%	341	12.5%	48	1.8%	7	0.3%	2,232	82.2%	447	16.5%	25	0.9%	11	0.4%	
San Augustine (100 %)	0	0.0%	1,851	69.8%	716	27.0%	76	2.9%	10	0.4%	1,713	65.5%	857	32.8%	35	1.3%	11	0.4%	
Shelby (100 %)	2	0.0%	4,226	78.4%	1,058	19.6%	89	1.7%	18	0.3%	4,037	75.2%	1,259	23.4%	56	1.0%	17	0.3%	
Smith (100 %)	12	0.0%	36,889	75.9%	10,661	21.9%	923	1.9%	133	0.3%	36,596	75.6%	10,923	22.6%	783	1.6%	132	0.3%	
DISTRICT 2	6	0.0%	97,220	71.3%	35,170	25.8%	3,313	2.4%	735	0.5%	99,023	72.7%	33,820	24.8%	2,661	2.0%	666	0.5%	
Harris (9 %)	4	0.0%	54,707	68.0%	23,529	29.3%	1,799	2.2%	380	0.5%	55,699	69.2%	22,769	28.3%	1,517	1.9%	450	0.6%	
DISTRICT 3	Montgomery (54 %)	2	0.0%	42,513	75.9%	11,641	20.8%	1,514	2.7%	355	0.6%	43,324	77.7%	11,051	19.8%	1,144	2.1%	216	0.4%
DISTRICT 4	57	0.0%	85,923	68.6%	35,394	28.3%	3,301	2.6%	572	0.5%	86,434	69.2%	35,206	28.2%	2,711	2.2%	535	0.4%	
Collin (57 %)	50	0.1%	62,972	66.8%	28,383	30.1%	2,519	2.7%	464	0.5%	63,956	67.9%	27,700	29.4%	2,169	2.3%	418	0.4%	
Delta (100 %)	0	0.0%	1,024	75.3%	277	20.4%	49	3.6%	9	0.7%	995	74.2%	311	23.2%	26	1.9%	9	0.7%	
Franklin (100 %)	2	0.1%	2,160	84.0%	353	13.7%	52	2.0%	6	0.2%	2,115	82.8%	393	15.4%	43	1.7%	4	0.2%	
Hopkins (100 %)	0	0.0%	5,720	76.0%	1,602	21.3%	180	2.4%	24	0.3%	5,597	75.0%	1,732	23.2%	107	1.4%	25	0.3%	
Hunt (70 %)	5	0.0%	8,362	74.0%	2,565	22.7%	319	2.8%	51	0.5%	8,374	74.7%	2,549	22.7%	236	2.1%	53	0.5%	
Morris (100 %)	0	0.0%	1,953	64.4%	1,003	33.1%	73	2.4%	2	0.1%	1,852	61.2%	1,109	36.6%	58	1.9%	9	0.3%	
Titus (100 %)	0	0.0%	3,732	73.6%	1,211	23.9%	109	2.2%	16	0.3%	3,545	70.3%	1,412	28.0%	72	1.4%	17	0.3%	
DISTRICT 4	39	0.0%	91,864	68.2%	38,834	28.8%	3,454	2.6%	632	0.5%	92,349	68.7%	38,724	28.8%	2,739	2.0%	555	0.4%	
Bowie (24 %)	1	0.0%	4,114	78.9%	942	18.1%	137	2.6%	23	0.4%	3,890	75.4%	1,157	22.4%	88	1.7%	22	0.4%	
Collin (40 %)	31	0.0%	46,962	62.9%	25,411	34.0%	1,956	2.6%	383	0.5%	47,921	64.3%	24,631	33.0%	1,677	2.2%	323	0.4%	
Denton (10 %)	0	0.0%	8,226	71.4%	3,006	26.1%	259	2.2%	38	0.3%	8,422	73.2%	2,857	24.8%	199	1.7%	28	0.2%	
Fannin (100 %)	6	0.1%	4,900	76.2%	1,291	20.1%	204	3.2%	34	0.5%	4,856	75.2%	1,433	22.2%	128	2.0%	38	0.6%	
Grayson (100 %)	1	0.0%	17,832	76.1%	4,922	21.0%	563	2.4%	104	0.4%	17,633	75.6%	5,180	22.2%	422	1.8%	99	0.4%	

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

DISTRICT 1	STATE	COMPTROLLER						LAND COMM						AG COMM				
		Hegar-R		Collier-D		Sanders-L		Shafto-G		Bush-R		Cook-D		Knight-L		Alessi-G	Miller-R	
		2,698,398	58.4%	1,742,142	37.7%	136,870	3.0%	44,981	1.0%	2,827,299	60.7%	1,644,800	35.3%	126,406	2.7%	60,110	1.3%	2,699,224
Bowie (76 %)	10,561	67.5%	4,461	28.5%	497	3.2%	119	0.8%	10,997	69.4%	4,255	26.9%	450	2.8%	137	0.9%	10,559	67.7%
Cass (100 %)	4,863	71.1%	1,766	25.8%	159	2.3%	50	0.7%	5,014	72.9%	1,686	24.5%	137	2.0%	45	0.7%	4,847	70.9%
Cherokee (100 %)	7,190	76.0%	1,964	20.7%	246	2.6%	66	0.7%	7,330	76.8%	1,891	19.8%	267	2.8%	54	0.6%	7,082	75.1%
Gregg (100 %)	16,449	71.7%	5,908	25.7%	488	2.1%	99	0.4%	16,969	73.5%	5,554	24.1%	457	2.0%	108	0.5%	16,649	72.5%
Harrison (100 %)	9,911	68.7%	4,109	28.5%	337	2.3%	71	0.5%	10,111	69.6%	3,981	27.4%	340	2.3%	87	0.6%	9,938	69.0%
Marion (100 %)	1,446	65.3%	702	31.7%	47	2.1%	19	0.9%	1,465	66.0%	695	31.3%	36	1.6%	24	1.1%	1,430	64.6%
Nacogdoches (100 %)	8,609	73.2%	2,766	23.5%	297	2.5%	81	0.7%	8,735	73.7%	2,718	22.9%	308	2.6%	99	0.8%	8,517	72.8%
Panola (100 %)	4,448	78.0%	1,118	19.6%	113	2.0%	22	0.4%	4,514	78.9%	1,081	18.9%	100	1.7%	23	0.4%	4,440	78.0%
Rusk (100 %)	8,114	78.6%	1,963	19.0%	208	2.0%	41	0.4%	8,306	79.7%	1,853	17.8%	204	2.0%	53	0.5%	8,097	78.5%
Sabine (100 %)	2,187	81.7%	422	15.8%	57	2.1%	12	0.4%	2,227	82.2%	407	15.0%	60	2.2%	14	0.5%	2,173	81.3%
San Augustine (100 %)	1,615	63.3%	844	33.1%	76	3.0%	16	0.6%	1,704	65.5%	823	31.6%	62	2.4%	13	0.5%	1,598	62.3%
Shelby (100 %)	3,962	74.5%	1,221	23.0%	105	2.0%	29	0.5%	4,105	76.2%	1,160	21.5%	91	1.7%	28	0.5%	3,980	74.9%
Smith (100 %)	35,922	74.6%	10,739	22.3%	1,211	2.5%	250	0.5%	36,349	75.2%	10,490	21.7%	1,236	2.6%	268	0.6%	35,770	74.5%
	97,606	72.1%	33,749	24.9%	3,098	2.3%	863	0.6%	100,885	74.3%	30,347	22.4%	3,355	2.5%	1,151	0.8%	98,816	73.2%
Harris (9 %)	54,821	68.7%	22,816	28.6%	1,693	2.1%	519	0.6%	56,953	71.1%	20,655	25.8%	1,786	2.2%	714	0.9%	55,673	70.0%
Montgomery (54 %)	42,785	77.1%	10,933	19.7%	1,405	2.5%	344	0.6%	43,932	79.0%	9,692	17.4%	1,569	2.8%	437	0.8%	43,143	77.9%
	84,112	68.4%	34,196	27.8%	3,663	3.0%	1,019	0.8%	87,568	70.5%	31,965	25.7%	3,556	2.9%	1,172	0.9%	84,397	68.8%
Collin (57 %)	62,429	67.3%	26,804	28.9%	2,810	3.0%	785	0.8%	64,733	69.2%	25,112	26.8%	2,764	3.0%	953	1.0%	62,722	67.8%
Delta (100 %)	957	71.6%	320	23.9%	44	3.3%	16	1.2%	1,024	75.6%	281	20.7%	36	2.7%	14	1.0%	953	71.5%
Franklin (100 %)	2,070	82.0%	371	14.7%	72	2.9%	12	0.5%	2,111	82.6%	352	13.8%	76	3.0%	18	0.7%	2,059	81.5%
Hopkins (100 %)	5,386	73.3%	1,725	23.5%	182	2.5%	54	0.7%	5,703	76.2%	1,578	21.1%	170	2.3%	34	0.5%	5,308	72.6%
Hunt (70 %)	8,072	73.1%	2,500	22.7%	358	3.2%	107	1.0%	8,470	75.6%	2,318	20.7%	317	2.8%	104	0.9%	8,058	73.1%
Morris (100 %)	1,790	60.4%	1,081	36.5%	73	2.5%	21	0.7%	1,917	63.2%	1,024	33.7%	77	2.5%	17	0.6%	1,815	60.7%
Titus (100 %)	3,408	68.8%	1,395	28.2%	124	2.5%	24	0.5%	3,610	71.4%	1,300	25.7%	116	2.3%	32	0.6%	3,482	69.8%
	90,585	68.4%	37,017	27.9%	3,787	2.9%	1,061	0.8%	94,450	70.6%	34,443	25.7%	3,676	2.7%	1,225	0.9%	91,123	69.0%
Bowie (24 %)	3,721	73.4%	1,151	22.7%	159	3.1%	39	0.8%	3,979	76.8%	1,008	19.5%	158	3.0%	36	0.7%	3,785	74.6%
Collin (40 %)	47,336	64.5%	23,297	31.8%	2,095	2.9%	626	0.9%	49,636	67.0%	21,605	29.2%	2,068	2.8%	756	1.0%	47,863	65.5%
Denton (10 %)	8,284	72.6%	2,765	24.2%	307	2.7%	58	0.5%	8,540	74.6%	2,533	22.1%	298	2.6%	80	0.7%	8,309	73.2%
Fannin (100 %)	4,738	74.6%	1,356	21.4%	188	3.0%	65	1.0%	4,878	76.1%	1,282	20.0%	177	2.8%	76	1.2%	4,696	73.8%
Grayson (100 %)	17,262	74.6%	5,009	21.6%	690	3.0%	185	0.8%	17,721	75.9%	4,797	20.6%	634	2.7%	188	0.8%	17,275	74.7%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

DISTRICT 1	AG COMM						RR COMM 3						SUP CT CHIEF						
	Hogan-D		Palmquist-L		Kendrick-G		Sitton-R		Brown-D		Miller-L		Salinas-G		Hecht-R		Moody-D		
	STATE	1,697,092	36.8%	132,506	2.9%	77,562	1.7%	2,691,086	58.3%	1,682,678	36.5%	145,373	3.2%	93,781	2.0%	2,756,945	59.7%	1,720,513	37.3%
Bowie (76 %)	4,420	28.4%	421	2.7%	187	1.2%	10,455	66.9%	4,465	28.6%	554	3.5%	155	1.0%	10,766	68.5%	4,460	28.4%	
Cass (100 %)	1,802	26.4%	114	1.7%	70	1.0%	4,855	71.3%	1,754	25.8%	150	2.2%	50	0.7%	4,893	72.0%	1,751	25.8%	
Cherokee (100 %)	2,019	21.4%	236	2.5%	99	1.0%	7,157	75.9%	1,942	20.6%	262	2.8%	72	0.8%	7,159	76.0%	1,999	21.2%	
Gregg (100 %)	5,693	24.8%	436	1.9%	178	0.8%	16,739	72.6%	5,678	24.6%	499	2.2%	152	0.7%	16,785	73.1%	5,698	24.8%	
Harrison (100 %)	4,031	28.0%	327	2.3%	108	0.7%	9,974	69.2%	3,984	27.6%	375	2.6%	85	0.6%	10,053	69.6%	4,060	28.1%	
Marion (100 %)	710	32.1%	46	2.1%	27	1.2%	1,448	65.6%	699	31.6%	41	1.9%	21	1.0%	1,448	65.7%	711	32.2%	
Nacogdoches (100 %)	2,763	23.6%	248	2.1%	166	1.4%	8,664	73.9%	2,666	22.7%	281	2.4%	119	1.0%	8,627	73.6%	2,780	23.7%	
Panola (100 %)	1,122	19.7%	94	1.7%	39	0.7%	4,453	78.1%	1,098	19.3%	116	2.0%	33	0.6%	4,503	79.1%	1,089	19.1%	
Rusk (100 %)	1,958	19.0%	179	1.7%	87	0.8%	8,101	78.4%	1,932	18.7%	236	2.3%	64	0.6%	8,130	78.8%	1,960	19.0%	
Sabine (100 %)	434	16.2%	43	1.6%	23	0.9%	2,174	81.4%	419	15.7%	71	2.7%	7	0.3%	2,152	81.0%	451	17.0%	
San Augustine (100 %)	882	34.4%	52	2.0%	32	1.2%	1,638	63.4%	853	33.0%	74	2.9%	20	0.8%	1,619	63.6%	861	33.8%	
Shelby (100 %)	1,228	23.1%	64	1.2%	41	0.8%	3,997	75.1%	1,167	21.9%	116	2.2%	39	0.7%	3,959	75.3%	1,201	22.9%	
Smith (100 %)	10,797	22.5%	1,092	2.3%	379	0.8%	35,847	74.6%	10,569	22.0%	1,289	2.7%	346	0.7%	36,224	75.2%	10,752	22.3%	
DISTRICT 2	31,231	23.1%	3,248	2.4%	1,624	1.2%	99,020	73.5%	30,904	22.9%	3,431	2.5%	1,447	1.1%	99,989	74.0%	31,675	23.4%	
Harris (9 %)	21,196	26.6%	1,791	2.3%	900	1.1%	55,757	70.0%	21,035	26.4%	1,899	2.4%	931	1.2%	56,503	70.8%	21,407	26.8%	
DISTRICT 3	Montgomery (54 %)	10,035	18.1%	1,457	2.6%	724	1.3%	43,263	78.4%	9,869	17.9%	1,532	2.8%	516	0.9%	43,486	78.6%	10,268	18.6%
DISTRICT 4	33,260	27.1%	3,442	2.8%	1,635	1.3%	84,143	68.7%	32,729	26.7%	4,134	3.4%	1,488	1.2%	85,656	69.7%	33,289	27.1%	
Collin (57 %)	25,803	27.9%	2,756	3.0%	1,282	1.4%	62,618	67.7%	25,537	27.6%	3,102	3.4%	1,182	1.3%	63,791	68.7%	26,024	28.0%	
Delta (100 %)	331	24.8%	31	2.3%	17	1.3%	936	71.1%	305	23.2%	57	4.3%	19	1.4%	940	71.5%	329	25.0%	
Franklin (100 %)	380	15.0%	62	2.5%	25	1.0%	2,055	81.5%	366	14.5%	77	3.1%	22	0.9%	2,068	82.6%	361	14.4%	
Hopkins (100 %)	1,789	24.5%	142	1.9%	73	1.0%	5,263	72.4%	1,693	23.3%	269	3.7%	43	0.6%	5,379	74.1%	1,686	23.2%	
Hunt (70 %)	2,491	22.6%	309	2.8%	168	1.5%	8,048	73.2%	2,421	22.0%	369	3.4%	158	1.4%	8,181	74.3%	2,446	22.2%	
Morris (100 %)	1,081	36.2%	67	2.2%	27	0.9%	1,801	60.2%	1,064	35.6%	101	3.4%	24	0.8%	1,826	61.3%	1,078	36.2%	
Titus (100 %)	1,385	27.8%	75	1.5%	43	0.9%	3,422	68.9%	1,343	27.1%	159	3.2%	40	0.8%	3,471	70.1%	1,365	27.6%	
DISTRICT 4	35,618	27.0%	3,539	2.7%	1,757	1.3%	90,829	68.8%	35,404	26.8%	4,240	3.2%	1,526	1.2%	92,609	69.9%	35,876	27.1%	
Bowie (24 %)	1,090	21.5%	126	2.5%	73	1.4%	3,701	73.1%	1,114	22.0%	195	3.9%	52	1.0%	3,781	74.5%	1,124	22.2%	
Collin (40 %)	22,034	30.2%	2,103	2.9%	1,018	1.4%	47,709	65.4%	22,028	30.2%	2,337	3.2%	919	1.3%	48,773	66.5%	22,304	30.4%	
Denton (10 %)	2,658	23.4%	278	2.4%	106	0.9%	8,293	73.1%	2,631	23.2%	333	2.9%	92	0.8%	8,412	73.7%	2,700	23.7%	
Fannin (100 %)	1,381	21.7%	170	2.7%	114	1.8%	4,692	73.7%	1,369	21.5%	208	3.3%	99	1.6%	4,790	75.4%	1,368	21.5%	
Grayson (100 %)	4,987	21.6%	575	2.5%	292	1.3%	17,290	74.7%	4,896	21.2%	707	3.1%	248	1.1%	17,546	75.6%	4,987	21.5%	

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

	SUP CT CHIEF			SUP CT 6				SUP CT 7				SUP CT 8							
	Oxford-L		Brown-R	Meyers-D	Ash-L	Boyd-R		Benavides-D		Fulton-L	Waterbury-G	Johnson-R							
	STATE	141,321	3.1%	2,772,665	60.3%	1,677,390	36.5%	146,511	3.2%	2,711,106	58.9%	1,730,904	37.6%	126,713	2.8%	34,487	0.7%	2,947,759	78.8%
DISTRICT 1	STATE	141,321	3.1%	2,772,665	60.3%	1,677,390	36.5%	146,511	3.2%	2,711,106	58.9%	1,730,904	37.6%	126,713	2.8%	34,487	0.7%	2,947,759	78.8%
		3,805	2.4%	117,245	74.4%	36,412	23.1%	3,892	2.5%	116,799	74.2%	36,138	23.0%	3,632	2.3%	740	0.5%	121,115	89.1%
	Bowie (76 %)	501	3.2%	11,046	70.5%	4,175	26.6%	455	2.9%	10,905	69.8%	4,209	27.0%	424	2.7%	77	0.5%	11,713	85.2%
	Cass (100 %)	156	2.3%	4,981	73.2%	1,679	24.7%	144	2.1%	4,957	73.1%	1,636	24.1%	150	2.2%	34	0.5%	5,201	90.8%
	Cherokee (100 %)	265	2.8%	7,248	77.0%	1,894	20.1%	266	2.8%	7,231	77.1%	1,839	19.6%	251	2.7%	58	0.6%	7,453	90.2%
	Gregg (100 %)	469	2.0%	16,824	73.4%	5,628	24.6%	468	2.0%	16,758	73.2%	5,595	24.4%	464	2.0%	90	0.4%	17,237	90.0%
	Harrison (100 %)	339	2.3%	10,140	70.2%	3,925	27.2%	373	2.6%	10,163	70.6%	3,852	26.8%	316	2.2%	68	0.5%	10,602	87.8%
	Marion (100 %)	46	2.1%	1,471	66.5%	693	31.3%	47	2.1%	1,464	66.3%	689	31.2%	45	2.0%	10	0.5%	1,512	88.5%
	Nacogdoches (100 %)	312	2.7%	8,675	74.3%	2,665	22.8%	336	2.9%	8,652	74.2%	2,664	22.9%	272	2.3%	66	0.6%	8,873	86.8%
	Panola (100 %)	99	1.7%	4,509	79.3%	1,063	18.7%	111	2.0%	4,480	78.9%	1,049	18.5%	121	2.1%	26	0.5%	4,625	92.0%
	Rusk (100 %)	226	2.2%	8,193	79.7%	1,855	18.0%	234	2.3%	8,155	79.3%	1,874	18.2%	211	2.1%	40	0.4%	8,382	91.9%
	Sabine (100 %)	55	2.1%	2,190	82.8%	388	14.7%	68	2.6%	2,206	84.5%	330	12.6%	66	2.5%	10	0.4%	2,265	91.6%
	San Augustine (100 %)	66	2.6%	1,668	65.8%	792	31.2%	76	3.0%	1,725	67.6%	738	28.9%	63	2.5%	24	0.9%	1,828	85.4%
	Shelby (100 %)	95	1.8%	4,032	77.0%	1,099	21.0%	102	1.9%	4,003	76.5%	1,112	21.3%	95	1.8%	22	0.4%	4,238	91.4%
DISTRICT 2	Smith (100 %)	1,176	2.4%	36,268	75.5%	10,556	22.0%	1,212	2.5%	36,100	75.2%	10,551	22.0%	1,154	2.4%	215	0.4%	37,186	89.3%
		3,448	2.6%	100,199	74.5%	30,933	23.0%	3,415	2.5%	98,337	73.1%	32,530	24.2%	3,018	2.2%	709	0.5%	103,453	86.4%
DISTRICT 3	Harris (9 %)	1,888	2.4%	56,609	71.3%	20,899	26.3%	1,853	2.3%	55,296	69.6%	22,044	27.8%	1,628	2.1%	440	0.6%	58,491	85.6%
	Montgomery (54 %)	1,560	2.8%	43,590	79.0%	10,034	18.2%	1,562	2.8%	43,041	78.0%	10,486	19.0%	1,390	2.5%	269	0.5%	44,962	87.3%
		3,865	3.1%	85,887	70.2%	32,483	26.5%	3,978	3.3%	85,027	69.5%	33,033	27.0%	3,583	2.9%	731	0.6%	89,294	83.5%
DISTRICT 4	Collin (57 %)	2,986	3.2%	63,632	68.9%	25,663	27.8%	3,090	3.3%	62,904	68.1%	26,259	28.4%	2,729	3.0%	538	0.6%	66,062	81.7%
	Delta (100 %)	45	3.4%	983	74.7%	293	22.3%	40	3.0%	969	74.0%	286	21.8%	43	3.3%	11	0.8%	1,046	88.8%
	Franklin (100 %)	75	3.0%	2,091	84.0%	321	12.9%	78	3.1%	2,087	83.6%	326	13.1%	69	2.8%	13	0.5%	2,150	92.7%
	Hopkins (100 %)	196	2.7%	5,480	75.5%	1,576	21.7%	198	2.7%	5,442	75.2%	1,565	21.6%	188	2.6%	39	0.5%	5,734	90.0%
	Hunt (70 %)	378	3.4%	8,238	75.1%	2,361	21.5%	373	3.4%	8,146	74.4%	2,378	21.7%	345	3.1%	87	0.8%	8,480	88.4%
	Morris (100 %)	73	2.5%	1,877	63.1%	1,009	33.9%	87	2.9%	1,881	63.0%	1,004	33.6%	82	2.7%	18	0.6%	2,001	84.4%
	Titus (100 %)	112	2.3%	3,586	72.3%	1,260	25.4%	112	2.3%	3,598	72.5%	1,215	24.5%	127	2.6%	25	0.5%	3,821	89.1%
		3,977	3.0%	92,749	70.3%	34,991	26.5%	4,110	3.1%	91,698	69.6%	35,598	27.0%	3,723	2.8%	767	0.6%	96,808	83.1%
	Bowie (24 %)	168	3.3%	3,932	77.7%	961	19.0%	169	3.3%	3,892	77.4%	961	19.1%	143	2.8%	34	0.7%	4,151	89.2%
	Collin (40 %)	2,299	3.1%	48,358	66.3%	22,201	30.4%	2,381	3.3%	47,710	65.4%	22,738	31.2%	2,117	2.9%	414	0.6%	50,675	79.5%
	Denton (10 %)	298	2.6%	8,405	73.9%	2,679	23.6%	290	2.5%	8,313	73.2%	2,712	23.9%	292	2.6%	34	0.3%	8,774	84.9%
	Fannin (100 %)	193	3.0%	4,862	76.7%	1,277	20.1%	204	3.2%	4,833	76.3%	1,257	19.8%	182	2.9%	66	1.0%	5,065	88.3%
	Grayson (100 %)	668	2.9%	17,708	76.6%	4,740	20.5%	683	3.0%	17,573	75.9%	4,781	20.7%	643	2.8%	145	0.6%	18,211	87.9%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

	SUP CT 8				CCA 3				CCA 4				CCA 9						
	Koelsch-L		Chisholm-G		Richardson-R		Granberg-D		Bennett-L		Yearly-R		Parker-L	Sanders-Castro-G	Newell-R				
	STATE	447,479	12.0%	345,640	9.2%	2,738,093	59.8%	1,672,821	36.6%	165,315	3.6%	2,876,166	76.3%	498,937	13.2%	393,991	10.5%	2,929,782	78.3%
DISTRICT 1	STATE	9,156	6.7%	5,682	4.2%	115,858	73.8%	36,580	23.3%	4,510	2.9%	119,279	87.3%	12,047	8.8%	5,291	3.9%	120,433	88.4%
	Bowie (76 %)	1,269	9.2%	761	5.5%	10,752	69.2%	4,198	27.0%	586	3.8%	11,506	83.7%	1,584	11.5%	663	4.8%	11,675	84.0%
	Cass (100 %)	297	5.2%	231	4.0%	4,909	72.6%	1,682	24.9%	167	2.5%	5,118	89.3%	427	7.5%	184	3.2%	5,181	90.6%
	Cherokee (100 %)	530	6.4%	280	3.4%	7,146	76.3%	1,898	20.3%	316	3.4%	7,294	88.1%	784	9.5%	202	2.4%	7,419	89.9%
	Gregg (100 %)	1,135	5.9%	773	4.0%	16,781	73.2%	5,606	24.5%	540	2.4%	17,028	87.9%	1,515	7.8%	833	4.3%	17,146	88.8%
	Harrison (100 %)	901	7.5%	574	4.8%	10,027	69.9%	3,912	27.3%	410	2.9%	10,498	86.8%	1,096	9.1%	503	4.2%	10,532	87.4%
	Marion (100 %)	115	6.7%	81	4.7%	1,458	66.2%	695	31.5%	50	2.3%	1,491	86.7%	153	8.9%	76	4.4%	1,516	89.0%
	Nacogdoches (100 %)	819	8.0%	531	5.2%	8,580	73.9%	2,671	23.0%	354	3.1%	8,742	85.5%	1,004	9.8%	483	4.7%	8,816	86.7%
	Panola (100 %)	272	5.4%	129	2.6%	4,397	77.6%	1,138	20.1%	130	2.3%	4,561	90.7%	371	7.4%	97	1.9%	4,601	91.5%
	Rusk (100 %)	470	5.2%	272	3.0%	8,115	79.1%	1,874	18.3%	267	2.6%	8,280	90.3%	648	7.1%	245	2.7%	8,357	91.4%
	Sabine (100 %)	138	5.6%	69	2.8%	2,154	81.5%	401	15.2%	87	3.3%	2,218	90.5%	182	7.4%	52	2.1%	2,260	91.5%
	San Augustine (100 %)	183	8.5%	130	6.1%	1,665	64.6%	815	31.6%	96	3.7%	1,789	81.7%	288	13.1%	114	5.2%	1,798	83.0%
	Shelby (100 %)	257	5.5%	143	3.1%	3,948	76.1%	1,117	21.5%	122	2.4%	4,151	89.9%	356	7.7%	108	2.3%	4,213	91.2%
DISTRICT 2	Smith (100 %)	2,770	6.6%	1,708	4.1%	35,926	75.0%	10,573	22.1%	1,385	2.9%	36,603	87.2%	3,639	8.7%	1,731	4.1%	36,919	88.4%
	STATE	9,081	7.6%	7,256	6.1%	99,301	74.1%	30,982	23.1%	3,815	2.8%	102,826	85.5%	9,446	7.9%	7,976	6.6%	103,292	86.1%
DISTRICT 3	Harris (9 %)	5,407	7.9%	4,399	6.4%	55,991	70.8%	20,974	26.5%	2,139	2.7%	58,071	84.5%	5,710	8.3%	4,958	7.2%	58,466	85.2%
	Montgomery (54 %)	3,674	7.1%	2,857	5.5%	43,310	78.8%	10,008	18.2%	1,676	3.0%	44,755	86.9%	3,736	7.3%	3,018	5.9%	44,826	87.3%
	STATE	10,370	9.7%	7,325	6.8%	84,985	69.8%	32,475	26.7%	4,336	3.6%	87,569	80.9%	12,723	11.7%	7,997	7.4%	88,567	82.4%
DISTRICT 4	Collin (57 %)	8,623	10.7%	6,179	7.6%	63,161	68.6%	25,568	27.8%	3,285	3.6%	64,883	79.0%	10,154	12.4%	7,059	8.6%	65,392	80.4%
	Delta (100 %)	78	6.6%	54	4.6%	943	72.4%	300	23.0%	60	4.6%	1,014	86.1%	123	10.4%	41	3.5%	1,044	88.9%
	Franklin (100 %)	102	4.4%	68	2.9%	2,063	83.0%	332	13.4%	91	3.7%	2,118	91.3%	154	6.6%	48	2.1%	2,146	92.2%
	Hopkins (100 %)	399	6.3%	241	3.8%	5,352	74.5%	1,587	22.1%	241	3.4%	5,568	87.2%	626	9.8%	192	3.0%	5,712	89.7%
	Hunt (70 %)	652	6.8%	462	4.8%	8,131	74.5%	2,367	21.7%	417	3.8%	8,324	86.4%	900	9.3%	409	4.2%	8,484	88.3%
	Morris (100 %)	227	9.6%	142	6.0%	1,847	62.2%	1,041	35.0%	83	2.8%	1,955	82.4%	312	13.2%	105	4.4%	1,990	84.2%
	Titus (100 %)	289	6.7%	179	4.2%	3,488	70.8%	1,280	26.0%	159	3.2%	3,707	86.1%	454	10.5%	143	3.3%	3,799	88.7%
	STATE	11,108	9.5%	8,586	7.4%	91,639	69.9%	34,934	26.7%	4,495	3.4%	94,871	80.7%	13,500	11.5%	9,169	7.8%	95,908	82.2%
	Bowie (24 %)	302	6.5%	200	4.3%	3,781	75.4%	1,025	20.4%	209	4.2%	4,029	86.6%	468	10.1%	156	3.4%	4,149	88.5%
	Collin (40 %)	7,377	11.6%	5,697	8.9%	48,028	66.2%	22,035	30.4%	2,497	3.4%	49,687	76.9%	8,377	13.0%	6,543	10.1%	50,005	78.3%
	Denton (10 %)	1,001	9.7%	563	5.4%	8,329	73.7%	2,640	23.4%	333	2.9%	8,716	83.7%	1,060	10.2%	634	6.1%	8,748	84.2%
	Fannin (100 %)	229	4.0%	444	7.7%	4,773	75.9%	1,284	20.4%	231	3.7%	4,918	86.2%	392	6.9%	396	6.9%	5,033	88.6%
	Grayson (100 %)	1,370	6.6%	1,127	5.4%	17,492	75.9%	4,779	20.7%	772	3.4%	17,847	85.6%	1,957	9.4%	1,038	5.0%	18,112	87.2%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

CCA 9

Strange-L Altgelt-G

DISTRICT 1	STATE	491,967	13.1%	320,457	8.6%
		11,352	8.3%	4,495	3.3%
Bowie (76 %)	1,641	11.8%	578	4.2%	
Cass (100 %)	364	6.4%	174	3.0%	
Cherokee (100 %)	628	7.6%	207	2.5%	
Gregg (100 %)	1,477	7.7%	680	3.5%	
Harrison (100 %)	1,104	9.2%	414	3.4%	
Marion (100 %)	124	7.3%	64	3.8%	
Nacogdoches (100 %)	923	9.1%	434	4.3%	
Panola (100 %)	345	6.9%	85	1.7%	
Rusk (100 %)	584	6.4%	204	2.2%	
Sabine (100 %)	159	6.4%	50	2.0%	
San Augustine (100 %)	274	12.6%	95	4.4%	
Shelby (100 %)	322	7.0%	86	1.9%	
Smith (100 %)	3,407	8.2%	1,424	3.4%	
	9,769	8.1%	6,852	5.7%	
DISTRICT 2	Harris (9 %)	5,867	8.6%	4,252	6.2%
DISTRICT 3	Montgomery (54 %)	3,902	7.6%	2,600	5.1%
	12,162	11.3%	6,707	6.2%	
DISTRICT 4	Collin (57 %)	10,035	12.3%	5,886	7.2%
	Delta (100 %)	86	7.3%	45	3.8%
	Franklin (100 %)	149	6.4%	33	1.4%
	Hopkins (100 %)	487	7.6%	169	2.7%
	Hunt (70 %)	753	7.8%	370	3.9%
	Morris (100 %)	293	12.4%	80	3.4%
	Titus (100 %)	359	8.4%	124	2.9%
	12,902	11.1%	7,861	6.7%	
	Bowie (24 %)	409	8.7%	129	2.8%
	Collin (40 %)	8,315	13.0%	5,550	8.7%
	Denton (10 %)	1,079	10.4%	560	5.4%
	Fannin (100 %)	319	5.6%	331	5.8%
	Grayson (100 %)	1,731	8.3%	921	4.4%

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

		U.S. SEN										GOVERNOR							
		Cornyn-R		Alameel-D		Paddock-L		Sanchez-G		Tahiro-W		Abbott-R		Davis-D		Glass-L		Parmer-G	
		Candidate	Count	Candidate	Count	Candidate	Count	Candidate	Count	Candidate	Count	Candidate	Count	Candidate	Count	Candidate	Count	Candidate	Count
DISTRICT 4	Lamar (100 %)	8,062	75.5%	2,274	21.3%	284	2.7%	60	0.6%	0	0.0%	7,785	71.4%	2,869	26.3%	171	1.6%	74	0.7%
	Red River (100 %)	1,953	74.7%	597	22.8%	54	2.1%	11	0.4%	0	0.0%	1,993	72.0%	730	26.4%	31	1.1%	14	0.5%
DISTRICT 5	84,527	67.2%	37,166	29.6%	3,079	2.4%	945	0.8%	9	0.0%	82,064	64.3%	43,465	34.0%	1,645	1.3%	447	0.4%	
	Anderson (100 %)	7,791	76.9%	2,017	19.9%	250	2.5%	74	0.7%	2	0.0%	7,732	75.6%	2,286	22.3%	141	1.4%	54	0.5%
	Dallas (16 %)	38,678	57.8%	25,956	38.8%	1,649	2.5%	596	0.9%	0	0.0%	36,873	54.2%	30,103	44.3%	846	1.2%	202	0.3%
	Henderson (100 %)	13,264	79.1%	3,027	18.0%	402	2.4%	83	0.5%	0	0.0%	13,118	76.6%	3,689	21.5%	255	1.5%	60	0.4%
	Kaufman (100 %)	14,930	74.1%	4,619	22.9%	474	2.4%	129	0.6%	0	0.0%	14,590	71.7%	5,449	26.8%	241	1.2%	73	0.4%
	Van Zandt (100 %)	9,864	83.7%	1,547	13.1%	304	2.6%	63	0.5%	7	0.1%	9,751	81.8%	1,938	16.3%	162	1.4%	58	0.5%
DISTRICT 6	89,933	69.5%	34,688	26.8%	3,615	2.8%	1,142	0.9%	7	0.0%	87,741	67.0%	40,789	31.2%	1,828	1.4%	534	0.4%	
	Dallas (8 %)	12,236	55.7%	8,789	40.0%	645	2.9%	281	1.3%	0	0.0%	11,877	53.3%	9,976	44.8%	327	1.5%	97	0.4%
	Ellis (100 %)	24,106	75.6%	6,703	21.0%	857	2.7%	220	0.7%	0	0.0%	23,604	73.5%	7,963	24.8%	434	1.4%	135	0.4%
	Johnson (53 %)	12,472	79.5%	2,600	16.6%	500	3.2%	110	0.7%	0	0.0%	12,276	77.7%	3,217	20.4%	238	1.5%	67	0.4%
	Navarro (100 %)	7,129	76.0%	1,960	20.9%	214	2.3%	73	0.8%	4	0.0%	6,983	73.2%	2,376	24.9%	118	1.2%	55	0.6%
	Tarrant (10 %)	33,990	67.3%	14,636	29.0%	1,399	2.8%	458	0.9%	3	0.0%	33,001	64.5%	17,257	33.7%	711	1.4%	180	0.4%
DISTRICT 7	50,242	47.4%	51,146	48.3%	2,827	2.7%	1,679	1.6%	17	0.0%	45,954	42.8%	59,684	55.5%	1,401	1.3%	429	0.4%	
	Fort Bend (21 %)	9,327	47.3%	9,809	49.8%	394	2.0%	167	0.8%	12	0.1%	8,959	45.0%	10,642	53.5%	220	1.1%	73	0.4%
	Harris (13 %)	40,915	47.5%	41,337	48.0%	2,433	2.8%	1,512	1.8%	5	0.0%	36,995	42.2%	49,042	56.0%	1,181	1.3%	356	0.4%
	73,459	69.5%	28,300	26.8%	2,953	2.8%	941	0.9%	4	0.0%	72,434	67.6%	32,945	30.7%	1,408	1.3%	396	0.4%	
	Harris (8 %)	24,035	53.6%	19,061	42.5%	1,199	2.7%	542	1.2%	2	0.0%	23,233	51.0%	21,573	47.3%	610	1.3%	172	0.4%
	Montgomery (46 %)	40,643	84.5%	5,813	12.1%	1,398	2.9%	264	0.5%	1	0.0%	40,566	83.3%	7,329	15.0%	641	1.3%	164	0.3%
DISTRICT 8	Walker (38 %)	2,393	64.8%	1,096	29.7%	149	4.0%	53	1.4%	0	0.0%	2,344	62.2%	1,330	35.3%	73	1.9%	20	0.5%
	Waller (100 %)	6,388	70.9%	2,330	25.9%	207	2.3%	82	0.9%	1	0.0%	6,291	68.9%	2,713	29.7%	84	0.9%	40	0.4%
	48,323	60.3%	28,816	36.0%	1,867	2.3%	1,086	1.4%	4	0.0%	48,142	58.8%	32,292	39.5%	959	1.2%	409	0.5%	
	Harris (14 %)	38,830	57.1%	26,655	39.2%	1,517	2.2%	1,019	1.5%	4	0.0%	38,566	55.6%	29,611	42.7%	781	1.1%	342	0.5%
	Liberty (100 %)	9,493	78.6%	2,161	17.9%	350	2.9%	67	0.6%	0	0.0%	9,576	76.6%	2,681	21.4%	178	1.4%	67	0.5%
	99,535	66.3%	43,829	29.2%	5,293	3.5%	1,465	1.0%	23	0.0%	96,719	63.4%	52,400	34.4%	2,772	1.8%	558	0.4%	
DISTRICT 10	Bastrop (2 %)	193	68.4%	68	24.1%	15	5.3%	5	1.8%	1	0.4%	177	61.5%	96	33.3%	13	4.5%	2	0.7%
	Brazos (100 %)	22,089	71.4%	7,411	23.9%	1,057	3.4%	392	1.3%	1	0.0%	21,774	69.2%	9,092	28.9%	501	1.6%	116	0.4%
	Burleson (100 %)	3,121	76.3%	858	21.0%	87	2.1%	24	0.6%	0	0.0%	3,199	76.0%	959	22.8%	42	1.0%	8	0.2%
	Grimes (100 %)	3,698	76.2%	958	19.8%	146	3.0%	48	1.0%	0	0.0%	3,716	75.0%	1,125	22.7%	80	1.6%	32	0.6%
	Houston (100 %)	3,644	79.0%	851	18.4%	95	2.1%	19	0.4%	4	0.1%	3,694	79.5%	901	19.4%	41	0.9%	10	0.2%
	Lee (100 %)	3,212	76.5%	797	19.0%	151	3.6%	37	0.9%	0	0.0%	3,199	74.7%	963	22.5%	94	2.2%	27	0.6%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

	GOVERNOR		LT. GOVERNOR						ATTORNEY GEN					
	Pavitt-W	Patrick-R	Van De Putte-D	Butler-L	Courtney-G	Paxton-R	Houston-D	Balagia-L	Osborne-G					
DISTRICT 4	Lamar (100 %)	0 0.0%	7,847 73.0%	2,588 24.1%	272 2.5%	47 0.4%	7,698 72.1%	2,750 25.8%	188 1.8%	38 0.4%				
	Red River (100 %)	0 0.0%	1,983 72.8%	674 24.8%	63 2.3%	3 0.1%	1,929 71.7%	716 26.6%	37 1.4%	7 0.3%				
DISTRICT 5	34 0.0%	81,037 63.9%	42,212 33.3%	3,072 2.4%	552 0.4%	81,652 64.6%	41,756 33.0%	2,420 1.9%	530 0.4%					
	Anderson (100 %)	19 0.2%	7,659 75.3%	2,215 21.8%	251 2.5%	50 0.5%	7,686 75.7%	2,244 22.1%	181 1.8%	42 0.4%				
DISTRICT 6	Dallas (16 %)	0 0.0%	35,990 53.3%	29,609 43.8%	1,614 2.4%	323 0.5%	36,512 54.4%	28,954 43.1%	1,342 2.0%	319 0.5%				
	Henderson (100 %)	3 0.0%	13,097 76.8%	3,456 20.3%	436 2.6%	55 0.3%	13,132 76.9%	3,570 20.9%	305 1.8%	64 0.4%				
DISTRICT 7	Kaufman (100 %)	0 0.0%	14,547 71.7%	5,162 25.4%	498 2.5%	82 0.4%	14,655 72.5%	5,117 25.3%	376 1.9%	75 0.4%				
	Van Zandt (100 %)	12 0.1%	9,744 82.4%	1,770 15.0%	273 2.3%	42 0.4%	9,667 82.0%	1,871 15.9%	216 1.8%	30 0.3%				
DISTRICT 8	16 0.0%	86,978 66.8%	39,059 30.0%	3,465 2.7%	647 0.5%	87,646 67.6%	38,445 29.7%	2,850 2.2%	648 0.5%					
	Dallas (8 %)	0 0.0%	11,747 53.1%	9,726 43.9%	528 2.4%	137 0.6%	11,820 53.7%	9,511 43.2%	540 2.5%	141 0.6%				
DISTRICT 9	Ellis (100 %)	0 0.0%	23,386 73.1%	7,589 23.7%	855 2.7%	144 0.5%	23,439 73.7%	7,598 23.9%	668 2.1%	116 0.4%				
	Johnson (53 %)	3 0.0%	12,198 77.6%	2,991 19.0%	468 3.0%	56 0.4%	12,257 78.2%	2,998 19.1%	345 2.2%	67 0.4%				
DISTRICT 10	Navarro (100 %)	10 0.1%	6,954 73.6%	2,235 23.6%	216 2.3%	46 0.5%	7,000 74.2%	2,247 23.8%	142 1.5%	42 0.4%				
	Tarrant (10 %)	3 0.0%	32,693 64.3%	16,518 32.5%	1,398 2.7%	264 0.5%	33,130 65.4%	16,091 31.8%	1,155 2.3%	282 0.6%				
DISTRICT 11	7 0.0%	43,586 40.8%	59,327 55.6%	2,875 2.7%	980 0.9%	45,797 43.0%	57,119 53.7%	2,543 2.4%	961 0.9%					
	Fort Bend (21 %)	4 0.0%	8,776 44.3%	10,497 53.0%	358 1.8%	171 0.9%	8,967 45.3%	10,393 52.6%	294 1.5%	123 0.6%				
DISTRICT 12	Harris (13 %)	3 0.0%	34,810 40.0%	48,830 56.1%	2,517 2.9%	809 0.9%	36,830 42.5%	46,726 53.9%	2,249 2.6%	838 1.0%				
	5 0.0%	71,607 67.0%	32,171 30.1%	2,416 2.3%	677 0.6%	72,511 68.0%	31,564 29.6%	1,923 1.8%	567 0.5%					
DISTRICT 13	Harris (8 %)	0 0.0%	22,888 50.4%	21,160 46.6%	1,035 2.3%	341 0.8%	23,214 51.2%	20,874 46.0%	933 2.1%	345 0.8%				
	Montgomery (46 %)	4 0.0%	40,137 82.5%	7,134 14.7%	1,092 2.2%	268 0.6%	40,680 83.9%	6,835 14.1%	792 1.6%	156 0.3%				
DISTRICT 14	Walker (38 %)	1 0.0%	2,319 62.3%	1,269 34.1%	118 3.2%	18 0.5%	2,306 62.2%	1,290 34.8%	86 2.3%	23 0.6%				
	Waller (100 %)	0 0.0%	6,263 68.9%	2,608 28.7%	171 1.9%	50 0.5%	6,311 69.9%	2,565 28.4%	112 1.2%	43 0.5%				
DISTRICT 15	8 0.0%	47,610 58.3%	31,868 39.1%	1,676 2.1%	441 0.5%	47,772 58.7%	31,658 38.9%	1,416 1.7%	550 0.7%					
	Harris (14 %)	8 0.0%	38,056 55.0%	29,345 42.4%	1,357 2.0%	399 0.6%	38,258 55.4%	29,037 42.1%	1,230 1.8%	506 0.7%				
DISTRICT 16	Liberty (100 %)	0 0.0%	9,554 76.8%	2,523 20.3%	319 2.6%	42 0.3%	9,514 76.9%	2,621 21.2%	186 1.5%	44 0.4%				
	22 0.0%	93,579 61.9%	51,216 33.9%	5,447 3.6%	904 0.6%	93,652 62.3%	50,555 33.6%	5,321 3.5%	878 0.6%					
DISTRICT 17	Bastrop (2 %)	0 0.0%	182 64.1%	81 28.5%	18 6.3%	3 1.1%	175 61.6%	83 29.2%	26 9.2%	0 0.0%				
	Brazos (100 %)	5 0.0%	20,847 66.8%	9,121 29.2%	1,046 3.4%	202 0.6%	20,849 67.0%	9,115 29.3%	916 2.9%	226 0.7%				
DISTRICT 18	Burleson (100 %)	0 0.0%	3,140 75.3%	938 22.5%	80 1.9%	13 0.3%	3,081 74.0%	1,003 24.1%	64 1.5%	17 0.4%				
	Grimes (100 %)	2 0.0%	3,670 74.2%	1,110 22.5%	137 2.8%	26 0.5%	3,674 74.5%	1,127 22.9%	107 2.2%	23 0.5%				
DISTRICT 19	Houston (100 %)	2 0.0%	3,656 79.2%	867 18.8%	84 1.8%	9 0.2%	3,565 77.4%	974 21.2%	46 1.0%	18 0.4%				
	Lee (100 %)	1 0.0%	3,166 75.1%	877 20.8%	157 3.7%	14 0.3%	3,025 72.5%	988 23.7%	138 3.3%	22 0.5%				

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

		COMPTROLLER							LAND COMM							AG COMM	
		Hegar-R		Collier-D		Sanders-L		Shafto-G		Bush-R		Cook-D		Knight-L		Alessi-G	
DISTRICT 4	Lamar (100 %)	7,402	70.5%	2,732	26.0%	282	2.7%	77	0.7%	7,761	72.8%	2,551	23.9%	282	2.6%	73	0.7%
	Red River (100 %)	1,842	70.1%	707	26.9%	66	2.5%	11	0.4%	1,935	72.3%	667	24.9%	59	2.2%	16	0.6%
DISTRICT 5		79,902	63.9%	40,898	32.7%	3,190	2.6%	1,016	0.8%	83,688	66.3%	38,323	30.4%	2,964	2.3%	1,200	1.0%
DISTRICT 6	Anderson (100 %)	7,463	74.2%	2,251	22.4%	269	2.7%	70	0.7%	7,550	74.4%	2,230	22.0%	293	2.9%	79	0.8%
	Dallas (16 %)	35,862	54.1%	28,231	42.6%	1,623	2.4%	613	0.9%	38,371	57.2%	26,423	39.4%	1,462	2.2%	772	1.2%
	Henderson (100 %)	12,808	75.9%	3,497	20.7%	467	2.8%	103	0.6%	13,246	77.9%	3,238	19.0%	417	2.5%	106	0.6%
	Kaufman (100 %)	14,316	71.3%	5,101	25.4%	527	2.6%	144	0.7%	14,819	73.3%	4,761	23.5%	493	2.4%	148	0.7%
	Van Zandt (100 %)	9,453	81.1%	1,818	15.6%	304	2.6%	86	0.7%	9,702	82.5%	1,671	14.2%	299	2.5%	95	0.8%
		85,632	66.7%	37,824	29.5%	3,803	3.0%	1,110	0.9%	89,155	68.8%	35,485	27.4%	3,472	2.7%	1,385	1.1%
DISTRICT 7	Dallas (8 %)	11,529	52.9%	9,356	42.9%	670	3.1%	253	1.2%	12,146	55.3%	8,865	40.4%	602	2.7%	350	1.6%
	Ellis (100 %)	22,868	72.7%	7,417	23.6%	934	3.0%	254	0.8%	23,835	74.9%	6,903	21.7%	805	2.5%	265	0.8%
	Johnson (53 %)	11,999	77.0%	2,949	18.9%	498	3.2%	129	0.8%	12,296	78.4%	2,745	17.5%	480	3.1%	160	1.0%
	Navarro (100 %)	6,826	72.9%	2,253	24.1%	233	2.5%	52	0.6%	7,045	74.4%	2,155	22.8%	205	2.2%	60	0.6%
	Tarrant (10 %)	32,410	64.6%	15,849	31.6%	1,468	2.9%	422	0.8%	33,833	66.9%	14,817	29.3%	1,380	2.7%	550	1.1%
		45,731	43.4%	55,731	52.9%	2,629	2.5%	1,253	1.2%	50,729	47.9%	50,874	48.1%	2,411	2.3%	1,832	1.7%
DISTRICT 8	Fort Bend (21 %)	8,970	45.5%	10,252	52.0%	336	1.7%	160	0.8%	9,149	46.3%	10,024	50.7%	382	1.9%	217	1.1%
	Harris (13 %)	36,761	42.9%	45,479	53.1%	2,293	2.7%	1,093	1.3%	41,580	48.3%	40,850	47.5%	2,029	2.4%	1,615	1.9%
		71,814	67.7%	31,312	29.5%	2,311	2.2%	717	0.7%	73,503	69.0%	29,431	27.6%	2,589	2.4%	955	0.9%
	Harris (8 %)	22,962	50.9%	20,742	46.0%	1,018	2.3%	386	0.9%	24,088	53.2%	19,638	43.4%	1,003	2.2%	534	1.2%
	Montgomery (46 %)	40,157	83.2%	6,832	14.1%	1,041	2.2%	254	0.5%	40,726	84.1%	6,117	12.6%	1,287	2.7%	313	0.6%
	Walker (38 %)	2,248	61.5%	1,251	34.2%	127	3.5%	31	0.8%	2,356	63.7%	1,182	31.9%	116	3.1%	47	1.3%
DISTRICT 9	Waller (100 %)	6,447	70.8%	2,487	27.3%	125	1.4%	46	0.5%	6,333	69.8%	2,494	27.5%	183	2.0%	61	0.7%
		46,673	57.7%	31,835	39.4%	1,683	2.1%	709	0.9%	48,584	59.8%	30,166	37.1%	1,601	2.0%	916	1.1%
	Harris (14 %)	37,498	54.6%	29,191	42.5%	1,382	2.0%	625	0.9%	39,099	56.7%	27,733	40.2%	1,264	1.8%	821	1.2%
	Liberty (100 %)	9,175	75.2%	2,644	21.7%	301	2.5%	84	0.7%	9,485	76.8%	2,433	19.7%	337	2.7%	95	0.8%
		92,874	62.4%	48,768	32.8%	5,788	3.9%	1,393	0.9%	97,296	64.9%	45,469	30.3%	5,283	3.5%	1,849	1.2%
	Bastrop (2 %)	178	62.9%	81	28.6%	19	6.7%	5	1.8%	181	64.4%	73	26.0%	19	6.8%	8	2.8%
DISTRICT 10	Brazos (100 %)	20,647	67.5%	8,494	27.8%	1,154	3.8%	298	1.0%	21,723	70.2%	7,806	25.2%	1,065	3.4%	359	1.2%
	Burleson (100 %)	3,037	73.7%	975	23.6%	93	2.3%	18	0.4%	3,063	73.7%	983	23.7%	90	2.2%	20	0.5%
	Grimes (100 %)	3,610	73.7%	1,101	22.5%	141	2.9%	46	0.9%	3,682	74.7%	1,055	21.4%	142	2.9%	50	1.0%
	Houston (100 %)	3,492	76.3%	970	21.2%	89	1.9%	26	0.6%	3,557	77.4%	930	20.2%	84	1.8%	26	0.6%
	Lee (100 %)	2,887	70.9%	1,007	24.7%	140	3.4%	40	1.0%	2,984	71.8%	989	23.8%	139	3.3%	44	1.1%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

		AG COMM						RR COMM 3						SUP CT CHIEF					
		Hogan-D		Palmquist-L		Kendrick-G		Sitton-R		Brown-D		Miller-L		Salinas-G		Hecht-R			
		Candidate	Count	Candidate	Count	Candidate	Count	Candidate	Count	Candidate	Count	Candidate	Count	Candidate	Count	Candidate	Count		
DISTRICT 4	Lamar (100 %)	2,747	26.2%	240	2.3%	126	1.2%	7,365	70.3%	2,654	25.3%	361	3.4%	102	1.0%	7,491	71.7%	2,662	25.5%
	Red River (100 %)	721	27.5%	47	1.8%	28	1.1%	1,779	68.3%	712	27.3%	99	3.8%	14	0.5%	1,816	69.8%	731	28.1%
DISTRICT 5	39,659	31.8%	3,008	2.4%	1,621	1.3%	80,226	64.3%	39,295	31.5%	3,598	2.9%	1,686	1.4%	82,216	65.8%	39,495	31.6%	
DISTRICT 6	Anderson (100 %)	2,315	23.0%	226	2.2%	136	1.4%	7,404	73.5%	2,221	22.0%	350	3.5%	100	1.0%	7,494	74.5%	2,258	22.4%
	Dallas (16 %)	26,984	40.8%	1,582	2.4%	948	1.4%	36,312	54.9%	26,912	40.7%	1,825	2.8%	1,106	1.7%	37,652	56.7%	27,072	40.8%
	Henderson (100 %)	3,453	20.5%	436	2.6%	185	1.1%	12,801	75.9%	3,431	20.3%	503	3.0%	131	0.8%	13,003	77.0%	3,410	20.2%
	Kaufman (100 %)	5,047	25.2%	499	2.5%	222	1.1%	14,252	71.1%	4,985	24.9%	575	2.9%	230	1.1%	14,536	72.5%	4,985	24.9%
	Van Zandt (100 %)	1,860	15.9%	265	2.3%	130	1.1%	9,457	81.1%	1,746	15.0%	345	3.0%	119	1.0%	9,531	82.0%	1,770	15.2%
	37,404	29.2%	3,511	2.7%	1,868	1.5%	85,595	66.7%	36,588	28.5%	4,093	3.2%	1,965	1.5%	87,142	67.9%	37,313	29.1%	
DISTRICT 7	Dallas (8 %)	9,133	42.0%	636	2.9%	358	1.6%	11,582	53.1%	9,033	41.4%	658	3.0%	526	2.4%	11,935	54.7%	9,220	42.3%
	Ellis (100 %)	7,410	23.5%	820	2.6%	435	1.4%	22,902	72.7%	7,186	22.8%	1,009	3.2%	422	1.3%	23,211	73.7%	7,342	23.3%
	Johnson (53 %)	3,155	20.2%	456	2.9%	242	1.6%	11,908	76.5%	2,857	18.3%	593	3.8%	218	1.4%	12,066	77.6%	2,952	19.0%
	Navarro (100 %)	2,244	24.0%	173	1.8%	94	1.0%	6,793	72.8%	2,186	23.4%	252	2.7%	102	1.1%	6,869	73.7%	2,217	23.8%
	Tarrant (10 %)	15,462	30.9%	1,426	2.9%	739	1.5%	32,410	64.8%	15,326	30.6%	1,581	3.2%	697	1.4%	33,061	65.9%	15,582	31.1%
	51,549	49.3%	2,743	2.6%	2,338	2.2%	47,805	45.6%	52,124	49.7%	2,759	2.6%	2,144	2.0%	49,535	47.1%	52,815	50.2%	
DISTRICT 8	Fort Bend (21 %)	10,091	51.4%	350	1.8%	274	1.4%	8,921	45.3%	10,105	51.4%	382	1.9%	268	1.4%	9,066	46.0%	10,236	52.0%
	Harris (13 %)	41,458	48.8%	2,393	2.8%	2,064	2.4%	38,884	45.7%	42,019	49.3%	2,377	2.8%	1,876	2.2%	40,469	47.3%	42,579	49.8%
	30,142	28.5%	2,375	2.2%	1,324	1.3%	72,142	68.2%	29,919	28.3%	2,516	2.4%	1,225	1.2%	72,893	68.8%	30,439	28.7%	
	Harris (8 %)	19,905	44.3%	1,049	2.3%	666	1.5%	23,257	51.7%	19,954	44.3%	1,070	2.4%	714	1.6%	23,788	52.8%	20,197	44.8%
	Montgomery (46 %)	6,423	13.3%	1,060	2.2%	506	1.0%	40,358	83.8%	6,263	13.0%	1,158	2.4%	361	0.7%	40,563	84.2%	6,435	13.4%
	Walker (38 %)	1,233	33.8%	127	3.5%	72	2.0%	2,251	61.5%	1,203	32.9%	137	3.7%	67	1.8%	2,272	62.4%	1,237	34.0%
DISTRICT 9	Waller (100 %)	2,581	28.7%	139	1.5%	80	0.9%	6,276	69.7%	2,499	27.7%	151	1.7%	83	0.9%	6,270	69.6%	2,570	28.5%
	31,281	38.8%	1,613	2.0%	1,117	1.4%	46,690	57.8%	30,658	38.0%	1,629	2.0%	1,758	2.2%	47,453	58.9%	31,418	39.0%	
	Harris (14 %)	28,656	41.9%	1,359	2.0%	955	1.4%	37,551	54.8%	28,030	40.9%	1,277	1.9%	1,663	2.4%	38,226	55.8%	28,799	42.1%
	Liberty (100 %)	2,625	21.6%	254	2.1%	162	1.3%	9,139	74.8%	2,628	21.5%	352	2.9%	95	0.8%	9,227	75.8%	2,619	21.5%
	46,648	31.6%	5,661	3.8%	2,820	1.9%	92,492	62.5%	46,962	31.7%	6,430	4.3%	2,133	1.4%	94,679	63.8%	47,612	32.1%	
	Bastrop (2 %)	78	28.0%	34	12.2%	9	3.2%	168	60.0%	82	29.3%	23	8.2%	7	2.5%	173	62.2%	85	30.6%
DISTRICT 10	Brazos (100 %)	7,898	25.8%	1,077	3.5%	465	1.5%	20,851	68.2%	8,064	26.4%	1,193	3.9%	467	1.5%	21,406	69.8%	8,088	26.4%
	Burleson (100 %)	1,020	24.8%	85	2.1%	32	0.8%	2,981	72.7%	988	24.1%	106	2.6%	27	0.7%	3,046	74.1%	964	23.5%
	Grimes (100 %)	1,092	22.4%	157	3.2%	63	1.3%	3,576	73.4%	1,087	22.3%	166	3.4%	44	0.9%	3,592	73.8%	1,116	22.9%
	Houston (100 %)	975	21.4%	68	1.5%	49	1.1%	3,478	76.2%	953	20.9%	100	2.2%	33	0.7%	3,510	77.2%	956	21.0%
	Lee (100 %)	1,049	25.7%	156	3.8%	68	1.7%	2,830	69.5%	998	24.5%	195	4.8%	51	1.3%	2,826	70.0%	1,059	26.2%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

	SUP CT CHIEF		SUP CT 6				SUP CT 7				SUP CT 8		
	Oxford-L		Brown-R		Meyers-D		Ash-L		Boyd-R		Benavides-D		
DISTRICT 4	Lamar (100 %)	297	2.8%	7,606	73.2%	2,470	23.8%	313	3.0%	7,524	72.7%	2,487	24.0%
	Red River (100 %)	54	2.1%	1,878	71.9%	663	25.4%	70	2.7%	1,853	71.5%	662	25.5%
DISTRICT 5		3,316	2.7%	82,336	66.2%	38,702	31.1%	3,421	2.7%	81,280	65.3%	39,244	31.5%
DISTRICT 6	Anderson (100 %)	311	3.1%	7,606	75.6%	2,138	21.3%	317	3.2%	7,572	75.4%	2,129	21.2%
	Dallas (16 %)	1,684	2.5%	37,405	56.7%	26,839	40.7%	1,751	2.7%	36,711	55.6%	27,283	41.3%
	Henderson (100 %)	467	2.8%	13,073	77.8%	3,285	19.6%	445	2.6%	12,980	77.2%	3,283	19.5%
	Kaufman (100 %)	535	2.7%	14,628	73.1%	4,802	24.0%	581	2.9%	14,475	72.4%	4,919	24.6%
	Van Zandt (100 %)	319	2.7%	9,624	83.0%	1,638	14.1%	327	2.8%	9,542	82.4%	1,630	14.1%
		3,838	3.0%	87,531	68.5%	36,201	28.3%	4,041	3.2%	86,468	67.6%	36,820	28.8%
DISTRICT 7	Dallas (8 %)	652	3.0%	11,949	55.0%	9,081	41.8%	689	3.2%	11,684	53.7%	9,295	42.7%
	Ellis (100 %)	942	3.0%	23,477	74.8%	6,958	22.2%	968	3.1%	23,158	73.7%	7,140	22.7%
	Johnson (53 %)	525	3.4%	12,128	78.3%	2,786	18.0%	573	3.7%	12,024	77.6%	2,830	18.3%
	Navarro (100 %)	230	2.5%	6,904	74.3%	2,149	23.1%	236	2.5%	6,918	74.4%	2,108	22.7%
	Tarrant (10 %)	1,489	3.0%	33,073	66.3%	15,227	30.5%	1,575	3.2%	32,684	65.5%	15,447	31.0%
		2,925	2.8%	49,807	47.7%	51,667	49.5%	2,973	2.8%	45,909	44.0%	54,999	52.7%
DISTRICT 8	Fort Bend (21 %)	390	2.0%	9,122	46.3%	10,185	51.7%	403	2.0%	8,916	45.3%	10,275	52.3%
	Harris (13 %)	2,535	3.0%	40,685	48.0%	41,482	49.0%	2,570	3.0%	36,993	43.6%	44,724	52.8%
		2,592	2.4%	73,111	69.3%	29,792	28.2%	2,623	2.5%	71,868	68.1%	30,673	29.1%
	Harris (8 %)	1,100	2.4%	23,904	53.3%	19,855	44.3%	1,088	2.4%	22,988	51.2%	20,582	45.9%
	Montgomery (46 %)	1,196	2.5%	40,602	84.4%	6,249	13.0%	1,243	2.6%	40,366	83.9%	6,385	13.3%
	Walker (38 %)	133	3.7%	2,313	63.8%	1,174	32.4%	137	3.8%	2,265	62.5%	1,182	32.6%
DISTRICT 9	Waller (100 %)	163	1.8%	6,292	70.2%	2,514	28.1%	155	1.7%	6,249	69.6%	2,524	28.1%
		1,751	2.2%	47,959	59.7%	30,605	38.1%	1,801	2.2%	46,722	58.1%	31,618	39.3%
	Harris (14 %)	1,427	2.1%	38,643	56.6%	28,145	41.2%	1,446	2.1%	37,440	54.8%	29,169	42.7%
	Liberty (100 %)	324	2.7%	9,316	76.8%	2,460	20.3%	355	2.9%	9,282	76.6%	2,449	20.2%
		6,060	4.1%	95,131	64.5%	46,212	31.3%	6,261	4.2%	93,423	63.3%	47,309	32.1%
	Bastrop (2 %)	20	7.2%	172	63.5%	79	29.2%	20	7.4%	175	63.6%	79	28.7%
DISTRICT 10	Brazos (100 %)	1,182	3.9%	21,445	70.3%	7,855	25.8%	1,186	3.9%	21,028	69.0%	8,151	26.7%
	Burleson (100 %)	99	2.4%	3,055	74.8%	933	22.9%	95	2.3%	3,032	74.3%	945	23.2%
	Grimes (100 %)	159	3.3%	3,621	74.9%	1,050	21.7%	165	3.4%	3,594	74.3%	1,056	21.8%
	Houston (100 %)	81	1.8%	3,522	77.7%	905	20.0%	103	2.3%	3,521	77.9%	889	19.7%
	Lee (100 %)	152	3.8%	2,948	73.2%	928	23.0%	152	3.8%	2,928	72.6%	932	23.1%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

	SUP CT 8				CCA 3				CCA 4				CCA 9						
	Koelsch-L	Chisholm-G	Richardson-R	Granberg-D	Bennett-L	Yearly-R	Parker-L	Sanders-Castro-G	Newell-R										
DISTRICT 4	Lamar (100 %)	678	7.4%	454	5.0%	7,434	72.3%	2,489	24.2%	365	3.5%	7,770	85.3%	1,007	11.1%	334	3.7%	7,931	87.3%
	Red River (100 %)	151	6.8%	101	4.6%	1,802	70.1%	682	26.5%	88	3.4%	1,904	86.1%	239	10.8%	68	3.1%	1,930	87.7%
DISTRICT 5	10,631 10.3% 6,043 5.9%				81,161 65.5%	38,809 31.3%	3,953 3.2%	83,942 81.6%	12,979 12.6%	5,931 5.8%	85,559 83.5%								
	Anderson (100 %)	666	7.5%	345	3.9%	7,476	74.3%	2,152	21.4%	434	4.3%	7,613	85.5%	1,021	11.5%	267	3.0%	7,814	88.2%
DISTRICT 6	Dallas (16 %)	7,118	13.9%	4,121	8.1%	36,886	56.2%	26,790	40.8%	1,962	3.0%	38,675	75.7%	8,066	15.8%	4,343	8.5%	39,400	77.7%
	Henderson (100 %)	865	5.8%	527	3.5%	12,886	76.9%	3,316	19.8%	554	3.3%	13,176	88.4%	1,283	8.6%	443	3.0%	13,448	90.2%
DISTRICT 7	Kaufman (100 %)	1,360	8.0%	665	3.9%	14,441	72.4%	4,860	24.4%	645	3.2%	14,821	86.5%	1,686	9.8%	629	3.7%	15,089	88.1%
	Van Zandt (100 %)	622	5.7%	385	3.6%	9,472	82.2%	1,691	14.7%	358	3.1%	9,657	89.2%	923	8.5%	249	2.3%	9,808	90.6%
DISTRICT 8	10,528 9.7% 6,930 6.4%				86,531 67.9%	36,362 28.5%	4,483 3.5%	89,179 81.8%	13,086 12.0%	6,796 6.2%	90,698 83.5%								
	Dallas (8 %)	2,562	15.2%	1,607	9.5%	11,729	54.2%	9,113	42.1%	783	3.6%	12,329	72.8%	2,852	16.8%	1,762	10.4%	12,592	75.0%
DISTRICT 9	Ellis (100 %)	2,249	8.1%	1,376	5.0%	23,174	73.8%	7,124	22.7%	1,113	3.5%	23,717	85.0%	2,983	10.7%	1,215	4.4%	24,063	86.7%
	Johnson (53 %)	1,016	7.2%	639	4.5%	12,013	77.9%	2,770	18.0%	641	4.2%	12,215	86.3%	1,382	9.8%	552	3.9%	12,426	88.0%
DISTRICT 10	Navarro (100 %)	551	6.8%	337	4.1%	6,861	74.0%	2,133	23.0%	283	3.1%	7,103	87.0%	753	9.2%	304	3.7%	7,259	88.3%
	Tarrant (10 %)	4,150	10.0%	2,971	7.1%	32,754	66.0%	15,222	30.7%	1,663	3.4%	33,815	80.7%	5,116	12.2%	2,963	7.1%	34,358	82.4%
DISTRICT 7	11,681 15.0% 13,254 17.0%				47,927 46.3%	51,800 50.1%	3,709 3.6%	52,358 66.2%	12,047 15.2%	14,687 18.6%	53,156 67.6%								
	Fort Bend (21 %)	1,995	14.7%	1,495	11.0%	9,025	46.0%	10,130	51.7%	454	2.3%	9,990	71.7%	2,194	15.7%	1,753	12.6%	10,037	72.8%
DISTRICT 8	Harris (13 %)	9,686	15.0%	11,759	18.2%	38,902	46.4%	41,670	49.7%	3,255	3.9%	42,368	65.0%	9,853	15.1%	12,934	19.9%	43,119	66.5%
	7,879 8.8% 5,807 6.5%				72,441 68.9%	29,759 28.3%	2,996 2.8%	75,202 83.5%	8,477 9.4%	6,376 7.1%	75,688 84.4%								
DISTRICT 9	Harris (8 %)	4,492	13.4%	3,691	11.0%	23,480	52.6%	19,915	44.6%	1,273	2.8%	25,053	73.7%	4,744	14.0%	4,186	12.3%	25,377	75.0%
	Montgomery (46 %)	2,348	5.2%	1,494	3.3%	40,416	84.3%	6,169	12.9%	1,377	2.9%	41,270	91.0%	2,519	5.6%	1,550	3.4%	41,345	91.5%
DISTRICT 10	Walker (38 %)	466	14.8%	227	7.2%	2,269	62.8%	1,172	32.5%	170	4.7%	2,415	76.6%	520	16.5%	218	6.9%	2,439	77.7%
	Waller (100 %)	573	7.6%	395	5.3%	6,276	70.1%	2,503	28.0%	176	2.0%	6,464	85.3%	694	9.2%	422	5.6%	6,527	86.4%
DISTRICT 9	5,680 9.3% 4,602 7.5%				47,250 59.0%	30,634 38.3%	2,199 2.7%	49,932 81.2%	5,936 9.6%	5,646 9.2%	50,656 82.7%								
	Harris (14 %)	4,975	9.9%	4,181	8.3%	38,055	55.9%	28,168	41.4%	1,798	2.6%	40,320	79.7%	4,986	9.9%	5,298	10.5%	40,942	81.3%
DISTRICT 10	Liberty (100 %)	705	6.5%	421	3.9%	9,195	76.2%	2,466	20.4%	401	3.3%	9,612	88.1%	950	8.7%	348	3.2%	9,714	88.9%
	15,336 11.9% 13,153 10.2%				93,722 63.9%	46,089 31.4%	6,793 4.6%	98,387 76.2%	17,343 13.4%	13,432 10.4%	99,518 77.5%								
DISTRICT 10	Bastrop (2 %)	35	14.2%	30	12.2%	166	61.0%	82	30.1%	24	8.8%	180	72.9%	41	16.6%	26	10.5%	187	75.7%
	Brazos (100 %)	2,673	9.8%	2,269	8.3%	21,147	69.8%	7,825	25.8%	1,327	4.4%	21,894	79.9%	3,155	11.5%	2,360	8.6%	22,115	81.0%
DISTRICT 10	Burleson (100 %)	190	5.5%	115	3.3%	3,031	74.4%	936	23.0%	109	2.7%	3,124	89.9%	239	6.9%	113	3.3%	3,162	90.8%
	Grimes (100 %)	299	7.1%	179	4.2%	3,596	74.6%	1,050	21.8%	176	3.6%	3,701	86.8%	388	9.1%	173	4.1%	3,745	87.9%
DISTRICT 10	Houston (100 %)	234	5.9%	130	3.3%	3,476	77.2%	911	20.2%	114	2.5%	3,551	89.8%	317	8.0%	86	2.2%	3,589	91.0%
	Lee (100 %)	330	9.2%	177	4.9%	2,870	71.7%	944	23.6%	191	4.8%	3,056	84.3%	406	11.2%	165	4.5%	3,061	85.3%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

CCA 9					
		Strange-L	Altgelt-G		
DISTRICT 4	Lamar (100 %)	851	9.4%	298	3.3%
	Red River (100 %)	198	9.0%	72	3.3%
		12,031	11.7%	4,832	4.7%
DISTRICT 5	Anderson (100 %)	828	9.3%	222	2.5%
	Dallas (16 %)	7,857	15.5%	3,452	6.8%
	Henderson (100 %)	1,042	7.0%	415	2.8%
	Kaufman (100 %)	1,529	8.9%	503	2.9%
	Van Zandt (100 %)	775	7.2%	240	2.2%
		12,088	11.1%	5,797	5.3%
DISTRICT 6	Dallas (8 %)	2,829	16.9%	1,365	8.1%
	Ellis (100 %)	2,646	9.5%	1,043	3.8%
	Johnson (53 %)	1,244	8.8%	455	3.2%
	Navarro (100 %)	707	8.6%	259	3.1%
	Tarrant (10 %)	4,662	11.2%	2,675	6.4%
		12,201	15.5%	13,306	16.9%
DISTRICT 7	Fort Bend (21 %)	2,233	16.2%	1,522	11.0%
	Harris (13 %)	9,968	15.4%	11,784	18.2%
		8,624	9.6%	5,417	6.0%
DISTRICT 8	Harris (8 %)	4,871	14.4%	3,590	10.6%
	Montgomery (46 %)	2,538	5.6%	1,314	2.9%
	Walker (38 %)	530	16.9%	171	5.4%
	Waller (100 %)	685	9.1%	342	4.5%
		6,216	10.1%	4,387	7.2%
	Harris (14 %)	5,314	10.6%	4,082	8.1%
DISTRICT 9	Liberty (100 %)	902	8.3%	305	2.8%
		16,651	13.0%	12,209	9.5%
	Bastrop (2 %)	38	15.4%	22	8.9%
	Brazos (100 %)	3,039	11.1%	2,147	7.9%
	Burleson (100 %)	224	6.4%	95	2.7%
	Grimes (100 %)	352	8.3%	162	3.8%
DISTRICT 10	Houston (100 %)	267	6.8%	87	2.2%
	Lee (100 %)	385	10.7%	144	4.0%

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

		U.S. SEN										GOVERNOR									
		Cornyn-R		Alameel-D		Paddock-L		Sanchez-G		Tahiro-W		Abbott-R		Davis-D		Glass-L		Parmer-G			
DISTRICT 10	Leon (100 %)	3,688	87.6%	432	10.3%	76	1.8%	11	0.3%	2	0.0%	3,694	86.9%	511	12.0%	39	0.9%	5	0.1%		
	Madison (100 %)	1,923	81.0%	402	16.9%	39	1.6%	9	0.4%	0	0.0%	1,941	79.9%	462	19.0%	21	0.9%	5	0.2%		
	Polk (100 %)	8,019	77.7%	1,932	18.7%	301	2.9%	64	0.6%	7	0.1%	7,858	74.9%	2,410	23.0%	185	1.8%	37	0.4%		
	San Jacinto (100 %)	4,671	74.3%	1,342	21.4%	218	3.5%	45	0.7%	7	0.1%	4,641	72.1%	1,632	25.4%	106	1.6%	52	0.8%		
	Travis (21 %)	36,884	55.3%	26,272	39.4%	2,780	4.2%	716	1.1%	1	0.0%	34,685	51.4%	31,116	46.1%	1,469	2.2%	205	0.3%		
	Trinity (100 %)	2,860	75.4%	817	21.5%	90	2.4%	27	0.7%	0	0.0%	2,816	72.3%	987	25.3%	58	1.5%	34	0.9%		
	Walker (62 %)	5,533	73.5%	1,689	22.4%	238	3.2%	68	0.9%	0	0.0%	5,325	69.9%	2,146	28.2%	123	1.6%	25	0.3%		
DISTRICT 11		88,630	73.7%	26,501	22.0%	3,986	3.3%	1,067	0.9%	8	0.0%	88,510	72.8%	30,730	25.3%	1,944	1.6%	359	0.3%		
DISTRICT 12	Brown (100 %)	7,079	87.1%	788	9.7%	216	2.7%	38	0.5%	3	0.0%	7,176	87.5%	901	11.0%	104	1.3%	18	0.2%		
	Burnet (15 %)	1,597	77.6%	372	18.1%	75	3.6%	13	0.6%	1	0.0%	1,563	74.6%	476	22.7%	49	2.3%	6	0.3%		
	Coke (100 %)	675	89.8%	53	7.0%	22	2.9%	2	0.3%	0	0.0%	696	90.4%	67	8.7%	5	0.6%	2	0.3%		
	Coleman (100 %)	1,934	87.5%	200	9.0%	60	2.7%	14	0.6%	2	0.1%	1,974	88.1%	234	10.4%	27	1.2%	5	0.2%		
	Concho (100 %)	500	85.0%	65	11.1%	14	2.4%	9	1.5%	0	0.0%	511	85.5%	77	12.9%	7	1.2%	3	0.5%		
	Ector (100 %)	11,929	81.3%	2,234	15.2%	381	2.6%	131	0.9%	0	0.0%	12,139	81.9%	2,478	16.7%	170	1.1%	40	0.3%		
	Glasscock (100 %)	372	94.4%	10	2.5%	12	3.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	377	94.3%	15	3.8%	8	2.0%	0	0.0%		
	Irion (100 %)	375	87.8%	37	8.7%	12	2.8%	3	0.7%	0	0.0%	383	88.0%	43	9.9%	8	1.8%	1	0.2%		
	Kimble (100 %)	1,071	90.2%	87	7.3%	23	1.9%	7	0.6%	0	0.0%	1,096	89.5%	113	9.2%	12	1.0%	3	0.2%		
	Llano (100 %)	5,662	81.3%	1,057	15.2%	204	2.9%	39	0.6%	0	0.0%	5,552	78.7%	1,363	19.3%	110	1.6%	27	0.4%		
	McCulloch (100 %)	1,446	83.8%	206	11.9%	61	3.5%	12	0.7%	0	0.0%	1,456	83.3%	258	14.8%	30	1.7%	4	0.2%		
	Mason (100 %)	1,100	80.9%	201	14.8%	50	3.7%	8	0.6%	1	0.1%	1,104	80.5%	243	17.7%	21	1.5%	2	0.1%		
	Menard (100 %)	408	87.7%	43	9.2%	10	2.2%	4	0.9%	0	0.0%	411	85.3%	65	13.5%	5	1.0%	1	0.2%		
	Midland (100 %)	19,939	85.2%	2,624	11.2%	714	3.1%	126	0.5%	0	0.0%	20,204	85.7%	3,012	12.8%	327	1.4%	32	0.1%		
	Runnels (100 %)	2,000	88.5%	198	8.8%	53	2.3%	10	0.4%	0	0.0%	2,057	89.0%	230	9.9%	17	0.7%	8	0.3%		
	San Saba (100 %)	1,262	88.3%	125	8.7%	35	2.4%	8	0.6%	0	0.0%	1,280	88.5%	145	10.0%	15	1.0%	5	0.3%		
	Sterling (100 %)	403	93.5%	16	3.7%	5	1.2%	7	1.6%	0	0.0%	400	91.7%	28	6.4%	6	1.4%	1	0.2%		
	Tom Green (100 %)	14,631	80.0%	2,961	16.2%	507	2.8%	184	1.0%	0	0.0%	14,670	79.2%	3,559	19.2%	236	1.3%	66	0.4%		
	Travis (12 %)	13,762	49.0%	12,707	45.2%	1,264	4.5%	357	1.3%	0	0.0%	13,111	46.2%	14,531	51.2%	644	2.3%	113	0.4%		
	Williamson (6 %)	2,485	46.3%	2,517	46.9%	268	5.0%	95	1.8%	1	0.0%	2,350	43.4%	2,892	53.4%	143	2.6%	22	0.4%		
		79,939	66.3%	35,670	29.6%	3,562	3.0%	1,363	1.1%	1	0.0%	77,372	63.0%	43,024	35.1%	1,785	1.5%	538	0.4%		
DISTRICT 13	Parker (79 %)	20,805	82.8%	3,380	13.4%	758	3.0%	189	0.8%	0	0.0%	20,643	80.6%	4,450	17.4%	373	1.5%	131	0.5%		
	Tarrant (31 %)	59,134	62.0%	32,290	33.8%	2,804	2.9%	1,174	1.2%	1	0.0%	56,729	58.4%	38,574	39.7%	1,412	1.5%	407	0.4%		
DISTRICT 13		108,111	78.1%	24,553	17.7%	4,198	3.0%	1,576	1.1%	16	0.0%	108,097	76.9%	29,775	21.2%	2,039	1.5%	634	0.5%		

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

	GOVERNOR		LT. GOVERNOR						ATTORNEY GEN					
	Pavitt-W	Patrick-R	Van De Putte-D	Butler-L	Courtney-G	Paxton-R	Houston-D	Balagia-L	Osborne-G					
DISTRICT 10	Leon (100 %)	3 0.1%	3,675 86.8%	488 11.5%	65 1.5%	5 0.1%	3,651 86.3%	526 12.4%	51 1.2%	5 0.1%				
	Madison (100 %)	0 0.0%	1,928 79.6%	437 18.1%	50 2.1%	6 0.2%	1,895 78.4%	493 20.4%	27 1.1%	2 0.1%				
	Polk (100 %)	6 0.1%	7,856 75.4%	2,214 21.2%	310 3.0%	39 0.4%	7,798 75.4%	2,298 22.2%	214 2.1%	30 0.3%				
	San Jacinto (100 %)	3 0.0%	4,644 73.0%	1,479 23.3%	204 3.2%	31 0.5%	4,426 70.1%	1,764 28.0%	101 1.6%	20 0.3%				
	Travis (21 %)	0 0.0%	32,814 49.1%	30,565 45.7%	3,003 4.5%	499 0.7%	33,508 50.4%	29,155 43.8%	3,396 5.1%	464 0.7%				
	Trinity (100 %)	0 0.0%	2,777 72.5%	929 24.3%	103 2.7%	20 0.5%	2,725 71.7%	1,002 26.3%	66 1.7%	10 0.3%				
	Walker (62 %)	0 0.0%	5,224 69.1%	2,110 27.9%	190 2.5%	37 0.5%	5,280 70.2%	2,027 27.0%	169 2.2%	41 0.5%				
DISTRICT 11	17 0.0%	86,700 71.7%	29,897 24.7%	3,679 3.0%	628 0.5%	86,016 71.4%	30,164 25.0%	3,787 3.1%	557 0.5%					
	Brown (100 %)	2 0.0%	7,042 86.4%	883 10.8%	201 2.5%	26 0.3%	6,929 85.3%	1,017 12.5%	144 1.8%	33 0.4%				
	Burnet (15 %)	0 0.0%	1,543 73.8%	459 22.0%	77 3.7%	12 0.6%	1,555 75.2%	428 20.7%	79 3.8%	7 0.3%				
	Coke (100 %)	0 0.0%	663 86.7%	82 10.7%	19 2.5%	1 0.1%	653 86.3%	90 11.9%	14 1.8%	0 0.0%				
	Coleman (100 %)	0 0.0%	1,922 87.2%	225 10.2%	53 2.4%	5 0.2%	1,896 86.2%	256 11.6%	40 1.8%	8 0.4%				
	Concho (100 %)	0 0.0%	491 83.9%	76 13.0%	16 2.7%	2 0.3%	485 82.2%	92 15.6%	11 1.9%	2 0.3%				
	Ector (100 %)	0 0.0%	12,000 81.1%	2,429 16.4%	320 2.2%	55 0.4%	11,838 80.0%	2,603 17.6%	297 2.0%	56 0.4%				
	Glasscock (100 %)	0 0.0%	367 93.6%	18 4.6%	5 1.3%	2 0.5%	364 93.6%	19 4.9%	6 1.5%	0 0.0%				
	Irion (100 %)	0 0.0%	371 86.1%	45 10.4%	14 3.2%	1 0.2%	361 83.8%	59 13.7%	8 1.9%	3 0.7%				
	Kimble (100 %)	0 0.0%	1,072 88.2%	121 10.0%	19 1.6%	3 0.2%	1,063 88.1%	129 10.7%	12 1.0%	3 0.2%				
	Llano (100 %)	1 0.0%	5,500 78.2%	1,273 18.1%	213 3.0%	51 0.7%	5,524 78.8%	1,262 18.0%	199 2.8%	28 0.4%				
	McCulloch (100 %)	0 0.0%	1,423 82.7%	248 14.4%	47 2.7%	3 0.2%	1,396 81.1%	275 16.0%	42 2.4%	8 0.5%				
	Mason (100 %)	1 0.1%	1,074 79.1%	236 17.4%	45 3.3%	3 0.2%	1,072 79.3%	232 17.2%	45 3.3%	2 0.1%				
	Menard (100 %)	0 0.0%	398 84.1%	61 12.9%	13 2.7%	1 0.2%	402 84.8%	61 12.9%	10 2.1%	1 0.2%				
	Midland (100 %)	2 0.0%	19,885 84.7%	2,979 12.7%	552 2.4%	58 0.2%	19,810 84.7%	3,050 13.0%	489 2.1%	53 0.2%				
	Runnels (100 %)	0 0.0%	1,986 86.6%	237 10.3%	60 2.6%	10 0.4%	1,957 85.5%	268 11.7%	55 2.4%	8 0.3%				
	San Saba (100 %)	2 0.1%	1,253 87.6%	147 10.3%	27 1.9%	4 0.3%	1,232 86.6%	167 11.7%	21 1.5%	3 0.2%				
	Sterling (100 %)	1 0.2%	393 91.2%	29 6.7%	9 2.1%	0 0.0%	388 89.4%	40 9.2%	5 1.2%	1 0.2%				
	Tom Green (100 %)	2 0.0%	14,220 77.3%	3,613 19.6%	494 2.7%	73 0.4%	14,079 76.6%	3,756 20.4%	459 2.5%	78 0.4%				
	Travis (12 %)	1 0.0%	12,817 45.3%	13,974 49.4%	1,245 4.4%	245 0.9%	12,743 45.3%	13,680 48.6%	1,502 5.3%	209 0.7%				
	Williamson (6 %)	5 0.1%	2,280 42.5%	2,762 51.5%	250 4.7%	73 1.4%	2,269 42.4%	2,680 50.1%	349 6.5%	54 1.0%				
DISTRICT 12	14 0.0%	76,672 62.9%	40,796 33.5%	3,586 2.9%	851 0.7%	77,935 64.2%	39,620 32.6%	3,002 2.5%	792 0.7%					
	Parker (79 %)	3 0.0%	20,568 80.6%	4,070 16.0%	729 2.9%	141 0.6%	20,818 81.5%	4,005 15.7%	569 2.2%	140 0.5%				
	Tarrant (31 %)	11 0.0%	56,104 58.2%	36,726 38.1%	2,857 3.0%	710 0.7%	57,117 59.6%	35,615 37.2%	2,433 2.5%	652 0.7%				
DISTRICT 13	21 0.0%	107,518 77.0%	27,519 19.7%	3,687 2.6%	853 0.6%	105,826 76.1%	29,010 20.9%	3,278 2.4%	898 0.6%					

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

		COMPTROLLER							LAND COMM							AG COMM			
		Hegar-R		Collier-D		Sanders-L		Shafto-G		Bush-R		Cook-D		Knight-L		Alessi-G			
DISTRICT 10	Leon (100 %)	3,614	85.8%	510	12.1%	76	1.8%	11	0.3%	3,662	86.4%	476	11.2%	88	2.1%	10	0.2%		
	Madison (100 %)	1,872	78.5%	463	19.4%	39	1.6%	10	0.4%	1,880	78.0%	459	19.0%	62	2.6%	10	0.4%		
	Polk (100 %)	7,496	73.6%	2,282	22.4%	319	3.1%	83	0.8%	7,904	76.5%	2,041	19.7%	300	2.9%	93	0.9%		
	San Jacinto (100 %)	4,305	69.4%	1,655	26.7%	193	3.1%	52	0.8%	4,547	72.5%	1,501	23.9%	183	2.9%	41	0.7%		
	Travis (21 %)	33,917	51.3%	28,260	42.8%	3,199	4.8%	717	1.1%	35,919	54.2%	26,436	39.9%	2,819	4.3%	1,056	1.6%		
	Trinity (100 %)	2,641	70.6%	978	26.1%	90	2.4%	33	0.9%	2,765	72.7%	927	24.4%	73	1.9%	38	1.0%		
	Walker (62 %)	5,178	69.4%	1,992	26.7%	236	3.2%	54	0.7%	5,429	72.1%	1,793	23.8%	219	2.9%	94	1.2%		
DISTRICT 11		84,684	70.7%	30,021	25.0%	4,231	3.5%	915	0.8%	86,975	72.3%	27,873	23.2%	4,317	3.6%	1,161	1.0%	84,673	70.9%
	Brown (100 %)	6,768	84.1%	1,036	12.9%	202	2.5%	41	0.5%	6,935	85.5%	905	11.2%	223	2.7%	52	0.6%	6,802	84.2%
	Burnet (15 %)	1,523	73.9%	443	21.5%	79	3.8%	17	0.8%	1,573	76.2%	391	18.9%	80	3.9%	20	1.0%	1,537	74.6%
	Coke (100 %)	633	84.4%	89	11.9%	24	3.2%	4	0.5%	668	88.1%	65	8.6%	21	2.8%	4	0.5%	658	87.7%
	Coleman (100 %)	1,862	85.3%	259	11.9%	53	2.4%	9	0.4%	1,895	85.8%	227	10.3%	70	3.2%	16	0.7%	1,851	85.2%
	Concho (100 %)	480	82.3%	81	13.9%	16	2.7%	6	1.0%	489	82.9%	81	13.7%	17	2.9%	3	0.5%	479	82.6%
	Ector (100 %)	11,601	78.6%	2,663	18.0%	410	2.8%	89	0.6%	11,751	79.6%	2,441	16.5%	459	3.1%	105	0.7%	11,532	78.5%
	Glasscock (100 %)	354	92.7%	20	5.2%	7	1.8%	1	0.3%	364	94.1%	12	3.1%	10	2.6%	1	0.3%	365	94.6%
	Irion (100 %)	360	84.1%	51	11.9%	15	3.5%	2	0.5%	368	85.4%	44	10.2%	16	3.7%	3	0.7%	368	86.4%
	Kimble (100 %)	1,033	87.4%	115	9.7%	30	2.5%	4	0.3%	1,075	89.6%	99	8.3%	20	1.7%	6	0.5%	1,045	88.2%
	Llano (100 %)	5,442	77.9%	1,275	18.3%	215	3.1%	53	0.8%	5,599	79.9%	1,124	16.0%	225	3.2%	56	0.8%	5,472	78.3%
	McCulloch (100 %)	1,386	81.2%	267	15.6%	47	2.8%	7	0.4%	1,417	81.8%	240	13.8%	67	3.9%	9	0.5%	1,419	82.5%
	Mason (100 %)	1,044	77.9%	234	17.4%	55	4.1%	8	0.6%	1,083	79.9%	211	15.6%	52	3.8%	9	0.7%	1,053	78.2%
	Menard (100 %)	390	84.6%	55	11.9%	14	3.0%	2	0.4%	407	86.0%	53	11.2%	11	2.3%	2	0.4%	389	83.3%
	Midland (100 %)	19,375	83.2%	3,100	13.3%	713	3.1%	91	0.4%	19,709	84.2%	2,763	11.8%	827	3.5%	114	0.5%	19,417	83.7%
	Runnels (100 %)	1,866	82.9%	295	13.1%	77	3.4%	13	0.6%	1,986	86.5%	241	10.5%	58	2.5%	11	0.5%	1,910	84.4%
	San Saba (100 %)	1,198	85.0%	168	11.9%	35	2.5%	8	0.6%	1,227	86.7%	146	10.3%	39	2.8%	4	0.3%	1,216	86.1%
	Sterling (100 %)	369	88.9%	34	8.2%	8	1.9%	4	1.0%	392	91.6%	31	7.2%	3	0.7%	2	0.5%	376	89.1%
	Tom Green (100 %)	13,983	76.3%	3,593	19.6%	602	3.3%	149	0.8%	14,469	78.7%	3,196	17.4%	589	3.2%	140	0.8%	14,050	76.9%
	Travis (12 %)	12,737	45.5%	13,606	48.6%	1,344	4.8%	306	1.1%	13,206	47.2%	13,024	46.6%	1,263	4.5%	481	1.7%	12,512	45.2%
	Williamson (6 %)	2,280	43.0%	2,637	49.7%	285	5.4%	101	1.9%	2,362	44.3%	2,579	48.4%	267	5.0%	123	2.3%	2,222	42.2%
DISTRICT 12		76,402	63.5%	38,902	32.3%	3,757	3.1%	1,203	1.0%	80,078	66.0%	36,263	29.9%	3,518	2.9%	1,465	1.2%	76,297	63.6%
	Parker (79 %)	20,310	80.5%	3,962	15.7%	778	3.1%	185	0.7%	20,823	81.8%	3,627	14.3%	788	3.1%	207	0.8%	20,310	80.4%
DISTRICT 13	Tarrant (31 %)	56,092	59.0%	34,940	36.8%	2,979	3.1%	1,018	1.1%	59,255	61.8%	32,636	34.0%	2,730	2.8%	1,258	1.3%	55,987	59.1%
		103,420	75.2%	27,993	20.4%	4,614	3.4%	1,463	1.1%	106,169	76.5%	26,342	19.0%	4,505	3.2%	1,746	1.3%	103,109	75.0%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

		AG COMM						RR COMM 3						SUP CT CHIEF					
		Hogan-D		Palmquist-L		Kendrick-G		Sitton-R		Brown-D		Miller-L		Salinas-G		Hecht-R			
		County	Votes	County	Votes	County	Votes	County	Votes	County	Votes	County	Votes	County	Votes	County	Votes		
DISTRICT 10	Leon (100 %)	497	11.8%	82	1.9%	25	0.6%	3,607	85.6%	496	11.8%	96	2.3%	16	0.4%	3,630	86.4%	495	11.8%
	Madison (100 %)	481	20.1%	40	1.7%	12	0.5%	1,858	77.7%	478	20.0%	41	1.7%	13	0.5%	1,875	78.4%	465	19.4%
	Polk (100 %)	2,138	21.0%	284	2.8%	245	2.4%	7,607	74.7%	2,122	20.9%	326	3.2%	122	1.2%	7,583	74.8%	2,208	21.8%
	San Jacinto (100 %)	1,654	26.7%	180	2.9%	108	1.7%	4,314	69.5%	1,613	26.0%	204	3.3%	72	1.2%	4,294	69.5%	1,686	27.3%
	Travis (21 %)	26,845	41.2%	3,215	4.9%	1,565	2.4%	33,412	51.1%	27,208	41.6%	3,639	5.6%	1,144	1.7%	34,790	52.9%	27,613	42.0%
	Trinity (100 %)	1,001	26.7%	77	2.1%	59	1.6%	2,627	70.2%	964	25.8%	110	2.9%	41	1.1%	2,660	71.0%	986	26.3%
	Walker (62 %)	1,920	25.9%	206	2.8%	120	1.6%	5,183	69.9%	1,909	25.7%	231	3.1%	96	1.3%	5,294	71.3%	1,891	25.5%
DISTRICT 11	28,837	24.2%	4,194	3.5%	1,680	1.4%	84,096	70.4%	28,973	24.3%	4,679	3.9%	1,669	1.4%	85,876	71.9%	29,074	24.4%	
	Brown (100 %)	985	12.2%	197	2.4%	91	1.1%	6,753	84.0%	967	12.0%	242	3.0%	78	1.0%	6,850	85.5%	943	11.8%
	Burnet (15 %)	411	19.9%	83	4.0%	30	1.5%	1,526	74.8%	402	19.7%	88	4.3%	23	1.1%	1,547	75.1%	422	20.5%
	Coke (100 %)	72	9.6%	15	2.0%	5	0.7%	637	86.2%	74	10.0%	22	3.0%	6	0.8%	646	87.3%	65	8.8%
	Coleman (100 %)	247	11.4%	53	2.4%	22	1.0%	1,816	83.6%	253	11.6%	79	3.6%	24	1.1%	1,818	84.2%	271	12.6%
	Concho (100 %)	81	14.0%	15	2.6%	5	0.9%	470	80.5%	86	14.7%	22	3.8%	6	1.0%	478	82.0%	87	14.9%
	Ector (100 %)	2,619	17.8%	391	2.7%	156	1.1%	11,489	78.1%	2,626	17.9%	406	2.8%	190	1.3%	11,650	79.2%	2,601	17.7%
	Glasscock (100 %)	14	3.6%	7	1.8%	0	0.0%	336	89.4%	26	6.9%	12	3.2%	2	0.5%	358	94.2%	17	4.5%
	Irion (100 %)	43	10.1%	13	3.1%	2	0.5%	366	85.3%	43	10.0%	15	3.5%	5	1.2%	363	85.0%	46	10.8%
	Kimble (100 %)	110	9.3%	20	1.7%	10	0.8%	1,029	87.4%	113	9.6%	22	1.9%	13	1.1%	1,038	87.6%	108	9.1%
	Llano (100 %)	1,220	17.5%	218	3.1%	80	1.1%	5,438	78.2%	1,213	17.5%	244	3.5%	56	0.8%	5,523	79.3%	1,209	17.4%
	McCulloch (100 %)	236	13.7%	50	2.9%	16	0.9%	1,365	80.1%	258	15.1%	70	4.1%	12	0.7%	1,385	81.6%	261	15.4%
	Mason (100 %)	226	16.8%	55	4.1%	13	1.0%	1,036	77.1%	226	16.8%	72	5.4%	9	0.7%	1,054	79.4%	214	16.1%
	Menard (100 %)	62	13.3%	12	2.6%	4	0.9%	389	82.9%	62	13.2%	14	3.0%	4	0.9%	395	85.5%	54	11.7%
	Midland (100 %)	2,917	12.6%	689	3.0%	188	0.8%	19,441	83.5%	2,879	12.4%	764	3.3%	209	0.9%	19,596	84.2%	2,938	12.6%
	Runnels (100 %)	278	12.3%	48	2.1%	28	1.2%	1,861	82.7%	288	12.8%	83	3.7%	19	0.8%	1,930	85.9%	243	10.8%
	San Saba (100 %)	152	10.8%	35	2.5%	9	0.6%	1,186	84.7%	150	10.7%	49	3.5%	16	1.1%	1,196	85.8%	164	11.8%
	Sterling (100 %)	33	7.8%	7	1.7%	6	1.4%	367	87.8%	32	7.7%	10	2.4%	9	2.2%	382	91.4%	29	6.9%
	Tom Green (100 %)	3,470	19.0%	551	3.0%	195	1.1%	13,930	76.2%	3,504	19.2%	583	3.2%	266	1.5%	14,260	78.1%	3,415	18.7%
	Travis (12 %)	13,080	47.3%	1,424	5.1%	664	2.4%	12,435	44.8%	13,211	47.6%	1,556	5.6%	561	2.0%	13,089	47.1%	13,310	47.9%
	Williamson (6 %)	2,581	49.0%	311	5.9%	156	3.0%	2,226	42.2%	2,560	48.5%	326	6.2%	161	3.1%	2,318	43.7%	2,677	50.5%
DISTRICT 12	38,179	31.8%	3,573	3.0%	1,922	1.6%	76,152	63.4%	37,713	31.4%	4,023	3.3%	2,211	1.8%	77,680	64.6%	38,440	32.0%	
	Parker (79 %)	3,911	15.5%	725	2.9%	311	1.2%	20,204	80.0%	3,885	15.4%	886	3.5%	278	1.1%	20,555	81.3%	3,886	15.4%
DISTRICT 13	Tarrant (31 %)	34,268	36.2%	2,848	3.0%	1,611	1.7%	55,948	59.0%	33,828	35.7%	3,137	3.3%	1,933	2.0%	57,125	60.2%	34,554	36.4%
		27,752	20.2%	4,135	3.0%	2,412	1.8%	102,675	74.8%	27,334	19.9%	5,105	3.7%	2,237	1.6%	104,666	76.2%	27,815	20.3%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

	SUP CT CHIEF	SUP CT 1		SUP CT 2		SUP CT 3		SUP CT 4		SUP CT 5		SUP CT 6		SUP CT 7		SUP CT 8			
		Oxford-L		Brown-R		Meyers-D		Ash-L		Boyd-R		Benavides-D		Fulton-L		Waterbury-G		Johnson-R	
		County	Voters	Percentage	County	Voters	Percentage	County	Voters	Percentage	County	Voters	Percentage	County	Voters	Percentage	County	Voters	Percentage
DISTRICT 10	Leon (100 %)	76	1.8%	3,635	86.6%	483	11.5%	78	1.9%	3,643	86.8%	463	11.0%	75	1.8%	16	0.4%	3,723	95.0%
	Madison (100 %)	53	2.2%	1,912	80.1%	439	18.4%	36	1.5%	1,900	79.6%	439	18.4%	41	1.7%	7	0.3%	1,964	93.0%
	Polk (100 %)	348	3.4%	7,737	76.5%	2,045	20.2%	328	3.2%	7,606	75.3%	2,068	20.5%	340	3.4%	83	0.8%	8,023	87.7%
	San Jacinto (100 %)	201	3.3%	4,455	72.3%	1,503	24.4%	201	3.3%	4,386	71.3%	1,504	24.5%	198	3.2%	62	1.0%	4,711	86.7%
	Travis (21 %)	3,353	5.1%	34,664	53.0%	27,241	41.6%	3,523	5.4%	33,750	51.6%	28,003	42.8%	3,082	4.7%	525	0.8%	37,237	67.2%
	Trinity (100 %)	99	2.6%	2,686	72.1%	920	24.7%	121	3.2%	2,664	71.8%	918	24.7%	91	2.5%	39	1.1%	2,851	87.9%
	Walker (62 %)	237	3.2%	5,279	71.7%	1,831	24.9%	253	3.4%	5,196	70.7%	1,862	25.3%	237	3.2%	57	0.8%	5,543	84.0%
DISTRICT 11	4,445	3.7%	86,233	72.5%	28,166	23.7%	4,594	3.9%	85,089	71.5%	29,031	24.4%	4,204	3.5%	736	0.6%	89,718	83.3%	
	Brown (100 %)	218	2.7%	6,870	86.2%	873	11.0%	229	2.9%	6,809	85.4%	878	11.0%	227	2.8%	56	0.7%	6,971	92.2%
	Burnet (15 %)	90	4.4%	1,565	76.3%	392	19.1%	93	4.5%	1,551	75.5%	409	19.9%	82	4.0%	11	0.5%	1,612	85.9%
	Coke (100 %)	29	3.9%	653	88.6%	60	8.1%	24	3.3%	649	88.8%	53	7.3%	26	3.6%	3	0.4%	672	94.8%
	Coleman (100 %)	69	3.2%	1,857	86.2%	231	10.7%	66	3.1%	1,845	85.4%	238	11.0%	65	3.0%	12	0.6%	1,887	89.8%
	Concho (100 %)	18	3.1%	485	84.1%	79	13.7%	13	2.3%	485	83.9%	76	13.1%	14	2.4%	3	0.5%	494	92.0%
	Ector (100 %)	456	3.1%	11,721	79.9%	2,501	17.1%	444	3.0%	11,617	79.2%	2,562	17.5%	416	2.8%	73	0.5%	12,146	89.9%
	Glasscock (100 %)	5	1.3%	355	95.2%	9	2.4%	9	2.4%	347	93.5%	13	3.5%	11	3.0%	0	0.0%	352	95.1%
	Irion (100 %)	18	4.2%	366	85.9%	42	9.9%	18	4.2%	362	85.2%	43	10.1%	17	4.0%	3	0.7%	376	92.6%
	Kimble (100 %)	39	3.3%	1,042	89.1%	96	8.2%	32	2.7%	1,036	88.6%	98	8.4%	27	2.3%	8	0.7%	1,055	93.3%
	Llano (100 %)	230	3.3%	5,564	80.2%	1,146	16.5%	226	3.3%	5,531	79.6%	1,155	16.6%	221	3.2%	44	0.6%	5,713	89.4%
	McCulloch (100 %)	52	3.1%	1,385	82.2%	237	14.1%	63	3.7%	1,384	82.0%	241	14.3%	57	3.4%	6	0.4%	1,447	90.7%
	Mason (100 %)	59	4.4%	1,054	79.7%	207	15.6%	62	4.7%	1,046	79.1%	216	16.3%	58	4.4%	2	0.2%	1,074	89.4%
	Menard (100 %)	13	2.8%	389	86.1%	50	11.1%	13	2.9%	390	85.9%	47	10.4%	14	3.1%	3	0.7%	397	93.9%
	Midland (100 %)	733	3.2%	19,597	84.5%	2,856	12.3%	739	3.2%	19,390	83.6%	3,015	13.0%	703	3.0%	94	0.4%	20,099	91.7%
	Runnels (100 %)	75	3.3%	1,934	86.8%	228	10.2%	65	2.9%	1,914	85.9%	227	10.2%	74	3.3%	12	0.5%	1,973	92.6%
	San Saba (100 %)	34	2.4%	1,216	86.5%	147	10.5%	42	3.0%	1,224	87.2%	139	9.9%	34	2.4%	7	0.5%	1,238	92.9%
	Sterling (100 %)	7	1.7%	386	91.9%	25	6.0%	9	2.1%	377	89.8%	27	6.4%	9	2.1%	7	1.7%	391	94.9%
	Tom Green (100 %)	589	3.2%	14,329	78.5%	3,322	18.2%	591	3.2%	14,213	77.9%	3,388	18.6%	538	2.9%	108	0.6%	14,861	88.3%
	Travis (12 %)	1,406	5.1%	13,144	47.4%	13,052	47.1%	1,512	5.5%	12,660	45.6%	13,535	48.8%	1,320	4.8%	221	0.8%	14,397	62.8%
	Williamson (6 %)	305	5.8%	2,321	44.0%	2,613	49.5%	344	6.5%	2,259	42.8%	2,671	50.5%	291	5.5%	63	1.2%	2,563	58.0%
DISTRICT 12	4,049	3.4%	77,569	64.8%	38,009	31.7%	4,171	3.5%	76,879	64.2%	38,255	31.9%	3,647	3.0%	1,013	0.8%	82,022	82.2%	
	Parker (79 %)	855	3.4%	20,609	81.9%	3,734	14.8%	821	3.3%	20,466	81.3%	3,730	14.8%	778	3.1%	190	0.8%	21,289	90.2%
	Tarrant (31 %)	3,194	3.4%	56,960	60.2%	34,275	36.2%	3,350	3.5%	56,413	59.6%	34,525	36.5%	2,869	3.0%	823	0.9%	60,733	79.7%
DISTRICT 13		4,853	3.5%	105,248	77.0%	26,576	19.4%	4,933	3.6%	104,459	76.3%	26,723	19.5%	4,381	3.2%	1,295	0.9%	108,784	86.3%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

	SUP CT 8	CCA 3						CCA 4				CCA 9							
		Koelsch-L	Chisholm-G	Richardson-R	Granberg-D	Bennett-L	Yearly-R	Parker-L	Sanders-Castro-G	Newell-R									
DISTRICT 10	Leon (100 %)	130	3.3%	68	1.7%	3,616	86.3%	457	10.9%	116	2.8%	3,640	92.6%						
	Madison (100 %)	94	4.5%	54	2.6%	1,865	78.1%	431	18.0%	92	3.9%	1,931	91.2%						
	Polk (100 %)	674	7.4%	454	5.0%	7,586	75.4%	2,061	20.5%	414	4.1%	7,873	85.8%						
	San Jacinto (100 %)	458	8.4%	264	4.9%	4,339	70.5%	1,532	24.9%	280	4.6%	4,644	85.0%						
	Travis (21 %)	9,298	16.8%	8,886	16.0%	34,160	52.7%	27,154	41.9%	3,512	5.4%	36,556	65.7%						
	Trinity (100 %)	243	7.5%	150	4.6%	2,656	71.9%	899	24.3%	141	3.8%	2,792	85.9%						
	Walker (62 %)	678	10.3%	377	5.7%	5,214	71.2%	1,807	24.7%	297	4.1%	5,445	82.2%						
DISTRICT 11	10,619	9.9%	7,421	6.9%	85,176	71.9%	28,480	24.0%	4,885	4.1%	87,434	80.8%	13,025	12.0%	7,812	7.2%	89,402	83.0%	
	Brown (100 %)	354	4.7%	233	3.1%	6,771	85.3%	899	11.3%	268	3.4%	6,704	88.3%	738	9.7%	149	2.0%	6,973	92.3%
	Burnet (15 %)	141	7.5%	124	6.6%	1,543	75.0%	417	20.3%	96	4.7%	1,588	83.6%	193	10.2%	119	6.3%	1,613	85.7%
	Coke (100 %)	28	3.9%	9	1.3%	639	87.4%	64	8.8%	28	3.8%	645	91.2%	54	7.6%	8	1.1%	666	93.7%
	Coleman (100 %)	147	7.0%	67	3.2%	1,840	85.4%	240	11.1%	74	3.4%	1,809	85.0%	270	12.7%	48	2.3%	1,888	90.2%
	Concho (100 %)	26	4.8%	17	3.2%	476	83.4%	75	13.1%	20	3.5%	470	87.7%	53	9.9%	13	2.4%	494	91.7%
	Ector (100 %)	892	6.6%	475	3.5%	11,655	79.4%	2,494	17.0%	521	3.6%	11,877	87.3%	1,202	8.8%	527	3.9%	12,123	89.4%
	Glasscock (100 %)	17	4.6%	1	0.3%	347	94.3%	11	3.0%	10	2.7%	345	94.3%	20	5.5%	1	0.3%	349	95.1%
	Irion (100 %)	21	5.2%	9	2.2%	366	86.1%	40	9.4%	19	4.5%	360	89.1%	36	8.9%	8	2.0%	375	92.8%
	Kimble (100 %)	43	3.8%	33	2.9%	1,028	88.7%	100	8.6%	31	2.7%	1,029	91.5%	70	6.2%	25	2.2%	1,047	93.1%
	Llano (100 %)	421	6.6%	253	4.0%	5,521	79.5%	1,178	17.0%	243	3.5%	5,618	87.5%	561	8.7%	239	3.7%	5,701	89.1%
	McCulloch (100 %)	103	6.5%	45	2.8%	1,382	82.3%	241	14.3%	57	3.4%	1,394	87.5%	157	9.8%	43	2.7%	1,443	90.6%
	Mason (100 %)	91	7.6%	37	3.1%	1,041	79.2%	210	16.0%	64	4.9%	1,060	88.0%	117	9.7%	28	2.3%	1,058	88.8%
	Menard (100 %)	16	3.8%	10	2.4%	389	86.3%	48	10.6%	14	3.1%	383	89.3%	39	9.1%	7	1.6%	396	93.4%
	Midland (100 %)	1,272	5.8%	548	2.5%	19,399	84.0%	2,882	12.5%	812	3.5%	19,624	89.2%	1,768	8.0%	604	2.7%	19,905	90.8%
	Runnels (100 %)	89	4.2%	68	3.2%	1,889	85.5%	237	10.7%	83	3.8%	1,854	86.9%	227	10.6%	52	2.4%	1,958	91.8%
	San Saba (100 %)	56	4.2%	39	2.9%	1,203	86.1%	152	10.9%	42	3.0%	1,198	89.9%	109	8.2%	26	2.0%	1,235	92.9%
	Sterling (100 %)	9	2.2%	12	2.9%	377	91.1%	21	5.1%	16	3.9%	377	91.7%	24	5.8%	10	2.4%	393	96.1%
	Tom Green (100 %)	1,231	7.3%	736	4.4%	14,208	78.1%	3,366	18.5%	622	3.4%	14,425	85.4%	1,674	9.9%	785	4.6%	14,837	88.2%
	Travis (12 %)	4,693	20.5%	3,819	16.7%	12,825	46.6%	13,180	47.9%	1,531	5.6%	14,159	61.4%	4,725	20.5%	4,163	18.1%	14,382	62.8%
	Williamson (6 %)	969	21.9%	886	20.1%	2,277	43.5%	2,625	50.1%	334	6.4%	2,515	56.4%	988	22.2%	957	21.5%	2,566	58.1%
DISTRICT 12	10,134	10.2%	7,656	7.7%	76,996	64.7%	37,411	31.4%	4,591	3.9%	79,338	79.1%	13,276	13.2%	7,655	7.6%	81,464	81.8%	
	Parker (79 %)	1,347	5.7%	955	4.0%	20,453	81.5%	3,723	14.8%	926	3.7%	20,619	87.1%	2,280	9.6%	761	3.2%	21,235	89.9%
	Tarrant (31 %)	8,787	11.5%	6,701	8.8%	56,543	60.2%	33,688	35.9%	3,665	3.9%	58,719	76.6%	10,996	14.4%	6,894	9.0%	60,229	79.3%
DISTRICT 13	9,417	7.5%	7,917	6.3%	103,965	76.4%	26,787	19.7%	5,359	3.9%	105,025	82.9%	14,945	11.8%	6,778	5.3%	108,491	86.0%	

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

CCA 9					
		Strange-L	Altgelt-G		
DISTRICT 10	Leon (100 %)	173	4.4%	52	1.3%
	Madison (100 %)	134	6.3%	35	1.7%
	Polk (100 %)	808	8.9%	329	3.6%
	San Jacinto (100 %)	566	10.4%	199	3.7%
	Travis (21 %)	9,610	17.4%	8,512	15.4%
	Trinity (100 %)	305	9.4%	97	3.0%
	Walker (62 %)	750	11.4%	328	5.0%
DISTRICT 11		11,605	10.8%	6,751	6.3%
	Brown (100 %)	435	5.8%	146	1.9%
	Burnet (15 %)	158	8.4%	112	5.9%
	Coke (100 %)	34	4.8%	11	1.5%
	Coleman (100 %)	151	7.2%	53	2.5%
	Concho (100 %)	33	6.1%	12	2.2%
	Ector (100 %)	1,044	7.7%	395	2.9%
	Glasscock (100 %)	17	4.6%	1	0.3%
	Irion (100 %)	22	5.4%	7	1.7%
	Kimble (100 %)	50	4.4%	28	2.5%
	Llano (100 %)	466	7.3%	230	3.6%
	McCulloch (100 %)	111	7.0%	39	2.4%
	Mason (100 %)	102	8.6%	32	2.7%
	Menard (100 %)	19	4.5%	9	2.1%
	Midland (100 %)	1,555	7.1%	469	2.1%
	Runnels (100 %)	120	5.6%	54	2.5%
	San Saba (100 %)	72	5.4%	23	1.7%
	Sterling (100 %)	10	2.4%	6	1.5%
	Tom Green (100 %)	1,350	8.0%	628	3.7%
	Travis (12 %)	4,851	21.2%	3,651	16.0%
	Williamson (6 %)	1,005	22.8%	845	19.1%
DISTRICT 12		11,184	11.2%	6,887	6.9%
	Parker (79 %)	1,587	6.7%	809	3.4%
	Tarrant (31 %)	9,597	12.6%	6,078	8.0%
DISTRICT 13		11,182	8.9%	6,484	5.1%

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

DISTRICT 13		U.S. SEN										GOVERNOR							
		Cornyn-R		Alameel-D		Paddock-L		Sanchez-G		Tahiro-W		Abbott-R		Davis-D		Glass-L		Parmer-G	
	Archer (100 %)	2,202	87.3%	252	10.0%	56	2.2%	11	0.4%	0	0.0%	2,297	87.1%	302	11.5%	26	1.0%	11	0.4%
	Armstrong (100 %)	497	88.3%	44	7.8%	17	3.0%	4	0.7%	1	0.2%	502	87.9%	59	10.3%	9	1.6%	1	0.2%
	Baylor (100 %)	688	84.1%	107	13.1%	21	2.6%	2	0.2%	0	0.0%	700	82.9%	134	15.9%	6	0.7%	4	0.5%
	Briscoe (100 %)	432	86.2%	59	11.8%	6	1.2%	4	0.8%	0	0.0%	431	84.5%	75	14.7%	1	0.2%	3	0.6%
	Carson (100 %)	1,627	88.7%	163	8.9%	36	2.0%	8	0.4%	0	0.0%	1,634	87.9%	193	10.4%	19	1.0%	11	0.6%
	Childress (100 %)	959	88.4%	107	9.9%	12	1.1%	7	0.6%	0	0.0%	944	86.1%	136	12.4%	11	1.0%	6	0.5%
	Clay (100 %)	2,375	85.0%	319	11.4%	80	2.9%	19	0.7%	0	0.0%	2,436	85.7%	363	12.8%	31	1.1%	12	0.4%
	Collingsworth (100 %)	649	83.2%	101	12.9%	21	2.7%	9	1.2%	0	0.0%	651	81.2%	132	16.5%	13	1.6%	6	0.7%
	Cottle (100 %)	295	83.6%	45	12.7%	9	2.5%	3	0.8%	1	0.3%	310	85.6%	48	13.3%	4	1.1%	0	0.0%
	Dallam (100 %)	718	86.1%	77	9.2%	24	2.9%	14	1.7%	1	0.1%	727	86.1%	100	11.8%	10	1.2%	7	0.8%
	Deaf Smith (100 %)	2,161	80.5%	412	15.4%	50	1.9%	61	2.3%	0	0.0%	2,182	80.0%	503	18.4%	28	1.0%	14	0.5%
	Denton (17 %)	14,324	54.3%	9,958	37.8%	1,290	4.9%	784	3.0%	0	0.0%	13,770	51.4%	12,137	45.3%	635	2.4%	251	0.9%
	Dickens (100 %)	434	87.0%	49	9.8%	8	1.6%	8	1.6%	0	0.0%	452	87.4%	60	11.6%	4	0.8%	1	0.2%
	Donley (100 %)	801	86.4%	94	10.1%	24	2.6%	8	0.9%	0	0.0%	803	85.2%	120	12.7%	17	1.8%	3	0.3%
	Foard (100 %)	196	66.4%	90	30.5%	9	3.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	205	66.1%	102	32.9%	3	1.0%	0	0.0%
	Gray (100 %)	3,314	90.8%	243	6.7%	75	2.1%	18	0.5%	0	0.0%	3,358	90.1%	302	8.1%	51	1.4%	14	0.4%
	Hall (100 %)	486	86.0%	70	12.4%	2	0.4%	7	1.2%	0	0.0%	485	85.1%	74	13.0%	6	1.1%	5	0.9%
	Hansford (100 %)	1,124	93.6%	42	3.5%	27	2.2%	8	0.7%	0	0.0%	1,105	94.0%	55	4.7%	10	0.9%	6	0.5%
	Hardeman (100 %)	536	80.6%	112	16.8%	15	2.3%	1	0.2%	1	0.2%	523	78.5%	130	19.5%	11	1.7%	2	0.3%
	Hartley (100 %)	1,004	90.9%	75	6.8%	24	2.2%	2	0.2%	0	0.0%	1,006	90.8%	87	7.9%	12	1.1%	3	0.3%
	Hemphill (100 %)	717	87.2%	70	8.5%	24	2.9%	11	1.3%	0	0.0%	715	86.1%	100	12.0%	10	1.2%	5	0.6%
	Hutchinson (100 %)	3,978	88.9%	376	8.4%	104	2.3%	17	0.4%	1	0.0%	3,956	88.0%	460	10.2%	61	1.4%	12	0.3%
	King (100 %)	87	96.7%	1	1.1%	2	2.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	90	96.8%	1	1.1%	2	2.2%	0	0.0%
	Knox (100 %)	703	81.1%	141	16.3%	19	2.2%	4	0.5%	0	0.0%	726	80.8%	159	17.7%	10	1.1%	4	0.4%
	Lipscomb (100 %)	743	88.2%	73	8.7%	20	2.4%	6	0.7%	0	0.0%	740	86.8%	93	10.9%	14	1.6%	5	0.6%
	Montague (100 %)	5,700	85.7%	716	10.8%	196	2.9%	29	0.4%	7	0.1%	5,691	84.4%	916	13.6%	106	1.6%	29	0.4%
	Moore (100 %)	2,414	87.8%	249	9.1%	67	2.4%	18	0.7%	0	0.0%	2,421	87.5%	301	10.9%	33	1.2%	10	0.4%
	Motley (100 %)	346	95.3%	12	3.3%	3	0.8%	2	0.6%	0	0.0%	344	92.5%	20	5.4%	7	1.9%	1	0.3%
	Ochiltree (100 %)	1,483	93.8%	53	3.4%	37	2.3%	7	0.4%	1	0.1%	1,500	94.3%	70	4.4%	12	0.8%	6	0.4%
	Oldham (100 %)	518	93.0%	24	4.3%	11	2.0%	4	0.7%	0	0.0%	519	92.8%	33	5.9%	6	1.1%	1	0.2%
	Potter (100 %)	10,583	77.6%	2,495	18.3%	435	3.2%	130	1.0%	3	0.0%	10,576	77.0%	2,921	21.3%	191	1.4%	51	0.4%
	Randall (100 %)	23,990	86.2%	2,885	10.4%	782	2.8%	181	0.7%	0	0.0%	24,134	85.5%	3,650	12.9%	355	1.3%	75	0.3%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

DISTRICT 13		GOVERNOR		LT. GOVERNOR						ATTORNEY GEN									
		Pavitt-W		Patrick-R		Van De Putte-D		Butler-L		Courtney-G		Paxton-R		Houston-D		Balagia-L			
		Votes	Percentage	Votes	Percentage	Votes	Percentage	Votes	Percentage	Votes	Percentage	Votes	Percentage	Votes	Percentage	Votes	Percentage		
	Archer (100 %)	0	0.0%	2,261	87.0%	287	11.0%	47	1.8%	5	0.2%	2,187	84.6%	346	13.4%	41	1.6%	10	0.4%
	Armstrong (100 %)	0	0.0%	507	89.6%	40	7.1%	15	2.7%	4	0.7%	498	87.8%	58	10.2%	8	1.4%	3	0.5%
	Baylor (100 %)	0	0.0%	667	81.1%	128	15.6%	25	3.0%	2	0.2%	634	78.4%	154	19.0%	19	2.3%	2	0.2%
	Briscoe (100 %)	0	0.0%	430	84.6%	65	12.8%	12	2.4%	1	0.2%	418	83.9%	76	15.3%	2	0.4%	2	0.4%
	Carson (100 %)	2	0.1%	1,613	88.0%	167	9.1%	48	2.6%	5	0.3%	1,567	86.0%	223	12.2%	25	1.4%	8	0.4%
	Childress (100 %)	0	0.0%	942	86.5%	129	11.8%	15	1.4%	3	0.3%	932	86.3%	133	12.3%	11	1.0%	4	0.4%
	Clay (100 %)	0	0.0%	2,393	85.6%	339	12.1%	60	2.1%	5	0.2%	2,281	82.3%	425	15.3%	55	2.0%	10	0.4%
	Collingsworth (100 %)	0	0.0%	651	84.3%	98	12.7%	20	2.6%	3	0.4%	612	80.0%	138	18.0%	10	1.3%	5	0.7%
	Cottle (100 %)	0	0.0%	295	85.3%	46	13.3%	5	1.4%	0	0.0%	279	79.9%	60	17.2%	7	2.0%	3	0.9%
	Dallam (100 %)	0	0.0%	720	87.0%	81	9.8%	22	2.7%	5	0.6%	700	84.0%	104	12.5%	23	2.8%	6	0.7%
	Deaf Smith (100 %)	1	0.0%	2,147	79.3%	497	18.4%	49	1.8%	13	0.5%	2,111	79.0%	506	18.9%	44	1.6%	12	0.4%
	Denton (17 %)	0	0.0%	13,625	51.4%	11,294	42.6%	1,147	4.3%	449	1.7%	13,712	52.2%	10,955	41.7%	1,152	4.4%	471	1.8%
	Dickens (100 %)	0	0.0%	440	86.4%	57	11.2%	10	2.0%	2	0.4%	419	82.6%	75	14.8%	9	1.8%	4	0.8%
	Donley (100 %)	0	0.0%	797	85.9%	102	11.0%	26	2.8%	3	0.3%	786	84.9%	117	12.6%	19	2.1%	4	0.4%
	Foard (100 %)	0	0.0%	213	71.0%	81	27.0%	3	1.0%	3	1.0%	176	59.1%	116	38.9%	6	2.0%	0	0.0%
	Gray (100 %)	0	0.0%	3,375	90.9%	248	6.7%	74	2.0%	14	0.4%	3,349	89.8%	303	8.1%	60	1.6%	16	0.4%
	Hall (100 %)	0	0.0%	493	86.8%	68	12.0%	5	0.9%	2	0.4%	463	81.8%	96	17.0%	3	0.5%	4	0.7%
	Hansford (100 %)	0	0.0%	1,124	93.7%	55	4.6%	15	1.3%	6	0.5%	1,094	92.6%	64	5.4%	17	1.4%	6	0.5%
	Hardeman (100 %)	0	0.0%	514	78.0%	121	18.4%	21	3.2%	3	0.5%	511	77.8%	137	20.9%	8	1.2%	1	0.2%
	Hartley (100 %)	0	0.0%	1,004	90.9%	75	6.8%	23	2.1%	2	0.2%	996	90.3%	92	8.3%	12	1.1%	3	0.3%
	Hemphill (100 %)	0	0.0%	708	86.3%	88	10.7%	19	2.3%	5	0.6%	691	85.1%	91	11.2%	25	3.1%	5	0.6%
	Hutchinson (100 %)	4	0.1%	3,967	88.4%	409	9.1%	96	2.1%	14	0.3%	3,892	87.0%	492	11.0%	77	1.7%	11	0.2%
	King (100 %)	0	0.0%	84	94.4%	1	1.1%	4	4.5%	0	0.0%	84	95.5%	3	3.4%	1	1.1%	0	0.0%
	Knox (100 %)	0	0.0%	687	79.1%	155	17.9%	23	2.6%	3	0.3%	655	76.3%	189	22.0%	11	1.3%	3	0.3%
	Lipscomb (100 %)	1	0.1%	749	88.3%	83	9.8%	13	1.5%	3	0.4%	744	87.8%	86	10.2%	11	1.3%	6	0.7%
	Montague (100 %)	1	0.0%	5,699	85.1%	806	12.0%	177	2.6%	16	0.2%	5,604	84.2%	907	13.6%	136	2.0%	12	0.2%
	Moore (100 %)	1	0.0%	2,424	87.9%	275	10.0%	52	1.9%	7	0.3%	2,368	86.0%	316	11.5%	60	2.2%	9	0.3%
	Motley (100 %)	0	0.0%	336	92.3%	18	4.9%	10	2.7%	0	0.0%	331	92.5%	19	5.3%	7	2.0%	1	0.3%
	Ochiltree (100 %)	2	0.1%	1,467	92.9%	87	5.5%	22	1.4%	3	0.2%	1,460	92.9%	87	5.5%	21	1.3%	4	0.3%
	Oldham (100 %)	0	0.0%	516	92.6%	31	5.6%	9	1.6%	1	0.2%	502	90.1%	50	9.0%	4	0.7%	1	0.2%
	Potter (100 %)	3	0.0%	10,582	77.1%	2,760	20.1%	320	2.3%	60	0.4%	10,421	76.1%	2,913	21.3%	295	2.2%	59	0.4%
	Randall (100 %)	3	0.0%	24,059	85.5%	3,318	11.8%	659	2.3%	98	0.3%	23,865	84.8%	3,613	12.8%	568	2.0%	93	0.3%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

DISTRICT 13		COMPTROLLER							LAND COMM							AG COMM			
		Hegar-R		Collier-D		Sanders-L		Shafto-G		Bush-R		Cook-D		Knight-L		Alessi-G		Miller-R	
	Archer (100 %)	2,150	84.5%	334	13.1%	55	2.2%	6	0.2%	2,219	86.0%	284	11.0%	61	2.4%	15	0.6%	2,136	83.8%
	Armstrong (100 %)	477	86.3%	53	9.6%	19	3.4%	4	0.7%	485	86.0%	53	9.4%	21	3.7%	5	0.9%	484	86.6%
	Baylor (100 %)	611	77.5%	152	19.3%	21	2.7%	4	0.5%	650	80.2%	125	15.4%	26	3.2%	9	1.1%	623	78.2%
	Briscoe (100 %)	400	81.0%	80	16.2%	12	2.4%	2	0.4%	417	83.1%	78	15.5%	6	1.2%	1	0.2%	400	80.5%
	Carson (100 %)	1,515	84.3%	219	12.2%	50	2.8%	14	0.8%	1,555	85.5%	207	11.4%	45	2.5%	11	0.6%	1,509	83.9%
	Childress (100 %)	911	85.1%	131	12.2%	22	2.1%	7	0.7%	933	86.2%	126	11.6%	19	1.8%	4	0.4%	912	84.6%
	Clay (100 %)	2,241	81.8%	393	14.4%	85	3.1%	19	0.7%	2,296	82.6%	371	13.4%	99	3.6%	12	0.4%	2,219	81.0%
	Collingsworth (100 %)	575	76.8%	143	19.1%	26	3.5%	5	0.7%	619	80.9%	123	16.1%	17	2.2%	6	0.8%	551	74.3%
	Cottle (100 %)	276	81.7%	50	14.8%	7	2.1%	5	1.5%	282	81.5%	50	14.5%	13	3.8%	1	0.3%	275	81.4%
	Dallam (100 %)	682	83.1%	100	12.2%	30	3.7%	9	1.1%	687	82.5%	97	11.6%	36	4.3%	13	1.6%	665	80.7%
	Deaf Smith (100 %)	2,049	77.0%	536	20.1%	59	2.2%	18	0.7%	2,105	78.5%	484	18.1%	64	2.4%	28	1.0%	2,064	77.6%
	Denton (17 %)	13,549	52.1%	10,461	40.2%	1,308	5.0%	677	2.6%	14,006	53.6%	10,049	38.4%	1,222	4.7%	876	3.3%	13,434	51.9%
	Dickens (100 %)	405	80.7%	76	15.1%	15	3.0%	6	1.2%	423	83.8%	65	12.9%	14	2.8%	3	0.6%	411	81.4%
	Donley (100 %)	748	82.0%	118	12.9%	36	3.9%	10	1.1%	786	84.9%	101	10.9%	34	3.7%	5	0.5%	736	81.0%
	Foard (100 %)	173	59.0%	116	39.6%	4	1.4%	0	0.0%	190	62.9%	106	35.1%	6	2.0%	0	0.0%	168	57.1%
	Gray (100 %)	3,280	89.1%	277	7.5%	102	2.8%	24	0.7%	3,305	89.2%	268	7.2%	112	3.0%	22	0.6%	3,266	88.8%
	Hall (100 %)	453	81.5%	91	16.4%	9	1.6%	3	0.5%	473	82.3%	88	15.3%	12	2.1%	2	0.3%	453	79.9%
	Hansford (100 %)	1,074	92.0%	57	4.9%	30	2.6%	7	0.6%	1,081	91.8%	57	4.8%	32	2.7%	7	0.6%	1,080	92.1%
	Hardeman (100 %)	483	75.0%	146	22.7%	11	1.7%	4	0.6%	502	77.1%	129	19.8%	13	2.0%	7	1.1%	479	74.8%
	Hartley (100 %)	961	88.6%	95	8.8%	25	2.3%	4	0.4%	973	88.6%	91	8.3%	28	2.6%	6	0.5%	957	87.9%
	Hemphill (100 %)	681	86.5%	75	9.5%	24	3.0%	7	0.9%	701	87.0%	72	8.9%	21	2.6%	12	1.5%	670	85.2%
	Hutchinson (100 %)	3,829	86.1%	462	10.4%	141	3.2%	15	0.3%	3,871	86.6%	441	9.9%	127	2.8%	29	0.6%	3,788	85.6%
	King (100 %)	80	92.0%	3	3.4%	3	3.4%	1	1.1%	83	92.2%	3	3.3%	4	4.4%	0	0.0%	85	95.5%
	Knox (100 %)	625	73.4%	193	22.7%	29	3.4%	4	0.5%	685	77.9%	167	19.0%	24	2.7%	3	0.3%	622	72.5%
	Lipscomb (100 %)	704	85.4%	95	11.5%	18	2.2%	7	0.8%	733	87.1%	84	10.0%	18	2.1%	7	0.8%	715	86.6%
	Montague (100 %)	5,432	82.5%	908	13.8%	205	3.1%	37	0.6%	5,629	84.1%	800	12.0%	220	3.3%	43	0.6%	5,443	82.4%
	Moore (100 %)	2,336	85.5%	302	11.1%	82	3.0%	13	0.5%	2,397	86.7%	279	10.1%	73	2.6%	15	0.5%	2,314	84.5%
	Motley (100 %)	314	90.5%	23	6.6%	8	2.3%	2	0.6%	327	91.9%	17	4.8%	11	3.1%	1	0.3%	320	91.2%
	Ochiltree (100 %)	1,427	92.2%	82	5.3%	36	2.3%	2	0.1%	1,455	92.9%	64	4.1%	41	2.6%	6	0.4%	1,428	92.1%
	Oldham (100 %)	486	89.0%	43	7.9%	10	1.8%	7	1.3%	499	90.2%	39	7.1%	14	2.5%	1	0.2%	491	89.8%
	Potter (100 %)	10,200	74.9%	2,780	20.4%	478	3.5%	152	1.1%	10,358	75.7%	2,684	19.6%	475	3.5%	161	1.2%	10,152	74.7%
	Randall (100 %)	23,367	83.9%	3,448	12.4%	864	3.1%	186	0.7%	23,712	84.6%	3,234	11.5%	859	3.1%	211	0.8%	23,443	84.1%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

DISTRICT 13		AG COMM						RR COMM 3						SUP CT CHIEF					
		Hogan-D		Palmquist-L		Kendrick-G		Sitton-R		Brown-D		Miller-L		Salinas-G		Hecht-R			
		County	Votes	County	Votes	County	Votes	County	Votes	County	Votes	County	Votes	County	Votes	County	Votes		
Archer (100 %)		343	13.5%	50	2.0%	19	0.7%	2,144	84.4%	311	12.2%	72	2.8%	13	0.5%	2,149	84.7%	316	12.5%
Armstrong (100 %)		52	9.3%	14	2.5%	9	1.6%	477	86.1%	55	9.9%	16	2.9%	6	1.1%	484	87.2%	54	9.7%
Baylor (100 %)		149	18.7%	13	1.6%	12	1.5%	616	78.4%	135	17.2%	31	3.9%	4	0.5%	614	79.2%	139	17.9%
Briscoe (100 %)		85	17.1%	5	1.0%	7	1.4%	397	79.9%	84	16.9%	12	2.4%	4	0.8%	394	81.1%	82	16.9%
Carson (100 %)		222	12.3%	38	2.1%	29	1.6%	1,500	83.4%	217	12.1%	61	3.4%	21	1.2%	1,539	85.6%	204	11.3%
Childress (100 %)		139	12.9%	15	1.4%	12	1.1%	905	84.2%	135	12.6%	25	2.3%	10	0.9%	909	85.3%	140	13.1%
Clay (100 %)		425	15.5%	71	2.6%	25	0.9%	2,211	81.0%	402	14.7%	96	3.5%	22	0.8%	2,246	82.8%	383	14.1%
Collingsworth (100 %)		158	21.3%	15	2.0%	18	2.4%	553	73.9%	152	20.3%	30	4.0%	13	1.7%	576	76.9%	148	19.8%
Cottle (100 %)		53	15.7%	7	2.1%	3	0.9%	272	79.1%	58	16.9%	12	3.5%	2	0.6%	273	82.5%	48	14.5%
Dallam (100 %)		114	13.8%	29	3.5%	16	1.9%	671	81.7%	105	12.8%	27	3.3%	18	2.2%	686	83.7%	105	12.8%
Deaf Smith (100 %)		517	19.4%	51	1.9%	28	1.1%	2,013	76.2%	472	17.9%	71	2.7%	87	3.3%	2,047	77.5%	518	19.6%
Denton (17 %)		10,112	39.1%	1,253	4.8%	1,075	4.2%	13,407	51.8%	10,177	39.3%	1,316	5.1%	970	3.7%	13,700	52.9%	10,735	41.4%
Dickens (100 %)		81	16.0%	7	1.4%	6	1.2%	407	81.4%	74	14.8%	13	2.6%	6	1.2%	410	82.2%	77	15.4%
Donley (100 %)		122	13.4%	30	3.3%	21	2.3%	741	81.3%	117	12.8%	42	4.6%	11	1.2%	757	83.0%	112	12.3%
Foard (100 %)		116	39.5%	7	2.4%	3	1.0%	166	57.0%	117	40.2%	8	2.7%	0	0.0%	165	56.5%	117	40.1%
Gray (100 %)		287	7.8%	91	2.5%	33	0.9%	3,249	88.3%	293	8.0%	112	3.0%	25	0.7%	3,307	89.9%	278	7.6%
Hall (100 %)		94	16.6%	9	1.6%	11	1.9%	444	79.7%	98	17.6%	11	2.0%	4	0.7%	454	81.7%	95	17.1%
Hansford (100 %)		54	4.6%	20	1.7%	19	1.6%	1,062	91.4%	53	4.6%	31	2.7%	16	1.4%	1,069	92.2%	66	5.7%
Hardeman (100 %)		144	22.5%	9	1.4%	8	1.3%	477	74.4%	138	21.5%	19	3.0%	7	1.1%	486	75.7%	144	22.4%
Hartley (100 %)		102	9.4%	22	2.0%	8	0.7%	945	87.5%	92	8.5%	39	3.6%	4	0.4%	962	89.2%	85	7.9%
Hemphill (100 %)		83	10.6%	20	2.5%	13	1.7%	675	85.7%	73	9.3%	23	2.9%	17	2.2%	673	86.6%	74	9.5%
Hutchinson (100 %)		474	10.7%	123	2.8%	39	0.9%	3,752	84.4%	460	10.3%	190	4.3%	43	1.0%	3,850	86.6%	463	10.4%
King (100 %)		3	3.4%	1	1.1%	0	0.0%	81	91.0%	3	3.4%	5	5.6%	0	0.0%	81	95.3%	2	2.4%
Knox (100 %)		209	24.4%	16	1.9%	11	1.3%	612	72.2%	195	23.0%	27	3.2%	14	1.7%	616	73.8%	186	22.3%
Lipscomb (100 %)		84	10.2%	18	2.2%	9	1.1%	713	86.4%	78	9.5%	26	3.2%	8	1.0%	710	86.7%	88	10.7%
Montague (100 %)		902	13.7%	172	2.6%	87	1.3%	5,382	81.7%	878	13.3%	260	3.9%	70	1.1%	5,500	83.7%	847	12.9%
Moore (100 %)		322	11.8%	62	2.3%	39	1.4%	2,315	84.7%	306	11.2%	79	2.9%	34	1.2%	2,357	86.0%	301	11.0%
Motley (100 %)		22	6.3%	9	2.6%	0	0.0%	326	91.6%	20	5.6%	8	2.2%	2	0.6%	320	91.4%	22	6.3%
Ochiltree (100 %)		74	4.8%	33	2.1%	16	1.0%	1,430	92.6%	73	4.7%	34	2.2%	7	0.5%	1,443	93.3%	71	4.6%
Oldham (100 %)		41	7.5%	14	2.6%	1	0.2%	482	87.6%	47	8.5%	20	3.6%	1	0.2%	510	92.2%	34	6.1%
Potter (100 %)		2,783	20.5%	438	3.2%	218	1.6%	10,033	73.9%	2,738	20.2%	554	4.1%	258	1.9%	10,359	76.1%	2,790	20.5%
Randall (100 %)		3,369	12.1%	764	2.7%	290	1.0%	23,362	83.7%	3,366	12.1%	941	3.4%	259	0.9%	23,734	85.0%	3,343	12.0%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

DISTRICT 13		SUP CT CHIEF		SUP CT 6				SUP CT 7				SUP CT 8							
		Oxford-L		Brown-R		Meyers-D		Ash-L		Boyd-R		Benavides-D		Fulton-L		Waterbury-G		Johnson-R	
		Archer (100 %)	71 2.8%	2,196 86.9%	490 88.9%	270 10.7%	42 7.6%	62 3.4%	19 3.4%	2,168 86.3%	489 88.1%	271 10.8%	42 7.6%	58 2.3%	19 3.4%	16 0.6%	5 0.9%	2,239 91.0%	496 91.2%
	Armenia (100 %)	17	3.1%	490	88.9%	42	7.6%	19	3.4%	489	88.1%	42	7.6%	19	3.4%	5	0.9%	496	91.2%
	Baylor (100 %)	22	2.8%	619	80.9%	120	15.7%	26	3.4%	618	81.1%	109	14.3%	30	3.9%	5	0.7%	643	90.9%
	Briscoe (100 %)	10	2.1%	408	83.8%	71	14.6%	8	1.6%	407	83.4%	65	13.3%	10	2.0%	6	1.2%	422	91.7%
	Carson (100 %)	55	3.1%	1,547	86.8%	175	9.8%	60	3.4%	1,546	87.2%	167	9.4%	47	2.7%	13	0.7%	1,576	92.4%
	Childress (100 %)	17	1.6%	918	86.3%	129	12.1%	17	1.6%	926	86.5%	120	11.2%	13	1.2%	11	1.0%	933	92.8%
	Clay (100 %)	85	3.1%	2,250	83.5%	344	12.8%	100	3.7%	2,249	83.5%	341	12.7%	93	3.5%	10	0.4%	2,314	91.3%
	Collingsworth (100 %)	25	3.3%	579	78.8%	126	17.1%	30	4.1%	591	80.4%	116	15.8%	22	3.0%	6	0.8%	591	87.7%
	Cottle (100 %)	10	3.0%	268	82.0%	47	14.4%	12	3.7%	265	80.5%	51	15.5%	11	3.3%	2	0.6%	271	90.6%
	Dallam (100 %)	29	3.5%	705	86.3%	82	10.0%	30	3.7%	695	85.2%	89	10.9%	23	2.8%	9	1.1%	711	89.7%
	Deaf Smith (100 %)	76	2.9%	2,095	79.7%	474	18.0%	58	2.2%	2,059	77.9%	511	19.3%	56	2.1%	16	0.6%	2,185	90.8%
	Denton (17 %)	1,471	5.7%	13,723	53.3%	10,516	40.8%	1,528	5.9%	13,504	52.3%	10,547	40.8%	1,245	4.8%	544	2.1%	14,633	65.8%
	Dickens (100 %)	12	2.4%	407	83.9%	63	13.0%	15	3.1%	409	84.0%	61	12.5%	12	2.5%	5	1.0%	425	93.8%
	Donley (100 %)	43	4.7%	764	84.0%	105	11.5%	41	4.5%	764	84.0%	102	11.2%	33	3.6%	11	1.2%	781	89.6%
	Foard (100 %)	10	3.4%	180	62.5%	102	35.4%	6	2.1%	183	63.5%	100	34.7%	5	1.7%	0	0.0%	199	86.1%
	Gray (100 %)	94	2.6%	3,306	90.2%	263	7.2%	98	2.7%	3,303	89.9%	259	7.1%	92	2.5%	19	0.5%	3,354	94.2%
	Hall (100 %)	7	1.3%	462	83.4%	87	15.7%	5	0.9%	470	84.4%	79	14.2%	6	1.1%	2	0.4%	464	91.0%
	Hansford (100 %)	24	2.1%	1,074	93.4%	51	4.4%	25	2.2%	1,060	92.3%	54	4.7%	27	2.3%	8	0.7%	1,074	94.7%
	Hardeman (100 %)	12	1.9%	494	76.9%	132	20.6%	16	2.5%	496	77.4%	123	19.2%	17	2.7%	5	0.8%	516	90.7%
	Hartley (100 %)	31	2.9%	972	90.3%	76	7.1%	28	2.6%	961	89.4%	79	7.3%	31	2.9%	4	0.4%	979	93.9%
	Hemphill (100 %)	30	3.9%	677	87.7%	67	8.7%	28	3.6%	676	87.3%	71	9.2%	19	2.5%	8	1.0%	674	91.0%
	Hutchinson (100 %)	135	3.0%	3,871	87.3%	424	9.6%	138	3.1%	3,848	86.9%	421	9.5%	134	3.0%	27	0.6%	3,929	92.6%
	King (100 %)	2	2.4%	80	96.4%	1	1.2%	2	2.4%	78	94.0%	1	1.2%	4	4.8%	0	0.0%	79	94.0%
	Knox (100 %)	33	4.0%	640	77.4%	163	19.7%	24	2.9%	630	76.0%	171	20.6%	20	2.4%	8	1.0%	667	89.2%
	Lipscomb (100 %)	21	2.6%	717	88.3%	73	9.0%	22	2.7%	706	87.1%	75	9.2%	24	3.0%	6	0.7%	723	92.0%
	Montague (100 %)	222	3.4%	5,538	84.5%	801	12.2%	213	3.3%	5,524	84.3%	769	11.7%	184	2.8%	72	1.1%	5,665	91.8%
	Moore (100 %)	82	3.0%	2,376	87.0%	280	10.3%	75	2.7%	2,355	86.2%	295	10.8%	67	2.5%	16	0.6%	2,411	92.8%
	Motley (100 %)	8	2.3%	320	93.0%	16	4.7%	8	2.3%	320	92.8%	16	4.6%	8	2.3%	1	0.3%	324	96.4%
	Ochiltree (100 %)	33	2.1%	1,453	94.2%	62	4.0%	27	1.8%	1,430	92.8%	67	4.3%	30	1.9%	14	0.9%	1,446	95.2%
	Oldham (100 %)	9	1.6%	508	92.4%	31	5.6%	11	2.0%	498	90.9%	29	5.3%	17	3.1%	4	0.7%	508	94.6%
	Potter (100 %)	466	3.4%	10,351	76.2%	2,723	20.1%	504	3.7%	10,250	75.4%	2,767	20.4%	452	3.3%	119	0.9%	10,724	86.5%
	Randall (100 %)	861	3.1%	23,794	85.4%	3,195	11.5%	886	3.2%	23,658	84.8%	3,261	11.7%	835	3.0%	144	0.5%	24,521	91.2%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

DISTRICT 13		SUP CT 8		CCA 3				CCA 4				CCA 9							
		Koelsch-L	Chisholm-G	Richardson-R	Granberg-D	Bennett-L	Yearly-R	Parker-L	Sanders-Castro-G	Newell-R									
	Archer (100 %)	137	5.6%	84	3.4%	2,152	85.5%	280	11.1%	86	3.4%	2,147	87.2%	261	10.6%	55	2.2%	2,231	90.8%
	Armstrong (100 %)	18	3.3%	30	5.5%	482	87.0%	51	9.2%	21	3.8%	484	89.1%	49	9.0%	10	1.8%	500	92.8%
	Baylor (100 %)	40	5.7%	24	3.4%	592	78.0%	125	16.5%	42	5.5%	621	86.7%	87	12.2%	8	1.1%	641	90.0%
	Briscoe (100 %)	16	3.5%	22	4.8%	398	82.2%	72	14.9%	14	2.9%	412	90.5%	35	7.7%	8	1.8%	412	89.6%
	Carson (100 %)	72	4.2%	58	3.4%	1,518	86.3%	178	10.1%	64	3.6%	1,524	89.5%	155	9.1%	24	1.4%	1,574	92.8%
	Childress (100 %)	39	3.9%	33	3.3%	915	86.3%	126	11.9%	19	1.8%	914	90.8%	78	7.7%	15	1.5%	931	92.7%
	Clay (100 %)	129	5.1%	91	3.6%	2,221	82.9%	357	13.3%	100	3.7%	2,218	87.2%	275	10.8%	52	2.0%	2,310	91.4%
	Collingsworth (100 %)	38	5.6%	45	6.7%	580	80.0%	122	16.8%	23	3.2%	576	82.2%	107	15.3%	18	2.6%	610	89.2%
	Cottle (100 %)	17	5.7%	11	3.7%	264	81.2%	46	14.2%	15	4.6%	264	87.4%	34	11.3%	4	1.3%	278	93.0%
	Dallam (100 %)	35	4.4%	47	5.9%	686	84.6%	94	11.6%	31	3.8%	689	87.0%	81	10.2%	22	2.8%	722	90.8%
	Deaf Smith (100 %)	143	5.9%	79	3.3%	2,055	78.8%	491	18.8%	63	2.4%	2,092	86.2%	222	9.2%	112	4.6%	2,162	90.3%
	Denton (17 %)	3,866	17.4%	3,725	16.8%	13,584	53.1%	10,432	40.8%	1,546	6.0%	14,316	63.4%	4,538	20.1%	3,713	16.5%	14,601	65.1%
	Dickens (100 %)	13	2.9%	15	3.3%	403	82.9%	66	13.6%	17	3.5%	407	89.6%	38	8.4%	9	2.0%	428	94.5%
	Donley (100 %)	43	4.9%	48	5.5%	747	82.8%	106	11.8%	49	5.4%	752	86.4%	98	11.3%	20	2.3%	777	89.3%
	Foard (100 %)	16	6.9%	16	6.9%	170	59.0%	110	38.2%	8	2.8%	180	75.6%	48	20.2%	10	4.2%	200	85.1%
	Gray (100 %)	122	3.4%	84	2.4%	3,285	89.8%	269	7.4%	105	2.9%	3,285	92.3%	235	6.6%	40	1.1%	3,347	94.0%
	Hall (100 %)	14	2.7%	32	6.3%	454	81.7%	90	16.2%	12	2.2%	461	89.7%	41	8.0%	12	2.3%	476	94.3%
	Hansford (100 %)	27	2.4%	33	2.9%	1,055	92.5%	52	4.6%	33	2.9%	1,065	93.5%	61	5.4%	13	1.1%	1,084	95.8%
	Hardeman (100 %)	26	4.6%	27	4.7%	487	76.2%	136	21.3%	16	2.5%	481	84.2%	83	14.5%	7	1.2%	523	91.8%
	Hartley (100 %)	31	3.0%	33	3.2%	946	88.8%	86	8.1%	33	3.1%	950	91.1%	77	7.4%	16	1.5%	978	94.0%
	Hemphill (100 %)	40	5.4%	27	3.6%	669	87.0%	71	9.2%	29	3.8%	665	89.3%	63	8.5%	17	2.3%	680	91.8%
	Hutchinson (100 %)	176	4.1%	138	3.3%	3,828	86.4%	440	9.9%	160	3.6%	3,813	89.7%	372	8.7%	67	1.6%	3,946	93.2%
	King (100 %)	2	2.4%	3	3.6%	81	96.4%	1	1.2%	2	2.4%	78	89.7%	8	9.2%	1	1.1%	81	96.4%
	Knox (100 %)	53	7.1%	28	3.7%	620	75.8%	170	20.8%	28	3.4%	608	80.4%	125	16.5%	23	3.0%	668	89.1%
	Lipscomb (100 %)	30	3.8%	33	4.2%	700	87.0%	76	9.4%	29	3.6%	709	90.2%	61	7.8%	16	2.0%	732	93.2%
	Montague (100 %)	278	4.5%	228	3.7%	5,462	84.0%	798	12.3%	241	3.7%	5,420	87.7%	635	10.3%	123	2.0%	5,642	91.6%
	Moore (100 %)	106	4.1%	82	3.2%	2,341	86.0%	291	10.7%	91	3.3%	2,334	89.7%	207	8.0%	61	2.3%	2,425	93.5%
	Motley (100 %)	9	2.7%	3	0.9%	320	92.5%	16	4.6%	10	2.9%	318	94.1%	18	5.3%	2	0.6%	326	96.7%
	Ochiltree (100 %)	46	3.0%	27	1.8%	1,430	93.0%	64	4.2%	44	2.9%	1,433	94.4%	71	4.7%	14	0.9%	1,443	95.4%
	Oldham (100 %)	12	2.2%	17	3.2%	493	90.1%	39	7.1%	15	2.7%	494	92.2%	39	7.3%	3	0.6%	511	96.1%
	Potter (100 %)	914	7.4%	756	6.1%	10,229	75.5%	2,742	20.2%	573	4.2%	10,298	82.7%	1,577	12.7%	579	4.6%	10,684	86.3%
	Randall (100 %)	1,447	5.4%	928	3.5%	23,623	85.0%	3,229	11.6%	930	3.3%	23,589	87.7%	2,491	9.3%	827	3.1%	24,305	90.7%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

		CCA 9	
DISTRICT 13		Strange-L	Altgelt-G
	Archer (100 %)	161	6.6%
	Armstrong (100 %)	27	5.0%
	Baylor (100 %)	55	7.7%
	Briscoe (100 %)	38	8.3%
	Carson (100 %)	101	6.0%
	Childress (100 %)	60	6.0%
	Clay (100 %)	162	6.4%
	Collingsworth (100 %)	57	8.3%
	Cottle (100 %)	15	5.0%
	Dallam (100 %)	50	6.3%
	Deaf Smith (100 %)	162	6.8%
	Denton (17 %)	4,251	19.0%
	Dickens (100 %)	18	4.0%
	Donley (100 %)	73	8.4%
	Foard (100 %)	24	10.2%
	Gray (100 %)	162	4.6%
	Hall (100 %)	21	4.2%
	Hansford (100 %)	35	3.1%
	Hardeman (100 %)	37	6.5%
	Hartley (100 %)	50	4.8%
	Hemphill (100 %)	44	5.9%
	Hutchinson (100 %)	229	5.4%
	King (100 %)	1	1.2%
	Knox (100 %)	69	9.2%
	Lipscomb (100 %)	34	4.3%
	Montague (100 %)	381	6.2%
	Moore (100 %)	136	5.2%
	Motley (100 %)	10	3.0%
	Ochiltree (100 %)	56	3.7%
	Oldham (100 %)	17	3.2%
	Potter (100 %)	1,185	9.6%
	Randall (100 %)	1,705	6.4%
		506	4.1%
		782	2.9%

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

		U.S. SEN										GOVERNOR							
		Cornyn-R		Alameel-D		Paddock-L		Sanchez-G		Tahiro-W		Abbott-R		Davis-D		Glass-L		Parmer-G	
DISTRICT 13	Roberts (100 %)	318	94.1%	12	3.6%	7	2.1%	1	0.3%	0	0.0%	324	93.9%	15	4.3%	5	1.4%	1	0.3%
	Sherman (100 %)	545	92.1%	30	5.1%	12	2.0%	5	0.8%	0	0.0%	538	89.7%	52	8.7%	5	0.8%	5	0.8%
	Wheeler (100 %)	1,168	90.1%	97	7.5%	26	2.0%	6	0.5%	0	0.0%	1,185	89.6%	120	9.1%	10	0.8%	6	0.5%
	Wichita (100 %)	16,039	76.9%	4,133	19.8%	539	2.6%	146	0.7%	0	0.0%	16,130	75.9%	4,822	22.7%	255	1.2%	48	0.2%
	Wilbarger (100 %)	2,098	79.1%	481	18.1%	54	2.0%	18	0.7%	0	0.0%	2,141	77.8%	574	20.9%	25	0.9%	11	0.4%
	Wise (15 %)	1,859	84.0%	286	12.9%	54	2.4%	13	0.6%	0	0.0%	1,846	82.7%	356	16.0%	25	1.1%	4	0.2%
DISTRICT 14		87,345	64.7%	42,883	31.8%	3,595	2.7%	1,200	0.9%	28	0.0%	86,630	63.3%	48,087	35.1%	1,767	1.3%	449	0.3%
DISTRICT 14	Brazoria (33 %)	12,404	68.7%	4,952	27.4%	523	2.9%	172	1.0%	3	0.0%	12,414	67.5%	5,662	30.8%	237	1.3%	72	0.4%
	Chambers (0 %)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	Fort Bend (8 %)	5,688	58.5%	3,743	38.5%	225	2.3%	68	0.7%	0	0.0%	5,523	56.3%	4,156	42.3%	107	1.1%	29	0.3%
	Galveston (100 %)	41,407	64.6%	19,857	31.0%	2,029	3.2%	764	1.2%	0	0.0%	40,422	62.3%	23,201	35.8%	991	1.5%	240	0.4%
	Jefferson (56 %)	14,654	56.1%	10,953	41.9%	401	1.5%	119	0.5%	12	0.0%	14,871	55.8%	11,468	43.1%	212	0.8%	67	0.3%
	Orange (100 %)	13,192	77.3%	3,378	19.8%	417	2.4%	77	0.5%	13	0.1%	13,400	77.6%	3,600	20.8%	220	1.3%	41	0.2%
DISTRICT 15		44,178	47.6%	44,088	47.5%	2,433	2.6%	2,104	2.3%	74	0.1%	44,835	46.8%	48,835	50.9%	1,502	1.6%	612	0.6%
DISTRICT 15	Aransas (82 %)	3,466	75.5%	947	20.6%	136	3.0%	42	0.9%	1	0.0%	3,405	72.1%	1,202	25.4%	80	1.7%	33	0.7%
	Bee (100 %)	2,981	59.6%	1,827	36.5%	106	2.1%	90	1.8%	0	0.0%	2,972	56.9%	2,128	40.7%	97	1.9%	29	0.6%
	Brooks (100 %)	455	23.0%	1,319	66.6%	67	3.4%	138	7.0%	1	0.1%	588	27.4%	1,478	68.9%	47	2.2%	29	1.4%
	DeWitt (100 %)	3,270	83.6%	517	13.2%	101	2.6%	22	0.6%	0	0.0%	3,269	81.4%	695	17.3%	42	1.0%	12	0.3%
	Goliad (100 %)	1,865	69.1%	741	27.5%	60	2.2%	29	1.1%	3	0.1%	1,873	67.2%	873	31.3%	28	1.0%	11	0.4%
	Gonzales (100 %)	2,840	77.6%	689	18.8%	88	2.4%	40	1.1%	1	0.0%	2,789	75.1%	840	22.6%	69	1.9%	11	0.3%
	Hidalgo (64 %)	17,527	33.7%	31,499	60.6%	1,476	2.8%	1,441	2.8%	48	0.1%	18,034	33.7%	34,189	63.8%	887	1.7%	390	0.7%
	Jim Wells (100 %)	2,481	45.4%	2,762	50.5%	97	1.8%	120	2.2%	6	0.1%	2,589	45.3%	3,016	52.8%	72	1.3%	31	0.5%
	Lavaca (100 %)	4,242	85.6%	595	12.0%	99	2.0%	20	0.4%	0	0.0%	4,180	83.2%	784	15.6%	43	0.9%	16	0.3%
	Refugio (90 %)	1,102	62.5%	584	33.1%	37	2.1%	40	2.3%	1	0.1%	1,110	60.9%	664	36.4%	35	1.9%	13	0.7%
	San Patricio (64 %)	3,949	57.6%	2,608	38.0%	166	2.4%	122	1.8%	13	0.2%	4,026	56.4%	2,966	41.6%	102	1.4%	37	0.5%
DISTRICT 16		29,871	40.2%	39,937	53.7%	2,591	3.5%	1,877	2.5%	57	0.1%	28,126	37.5%	45,063	60.1%	1,277	1.7%	467	0.6%
DISTRICT 17	El Paso (89 %)	29,871	40.2%	39,937	53.7%	2,591	3.5%	1,877	2.5%	57	0.1%	28,126	37.5%	45,063	60.1%	1,277	1.7%	467	0.6%
DISTRICT 17		91,114	67.1%	38,691	28.5%	4,696	3.5%	1,198	0.9%	55	0.0%	89,526	65.2%	44,812	32.6%	2,413	1.8%	536	0.4%
DISTRICT 17	Bell (9 %)	3,164	69.0%	1,249	27.2%	134	2.9%	37	0.8%	1	0.0%	3,193	68.8%	1,340	28.9%	80	1.7%	22	0.5%
	Bosque (100 %)	3,908	80.8%	734	15.2%	160	3.3%	31	0.6%	3	0.1%	3,881	79.1%	933	19.0%	66	1.3%	25	0.5%
	Falls (100 %)	2,125	71.5%	770	25.9%	59	2.0%	16	0.5%	0	0.0%	2,147	70.1%	877	28.6%	31	1.0%	9	0.3%
	Freestone (100 %)	3,519	80.1%	775	17.6%	80	1.8%	18	0.4%	1	0.0%	3,473	78.0%	902	20.3%	56	1.3%	16	0.4%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

	GOVERNOR		LT. GOVERNOR						ATTORNEY GEN					
	Pavitt-W	Patrick-R	Van De Putte-D	Butler-L	Courtney-G	Paxton-R	Houston-D	Balagia-L	Osborne-G					
DISTRICT 13	Roberts (100 %)	0 0.0%	320 93.3%	12 3.5%	10 2.9%	1 0.3%	312 92.6%	18 5.3%	5 1.5%	2 0.6%				
	Sherman (100 %)	0 0.0%	518 88.4%	50 8.5%	13 2.2%	5 0.9%	510 87.6%	58 10.0%	10 1.7%	4 0.7%				
	Wheeler (100 %)	1 0.1%	1,179 90.1%	101 7.7%	25 1.9%	3 0.2%	1,147 87.9%	142 10.9%	14 1.1%	2 0.2%				
	Wichita (100 %)	2 0.0%	16,070 76.0%	4,517 21.4%	476 2.3%	88 0.4%	15,706 74.3%	4,899 23.2%	430 2.0%	92 0.4%				
	Wilbarger (100 %)	0 0.0%	2,099 78.4%	508 19.0%	54 2.0%	15 0.6%	1,962 74.4%	622 23.6%	36 1.4%	17 0.6%				
	Wise (15 %)	0 0.0%	1,843 82.7%	322 14.4%	63 2.8%	1 0.0%	1,847 83.5%	327 14.8%	36 1.6%	3 0.1%				
DISTRICT 14	27 0.0%	85,097 62.6%	46,975 34.5%	3,216 2.4%	694 0.5%	85,348 62.9%	47,118 34.7%	2,501 1.8%	667 0.5%					
DISTRICT 15	Brazoria (33 %)	3 0.0%	12,234 66.8%	5,521 30.2%	446 2.4%	107 0.6%	12,399 67.7%	5,451 29.7%	345 1.9%	128 0.7%				
	Chambers (0 %)	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%				
	Fort Bend (8 %)	2 0.0%	5,430 55.7%	4,067 41.7%	188 1.9%	71 0.7%	5,524 56.7%	4,018 41.2%	150 1.5%	54 0.6%				
	Galveston (100 %)	0 0.0%	39,864 61.7%	22,577 34.9%	1,811 2.8%	375 0.6%	40,476 62.9%	22,079 34.3%	1,410 2.2%	358 0.6%				
	Jefferson (56 %)	10 0.0%	14,458 55.1%	11,269 43.0%	410 1.6%	96 0.4%	14,163 54.0%	11,658 44.5%	316 1.2%	82 0.3%				
	Orange (100 %)	12 0.1%	13,111 76.9%	3,541 20.8%	361 2.1%	45 0.3%	12,786 75.1%	3,912 23.0%	280 1.6%	45 0.3%				
DISTRICT 15	77 0.1%	40,535 43.1%	51,082 54.3%	1,821 1.9%	558 0.6%	40,786 43.9%	48,708 52.4%	2,619 2.8%	777 0.8%					
DISTRICT 16	Aransas (82 %)	4 0.1%	3,343 71.5%	1,173 25.1%	136 2.9%	25 0.5%	3,368 72.2%	1,145 24.5%	121 2.6%	30 0.6%				
	Bee (100 %)	0 0.0%	2,719 53.1%	2,267 44.3%	113 2.2%	22 0.4%	2,614 51.7%	2,286 45.2%	134 2.7%	21 0.4%				
	Brooks (100 %)	4 0.2%	427 20.7%	1,556 75.6%	58 2.8%	17 0.8%	396 19.8%	1,458 72.8%	107 5.3%	41 2.0%				
	DeWitt (100 %)	0 0.0%	3,208 80.4%	704 17.6%	70 1.8%	10 0.3%	3,227 81.8%	652 16.5%	58 1.5%	9 0.2%				
	Goliad (100 %)	3 0.1%	1,791 65.9%	865 31.8%	52 1.9%	8 0.3%	1,758 65.8%	867 32.5%	42 1.6%	4 0.1%				
	Gonzales (100 %)	3 0.1%	2,698 73.6%	883 24.1%	77 2.1%	10 0.3%	2,737 75.6%	803 22.2%	65 1.8%	15 0.4%				
DISTRICT 16	Hidalgo (64 %)	49 0.1%	14,952 28.6%	36,032 68.9%	949 1.8%	394 0.8%	15,440 29.9%	33,989 65.8%	1,646 3.2%	554 1.1%				
	Jim Wells (100 %)	3 0.1%	2,398 42.8%	3,084 55.0%	105 1.9%	19 0.3%	2,345 42.1%	3,029 54.4%	156 2.8%	37 0.7%				
	Lavaca (100 %)	3 0.1%	4,109 82.4%	791 15.9%	77 1.5%	8 0.2%	4,071 82.6%	783 15.9%	59 1.2%	17 0.3%				
	Refugio (90 %)	1 0.1%	1,053 59.2%	674 37.9%	41 2.3%	11 0.6%	1,030 57.8%	689 38.7%	48 2.7%	14 0.8%				
	San Patricio (64 %)	7 0.1%	3,837 54.3%	3,053 43.2%	143 2.0%	34 0.5%	3,800 54.1%	3,007 42.8%	183 2.6%	35 0.5%				
	43 0.1%	27,289 36.7%	44,280 59.5%	1,972 2.7%	817 1.1%	27,149 36.9%	42,693 58.0%	2,848 3.9%	914 1.2%					
DISTRICT 17	El Paso (89 %)	43 0.1%	27,289 36.7%	44,280 59.5%	1,972 2.7%	817 1.1%	27,149 36.9%	42,693 58.0%	2,848 3.9%	914 1.2%				
DISTRICT 17	88 0.1%	88,200 64.7%	42,775 31.4%	4,535 3.3%	871 0.6%	86,764 64.0%	43,696 32.2%	4,402 3.2%	778 0.6%					
	Bell (9 %)	4 0.1%	3,162 68.6%	1,303 28.3%	113 2.5%	30 0.7%	3,069 67.1%	1,365 29.8%	101 2.2%	39 0.9%				
	Bosque (100 %)	3 0.1%	3,840 79.1%	862 17.8%	139 2.9%	13 0.3%	3,786 78.4%	929 19.2%	94 1.9%	19 0.4%				
	Falls (100 %)	0 0.0%	2,106 69.5%	842 27.8%	70 2.3%	13 0.4%	2,034 67.8%	910 30.4%	35 1.2%	19 0.6%				
	Freestone (100 %)	3 0.1%	3,427 77.5%	898 20.3%	87 2.0%	12 0.3%	3,412 77.5%	920 20.9%	59 1.3%	13 0.3%				

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

		COMPTROLLER							LAND COMM							AG COMM			
		Hegar-R		Collier-D		Sanders-L		Shafto-G		Bush-R		Cook-D		Knight-L		Alessi-G		Miller-R	
DISTRICT 13	Roberts (100 %)	297	90.3%	21	6.4%	10	3.0%	1	0.3%	311	92.6%	18	5.4%	6	1.8%	1	0.3%	301	90.4%
	Sherman (100 %)	479	85.5%	56	10.0%	16	2.9%	9	1.6%	516	89.4%	39	6.8%	15	2.6%	7	1.2%	473	83.9%
	Wheeler (100 %)	1,092	85.4%	142	11.1%	39	3.1%	5	0.4%	1,138	87.8%	121	9.3%	32	2.5%	5	0.4%	1,108	86.4%
	Wichita (100 %)	15,375	73.6%	4,759	22.8%	606	2.9%	159	0.8%	15,839	75.2%	4,487	21.3%	556	2.6%	183	0.9%	15,201	72.8%
	Wilbarger (100 %)	1,905	73.2%	619	23.8%	62	2.4%	18	0.7%	2,083	77.1%	532	19.7%	69	2.6%	16	0.6%	1,936	74.2%
	Wise (15 %)	1,778	80.9%	354	16.1%	57	2.6%	10	0.5%	1,845	82.9%	309	13.9%	60	2.7%	12	0.5%	1,797	81.6%
DISTRICT 14		83,272	61.8%	47,102	35.0%	3,294	2.4%	1,090	0.8%	86,435	63.7%	44,650	32.9%	3,226	2.4%	1,291	1.0%	83,138	61.9%
DISTRICT 15	Brazoria (33 %)	12,148	66.8%	5,453	30.0%	437	2.4%	159	0.9%	12,412	68.0%	5,185	28.4%	482	2.6%	174	1.0%	12,141	66.9%
	Chambers (0 %)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	Fort Bend (8 %)	5,509	56.9%	3,931	40.6%	170	1.8%	66	0.7%	5,706	58.6%	3,757	38.6%	180	1.8%	89	0.9%	5,535	57.4%
	Galveston (100 %)	39,511	61.7%	22,111	34.5%	1,789	2.8%	634	1.0%	41,169	64.0%	20,573	32.0%	1,771	2.8%	779	1.2%	39,501	62.1%
	Jefferson (56 %)	13,794	53.0%	11,648	44.8%	438	1.7%	140	0.5%	14,304	54.4%	11,479	43.6%	376	1.4%	154	0.6%	13,638	52.5%
	Orange (100 %)	12,310	73.2%	3,959	23.5%	460	2.7%	91	0.5%	12,844	75.5%	3,656	21.5%	417	2.5%	95	0.6%	12,323	73.1%
DISTRICT 15		41,126	44.5%	47,466	51.4%	2,645	2.9%	1,083	1.2%	44,971	48.0%	45,082	48.2%	2,024	2.2%	1,516	1.6%	39,724	43.1%
DISTRICT 16	Aransas (82 %)	3,397	73.2%	1,069	23.0%	128	2.8%	49	1.1%	3,488	74.4%	987	21.1%	142	3.0%	70	1.5%	3,309	71.7%
	Bee (100 %)	2,708	54.3%	2,088	41.8%	144	2.9%	51	1.0%	2,997	58.8%	1,877	36.8%	164	3.2%	62	1.2%	2,647	53.1%
	Brooks (100 %)	400	20.0%	1,450	72.5%	109	5.5%	41	2.1%	527	25.7%	1,393	68.1%	52	2.5%	75	3.7%	363	18.2%
	DeWitt (100 %)	3,308	83.5%	569	14.4%	72	1.8%	15	0.4%	3,303	83.0%	577	14.5%	81	2.0%	18	0.5%	3,166	80.5%
	Goliad (100 %)	1,823	68.0%	789	29.5%	55	2.1%	12	0.4%	1,870	68.9%	769	28.3%	62	2.3%	15	0.6%	1,691	63.9%
	Gonzales (100 %)	2,738	76.0%	764	21.2%	76	2.1%	26	0.7%	2,761	75.7%	783	21.5%	78	2.1%	23	0.6%	2,565	71.4%
DISTRICT 16	Hidalgo (64 %)	15,440	30.1%	33,524	65.4%	1,563	3.0%	739	1.4%	18,183	35.0%	31,740	61.0%	1,078	2.1%	1,023	2.0%	15,006	29.3%
	Jim Wells (100 %)	2,335	42.4%	2,954	53.7%	174	3.2%	41	0.7%	2,596	46.4%	2,812	50.3%	97	1.7%	85	1.5%	2,304	41.9%
	Lavaca (100 %)	4,199	84.6%	669	13.5%	78	1.6%	19	0.4%	4,146	83.2%	728	14.6%	87	1.7%	25	0.5%	3,958	80.7%
	Refugio (90 %)	1,040	59.1%	655	37.2%	50	2.8%	16	0.9%	1,111	62.0%	625	34.9%	30	1.7%	26	1.5%	981	56.3%
	San Patricio (64 %)	3,738	53.8%	2,935	42.3%	196	2.8%	74	1.1%	3,989	56.8%	2,791	39.7%	153	2.2%	94	1.3%	3,734	53.9%
		26,467	36.4%	41,717	57.4%	3,207	4.4%	1,310	1.8%	27,365	36.8%	43,067	57.9%	2,110	2.8%	1,881	2.5%	25,856	35.6%
DISTRICT 17	El Paso (89 %)	26,467	36.4%	41,717	57.4%	3,207	4.4%	1,310	1.8%	27,365	36.8%	43,067	57.9%	2,110	2.8%	1,881	2.5%	25,856	35.6%
DISTRICT 17		85,153	63.3%	42,987	32.0%	5,021	3.7%	1,261	0.9%	87,991	65.0%	41,121	30.4%	4,676	3.5%	1,639	1.2%	84,530	63.0%
	Bell (9 %)	3,003	66.2%	1,371	30.2%	130	2.9%	33	0.7%	3,023	66.5%	1,333	29.3%	148	3.3%	45	1.0%	3,011	66.5%
	Bosque (100 %)	3,720	77.7%	877	18.3%	157	3.3%	33	0.7%	3,803	78.9%	823	17.1%	155	3.2%	42	0.9%	3,737	77.6%
	Falls (100 %)	1,937	65.6%	933	31.6%	54	1.8%	27	0.9%	2,003	67.1%	880	29.5%	76	2.5%	26	0.9%	1,931	65.7%
	Freestone (100 %)	3,345	76.4%	898	20.5%	105	2.4%	28	0.6%	3,423	77.7%	866	19.6%	93	2.1%	26	0.6%	3,329	76.0%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

		AG COMM					RR COMM 3					SUP CT CHIEF							
		Hogan-D		Palmquist-L		Kendrick-G	Sitton-R		Brown-D		Miller-L		Salinas-G		Hecht-R		Moody-D		
		Votes	%	Votes	%	Votes	Votes	%	Votes	%	Votes	%	Votes	%	Votes	%	Votes		
DISTRICT 13	Roberts (100 %)	21	6.3%	8	2.4%	3	0.9%	300	90.6%	18	5.4%	11	3.3%	2	0.6%	300	91.5%	17	5.2%
	Sherman (100 %)	50	8.9%	15	2.7%	26	4.6%	468	83.1%	53	9.4%	36	6.4%	6	1.1%	490	87.8%	50	9.0%
	Wheeler (100 %)	133	10.4%	27	2.1%	15	1.2%	1,101	85.9%	134	10.5%	38	3.0%	9	0.7%	1,123	87.7%	124	9.7%
	Wichita (100 %)	4,897	23.5%	551	2.6%	231	1.1%	15,343	73.6%	4,615	22.1%	679	3.3%	220	1.1%	15,674	74.9%	4,617	22.1%
	Wilbarger (100 %)	591	22.7%	60	2.3%	21	0.8%	1,854	71.1%	669	25.6%	61	2.3%	25	1.0%	1,894	73.6%	613	23.8%
	Wise (15 %)	325	14.8%	48	2.2%	31	1.4%	1,789	81.3%	323	14.7%	69	3.1%	19	0.9%	1,805	82.1%	327	14.9%
DISTRICT 14	46,171	34.4%	3,285	2.4%	1,715	1.3%	83,683	62.2%	45,657	33.9%	3,487	2.6%	1,659	1.2%	84,221	62.5%	46,701	34.7%	
DISTRICT 15	Brazoria (33 %)	5,287	29.1%	472	2.6%	254	1.4%	12,200	67.2%	5,274	29.0%	471	2.6%	217	1.2%	12,353	67.8%	5,400	29.7%
	Chambers (0 %)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	Fort Bend (8 %)	3,813	39.5%	187	1.9%	109	1.1%	5,547	57.4%	3,823	39.6%	181	1.9%	107	1.1%	5,606	57.8%	3,903	40.3%
	Galveston (100 %)	21,369	33.6%	1,815	2.9%	963	1.5%	39,788	62.4%	21,114	33.1%	1,905	3.0%	967	1.5%	39,915	62.6%	21,963	34.4%
	Jefferson (56 %)	11,714	45.1%	419	1.6%	223	0.9%	13,734	52.8%	11,577	44.5%	471	1.8%	236	0.9%	13,801	52.9%	11,573	44.4%
	Orange (100 %)	3,988	23.6%	392	2.3%	166	1.0%	12,414	73.6%	3,869	22.9%	459	2.7%	132	0.8%	12,546	74.2%	3,862	22.9%
DISTRICT 15	48,167	52.3%	2,603	2.8%	1,576	1.7%	39,562	42.8%	46,194	50.0%	2,363	2.6%	4,254	4.6%	40,840	44.4%	48,635	52.8%	
DISTRICT 16	Aransas (82 %)	1,060	23.0%	148	3.2%	97	2.1%	3,291	71.4%	1,043	22.6%	177	3.8%	101	2.2%	3,407	73.6%	1,064	23.0%
	Bee (100 %)	2,169	43.5%	107	2.1%	60	1.2%	2,635	52.8%	1,997	40.0%	146	2.9%	215	4.3%	2,714	54.2%	2,172	43.3%
	Brooks (100 %)	1,499	75.1%	74	3.7%	59	3.0%	350	17.5%	1,391	69.6%	50	2.5%	208	10.4%	385	19.3%	1,534	77.1%
	DeWitt (100 %)	655	16.6%	78	2.0%	35	0.9%	3,100	79.0%	687	17.5%	91	2.3%	44	1.1%	3,193	81.4%	637	16.2%
	Goliad (100 %)	853	32.2%	66	2.5%	35	1.3%	1,702	64.2%	839	31.7%	64	2.4%	45	1.7%	1,689	65.0%	854	32.9%
	Gonzales (100 %)	833	23.2%	84	2.3%	111	3.1%	2,574	71.9%	842	23.5%	110	3.1%	54	1.5%	2,638	73.8%	838	23.4%
DISTRICT 16	Hidalgo (64 %)	33,648	65.7%	1,634	3.2%	945	1.8%	14,986	29.1%	32,268	62.7%	1,222	2.4%	3,021	5.9%	15,636	30.5%	34,074	66.5%
	Jim Wells (100 %)	3,003	54.7%	126	2.3%	60	1.1%	2,281	41.4%	2,853	51.8%	125	2.3%	245	4.5%	2,360	42.9%	3,031	55.1%
	Lavaca (100 %)	822	16.8%	76	1.5%	48	1.0%	3,947	80.3%	829	16.9%	109	2.2%	29	0.6%	4,013	82.3%	771	15.8%
	Refugio (90 %)	693	39.8%	41	2.4%	28	1.6%	986	56.6%	662	38.0%	49	2.8%	46	2.6%	998	57.1%	698	39.9%
	San Patricio (64 %)	2,932	42.3%	169	2.4%	98	1.4%	3,710	53.3%	2,783	40.0%	220	3.2%	246	3.5%	3,807	54.8%	2,962	42.6%
	41,479	57.2%	3,014	4.2%	2,205	3.0%	25,744	35.4%	40,095	55.1%	2,609	3.6%	4,344	6.0%	25,088	34.0%	46,324	62.7%	
DISTRICT 17	El Paso (89 %)	41,479	57.2%	3,014	4.2%	2,205	3.0%	25,744	35.4%	40,095	55.1%	2,609	3.6%	4,344	6.0%	25,088	34.0%	46,324	62.7%
DISTRICT 17	42,833	31.9%	4,660	3.5%	2,209	1.6%	84,348	63.0%	41,989	31.4%	5,513	4.1%	2,043	1.5%	86,345	64.3%	42,768	31.9%	
DISTRICT 17	Bell (9 %)	1,349	29.8%	112	2.5%	56	1.2%	2,969	65.7%	1,333	29.5%	152	3.4%	66	1.5%	3,015	66.8%	1,355	30.0%
	Bosque (100 %)	906	18.8%	112	2.3%	60	1.2%	3,664	76.5%	895	18.7%	183	3.8%	47	1.0%	3,727	78.3%	874	18.4%
	Falls (100 %)	939	31.9%	34	1.2%	37	1.3%	1,914	65.3%	910	31.0%	68	2.3%	40	1.4%	1,948	66.6%	928	31.7%
	Freestone (100 %)	910	20.8%	103	2.4%	37	0.8%	3,344	76.3%	892	20.4%	107	2.4%	38	0.9%	3,379	77.3%	900	20.6%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

		SUP CT CHIEF		SUP CT 6				SUP CT 7				SUP CT 8							
		Oxford-L		Brown-R		Meyers-D		Ash-L		Boyd-R		Benavides-D		Fulton-L	Waterbury-G	Johnson-R			
DISTRICT 13	Roberts (100 %)	11	3.4%	301	92.9%	16	4.9%	7	2.2%	300	92.9%	13	4.0%	8	2.5%	2	0.6%	297	94.3%
	Sherman (100 %)	18	3.2%	492	89.1%	41	7.4%	19	3.4%	491	88.8%	37	6.7%	17	3.1%	8	1.4%	479	90.5%
	Wheeler (100 %)	33	2.6%	1,126	88.2%	115	9.0%	35	2.7%	1,131	88.7%	103	8.1%	33	2.6%	8	0.6%	1,149	93.5%
	Wichita (100 %)	634	3.0%	15,756	75.7%	4,436	21.3%	632	3.0%	15,620	75.0%	4,508	21.6%	563	2.7%	134	0.6%	16,387	88.9%
	Wilbarger (100 %)	67	2.6%	1,965	76.3%	555	21.5%	57	2.2%	1,966	76.3%	537	20.9%	60	2.3%	12	0.5%	2,115	91.3%
	Wise (15 %)	67	3.0%	1,826	83.4%	302	13.8%	61	2.8%	1,815	83.2%	296	13.6%	56	2.6%	15	0.7%	1,880	91.4%
DISTRICT 14		3,774	2.8%	85,529	63.7%	45,243	33.7%	3,561	2.7%	84,299	62.8%	45,879	34.2%	3,197	2.4%	844	0.6%	89,753	82.9%
DISTRICT 15	Brazoria (33 %)	459	2.5%	12,408	68.4%	5,249	28.9%	475	2.6%	12,238	67.5%	5,354	29.5%	420	2.3%	121	0.7%	12,902	83.6%
	Chambers (0 %)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	Fort Bend (8 %)	182	1.9%	5,606	57.9%	3,876	40.1%	192	2.0%	5,518	57.1%	3,920	40.6%	168	1.7%	54	0.6%	6,038	79.1%
	Galveston (100 %)	1,922	3.0%	40,610	63.8%	21,048	33.1%	2,027	3.2%	39,680	62.5%	21,599	34.0%	1,788	2.8%	458	0.7%	42,320	81.0%
	Jefferson (56 %)	720	2.8%	14,154	54.4%	11,459	44.0%	419	1.6%	14,128	54.2%	11,399	43.8%	398	1.5%	118	0.5%	15,096	84.4%
	Orange (100 %)	491	2.9%	12,751	75.9%	3,611	21.5%	448	2.7%	12,735	75.5%	3,607	21.4%	423	2.5%	93	0.6%	13,397	89.1%
DISTRICT 15		2,595	2.8%	41,723	45.5%	47,295	51.6%	2,681	2.9%	39,651	42.9%	50,090	54.2%	1,932	2.1%	778	0.8%	48,902	74.7%
DISTRICT 16	Aransas (82 %)	161	3.5%	3,416	74.1%	1,037	22.5%	156	3.4%	3,355	72.7%	1,053	22.8%	163	3.5%	43	0.9%	3,540	85.5%
	Bee (100 %)	125	2.5%	2,775	55.7%	2,061	41.4%	146	2.9%	2,650	52.7%	2,232	44.4%	112	2.2%	36	0.7%	3,157	81.1%
	Brooks (100 %)	71	3.6%	445	22.4%	1,465	73.7%	77	3.9%	372	18.5%	1,589	78.9%	38	1.9%	16	0.8%	704	56.0%
	DeWitt (100 %)	91	2.3%	3,222	82.4%	594	15.2%	93	2.4%	3,199	81.8%	614	15.7%	80	2.0%	17	0.4%	3,316	91.7%
	Goliad (100 %)	55	2.1%	1,704	66.0%	805	31.2%	72	2.8%	1,696	65.3%	837	32.2%	55	2.1%	11	0.4%	1,836	86.6%
	Gonzales (100 %)	99	2.8%	2,699	75.9%	770	21.7%	87	2.4%	2,666	74.8%	790	22.2%	96	2.7%	10	0.3%	2,839	89.3%
DISTRICT 16	Hidalgo (64 %)	1,561	3.0%	16,116	31.5%	33,407	65.4%	1,565	3.1%	14,667	28.4%	35,492	68.7%	990	1.9%	524	1.0%	21,140	65.3%
	Jim Wells (100 %)	109	2.0%	2,397	43.7%	2,950	53.8%	140	2.6%	2,309	42.0%	3,075	55.9%	89	1.6%	28	0.5%	2,798	73.5%
	Lavaca (100 %)	92	1.9%	4,071	83.6%	710	14.6%	89	1.8%	4,037	83.3%	698	14.4%	92	1.9%	22	0.5%	4,175	92.1%
	Refugio (90 %)	53	3.0%	1,020	59.3%	660	38.4%	40	2.3%	980	56.2%	699	40.1%	47	2.7%	17	1.0%	1,142	83.8%
	San Patricio (64 %)	178	2.6%	3,858	55.8%	2,836	41.0%	216	3.1%	3,720	53.5%	3,011	43.3%	170	2.4%	54	0.8%	4,255	81.8%
		2,462	3.3%	27,798	38.3%	41,873	57.7%	2,913	4.0%	26,232	36.0%	43,546	59.8%	2,121	2.9%	960	1.3%	33,326	62.0%
DISTRICT 17	El Paso (89 %)	2,462	3.3%	27,798	38.3%	41,873	57.7%	2,913	4.0%	26,232	36.0%	43,546	59.8%	2,121	2.9%	960	1.3%	33,326	62.0%
DISTRICT 17		5,114	3.8%	86,947	65.0%	41,374	30.9%	5,428	4.1%	86,229	64.4%	41,541	31.0%	5,004	3.7%	1,036	0.8%	92,173	79.8%
	Bell (9 %)	145	3.2%	3,023	67.3%	1,308	29.1%	162	3.6%	3,006	66.8%	1,316	29.2%	143	3.2%	36	0.8%	3,148	86.4%
	Bosque (100 %)	158	3.3%	3,769	79.3%	817	17.2%	169	3.6%	3,754	79.2%	786	16.6%	161	3.4%	40	0.8%	3,940	89.2%
	Falls (100 %)	49	1.7%	1,958	67.2%	883	30.3%	73	2.5%	1,970	67.5%	850	29.1%	75	2.6%	22	0.8%	2,066	87.0%
	Freestone (100 %)	93	2.1%	3,402	78.0%	860	19.7%	101	2.3%	3,396	77.7%	859	19.7%	93	2.1%	22	0.5%	3,519	90.6%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

	SUP CT 8	CCA 3						CCA 4				CCA 9							
		Koelsch-L	Chisholm-G	Richardson-R	Granberg-D	Bennett-L	Yearly-R	Parker-L	Sanders-Castro-G	Newell-R									
DISTRICT 13	Roberts (100 %)	8	2.5%	10	3.2%	296	91.9%	16	5.0%	10	3.1%	293	92.7%	21	6.6%	2	0.6%	302	95.3%
	Sherman (100 %)	14	2.6%	36	6.8%	480	88.7%	39	7.2%	22	4.1%	481	89.9%	43	8.0%	11	2.1%	490	92.8%
	Wheeler (100 %)	44	3.6%	36	2.9%	1,117	87.5%	120	9.4%	39	3.1%	1,122	91.4%	92	7.5%	14	1.1%	1,165	94.8%
	Wichita (100 %)	1,174	6.4%	870	4.7%	15,540	74.8%	4,532	21.8%	694	3.3%	15,768	85.1%	2,007	10.8%	746	4.0%	16,346	88.4%
	Wilbarger (100 %)	122	5.3%	80	3.5%	1,933	75.5%	551	21.5%	75	2.9%	1,965	84.6%	311	13.4%	47	2.0%	2,103	91.3%
	Wise (15 %)	100	4.9%	78	3.8%	1,809	82.9%	303	13.9%	70	3.2%	1,799	87.5%	201	9.8%	57	2.8%	1,857	90.7%
DISTRICT 14	10,471	9.7%	7,992	7.4%	84,322	63.0%	45,248	33.8%	4,239	3.2%	89,028	81.9%	11,204	10.3%	8,510	7.8%	89,548	82.7%	
DISTRICT 15	Brazoria (33 %)	1,440	9.3%	1,091	7.1%	12,254	67.8%	5,254	29.1%	566	3.1%	12,835	82.6%	1,518	9.8%	1,183	7.6%	12,905	83.3%
	Chambers (0 %)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	Fort Bend (8 %)	901	11.8%	694	9.1%	5,583	58.1%	3,828	39.8%	203	2.1%	5,998	77.3%	990	12.8%	772	9.9%	6,053	78.6%
	Galveston (100 %)	5,468	10.5%	4,458	8.5%	39,905	63.1%	21,087	33.3%	2,286	3.6%	41,964	80.0%	5,604	10.7%	4,864	9.3%	42,239	80.9%
	Jefferson (56 %)	1,633	9.1%	1,147	6.4%	13,940	53.7%	11,375	43.8%	638	2.5%	14,945	83.4%	1,836	10.2%	1,147	6.4%	14,974	84.2%
	Orange (100 %)	1,029	6.8%	602	4.0%	12,640	74.8%	3,704	21.9%	546	3.2%	13,286	88.1%	1,256	8.3%	544	3.6%	13,377	88.9%
DISTRICT 15	10,683	16.3%	5,902	9.0%	41,170	45.1%	46,892	51.4%	3,250	3.6%	46,689	70.2%	9,192	13.8%	10,604	15.9%	48,845	74.7%	
DISTRICT 16	Aransas (82 %)	347	8.4%	251	6.1%	3,354	73.2%	1,033	22.6%	192	4.2%	3,467	83.7%	403	9.7%	274	6.6%	3,541	85.7%
	Bee (100 %)	468	12.0%	266	6.8%	2,706	54.7%	2,061	41.7%	178	3.6%	2,998	76.5%	464	11.8%	457	11.7%	3,157	81.5%
	Brooks (100 %)	357	28.4%	197	15.7%	434	22.1%	1,431	72.7%	103	5.2%	616	48.7%	319	25.2%	329	26.0%	667	53.7%
	DeWitt (100 %)	182	5.0%	119	3.3%	3,177	81.7%	604	15.5%	107	2.8%	3,269	90.0%	246	6.8%	116	3.2%	3,308	91.7%
	Goliad (100 %)	176	8.3%	107	5.0%	1,680	65.5%	804	31.3%	81	3.2%	1,811	83.8%	212	9.8%	139	6.4%	1,833	86.3%
	Gonzales (100 %)	241	7.6%	100	3.1%	2,636	74.5%	762	21.5%	138	3.9%	2,767	86.9%	280	8.8%	136	4.3%	2,843	89.2%
DISTRICT 16	Hidalgo (64 %)	7,253	22.4%	3,984	12.3%	16,036	31.5%	33,067	64.9%	1,834	3.6%	19,717	59.4%	5,628	17.0%	7,848	23.6%	21,045	65.1%
	Jim Wells (100 %)	662	17.4%	348	9.1%	2,357	43.2%	2,938	53.8%	161	3.0%	2,697	70.1%	581	15.1%	571	14.8%	2,869	74.8%
	Lavaca (100 %)	225	5.0%	132	2.9%	3,987	82.4%	722	14.9%	132	2.7%	4,138	91.3%	289	6.4%	107	2.4%	4,174	92.3%
	Refugio (90 %)	137	10.1%	84	6.2%	995	58.1%	654	38.2%	63	3.7%	1,100	80.9%	159	11.7%	100	7.4%	1,143	84.0%
	San Patricio (64 %)	635	12.2%	314	6.0%	3,808	55.3%	2,816	40.9%	261	3.8%	4,109	78.3%	611	11.6%	527	10.0%	4,265	81.8%
	12,736	23.7%	7,693	14.3%	27,605	38.1%	41,198	56.9%	3,583	4.9%	31,443	56.9%	10,947	19.8%	12,860	23.3%	33,153	61.0%	
DISTRICT 17	El Paso (89 %)	12,736	23.7%	7,693	14.3%	27,605	38.1%	41,198	56.9%	3,583	4.9%	31,443	56.9%	10,947	19.8%	12,860	23.3%	33,153	61.0%
DISTRICT 17	13,210	11.4%	10,091	8.7%	85,783	64.5%	41,330	31.1%	5,877	4.4%	89,835	77.5%	15,978	13.8%	10,176	8.8%	91,489	79.4%	
	Bell (9 %)	307	8.4%	190	5.2%	2,985	66.6%	1,321	29.5%	178	4.0%	3,069	84.2%	422	11.6%	156	4.3%	3,134	86.0%
	Bosque (100 %)	282	6.4%	194	4.4%	3,694	78.4%	837	17.8%	182	3.9%	3,789	86.1%	469	10.7%	145	3.3%	3,914	89.1%
	Falls (100 %)	189	8.0%	121	5.1%	1,935	66.9%	886	30.6%	70	2.4%	2,003	84.2%	288	12.1%	89	3.7%	2,060	87.1%
	Freestone (100 %)	214	5.5%	149	3.8%	3,398	78.0%	843	19.3%	118	2.7%	3,430	88.2%	363	9.3%	95	2.4%	3,486	90.2%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

CCA 9					
		Strange-L	Altgelt-G		
DISTRICT 13	Roberts (100 %)	11	3.5%	4	1.3%
	Sherman (100 %)	23	4.4%	15	2.8%
	Wheeler (100 %)	49	4.0%	15	1.2%
	Wichita (100 %)	1,400	7.6%	738	4.0%
	Wilbarger (100 %)	147	6.4%	54	2.3%
	Wise (15 %)	126	6.2%	65	3.2%
DISTRICT 14	11,753	10.9%	6,943	6.4%	
	Brazoria (33 %)	1,577	10.2%	1,014	6.5%
	Chambers (0 %)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	Fort Bend (8 %)	994	12.9%	653	8.5%
	Galveston (100 %)	5,906	11.3%	4,077	7.8%
	Jefferson (56 %)	2,009	11.3%	796	4.5%
DISTRICT 15	Orange (100 %)	1,267	8.4%	403	2.7%
	10,863	16.6%	5,717	8.7%	
	Aransas (82 %)	370	9.0%	222	5.4%
	Bee (100 %)	490	12.7%	226	5.8%
	Brooks (100 %)	393	31.6%	183	14.7%
	DeWitt (100 %)	208	5.8%	93	2.6%
DISTRICT 16	Goliad (100 %)	199	9.4%	93	4.4%
	Gonzales (100 %)	262	8.2%	82	2.6%
	Hidalgo (64 %)	7,242	22.4%	4,037	12.5%
	Jim Wells (100 %)	644	16.8%	323	8.4%
	Lavaca (100 %)	257	5.7%	90	2.0%
	Refugio (90 %)	134	9.9%	83	6.1%
DISTRICT 17	San Patricio (64 %)	664	12.7%	285	5.5%
	13,420	24.7%	7,771	14.3%	
	El Paso (89 %)	13,420	24.7%	7,771	14.3%
	14,919	12.9%	8,868	7.7%	
	Bell (9 %)	379	10.4%	133	3.6%
	Bosque (100 %)	342	7.8%	137	3.1%
	Falls (100 %)	222	9.4%	83	3.5%
	Freestone (100 %)	294	7.6%	86	2.2%

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

		U.S. SEN										GOVERNOR							
		Cornyn-R		Alameel-D		Paddock-L		Sanchez-G		Tahiro-W		Abbott-R		Davis-D		Glass-L		Parmer-G	
DISTRICT 17	Hill (100 %)	7,023	79.4%	1,533	17.3%	234	2.6%	41	0.5%	9	0.1%	6,855	76.9%	1,887	21.2%	123	1.4%	40	0.4%
	Johnson (9 %)	2,904	80.2%	567	15.7%	121	3.3%	27	0.7%	0	0.0%	2,826	77.8%	720	19.8%	64	1.8%	21	0.6%
	Limestone (100 %)	3,257	76.6%	903	21.2%	70	1.6%	23	0.5%	0	0.0%	3,278	76.2%	979	22.7%	31	0.7%	16	0.4%
	McLennan (100 %)	31,117	71.1%	11,276	25.8%	1,056	2.4%	338	0.8%	0	0.0%	30,507	68.9%	13,092	29.6%	549	1.2%	137	0.3%
	Milam (100 %)	3,584	73.9%	1,098	22.6%	135	2.8%	33	0.7%	1	0.0%	3,576	72.0%	1,293	26.0%	79	1.6%	13	0.3%
	Robertson (100 %)	3,165	63.8%	1,643	33.1%	106	2.1%	42	0.8%	3	0.1%	3,270	64.1%	1,768	34.6%	46	0.9%	16	0.3%
	Williamson (49 %)	27,348	56.2%	18,143	37.3%	2,541	5.2%	592	1.2%	37	0.1%	26,520	54.0%	21,021	42.8%	1,288	2.6%	221	0.5%
DISTRICT 18		23,562	19.4%	94,461	77.9%	1,807	1.5%	1,394	1.1%	4	0.0%	21,563	17.5%	100,685	81.5%	864	0.7%	418	0.3%
	Fort Bend (18 %)	6,340	23.5%	20,034	74.3%	371	1.4%	214	0.8%	1	0.0%	6,009	22.0%	20,998	76.9%	211	0.8%	88	0.3%
DISTRICT 19	Harris (13 %)	17,222	18.3%	74,427	79.0%	1,436	1.5%	1,180	1.3%	3	0.0%	15,554	16.2%	79,687	82.8%	653	0.7%	330	0.3%
		96,267	80.6%	18,784	15.7%	3,120	2.6%	1,186	1.0%	9	0.0%	97,607	80.7%	21,465	17.7%	1,536	1.3%	363	0.3%
	Andrews (100 %)	1,943	88.6%	178	8.1%	50	2.3%	22	1.0%	0	0.0%	1,988	89.5%	203	9.1%	25	1.1%	5	0.2%
	Bailey (100 %)	853	86.4%	115	11.7%	13	1.3%	6	0.6%	0	0.0%	868	86.5%	130	12.9%	4	0.4%	2	0.2%
	Borden (100 %)	236	93.3%	12	4.7%	5	2.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	240	92.3%	18	6.9%	2	0.8%	0	0.0%
	Callahan (11 %)	259	86.9%	29	9.7%	6	2.0%	4	1.3%	0	0.0%	262	87.3%	34	11.3%	3	1.0%	1	0.3%
	Castro (100 %)	903	79.0%	200	17.5%	23	2.0%	17	1.5%	0	0.0%	917	79.6%	217	18.8%	13	1.1%	4	0.3%
	Cochran (100 %)	411	84.7%	57	11.8%	10	2.1%	7	1.4%	0	0.0%	423	85.5%	65	13.1%	6	1.2%	0	0.0%
	Crosby (100 %)	802	76.7%	198	18.9%	27	2.6%	18	1.7%	0	0.0%	813	75.8%	239	22.3%	13	1.2%	7	0.7%
	Dawson (100 %)	1,626	81.9%	290	14.6%	32	1.6%	38	1.9%	0	0.0%	1,687	82.5%	335	16.4%	16	0.8%	6	0.3%
	Fisher (100 %)	808	67.2%	359	29.8%	24	2.0%	12	1.0%	0	0.0%	891	71.6%	334	26.8%	14	1.1%	6	0.5%
	Floyd (100 %)	939	87.5%	110	10.3%	13	1.2%	11	1.0%	0	0.0%	947	87.1%	133	12.2%	2	0.2%	5	0.5%
	Gaines (100 %)	2,137	87.6%	224	9.2%	54	2.2%	25	1.0%	0	0.0%	2,235	89.0%	235	9.4%	31	1.2%	11	0.4%
	Garza (100 %)	742	89.8%	66	8.0%	15	1.8%	3	0.4%	0	0.0%	752	89.5%	80	9.5%	7	0.8%	1	0.1%
	Hale (100 %)	3,675	85.9%	492	11.5%	67	1.6%	43	1.0%	0	0.0%	3,703	85.7%	567	13.1%	38	0.9%	12	0.3%
	Haskell (100 %)	787	75.4%	234	22.4%	21	2.0%	2	0.2%	0	0.0%	821	77.1%	230	21.6%	8	0.8%	5	0.5%
	Hockley (100 %)	3,192	87.0%	370	10.1%	79	2.2%	27	0.7%	3	0.1%	3,220	87.1%	425	11.5%	40	1.1%	10	0.3%
	Howard (100 %)	3,433	81.1%	644	15.2%	117	2.8%	37	0.9%	3	0.1%	3,492	81.6%	664	15.5%	111	2.6%	9	0.2%
	Jones (100 %)	2,644	80.8%	491	15.0%	112	3.4%	23	0.7%	1	0.0%	2,745	82.2%	534	16.0%	42	1.3%	16	0.5%
	Kent (100 %)	242	82.3%	41	13.9%	8	2.7%	3	1.0%	0	0.0%	243	80.7%	48	15.9%	7	2.3%	2	0.7%
	Lamb (100 %)	1,781	85.7%	244	11.7%	31	1.5%	22	1.1%	0	0.0%	1,837	87.1%	251	11.9%	17	0.8%	2	0.1%
	Lubbock (100 %)	35,927	76.9%	8,956	19.2%	1,354	2.9%	481	1.0%	1	0.0%	36,038	76.4%	10,438	22.1%	605	1.3%	109	0.2%
	Lynn (100 %)	979	83.0%	164	13.9%	27	2.3%	9	0.8%	0	0.0%	1,013	83.9%	180	14.9%	11	0.9%	3	0.2%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

		GOVERNOR		LT. GOVERNOR				ATTORNEY GEN											
		Pavitt-W	Patrick-R	Van De Putte-D	Butler-L	Courtney-G	Paxton-R	Houston-D	Balagia-L	Osborne-G									
DISTRICT 17	Hill (100 %)	14	0.2%	6,880	77.6%	1,763	19.9%	201	2.3%	26	0.3%	6,777	76.7%	1,917	21.7%	114	1.3%	29	0.3%
	Johnson (9 %)	1	0.0%	2,821	77.8%	644	17.8%	141	3.9%	20	0.6%	2,804	77.6%	707	19.6%	89	2.5%	12	0.3%
	Limestone (100 %)	0	0.0%	3,255	75.9%	943	22.0%	77	1.8%	15	0.3%	3,193	74.6%	1,032	24.1%	44	1.0%	10	0.2%
	McLennan (100 %)	13	0.0%	30,090	68.3%	12,679	28.8%	1,037	2.4%	252	0.6%	29,662	67.8%	13,107	30.0%	793	1.8%	195	0.4%
	Milam (100 %)	3	0.1%	3,535	72.0%	1,206	24.6%	144	2.9%	23	0.5%	3,390	69.6%	1,319	27.1%	134	2.8%	29	0.6%
	Robertson (100 %)	5	0.1%	3,137	63.1%	1,707	34.3%	116	2.3%	13	0.3%	2,956	59.8%	1,874	37.9%	89	1.8%	21	0.4%
	Williamson (49 %)	42	0.1%	25,947	53.2%	19,928	40.9%	2,410	4.9%	454	0.9%	25,681	52.9%	19,616	40.4%	2,850	5.9%	392	0.8%
DISTRICT 18		2	0.0%	21,148	17.2%	99,391	80.7%	1,713	1.4%	847	0.7%	21,435	17.5%	98,815	80.5%	1,528	1.2%	1,022	0.8%
	Fort Bend (18 %)	1	0.0%	5,957	21.9%	20,764	76.3%	354	1.3%	154	0.6%	5,995	22.1%	20,704	76.2%	308	1.1%	158	0.6%
	Harris (13 %)	1	0.0%	15,191	15.8%	78,627	82.0%	1,359	1.4%	693	0.7%	15,440	16.1%	78,111	81.7%	1,220	1.3%	864	0.9%
DISTRICT 19		28	0.0%	95,219	79.4%	21,301	17.8%	2,962	2.5%	484	0.4%	92,918	77.7%	23,770	19.9%	2,478	2.1%	488	0.4%
	Andrews (100 %)	0	0.0%	1,950	88.7%	205	9.3%	38	1.7%	6	0.3%	1,898	86.7%	245	11.2%	39	1.8%	6	0.3%
	Bailey (100 %)	0	0.0%	834	83.7%	151	15.1%	11	1.1%	1	0.1%	827	84.3%	142	14.5%	11	1.1%	1	0.1%
	Borden (100 %)	0	0.0%	229	90.5%	16	6.3%	8	3.2%	0	0.0%	229	90.5%	22	8.7%	2	0.8%	0	0.0%
	Callahan (11 %)	0	0.0%	256	85.3%	37	12.3%	6	2.0%	1	0.3%	248	82.7%	44	14.7%	6	2.0%	2	0.7%
	Castro (100 %)	1	0.1%	901	79.2%	204	17.9%	27	2.4%	5	0.4%	855	75.7%	251	22.2%	19	1.7%	5	0.4%
	Cochran (100 %)	1	0.2%	407	83.4%	65	13.3%	15	3.1%	1	0.2%	392	81.0%	77	15.9%	10	2.1%	5	1.0%
	Crosby (100 %)	0	0.0%	773	74.5%	231	22.3%	30	2.9%	4	0.4%	719	68.5%	316	30.1%	10	1.0%	5	0.5%
	Dawson (100 %)	0	0.0%	1,589	79.6%	363	18.2%	39	2.0%	6	0.3%	1,569	78.6%	385	19.3%	31	1.6%	11	0.6%
	Fisher (100 %)	0	0.0%	798	66.8%	349	29.2%	37	3.1%	10	0.8%	742	62.3%	429	36.0%	14	1.2%	6	0.5%
	Floyd (100 %)	0	0.0%	917	85.2%	135	12.5%	21	2.0%	3	0.3%	909	83.8%	166	15.3%	7	0.6%	3	0.3%
	Gaines (100 %)	0	0.0%	2,161	86.9%	254	10.2%	61	2.5%	12	0.5%	2,125	85.5%	294	11.8%	54	2.2%	11	0.4%
	Garza (100 %)	0	0.0%	729	87.7%	86	10.3%	16	1.9%	0	0.0%	707	85.3%	105	12.7%	12	1.4%	5	0.6%
	Hale (100 %)	0	0.0%	3,643	84.5%	593	13.8%	58	1.3%	18	0.4%	3,560	83.0%	649	15.1%	67	1.6%	12	0.3%
	Haskell (100 %)	1	0.1%	787	75.8%	223	21.5%	23	2.2%	5	0.5%	755	72.3%	277	26.5%	9	0.9%	3	0.3%
	Hockley (100 %)	0	0.0%	3,135	85.4%	450	12.3%	74	2.0%	10	0.3%	3,086	84.5%	504	13.8%	56	1.5%	8	0.2%
	Howard (100 %)	3	0.1%	3,422	80.7%	691	16.3%	115	2.7%	15	0.4%	3,343	79.0%	763	18.0%	114	2.7%	12	0.3%
	Jones (100 %)	4	0.1%	2,651	80.2%	556	16.8%	85	2.6%	12	0.4%	2,550	77.8%	643	19.6%	67	2.0%	16	0.5%
	Kent (100 %)	1	0.3%	235	81.6%	44	15.3%	9	3.1%	0	0.0%	210	73.7%	66	23.2%	5	1.8%	4	1.4%
	Lamb (100 %)	1	0.0%	1,776	85.0%	272	13.0%	40	1.9%	2	0.1%	1,730	83.1%	319	15.3%	31	1.5%	1	0.0%
	Lubbock (100 %)	3	0.0%	35,357	75.2%	10,187	21.7%	1,239	2.6%	217	0.5%	34,805	74.3%	10,739	22.9%	1,107	2.4%	213	0.5%
	Lynn (100 %)	1	0.1%	962	81.3%	193	16.3%	25	2.1%	3	0.3%	918	78.0%	240	20.4%	18	1.5%	1	0.1%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

		COMPTROLLER							LAND COMM							AG COMM	
		Hegar-R		Collier-D		Sanders-L		Shafto-G		Bush-R		Cook-D		Knight-L		Alessi-G	
DISTRICT 17	Hill (100 %)	6,652	76.3%	1,803	20.7%	209	2.4%	58	0.7%	6,884	77.9%	1,670	18.9%	234	2.6%	49	0.6%
	Johnson (9 %)	2,775	77.4%	661	18.4%	114	3.2%	37	1.0%	2,849	78.8%	612	16.9%	116	3.2%	37	1.0%
	Limestone (100 %)	3,122	73.4%	1,021	24.0%	84	2.0%	25	0.6%	3,144	73.6%	1,017	23.8%	82	1.9%	26	0.6%
	McLennan (100 %)	28,919	66.6%	13,023	30.0%	1,162	2.7%	290	0.7%	29,934	68.4%	12,330	28.2%	1,116	2.6%	374	0.9%
	Milam (100 %)	3,283	68.2%	1,354	28.1%	144	3.0%	34	0.7%	3,437	70.2%	1,278	26.1%	139	2.8%	39	0.8%
	Robertson (100 %)	2,814	57.7%	1,904	39.0%	137	2.8%	25	0.5%	3,006	60.8%	1,793	36.2%	106	2.1%	43	0.9%
	Williamson (49 %)	25,583	53.2%	19,142	39.8%	2,725	5.7%	671	1.4%	26,485	54.8%	18,519	38.3%	2,411	5.0%	932	1.9%
DISTRICT 18		21,039	17.2%	98,710	80.7%	1,619	1.3%	979	0.8%	23,210	18.9%	96,526	78.7%	1,483	1.2%	1,416	1.2%
	Fort Bend (18 %)	5,936	21.9%	20,701	76.3%	348	1.3%	129	0.5%	6,170	22.7%	20,453	75.1%	347	1.3%	253	0.9%
	Harris (13 %)	15,103	15.9%	78,009	81.9%	1,271	1.3%	850	0.9%	17,040	17.9%	76,073	79.7%	1,136	1.2%	1,163	1.2%
DISTRICT 19		91,291	77.1%	22,875	19.3%	3,485	2.9%	824	0.7%	94,628	79.1%	20,694	17.3%	3,477	2.9%	887	0.7%
	Andrews (100 %)	1,847	85.1%	244	11.2%	65	3.0%	14	0.6%	1,899	86.6%	214	9.8%	55	2.5%	24	1.1%
	Bailey (100 %)	805	82.6%	151	15.5%	15	1.5%	4	0.4%	833	84.6%	132	13.4%	16	1.6%	4	0.4%
	Borden (100 %)	222	89.2%	21	8.4%	5	2.0%	1	0.4%	226	91.5%	16	6.5%	5	2.0%	0	0.0%
	Callahan (11 %)	245	81.7%	41	13.7%	13	4.3%	1	0.3%	251	83.7%	36	12.0%	10	3.3%	3	1.0%
	Castro (100 %)	832	74.1%	248	22.1%	34	3.0%	9	0.8%	858	75.9%	228	20.2%	37	3.3%	8	0.7%
	Cochran (100 %)	384	80.0%	77	16.0%	14	2.9%	5	1.0%	391	80.8%	72	14.9%	14	2.9%	7	1.4%
	Crosby (100 %)	680	67.4%	299	29.6%	20	2.0%	10	1.0%	756	72.8%	252	24.3%	21	2.0%	9	0.9%
	Dawson (100 %)	1,505	77.1%	395	20.2%	40	2.0%	13	0.7%	1,597	79.8%	362	18.1%	36	1.8%	6	0.3%
	Fisher (100 %)	697	60.3%	417	36.1%	30	2.6%	11	1.0%	745	62.6%	401	33.7%	35	2.9%	10	0.8%
	Floyd (100 %)	875	82.9%	158	15.0%	17	1.6%	5	0.5%	924	86.4%	128	12.0%	12	1.1%	6	0.6%
	Gaines (100 %)	2,083	85.3%	288	11.8%	54	2.2%	18	0.7%	2,165	87.3%	234	9.4%	64	2.6%	18	0.7%
	Garza (100 %)	676	82.6%	114	13.9%	26	3.2%	2	0.2%	711	85.9%	90	10.9%	25	3.0%	2	0.2%
	Hale (100 %)	3,500	81.9%	656	15.4%	94	2.2%	22	0.5%	3,621	84.4%	559	13.0%	89	2.1%	23	0.5%
	Haskell (100 %)	695	68.5%	292	28.8%	25	2.5%	3	0.3%	745	71.8%	267	25.7%	20	1.9%	5	0.5%
	Hockley (100 %)	3,033	83.6%	485	13.4%	89	2.5%	22	0.6%	3,128	85.4%	420	11.5%	93	2.5%	20	0.5%
	Howard (100 %)	3,260	77.7%	784	18.7%	124	3.0%	27	0.6%	3,361	79.3%	702	16.6%	145	3.4%	31	0.7%
	Jones (100 %)	2,518	77.4%	611	18.8%	111	3.4%	15	0.5%	2,581	78.4%	577	17.5%	113	3.4%	22	0.7%
	Kent (100 %)	202	72.4%	65	23.3%	10	3.6%	2	0.7%	228	79.2%	47	16.3%	12	4.2%	1	0.3%
	Lamb (100 %)	1,692	82.5%	311	15.2%	35	1.7%	13	0.6%	1,745	84.0%	285	13.7%	40	1.9%	8	0.4%
	Lubbock (100 %)	34,474	73.9%	10,266	22.0%	1,527	3.3%	358	0.8%	35,412	75.6%	9,516	20.3%	1,478	3.2%	408	0.9%
	Lynn (100 %)	886	77.3%	235	20.5%	18	1.6%	7	0.6%	952	80.3%	198	16.7%	25	2.1%	11	0.9%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

	AG COMM							RR COMM 3							SUP CT CHIEF				
	Hogan-D		Palmquist-L		Kendrick-G		Sittion-R		Brown-D		Miller-L		Salinas-G		Hecht-R		Moody-D		
DISTRICT 17	Hill (100 %)	1,854	21.2%	168	1.9%	105	1.2%	6,632	75.9%	1,744	20.0%	267	3.1%	93	1.1%	6,741	77.3%	1,758	20.2%
	Johnson (9 %)	999	27.7%	88	2.4%	42	1.2%	2,755	76.8%	649	18.1%	139	3.9%	45	1.3%	2,803	78.3%	649	18.1%
	Limestone (100 %)	1,045	24.7%	72	1.7%	46	1.1%	3,091	73.0%	1,024	24.2%	93	2.2%	27	0.6%	3,120	73.8%	1,018	24.1%
	McLennan (100 %)	12,823	29.5%	1,081	2.5%	552	1.3%	28,731	66.5%	12,656	29.3%	1,264	2.9%	534	1.2%	29,440	67.9%	12,776	29.5%
	Milam (100 %)	1,354	27.9%	131	2.7%	60	1.2%	3,275	68.1%	1,329	27.7%	151	3.1%	51	1.1%	3,316	69.0%	1,349	28.1%
	Robertson (100 %)	1,963	40.2%	84	1.7%	60	1.2%	2,807	57.4%	1,906	39.0%	117	2.4%	61	1.2%	2,893	59.0%	1,902	38.8%
	Williamson (49 %)	18,691	39.1%	2,675	5.6%	1,154	2.4%	25,166	52.6%	18,651	39.0%	2,972	6.2%	1,041	2.2%	25,963	54.0%	19,259	40.1%
DISTRICT 18	96,688		79.4%	1,610	1.3%	1,802	1.5%	21,408	17.5%	97,429	79.7%	1,589	1.3%	1,754	1.4%	22,583	18.5%	97,918	80.1%
	Fort Bend (18 %)	20,455	75.6%	347	1.3%	297	1.1%	5,871	21.7%	20,642	76.1%	319	1.2%	282	1.0%	6,077	22.4%	20,717	76.4%
	Harris (13 %)	76,233	80.4%	1,263	1.3%	1,505	1.6%	15,537	16.3%	76,787	80.8%	1,270	1.3%	1,472	1.5%	16,506	17.3%	77,201	81.1%
DISTRICT 19	22,828		19.3%	3,044	2.6%	1,483	1.3%	90,649	76.6%	21,569	18.2%	4,291	3.6%	1,854	1.6%	92,352	78.1%	22,306	18.9%
Andrews (100 %)	251	11.6%	51	2.4%	33	1.5%	1,831	84.7%	226	10.4%	64	3.0%	42	1.9%	1,860	86.0%	247	11.4%	
Bailey (100 %)	144	14.8%	7	0.7%	9	0.9%	792	81.4%	152	15.6%	16	1.6%	13	1.3%	811	83.8%	142	14.7%	
Borden (100 %)	22	9.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.4%	225	91.8%	16	6.5%	4	1.6%	0	0.0%	221	90.9%	19	7.8%	
Callahan (11 %)	38	12.7%	9	3.0%	6	2.0%	246	82.0%	38	12.7%	12	4.0%	4	1.3%	253	84.3%	40	13.3%	
Castro (100 %)	268	23.9%	21	1.9%	13	1.2%	826	73.5%	245	21.8%	37	3.3%	16	1.4%	831	74.9%	241	21.7%	
Cochran (100 %)	80	16.8%	10	2.1%	10	2.1%	381	79.2%	74	15.4%	15	3.1%	11	2.3%	379	79.5%	76	15.9%	
Crosby (100 %)	325	31.7%	11	1.1%	11	1.1%	673	66.0%	290	28.4%	25	2.5%	32	3.1%	683	68.0%	301	30.0%	
Dawson (100 %)	393	20.1%	33	1.7%	22	1.1%	1,499	76.6%	383	19.6%	44	2.2%	30	1.5%	1,523	77.9%	387	19.8%	
Fisher (100 %)	410	34.8%	21	1.8%	18	1.5%	681	58.7%	413	35.6%	50	4.3%	17	1.5%	699	61.0%	410	35.8%	
Floyd (100 %)	152	14.5%	8	0.8%	9	0.9%	866	82.4%	149	14.2%	24	2.3%	12	1.1%	876	84.1%	152	14.6%	
Gaines (100 %)	281	11.5%	59	2.4%	23	0.9%	2,092	85.3%	263	10.7%	62	2.5%	36	1.5%	2,107	85.8%	286	11.6%	
Garza (100 %)	106	13.0%	17	2.1%	10	1.2%	690	83.9%	94	11.4%	33	4.0%	5	0.6%	686	84.4%	99	12.2%	
Hale (100 %)	666	15.5%	73	1.7%	39	0.9%	3,491	81.9%	585	13.7%	114	2.7%	74	1.7%	3,560	83.7%	612	14.4%	
Haskell (100 %)	280	27.3%	18	1.8%	7	0.7%	693	68.4%	288	28.4%	25	2.5%	7	0.7%	711	70.5%	283	28.1%	
Hockley (100 %)	502	13.9%	82	2.3%	35	1.0%	3,004	82.8%	450	12.4%	116	3.2%	59	1.6%	3,038	84.1%	497	13.8%	
Howard (100 %)	748	17.8%	149	3.6%	57	1.4%	3,234	77.2%	707	16.9%	170	4.1%	80	1.9%	3,297	78.9%	743	17.8%	
Jones (100 %)	623	19.1%	86	2.6%	58	1.8%	2,436	75.0%	620	19.1%	145	4.5%	46	1.4%	2,548	78.4%	598	18.4%	
Kent (100 %)	71	25.2%	8	2.8%	4	1.4%	192	70.8%	58	21.4%	12	4.4%	9	3.3%	206	74.4%	63	22.7%	
Lamb (100 %)	321	15.6%	32	1.6%	15	0.7%	1,691	82.2%	282	13.7%	49	2.4%	36	1.7%	1,704	83.3%	301	14.7%	
Lubbock (100 %)	10,281	22.1%	1,310	2.8%	579	1.2%	34,263	73.7%	9,661	20.8%	1,858	4.0%	724	1.6%	34,932	74.9%	10,097	21.7%	
Lynn (100 %)	235	20.3%	19	1.6%	20	1.7%	883	76.4%	224	19.4%	27	2.3%	22	1.9%	882	77.1%	235	20.5%	

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

		SUP CT CHIEF		SUP CT 6				SUP CT 7				SUP CT 8							
		Oxford-L		Brown-R		Meyers-D		Ash-L		Boyd-R		Benavides-D		Fulton-L	Waterbury-G	Johnson-R			
		Hill (100 %)	220	2.5%	6,788	78.4%	1,631	18.8%	239	2.8%	6,738	77.7%	1,627	18.8%	263	3.0%	48	0.6%	
DISTRICT 17	Johnson (9 %)	126	3.5%	2,827	79.1%	612	17.1%	134	3.8%	2,798	78.3%	626	17.5%	124	3.5%	24	0.7%	2,941	88.8%
	Limestone (100 %)	88	2.1%	3,167	75.1%	969	23.0%	82	1.9%	3,153	74.7%	965	22.9%	80	1.9%	21	0.5%	3,286	90.4%
	McLennan (100 %)	1,155	2.7%	29,584	68.4%	12,458	28.8%	1,188	2.7%	29,505	68.3%	12,332	28.5%	1,132	2.6%	248	0.6%	31,497	84.5%
	Milam (100 %)	138	2.9%	3,380	70.6%	1,262	26.4%	144	3.0%	3,384	70.8%	1,219	25.5%	147	3.1%	32	0.7%	3,585	86.8%
	Robertson (100 %)	112	2.3%	2,996	61.3%	1,785	36.5%	110	2.2%	2,910	59.8%	1,776	36.5%	149	3.1%	32	0.7%	3,197	84.0%
	Williamson (49 %)	2,830	5.9%	26,053	54.4%	18,789	39.3%	3,026	6.3%	25,615	53.4%	19,185	40.0%	2,637	5.5%	511	1.1%	27,914	67.9%
		1,765	1.4%	22,745	18.7%	97,401	79.9%	1,745	1.4%	21,234	17.4%	98,326	80.7%	1,504	1.2%	821	0.7%	27,208	50.8%
DISTRICT 18	Fort Bend (18 %)	331	1.2%	6,087	22.4%	20,695	76.3%	341	1.3%	5,942	21.9%	20,690	76.4%	310	1.1%	130	0.5%	7,332	55.4%
	Harris (13 %)	1,434	1.5%	16,658	17.6%	76,706	80.9%	1,404	1.5%	15,292	16.1%	77,636	81.9%	1,194	1.3%	691	0.7%	19,876	49.3%
DISTRICT 19		3,645	3.1%	93,064	79.0%	21,140	17.9%	3,630	3.1%	91,996	78.1%	21,632	18.4%	3,463	2.9%	695	0.6%	96,820	89.1%
	Andrews (100 %)	56	2.6%	1,877	86.8%	217	10.0%	68	3.1%	1,854	85.9%	233	10.8%	61	2.8%	10	0.5%	1,925	92.5%
	Bailey (100 %)	15	1.5%	798	83.6%	137	14.4%	19	2.0%	805	83.9%	141	14.7%	9	0.9%	5	0.5%	834	93.4%
	Borden (100 %)	3	1.2%	230	93.1%	12	4.9%	5	2.0%	226	92.2%	15	6.1%	4	1.6%	0	0.0%	230	96.2%
	Callahan (11 %)	7	2.3%	252	84.3%	36	12.0%	11	3.7%	249	83.3%	36	12.0%	11	3.7%	3	1.0%	259	90.6%
	Castro (100 %)	38	3.4%	854	77.1%	222	20.0%	32	2.9%	845	76.6%	224	20.3%	28	2.5%	6	0.5%	884	90.3%
	Cochran (100 %)	22	4.6%	390	82.3%	71	15.0%	13	2.7%	380	80.3%	73	15.4%	14	3.0%	6	1.3%	403	90.0%
	Crosby (100 %)	21	2.1%	715	72.3%	257	26.0%	17	1.7%	702	70.3%	269	27.0%	20	2.0%	7	0.7%	784	88.9%
	Dawson (100 %)	45	2.3%	1,562	80.4%	332	17.1%	49	2.5%	1,519	77.9%	376	19.3%	48	2.5%	7	0.4%	1,620	93.0%
	Fisher (100 %)	37	3.2%	710	63.1%	383	34.0%	33	2.9%	716	63.9%	360	32.1%	38	3.4%	7	0.6%	765	83.8%
	Floyd (100 %)	13	1.2%	886	86.0%	124	12.0%	20	1.9%	876	85.1%	128	12.4%	20	1.9%	5	0.5%	907	93.9%
	Gaines (100 %)	63	2.6%	2,115	87.0%	258	10.6%	59	2.4%	2,100	86.1%	267	10.9%	52	2.1%	20	0.8%	2,187	94.2%
	Garza (100 %)	28	3.4%	692	85.5%	86	10.6%	31	3.8%	686	85.2%	90	11.2%	27	3.4%	2	0.2%	725	93.7%
	Hale (100 %)	83	2.0%	3,578	84.1%	586	13.8%	89	2.1%	3,551	83.4%	603	14.2%	77	1.8%	29	0.7%	3,722	93.1%
	Haskell (100 %)	14	1.4%	727	72.8%	251	25.1%	21	2.1%	715	73.6%	221	22.7%	25	2.6%	11	1.1%	782	89.2%
	Hockley (100 %)	77	2.1%	3,082	85.5%	429	11.9%	95	2.6%	3,029	84.0%	472	13.1%	90	2.5%	17	0.5%	3,178	92.3%
	Howard (100 %)	139	3.3%	3,332	79.9%	690	16.5%	148	3.5%	3,273	78.6%	728	17.5%	143	3.4%	20	0.5%	3,427	89.0%
	Jones (100 %)	104	3.2%	2,543	78.4%	581	17.9%	120	3.7%	2,527	78.0%	563	17.4%	121	3.7%	29	0.9%	2,641	87.7%
	Kent (100 %)	8	2.9%	209	76.8%	52	19.1%	11	4.0%	211	77.3%	48	17.6%	12	4.4%	2	0.7%	228	89.8%
	Lamb (100 %)	40	2.0%	1,724	84.7%	275	13.5%	37	1.8%	1,694	83.4%	287	14.1%	41	2.0%	9	0.4%	1,766	92.9%
	Lubbock (100 %)	1,598	3.4%	35,010	75.4%	9,845	21.2%	1,548	3.3%	34,586	74.5%	10,127	21.8%	1,467	3.2%	254	0.5%	36,589	86.7%
	Lynn (100 %)	27	2.4%	895	78.7%	217	19.1%	25	2.2%	892	78.3%	202	17.7%	35	3.1%	10	0.9%	962	90.7%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

		SUP CT 8				CCA 3				CCA 4				CCA 9					
		Koelsch-L	Chisholm-G	Richardson-R	Granberg-D	Bennett-L	Yearly-R	Parker-L	Sanders-Castro-G	Newell-R									
DISTRICT 17	Hill (100 %)	500	6.3%	358	4.5%	6,675	77.5%	1,646	19.1%	294	3.4%	6,890	86.8%	756	9.5%	296	3.7%	7,038	89.0%
	Johnson (9 %)	226	6.8%	144	4.3%	2,782	78.1%	624	17.5%	154	4.3%	2,861	86.0%	349	10.5%	115	3.5%	2,917	88.5%
	Limestone (100 %)	201	5.5%	149	4.1%	3,129	74.3%	957	22.7%	127	3.0%	3,152	85.7%	424	11.5%	100	2.7%	3,286	90.0%
	McLennan (100 %)	3,335	9.0%	2,422	6.5%	29,330	68.2%	12,340	28.7%	1,342	3.1%	30,541	81.9%	4,429	11.9%	2,342	6.3%	31,183	84.0%
	Milam (100 %)	328	7.9%	215	5.2%	3,337	70.2%	1,249	26.3%	168	3.5%	3,494	84.2%	443	10.7%	215	5.2%	3,550	86.0%
	Robertson (100 %)	403	10.6%	207	5.4%	2,840	59.0%	1,814	37.7%	161	3.3%	3,114	81.4%	506	13.2%	207	5.4%	3,136	82.5%
	Williamson (49 %)	7,225	17.6%	5,942	14.5%	25,678	54.0%	18,813	39.5%	3,083	6.5%	27,492	66.3%	7,529	18.2%	6,416	15.5%	27,785	67.6%
DISTRICT 18		13,580	25.4%	12,773	23.8%	21,853	18.0%	97,310	80.1%	2,287	1.9%	26,178	47.1%	16,073	28.9%	13,374	24.0%	26,874	49.1%
	Fort Bend (18 %)	3,332	25.2%	2,582	19.5%	6,011	22.2%	20,605	76.2%	412	1.5%	7,139	50.8%	4,104	29.2%	2,804	20.0%	7,246	52.9%
	Harris (13 %)	10,248	25.4%	10,191	25.3%	15,842	16.8%	76,705	81.2%	1,875	2.0%	19,039	45.8%	11,969	28.8%	10,570	25.4%	19,628	47.8%
DISTRICT 19		6,940	6.4%	4,898	4.5%	91,626	78.1%	21,440	18.3%	4,276	3.6%	92,510	84.5%	12,315	11.2%	4,683	4.3%	96,195	88.6%
	Andrews (100 %)	98	4.7%	58	2.8%	1,846	85.8%	220	10.2%	85	4.0%	1,864	89.5%	159	7.6%	59	2.8%	1,913	92.2%
	Bailey (100 %)	34	3.8%	25	2.8%	792	83.6%	134	14.1%	21	2.2%	814	91.4%	61	6.8%	16	1.8%	828	94.2%
	Borden (100 %)	6	2.5%	3	1.3%	220	90.2%	18	7.4%	6	2.5%	223	94.1%	13	5.5%	1	0.4%	226	95.8%
	Callahan (11 %)	13	4.5%	14	4.9%	250	83.6%	36	12.0%	13	4.3%	255	87.9%	27	9.3%	8	2.8%	259	90.2%
	Castro (100 %)	52	5.3%	43	4.4%	820	75.3%	223	20.5%	46	4.2%	849	86.1%	103	10.4%	34	3.4%	890	91.2%
	Cochran (100 %)	28	6.3%	17	3.8%	377	80.2%	76	16.2%	17	3.6%	390	87.6%	39	8.8%	16	3.6%	402	90.5%
	Crosby (100 %)	51	5.8%	47	5.3%	691	70.4%	265	27.0%	25	2.5%	713	80.4%	146	16.5%	28	3.2%	785	89.3%
	Dawson (100 %)	82	4.7%	39	2.2%	1,519	78.7%	364	18.9%	47	2.4%	1,554	88.9%	148	8.5%	47	2.7%	1,611	92.5%
	Fisher (100 %)	83	9.1%	65	7.1%	669	60.4%	386	34.9%	52	4.7%	686	74.2%	199	21.5%	40	4.3%	758	82.8%
	Floyd (100 %)	30	3.1%	29	3.0%	871	85.1%	134	13.1%	19	1.9%	893	91.2%	67	6.8%	19	1.9%	914	94.2%
	Gaines (100 %)	86	3.7%	48	2.1%	2,100	86.5%	253	10.4%	75	3.1%	2,100	90.1%	181	7.8%	51	2.2%	2,175	93.0%
	Garza (100 %)	33	4.3%	16	2.1%	683	85.2%	87	10.8%	32	4.0%	689	89.0%	73	9.4%	12	1.6%	707	92.2%
	Hale (100 %)	165	4.1%	112	2.8%	3,555	83.6%	582	13.7%	116	2.7%	3,589	89.6%	304	7.6%	112	2.8%	3,718	92.9%
	Haskell (100 %)	63	7.2%	32	3.6%	696	70.7%	267	27.1%	22	2.2%	726	81.0%	149	16.6%	21	2.3%	773	88.5%
	Hockley (100 %)	168	4.9%	98	2.8%	3,032	84.5%	437	12.2%	118	3.3%	3,074	89.2%	284	8.2%	89	2.6%	3,161	92.2%
	Howard (100 %)	285	7.4%	139	3.6%	3,274	78.8%	698	16.8%	181	4.4%	3,269	84.6%	451	11.7%	142	3.7%	3,416	88.5%
	Jones (100 %)	221	7.3%	151	5.0%	2,486	76.9%	587	18.2%	159	4.9%	2,395	78.0%	569	18.5%	107	3.5%	2,636	88.1%
	Kent (100 %)	10	3.9%	16	6.3%	202	75.4%	55	20.5%	11	4.1%	193	76.6%	54	21.4%	5	2.0%	227	90.8%
	Lamb (100 %)	78	4.1%	56	2.9%	1,694	83.8%	279	13.8%	49	2.4%	1,725	90.9%	130	6.8%	43	2.3%	1,757	92.8%
	Lubbock (100 %)	3,244	7.7%	2,384	5.6%	34,668	74.8%	9,926	21.4%	1,765	3.8%	35,210	82.8%	4,826	11.3%	2,510	5.9%	36,274	85.7%
	Lynn (100 %)	46	4.3%	53	5.0%	842	76.7%	218	19.9%	38	3.5%	877	85.2%	112	10.9%	40	3.9%	938	90.5%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

CCA 9					
		Strange-L	Altgelt-G		
DISTRICT 17	Hill (100 %)	624	7.9%	244	3.1%
	Johnson (9 %)	282	8.6%	97	2.9%
	Limestone (100 %)	285	7.8%	80	2.2%
	McLennan (100 %)	3,868	10.4%	2,080	5.6%
	Milam (100 %)	371	9.0%	207	5.0%
	Robertson (100 %)	514	13.5%	152	4.0%
	Williamson (49 %)	7,738	18.8%	5,569	13.6%
DISTRICT 18		16,049	29.3%	11,829	21.6%
	Fort Bend (18 %)	4,069	29.7%	2,395	17.5%
	Harris (13 %)	11,980	29.2%	9,434	23.0%
DISTRICT 19		8,574	7.9%	3,858	3.6%
DISTRICT 19	Andrews (100 %)	120	5.8%	42	2.0%
	Bailey (100 %)	31	3.5%	20	2.3%
	Borden (100 %)	10	4.2%	0	0.0%
	Callahan (11 %)	20	7.0%	8	2.8%
	Castro (100 %)	59	6.0%	27	2.8%
	Cochran (100 %)	32	7.2%	10	2.3%
	Crosby (100 %)	62	7.1%	32	3.6%
	Dawson (100 %)	100	5.7%	31	1.8%
	Fisher (100 %)	121	13.2%	37	4.0%
	Floyd (100 %)	44	4.5%	12	1.2%
	Gaines (100 %)	126	5.4%	38	1.6%
	Garza (100 %)	51	6.6%	9	1.2%
	Hale (100 %)	195	4.9%	88	2.2%
	Haskell (100 %)	82	9.4%	18	2.1%
	Hockley (100 %)	192	5.6%	76	2.2%
	Howard (100 %)	344	8.9%	99	2.6%
	Jones (100 %)	286	9.6%	69	2.3%
	Kent (100 %)	19	7.6%	4	1.6%
	Lamb (100 %)	104	5.5%	32	1.7%
	Lubbock (100 %)	3,910	9.2%	2,137	5.0%
	Lynn (100 %)	77	7.4%	21	2.0%

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

		U.S. SEN										GOVERNOR							
		Cornyn-R		Alameel-D		Paddock-L		Sanchez-G		Tahiro-W		Abbott-R		Davis-D		Glass-L		Parmer-G	
		Candidate	Count	Percentage	Candidate	Count	Percentage	Candidate	Count	Percentage	Candidate	Count	Percentage	Candidate	Count	Percentage	Candidate	Count	Percentage
DISTRICT 19	Martin (100 %)	959	85.6%	111	9.9%	29	2.6%	21	1.9%	0	0.0%	1,016	88.0%	116	10.0%	21	1.8%	2	0.2%
	Mitchell (100 %)	964	81.8%	166	14.1%	30	2.5%	18	1.5%	0	0.0%	1,020	84.2%	176	14.5%	9	0.7%	5	0.4%
	Nolan (100 %)	2,072	79.8%	419	16.1%	78	3.0%	26	1.0%	0	0.0%	2,117	80.0%	474	17.9%	38	1.4%	15	0.6%
	Parmer (100 %)	1,234	89.0%	120	8.7%	24	1.7%	8	0.6%	0	0.0%	1,226	88.1%	152	10.9%	8	0.6%	5	0.4%
	Scurry (100 %)	2,471	87.5%	278	9.8%	51	1.8%	24	0.8%	0	0.0%	2,518	88.0%	298	10.4%	38	1.3%	6	0.2%
	Shackelford (100 %)	735	92.1%	45	5.6%	12	1.5%	6	0.8%	0	0.0%	751	92.7%	50	6.2%	6	0.7%	3	0.4%
	Stonewall (100 %)	284	77.0%	72	19.5%	8	2.2%	4	1.1%	1	0.3%	297	78.0%	76	19.9%	7	1.8%	1	0.3%
	Swisher (100 %)	1,033	75.0%	288	20.9%	39	2.8%	17	1.2%	0	0.0%	1,029	72.3%	362	25.4%	18	1.3%	8	0.6%
	Taylor (100 %)	18,916	81.7%	3,376	14.6%	681	2.9%	183	0.8%	0	0.0%	19,100	81.6%	3,876	16.6%	343	1.5%	82	0.4%
	Terry (100 %)	1,818	81.7%	307	13.8%	47	2.1%	52	2.3%	0	0.0%	1,896	82.7%	366	16.0%	20	0.9%	11	0.5%
	Throckmorton (100 %)	445	86.2%	53	10.3%	12	2.3%	6	1.2%	0	0.0%	456	85.2%	66	12.3%	7	1.3%	6	1.1%
	Yoakum (100 %)	1,017	90.5%	75	6.7%	21	1.9%	11	1.0%	0	0.0%	1,046	91.0%	93	8.1%	6	0.5%	3	0.3%
DISTRICT 20		33,981	37.1%	53,287	58.1%	2,580	2.8%	1,733	1.9%	73	0.1%	31,051	33.4%	59,967	64.6%	1,326	1.4%	493	0.5%
DISTRICT 21	Bexar (38 %)	33,981	37.1%	53,287	58.1%	2,580	2.8%	1,733	1.9%	73	0.1%	31,051	33.4%	59,967	64.6%	1,326	1.4%	493	0.5%
		121,645	69.7%	44,837	25.7%	5,961	3.4%	1,934	1.1%	62	0.0%	116,974	66.3%	55,896	31.7%	2,702	1.5%	655	0.4%
	Bandera (100 %)	4,992	79.5%	920	14.7%	283	4.5%	81	1.3%	0	0.0%	4,911	77.4%	1,257	19.8%	146	2.3%	32	0.5%
	Bexar (17 %)	45,331	62.6%	24,089	33.3%	2,038	2.8%	859	1.2%	47	0.1%	41,974	57.3%	30,028	41.0%	930	1.3%	272	0.4%
	Blanco (100 %)	2,671	74.6%	699	19.5%	170	4.7%	39	1.1%	2	0.1%	2,622	72.7%	874	24.2%	84	2.3%	27	0.7%
	Comal (100 %)	27,211	78.8%	5,936	17.2%	1,134	3.3%	230	0.7%	10	0.0%	26,642	76.7%	7,428	21.4%	520	1.5%	106	0.3%
	Gillespie (100 %)	7,217	82.3%	1,153	13.1%	350	4.0%	50	0.6%	0	0.0%	7,168	81.0%	1,502	17.0%	155	1.8%	22	0.2%
	Hays (45 %)	11,635	54.5%	8,194	38.4%	1,050	4.9%	468	2.2%	0	0.0%	11,342	52.3%	9,742	44.9%	479	2.2%	108	0.5%
	Kendall (100 %)	9,854	83.8%	1,477	12.6%	355	3.0%	69	0.6%	0	0.0%	9,713	81.8%	1,989	16.7%	137	1.2%	35	0.3%
	Kerr (100 %)	11,866	80.2%	2,242	15.2%	559	3.8%	123	0.8%	0	0.0%	11,740	78.6%	2,901	19.4%	237	1.6%	51	0.3%
	Real (100 %)	868	83.9%	127	12.3%	22	2.1%	15	1.4%	3	0.3%	862	81.7%	175	16.6%	14	1.3%	2	0.2%
DISTRICT 22		94,239	73.5%	29,238	22.8%	3,827	3.0%	994	0.8%	3	0.0%	92,225	71.0%	35,414	27.3%	1,845	1.4%	451	0.3%
	Brazoria (43 %)	21,557	75.3%	5,705	19.9%	1,074	3.8%	297	1.0%	0	0.0%	21,354	73.3%	7,114	24.4%	518	1.8%	138	0.5%
	Fort Bend (54 %)	54,672	73.2%	17,576	23.5%	1,946	2.6%	471	0.6%	3	0.0%	53,258	70.6%	21,029	27.9%	924	1.2%	226	0.3%
	Harris (4 %)	18,010	72.0%	5,957	23.8%	807	3.2%	226	0.9%	0	0.0%	17,613	69.4%	7,271	28.7%	403	1.6%	87	0.3%
DISTRICT 23		73,815	63.8%	36,812	31.8%	3,142	2.7%	1,883	1.6%	62	0.1%	72,194	61.4%	43,141	36.7%	1,594	1.4%	525	0.4%
	Bexar (19 %)	43,493	70.5%	16,155	26.2%	1,522	2.5%	503	0.8%	34	0.1%	41,178	66.1%	20,191	32.4%	752	1.2%	171	0.3%
	Brewster (100 %)	1,437	50.6%	1,169	41.2%	123	4.3%	109	3.8%	0	0.0%	1,499	51.5%	1,311	45.1%	75	2.6%	22	0.8%
	Crane (100 %)	664	80.8%	120	14.6%	21	2.6%	15	1.8%	2	0.2%	713	83.8%	127	14.9%	6	0.7%	3	0.4%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

	GOVERNOR		LT. GOVERNOR						ATTORNEY GEN					
	Pavitt-W	Patrick-R	Van De Putte-D	Butler-L	Courtney-G	Paxton-R	Houston-D	Balagia-L	Osborne-G					
DISTRICT 19	Martin (100 %)	0 0.0%	932 82.8%	155 13.8%	36 3.2%	3 0.3%	941 83.1%	157 13.9%	32 2.8%	3 0.3%				
	Mitchell (100 %)	1 0.1%	964 81.2%	188 15.8%	27 2.3%	8 0.7%	729 60.5%	454 37.7%	19 1.6%	3 0.2%				
	Nolan (100 %)	1 0.0%	2,011 77.5%	488 18.8%	90 3.5%	7 0.3%	1,903 73.7%	597 23.1%	76 2.9%	7 0.3%				
	Parmer (100 %)	0 0.0%	1,230 88.7%	136 9.8%	20 1.4%	1 0.1%	1,209 87.4%	160 11.6%	12 0.9%	2 0.1%				
	Scurry (100 %)	2 0.1%	2,456 86.7%	310 10.9%	59 2.1%	7 0.2%	2,380 84.0%	396 14.0%	49 1.7%	8 0.3%				
	Shackelford (100 %)	0 0.0%	749 93.3%	43 5.4%	10 1.2%	1 0.1%	735 91.4%	59 7.3%	8 1.0%	2 0.2%				
	Stonewall (100 %)	0 0.0%	281 77.2%	70 19.2%	11 3.0%	2 0.5%	258 70.7%	102 27.9%	5 1.4%	0 0.0%				
	Swisher (100 %)	7 0.5%	1,025 73.4%	331 23.7%	37 2.7%	3 0.2%	969 69.9%	392 28.3%	19 1.4%	7 0.5%				
	Taylor (100 %)	0 0.0%	18,785 80.8%	3,738 16.1%	622 2.7%	108 0.5%	18,463 79.6%	4,133 17.8%	500 2.2%	108 0.5%				
	Terry (100 %)	0 0.0%	1,826 81.4%	367 16.4%	39 1.7%	12 0.5%	1,742 77.9%	436 19.5%	44 2.0%	14 0.6%				
	Throckmorton (100 %)	0 0.0%	451 85.3%	65 12.3%	13 2.5%	0 0.0%	431 81.8%	85 16.1%	9 1.7%	2 0.4%				
	Yoakum (100 %)	1 0.1%	997 88.7%	105 9.3%	21 1.9%	1 0.1%	981 87.4%	123 11.0%	16 1.4%	2 0.2%				
DISTRICT 20	58 0.1%	28,125 30.4%	62,254 67.3%	1,622 1.8%	554 0.6%	30,161 32.9%	57,325 62.6%	3,157 3.4%	941 1.0%					
	Bexar (38 %)	58 0.1%	28,125 30.4%	62,254 67.3%	1,622 1.8%	554 0.6%	30,161 32.9%	57,325 62.6%	3,157 3.4%	941 1.0%				
DISTRICT 21	76 0.0%	112,134 63.9%	58,079 33.1%	4,460 2.5%	887 0.5%	115,494 66.3%	52,280 30.0%	5,340 3.1%	1,153 0.7%					
	Bandera (100 %)	0 0.0%	4,853 76.5%	1,261 19.9%	193 3.0%	40 0.6%	4,899 77.9%	1,154 18.3%	191 3.0%	47 0.7%				
	Bexar (17 %)	39 0.1%	38,973 53.5%	32,135 44.1%	1,455 2.0%	345 0.5%	41,247 57.2%	28,232 39.1%	2,143 3.0%	515 0.7%				
	Blanco (100 %)	1 0.0%	2,606 72.5%	831 23.1%	135 3.8%	22 0.6%	2,562 71.8%	836 23.4%	135 3.8%	34 1.0%				
	Comal (100 %)	24 0.1%	25,939 74.9%	7,703 22.2%	872 2.5%	122 0.4%	26,510 76.8%	6,939 20.1%	909 2.6%	164 0.5%				
	Gillespie (100 %)	1 0.0%	7,078 80.4%	1,455 16.5%	239 2.7%	34 0.4%	7,109 81.1%	1,407 16.1%	209 2.4%	36 0.4%				
	Hays (45 %)	4 0.0%	11,019 51.2%	9,404 43.7%	872 4.1%	223 1.0%	11,034 51.6%	9,041 42.3%	1,095 5.1%	225 1.1%				
	Kendall (100 %)	1 0.0%	9,396 79.4%	2,132 18.0%	260 2.2%	44 0.4%	9,621 81.7%	1,835 15.6%	265 2.3%	49 0.4%				
	Kerr (100 %)	4 0.0%	11,437 76.9%	2,976 20.0%	408 2.7%	53 0.4%	11,673 78.9%	2,665 18.0%	370 2.5%	81 0.5%				
	Real (100 %)	2 0.2%	833 79.7%	182 17.4%	26 2.5%	4 0.4%	839 81.1%	171 16.5%	23 2.2%	2 0.2%				
	15 0.0%	90,368 69.9%	34,813 26.9%	3,314 2.6%	719 0.6%	92,422 71.6%	33,390 25.9%	2,662 2.1%	572 0.4%					
DISTRICT 22	Brazoria (43 %)	3 0.0%	21,167 73.0%	6,795 23.4%	869 3.0%	157 0.5%	21,468 74.1%	6,688 23.1%	660 2.3%	146 0.5%				
	Fort Bend (54 %)	9 0.0%	51,957 69.3%	20,876 27.8%	1,743 2.3%	396 0.5%	53,266 71.2%	19,852 26.5%	1,397 1.9%	286 0.4%				
	Harris (4 %)	3 0.0%	17,244 68.3%	7,142 28.3%	702 2.8%	166 0.7%	17,688 70.0%	6,850 27.1%	605 2.4%	140 0.6%				
	67 0.1%	67,952 58.4%	45,515 39.1%	2,380 2.0%	566 0.5%	69,301 60.0%	42,190 36.5%	3,227 2.8%	717 0.6%					
	Bexar (19 %)	34 0.1%	39,019 62.9%	21,702 35.0%	1,116 1.8%	234 0.4%	40,856 66.3%	18,905 30.7%	1,578 2.6%	299 0.5%				
DISTRICT 23	Brewster (100 %)	1 0.0%	1,395 49.0%	1,279 44.9%	125 4.4%	49 1.7%	1,339 46.9%	1,363 47.8%	108 3.8%	42 1.5%				
	Crane (100 %)	2 0.2%	673 81.3%	127 15.3%	26 3.1%	2 0.2%	650 78.4%	153 18.5%	23 2.8%	3 0.4%				

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

		COMPTROLLER						LAND COMM						AG COMM				
		Hegar-R		Collier-D		Sanders-L		Shafto-G		Bush-R		Cook-D		Knight-L		Alessi-G	Miller-R	
DISTRICT 19	Martin (100 %)	883	80.7%	175	16.0%	29	2.7%	7	0.6%	960	84.7%	135	11.9%	31	2.7%	8	0.7%	
	Mitchell (100 %)	882	76.3%	243	21.0%	23	2.0%	8	0.7%	928	78.5%	210	17.8%	38	3.2%	6	0.5%	
	Nolan (100 %)	1,849	73.1%	572	22.6%	94	3.7%	15	0.6%	2,015	77.5%	481	18.5%	91	3.5%	13	0.5%	
	Parmer (100 %)	1,182	86.4%	153	11.2%	29	2.1%	4	0.3%	1,199	87.1%	147	10.7%	27	2.0%	3	0.2%	
	Scurry (100 %)	2,333	83.6%	388	13.9%	64	2.3%	7	0.3%	2,440	85.9%	322	11.3%	67	2.4%	10	0.4%	
	Shackelford (100 %)	721	91.5%	50	6.3%	12	1.5%	5	0.6%	744	92.2%	50	6.2%	9	1.1%	4	0.5%	
	Stonewall (100 %)	242	67.0%	104	28.8%	14	3.9%	1	0.3%	260	70.3%	98	26.5%	12	3.2%	0	0.0%	
	Swisher (100 %)	929	67.6%	389	28.3%	44	3.2%	13	0.9%	971	70.0%	357	25.7%	47	3.4%	13	0.9%	
	Taylor (100 %)	18,138	78.8%	3,980	17.3%	721	3.1%	170	0.7%	18,762	80.6%	3,608	15.5%	721	3.1%	179	0.8%	
	Terry (100 %)	1,654	75.7%	463	21.2%	49	2.2%	20	0.9%	1,785	80.0%	377	16.9%	50	2.2%	19	0.9%	
	Throckmorton (100 %)	422	81.8%	76	14.7%	14	2.7%	4	0.8%	438	83.3%	74	14.1%	11	2.1%	3	0.6%	
	Yoakum (100 %)	945	85.7%	124	11.2%	26	2.4%	8	0.7%	997	88.5%	99	8.8%	28	2.5%	3	0.3%	
DISTRICT 20	28,948	32.0%	57,300	63.2%	2,868	3.2%	1,480	1.6%	32,703	35.7%	54,162	59.1%	2,536	2.8%	2,303	2.5%	28,784	31.8%
	Bexar (38 %)	28,948	32.0%	57,300	63.2%	2,868	3.2%	1,480	1.6%	32,703	35.7%	54,162	59.1%	2,536	2.8%	2,303	2.5%	28,784
DISTRICT 21	113,539	65.8%	50,670	29.4%	6,235	3.6%	2,070	1.2%	119,456	68.6%	46,048	26.5%	5,851	3.4%	2,687	1.5%	113,362	66.1%
DISTRICT 22	Bandera (100 %)	4,816	77.0%	1,090	17.4%	265	4.2%	82	1.3%	4,926	78.2%	1,002	15.9%	286	4.5%	87	1.4%	
	Bexar (17 %)	40,442	56.9%	27,459	38.6%	2,262	3.2%	948	1.3%	44,459	61.7%	24,343	33.8%	1,992	2.8%	1,299	1.8%	
	Blanco (100 %)	2,544	71.6%	790	22.2%	154	4.3%	66	1.9%	2,621	73.5%	728	20.4%	157	4.4%	58	1.6%	
	Comal (100 %)	26,120	76.1%	6,683	19.5%	1,213	3.5%	293	0.9%	26,757	77.6%	6,208	18.0%	1,182	3.4%	341	1.0%	
	Gillespie (100 %)	6,934	79.8%	1,381	15.9%	305	3.5%	70	0.8%	7,124	81.7%	1,209	13.9%	284	3.3%	106	1.2%	
	Hays (45 %)	10,961	51.5%	8,855	41.6%	1,108	5.2%	367	1.7%	11,296	53.0%	8,513	40.0%	986	4.6%	503	2.4%	
	Kendall (100 %)	9,464	81.2%	1,730	14.8%	363	3.1%	96	0.8%	9,740	82.7%	1,549	13.2%	378	3.2%	112	1.0%	
	Kerr (100 %)	11,423	78.1%	2,532	17.3%	536	3.7%	139	1.0%	11,678	79.1%	2,359	16.0%	556	3.8%	172	1.2%	
	Real (100 %)	835	81.6%	150	14.7%	29	2.8%	9	0.9%	855	82.9%	137	13.3%	30	2.9%	9	0.9%	
		91,965	71.7%	32,619	25.4%	2,976	2.3%	767	0.6%	94,098	73.0%	30,462	23.6%	3,229	2.5%	1,065	0.8%	91,452
DISTRICT 23	Brazoria (43 %)	20,809	72.7%	6,714	23.5%	867	3.0%	227	0.8%	21,627	74.9%	6,137	21.3%	860	3.0%	256	0.9%	
	Fort Bend (54 %)	53,437	71.7%	19,270	25.8%	1,497	2.0%	375	0.5%	54,447	72.8%	18,084	24.2%	1,708	2.3%	561	0.8%	
	Harris (4 %)	17,719	70.5%	6,635	26.4%	612	2.4%	165	0.7%	18,024	71.6%	6,241	24.8%	661	2.6%	248	1.0%	
		67,741	59.4%	41,277	36.2%	3,745	3.3%	1,254	1.1%	72,736	63.0%	37,889	32.8%	3,093	2.7%	1,779	1.5%	67,440
DISTRICT 24	Bexar (19 %)	40,103	65.9%	18,353	30.2%	1,812	3.0%	564	0.9%	42,837	69.6%	16,296	26.5%	1,637	2.7%	782	1.3%	
	Brewster (100 %)	1,329	47.2%	1,261	44.8%	146	5.2%	77	2.7%	1,386	48.7%	1,238	43.5%	131	4.6%	92	3.2%	
	Crane (100 %)	618	75.9%	160	19.7%	30	3.7%	6	0.7%	663	79.9%	131	15.8%	31	3.7%	5	0.6%	

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

		AG COMM						RR COMM 3						SUP CT CHIEF					
		Hogan-D		Palmquist-L		Kendrick-G		Sitton-R		Brown-D		Miller-L		Salinas-G		Hecht-R			
		Candidate	Count	%	Candidate	%	Candidate	%	Candidate	%	Candidate	%	Candidate	%	Candidate	%	Candidate	%	
DISTRICT 19	Martin (100 %)	166	15.0%		21	1.9%	19	1.7%	887	80.4%	149	13.5%	30	2.7%	37	3.4%	915	82.4%	
	Mitchell (100 %)	240	20.6%		21	1.8%	15	1.3%	877	75.5%	221	19.0%	37	3.2%	26	2.2%	893	76.7%	
	Nolan (100 %)	575	22.6%		96	3.8%	61	2.4%	1,797	71.3%	557	22.1%	127	5.0%	38	1.5%	1,844	73.6%	
	Parmer (100 %)	157	11.4%		29	2.1%	8	0.6%	1,167	84.9%	151	11.0%	38	2.8%	19	1.4%	1,194	87.1%	
	Scurry (100 %)	379	13.5%		52	1.8%	22	0.8%	2,354	84.2%	329	11.8%	73	2.6%	39	1.4%	2,379	85.3%	
	Shackelford (100 %)	59	7.4%		9	1.1%	8	1.0%	703	89.2%	55	7.0%	24	3.0%	6	0.8%	712	90.1%	
	Stonewall (100 %)	107	29.6%		4	1.1%	8	2.2%	237	65.8%	108	30.0%	11	3.1%	4	1.1%	248	69.3%	
	Swisher (100 %)	411	30.0%		32	2.3%	16	1.2%	889	65.1%	396	29.0%	60	4.4%	21	1.5%	939	68.9%	
	Taylor (100 %)	3,869	16.8%		681	3.0%	297	1.3%	18,034	78.4%	3,784	16.5%	890	3.9%	294	1.3%	18,396	80.0%	
	Terry (100 %)	476	21.7%		40	1.8%	38	1.7%	1,650	75.1%	412	18.8%	57	2.6%	77	3.5%	1,660	76.5%	
	Throckmorton (100 %)	78	15.0%		11	2.1%	0	0.0%	417	81.1%	79	15.4%	13	2.5%	5	1.0%	416	80.3%	
	Yoakum (100 %)	114	10.3%		24	2.2%	12	1.1%	948	86.2%	110	10.0%	29	2.6%	13	1.2%	949	87.6%	
DISTRICT 20	56,364	62.3%	2,885	3.2%	2,395	2.6%	27,940	30.6%	56,471	61.9%	2,585	2.8%	4,254	4.7%	30,909	34.0%	57,230	63.0%	
	Bexar (38 %)	56,364	62.3%	2,885	3.2%	2,395	2.6%	27,940	30.6%	56,471	61.9%	2,585	2.8%	4,254	4.7%	30,909	34.0%	57,230	63.0%
DISTRICT 21	48,411	28.2%	6,265	3.7%	3,523	2.1%	112,112	65.0%	49,878	28.9%	6,831	4.0%	3,616	2.1%	117,848	68.3%	48,477	28.1%	
	Bandera (100 %)	1,050	16.7%	267	4.3%	113	1.8%	4,779	76.5%	1,063	17.0%	308	4.9%	93	1.5%	4,880	78.1%	1,080	17.3%
	Bexar (17 %)	25,962	36.8%	2,344	3.3%	1,640	2.3%	39,904	55.9%	27,225	38.2%	2,275	3.2%	1,951	2.7%	43,496	60.9%	25,781	36.1%
	Blanco (100 %)	785	22.3%	158	4.5%	75	2.1%	2,494	70.7%	766	21.7%	196	5.6%	71	2.0%	2,552	72.2%	806	22.8%
	Comal (100 %)	6,491	19.0%	1,184	3.5%	519	1.5%	25,801	75.3%	6,611	19.3%	1,404	4.1%	436	1.3%	26,654	77.8%	6,432	18.8%
	Gillespie (100 %)	1,199	13.8%	265	3.1%	141	1.6%	6,903	79.7%	1,254	14.5%	398	4.6%	108	1.2%	7,093	82.3%	1,234	14.3%
	Hays (45 %)	8,589	40.7%	1,143	5.4%	622	2.9%	10,769	51.0%	8,568	40.6%	1,170	5.5%	615	2.9%	11,084	52.4%	8,883	42.0%
	Kendall (100 %)	1,709	14.7%	352	3.0%	160	1.4%	9,353	80.2%	1,736	14.9%	439	3.8%	130	1.1%	9,651	82.7%	1,643	14.1%
	Kerr (100 %)	2,480	17.0%	523	3.6%	235	1.6%	11,297	77.3%	2,510	17.2%	604	4.1%	196	1.3%	11,629	79.6%	2,460	16.8%
	Real (100 %)	146	14.4%	29	2.9%	18	1.8%	812	80.4%	145	14.4%	37	3.7%	16	1.6%	809	80.9%	158	15.8%
	31,587	24.8%	3,145	2.5%	1,431	1.1%	91,704	71.8%	31,272	24.5%	3,318	2.6%	1,448	1.1%	93,020	72.7%	31,657	24.7%	
DISTRICT 22	Brazoria (43 %)	6,556	23.0%	856	3.0%	362	1.3%	20,884	73.1%	6,379	22.3%	927	3.2%	381	1.3%	21,214	74.1%	6,485	22.7%
	Fort Bend (54 %)	18,668	25.2%	1,626	2.2%	747	1.0%	53,154	71.7%	18,507	25.0%	1,698	2.3%	760	1.0%	53,877	72.5%	18,730	25.2%
	Harris (4 %)	6,363	25.4%	663	2.7%	322	1.3%	17,666	70.5%	6,386	25.5%	693	2.8%	307	1.2%	17,929	71.5%	6,442	25.7%
	40,454	35.6%	3,446	3.0%	2,225	2.0%	66,352	58.2%	40,438	35.4%	3,621	3.2%	3,667	3.2%	69,589	60.9%	41,236	36.1%	
	Bexar (19 %)	17,509	29.0%	1,836	3.0%	1,001	1.7%	39,554	64.9%	18,207	29.9%	1,969	3.2%	1,200	2.0%	42,014	68.9%	17,331	28.4%
DISTRICT 23	Brewster (100 %)	1,267	45.3%	144	5.1%	122	4.4%	1,273	45.6%	1,244	44.5%	143	5.1%	134	4.8%	1,321	47.2%	1,323	47.3%
	Crane (100 %)	162	20.0%	28	3.4%	6	0.7%	609	75.0%	147	18.1%	34	4.2%	22	2.7%	616	76.2%	164	20.3%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.

SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

	SUP CT CHIEF		SUP CT 6				SUP CT 7				SUP CT 8		
	Oxford-L		Brown-R		Meyers-D		Ash-L		Boyd-R		Benavides-D		
DISTRICT 19	Martin (100 %)	35	3.2%	922	84.1%	144	13.1%	30	2.7%	895	81.9%	168	15.4%
	Mitchell (100 %)	36	3.1%	914	79.3%	210	18.2%	29	2.5%	890	77.6%	216	18.8%
	Nolan (100 %)	110	4.4%	1,886	75.8%	506	20.3%	97	3.9%	1,860	74.9%	493	19.9%
	Parmer (100 %)	23	1.7%	1,214	88.7%	131	9.6%	24	1.8%	1,189	87.4%	143	10.5%
	Scurry (100 %)	58	2.1%	2,395	86.0%	323	11.6%	66	2.4%	2,388	85.8%	325	11.7%
	Shackelford (100 %)	19	2.4%	722	91.6%	54	6.9%	12	1.5%	726	92.5%	43	5.5%
	Stonewall (100 %)	9	2.5%	245	69.8%	96	27.4%	10	2.8%	255	73.3%	79	22.7%
	Swisher (100 %)	43	3.2%	980	72.1%	339	24.9%	40	2.9%	977	71.7%	331	24.3%
	Taylor (100 %)	781	3.4%	18,510	80.5%	3,719	16.2%	778	3.4%	18,336	79.8%	3,792	16.5%
	Terry (100 %)	58	2.7%	1,707	78.9%	408	18.9%	48	2.2%	1,668	76.9%	423	19.5%
	Throckmorton (100 %)	15	2.9%	423	82.9%	65	12.7%	22	4.3%	426	83.7%	63	12.4%
	Yoakum (100 %)	20	1.8%	965	90.0%	84	7.8%	23	2.1%	950	88.6%	93	8.7%
DISTRICT 20		2,744	3.0%	31,232	34.6%	56,070	62.1%	3,043	3.4%	28,374	31.1%	59,884	65.6%
	Bexar (38 %)	2,744	3.0%	31,232	34.6%	56,070	62.1%	3,043	3.4%	28,374	31.1%	59,884	65.6%
DISTRICT 21		6,240	3.6%	117,170	68.5%	47,285	27.6%	6,656	3.9%	113,467	65.9%	51,464	29.9%
DISTRICT 22	Bandera (100 %)	288	4.6%	4,858	78.1%	1,050	16.9%	313	5.0%	4,810	77.0%	1,093	17.5%
	Bexar (17 %)	2,175	3.0%	43,140	60.9%	25,299	35.7%	2,348	3.3%	40,433	56.7%	28,376	39.8%
	Blanco (100 %)	175	5.0%	2,588	73.5%	763	21.7%	170	4.8%	2,535	72.1%	774	22.0%
	Comal (100 %)	1,195	3.5%	26,321	78.0%	6,193	18.4%	1,225	3.6%	26,188	76.5%	6,703	19.6%
	Gillespie (100 %)	294	3.4%	7,045	82.3%	1,186	13.9%	327	3.8%	6,961	81.4%	1,228	14.4%
	Hays (45 %)	1,185	5.6%	11,178	53.0%	8,661	41.0%	1,265	6.0%	10,891	51.6%	8,856	42.0%
	Kendall (100 %)	376	3.2%	9,636	82.9%	1,620	13.9%	374	3.2%	9,460	81.2%	1,747	15.0%
	Kerr (100 %)	519	3.6%	11,583	79.6%	2,365	16.3%	599	4.1%	11,376	78.2%	2,544	17.5%
	Real (100 %)	33	3.3%	821	81.8%	148	14.7%	35	3.5%	813	81.1%	143	14.3%
		3,335	2.6%	93,370	73.2%	30,883	24.2%	3,324	2.6%	91,615	71.9%	32,233	25.3%
	Brazoria (43 %)	919	3.2%	21,467	75.4%	6,115	21.5%	880	3.1%	21,082	74.0%	6,393	22.5%
DISTRICT 23	Fort Bend (54 %)	1,703	2.3%	53,962	72.7%	18,468	24.9%	1,764	2.4%	52,999	71.5%	19,185	25.9%
	Harris (4 %)	713	2.8%	17,941	72.0%	6,300	25.3%	680	2.7%	17,534	70.3%	6,655	26.7%
		3,369	3.0%	70,438	62.0%	39,379	34.7%	3,713	3.3%	67,335	59.0%	42,984	37.6%
	Bexar (19 %)	1,650	2.7%	41,767	68.9%	17,029	28.1%	1,791	3.0%	39,880	65.4%	19,186	31.5%
	Brewster (100 %)	154	5.5%	1,327	47.8%	1,271	45.8%	178	6.4%	1,311	47.1%	1,278	45.9%
	Crane (100 %)	28	3.5%	634	78.6%	143	17.7%	30	3.7%	632	77.6%	145	17.8%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

	SUP CT 8				CCA 3				CCA 4				CCA 9						
	Koelsch-L	Chisholm-G	Richardson-R	Granberg-D	Bennett-L	Yearly-R	Parker-L	Sanders-Castro-G	Newell-R										
DISTRICT 19	Martin (100 %)	46	4.4%	26	2.5%	899	82.9%	144	13.3%	41	3.8%	912	88.3%	76	7.4%	45	4.4%	948	92.2%
	Mitchell (100 %)	54	5.1%	53	5.0%	892	78.2%	217	19.0%	31	2.7%	891	82.8%	144	13.4%	41	3.8%	957	90.0%
	Nolan (100 %)	185	8.0%	131	5.7%	1,830	73.9%	512	20.7%	134	5.4%	1,837	78.2%	428	18.2%	84	3.6%	1,986	86.4%
	Parmer (100 %)	50	3.8%	25	1.9%	1,189	87.6%	144	10.6%	24	1.8%	1,199	92.1%	80	6.1%	23	1.8%	1,223	94.3%
	Scurry (100 %)	114	4.3%	67	2.5%	2,366	85.2%	339	12.2%	72	2.6%	2,331	88.1%	265	10.0%	51	1.9%	2,448	93.0%
	Shackelford (100 %)	23	2.9%	22	2.8%	719	91.5%	51	6.5%	16	2.0%	677	85.7%	96	12.2%	17	2.2%	729	93.7%
	Stonewall (100 %)	15	4.9%	22	7.2%	239	68.9%	96	27.7%	12	3.5%	242	76.3%	67	21.1%	8	2.5%	268	86.7%
	Swisher (100 %)	71	5.9%	93	7.7%	928	69.0%	351	26.1%	66	4.9%	975	80.2%	189	15.6%	51	4.2%	1,042	85.6%
	Taylor (100 %)	1,358	6.4%	907	4.2%	18,268	79.9%	3,754	16.4%	854	3.7%	18,276	84.3%	2,527	11.7%	868	4.0%	19,010	89.0%
	Terry (100 %)	104	5.2%	69	3.4%	1,655	76.9%	417	19.4%	81	3.8%	1,727	85.5%	221	10.9%	72	3.6%	1,805	90.5%
	Throckmorton (100 %)	10	2.1%	22	4.6%	416	82.1%	72	14.2%	19	3.7%	410	86.3%	57	12.0%	8	1.7%	438	92.8%
	Yoakum (100 %)	34	3.3%	16	1.6%	938	88.1%	98	9.2%	29	2.7%	945	91.7%	70	6.8%	15	1.5%	973	94.7%
DISTRICT 20	14,814	23.2%	10,593	16.6%	31,284	34.7%	55,524	61.5%	3,437	3.8%	35,473	54.7%	13,081	20.2%	16,281	25.1%	37,638	59.1%	
DISTRICT 21	Bexar (38 %)	14,814	23.2%	10,593	16.6%	31,284	34.7%	55,524	61.5%	3,437	3.8%	35,473	54.7%	13,081	20.2%	16,281	25.1%	37,638	59.1%
DISTRICT 21	16,643	10.8%	14,310	9.2%	116,708	68.4%	46,585	27.3%	7,244	4.2%	121,052	77.7%	18,262	11.7%	16,423	10.5%	122,409	79.3%	
DISTRICT 22	Bandera (100 %)	459	7.9%	369	6.3%	4,844	78.1%	1,042	16.8%	318	5.1%	4,878	83.4%	629	10.8%	341	5.8%	4,969	85.3%
	Bexar (17 %)	8,320	13.3%	7,079	11.3%	43,453	61.6%	24,544	34.8%	2,576	3.7%	45,898	72.9%	8,093	12.9%	8,948	14.2%	46,465	74.6%
	Blanco (100 %)	324	10.0%	282	8.7%	2,507	71.9%	788	22.6%	191	5.5%	2,584	79.2%	428	13.1%	249	7.6%	2,622	81.0%
	Comal (100 %)	2,392	7.5%	1,973	6.2%	26,086	77.4%	6,273	18.6%	1,365	4.0%	26,895	84.0%	2,924	9.1%	2,215	6.9%	27,067	85.3%
	Gillespie (100 %)	457	5.7%	336	4.2%	6,940	81.7%	1,189	14.0%	363	4.3%	7,053	87.9%	653	8.1%	316	3.9%	7,144	89.5%
	Hays (45 %)	3,050	17.0%	2,943	16.4%	11,006	52.6%	8,623	41.2%	1,312	6.3%	11,628	64.4%	3,354	18.6%	3,064	17.0%	11,831	66.1%
	Kendall (100 %)	684	6.2%	541	4.9%	9,532	82.2%	1,617	13.9%	454	3.9%	9,656	87.2%	862	7.8%	552	5.0%	9,696	88.1%
	Kerr (100 %)	906	6.7%	735	5.4%	11,529	79.4%	2,359	16.3%	627	4.3%	11,638	85.8%	1,234	9.1%	693	5.1%	11,772	87.3%
	Real (100 %)	51	5.4%	52	5.5%	811	81.2%	150	15.0%	38	3.8%	822	86.3%	85	8.9%	45	4.7%	843	88.6%
	8,427	7.6%	6,060	5.4%	92,343	72.7%	30,858	24.3%	3,825	3.0%	96,343	85.8%	8,815	7.9%	7,086	6.3%	97,017	86.8%	
DISTRICT 23	Brazoria (43 %)	1,923	7.6%	1,158	4.6%	21,065	74.2%	6,190	21.8%	1,117	3.9%	21,877	86.4%	2,183	8.6%	1,258	5.0%	22,140	87.5%
	Fort Bend (54 %)	4,722	7.3%	3,574	5.5%	53,523	72.5%	18,362	24.9%	1,913	2.6%	55,940	86.0%	4,839	7.4%	4,304	6.6%	56,229	86.9%
	Harris (4 %)	1,782	8.2%	1,328	6.1%	17,755	71.4%	6,306	25.4%	795	3.2%	18,526	84.8%	1,793	8.2%	1,524	7.0%	18,648	85.5%
	11,960	12.4%	7,834	8.1%	70,037	61.9%	38,701	34.2%	4,322	3.8%	74,300	76.2%	11,906	12.2%	11,260	11.6%	76,372	79.1%	
DISTRICT 23	Bexar (19 %)	6,088	11.1%	4,128	7.5%	41,948	69.4%	16,493	27.3%	1,972	3.3%	43,659	79.3%	5,900	10.7%	5,515	10.0%	44,185	80.8%
	Brewster (100 %)	367	15.9%	464	20.1%	1,305	47.7%	1,250	45.7%	182	6.6%	1,394	60.0%	461	19.8%	470	20.2%	1,455	63.1%
	Crane (100 %)	38	5.2%	29	4.0%	625	77.4%	150	18.6%	33	4.1%	646	87.3%	57	7.7%	37	5.0%	661	90.8%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

CCA 9					
		Strange-L	Altgelt-G		
DISTRICT 19	Martin (100 %)	63	6.1%	17	1.7%
	Mitchell (100 %)	79	7.4%	27	2.5%
	Nolan (100 %)	241	10.5%	72	3.1%
	Parmer (100 %)	53	4.1%	21	1.6%
	Scurry (100 %)	145	5.5%	38	1.4%
	Shackelford (100 %)	30	3.9%	19	2.4%
	Stonewall (100 %)	30	9.7%	11	3.6%
	Swisher (100 %)	144	11.8%	32	2.6%
	Taylor (100 %)	1,602	7.5%	736	3.4%
	Terry (100 %)	141	7.1%	48	2.4%
	Throckmorton (100 %)	22	4.7%	12	2.5%
	Yoakum (100 %)	39	3.8%	15	1.5%
		15,426	24.2%	10,653	16.7%
DISTRICT 20	Bexar (38 %)	15,426	24.2%	10,653	16.7%
DISTRICT 21		18,415	11.9%	13,604	8.8%
DISTRICT 22	Bandera (100 %)	561	9.6%	296	5.1%
	Bexar (17 %)	8,944	14.4%	6,915	11.1%
	Blanco (100 %)	379	11.7%	236	7.3%
	Comal (100 %)	2,855	9.0%	1,796	5.7%
	Gillespie (100 %)	536	6.7%	302	3.8%
	Hays (45 %)	3,208	17.9%	2,864	16.0%
	Kendall (100 %)	838	7.6%	475	4.3%
	Kerr (100 %)	1,026	7.6%	680	5.0%
	Real (100 %)	68	7.2%	40	4.2%
		8,939	8.0%	5,871	5.3%
DISTRICT 23	Brazoria (43 %)	2,121	8.4%	1,042	4.1%
	Fort Bend (54 %)	4,940	7.6%	3,543	5.5%
	Harris (4 %)	1,878	8.6%	1,286	5.9%
		12,697	13.2%	7,461	7.7%
	Bexar (19 %)	6,540	12.0%	3,973	7.3%
	Brewster (100 %)	418	18.1%	433	18.8%
	Crane (100 %)	45	6.2%	22	3.0%

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

DISTRICT		U.S. SEN										GOVERNOR							
		Cornyn-R		Alameel-D		Paddock-L		Sanchez-G		Tahiro-W		Abbott-R		Davis-D		Glass-L		Parmer-G	
		Candidate	Count	%	Candidate	Count	%	Candidate	Count	%	Candidate	Count	%	Candidate	Count	%	Candidate	Count	%
DISTRICT 23	Crockett (100 %)	600	73.5%	167	20.5%	17	2.1%	32	3.9%	0	0.0%	624	74.7%	203	24.3%	4	0.5%	4	0.5%
	Culberson (100 %)	158	54.5%	113	39.0%	8	2.8%	11	3.8%	0	0.0%	172	52.6%	146	44.6%	8	2.4%	1	0.3%
	Edwards (100 %)	523	71.6%	154	21.1%	32	4.4%	21	2.9%	0	0.0%	540	68.7%	222	28.2%	18	2.3%	6	0.8%
	El Paso (11 %)	1,873	35.0%	3,101	57.9%	213	4.0%	167	3.1%	2	0.0%	1,827	33.6%	3,443	63.4%	105	1.9%	52	1.0%
	Frio (100 %)	880	48.4%	883	48.6%	34	1.9%	21	1.2%	0	0.0%	863	46.8%	953	51.7%	19	1.0%	8	0.4%
	Hudspeth (100 %)	265	55.2%	170	35.4%	27	5.6%	18	3.8%	0	0.0%	267	61.1%	151	34.6%	13	3.0%	6	1.4%
	Jeff Davis (100 %)	571	57.9%	328	33.3%	62	6.3%	24	2.4%	1	0.1%	623	60.5%	366	35.6%	31	3.0%	9	0.9%
	Kinney (100 %)	738	66.2%	299	26.8%	53	4.8%	23	2.1%	1	0.1%	747	65.1%	375	32.7%	22	1.9%	4	0.3%
	Loving (100 %)	34	89.5%	3	7.9%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	35	85.4%	4	9.8%	1	2.4%	1	2.4%
	Maverick (79 %)	1,140	25.1%	3,029	66.7%	160	3.5%	197	4.3%	14	0.3%	1,230	26.5%	3,250	70.1%	89	1.9%	63	1.4%
	Medina (100 %)	7,405	75.8%	2,024	20.7%	236	2.4%	99	1.0%	0	0.0%	7,194	73.0%	2,506	25.4%	111	1.1%	35	0.4%
	Pecos (100 %)	1,857	63.5%	855	29.2%	93	3.2%	119	4.1%	1	0.0%	2,146	70.8%	817	27.0%	46	1.5%	20	0.7%
	Presidio (100 %)	318	34.5%	533	57.8%	33	3.6%	37	4.0%	1	0.1%	364	36.3%	613	61.1%	18	1.8%	8	0.8%
	Reagan (100 %)	421	85.2%	51	10.3%	14	2.8%	8	1.6%	0	0.0%	425	84.0%	68	13.4%	10	2.0%	3	0.6%
	Reeves (100 %)	599	50.4%	519	43.7%	21	1.8%	48	4.0%	1	0.1%	695	56.9%	510	41.7%	10	0.8%	5	0.4%
	Schleicher (100 %)	610	72.4%	181	21.5%	21	2.5%	31	3.7%	0	0.0%	635	73.9%	213	24.8%	8	0.9%	2	0.2%
	Sutton (100 %)	626	85.4%	91	12.4%	11	1.5%	4	0.5%	1	0.1%	619	83.3%	113	15.2%	9	1.2%	2	0.3%
	Terrell (100 %)	239	62.7%	117	30.7%	13	3.4%	12	3.1%	0	0.0%	272	68.7%	107	27.0%	11	2.8%	4	1.0%
	Upton (100 %)	505	80.4%	92	14.6%	13	2.1%	17	2.7%	1	0.2%	555	82.8%	106	15.8%	5	0.7%	4	0.6%
	Uvalde (100 %)	2,978	59.4%	1,853	36.9%	100	2.0%	84	1.7%	0	0.0%	2,966	57.7%	2,096	40.8%	58	1.1%	17	0.3%
	Val Verde (100 %)	3,325	47.8%	3,223	46.3%	196	2.8%	214	3.1%	3	0.0%	3,292	46.7%	3,593	51.0%	114	1.6%	49	0.7%
	Ward (100 %)	1,447	74.2%	416	21.3%	56	2.9%	30	1.5%	0	0.0%	1,535	77.6%	411	20.8%	19	1.0%	14	0.7%
	Winkler (100 %)	787	82.1%	129	13.5%	33	3.4%	10	1.0%	0	0.0%	829	84.2%	136	13.8%	15	1.5%	5	0.5%
	Zavala (100 %)	322	22.7%	1,037	73.2%	29	2.0%	29	2.0%	0	0.0%	349	23.5%	1,110	74.8%	17	1.1%	7	0.5%
		125,851	71.4%	44,057	25.0%	5,093	2.9%	1,372	0.8%	5	0.0%	121,056	67.7%	54,820	30.6%	2,508	1.4%	553	0.3%
DISTRICT 24	Dallas (9 %)	40,968	70.2%	15,668	26.9%	1,321	2.3%	366	0.6%	0	0.0%	38,277	64.6%	20,208	34.1%	616	1.0%	110	0.2%
	Tarrant (26 %)	84,883	71.9%	28,389	24.0%	3,772	3.2%	1,006	0.9%	5	0.0%	82,779	69.1%	34,612	28.9%	1,892	1.6%	443	0.4%
DISTRICT 25		82,216	60.9%	48,186	35.7%	3,367	2.5%	1,159	0.9%	20	0.0%	80,320	58.6%	54,443	39.7%	1,742	1.3%	556	0.4%
	Callahan (89 %)	2,320	88.5%	215	8.2%	73	2.8%	13	0.5%	0	0.0%	2,374	88.9%	251	9.4%	37	1.4%	7	0.3%
	Comanche (100 %)	2,453	81.8%	425	14.2%	91	3.0%	24	0.8%	4	0.1%	2,447	79.7%	544	17.7%	53	1.7%	24	0.8%
	Eastland (100 %)	3,402	88.1%	338	8.8%	97	2.5%	24	0.6%	0	0.0%	3,503	89.1%	372	9.5%	41	1.0%	15	0.4%
	Erath (100 %)	6,053	83.4%	961	13.2%	187	2.6%	53	0.7%	0	0.0%	5,953	80.5%	1,301	17.6%	97	1.3%	40	0.5%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

	GOVERNOR		LT. GOVERNOR						ATTORNEY GEN									
	Pavitt-W	Patrick-R	Van De Putte-D		Butler-L		Courtney-G		Paxton-R	Houston-D		Balagia-L	Osborne-G					
DISTRICT 23	Crockett (100 %)	0 0.0%	565	70.9%	208	26.1%	19	2.4%	5	0.6%	543	69.0%	214	27.2%	20	2.5%	10	1.3%
	Culberson (100 %)	0 0.0%	154	49.7%	143	46.1%	8	2.6%	5	1.6%	147	48.2%	142	46.6%	13	4.3%	3	1.0%
	Edwards (100 %)	0 0.0%	512	68.4%	210	28.1%	24	3.2%	2	0.3%	497	68.6%	198	27.3%	28	3.9%	2	0.3%
	El Paso (11 %)	5 0.1%	1,736	32.4%	3,385	63.2%	174	3.3%	57	1.1%	1,709	32.1%	3,329	62.6%	222	4.2%	62	1.2%
	Frio (100 %)	0 0.0%	841	45.7%	970	52.7%	19	1.0%	9	0.5%	844	46.4%	931	51.2%	36	2.0%	9	0.5%
	Hudspeth (100 %)	0 0.0%	245	59.5%	142	34.5%	21	5.1%	4	1.0%	233	57.5%	146	36.0%	22	5.4%	4	1.0%
	Jeff Davis (100 %)	0 0.0%	560	56.6%	375	37.9%	48	4.9%	6	0.6%	536	54.5%	387	39.4%	50	5.1%	10	1.0%
	Kinney (100 %)	0 0.0%	708	63.3%	371	33.2%	33	2.9%	7	0.6%	690	62.6%	366	33.2%	42	3.8%	4	0.4%
	Loving (100 %)	0 0.0%	32	82.1%	6	15.4%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%	31	77.5%	8	20.0%	1	2.5%	0	0.0%
	Maverick (79 %)	7 0.2%	982	21.3%	3,499	75.9%	106	2.3%	23	0.5%	977	21.8%	3,254	72.6%	190	4.2%	62	1.4%
	Medina (100 %)	6 0.1%	6,955	70.7%	2,694	27.4%	152	1.5%	34	0.3%	7,200	73.5%	2,331	23.8%	212	2.2%	48	0.5%
	Pecos (100 %)	2 0.1%	1,868	63.8%	957	32.7%	82	2.8%	21	0.7%	1,685	58.2%	1,086	37.5%	104	3.6%	19	0.7%
	Presidio (100 %)	1 0.1%	306	32.9%	579	62.3%	35	3.8%	9	1.0%	259	28.0%	615	66.5%	34	3.7%	17	1.8%
	Reagan (100 %)	0 0.0%	410	83.5%	64	13.0%	16	3.3%	1	0.2%	404	82.4%	70	14.3%	11	2.2%	5	1.0%
	Reeves (100 %)	2 0.2%	599	50.5%	559	47.1%	21	1.8%	7	0.6%	537	45.7%	607	51.7%	22	1.9%	9	0.8%
	Schleicher (100 %)	1 0.1%	579	69.4%	229	27.5%	21	2.5%	5	0.6%	556	66.9%	252	30.3%	20	2.4%	3	0.4%
	Sutton (100 %)	0 0.0%	610	82.7%	113	15.3%	14	1.9%	1	0.1%	602	81.8%	125	17.0%	8	1.1%	1	0.1%
	Terrell (100 %)	2 0.5%	246	64.1%	122	31.8%	13	3.4%	3	0.8%	212	55.9%	140	36.9%	22	5.8%	5	1.3%
	Upton (100 %)	0 0.0%	515	80.7%	102	16.0%	16	2.5%	5	0.8%	500	78.4%	110	17.2%	23	3.6%	5	0.8%
	Uvalde (100 %)	0 0.0%	2,861	56.0%	2,149	42.1%	75	1.5%	20	0.4%	2,865	56.7%	2,066	40.9%	99	2.0%	23	0.5%
	Val Verde (100 %)	4 0.1%	3,038	43.2%	3,804	54.1%	140	2.0%	45	0.6%	3,017	43.6%	3,621	52.4%	224	3.2%	54	0.8%
	Ward (100 %)	0 0.0%	1,447	74.4%	452	23.3%	37	1.9%	8	0.4%	1,378	71.1%	510	26.3%	41	2.1%	8	0.4%
	Winkler (100 %)	0 0.0%	786	81.9%	151	15.7%	23	2.4%	0	0.0%	747	78.1%	184	19.2%	23	2.4%	3	0.3%
	Zavala (100 %)	0 0.0%	310	21.3%	1,123	77.3%	15	1.0%	4	0.3%	287	20.2%	1,077	75.7%	51	3.6%	7	0.5%
DISTRICT 24	7 0.0%	117,747	66.3%	53,831	30.3%	5,115	2.9%	979	0.6%	120,205	68.0%	51,422	29.1%	4,306	2.4%	804	0.5%	
DISTRICT 25	Dallas (9 %)	0 0.0%	35,691	60.9%	21,262	36.3%	1,402	2.4%	249	0.4%	36,926	63.5%	19,768	34.0%	1,276	2.2%	203	0.3%
	Tarrant (26 %)	7 0.0%	82,056	68.9%	32,569	27.4%	3,713	3.1%	730	0.6%	83,279	70.2%	31,654	26.7%	3,030	2.6%	601	0.5%
30 0.0%	79,923	58.6%	52,322	38.4%	3,364	2.5%	760	0.6%	80,362	59.1%	52,125	38.3%	2,697	2.0%	785	0.6%		
Callahan (89 %) 0 0.0% 2,326 87.3% 254 9.5% 74 2.8% 9 0.3% 2,299 86.3% 301 11.3% 54 2.0% 10 0.4%																		
Comanche (100 %) 1 0.0% 2,415 78.8% 523 17.1% 102 3.3% 23 0.8% 2,452 80.3% 518 17.0% 57 1.9% 27 0.9%																		
Eastland (100 %) 0 0.0% 3,439 87.7% 359 9.2% 107 2.7% 16 0.4% 3,388 86.5% 441 11.3% 72 1.8% 15 0.4%																		
Erath (100 %) 2 0.0% 5,924 80.6% 1,194 16.2% 194 2.6% 41 0.6% 5,980 81.3% 1,197 16.3% 136 1.8% 46 0.6%																		

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

		COMPTROLLER							LAND COMM							AG COMM	
		Hegar-R		Collier-D		Sanders-L		Shafto-G		Bush-R		Cook-D		Knight-L		Alessi-G	
DISTRICT 23	Crockett (100 %)	538	68.8%	210	26.9%	24	3.1%	10	1.3%	601	74.2%	187	23.1%	12	1.5%	10	1.2%
	Culberson (100 %)	133	45.7%	132	45.4%	17	5.8%	9	3.1%	161	52.6%	133	43.5%	6	2.0%	6	2.0%
	Edwards (100 %)	487	69.2%	180	25.6%	26	3.7%	11	1.6%	511	70.6%	170	23.5%	30	4.1%	13	1.8%
	El Paso (11 %)	1,684	31.9%	3,274	62.1%	236	4.5%	77	1.5%	1,687	31.4%	3,387	63.0%	146	2.7%	156	2.9%
	Frio (100 %)	812	45.2%	924	51.5%	41	2.3%	18	1.0%	878	48.1%	896	49.1%	32	1.8%	19	1.0%
	Hudspeth (100 %)	216	53.9%	149	37.2%	27	6.7%	9	2.2%	237	57.1%	148	35.7%	20	4.8%	10	2.4%
	Jeff Davis (100 %)	533	55.3%	356	37.0%	59	6.1%	15	1.6%	561	56.9%	352	35.7%	53	5.4%	20	2.0%
	Kinney (100 %)	668	58.8%	342	30.1%	104	9.2%	22	1.9%	712	64.4%	315	28.5%	47	4.3%	31	2.8%
	Loving (100 %)	29	76.3%	8	21.1%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%	30	75.0%	7	17.5%	2	5.0%	1	2.5%
	Maverick (79 %)	984	22.2%	3,199	72.1%	181	4.1%	74	1.7%	1,315	29.0%	2,961	65.3%	112	2.5%	147	3.2%
	Medina (100 %)	7,042	72.5%	2,331	24.0%	261	2.7%	79	0.8%	7,266	74.3%	2,151	22.0%	259	2.6%	104	1.1%
	Pecos (100 %)	1,608	56.2%	1,108	38.7%	113	3.9%	33	1.2%	1,883	64.4%	924	31.6%	62	2.1%	53	1.8%
	Presidio (100 %)	257	28.7%	577	64.4%	43	4.8%	19	2.1%	306	32.8%	578	61.9%	27	2.9%	23	2.5%
	Reagan (100 %)	377	79.5%	75	15.8%	20	4.2%	2	0.4%	411	84.0%	64	13.1%	12	2.5%	2	0.4%
	Reeves (100 %)	515	44.6%	588	51.0%	31	2.7%	20	1.7%	497	45.8%	554	51.1%	14	1.3%	19	1.8%
	Schleicher (100 %)	547	67.1%	242	29.7%	17	2.1%	9	1.1%	599	72.0%	212	25.5%	15	1.8%	6	0.7%
	Sutton (100 %)	599	82.1%	114	15.6%	15	2.1%	2	0.3%	618	83.9%	99	13.4%	15	2.0%	5	0.7%
	Terrell (100 %)	195	53.0%	145	39.4%	19	5.2%	9	2.4%	212	55.8%	137	36.1%	24	6.3%	7	1.8%
	Upton (100 %)	475	76.9%	112	18.1%	23	3.7%	8	1.3%	518	80.2%	99	15.3%	18	2.8%	11	1.7%
	Uvalde (100 %)	2,750	55.2%	2,068	41.5%	130	2.6%	36	0.7%	2,955	58.5%	1,919	38.0%	121	2.4%	54	1.1%
	Val Verde (100 %)	2,926	42.6%	3,595	52.4%	242	3.5%	104	1.5%	3,390	48.7%	3,273	47.0%	150	2.2%	147	2.1%
	Ward (100 %)	1,309	68.6%	521	27.3%	57	3.0%	20	1.0%	1,406	72.3%	462	23.7%	58	3.0%	20	1.0%
	Winkler (100 %)	729	77.9%	169	18.1%	30	3.2%	8	0.9%	763	79.8%	154	16.1%	34	3.6%	5	0.5%
	Zavala (100 %)	278	19.6%	1,084	76.6%	40	2.8%	13	0.9%	333	23.3%	1,042	72.8%	25	1.7%	31	2.2%
		118,840	68.1%	48,982	28.1%	5,191	3.0%	1,519	0.9%	125,579	71.1%	44,122	25.0%	4,902	2.8%	1,910	1.1%
DISTRICT 24	Dallas (9 %)	37,115	65.0%	18,225	31.9%	1,370	2.4%	426	0.7%	41,068	70.5%	15,370	26.4%	1,226	2.1%	563	1.0%
	Tarrant (26 %)	81,725	69.6%	30,757	26.2%	3,821	3.3%	1,093	0.9%	84,511	71.4%	28,752	24.3%	3,676	3.1%	1,347	1.1%
DISTRICT 25		78,447	58.1%	51,914	38.5%	3,522	2.6%	1,120	0.8%	81,583	60.0%	49,699	36.6%	3,330	2.4%	1,332	1.0%
	Callahan (89 %)	2,244	85.2%	280	10.6%	91	3.5%	19	0.7%	2,295	86.3%	260	9.8%	89	3.3%	15	0.6%
	Comanche (100 %)	2,374	78.6%	536	17.8%	84	2.8%	25	0.8%	2,427	79.7%	487	16.0%	107	3.5%	25	0.8%
	Eastland (100 %)	3,313	85.1%	459	11.8%	101	2.6%	20	0.5%	3,374	86.2%	409	10.5%	108	2.8%	21	0.5%
	Erath (100 %)	5,790	79.8%	1,216	16.8%	190	2.6%	62	0.9%	6,038	82.3%	1,052	14.3%	192	2.6%	58	0.8%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

	AG COMM						RR COMM 3						SUP CT CHIEF						
	Hogan-D		Palmquist-L		Kendrick-G		Sitton-R		Brown-D		Miller-L		Salinas-G		Hecht-R		Moody-D		
	Candidate	Count	%	Candidate	%	Candidate	%	Candidate	%	Candidate	%	Candidate	%	Candidate	%	Candidate	%		
DISTRICT 23	Crockett (100 %)	202	25.9%	10	1.3%	13	1.7%	546	70.0%	182	23.3%	19	2.4%	33	4.2%	540	69.1%	220	28.2%
	Culberson (100 %)	129	44.3%	10	3.4%	8	2.7%	127	43.9%	130	45.0%	11	3.8%	21	7.3%	132	44.6%	154	52.0%
	Edwards (100 %)	186	26.5%	28	4.0%	12	1.7%	473	66.4%	172	24.2%	26	3.7%	41	5.8%	458	65.3%	209	29.8%
	El Paso (11 %)	3,258	61.9%	201	3.8%	166	3.2%	1,633	31.0%	3,166	60.0%	186	3.5%	290	5.5%	1,615	30.3%	3,534	66.4%
	Frio (100 %)	941	52.2%	42	2.3%	19	1.1%	794	43.9%	928	51.3%	29	1.6%	58	3.2%	817	45.2%	947	52.4%
	Hudspeth (100 %)	133	33.5%	21	5.3%	17	4.3%	225	55.1%	131	32.1%	25	6.1%	27	6.6%	209	51.4%	170	41.8%
	Jeff Davis (100 %)	361	37.7%	41	4.3%	33	3.4%	511	53.3%	345	36.0%	57	5.9%	45	4.7%	523	54.4%	375	39.0%
	Kinney (100 %)	360	33.6%	44	4.1%	32	3.0%	649	60.1%	338	31.3%	53	4.9%	40	3.7%	664	60.8%	384	35.2%
	Loving (100 %)	8	20.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.5%	28	73.7%	7	18.4%	2	5.3%	1	2.6%	29	80.6%	4	11.1%
	Maverick (79 %)	3,214	72.4%	148	3.3%	122	2.7%	861	19.2%	3,064	68.2%	134	3.0%	436	9.7%	961	21.6%	3,319	74.7%
	Medina (100 %)	2,296	23.6%	281	2.9%	175	1.8%	6,853	70.6%	2,375	24.5%	292	3.0%	183	1.9%	7,115	73.3%	2,337	24.1%
	Pecos (100 %)	1,118	39.3%	85	3.0%	65	2.3%	1,517	53.7%	1,023	36.2%	92	3.3%	195	6.9%	1,588	56.5%	1,143	40.7%
	Presidio (100 %)	585	65.0%	30	3.3%	32	3.6%	244	26.9%	584	64.5%	29	3.2%	49	5.4%	264	29.3%	605	67.1%
	Reagan (100 %)	71	14.8%	12	2.5%	7	1.5%	379	79.6%	74	15.5%	15	3.2%	8	1.7%	375	78.1%	87	18.1%
	Reeves (100 %)	598	48.9%	23	1.9%	29	2.4%	481	42.0%	559	48.8%	20	1.7%	85	7.4%	506	44.1%	616	53.7%
	Schleicher (100 %)	234	28.6%	16	2.0%	12	1.5%	543	65.4%	236	28.4%	11	1.3%	40	4.8%	544	66.9%	248	30.5%
	Sutton (100 %)	106	14.5%	14	1.9%	7	1.0%	590	80.7%	108	14.8%	19	2.6%	14	1.9%	600	82.2%	111	15.2%
	Terrell (100 %)	141	38.1%	17	4.6%	14	3.8%	204	53.3%	141	36.8%	12	3.1%	26	6.8%	194	52.7%	152	41.3%
	Upton (100 %)	118	19.0%	17	2.7%	17	2.7%	471	74.6%	107	17.0%	21	3.3%	32	5.1%	468	75.6%	133	21.5%
	Uvalde (100 %)	2,047	41.2%	113	2.3%	87	1.8%	2,693	54.3%	2,000	40.3%	117	2.4%	150	3.0%	2,773	55.7%	2,076	41.7%
	Val Verde (100 %)	3,620	53.0%	182	2.7%	158	2.3%	2,830	41.3%	3,430	50.1%	200	2.9%	391	5.7%	2,930	42.4%	3,755	54.4%
	Ward (100 %)	506	26.7%	46	2.4%	39	2.1%	1,310	68.7%	496	26.0%	52	2.7%	48	2.5%	1,316	68.9%	538	28.2%
	Winkler (100 %)	190	20.3%	27	2.9%	15	1.6%	698	74.5%	191	20.4%	27	2.9%	21	2.2%	718	76.4%	187	19.9%
	Zavala (100 %)	1,094	77.9%	30	2.1%	16	1.1%	256	18.1%	1,053	74.6%	26	1.8%	77	5.5%	299	20.8%	1,114	77.5%
	46,027		26.5%	5,097	2.9%	2,511	1.4%	120,204	69.1%	45,746	26.3%	5,718	3.3%	2,390	1.4%	123,147	70.4%	46,428	26.5%
DISTRICT 24	Dallas (9 %)	15,987	28.1%	1,385	2.4%	666	1.2%	38,609	67.8%	16,020	28.1%	1,604	2.8%	713	1.3%	40,151	69.8%	16,035	27.9%
	Tarrant (26 %)	30,040	25.7%	3,712	3.2%	1,845	1.6%	81,595	69.7%	29,726	25.4%	4,114	3.5%	1,677	1.4%	82,996	70.7%	30,393	25.9%
DISTRICT 25	51,746		38.4%	3,305	2.4%	1,846	1.4%	78,200	58.0%	50,764	37.7%	3,933	2.9%	1,894	1.4%	79,430	58.9%	51,584	38.3%
	Callahan (89 %)	270	10.2%	81	3.1%	26	1.0%	2,235	85.0%	284	10.8%	95	3.6%	16	0.6%	2,272	86.6%	271	10.3%
	Comanche (100 %)	602	19.8%	96	3.2%	43	1.4%	2,369	78.9%	484	16.1%	119	4.0%	30	1.0%	2,379	79.4%	514	17.2%
	Eastland (100 %)	454	11.6%	92	2.4%	40	1.0%	3,295	84.9%	435	11.2%	123	3.2%	27	0.7%	3,357	86.4%	421	10.8%
	Erath (100 %)	1,327	18.1%	212	2.9%	78	1.1%	5,784	79.5%	1,159	15.9%	254	3.5%	76	1.0%	5,868	80.9%	1,143	15.8%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

DISTRICT		SUP CT CHIEF		SUP CT 6				SUP CT 7				SUP CT 8							
		Oxford-L		Brown-R		Meyers-D		Ash-L		Boyd-R		Benavides-D		Fulton-L	Waterbury-G	Johnson-R			
		Candidate	Count	%	Candidate	Count	%	Candidate	Count	%	Candidate	Count	%	Candidate	Count	%			
DISTRICT 23	Crockett (100 %)	21	2.7%	547	70.8%	203	26.3%	23	3.0%	532	69.2%	213	27.7%	18	2.3%	6	0.8%	573	87.6%
	Culberson (100 %)	10	3.4%	148	51.2%	128	44.3%	13	4.5%	140	48.4%	137	47.4%	8	2.8%	4	1.4%	161	74.9%
	Edwards (100 %)	34	4.9%	477	69.3%	180	26.2%	31	4.5%	462	66.1%	196	28.0%	31	4.4%	10	1.4%	493	85.3%
	El Paso (11 %)	175	3.3%	1,775	33.7%	3,276	62.2%	215	4.1%	1,645	31.3%	3,376	64.2%	158	3.0%	83	1.6%	2,262	60.4%
	Frio (100 %)	43	2.4%	840	46.9%	900	50.3%	50	2.8%	821	45.7%	931	51.8%	28	1.6%	17	0.9%	927	78.0%
	Hudspeth (100 %)	28	6.9%	231	58.5%	139	35.2%	25	6.3%	216	54.7%	141	35.7%	30	7.6%	8	2.0%	251	75.6%
	Jeff Davis (100 %)	64	6.7%	537	56.6%	338	35.6%	74	7.8%	516	54.5%	358	37.8%	58	6.1%	15	1.6%	585	69.4%
	Kinney (100 %)	44	4.0%	690	64.0%	336	31.2%	52	4.8%	664	61.5%	361	33.4%	42	3.9%	13	1.2%	771	83.2%
	Loving (100 %)	3	8.3%	30	81.1%	4	10.8%	3	8.1%	31	88.6%	2	5.7%	2	5.7%	0	0.0%	32	94.1%
	Maverick (79 %)	164	3.7%	1,137	25.4%	3,169	70.8%	172	3.8%	937	20.7%	3,421	75.7%	102	2.3%	62	1.4%	1,655	55.2%
	Medina (100 %)	254	2.6%	7,164	74.0%	2,222	22.9%	297	3.1%	6,984	72.1%	2,421	25.0%	225	2.3%	57	0.6%	7,534	86.9%
	Pecos (100 %)	78	2.8%	1,752	61.9%	1,002	35.4%	78	2.8%	1,632	54.9%	1,246	42.0%	67	2.3%	25	0.8%	2,107	81.7%
	Presidio (100 %)	33	3.7%	281	31.9%	566	64.2%	34	3.9%	258	28.9%	598	67.0%	24	2.7%	13	1.5%	329	70.4%
	Reagan (100 %)	18	3.8%	385	81.9%	65	13.8%	20	4.3%	380	80.5%	72	15.3%	14	3.0%	6	1.3%	398	90.9%
	Reeves (100 %)	26	2.3%	532	46.7%	574	50.4%	32	2.8%	504	43.8%	608	52.9%	20	1.7%	18	1.6%	604	75.1%
	Schleicher (100 %)	21	2.6%	574	70.8%	215	26.5%	22	2.7%	546	67.5%	239	29.5%	18	2.2%	6	0.7%	629	86.2%
	Sutton (100 %)	19	2.6%	603	82.9%	100	13.8%	24	3.3%	599	82.3%	108	14.8%	17	2.3%	4	0.5%	627	93.4%
	Terrell (100 %)	22	6.0%	203	56.2%	136	37.7%	22	6.1%	196	52.7%	145	39.0%	21	5.6%	10	2.7%	238	76.5%
	Upton (100 %)	18	2.9%	488	79.0%	107	17.3%	23	3.7%	469	76.4%	121	19.7%	17	2.8%	7	1.1%	506	89.2%
	Uvalde (100 %)	127	2.6%	2,826	56.9%	2,012	40.5%	132	2.7%	2,736	54.7%	2,116	42.3%	116	2.3%	32	0.6%	3,140	82.0%
	Val Verde (100 %)	221	3.2%	3,080	44.9%	3,537	51.5%	246	3.6%	2,914	42.2%	3,750	54.4%	160	2.3%	75	1.1%	3,844	73.0%
	Ward (100 %)	55	2.9%	1,339	71.1%	474	25.2%	71	3.8%	1,302	68.9%	514	27.2%	57	3.0%	16	0.8%	1,434	88.4%
	Winkler (100 %)	35	3.7%	761	80.8%	154	16.3%	27	2.9%	741	78.6%	165	17.5%	30	3.2%	7	0.7%	780	90.2%
	Zavala (100 %)	24	1.7%	310	21.6%	1,099	76.5%	28	1.9%	287	19.8%	1,136	78.2%	19	1.3%	11	0.8%	382	63.6%
		5,304	3.0%	122,322	70.4%	45,783	26.4%	5,556	3.2%	120,653	69.4%	46,960	27.0%	4,962	2.9%	1,156	0.7%	127,396	84.2%
DISTRICT 24	Dallas (9 %)	1,359	2.4%	39,312	69.1%	16,109	28.3%	1,438	2.5%	38,608	67.9%	16,666	29.3%	1,306	2.3%	307	0.5%	41,094	83.8%
	Tarrant (26 %)	3,945	3.4%	83,010	71.1%	29,674	25.4%	4,118	3.5%	82,045	70.2%	30,294	25.9%	3,656	3.1%	849	0.7%	86,302	84.3%
DISTRICT 25		3,761	2.8%	79,905	59.5%	50,491	37.6%	3,917	2.9%	79,096	58.9%	50,681	37.7%	3,476	2.6%	1,028	0.8%	84,044	81.2%
	Callahan (89 %)	81	3.1%	2,282	87.4%	239	9.2%	90	3.4%	2,267	87.0%	242	9.3%	82	3.1%	16	0.6%	2,333	92.8%
	Comanche (100 %)	103	3.4%	2,411	80.7%	485	16.2%	90	3.0%	2,403	80.5%	455	15.2%	102	3.4%	25	0.8%	2,512	91.3%
	Eastland (100 %)	106	2.7%	3,368	87.2%	385	10.0%	111	2.9%	3,369	87.2%	369	9.5%	104	2.7%	22	0.6%	3,426	92.6%
	Erath (100 %)	246	3.4%	5,910	81.8%	1,084	15.0%	233	3.2%	5,877	81.4%	1,075	14.9%	223	3.1%	47	0.7%	6,094	89.7%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

	SUP CT 8				CCA 3				CCA 4				CCA 9						
	Koelsch-L	Chisholm-G	Richardson-R	Granberg-D	Bennett-L	Yearly-R	Parker-L	Sanders-Castro-G	Newell-R										
DISTRICT 23	Crockett (100 %)	52	8.0%	29	4.4%	534	70.4%	205	27.0%	20	2.6%	481	81.7%	65	11.0%	43	7.3%	564	87.4%
	Culberson (100 %)	34	15.8%	20	9.3%	141	48.5%	127	43.6%	23	7.9%	144	66.1%	39	17.9%	35	16.1%	160	75.5%
	Edwards (100 %)	58	10.0%	27	4.7%	457	67.6%	174	25.7%	45	6.7%	480	80.8%	74	12.5%	40	6.7%	484	83.0%
	El Paso (11 %)	1,000	26.7%	484	12.9%	1,713	32.7%	3,242	61.9%	280	5.3%	2,104	54.4%	781	20.2%	984	25.4%	2,236	59.0%
	Frio (100 %)	175	14.7%	86	7.2%	812	45.7%	908	51.1%	58	3.3%	894	75.1%	166	13.9%	131	11.0%	910	77.4%
	Hudspeth (100 %)	52	15.7%	29	8.7%	222	57.8%	128	33.3%	34	8.9%	231	69.2%	53	15.9%	50	15.0%	240	74.1%
	Jeff Davis (100 %)	131	15.5%	127	15.1%	525	55.7%	344	36.5%	74	7.8%	548	64.4%	178	20.9%	125	14.7%	583	70.2%
	Kinney (100 %)	86	9.3%	70	7.6%	667	62.3%	339	31.7%	65	6.1%	721	76.9%	120	12.8%	96	10.2%	771	83.3%
	Loving (100 %)	1	2.9%	1	2.9%	31	88.6%	3	8.6%	1	2.9%	31	86.1%	5	13.9%	0	0.0%	32	97.0%
	Maverick (79 %)	830	27.7%	515	17.2%	1,073	24.1%	3,146	70.8%	227	5.1%	1,453	47.5%	644	21.1%	962	31.4%	1,683	56.6%
	Medina (100 %)	704	8.1%	436	5.0%	7,065	73.3%	2,237	23.2%	331	3.4%	7,341	84.4%	807	9.3%	552	6.3%	7,516	86.8%
	Pecos (100 %)	283	11.0%	188	7.3%	1,694	58.5%	1,046	36.1%	155	5.4%	1,951	75.4%	326	12.6%	311	12.0%	2,064	81.5%
	Presidio (100 %)	63	13.5%	75	16.1%	269	31.1%	554	64.0%	42	4.9%	307	65.9%	76	16.3%	83	17.8%	319	68.8%
	Reagan (100 %)	18	4.1%	22	5.0%	388	82.2%	63	13.3%	21	4.4%	388	87.0%	36	8.1%	22	4.9%	399	90.5%
	Reeves (100 %)	113	14.1%	87	10.8%	519	46.1%	571	50.8%	35	3.1%	565	67.8%	151	18.1%	117	14.0%	600	74.5%
	Schleicher (100 %)	67	9.2%	34	4.7%	556	69.6%	216	27.0%	27	3.4%	573	77.9%	90	12.2%	73	9.9%	626	86.3%
	Sutton (100 %)	30	4.5%	14	2.1%	599	82.6%	105	14.5%	21	2.9%	596	89.0%	52	7.8%	22	3.3%	623	93.1%
	Terrell (100 %)	47	15.1%	26	8.4%	203	56.2%	133	36.8%	25	6.9%	233	73.0%	47	14.7%	39	12.2%	244	79.7%
	Upton (100 %)	36	6.3%	25	4.4%	469	76.8%	107	17.5%	35	5.7%	486	84.7%	51	8.9%	37	6.4%	505	89.9%
	Uvalde (100 %)	439	11.5%	249	6.5%	2,768	56.0%	1,996	40.4%	177	3.6%	3,005	78.0%	447	11.6%	399	10.4%	3,109	81.9%
	Val Verde (100 %)	936	17.8%	489	9.3%	3,105	45.4%	3,445	50.4%	290	4.2%	3,597	67.4%	883	16.5%	856	16.0%	3,820	72.7%
	Ward (100 %)	114	7.0%	74	4.6%	1,320	70.6%	478	25.5%	73	3.9%	1,367	83.5%	186	11.4%	84	5.1%	1,417	87.4%
	Winkler (100 %)	52	6.0%	33	3.8%	724	78.2%	160	17.3%	42	4.5%	759	87.3%	85	9.8%	25	2.9%	790	90.9%
	Zavala (100 %)	146	24.3%	73	12.1%	305	21.5%	1,081	76.1%	34	2.4%	346	55.4%	126	20.2%	152	24.4%	376	62.3%
		14,363	9.5%	9,632	6.4%	121,207	70.2%	45,352	26.3%	6,044	3.5%	124,834	82.3%	16,761	11.1%	10,067	6.6%	126,629	83.9%
DISTRICT 24	Dallas (9 %)	4,724	9.6%	3,193	6.5%	38,888	69.1%	15,735	28.0%	1,652	2.9%	40,060	82.0%	5,330	10.9%	3,435	7.0%	40,601	83.7%
	Tarrant (26 %)	9,639	9.4%	6,439	6.3%	82,319	70.8%	29,617	25.5%	4,392	3.8%	84,774	82.4%	11,431	11.1%	6,632	6.4%	86,028	84.0%
DISTRICT 25		11,525	11.1%	7,887	7.6%	78,993	59.1%	50,433	37.7%	4,283	3.2%	81,141	77.9%	15,533	14.9%	7,434	7.1%	83,480	80.8%
	Callahan (89 %)	103	4.1%	77	3.1%	2,257	86.4%	252	9.6%	103	3.9%	2,237	88.4%	239	9.4%	54	2.1%	2,319	92.1%
	Comanche (100 %)	152	5.5%	88	3.2%	2,366	79.6%	494	16.6%	111	3.7%	2,368	86.0%	324	11.8%	61	2.2%	2,478	90.4%
	Eastland (100 %)	159	4.3%	114	3.1%	3,350	86.9%	383	9.9%	120	3.1%	3,250	87.4%	370	10.0%	97	2.6%	3,397	92.0%
	Erath (100 %)	406	6.0%	297	4.4%	5,874	81.7%	1,096	15.2%	223	3.1%	5,882	86.3%	689	10.1%	246	3.6%	6,096	89.4%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

CCA 9					
		Strange-L	Altgelt-G		
DISTRICT 23	Crockett (100 %)	51	7.9%	30	4.7%
	Culberson (100 %)	32	15.1%	20	9.4%
	Edwards (100 %)	74	12.7%	25	4.3%
	El Paso (11 %)	997	26.3%	556	14.7%
	Frio (100 %)	178	15.1%	87	7.4%
	Hudspeth (100 %)	58	17.9%	26	8.0%
	Jeff Davis (100 %)	133	16.0%	115	13.8%
	Kinney (100 %)	99	10.7%	56	6.0%
	Loving (100 %)	1	3.0%	0	0.0%
	Maverick (79 %)	815	27.4%	474	15.9%
	Medina (100 %)	754	8.7%	388	4.5%
	Pecos (100 %)	318	12.6%	151	6.0%
	Presidio (100 %)	68	14.7%	77	16.6%
	Reagan (100 %)	25	5.7%	17	3.9%
	Reeves (100 %)	143	17.8%	62	7.7%
	Schleicher (100 %)	70	9.7%	29	4.0%
	Sutton (100 %)	33	4.9%	13	1.9%
	Terrell (100 %)	42	13.7%	20	6.5%
	Upton (100 %)	37	6.6%	20	3.6%
	Uvalde (100 %)	436	11.5%	252	6.6%
	Val Verde (100 %)	974	18.5%	459	8.7%
	Ward (100 %)	150	9.2%	55	3.4%
	Winkler (100 %)	57	6.6%	22	2.5%
	Zavala (100 %)	149	24.7%	79	13.1%
DISTRICT 24		15,479	10.3%	8,836	5.9%
	Dallas (9 %)	4,982	10.3%	2,909	6.0%
DISTRICT 25	Tarrant (26 %)	10,497	10.2%	5,927	5.8%
		13,212	12.8%	6,633	6.4%
	Callahan (89 %)	144	5.7%	54	2.1%
	Comanche (100 %)	193	7.0%	70	2.6%
	Eastland (100 %)	202	5.5%	92	2.5%
	Erath (100 %)	449	6.6%	271	4.0%

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

		U.S. SEN										GOVERNOR							
		Cornyn-R		Alameel-D		Paddock-L		Sanchez-G		Tahiro-W		Abbott-R		Davis-D		Glass-L		Parmer-G	
DISTRICT 25	Hood (100 %)	11,090	81.9%	2,007	14.8%	373	2.8%	69	0.5%	8	0.1%	10,784	79.1%	2,577	18.9%	209	1.5%	61	0.4%
	Jack (100 %)	1,493	87.7%	170	10.0%	31	1.8%	8	0.5%	0	0.0%	1,473	85.3%	225	13.0%	23	1.3%	6	0.3%
	Johnson (38 %)	7,777	79.7%	1,617	16.6%	292	3.0%	70	0.7%	0	0.0%	7,613	77.4%	2,041	20.7%	133	1.4%	47	0.5%
	Palo Pinto (100 %)	4,529	80.7%	900	16.0%	144	2.6%	33	0.6%	4	0.1%	4,410	77.8%	1,141	20.1%	91	1.6%	28	0.5%
	Parker (21 %)	5,075	82.6%	838	13.6%	190	3.1%	43	0.7%	0	0.0%	5,040	80.5%	1,090	17.4%	103	1.6%	26	0.4%
	Somervell (100 %)	1,841	83.1%	302	13.6%	53	2.4%	18	0.8%	1	0.0%	1,796	80.0%	405	18.0%	28	1.2%	14	0.6%
	Stephens (100 %)	1,725	90.1%	159	8.3%	27	1.4%	4	0.2%	0	0.0%	1,737	89.8%	169	8.7%	19	1.0%	9	0.5%
	Tarrant (21 %)	30,027	41.6%	39,730	55.0%	1,671	2.3%	746	1.0%	3	0.0%	28,693	39.1%	43,648	59.5%	809	1.1%	251	0.3%
	Young (100 %)	4,431	86.1%	524	10.2%	138	2.7%	54	1.0%	0	0.0%	4,497	84.8%	679	12.8%	99	1.9%	28	0.5%
		89,501	72.3%	29,366	23.7%	3,923	3.2%	1,032	0.8%	1	0.0%	87,266	69.9%	35,166	28.2%	1,986	1.6%	452	0.4%
DISTRICT 26	Cooke (100 %)	7,451	85.3%	989	11.3%	238	2.7%	56	0.6%	0	0.0%	7,393	83.9%	1,272	14.4%	104	1.2%	40	0.5%
	Denton (74 %)	73,579	70.2%	26,993	25.8%	3,345	3.2%	899	0.9%	0	0.0%	71,538	67.7%	32,072	30.4%	1,683	1.6%	362	0.3%
	Wise (85 %)	8,471	82.5%	1,384	13.5%	340	3.3%	77	0.7%	1	0.0%	8,335	80.1%	1,822	17.5%	199	1.9%	50	0.5%
DISTRICT 27		90,385	65.9%	40,230	29.3%	4,700	3.4%	1,720	1.3%	61	0.0%	88,519	63.7%	47,275	34.0%	2,478	1.8%	641	0.5%
DISTRICT 28	Aransas (18 %)	1,040	80.7%	217	16.8%	28	2.2%	4	0.3%	0	0.0%	1,048	78.3%	277	20.7%	10	0.7%	2	0.1%
	Austin (100 %)	5,919	82.9%	995	13.9%	186	2.6%	31	0.4%	7	0.1%	5,813	80.9%	1,252	17.4%	81	1.1%	29	0.4%
	Bastrop (98 %)	9,834	59.6%	5,512	33.4%	886	5.4%	249	1.5%	12	0.1%	9,626	57.7%	6,470	38.8%	476	2.9%	96	0.6%
	Caldwell (100 %)	5,171	58.9%	3,076	35.0%	380	4.3%	145	1.7%	10	0.1%	5,037	56.6%	3,578	40.2%	212	2.4%	75	0.8%
	Calhoun (100 %)	2,750	67.7%	1,130	27.8%	114	2.8%	71	1.7%	0	0.0%	2,737	65.9%	1,326	31.9%	65	1.6%	27	0.6%
	Colorado (100 %)	3,978	78.4%	952	18.8%	109	2.1%	34	0.7%	1	0.0%	3,881	75.3%	1,192	23.1%	62	1.2%	17	0.3%
	Fayette (100 %)	6,017	81.0%	1,184	15.9%	176	2.4%	46	0.6%	4	0.1%	5,885	78.1%	1,547	20.5%	79	1.0%	25	0.3%
	Hays (55 %)	9,998	57.4%	6,373	36.6%	793	4.6%	259	1.5%	0	0.0%	9,660	54.7%	7,558	42.8%	371	2.1%	65	0.4%
	Jackson (100 %)	2,412	83.5%	407	14.1%	55	1.9%	15	0.5%	1	0.0%	2,421	82.2%	488	16.6%	20	0.7%	16	0.5%
	Matagorda (100 %)	4,820	69.9%	1,793	26.0%	200	2.9%	75	1.1%	7	0.1%	4,691	67.4%	2,115	30.4%	116	1.7%	29	0.4%
	Nueces (17 %)	2,138	32.8%	4,013	61.5%	168	2.6%	203	3.1%	0	0.0%	2,080	31.1%	4,413	65.9%	140	2.1%	64	1.0%
	Refugio (10 %)	126	62.4%	69	34.2%	4	2.0%	3	1.5%	0	0.0%	128	59.0%	86	39.6%	2	0.9%	1	0.5%
	San Patricio (36 %)	3,210	75.1%	879	20.6%	139	3.3%	45	1.1%	2	0.0%	3,176	72.3%	1,105	25.2%	89	2.0%	21	0.5%
	Travis (7 %)	6,899	47.8%	6,598	45.7%	688	4.8%	244	1.7%	0	0.0%	6,578	45.0%	7,608	52.1%	347	2.4%	66	0.5%
	Victoria (100 %)	12,706	74.8%	3,701	21.8%	386	2.3%	178	1.0%	13	0.1%	12,657	73.8%	4,233	24.7%	202	1.2%	53	0.3%
	Washington (100 %)	7,052	79.0%	1,582	17.7%	235	2.6%	51	0.6%	4	0.0%	6,886	76.6%	1,952	21.7%	131	1.5%	21	0.2%
	Wharton (100 %)	6,315	76.2%	1,749	21.1%	153	1.8%	67	0.8%	0	0.0%	6,215	74.0%	2,075	24.7%	75	0.9%	34	0.4%
		27,495	36.9%	41,445	55.6%	2,647	3.5%	2,921	3.9%	68	0.1%	28,097	36.6%	46,808	60.9%	1,166	1.5%	707	0.9%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

	GOVERNOR	LT. GOVERNOR										ATTORNEY GEN					
		Pavitt-W	Patrick-R	Van De Putte-D	Butler-L	Courtney-G	Paxton-R	Houston-D	Balagia-L	Osborne-G							
DISTRICT 25	Hood (100 %)	8 0.1%	10,700 78.7%	2,451 18.0%	386 2.8%	55 0.4%	10,785 79.9%	2,368 17.5%	303 2.2%	44 0.3%							
	Jack (100 %)	0 0.0%	1,447 84.6%	218 12.7%	41 2.4%	5 0.3%	1,460 84.9%	224 13.0%	25 1.5%	10 0.6%							
	Johnson (38 %)	5 0.1%	7,639 78.0%	1,827 18.7%	287 2.9%	38 0.4%	7,605 78.0%	1,889 19.4%	215 2.2%	41 0.4%							
	Palo Pinto (100 %)	1 0.0%	4,385 77.9%	1,071 19.0%	159 2.8%	15 0.3%	4,395 78.3%	1,080 19.3%	109 1.9%	26 0.5%							
	Parker (21 %)	0 0.0%	5,025 80.6%	999 16.0%	181 2.9%	32 0.5%	5,077 81.4%	980 15.7%	145 2.3%	32 0.5%							
	Somervell (100 %)	2 0.1%	1,786 80.5%	359 16.2%	62 2.8%	12 0.5%	1,782 80.7%	381 17.3%	37 1.7%	8 0.4%							
	Stephens (100 %)	1 0.1%	1,726 89.7%	165 8.6%	29 1.5%	5 0.3%	1,688 87.9%	210 10.9%	17 0.9%	5 0.3%							
	Tarrant (21 %)	10 0.0%	28,643 39.2%	42,314 58.0%	1,589 2.2%	467 0.6%	29,007 39.9%	41,849 57.5%	1,424 2.0%	484 0.7%							
	Young (100 %)	0 0.0%	4,468 85.1%	588 11.2%	153 2.9%	42 0.8%	4,444 84.3%	687 13.0%	103 2.0%	37 0.7%							
		2 0.0%	86,247 69.4%	33,719 27.1%	3,707 3.0%	662 0.5%	87,159 70.3%	33,013 26.6%	3,141 2.5%	630 0.5%							
DISTRICT 26	Cooke (100 %)	0 0.0%	7,302 83.3%	1,240 14.1%	195 2.2%	27 0.3%	7,325 83.7%	1,255 14.3%	136 1.6%	32 0.4%							
	Denton (74 %)	0 0.0%	70,617 67.1%	30,816 29.3%	3,171 3.0%	589 0.6%	71,510 68.2%	30,065 28.7%	2,752 2.6%	556 0.5%							
	Wise (85 %)	2 0.0%	8,328 80.2%	1,663 16.0%	341 3.3%	46 0.4%	8,324 80.7%	1,693 16.4%	253 2.5%	42 0.4%							
		64 0.0%	86,577 62.8%	46,342 33.6%	4,277 3.1%	697 0.5%	85,723 62.5%	45,848 33.5%	4,701 3.4%	777 0.6%							
DISTRICT 27	Aransas (18 %)	1 0.1%	1,015 76.9%	281 21.3%	20 1.5%	4 0.3%	1,022 77.6%	270 20.5%	22 1.7%	3 0.2%							
	Austin (100 %)	7 0.1%	5,739 80.2%	1,238 17.3%	161 2.2%	20 0.3%	5,805 81.7%	1,178 16.6%	105 1.5%	19 0.3%							
	Bastrop (98 %)	21 0.1%	9,453 57.2%	6,208 37.6%	746 4.5%	121 0.7%	9,220 56.0%	6,186 37.6%	933 5.7%	123 0.7%							
	Caldwell (100 %)	4 0.0%	5,042 57.1%	3,441 39.0%	293 3.3%	57 0.6%	4,799 54.8%	3,475 39.7%	421 4.8%	66 0.8%							
	Calhoun (100 %)	0 0.0%	2,666 64.9%	1,308 31.8%	115 2.8%	19 0.5%	2,600 64.4%	1,316 32.6%	96 2.4%	28 0.7%							
	Colorado (100 %)	5 0.1%	3,825 74.9%	1,166 22.8%	109 2.1%	10 0.2%	3,871 76.6%	1,106 21.9%	66 1.3%	13 0.3%							
	Fayette (100 %)	4 0.1%	5,831 78.5%	1,402 18.9%	175 2.4%	21 0.3%	5,709 77.7%	1,479 20.1%	128 1.7%	36 0.5%							
	Hays (55 %)	2 0.0%	9,437 53.8%	7,309 41.6%	698 4.0%	109 0.6%	9,325 53.4%	7,080 40.6%	929 5.3%	117 0.7%							
	Jackson (100 %)	1 0.0%	2,357 80.9%	497 17.0%	57 2.0%	4 0.1%	2,304 79.8%	539 18.7%	40 1.4%	5 0.2%							
	Matagorda (100 %)	7 0.1%	4,654 67.2%	2,045 29.5%	197 2.8%	26 0.4%	4,641 67.4%	2,062 30.0%	148 2.2%	30 0.4%							
	Nueces (17 %)	0 0.0%	1,910 28.8%	4,492 67.6%	172 2.6%	69 1.0%	1,895 28.6%	4,430 66.9%	219 3.3%	77 1.2%							
	Refugio (10 %)	0 0.0%	120 56.6%	85 40.1%	6 2.8%	1 0.5%	113 54.9%	85 41.3%	5 2.4%	3 1.5%							
	San Patricio (36 %)	2 0.0%	3,069 70.4%	1,122 25.7%	153 3.5%	18 0.4%	3,068 70.6%	1,124 25.9%	130 3.0%	21 0.5%							
	Travis (7 %)	3 0.0%	6,286 43.3%	7,487 51.5%	642 4.4%	114 0.8%	6,311 43.7%	7,172 49.7%	849 5.9%	113 0.8%							
	Victoria (100 %)	5 0.0%	12,267 72.3%	4,278 25.2%	370 2.2%	61 0.4%	12,085 71.4%	4,444 26.3%	328 1.9%	58 0.3%							
	Washington (100 %)	2 0.0%	6,776 75.8%	1,919 21.5%	227 2.5%	23 0.3%	6,819 76.4%	1,891 21.2%	174 2.0%	37 0.4%							
	Wharton (100 %)	0 0.0%	6,130 73.4%	2,064 24.7%	136 1.6%	20 0.2%	6,136 74.1%	2,011 24.3%	108 1.3%	28 0.3%							
		60 0.1%	23,953 31.7%	49,296 65.2%	1,675 2.2%	635 0.8%	23,839 32.0%	46,996 63.2%	2,582 3.5%	995 1.3%							

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

		COMPTROLLER							LAND COMM							AG COMM			
		Hegar-R		Collier-D		Sanders-L		Shafto-G		Bush-R		Cook-D		Knight-L		Alessi-G		Miller-R	
DISTRICT 25	Hood (100 %)	10,616	79.1%	2,319	17.3%	393	2.9%	92	0.7%	11,018	81.1%	2,083	15.3%	387	2.9%	90	0.7%	10,631	79.2%
	Jack (100 %)	1,433	84.4%	223	13.1%	34	2.0%	7	0.4%	1,469	85.6%	208	12.1%	32	1.9%	7	0.4%	1,421	83.5%
	Johnson (38 %)	7,454	77.0%	1,856	19.2%	310	3.2%	65	0.7%	7,641	78.3%	1,731	17.7%	297	3.0%	95	1.0%	7,119	73.3%
	Palo Pinto (100 %)	4,269	76.5%	1,113	19.9%	159	2.8%	40	0.7%	4,463	79.3%	993	17.7%	119	2.1%	51	0.9%	4,332	77.6%
	Parker (21 %)	4,969	80.5%	968	15.7%	196	3.2%	43	0.7%	5,079	81.7%	894	14.4%	199	3.2%	48	0.8%	4,968	80.3%
	Somervell (100 %)	1,746	80.2%	352	16.2%	59	2.7%	20	0.9%	1,820	82.4%	315	14.3%	55	2.5%	20	0.9%	1,765	79.8%
	Stephens (100 %)	1,647	86.5%	213	11.2%	38	2.0%	7	0.4%	1,669	87.3%	203	10.6%	30	1.6%	9	0.5%	1,649	86.7%
	Tarrant (21 %)	28,284	39.1%	41,725	57.6%	1,705	2.4%	667	0.9%	29,855	41.1%	40,434	55.6%	1,585	2.2%	843	1.2%	28,225	39.2%
	Young (100 %)	4,308	83.2%	654	12.6%	162	3.1%	53	1.0%	4,435	84.6%	630	12.0%	130	2.5%	50	1.0%	4,306	82.9%
		85,690	69.7%	32,010	26.0%	4,084	3.3%	1,169	1.0%	88,481	71.6%	29,866	24.2%	3,900	3.2%	1,389	1.1%	85,783	70.1%
DISTRICT 26	Cooke (100 %)	7,148	82.8%	1,197	13.9%	224	2.6%	65	0.8%	7,316	83.8%	1,106	12.7%	246	2.8%	58	0.7%	7,166	82.9%
	Denton (74 %)	70,404	67.6%	29,141	28.0%	3,503	3.4%	1,039	1.0%	72,768	69.6%	27,256	26.1%	3,291	3.1%	1,250	1.2%	70,470	68.0%
	Wise (85 %)	8,138	79.5%	1,672	16.3%	357	3.5%	65	0.6%	8,397	81.2%	1,504	14.5%	363	3.5%	81	0.8%	8,147	79.7%
DISTRICT 27		86,757	63.4%	44,374	32.4%	4,342	3.2%	1,280	0.9%	88,064	64.2%	43,082	31.4%	4,323	3.2%	1,667	1.2%	82,845	61.2%
	Aransas (18 %)	1,025	78.3%	244	18.6%	31	2.4%	9	0.7%	1,049	79.3%	232	17.5%	34	2.6%	8	0.6%	1,008	77.5%
	Austin (100 %)	5,863	82.3%	1,124	15.8%	102	1.4%	34	0.5%	5,811	81.9%	1,081	15.2%	167	2.4%	36	0.5%	5,665	80.3%
	Bastrop (98 %)	9,147	55.9%	6,154	37.6%	814	5.0%	262	1.6%	9,287	56.5%	6,062	36.9%	764	4.6%	324	2.0%	8,732	53.6%
	Caldwell (100 %)	4,759	54.8%	3,450	39.7%	347	4.0%	129	1.5%	4,928	56.6%	3,295	37.8%	354	4.1%	137	1.6%	4,479	52.1%
	Calhoun (100 %)	2,584	64.6%	1,261	31.5%	105	2.6%	50	1.3%	2,709	66.6%	1,213	29.8%	92	2.3%	55	1.4%	2,432	60.8%
	Colorado (100 %)	3,892	76.7%	1,066	21.0%	98	1.9%	17	0.3%	3,882	76.4%	1,092	21.5%	83	1.6%	21	0.4%	3,721	74.6%
	Fayette (100 %)	5,882	79.6%	1,308	17.7%	157	2.1%	38	0.5%	5,643	76.5%	1,527	20.7%	160	2.2%	46	0.6%	5,340	74.0%
	Hays (55 %)	9,265	53.2%	7,073	40.6%	847	4.9%	219	1.3%	9,650	55.4%	6,717	38.5%	775	4.4%	285	1.6%	9,121	52.8%
	Jackson (100 %)	2,366	82.1%	464	16.1%	38	1.3%	13	0.5%	2,390	82.4%	451	15.5%	48	1.7%	13	0.4%	2,266	79.4%
	Matagorda (100 %)	4,695	68.2%	1,990	28.9%	149	2.2%	54	0.8%	4,734	68.7%	1,903	27.6%	180	2.6%	70	1.0%	4,446	65.6%
	Nueces (17 %)	1,876	28.8%	4,358	66.9%	192	2.9%	89	1.4%	2,178	33.1%	4,112	62.4%	153	2.3%	145	2.2%	1,840	28.3%
	Refugio (10 %)	122	58.7%	82	39.4%	2	1.0%	2	1.0%	131	62.4%	77	36.7%	1	0.5%	1	0.5%	113	55.4%
	San Patricio (36 %)	3,055	71.3%	1,047	24.4%	145	3.4%	40	0.9%	3,231	74.2%	939	21.6%	136	3.1%	49	1.1%	3,023	70.6%
	Travis (7 %)	6,329	44.1%	7,147	49.8%	702	4.9%	177	1.2%	6,652	46.3%	6,814	47.4%	656	4.6%	257	1.8%	6,188	43.6%
	Victoria (100 %)	12,657	74.6%	3,903	23.0%	330	1.9%	82	0.5%	12,656	74.2%	3,916	23.0%	369	2.2%	114	0.7%	11,948	71.1%
	Washington (100 %)	6,906	77.3%	1,809	20.2%	176	2.0%	44	0.5%	6,901	77.5%	1,721	19.3%	218	2.4%	62	0.7%	6,670	75.7%
	Wharton (100 %)	6,334	75.8%	1,894	22.7%	107	1.3%	21	0.3%	6,232	74.7%	1,930	23.1%	133	1.6%	44	0.5%	5,853	71.3%
		23,796	32.3%	45,993	62.3%	2,662	3.6%	1,316	1.8%	28,598	38.2%	42,500	56.8%	1,700	2.3%	2,082	2.8%	22,987	31.2%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

		AG COMM				RR COMM 3				SUP CT CHIEF			
		Hogan-D	Palmquist-L	Kendrick-G	Sitton-R	Brown-D	Miller-L	Salinas-G	Hecht-R	Moody-D			
DISTRICT 25	Hood (100 %)	2,262	16.9%	359	2.7%	172	1.3%	10,575	78.9%	2,234	16.7%	459	3.4%
	Jack (100 %)	236	13.9%	30	1.8%	15	0.9%	1,439	84.6%	218	12.8%	35	2.1%
	Johnson (38 %)	2,169	22.3%	280	2.9%	139	1.4%	7,393	76.3%	1,825	18.8%	355	3.7%
	Palo Pinto (100 %)	1,023	18.3%	153	2.7%	72	1.3%	4,283	76.8%	1,027	18.4%	190	3.4%
	Parker (21 %)	956	15.5%	178	2.9%	82	1.3%	4,944	79.9%	948	15.3%	220	3.6%
	Somervell (100 %)	365	16.5%	54	2.4%	27	1.2%	1,729	79.8%	338	15.6%	76	3.5%
	Stephens (100 %)	210	11.0%	26	1.4%	17	0.9%	1,650	86.5%	205	10.7%	41	2.1%
	Tarrant (21 %)	41,182	57.1%	1,621	2.2%	1,059	1.5%	28,194	39.1%	40,955	56.7%	1,814	2.5%
	Young (100 %)	690	13.3%	123	2.4%	76	1.5%	4,310	83.1%	652	12.6%	152	2.9%
		30,876	25.2%	3,915	3.2%	1,874	1.5%	85,630	69.9%	30,615	25.0%	4,545	3.7%
DISTRICT 26	Cooke (100 %)	1,197	13.9%	170	2.0%	107	1.2%	7,131	82.6%	1,170	13.6%	254	2.9%
	Denton (74 %)	28,030	27.1%	3,435	3.3%	1,645	1.6%	70,351	67.9%	27,866	26.9%	3,902	3.8%
	Wise (85 %)	1,649	16.1%	310	3.0%	122	1.2%	8,148	79.6%	1,579	15.4%	389	3.8%
		45,354	33.5%	4,795	3.5%	2,384	1.8%	83,180	61.4%	44,001	32.5%	5,213	3.9%
DISTRICT 27	Aransas (18 %)	248	19.1%	32	2.5%	12	0.9%	993	76.6%	247	19.0%	42	3.2%
	Austin (100 %)	1,160	16.4%	132	1.9%	98	1.4%	5,712	80.9%	1,121	15.9%	175	2.5%
	Bastrop (98 %)	6,090	37.4%	1,018	6.2%	459	2.8%	8,848	54.3%	6,024	37.0%	966	5.9%
	Caldwell (100 %)	3,529	41.1%	373	4.3%	214	2.5%	4,509	52.4%	3,389	39.4%	413	4.8%
	Calhoun (100 %)	1,368	34.2%	116	2.9%	84	2.1%	2,426	61.0%	1,287	32.4%	142	3.6%
	Colorado (100 %)	1,135	22.7%	93	1.9%	42	0.8%	3,735	75.1%	1,070	21.5%	104	2.1%
	Fayette (100 %)	1,602	22.2%	173	2.4%	105	1.5%	5,404	75.0%	1,520	21.1%	203	2.8%
	Hays (55 %)	6,945	40.2%	823	4.8%	372	2.2%	9,119	52.8%	6,772	39.2%	935	5.4%
	Jackson (100 %)	521	18.3%	41	1.4%	26	0.9%	2,266	79.6%	504	17.7%	48	1.7%
	Matagorda (100 %)	2,074	30.6%	159	2.3%	98	1.4%	4,448	65.8%	1,990	29.4%	213	3.1%
	Nueces (17 %)	4,338	66.6%	209	3.2%	122	1.9%	1,831	27.9%	4,167	63.6%	181	2.8%
	Refugio (10 %)	83	40.7%	4	2.0%	4	2.0%	109	53.7%	85	41.9%	2	1.0%
	San Patricio (36 %)	1,061	24.8%	137	3.2%	60	1.4%	3,034	70.7%	996	23.2%	180	4.2%
	Travis (7 %)	6,925	48.8%	750	5.3%	341	2.4%	6,151	43.2%	6,915	48.6%	785	5.5%
	Victoria (100 %)	4,292	25.5%	396	2.4%	168	1.0%	11,962	71.2%	4,134	24.6%	406	2.4%
	Washington (100 %)	1,833	20.8%	207	2.3%	104	1.2%	6,698	76.0%	1,785	20.3%	258	2.9%
	Wharton (100 %)	2,150	26.2%	132	1.6%	75	0.9%	5,935	72.3%	1,995	24.3%	160	2.0%
		46,559	63.1%	2,287	3.1%	1,901	2.6%	22,447	30.3%	43,293	58.5%	2,006	2.7%
												6,296	8.5%
												24,112	32.8%
													46,984

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

	SUP CT CHIEF	SUP CT 1		SUP CT 2		SUP CT 3		SUP CT 4		SUP CT 5		SUP CT 6		SUP CT 7		SUP CT 8			
		Oxford-L		Brown-R		Meyers-D		Ash-L		Boyd-R		Benavides-D		Fulton-L		Waterbury-G		Johnson-R	
DISTRICT 25	Hood (100 %)	452	3.4%	10,711	80.2%	2,184	16.4%	462	3.5%	10,660	79.9%	2,174	16.3%	422	3.2%	90	0.7%	10,981	88.5%
	Jack (100 %)	36	2.1%	1,441	85.7%	207	12.3%	33	2.0%	1,442	85.9%	190	11.3%	35	2.1%	11	0.7%	1,475	93.6%
	Johnson (38 %)	281	2.9%	7,588	78.7%	1,716	17.8%	337	3.5%	7,510	78.0%	1,750	18.2%	291	3.0%	72	0.7%	7,851	88.5%
	Palo Pinto (100 %)	192	3.5%	4,358	78.6%	1,003	18.1%	184	3.3%	4,330	78.0%	1,016	18.3%	165	3.0%	37	0.7%	4,552	89.2%
	Parker (21 %)	216	3.5%	5,035	81.8%	915	14.9%	206	3.3%	5,002	81.3%	914	14.9%	195	3.2%	43	0.7%	5,199	90.1%
	Somervell (100 %)	59	2.7%	1,753	81.7%	326	15.2%	67	3.1%	1,738	80.9%	331	15.4%	63	2.9%	16	0.7%	1,801	89.7%
	Stephens (100 %)	38	2.0%	1,657	88.4%	177	9.4%	40	2.1%	1,646	87.9%	175	9.3%	42	2.2%	10	0.5%	1,686	93.6%
	Tarrant (21 %)	1,784	2.5%	29,020	40.3%	41,151	57.1%	1,891	2.6%	28,484	39.5%	41,378	57.4%	1,608	2.2%	596	0.8%	31,606	69.8%
	Young (100 %)	167	3.2%	4,371	84.7%	619	12.0%	173	3.4%	4,368	84.5%	612	11.8%	144	2.8%	43	0.8%	4,528	92.4%
		4,154	3.4%	87,265	71.3%	30,681	25.1%	4,392	3.6%	86,297	70.6%	31,168	25.5%	3,945	3.2%	842	0.7%	90,476	82.8%
DISTRICT 26	Cooke (100 %)	208	2.4%	7,282	84.9%	1,082	12.6%	214	2.5%	7,201	84.0%	1,103	12.9%	219	2.6%	54	0.6%	7,440	92.1%
	Denton (74 %)	3,603	3.5%	71,701	69.2%	28,051	27.1%	3,826	3.7%	70,812	68.4%	28,558	27.6%	3,403	3.3%	707	0.7%	74,439	81.3%
	Wise (85 %)	343	3.3%	8,282	81.3%	1,548	15.2%	352	3.5%	8,284	81.3%	1,507	14.8%	323	3.2%	81	0.8%	8,597	89.6%
		4,720	3.5%	85,958	63.9%	43,444	32.3%	5,158	3.8%	84,615	62.8%	44,719	33.2%	4,429	3.3%	1,057	0.8%	91,820	79.7%
DISTRICT 27	Aransas (18 %)	37	2.8%	1,026	79.2%	235	18.1%	35	2.7%	1,017	78.4%	235	18.1%	42	3.2%	4	0.3%	1,059	89.1%
	Austin (100 %)	133	1.9%	5,792	82.9%	1,053	15.1%	142	2.0%	5,738	81.9%	1,099	15.7%	136	1.9%	33	0.5%	5,939	91.9%
	Bastrop (98 %)	849	5.2%	9,223	57.1%	5,945	36.8%	981	6.1%	9,084	56.2%	6,041	37.4%	822	5.1%	219	1.4%	10,024	72.6%
	Caldwell (100 %)	418	4.9%	4,773	55.8%	3,327	38.9%	455	5.3%	4,689	54.6%	3,429	39.9%	375	4.4%	91	1.1%	5,307	73.2%
	Calhoun (100 %)	126	3.2%	2,541	64.6%	1,264	32.1%	128	3.3%	2,534	64.0%	1,286	32.5%	104	2.6%	37	0.9%	2,775	83.5%
	Colorado (100 %)	99	2.0%	3,785	77.2%	1,020	20.8%	97	2.0%	3,721	76.0%	1,061	21.7%	93	1.9%	24	0.5%	3,916	91.0%
	Fayette (100 %)	193	2.7%	5,553	77.8%	1,381	19.3%	204	2.9%	5,574	78.2%	1,342	18.8%	174	2.4%	42	0.6%	5,878	89.3%
	Hays (55 %)	867	5.0%	9,581	55.4%	6,765	39.1%	937	5.4%	9,344	54.1%	6,992	40.5%	779	4.5%	152	0.9%	10,304	69.7%
	Jackson (100 %)	48	1.7%	2,333	82.6%	449	15.9%	42	1.5%	2,308	81.6%	462	16.3%	49	1.7%	10	0.4%	2,402	92.6%
	Matagorda (100 %)	172	2.5%	4,612	68.4%	1,945	28.8%	189	2.8%	4,536	67.1%	2,008	29.7%	192	2.8%	28	0.4%	4,882	85.2%
	Nueces (17 %)	165	2.5%	1,974	30.4%	4,319	66.4%	208	3.2%	1,836	27.9%	4,530	68.8%	144	2.2%	70	1.1%	2,517	60.0%
	Refugio (10 %)	4	2.0%	121	59.6%	81	39.9%	1	0.5%	116	56.3%	86	41.7%	2	1.0%	2	1.0%	141	89.8%
	San Patricio (36 %)	148	3.4%	3,113	72.8%	993	23.2%	173	4.0%	3,069	71.6%	1,039	24.2%	145	3.4%	33	0.8%	3,286	85.4%
	Travis (7 %)	739	5.2%	6,498	45.7%	6,904	48.6%	815	5.7%	6,247	43.9%	7,156	50.3%	683	4.8%	128	0.9%	7,189	62.0%
	Victoria (100 %)	376	2.2%	12,236	73.3%	4,068	24.4%	398	2.4%	12,078	72.1%	4,234	25.3%	354	2.1%	92	0.5%	12,831	87.9%
	Washington (100 %)	208	2.4%	6,754	77.6%	1,728	19.9%	219	2.5%	6,706	77.0%	1,736	19.9%	210	2.4%	58	0.7%	6,982	89.8%
	Wharton (100 %)	138	1.7%	6,043	74.2%	1,967	24.2%	134	1.6%	6,018	73.8%	1,983	24.3%	125	1.5%	34	0.4%	6,388	90.7%
		2,495	3.4%	25,253	34.4%	45,583	62.2%	2,492	3.4%	22,747	30.7%	48,929	66.1%	1,500	2.0%	899	1.2%	33,586	66.3%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

	SUP CT 8	CCA 3						CCA 4				CCA 9	
		Koelsch-L	Chisholm-G	Richardson-R	Granberg-D	Bennett-L	Yearly-R	Parker-L	Sanders-Castro-G	Newell-R			
DISTRICT 25	Hood (100 %)	834	6.7%	597	4.8%	10,609	79.9%	2,169	16.3%	508	3.8%	10,737	86.0%
	Jack (100 %)	59	3.7%	42	2.7%	1,427	85.4%	204	12.2%	40	2.4%	1,432	90.7%
	Johnson (38 %)	644	7.3%	372	4.2%	7,450	77.6%	1,762	18.3%	392	4.1%	7,652	86.3%
	Palo Pinto (100 %)	326	6.4%	227	4.4%	4,323	78.1%	1,007	18.2%	204	3.7%	4,351	84.9%
	Parker (21 %)	333	5.8%	239	4.1%	5,000	81.4%	911	14.8%	228	3.7%	5,036	87.1%
	Somervell (100 %)	116	5.8%	90	4.5%	1,731	81.2%	324	15.2%	76	3.6%	1,739	86.4%
	Stephens (100 %)	68	3.8%	47	2.6%	1,645	88.1%	183	9.8%	40	2.1%	1,584	87.8%
	Tarrant (21 %)	8,125	18.0%	5,525	12.2%	28,630	39.9%	41,021	57.2%	2,058	2.9%	30,508	66.7%
	Young (100 %)	200	4.1%	172	3.5%	4,331	84.3%	627	12.2%	180	3.5%	4,365	88.9%
		11,385	10.4%	7,349	6.7%	86,507	71.1%	30,550	25.1%	4,574	3.8%	89,046	80.7%
DISTRICT 26	Cooke (100 %)	392	4.9%	250	3.1%	7,176	84.2%	1,095	12.8%	251	2.9%	7,251	89.9%
	Denton (74 %)	10,426	11.4%	6,672	7.3%	71,043	69.0%	27,943	27.1%	3,936	3.8%	73,490	79.3%
	Wise (85 %)	567	5.9%	427	4.5%	8,288	81.4%	1,512	14.8%	387	3.8%	8,305	86.3%
		13,569	11.8%	9,832	8.5%	84,469	63.0%	43,649	32.6%	5,859	4.4%	89,932	77.7%
DISTRICT 27	Aransas (18 %)	75	6.3%	54	4.5%	1,014	78.5%	234	18.1%	43	3.3%	1,038	87.4%
	Austin (100 %)	329	5.1%	195	3.0%	5,722	81.9%	1,089	15.6%	177	2.5%	5,876	91.0%
	Bastrop (98 %)	2,190	15.9%	1,592	11.5%	9,029	56.1%	5,994	37.3%	1,065	6.6%	9,796	70.6%
	Caldwell (100 %)	1,097	15.1%	842	11.6%	4,645	54.4%	3,386	39.6%	509	6.0%	5,111	70.0%
	Calhoun (100 %)	319	9.6%	228	6.9%	2,518	63.7%	1,271	32.2%	161	4.1%	2,715	80.6%
	Colorado (100 %)	266	6.2%	123	2.9%	3,737	76.6%	1,023	21.0%	121	2.5%	3,862	89.7%
	Fayette (100 %)	468	7.1%	233	3.5%	5,439	76.9%	1,393	19.7%	245	3.5%	5,766	87.5%
	Hays (55 %)	2,473	16.7%	2,000	13.5%	9,353	54.5%	6,830	39.8%	971	5.7%	10,070	67.7%
	Jackson (100 %)	108	4.2%	84	3.2%	2,289	81.4%	454	16.1%	70	2.5%	2,374	90.7%
	Matagorda (100 %)	500	8.7%	348	6.1%	4,530	67.4%	1,958	29.1%	232	3.5%	4,764	83.4%
	Nueces (17 %)	1,004	23.9%	674	16.1%	1,914	29.6%	4,264	66.0%	287	4.4%	2,370	55.8%
	Refugio (10 %)	10	6.4%	6	3.8%	112	56.3%	85	42.7%	2	1.0%	136	85.5%
	San Patricio (36 %)	336	8.7%	226	5.9%	3,074	72.1%	981	23.0%	207	4.9%	3,231	83.8%
	Travis (7 %)	2,330	20.1%	2,079	17.9%	6,331	44.9%	6,945	49.2%	828	5.9%	7,014	60.1%
	Victoria (100 %)	1,120	7.7%	646	4.4%	12,101	72.7%	4,065	24.4%	488	2.9%	12,655	86.2%
	Washington (100 %)	526	6.8%	264	3.4%	6,682	77.1%	1,721	19.9%	260	3.0%	6,890	88.8%
	Wharton (100 %)	418	5.9%	238	3.4%	5,979	73.6%	1,956	24.1%	193	2.4%	6,264	88.7%
		10,036	19.8%	7,043	13.9%	24,804	33.9%	45,130	61.7%	3,240	4.4%	30,911	59.9%
												7,865	15.2%
												12,851	24.9%
												31,649	62.2%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

CCA 9					
		Strange-L	Altgelt-G		
DISTRICT 25	Hood (100 %)	977	7.9%	461	3.7%
	Jack (100 %)	69	4.4%	37	2.3%
	Johnson (38 %)	777	8.8%	281	3.2%
	Palo Pinto (100 %)	425	8.3%	146	2.9%
	Parker (21 %)	399	6.9%	202	3.5%
	Somervell (100 %)	143	7.2%	67	3.4%
	Stephens (100 %)	94	5.2%	27	1.5%
	Tarrant (21 %)	9,077	20.1%	4,794	10.6%
	Young (100 %)	263	5.3%	131	2.7%
		12,725	11.6%	7,111	6.5%
DISTRICT 26	Cooke (100 %)	468	5.8%	181	2.3%
	Denton (74 %)	11,530	12.5%	6,623	7.2%
	Wise (85 %)	727	7.6%	307	3.2%
		14,829	12.9%	8,673	7.6%
DISTRICT 27	Aransas (18 %)	82	6.9%	45	3.8%
	Austin (100 %)	396	6.2%	138	2.2%
	Bastrop (98 %)	2,473	18.0%	1,308	9.5%
	Caldwell (100 %)	1,301	18.0%	648	9.0%
	Calhoun (100 %)	374	11.3%	190	5.7%
	Colorado (100 %)	279	6.5%	104	2.4%
	Fayette (100 %)	537	8.2%	193	2.9%
	Hays (55 %)	2,591	17.5%	1,969	13.3%
	Jackson (100 %)	139	5.4%	66	2.6%
	Matagorda (100 %)	583	10.3%	274	4.8%
	Nueces (17 %)	1,000	24.2%	627	15.2%
	Refugio (10 %)	12	7.5%	9	5.7%
	San Patricio (36 %)	366	9.5%	186	4.8%
	Travis (7 %)	2,428	21.0%	1,971	17.1%
	Victoria (100 %)	1,199	8.3%	542	3.7%
	Washington (100 %)	610	7.9%	208	2.7%
	Wharton (100 %)	459	6.6%	195	2.8%
DISTRICT 28		9,580	18.8%	9,694	19.0%

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

		U.S. SEN										GOVERNOR							
		Cornyn-R		Alameel-D		Paddock-L		Sanchez-G		Tahiro-W		Abbott-R		Davis-D		Glass-L		Parmer-G	
DISTRICT 28	Atascosa (100 %)	4,834	66.8%	2,103	29.1%	183	2.5%	119	1.6%	0	0.0%	4,760	63.8%	2,589	34.7%	77	1.0%	37	0.5%
	Dimmit (100 %)	595	33.1%	1,120	62.3%	38	2.1%	44	2.4%	0	0.0%	599	31.9%	1,245	66.3%	21	1.1%	12	0.6%
	Duval (100 %)	578	19.8%	2,183	74.6%	70	2.4%	90	3.1%	4	0.1%	750	24.3%	2,251	73.0%	54	1.8%	28	0.9%
	Hidalgo (36 %)	9,776	37.7%	14,613	56.3%	859	3.3%	670	2.6%	23	0.1%	9,875	37.0%	16,114	60.4%	463	1.7%	182	0.7%
	Jim Hogg (100 %)	160	22.9%	514	73.6%	11	1.6%	13	1.9%	0	0.0%	190	26.4%	521	72.4%	7	1.0%	2	0.3%
	La Salle (100 %)	400	49.2%	369	45.4%	25	3.1%	19	2.3%	0	0.0%	434	50.2%	422	48.8%	8	0.9%	1	0.1%
	Live Oak (100 %)	2,030	83.5%	313	12.9%	65	2.7%	24	1.0%	0	0.0%	2,007	81.8%	400	16.3%	33	1.3%	15	0.6%
	McMullen (100 %)	216	86.7%	17	6.8%	10	4.0%	5	2.0%	1	0.4%	222	84.4%	34	12.9%	4	1.5%	3	1.1%
	Maverick (21 %)	144	22.3%	450	69.7%	28	4.3%	22	3.4%	2	0.3%	162	24.6%	473	71.8%	12	1.8%	12	1.8%
	Starr (100 %)	961	19.3%	3,795	76.4%	96	1.9%	110	2.2%	6	0.1%	1,104	21.3%	4,008	77.3%	45	0.9%	24	0.5%
	Webb (100 %)	7,488	29.1%	15,223	59.1%	1,238	4.8%	1,765	6.9%	32	0.1%	7,622	28.9%	17,963	68.0%	434	1.6%	382	1.4%
	Zapata (100 %)	313	27.9%	745	66.4%	24	2.1%	40	3.6%	0	0.0%	372	31.6%	788	66.9%	8	0.7%	9	0.8%
DISTRICT 29		25,018	34.2%	45,343	62.0%	1,561	2.1%	1,167	1.6%	2	0.0%	23,919	32.1%	49,479	66.5%	703	0.9%	331	0.4%
DISTRICT 30	Harris (16 %)	25,018	34.2%	45,343	62.0%	1,561	2.1%	1,167	1.6%	2	0.0%	23,919	32.1%	49,479	66.5%	703	0.9%	331	0.4%
DISTRICT 31		30,140	24.8%	88,213	72.6%	2,118	1.7%	1,075	0.9%	3	0.0%	29,131	23.6%	92,911	75.3%	967	0.8%	346	0.3%
DISTRICT 32	Dallas (20 %)	17,750	19.6%	70,545	78.0%	1,366	1.5%	739	0.8%	0	0.0%	17,213	18.7%	73,788	80.4%	583	0.6%	228	0.2%
DISTRICT 31	Tarrant (12 %)	12,390	39.8%	17,668	56.7%	752	2.4%	336	1.1%	3	0.0%	11,918	37.8%	19,123	60.6%	384	1.2%	118	0.4%
DISTRICT 32		80,147	70.0%	29,598	25.9%	3,745	3.3%	953	0.8%	44	0.0%	78,910	68.3%	34,186	29.6%	2,000	1.7%	439	0.4%
DISTRICT 31	Bell (91 %)	25,674	65.8%	11,875	30.4%	1,100	2.8%	343	0.9%	14	0.0%	25,585	65.0%	13,072	33.2%	522	1.3%	135	0.3%
DISTRICT 32	Burnet (85 %)	7,666	79.3%	1,608	16.6%	316	3.3%	82	0.8%	0	0.0%	7,432	75.9%	2,095	21.4%	204	2.1%	53	0.5%
DISTRICT 31	Coryell (100 %)	6,445	75.3%	1,779	20.8%	248	2.9%	86	1.0%	0	0.0%	6,465	74.4%	2,017	23.2%	156	1.8%	46	0.5%
DISTRICT 32	Hamilton (100 %)	1,933	81.3%	356	15.0%	75	3.2%	13	0.5%	0	0.0%	1,888	77.8%	491	20.2%	38	1.6%	10	0.4%
DISTRICT 31	Lampasas (100 %)	3,714	81.7%	689	15.2%	118	2.6%	25	0.5%	0	0.0%	3,745	80.9%	787	17.0%	74	1.6%	22	0.5%
DISTRICT 32	Mills (100 %)	1,186	89.6%	105	7.9%	31	2.3%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	1,194	88.2%	134	9.9%	19	1.4%	6	0.4%
DISTRICT 31	Williamson (45 %)	33,529	68.4%	13,186	26.9%	1,857	3.8%	403	0.8%	30	0.1%	32,601	66.0%	15,590	31.6%	987	2.0%	167	0.3%
DISTRICT 32		93,851	67.4%	40,520	29.1%	3,829	2.7%	1,122	0.8%	23	0.0%	91,153	64.4%	47,954	33.9%	1,858	1.3%	440	0.3%
DISTRICT 31	Camp (100 %)	1,818	70.2%	693	26.8%	56	2.2%	20	0.8%	1	0.0%	1,862	71.3%	718	27.5%	22	0.8%	9	0.3%
DISTRICT 32	Collin (4 %)	4,312	63.9%	2,195	32.5%	186	2.8%	52	0.8%	4	0.1%	4,062	59.8%	2,605	38.3%	98	1.4%	27	0.4%
DISTRICT 31	Dallas (18 %)	48,688	59.2%	30,265	36.8%	2,420	2.9%	812	1.0%	0	0.0%	46,152	55.4%	35,762	42.9%	1,178	1.4%	271	0.3%
DISTRICT 32	Hunt (30 %)	4,014	81.9%	707	14.4%	150	3.1%	30	0.6%	0	0.0%	3,929	79.0%	930	18.7%	82	1.6%	31	0.6%
DISTRICT 31	Rains (100 %)	1,966	82.4%	347	14.5%	62	2.6%	12	0.5%	0	0.0%	1,976	80.6%	440	18.0%	23	0.9%	12	0.5%
DISTRICT 32	Rockwall (100 %)	16,510	78.9%	3,793	18.1%	482	2.3%	113	0.5%	18	0.1%	16,100	76.4%	4,670	22.1%	244	1.2%	46	0.2%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

		GOVERNOR		LT. GOVERNOR				ATTORNEY GEN			
		Pavitt-W	Patrick-R	Van De Putte-D	Butler-L	Courtney-G	Paxton-R	Houston-D	Balagia-L	Osborne-G	
DISTRICT 28	Atascosa (100 %)	2 0.0%	4,535 61.0%	2,764 37.2%	110 1.5%	24 0.3%	4,637 63.2%	2,507 34.2%	158 2.2%	39 0.5%	
	Dimmit (100 %)	0 0.0%	524 28.3%	1,283 69.4%	37 2.0%	6 0.3%	508 28.4%	1,206 67.4%	54 3.0%	20 1.1%	
	Duval (100 %)	1 0.0%	569 18.9%	2,337 77.7%	90 3.0%	12 0.4%	511 17.0%	2,368 78.9%	101 3.4%	20 0.7%	
	Hidalgo (36 %)	36 0.1%	8,424 32.2%	17,008 65.0%	546 2.1%	191 0.7%	8,667 33.7%	15,946 62.0%	856 3.3%	257 1.0%	
	Jim Hogg (100 %)	0 0.0%	149 20.9%	557 78.0%	5 0.7%	3 0.4%	143 20.1%	540 76.1%	22 3.1%	5 0.7%	
	La Salle (100 %)	0 0.0%	396 46.2%	448 52.3%	8 0.9%	5 0.6%	390 47.2%	418 50.5%	14 1.7%	5 0.6%	
	Live Oak (100 %)	0 0.0%	1,956 80.0%	433 17.7%	47 1.9%	9 0.4%	1,957 80.7%	417 17.2%	37 1.5%	13 0.5%	
	McMullen (100 %)	0 0.0%	204 80.0%	45 17.6%	5 2.0%	1 0.4%	194 83.3%	34 14.6%	3 1.3%	2 0.9%	
	Maverick (21 %)	0 0.0%	127 19.4%	503 76.7%	20 3.0%	6 0.9%	132 21.0%	461 73.2%	29 4.6%	8 1.3%	
	Starr (100 %)	4 0.1%	759 14.9%	4,253 83.8%	52 1.0%	13 0.3%	799 16.2%	3,989 80.7%	114 2.3%	38 0.8%	
	Webb (100 %)	17 0.1%	6,018 23.2%	18,820 72.5%	740 2.9%	363 1.4%	5,611 21.9%	18,304 71.3%	1,165 4.5%	583 2.3%	
	Zapata (100 %)	0 0.0%	292 25.3%	845 73.2%	15 1.3%	2 0.2%	290 25.7%	806 71.3%	29 2.6%	5 0.4%	
DISTRICT 29	6 0.0%	23,722 32.0%	48,723 65.6%	1,258 1.7%	541 0.7%	23,932 32.3%	48,318 65.2%	1,255 1.7%	651 0.9%		
DISTRICT 30	Harris (16 %)	6 0.0%	23,722 32.0%	48,723 65.6%	1,258 1.7%	541 0.7%	23,932 32.3%	48,318 65.2%	1,255 1.7%	651 0.9%	
	1 0.0%	29,348 23.9%	90,619 73.9%	1,927 1.6%	757 0.6%	28,913 23.6%	90,784 74.2%	1,790 1.5%	843 0.7%		
	Dallas (20 %)	0 0.0%	17,258 18.9%	72,198 79.1%	1,243 1.4%	537 0.6%	16,917 18.6%	72,361 79.5%	1,146 1.3%	617 0.7%	
DISTRICT 31	Tarrant (12 %)	1 0.0%	12,090 38.5%	18,421 58.6%	684 2.2%	220 0.7%	11,996 38.3%	18,423 58.9%	644 2.1%	226 0.7%	
	58 0.1%	78,054 67.9%	32,673 28.4%	3,539 3.1%	665 0.6%	77,234 67.4%	33,077 28.9%	3,639 3.2%	646 0.6%		
	Bell (91 %)	30 0.1%	25,433 65.0%	12,561 32.1%	931 2.4%	193 0.5%	24,848 63.7%	12,975 33.3%	940 2.4%	217 0.6%	
DISTRICT 32	Burnet (85 %)	5 0.1%	7,464 76.3%	1,901 19.4%	340 3.5%	76 0.8%	7,378 76.1%	1,922 19.8%	341 3.5%	57 0.6%	
	Coryell (100 %)	1 0.0%	6,459 74.9%	1,885 21.8%	227 2.6%	57 0.7%	6,296 72.8%	2,074 24.0%	219 2.5%	60 0.7%	
	Hamilton (100 %)	0 0.0%	1,890 79.0%	435 18.2%	57 2.4%	9 0.4%	1,866 79.1%	449 19.0%	37 1.6%	7 0.3%	
	Lampasas (100 %)	0 0.0%	3,698 80.3%	755 16.4%	129 2.8%	21 0.5%	3,673 79.3%	830 17.9%	106 2.3%	22 0.5%	
	Mills (100 %)	0 0.0%	1,183 87.8%	127 9.4%	34 2.5%	3 0.2%	1,151 86.0%	161 12.0%	21 1.6%	5 0.4%	
	Williamson (45 %)	22 0.0%	31,927 65.1%	15,009 30.6%	1,821 3.7%	306 0.6%	32,022 65.4%	14,666 30.0%	1,975 4.0%	278 0.6%	
	36 0.0%	88,888 63.3%	47,317 33.7%	3,596 2.6%	701 0.5%	89,881 64.2%	46,308 33.1%	3,047 2.2%	675 0.5%		
	Camp (100 %)	2 0.1%	1,808 69.7%	721 27.8%	56 2.2%	8 0.3%	1,765 68.4%	762 29.5%	43 1.7%	12 0.5%	
	Collin (4 %)	3 0.0%	3,910 58.2%	2,585 38.5%	183 2.7%	39 0.6%	4,008 59.7%	2,505 37.3%	164 2.4%	39 0.6%	
	Dallas (18 %)	0 0.0%	44,543 53.8%	35,491 42.9%	2,272 2.7%	497 0.6%	45,482 55.3%	34,391 41.8%	1,980 2.4%	455 0.6%	
DISTRICT 33	Hunt (30 %)	3 0.1%	3,963 80.0%	819 16.5%	148 3.0%	23 0.5%	3,946 79.8%	863 17.5%	109 2.2%	25 0.5%	
	Rains (100 %)	0 0.0%	1,991 81.5%	389 15.9%	50 2.0%	12 0.5%	1,972 81.1%	412 16.9%	39 1.6%	8 0.3%	
	Rockwall (100 %)	26 0.1%	15,797 75.4%	4,574 21.8%	510 2.4%	68 0.3%	16,051 76.9%	4,371 20.9%	391 1.9%	68 0.3%	

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

		COMPTROLLER							LAND COMM							AG COMM			
		Hegar-R	Collier-D	Sanders-L	Shafto-G	Bush-R	Cook-D	Knight-L	Alessi-G	Miller-R									
DISTRICT 28	Atascosa (100 %)	4,450	61.7%	2,498	34.6%	205	2.8%	65	0.9%	4,791	65.3%	2,287	31.2%	174	2.4%	89	1.2%		
	Dimmit (100 %)	490	27.9%	1,194	68.0%	52	3.0%	20	1.1%	580	32.3%	1,134	63.1%	37	2.1%	45	2.5%		
	Duval (100 %)	528	17.8%	2,308	77.8%	99	3.3%	31	1.0%	668	22.2%	2,242	74.5%	64	2.1%	37	1.2%		
	Hidalgo (36 %)	8,664	33.9%	15,712	61.5%	836	3.3%	354	1.4%	9,871	38.1%	14,890	57.4%	649	2.5%	514	2.0%		
	Jim Hogg (100 %)	138	19.6%	548	78.0%	11	1.6%	6	0.9%	187	26.2%	510	71.4%	11	1.5%	6	0.8%		
	La Salle (100 %)	368	45.5%	409	50.6%	26	3.2%	6	0.7%	400	48.4%	397	48.0%	19	2.3%	11	1.3%		
	Live Oak (100 %)	1,928	80.0%	398	16.5%	63	2.6%	21	0.9%	1,985	81.7%	362	14.9%	59	2.4%	23	0.9%		
	McMullen (100 %)	196	83.8%	32	13.7%	6	2.6%	0	0.0%	219	87.3%	21	8.4%	8	3.2%	3	1.2%		
	Maverick (21 %)	136	21.9%	447	71.9%	29	4.7%	10	1.6%	168	26.2%	431	67.1%	17	2.6%	26	4.0%		
	Starr (100 %)	832	16.9%	3,952	80.4%	88	1.8%	42	0.9%	1,077	21.7%	3,761	75.7%	64	1.3%	64	1.3%		
DISTRICT 29	Webb (100 %)	5,779	22.7%	17,708	69.6%	1,222	4.8%	749	2.9%	8,269	32.0%	15,741	60.9%	580	2.2%	1,245	4.8%		
	Zapata (100 %)	287	25.8%	787	70.8%	25	2.3%	12	1.1%	383	33.5%	724	63.3%	18	1.6%	19	1.7%		
		23,397	31.7%	48,404	65.6%	1,264	1.7%	676	0.9%	24,801	33.5%	46,936	63.5%	1,197	1.6%	1,003	1.4%	23,625	32.1%
DISTRICT 30	Harris (16 %)	23,397	31.7%	48,404	65.6%	1,264	1.7%	676	0.9%	24,801	33.5%	46,936	63.5%	1,197	1.6%	1,003	1.4%	23,625	32.1%
		28,051	23.1%	90,526	74.4%	2,143	1.8%	889	0.7%	29,729	24.3%	89,340	73.1%	1,903	1.6%	1,288	1.1%	28,048	23.1%
DISTRICT 31	Dallas (20 %)	16,360	18.1%	72,226	79.8%	1,311	1.4%	594	0.7%	17,481	19.2%	71,412	78.5%	1,173	1.3%	915	1.0%	16,460	18.2%
	Tarrant (12 %)	11,691	37.6%	18,300	58.8%	832	2.7%	295	0.9%	12,248	39.2%	17,928	57.3%	730	2.3%	373	1.2%	11,588	37.3%
		75,637	66.6%	32,659	28.8%	4,191	3.7%	1,078	0.9%	77,903	68.2%	31,043	27.2%	4,016	3.5%	1,306	1.1%	75,592	66.6%
DISTRICT 32	Bell (91 %)	24,255	62.8%	12,726	32.9%	1,242	3.2%	426	1.1%	24,701	63.6%	12,462	32.1%	1,215	3.1%	482	1.2%	24,440	63.3%
	Burnet (85 %)	7,240	75.0%	1,981	20.5%	365	3.8%	73	0.8%	7,492	77.1%	1,786	18.4%	358	3.7%	83	0.9%	7,286	75.2%
	Coryell (100 %)	6,098	71.5%	2,037	23.9%	310	3.6%	82	1.0%	6,315	73.4%	1,938	22.5%	263	3.1%	87	1.0%	5,926	69.1%
	Hamilton (100 %)	1,774	76.5%	462	19.9%	66	2.8%	16	0.7%	1,859	78.8%	407	17.3%	79	3.3%	14	0.6%	1,662	69.9%
	Lampasas (100 %)	3,557	78.2%	814	17.9%	149	3.3%	31	0.7%	3,671	79.8%	753	16.4%	132	2.9%	42	0.9%	3,630	79.0%
	Mills (100 %)	1,104	84.0%	167	12.7%	41	3.1%	3	0.2%	1,135	85.5%	144	10.9%	42	3.2%	6	0.5%	1,090	81.7%
	Williamson (45 %)	31,609	65.1%	14,472	29.8%	2,018	4.2%	447	0.9%	32,730	67.1%	13,553	27.8%	1,927	3.9%	592	1.2%	31,558	65.3%
		88,347	63.9%	44,738	32.4%	3,955	2.9%	1,242	0.9%	93,093	66.7%	41,268	29.6%	3,678	2.6%	1,591	1.1%	89,895	65.2%
	Camp (100 %)	1,720	67.2%	764	29.8%	62	2.4%	15	0.6%	1,760	68.1%	756	29.2%	53	2.0%	17	0.7%	1,705	66.8%
	Collin (4 %)	3,979	60.1%	2,391	36.1%	182	2.7%	69	1.0%	4,242	63.4%	2,188	32.7%	175	2.6%	82	1.2%	4,075	61.9%
	Dallas (18 %)	44,947	55.3%	33,139	40.7%	2,399	2.9%	852	1.0%	48,346	58.8%	30,542	37.2%	2,145	2.6%	1,142	1.4%	46,364	57.2%
	Hunt (30 %)	3,858	78.9%	826	16.9%	166	3.4%	39	0.8%	3,974	80.7%	753	15.3%	152	3.1%	46	0.9%	3,831	78.5%
	Rains (100 %)	1,931	79.8%	417	17.2%	57	2.4%	15	0.6%	1,967	81.0%	387	15.9%	60	2.5%	15	0.6%	1,905	79.2%
	Rockwall (100 %)	15,757	76.3%	4,220	20.4%	533	2.6%	147	0.7%	16,381	78.4%	3,835	18.4%	509	2.4%	168	0.8%	15,874	76.9%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

		AG COMM						RR COMM 3						SUP CT CHIEF			
		Hogan-D		Palmquist-L		Kendrick-G		Sitton-R		Brown-D		Miller-L		Salinas-G		Hecht-R	Moody-D
		Votes	%	Votes	%	Votes	%	Votes	%	Votes	%	Votes	%	Votes	%	Votes	%
DISTRICT 28	Atascosa (100 %)	2,557	35.4%	182	2.5%	108	1.5%	4,345	60.1%	2,486	34.4%	182	2.5%	216	3.0%	4,502	62.5%
	Dimmit (100 %)	1,220	69.2%	42	2.4%	32	1.8%	467	26.5%	1,151	65.2%	32	1.8%	114	6.5%	502	28.5%
	Duval (100 %)	2,338	78.9%	97	3.3%	38	1.3%	494	16.6%	2,275	76.7%	65	2.2%	134	4.5%	522	17.6%
	Hidalgo (36 %)	15,764	61.7%	904	3.5%	447	1.8%	8,372	32.6%	15,108	58.9%	730	2.8%	1,446	5.6%	8,758	34.3%
	Jim Hogg (100 %)	558	79.3%	13	1.8%	6	0.9%	129	18.3%	533	75.5%	8	1.1%	36	5.1%	139	19.8%
	La Salle (100 %)	427	52.8%	18	2.2%	5	0.6%	361	44.8%	399	49.5%	16	2.0%	30	3.7%	372	46.3%
	Live Oak (100 %)	400	16.6%	57	2.4%	23	1.0%	1,924	79.9%	391	16.2%	67	2.8%	27	1.1%	1,932	80.2%
	McMullen (100 %)	36	15.1%	2	0.8%	2	0.8%	193	80.1%	39	16.2%	3	1.2%	6	2.5%	196	83.8%
	Maverick (21 %)	452	72.1%	25	4.0%	22	3.5%	115	18.1%	435	68.5%	22	3.5%	63	9.9%	132	21.1%
	Starr (100 %)	3,953	80.7%	86	1.8%	61	1.2%	808	16.4%	3,810	77.4%	68	1.4%	239	4.9%	844	17.2%
DISTRICT 29	Webb (100 %)	18,050	70.9%	843	3.3%	1,141	4.5%	4,971	19.4%	15,909	62.2%	798	3.1%	3,911	15.3%	5,931	23.4%
	Zapata (100 %)	804	72.0%	18	1.6%	16	1.4%	268	24.1%	757	68.0%	15	1.3%	74	6.6%	282	25.4%
		47,468	64.6%	1,293	1.8%	1,126	1.5%	23,524	31.9%	47,324	64.2%	1,218	1.7%	1,594	2.2%	24,261	32.9%
	Harris (16 %)	47,468	64.6%	1,293	1.8%	1,126	1.5%	23,524	31.9%	47,324	64.2%	1,218	1.7%	1,594	2.2%	24,261	32.9%
		89,926	74.0%	1,967	1.6%	1,512	1.2%	27,803	22.9%	89,883	73.9%	2,115	1.7%	1,841	1.5%	28,895	23.8%
	Dallas (20 %)	71,674	79.3%	1,228	1.4%	1,029	1.1%	16,196	17.9%	71,693	79.2%	1,337	1.5%	1,297	1.4%	17,007	18.8%
	Tarrant (12 %)	18,252	58.8%	739	2.4%	483	1.6%	11,607	37.3%	18,190	58.5%	778	2.5%	544	1.7%	11,888	38.2%
		32,156	28.3%	4,078	3.6%	1,681	1.5%	75,186	66.5%	31,495	27.8%	4,769	4.2%	1,677	1.5%	76,720	67.6%
	Bell (91 %)	12,507	32.4%	1,127	2.9%	551	1.4%	23,937	62.3%	12,484	32.5%	1,379	3.6%	636	1.7%	24,466	63.5%
	Burnet (85 %)	1,904	19.6%	367	3.8%	138	1.4%	7,214	75.0%	1,852	19.3%	428	4.5%	123	1.3%	7,329	76.1%
DISTRICT 31	Coryell (100 %)	2,137	24.9%	356	4.2%	155	1.8%	6,065	71.0%	1,986	23.3%	370	4.3%	118	1.4%	6,201	72.5%
	Hamilton (100 %)	598	25.1%	83	3.5%	35	1.5%	1,770	75.6%	441	18.8%	103	4.4%	27	1.2%	1,821	78.6%
	Lampasas (100 %)	777	16.9%	139	3.0%	48	1.0%	3,557	77.9%	777	17.0%	188	4.1%	46	1.0%	3,611	78.9%
	Mills (100 %)	188	14.1%	44	3.3%	12	0.9%	1,110	84.7%	147	11.2%	48	3.7%	6	0.5%	1,117	85.3%
	Williamson (45 %)	14,045	29.1%	1,962	4.1%	742	1.5%	31,533	65.3%	13,808	28.6%	2,253	4.7%	721	1.5%	32,175	66.3%
		42,291	30.7%	3,761	2.7%	1,962	1.4%	89,385	64.8%	42,168	30.6%	4,448	3.2%	1,960	1.4%	91,846	66.4%
	Camp (100 %)	785	30.7%	40	1.6%	23	0.9%	1,700	66.6%	761	29.8%	67	2.6%	23	0.9%	1,732	68.0%
	Collin (4 %)	2,223	33.8%	175	2.7%	108	1.6%	4,074	61.9%	2,179	33.1%	216	3.3%	109	1.7%	4,172	63.2%
	Dallas (18 %)	31,022	38.3%	2,354	2.9%	1,320	1.6%	45,917	56.6%	31,166	38.4%	2,622	3.2%	1,421	1.8%	47,645	58.5%
	Hunt (30 %)	834	17.1%	137	2.8%	76	1.6%	3,811	78.3%	821	16.9%	184	3.8%	53	1.1%	3,903	80.1%
DISTRICT 32	Rains (100 %)	413	17.2%	54	2.2%	33	1.4%	1,901	79.4%	400	16.7%	71	3.0%	23	1.0%	1,934	80.6%
	Rockwall (100 %)	4,050	19.6%	520	2.5%	201	1.0%	15,864	76.7%	3,951	19.1%	654	3.2%	204	1.0%	16,161	78.1%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

		SUP CT CHIEF		SUP CT 6				SUP CT 7				SUP CT 8							
		Oxford-L		Brown-R		Meyers-D		Ash-L		Boyd-R		Benavides-D		Fulton-L	Waterbury-G	Johnson-R			
		County	Value	County	Value	County	Value	County	Value	County	Value	County	Value	County	Value				
DISTRICT 28	Atascosa (100 %)	171	2.4%	4,622	64.3%	2,385	33.2%	185	2.6%	4,491	62.2%	2,537	35.1%	143	2.0%	50	0.7%	5,043	82.9%
	Dimmit (100 %)	43	2.4%	533	30.4%	1,165	66.5%	54	3.1%	485	27.3%	1,243	70.0%	31	1.7%	17	1.0%	676	68.9%
	Duval (100 %)	74	2.5%	575	19.5%	2,309	78.2%	69	2.3%	507	17.1%	2,379	80.3%	60	2.0%	18	0.6%	800	62.4%
	Hidalgo (36 %)	872	3.4%	9,025	35.5%	15,614	61.3%	817	3.2%	8,222	31.9%	16,703	64.9%	571	2.2%	258	1.0%	11,234	67.6%
	Jim Hogg (100 %)	9	1.3%	143	20.4%	546	77.8%	13	1.9%	116	16.6%	573	82.1%	6	0.9%	3	0.4%	205	59.4%
	La Salle (100 %)	20	2.5%	375	46.4%	404	50.0%	29	3.6%	365	44.8%	429	52.6%	17	2.1%	4	0.5%	419	80.1%
	Live Oak (100 %)	57	2.4%	1,945	81.3%	379	15.9%	67	2.8%	1,948	81.2%	385	16.0%	52	2.2%	14	0.6%	2,020	91.1%
	McMullen (100 %)	5	2.1%	195	85.9%	25	11.0%	7	3.1%	194	85.5%	28	12.3%	4	1.8%	1	0.4%	200	90.1%
	Maverick (21 %)	27	4.3%	151	23.8%	455	71.8%	28	4.4%	127	19.9%	492	77.0%	11	1.7%	9	1.4%	226	55.5%
	Starr (100 %)	73	1.5%	897	18.5%	3,880	79.8%	84	1.7%	769	15.7%	4,043	82.5%	59	1.2%	28	0.6%	1,237	66.0%
	Webb (100 %)	1,115	4.4%	6,485	25.7%	17,635	69.9%	1,121	4.4%	5,255	20.6%	19,281	75.4%	533	2.1%	489	1.9%	11,078	56.9%
	Zapata (100 %)	29	2.6%	307	27.6%	786	70.7%	18	1.6%	268	23.8%	836	74.3%	13	1.2%	8	0.7%	448	70.1%
DISTRICT 29	1,421	1.9%	24,465	33.4%	47,440	64.7%	1,417	1.9%	23,284	31.7%	48,351	65.9%	1,169	1.6%	568	0.8%	27,457	65.9%	
DISTRICT 30	Harris (16 %)	1,421	1.9%	24,465	33.4%	47,440	64.7%	1,417	1.9%	23,284	31.7%	48,351	65.9%	1,169	1.6%	568	0.8%	27,457	65.9%
DISTRICT 31	2,047	1.7%	29,080	24.0%	90,114	74.3%	2,107	1.7%	28,418	23.4%	90,300	74.4%	1,943	1.6%	660	0.5%	33,828	56.3%	
DISTRICT 32	Dallas (20 %)	1,229	1.4%	17,087	18.9%	71,903	79.7%	1,254	1.4%	16,670	18.5%	71,931	79.7%	1,238	1.4%	444	0.5%	20,537	51.0%
DISTRICT 32	Tarrant (12 %)	818	2.6%	11,993	38.6%	18,211	58.6%	853	2.7%	11,748	37.9%	18,369	59.2%	705	2.3%	216	0.7%	13,291	67.1%
DISTRICT 32	4,323	3.8%	77,306	68.4%	31,128	27.6%	4,517	4.0%	76,363	67.6%	31,719	28.1%	4,062	3.6%	791	0.7%	80,739	82.2%	
DISTRICT 32	Bell (91 %)	1,275	3.3%	24,672	64.3%	12,353	32.2%	1,361	3.5%	24,301	63.4%	12,605	32.9%	1,186	3.1%	257	0.7%	25,840	81.4%
DISTRICT 32	Burnet (85 %)	387	4.0%	7,417	77.1%	1,793	18.6%	407	4.2%	7,368	76.7%	1,813	18.9%	367	3.8%	57	0.6%	7,643	87.0%
DISTRICT 32	Coryell (100 %)	309	3.6%	6,222	73.3%	1,963	23.1%	309	3.6%	6,187	72.7%	1,969	23.1%	285	3.3%	71	0.8%	6,511	86.2%
DISTRICT 32	Hamilton (100 %)	74	3.2%	1,826	79.4%	404	17.6%	71	3.1%	1,802	78.9%	402	17.6%	75	3.3%	6	0.3%	1,899	89.5%
DISTRICT 32	Lampasas (100 %)	176	3.8%	3,654	80.2%	750	16.5%	152	3.3%	3,625	79.5%	759	16.6%	151	3.3%	27	0.6%	3,752	89.7%
DISTRICT 32	Mills (100 %)	40	3.1%	1,137	87.5%	122	9.4%	41	3.2%	1,122	86.4%	129	9.9%	43	3.3%	4	0.3%	1,162	93.9%
DISTRICT 32	Williamson (45 %)	2,062	4.3%	32,378	67.0%	13,743	28.5%	2,176	4.5%	31,958	66.1%	14,042	29.1%	1,955	4.0%	369	0.8%	33,932	79.7%
DISTRICT 32	4,016	2.9%	91,523	66.6%	41,884	30.5%	4,118	3.0%	90,219	65.6%	42,743	31.1%	3,716	2.7%	938	0.7%	95,520	82.2%	
DISTRICT 32	Camp (100 %)	60	2.4%	1,744	68.7%	732	28.8%	62	2.4%	1,736	68.6%	721	28.5%	55	2.2%	19	0.8%	1,834	86.9%
DISTRICT 32	Collin (4 %)	192	2.9%	4,136	63.1%	2,197	33.5%	220	3.4%	4,080	62.1%	2,252	34.3%	184	2.8%	52	0.8%	4,346	77.2%
DISTRICT 32	Dallas (18 %)	2,449	3.0%	47,183	58.4%	31,085	38.5%	2,546	3.2%	46,213	57.1%	31,833	39.3%	2,227	2.8%	628	0.8%	50,021	77.1%
DISTRICT 32	Hunt (30 %)	167	3.4%	3,923	80.7%	771	15.9%	169	3.5%	3,873	79.7%	786	16.2%	169	3.5%	30	0.6%	4,007	90.0%
DISTRICT 32	Rains (100 %)	52	2.2%	1,954	81.7%	378	15.8%	61	2.5%	1,933	81.1%	378	15.9%	59	2.5%	13	0.5%	1,995	91.9%
DISTRICT 32	Rockwall (100 %)	548	2.6%	16,156	78.4%	3,913	19.0%	534	2.6%	15,988	77.5%	4,032	19.6%	505	2.4%	97	0.5%	16,576	88.4%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

		SUP CT 8				CCA 3				CCA 4				CCA 9					
		Koelsch-L	Chisholm-G	Richardson-R	Granberg-D	Bennett-L	Yearly-R	Parker-L	Sanders-Castro-G	Newell-R									
DISTRICT 28	Atascosa (100 %)	648	10.7%	389	6.4%	4,505	63.0%	2,400	33.5%	250	3.5%	4,821	78.6%	717	11.7%	596	9.7%	5,064	83.2%
	Dimmit (100 %)	201	20.5%	104	10.6%	512	29.5%	1,162	66.9%	63	3.6%	624	61.9%	188	18.7%	196	19.4%	667	68.5%
	Duval (100 %)	307	23.9%	175	13.7%	537	18.3%	2,294	78.0%	109	3.7%	704	54.4%	309	23.9%	280	21.7%	789	61.9%
	Hidalgo (36 %)	3,485	21.0%	1,898	11.4%	8,952	35.3%	15,449	60.9%	969	3.8%	10,632	62.5%	2,716	16.0%	3,666	21.5%	11,227	67.8%
	Jim Hogg (100 %)	96	27.8%	44	12.8%	138	19.9%	534	77.2%	20	2.9%	196	54.9%	69	19.3%	92	25.8%	216	61.2%
	La Salle (100 %)	66	12.6%	38	7.3%	366	46.0%	403	50.7%	26	3.3%	405	77.0%	65	12.4%	56	10.6%	416	80.6%
	Live Oak (100 %)	122	5.5%	75	3.4%	1,920	80.1%	394	16.4%	84	3.5%	2,003	90.2%	149	6.7%	69	3.1%	2,021	91.2%
	McMullen (100 %)	8	3.6%	14	6.3%	194	86.6%	24	10.7%	6	2.7%	197	88.7%	10	4.5%	15	6.8%	198	91.7%
	Maverick (21 %)	112	27.5%	69	17.0%	145	23.1%	449	71.4%	35	5.6%	202	48.2%	88	21.0%	129	30.8%	234	56.8%
	Starr (100 %)	430	23.0%	206	11.0%	855	17.6%	3,894	80.3%	101	2.1%	1,139	59.7%	340	17.8%	430	22.5%	1,228	65.1%
	Webb (100 %)	4,458	22.9%	3,943	20.2%	6,380	25.2%	17,351	68.6%	1,551	6.1%	9,568	48.2%	3,127	15.7%	7,167	36.1%	9,164	46.4%
	Zapata (100 %)	103	16.1%	88	13.8%	300	27.2%	776	70.4%	26	2.4%	420	63.4%	87	13.1%	155	23.4%	425	65.1%
DISTRICT 29		7,479	17.9%	6,742	16.2%	23,999	32.8%	47,394	64.8%	1,734	2.4%	26,715	62.6%	8,334	19.5%	7,654	17.9%	27,470	64.8%
	Harris (16 %)	7,479	17.9%	6,742	16.2%	23,999	32.8%	47,394	64.8%	1,734	2.4%	26,715	62.6%	8,334	19.5%	7,654	17.9%	27,470	64.8%
DISTRICT 30		16,151	26.9%	10,117	16.8%	28,442	23.5%	90,000	74.3%	2,625	2.2%	31,858	52.4%	19,279	31.7%	9,632	15.9%	32,902	55.1%
DISTRICT 31	Dallas (20 %)	11,983	29.7%	7,770	19.3%	16,634	18.5%	71,798	79.7%	1,660	1.8%	19,011	46.8%	14,461	35.6%	7,129	17.6%	19,793	49.8%
	Tarrant (12 %)	4,168	21.0%	2,347	11.8%	11,808	38.1%	18,202	58.8%	965	3.1%	12,847	63.7%	4,818	23.9%	2,503	12.4%	13,109	65.6%
DISTRICT 32		10,475	10.7%	6,994	7.1%	76,406	67.9%	31,342	27.8%	4,795	4.3%	79,423	80.4%	12,271	12.4%	7,088	7.2%	80,546	81.9%
	Bell (91 %)	3,843	12.1%	2,066	6.5%	24,373	63.7%	12,469	32.6%	1,425	3.7%	25,380	79.6%	4,489	14.1%	2,015	6.3%	25,793	81.2%
	Burnet (85 %)	660	7.5%	481	5.5%	7,343	76.4%	1,815	18.9%	451	4.7%	7,488	84.7%	952	10.8%	398	4.5%	7,619	86.6%
	Coryell (100 %)	620	8.2%	426	5.6%	6,161	72.6%	1,961	23.1%	366	4.3%	6,395	84.1%	799	10.5%	412	5.4%	6,522	85.8%
	Hamilton (100 %)	137	6.5%	86	4.1%	1,783	78.5%	398	17.5%	90	4.0%	1,806	85.0%	250	11.8%	68	3.2%	1,876	88.8%
	Lampasas (100 %)	282	6.7%	147	3.5%	3,597	79.2%	771	17.0%	175	3.9%	3,689	87.7%	359	8.5%	158	3.8%	3,770	89.4%
	Mills (100 %)	43	3.5%	33	2.7%	1,120	86.4%	130	10.0%	46	3.5%	1,127	90.9%	93	7.5%	20	1.6%	1,154	93.2%
	Williamson (45 %)	4,890	11.5%	3,755	8.8%	32,029	66.6%	13,798	28.7%	2,242	4.7%	33,538	78.2%	5,329	12.4%	4,017	9.4%	33,812	79.5%
DISTRICT 32		12,512	10.8%	8,211	7.1%	90,556	66.2%	41,719	30.5%	4,552	3.3%	93,468	80.2%	14,581	12.5%	8,431	7.2%	94,807	81.8%
	Camp (100 %)	197	9.3%	80	3.8%	1,716	68.1%	733	29.1%	69	2.7%	1,817	86.1%	225	10.7%	69	3.3%	1,840	86.8%
	Collin (4 %)	661	11.7%	622	11.0%	4,130	63.3%	2,182	33.4%	217	3.3%	4,237	73.8%	814	14.2%	687	12.0%	4,267	75.3%
	Dallas (18 %)	8,981	13.8%	5,902	9.1%	46,743	58.2%	30,877	38.4%	2,763	3.4%	48,678	74.9%	10,096	15.5%	6,180	9.5%	49,492	76.8%
	Hunt (30 %)	273	6.1%	174	3.9%	3,839	79.6%	795	16.5%	188	3.9%	3,935	88.1%	395	8.8%	134	3.0%	4,003	90.0%
	Rains (100 %)	103	4.7%	73	3.4%	1,917	80.7%	394	16.6%	63	2.7%	1,960	90.0%	156	7.2%	62	2.8%	1,982	91.2%
	Rockwall (100 %)	1,381	7.4%	796	4.2%	16,021	78.0%	3,909	19.0%	598	2.9%	16,320	86.8%	1,624	8.6%	853	4.5%	16,535	88.1%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

CCA 9					
		Strange-L	Altgelt-G		
DISTRICT 28	Atascosa (100 %)	694	11.4%	329	5.4%
	Dimmit (100 %)	208	21.4%	99	10.2%
	Duval (100 %)	335	26.3%	151	11.8%
	Hidalgo (36 %)	3,457	20.9%	1,886	11.4%
	Jim Hogg (100 %)	87	24.6%	50	14.2%
	La Salle (100 %)	70	13.6%	30	5.8%
	Live Oak (100 %)	145	6.5%	49	2.2%
	McMullen (100 %)	10	4.6%	8	3.7%
	Maverick (21 %)	108	26.2%	70	17.0%
	Starr (100 %)	428	22.7%	231	12.2%
	Webb (100 %)	3,941	19.9%	6,660	33.7%
	Zapata (100 %)	97	14.9%	131	20.1%
		8,486	20.0%	6,404	15.1%
DISTRICT 29	Harris (16 %)	8,486	20.0%	6,404	15.1%
		19,035	31.9%	7,787	13.0%
DISTRICT 30	Dallas (20 %)	14,262	35.9%	5,674	14.3%
DISTRICT 31	Tarrant (12 %)	4,773	23.9%	2,113	10.6%
		11,635	11.8%	6,117	6.2%
DISTRICT 32	Bell (91 %)	4,310	13.6%	1,673	5.3%
	Burnet (85 %)	793	9.0%	383	4.4%
	Coryell (100 %)	720	9.5%	360	4.7%
	Hamilton (100 %)	176	8.3%	61	2.9%
	Lampasas (100 %)	312	7.4%	137	3.2%
	Mills (100 %)	67	5.4%	17	1.4%
	Williamson (45 %)	5,257	12.4%	3,486	8.2%
		13,944	12.0%	7,105	6.1%
	Camp (100 %)	219	10.3%	61	2.9%
	Collin (4 %)	798	14.1%	605	10.7%
DISTRICT 33	Dallas (18 %)	9,827	15.2%	5,158	8.0%
	Hunt (30 %)	326	7.3%	119	2.7%
	Rains (100 %)	126	5.8%	65	3.0%
	Rockwall (100 %)	1,550	8.3%	681	3.6%

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

		U.S. SEN										GOVERNOR							
		Cornyn-R		Alameel-D		Paddock-L		Sanchez-G		Tahiro-W		Abbott-R		Davis-D		Glass-L		Parmer-G	
DISTRICT 32	Upshur (100 %)	6,979	81.5%	1,271	14.8%	268	3.1%	42	0.5%	0	0.0%	7,294	82.4%	1,426	16.1%	108	1.2%	18	0.2%
	Wood (100 %)	9,564	86.5%	1,249	11.3%	205	1.9%	41	0.4%	0	0.0%	9,778	86.5%	1,403	12.4%	103	0.9%	26	0.2%
DISTRICT 33		29,661	36.6%	47,798	58.9%	2,367	2.9%	1,297	1.6%	0	0.0%	27,881	33.8%	53,299	64.6%	1,049	1.3%	305	0.4%
	Dallas (29 %)	29,661	36.6%	47,798	58.9%	2,367	2.9%	1,297	1.6%	0	0.0%	27,881	33.8%	53,299	64.6%	1,049	1.3%	305	0.4%
DISTRICT 34		50,105	52.5%	40,022	41.9%	3,014	3.2%	2,296	2.4%	25	0.0%	49,084	50.2%	46,329	47.4%	1,709	1.7%	635	0.6%
	Cameron (100 %)	16,747	43.5%	19,188	49.9%	1,386	3.6%	1,121	2.9%	20	0.1%	16,556	42.0%	21,859	55.5%	725	1.8%	244	0.6%
DISTRICT 35	Kenedy (100 %)	59	50.9%	52	44.8%	2	1.7%	3	2.6%	0	0.0%	64	51.2%	59	47.2%	2	1.6%	0	0.0%
	Kleberg (100 %)	2,805	50.0%	2,463	43.9%	151	2.7%	183	3.3%	4	0.1%	2,836	49.2%	2,804	48.7%	83	1.4%	34	0.6%
DISTRICT 36	Nueces (83 %)	29,690	60.3%	17,226	35.0%	1,399	2.8%	920	1.9%	1	0.0%	28,774	57.2%	20,333	40.4%	858	1.7%	341	0.7%
	Willacy (100 %)	804	39.4%	1,093	53.5%	76	3.7%	69	3.4%	0	0.0%	854	39.1%	1,274	58.3%	41	1.9%	16	0.7%
DISTRICT 37		69,242	60.4%	40,505	35.4%	3,403	3.0%	1,365	1.2%	51	0.0%	66,003	56.9%	47,733	41.1%	1,706	1.5%	527	0.5%
	Bexar (26 %)	37,772	52.9%	30,499	42.7%	2,134	3.0%	982	1.4%	48	0.1%	35,494	49.0%	35,525	49.0%	1,071	1.5%	362	0.5%
DISTRICT 38	Guadalupe (100 %)	21,885	72.2%	7,267	24.0%	927	3.1%	252	0.8%	0	0.0%	21,235	69.4%	8,788	28.7%	449	1.5%	104	0.3%
	Karnes (100 %)	1,830	74.4%	557	22.6%	46	1.9%	28	1.1%	0	0.0%	1,768	70.2%	713	28.3%	26	1.0%	10	0.4%
DISTRICT 39	Wilson (100 %)	7,755	75.0%	2,182	21.1%	296	2.9%	103	1.0%	3	0.0%	7,506	72.0%	2,707	26.0%	160	1.5%	51	0.5%
		91,402	64.9%	44,911	31.9%	3,250	2.3%	1,142	0.8%	33	0.0%	90,958	63.6%	50,118	35.0%	1,549	1.1%	425	0.3%
DISTRICT 40	Angelina (100 %)	12,866	77.2%	3,414	20.5%	288	1.7%	87	0.5%	8	0.0%	12,939	76.9%	3,686	21.9%	131	0.8%	42	0.2%
	Brazoria (24 %)	7,791	53.6%	6,196	42.7%	387	2.7%	149	1.0%	0	0.0%	7,605	51.5%	6,927	46.9%	177	1.2%	56	0.4%
DISTRICT 41	Chambers (100 %)	6,818	81.7%	1,227	14.7%	238	2.9%	62	0.7%	0	0.0%	6,778	80.5%	1,490	17.7%	114	1.4%	32	0.4%
	Hardin (100 %)	9,610	85.7%	1,304	11.6%	256	2.3%	43	0.4%	0	0.0%	9,923	85.7%	1,494	12.9%	122	1.1%	37	0.3%
DISTRICT 42	Harris (7 %)	31,048	62.9%	16,343	33.1%	1,323	2.7%	611	1.2%	2	0.0%	30,125	60.1%	19,205	38.3%	650	1.3%	171	0.3%
	Jasper (100 %)	5,522	78.4%	1,349	19.2%	145	2.1%	24	0.3%	0	0.0%	5,644	79.1%	1,415	19.8%	61	0.9%	13	0.2%
DISTRICT 43	Jefferson (44 %)	12,022	46.0%	13,584	52.0%	388	1.5%	117	0.4%	17	0.1%	11,995	45.2%	14,313	53.9%	199	0.7%	35	0.1%
	Newton (100 %)	2,073	72.6%	680	23.8%	85	3.0%	17	0.6%	0	0.0%	2,161	74.4%	703	24.2%	28	1.0%	11	0.4%
DISTRICT 44	Tyler (100 %)	3,652	78.6%	814	17.5%	140	3.0%	32	0.7%	6	0.1%	3,788	79.4%	885	18.6%	67	1.4%	28	0.6%
		40,473	26.1%	102,206	66.0%	7,614	4.9%	4,605	3.0%	2	0.0%	36,927	23.5%	115,886	73.7%	3,662	2.3%	834	0.5%
DISTRICT 45	Travis (59 %)	40,473	26.1%	102,206	66.0%	7,614	4.9%	4,605	3.0%	2	0.0%	36,927	23.5%	115,886	73.7%	3,662	2.3%	834	0.5%
		111,234	75.0%	32,261	21.7%	3,796	2.6%	1,049	0.7%	0	0.0%	108,011	71.7%	40,505	26.9%	1,831	1.2%	389	0.3%
DISTRICT 46	Harris (16 %)	111,234	75.0%	32,261	21.7%	3,796	2.6%	1,049	0.7%	0	0.0%	108,011	71.7%	40,505	26.9%	1,831	1.2%	389	0.3%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

		GOVERNOR		LT. GOVERNOR						ATTORNEY GEN							
		Pavitt-W	Patrick-R	Van De Putte-D	Butler-L	Courtney-G	Paxton-R	Houston-D	Balagia-L	Osborne-G							
DISTRICT 32	Upshur (100 %)	2	0.0%	7,163	81.6%	1,396	15.9%	203	2.3%	19	0.2%	7,019	80.3%	1,540	17.6%		
	Wood (100 %)	0	0.0%	9,713	86.2%	1,342	11.9%	174	1.5%	35	0.3%	9,638	85.3%	1,464	13.0%		
DISTRICT 33	0	0.0%	27,082	33.0%	52,264	63.8%	2,068	2.5%	552	0.7%	27,484	33.8%	51,045	62.7%	2,279	2.8%	
	Dallas (29 %)	0	0.0%	27,082	33.0%	52,264	63.8%	2,068	2.5%	552	0.7%	27,484	33.8%	51,045	62.7%	2,279	2.8%
DISTRICT 34	27	0.0%	44,848	46.5%	48,523	50.3%	2,434	2.5%	670	0.7%	45,179	47.3%	46,134	48.3%	3,264	3.4%	
	Cameron (100 %)	23	0.1%	14,527	37.5%	23,094	59.6%	922	2.4%	233	0.6%	14,585	38.2%	21,566	56.5%	1,544	4.0%
DISTRICT 35	Kenedy (100 %)	0	0.0%	53	44.9%	61	51.7%	1	0.8%	3	2.5%	51	44.3%	62	53.9%	1	0.9%
	Kleberg (100 %)	2	0.0%	2,562	45.3%	2,948	52.1%	114	2.0%	34	0.6%	2,519	45.3%	2,836	51.0%	160	2.9%
DISTRICT 36	Nueces (83 %)	2	0.0%	27,004	54.2%	21,063	42.3%	1,337	2.7%	389	0.8%	27,309	55.0%	20,433	41.2%	1,450	2.9%
	Willacy (100 %)	0	0.0%	702	33.0%	1,357	63.7%	60	2.8%	11	0.5%	715	34.3%	1,237	59.3%	109	5.2%
DISTRICT 37	42	0.0%	63,104	54.7%	49,582	42.9%	2,238	1.9%	539	0.5%	65,417	57.1%	45,088	39.4%	3,152	2.8%	
	Bexar (26 %)	34	0.0%	33,388	46.3%	37,014	51.3%	1,328	1.8%	369	0.5%	34,992	49.0%	33,749	47.2%	2,145	3.0%
DISTRICT 38	Guadalupe (100 %)	3	0.0%	20,776	68.1%	8,955	29.4%	645	2.1%	122	0.4%	21,255	70.1%	8,132	26.8%	746	2.5%
	Karnes (100 %)	0	0.0%	1,677	67.5%	746	30.0%	55	2.2%	6	0.2%	1,716	70.3%	681	27.9%	34	1.4%
DISTRICT 39	Wilson (100 %)	5	0.0%	7,263	70.0%	2,867	27.6%	210	2.0%	42	0.4%	7,454	72.6%	2,526	24.6%	227	2.2%
	38	0.0%	89,025	62.7%	49,296	34.7%	2,926	2.1%	704	0.5%	88,964	62.7%	49,898	35.2%	2,329	1.6%	
DISTRICT 40	Angelina (100 %)	17	0.1%	12,749	76.2%	3,624	21.7%	309	1.8%	42	0.3%	12,513	75.2%	3,878	23.3%	214	1.3%
	Brazoria (24 %)	0	0.0%	7,475	50.8%	6,771	46.0%	341	2.3%	126	0.9%	7,638	51.9%	6,659	45.3%	304	2.1%
DISTRICT 41	Chambers (100 %)	1	0.0%	6,717	80.2%	1,420	17.0%	202	2.4%	33	0.4%	6,775	81.1%	1,437	17.2%	116	1.4%
	Hardin (100 %)	0	0.0%	9,735	84.9%	1,456	12.7%	238	2.1%	36	0.3%	9,614	83.9%	1,666	14.5%	158	1.4%
DISTRICT 42	Harris (7 %)	1	0.0%	29,439	59.0%	18,955	38.0%	1,200	2.4%	329	0.7%	30,079	60.3%	18,465	37.0%	1,052	2.1%
	Jasper (100 %)	0	0.0%	5,502	78.1%	1,406	19.9%	131	1.9%	10	0.1%	5,342	75.9%	1,593	22.6%	89	1.3%
DISTRICT 43	Jefferson (44 %)	16	0.1%	11,653	44.5%	14,122	53.9%	323	1.2%	97	0.4%	11,509	43.9%	14,369	54.8%	266	1.0%
	Newton (100 %)	2	0.1%	2,085	73.0%	701	24.6%	57	2.0%	12	0.4%	1,964	69.2%	818	28.8%	39	1.4%
DISTRICT 44	Tyler (100 %)	1	0.0%	3,670	78.8%	841	18.1%	125	2.7%	19	0.4%	3,530	75.9%	1,013	21.8%	91	2.0%
	9	0.0%	34,757	22.3%	112,147	71.8%	7,052	4.5%	2,156	1.4%	34,748	22.4%	108,108	69.8%	9,582	6.2%	
DISTRICT 45	Travis (59 %)	9	0.0%	34,757	22.3%	112,147	71.8%	7,052	4.5%	2,156	1.4%	34,748	22.4%	108,108	69.8%	9,582	6.2%
	2	0.0%	104,751	69.9%	40,930	27.3%	3,564	2.4%	698	0.5%	107,883	71.9%	38,776	25.9%	2,628	1.8%	
DISTRICT 46	Harris (16 %)	2	0.0%	104,751	69.9%	40,930	27.3%	3,564	2.4%	698	0.5%	107,883	71.9%	38,776	25.9%	2,628	1.8%
	2	0.0%	104,751	69.9%	40,930	27.3%	3,564	2.4%	698	0.5%	107,883	71.9%	38,776	25.9%	2,628	1.8%	

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

		COMPTROLLER						LAND COMM						AG COMM			
		Hegar-R		Collier-D		Sanders-L		Shafto-G		Bush-R		Cook-D		Knight-L		Alessi-G	Miller-R
DISTRICT 32	Upshur (100 %)	6,750	78.3%	1,529	17.7%	298	3.5%	48	0.6%	6,909	79.4%	1,437	16.5%	303	3.5%	52	0.6%
	Wood (100 %)	9,405	84.2%	1,452	13.0%	258	2.3%	57	0.5%	9,514	84.7%	1,370	12.2%	281	2.5%	69	0.6%
DISTRICT 33		27,094	33.6%	49,939	62.0%	2,554	3.2%	973	1.2%	29,802	36.7%	47,842	58.9%	2,036	2.5%	1,546	1.9%
	Dallas (29 %)	27,094	33.6%	49,939	62.0%	2,554	3.2%	973	1.2%	29,802	36.7%	47,842	58.9%	2,036	2.5%	1,546	1.9%
DISTRICT 34		44,238	47.0%	44,973	47.8%	3,545	3.8%	1,289	1.4%	49,968	52.1%	41,605	43.4%	2,383	2.5%	1,954	2.0%
	Cameron (100 %)	14,308	38.0%	21,110	56.1%	1,601	4.3%	609	1.6%	16,724	43.5%	19,858	51.7%	908	2.4%	951	2.5%
DISTRICT 35	Kenedy (100 %)	51	46.4%	57	51.8%	1	0.9%	1	0.9%	54	49.5%	50	45.9%	1	0.9%	4	3.7%
	Kleberg (100 %)	2,490	45.4%	2,748	50.1%	185	3.4%	65	1.2%	2,947	52.1%	2,462	43.5%	124	2.2%	122	2.2%
DISTRICT 36	Nueces (83 %)	26,684	54.7%	19,832	40.7%	1,659	3.4%	586	1.2%	29,387	59.2%	18,087	36.5%	1,294	2.6%	839	1.7%
	Willacy (100 %)	705	34.3%	1,226	59.6%	99	4.8%	28	1.4%	856	40.8%	1,148	54.7%	56	2.7%	38	1.8%
DISTRICT 37		63,359	56.0%	44,856	39.7%	3,520	3.1%	1,384	1.2%	67,443	58.9%	41,986	36.7%	3,238	2.8%	1,858	1.6%
	Bexar (26 %)	33,787	47.8%	33,639	47.6%	2,238	3.2%	973	1.4%	36,653	51.2%	31,468	44.0%	2,027	2.8%	1,383	1.9%
DISTRICT 38	Guadalupe (100 %)	20,755	69.3%	7,987	26.7%	928	3.1%	289	1.0%	21,500	71.1%	7,543	24.9%	881	2.9%	333	1.1%
	Karnes (100 %)	1,627	68.7%	677	28.6%	50	2.1%	15	0.6%	1,780	72.4%	618	25.1%	43	1.7%	19	0.8%
DISTRICT 39	Wilson (100 %)	7,190	70.8%	2,553	25.1%	304	3.0%	107	1.1%	7,510	73.1%	2,357	22.9%	287	2.8%	123	1.2%
		87,016	61.9%	49,442	35.2%	3,137	2.2%	949	0.7%	90,671	64.1%	46,669	33.0%	3,028	2.1%	1,154	0.8%
DISTRICT 40	Angelina (100 %)	12,292	74.3%	3,797	22.9%	384	2.3%	76	0.5%	12,585	75.6%	3,642	21.9%	346	2.1%	79	0.5%
	Brazoria (24 %)	7,479	51.3%	6,604	45.3%	373	2.6%	117	0.8%	7,782	53.2%	6,323	43.2%	353	2.4%	173	1.2%
DISTRICT 41	Chambers (100 %)	6,641	79.9%	1,433	17.2%	189	2.3%	51	0.6%	6,794	81.2%	1,315	15.7%	194	2.3%	61	0.7%
	Hardin (100 %)	9,340	82.5%	1,667	14.7%	259	2.3%	50	0.4%	9,620	84.0%	1,499	13.1%	274	2.4%	53	0.5%
DISTRICT 42	Harris (7 %)	29,567	59.8%	18,264	36.9%	1,190	2.4%	444	0.9%	31,146	62.7%	16,785	33.8%	1,170	2.4%	554	1.1%
	Jasper (100 %)	5,203	74.7%	1,593	22.9%	135	1.9%	35	0.5%	5,363	76.1%	1,510	21.4%	148	2.1%	30	0.4%
DISTRICT 43	Jefferson (44 %)	11,242	43.3%	14,245	54.8%	374	1.4%	111	0.4%	11,876	45.2%	13,897	52.9%	345	1.3%	136	0.5%
	Newton (100 %)	1,891	67.3%	818	29.1%	75	2.7%	27	1.0%	1,987	69.9%	763	26.9%	70	2.5%	21	0.7%
DISTRICT 44	Tyler (100 %)	3,361	73.4%	1,021	22.3%	158	3.5%	38	0.8%	3,518	76.0%	935	20.2%	128	2.8%	47	1.0%
		35,769	23.3%	106,332	69.1%	8,206	5.3%	3,515	2.3%	39,020	25.3%	102,687	66.7%	6,881	4.5%	5,343	3.5%
DISTRICT 45	Travis (59 %)	35,769	23.3%	106,332	69.1%	8,206	5.3%	3,515	2.3%	39,020	25.3%	102,687	66.7%	6,881	4.5%	5,343	3.5%
		106,707	71.8%	38,048	25.6%	3,064	2.1%	867	0.6%	112,065	75.0%	32,879	22.0%	3,191	2.1%	1,213	0.8%
DISTRICT 46	Harris (16 %)	106,707	71.8%	38,048	25.6%	3,064	2.1%	867	0.6%	112,065	75.0%	32,879	22.0%	3,191	2.1%	1,213	0.8%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

		AG COMM						RR COMM 3						SUP CT CHIEF					
		Hogan-D		Palmquist-L		Kendrick-G		Sitton-R		Brown-D		Miller-L		Salinas-G		Hecht-R	Moody-D		
		Votes	%	Votes	%	Votes	%	Votes	%	Votes	%	Votes	%	Votes	%	Votes	%		
DISTRICT 32	Upshur (100 %)	1,506	17.5%	259	3.0%	99	1.2%	6,746	78.5%	1,444	16.8%	338	3.9%	62	0.7%	6,818	79.4%	1,482	17.3%
DISTRICT 33	Wood (100 %)	1,458	13.0%	222	2.0%	102	0.9%	9,372	83.8%	1,446	12.9%	296	2.6%	65	0.6%	9,481	84.6%	1,462	13.0%
		48,487	60.4%	2,432	3.0%	1,717	2.1%	27,232	33.9%	48,192	59.9%	2,484	3.1%	2,519	3.1%	28,573	35.5%	49,490	61.4%
DISTRICT 34	Dallas (29 %)	48,487	60.4%	2,432	3.0%	1,717	2.1%	27,232	33.9%	48,192	59.9%	2,484	3.1%	2,519	3.1%	28,573	35.5%	49,490	61.4%
		45,052	47.8%	3,133	3.3%	1,983	2.1%	43,590	46.1%	42,708	45.2%	3,250	3.4%	4,955	5.2%	45,886	48.6%	45,470	48.1%
DISTRICT 35	Cameron (100 %)	21,579	57.1%	1,299	3.4%	869	2.3%	13,867	36.6%	19,990	52.7%	1,356	3.6%	2,717	7.2%	14,585	38.5%	21,914	57.9%
	Kenedy (100 %)	57	52.8%	2	1.9%	2	1.9%	50	46.7%	50	46.7%	1	0.9%	6	5.6%	49	45.8%	57	53.3%
	Kleberg (100 %)	2,791	50.8%	137	2.5%	98	1.8%	2,394	43.5%	2,600	47.2%	138	2.5%	375	6.8%	2,559	46.6%	2,783	50.7%
	Nueces (83 %)	19,356	39.7%	1,624	3.3%	974	2.0%	26,616	54.4%	18,883	38.6%	1,690	3.5%	1,712	3.5%	27,987	57.1%	19,439	39.7%
	Willacy (100 %)	1,269	61.7%	71	3.5%	40	1.9%	663	32.2%	1,185	57.6%	65	3.2%	145	7.0%	706	34.4%	1,277	62.2%
		44,506	39.3%	3,530	3.1%	2,115	1.9%	61,864	54.5%	44,706	39.3%	3,747	3.3%	3,298	2.9%	65,186	57.5%	44,680	39.4%
DISTRICT 36	Bexar (26 %)	33,178	47.0%	2,247	3.2%	1,479	2.1%	32,858	46.2%	33,445	47.1%	2,247	3.2%	2,525	3.6%	35,307	49.8%	33,405	47.2%
	Guadalupe (100 %)	7,968	26.6%	977	3.3%	433	1.4%	20,417	68.1%	7,964	26.6%	1,087	3.6%	502	1.7%	21,018	70.2%	7,973	26.6%
	Karnes (100 %)	750	31.2%	46	1.9%	35	1.5%	1,564	65.0%	734	30.5%	59	2.5%	49	2.0%	1,632	68.2%	705	29.5%
	Wilson (100 %)	2,610	25.7%	260	2.6%	168	1.7%	7,025	69.1%	2,563	25.2%	354	3.5%	222	2.2%	7,229	71.3%	2,597	25.6%
		48,079	34.3%	2,926	2.1%	1,650	1.2%	88,062	62.7%	47,498	33.8%	3,153	2.2%	1,706	1.2%	88,808	63.1%	48,124	34.2%
DISTRICT 37	Angelina (100 %)	3,835	23.2%	295	1.8%	169	1.0%	12,343	74.7%	3,694	22.3%	361	2.2%	135	0.8%	12,403	75.1%	3,807	23.0%
	Brazoria (24 %)	6,442	44.3%	350	2.4%	233	1.6%	7,523	51.6%	6,432	44.1%	390	2.7%	225	1.5%	7,675	52.6%	6,532	44.7%
	Chambers (100 %)	1,394	16.8%	174	2.1%	95	1.1%	6,684	80.5%	1,337	16.1%	187	2.3%	90	1.1%	6,687	80.8%	1,400	16.9%
	Hardin (100 %)	1,638	14.5%	233	2.1%	109	1.0%	9,451	83.4%	1,552	13.7%	260	2.3%	63	0.6%	9,415	83.0%	1,605	14.1%
	Harris (7 %)	17,089	34.7%	1,188	2.4%	729	1.5%	30,182	61.2%	17,083	34.6%	1,215	2.5%	873	1.8%	30,820	62.3%	17,342	35.1%
	Jasper (100 %)	1,618	23.3%	126	1.8%	48	0.7%	5,232	75.1%	1,548	22.2%	150	2.2%	35	0.5%	5,272	75.7%	1,557	22.4%
	Jefferson (44 %)	14,167	54.6%	378	1.5%	173	0.7%	11,389	43.8%	14,013	53.9%	381	1.5%	208	0.8%	11,252	43.1%	14,030	53.7%
	Newton (100 %)	859	30.5%	57	2.0%	33	1.2%	1,887	67.2%	818	29.1%	80	2.8%	23	0.8%	1,908	67.7%	826	29.3%
	Tyler (100 %)	1,037	22.7%	125	2.7%	61	1.3%	3,371	73.7%	1,021	22.3%	129	2.8%	54	1.2%	3,376	74.1%	1,025	22.5%
		100,125	66.1%	8,519	5.6%	7,593	5.0%	34,525	22.6%	103,392	67.8%	8,631	5.7%	5,991	3.9%	37,135	24.3%	106,967	69.9%
DISTRICT 38	Travis (59 %)	100,125	66.1%	8,519	5.6%	7,593	5.0%	34,525	22.6%	103,392	67.8%	8,631	5.7%	5,991	3.9%	37,135	24.3%	106,967	69.9%
		34,039	23.0%	3,117	2.1%	1,589	1.1%	109,385	73.8%	34,072	23.0%	3,235	2.2%	1,481	1.0%	111,043	74.7%	34,386	23.1%
	Harris (16 %)	34,039	23.0%	3,117	2.1%	1,589	1.1%	109,385	73.8%	34,072	23.0%	3,235	2.2%	1,481	1.0%	111,043	74.7%	34,386	23.1%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

		SUP CT CHIEF		SUP CT 6				SUP CT 7				SUP CT 8							
		Oxford-L		Brown-R		Meyers-D		Ash-L		Boyd-R		Benavides-D		Fulton-L	Waterbury-G	Johnson-R			
		County	Value	County	Value	County	Value	County	Value	County	Value	County	Value	County	Value				
DISTRICT 32	Upshur (100 %)	285	3.3%	6,903	80.3%	1,411	16.4%	286	3.3%	6,887	80.2%	1,368	15.9%	278	3.2%	49	0.6%	7,044	89.7%
	Wood (100 %)	263	2.3%	9,524	85.3%	1,397	12.5%	240	2.2%	9,509	85.1%	1,373	12.3%	239	2.1%	50	0.4%	9,697	93.6%
DISTRICT 33	2,505	3.1%	28,337	35.4%	49,113	61.3%	2,679	3.3%	27,285	34.0%	49,982	62.3%	2,271	2.8%	752	0.9%	31,791	60.7%	
DISTRICT 34	Dallas (29 %)	2,505	3.1%	28,337	35.4%	49,113	61.3%	2,679	3.3%	27,285	34.0%	49,982	62.3%	2,271	2.8%	752	0.9%	31,791	60.7%
		3,140	3.3%	46,838	49.8%	43,645	46.4%	3,479	3.7%	43,891	46.3%	47,586	50.2%	2,530	2.7%	880	0.9%	54,913	72.6%
DISTRICT 35	Cameron (100 %)	1,365	3.6%	15,370	40.9%	20,791	55.3%	1,452	3.9%	13,808	36.2%	22,970	60.3%	974	2.6%	369	1.0%	19,561	67.3%
	Kenedy (100 %)	1	0.9%	52	47.3%	55	50.0%	3	2.7%	49	44.1%	61	55.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.9%	58	79.5%
	Kleberg (100 %)	150	2.7%	2,631	47.9%	2,681	48.8%	185	3.4%	2,437	43.7%	3,001	53.8%	98	1.8%	47	0.8%	3,177	73.2%
	Nueces (83 %)	1,553	3.2%	28,009	57.5%	18,901	38.8%	1,772	3.6%	26,907	54.9%	20,235	41.3%	1,407	2.9%	448	0.9%	31,148	76.5%
	Willacy (100 %)	71	3.5%	776	37.7%	1,217	59.1%	67	3.3%	690	33.3%	1,319	63.6%	51	2.5%	15	0.7%	969	67.9%
DISTRICT 36		3,455	3.0%	65,734	58.3%	43,324	38.4%	3,721	3.3%	63,229	55.7%	46,356	40.8%	3,003	2.6%	905	0.8%	71,891	76.8%
	Bexar (26 %)	2,131	3.0%	35,601	50.6%	32,494	46.1%	2,328	3.3%	33,652	47.4%	35,001	49.3%	1,769	2.5%	615	0.9%	40,190	72.1%
	Guadalupe (100 %)	951	3.2%	21,144	70.7%	7,751	25.9%	999	3.3%	20,726	69.1%	8,154	27.2%	895	3.0%	208	0.7%	22,111	82.7%
	Karnes (100 %)	56	2.3%	1,657	70.2%	645	27.3%	60	2.5%	1,635	69.0%	678	28.6%	44	1.9%	12	0.5%	1,796	88.3%
	Wilson (100 %)	317	3.1%	7,332	72.6%	2,434	24.1%	334	3.3%	7,216	71.4%	2,523	25.0%	295	2.9%	70	0.7%	7,794	86.2%
DISTRICT 37		3,731	2.7%	89,707	64.1%	47,005	33.6%	3,188	2.3%	87,969	62.8%	48,394	34.6%	2,889	2.1%	810	0.6%	94,210	84.2%
	Angelina (100 %)	316	1.9%	12,487	75.7%	3,666	22.2%	352	2.1%	12,484	75.7%	3,593	21.8%	315	1.9%	103	0.6%	12,933	90.5%
	Brazoria (24 %)	397	2.7%	7,710	53.1%	6,444	44.4%	367	2.5%	7,514	51.7%	6,578	45.2%	348	2.4%	105	0.7%	8,276	73.8%
	Chambers (100 %)	194	2.3%	6,673	81.6%	1,311	16.0%	194	2.4%	6,684	80.8%	1,353	16.4%	191	2.3%	41	0.5%	6,894	91.6%
	Hardin (100 %)	325	2.9%	9,563	84.5%	1,481	13.1%	276	2.4%	9,559	84.4%	1,478	13.1%	241	2.1%	44	0.4%	9,837	92.6%
	Harris (7 %)	1,286	2.6%	30,968	63.0%	16,870	34.3%	1,281	2.6%	29,610	60.2%	18,140	36.9%	1,117	2.3%	311	0.6%	32,317	81.5%
	Jasper (100 %)	135	1.9%	5,315	76.8%	1,482	21.4%	128	1.8%	5,338	77.0%	1,442	20.8%	119	1.7%	30	0.4%	5,543	90.3%
	Jefferson (44 %)	838	3.2%	11,580	44.5%	14,063	54.1%	365	1.4%	11,379	43.8%	14,172	54.5%	347	1.3%	106	0.4%	12,662	79.5%
	Newton (100 %)	83	2.9%	1,946	69.4%	779	27.8%	79	2.8%	1,968	70.3%	734	26.2%	73	2.6%	25	0.9%	2,088	88.2%
	Tyler (100 %)	157	3.4%	3,465	76.7%	909	20.1%	146	3.2%	3,433	76.0%	904	20.0%	138	3.1%	45	1.0%	3,660	89.0%
		8,964	5.9%	36,915	24.3%	105,696	69.4%	9,601	6.3%	35,242	23.1%	106,902	70.2%	7,706	5.1%	2,525	1.7%	43,664	37.3%
DISTRICT 38	Travis (59 %)	8,964	5.9%	36,915	24.3%	105,696	69.4%	9,601	6.3%	35,242	23.1%	106,902	70.2%	7,706	5.1%	2,525	1.7%	43,664	37.3%
		3,318	2.2%	111,036	75.2%	33,367	22.6%	3,262	2.2%	107,408	72.7%	36,564	24.8%	3,002	2.0%	697	0.5%	113,797	87.0%
	Harris (16 %)	3,318	2.2%	111,036	75.2%	33,367	22.6%	3,262	2.2%	107,408	72.7%	36,564	24.8%	3,002	2.0%	697	0.5%	113,797	87.0%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

		SUP CT 8				CCA 3				CCA 4				CCA 9	
		Koelsch-L	Chisholm-G	Richardson-R	Granberg-D	Bennett-L	Yearly-R	Parker-L	Sanders-Castro-G	Newell-R					
DISTRICT 32	Upshur (100 %)	520	6.6%	292	3.7%	6,756	79.1%	1,428	16.7%	359	4.2%	6,941	88.3%	701	8.9%
	Wood (100 %)	396	3.8%	272	2.6%	9,434	84.8%	1,401	12.6%	295	2.7%	9,580	92.3%	570	5.5%
		12,223	23.3%	8,361	16.0%	27,820	34.9%	49,007	61.4%	2,973	3.7%	30,474	57.9%	12,685	24.1%
DISTRICT 33	Dallas (29 %)	12,223	23.3%	8,361	16.0%	27,820	34.9%	49,007	61.4%	2,973	3.7%	30,474	57.9%	12,685	24.1%
		12,805	16.9%	7,887	10.4%	46,167	49.2%	43,254	46.1%	4,442	4.7%	52,476	68.8%	11,149	14.6%
DISTRICT 34	Cameron (100 %)	6,133	21.1%	3,367	11.6%	15,313	40.4%	20,701	54.6%	1,917	5.1%	18,252	61.5%	5,161	17.4%
	Kenedy (100 %)	10	13.7%	5	6.8%	52	48.6%	53	49.5%	2	1.9%	58	81.7%	5	7.0%
DISTRICT 35	Kleberg (100 %)	732	16.9%	430	9.9%	2,569	46.9%	2,663	48.6%	243	4.4%	3,022	69.0%	624	14.2%
	Nueces (83 %)	5,620	13.8%	3,937	9.7%	27,494	56.9%	18,611	38.5%	2,193	4.5%	30,260	74.4%	5,069	12.5%
DISTRICT 35	Willacy (100 %)	310	21.7%	148	10.4%	739	36.0%	1,226	59.7%	87	4.2%	884	61.3%	290	20.1%
		13,280	14.2%	8,391	9.0%	65,249	58.0%	42,910	38.1%	4,393	3.9%	69,283	73.3%	12,846	13.6%
DISTRICT 36	Bexar (26 %)	9,424	16.9%	6,136	11.0%	35,368	50.3%	32,167	45.8%	2,734	3.9%	38,223	67.9%	8,745	15.5%
	Guadalupe (100 %)	2,901	10.8%	1,728	6.5%	20,989	70.3%	7,672	25.7%	1,180	4.0%	21,787	80.3%	3,073	11.3%
DISTRICT 36	Karnes (100 %)	160	7.9%	78	3.8%	1,637	69.4%	648	27.5%	74	3.1%	1,744	85.5%	184	9.0%
	Wilson (100 %)	795	8.8%	449	5.0%	7,255	72.0%	2,423	24.0%	405	4.0%	7,529	82.9%	844	9.3%
DISTRICT 37		9,968	8.9%	7,681	6.9%	88,547	63.6%	46,945	33.7%	3,815	2.7%	93,105	82.8%	11,158	9.9%
	Angelina (100 %)	781	5.5%	570	4.0%	12,401	75.4%	3,648	22.2%	402	2.4%	12,772	88.9%	1,080	7.5%
DISTRICT 38	Brazoria (24 %)	1,629	14.5%	1,309	11.7%	7,579	52.3%	6,463	44.6%	439	3.0%	8,183	72.0%	1,774	15.6%
	Chambers (100 %)	382	5.1%	253	3.4%	6,622	81.1%	1,311	16.0%	237	2.9%	6,860	90.3%	474	6.2%
DISTRICT 38	Hardin (100 %)	507	4.8%	284	2.7%	9,482	84.1%	1,491	13.2%	297	2.6%	9,780	92.0%	605	5.7%
	Harris (7 %)	3,826	9.6%	3,513	8.9%	30,423	62.2%	16,967	34.7%	1,487	3.0%	31,875	79.8%	3,961	9.9%
DISTRICT 38	Jasper (100 %)	404	6.6%	189	3.1%	5,276	76.4%	1,470	21.3%	159	2.3%	5,469	89.4%	491	8.0%
	Jefferson (44 %)	1,997	12.5%	1,273	8.0%	11,471	44.3%	13,890	53.7%	515	2.0%	12,525	78.2%	2,184	13.6%
DISTRICT 38	Newton (100 %)	169	7.1%	110	4.6%	1,911	68.5%	773	27.7%	104	3.7%	2,062	87.1%	225	9.5%
	Tyler (100 %)	273	6.6%	180	4.4%	3,382	75.3%	932	20.8%	175	3.9%	3,579	87.5%	364	8.9%
DISTRICT 38		31,388	26.8%	41,997	35.9%	35,842	23.7%	105,555	69.9%	9,520	6.3%	42,279	35.8%	32,255	27.3%
	Travis (59 %)	31,388	26.8%	41,997	35.9%	35,842	23.7%	105,555	69.9%	9,520	6.3%	42,279	35.8%	32,255	27.3%
DISTRICT 38		9,236	7.1%	7,738	5.9%	109,402	74.5%	33,393	22.7%	4,002	2.7%	113,028	86.1%	9,536	7.3%
	Harris (16 %)	9,236	7.1%	7,738	5.9%	109,402	74.5%	33,393	22.7%	4,002	2.7%	113,028	86.1%	9,536	7.3%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
 SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2333
2014 General Election

CCA 9					
		Strange-L	Altgelt-G		
DISTRICT 32	Upshur (100 %)	623	8.0%	193	2.5%
DISTRICT 33	Wood (100 %)	475	4.6%	223	2.1%
		13,010	25.1%	7,419	14.3%
DISTRICT 34	Dallas (29 %)	13,010	25.1%	7,419	14.3%
		12,896	17.2%	7,359	9.8%
DISTRICT 35	Cameron (100 %)	6,167	21.2%	3,362	11.5%
	Kenedy (100 %)	5	7.2%	3	4.3%
	Kleberg (100 %)	759	17.7%	383	8.9%
	Nueces (83 %)	5,660	14.1%	3,450	8.6%
	Willacy (100 %)	305	21.3%	161	11.2%
		14,436	15.4%	8,046	8.6%
DISTRICT 36	Bexar (26 %)	10,057	18.1%	5,888	10.6%
	Guadalupe (100 %)	3,288	12.2%	1,667	6.2%
	Karnes (100 %)	173	8.5%	68	3.3%
	Wilson (100 %)	918	10.2%	423	4.7%
		11,438	10.2%	6,800	6.1%
DISTRICT 37	Angelina (100 %)	1,042	7.3%	404	2.8%
	Brazoria (24 %)	1,796	15.9%	1,252	11.1%
	Chambers (100 %)	493	6.5%	201	2.7%
	Hardin (100 %)	568	5.3%	219	2.1%
	Harris (7 %)	4,162	10.5%	3,459	8.7%
	Jasper (100 %)	478	7.8%	121	2.0%
	Jefferson (44 %)	2,299	14.5%	971	6.1%
	Newton (100 %)	236	9.9%	68	2.9%
	Tyler (100 %)	364	8.9%	105	2.6%
		32,623	28.0%	40,388	34.6%
DISTRICT 38	Travis (59 %)	32,623	28.0%	40,388	34.6%
		9,617	7.3%	7,525	5.7%
	Harris (16 %)	9,617	7.3%	7,525	5.7%