Case Study 1 - Superconductors

Jessica McPhaul

Case Study Report: Superconductors Analysis

Problem Statement The objective of this case study is to analyze a dataset containing physical and chemical properties of materials and predict their critical temperature ('critical_temp') for superconductivity. The analysis involves preprocessing the data, building a predictive model, and interpreting the results to gain insights into the factors influencing superconductivity.

The dataset contains 81 features and a target variable ('critical_temp'). These features represent various physical and chemical attributes such as atomic mass, electron affinity, and thermal conductivity, among others.

Preprocessing Steps

1. Normalization:

• All features were normalized using StandardScaler to ensure consistent scales across the dataset. This step is crucial for models sensitive to feature scaling.

2. Handling Missing Values:

• The dataset contained no missing values, as verified by data.isnull().sum().

3. Train-Test Split:

• The dataset was split into training (80%) and testing (20%) sets to evaluate the model's performance on unseen data.

Model Development

1. Model Selection:

• Linear Regression was chosen as the initial model to predict the critical temperature. This model provides a straightforward way to interpret feature importance through coefficients.

2. Training:

• The training set was used to fit the model, and the coefficients and intercept were determined.

3. Evaluation:

• The testing set was used to evaluate the model's performance. Metrics such as Mean Squared Error (MSE) and \mathbb{R}^2 were calculated.

Results

1. Evaluation Metrics:

Mean Squared Error (MSE): 0.257
R² Score: 0.738

2. Visualizations:

- Residuals Plot: The residuals are distributed around zero, suggesting a reasonably good fit, though some heteroscedasticity is evident.
- **Predicted vs. Actual Plot**: The predicted values align closely with the actual values, further confirming the model's performance.

3. Feature Importance:

- Top Positive Contributors:
 - wtd_mean_atomic_radius (2.43)
 - entropy_Valence (0.84)
 - $-\ \mathtt{std_ElectronAffinity}\ (0.82)$
- Top Negative Contributors:
 - wtd_gmean_atomic_radius (-2.60)
 - entropy_fie (-1.12)
 - wtd_mean_FusionHeat (-0.85)

Discussion and Recommendations

1. Scientific Insights:

- The weighted mean atomic radius is the most significant positive contributor to critical temperature. This aligns with scientific findings that atomic structure plays a crucial role in superconductivity.
- Entropy-related features indicate that disorder within the material's properties significantly influences superconducting behavior.

2. Recommendations:

- Further studies could focus on optimizing material compositions based on key features like atomic radius and electron affinity.
- Non-linear models such as Random Forests or Gradient Boosting can be explored for better performance.

Conclusion The analysis demonstrated the utility of a Linear Regression model in predicting critical temperatures for superconductors. While the model performed well with an R^2 of 0.738, further refinement using advanced models could enhance prediction accuracy. The feature importance analysis provided meaningful scientific insights, paving the way for future research into material properties and superconductivity.

```
# Import necessary libraries
import pandas as pd
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
from sklearn.linear model import LinearRegression
from sklearn.metrics import mean_squared_error, r2_score
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import seaborn as sns
# Load the dataset
data = pd.read_csv('superconductors_data.csv')
# Display basic dataset information
print(data.info())
print(data.describe())
# Check for missing values
missing_values = data.isnull().sum()
print("Missing Values:
", missing_values)
# Normalize the dataset
scaler = StandardScaler()
normalized_data = pd.DataFrame(scaler.fit_transform(data), columns=data.columns)
# Define features and target
target_column = 'critical_temp'
X = normalized_data.drop(columns=[target_column])
y = normalized_data[target_column]
# Split into training and testing sets
X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size=0.2, random_state=42)
# Initialize the linear regression model
model = LinearRegression()
# Train the model
model.fit(X_train, y_train)
# Make predictions
y_pred = model.predict(X_test)
# Evaluate performance
mse = mean_squared_error(y_test, y_pred)
r2 = r2_score(y_test, y_pred)
print(f"Mean Squared Error: {mse}")
print(f"R^2 Score: {r2}")
# Extract coefficients and intercept
coefficients = pd.DataFrame({'Feature': X.columns, 'Coefficient': model.coef_})
coefficients.sort_values(by='Coefficient', ascending=False, inplace=True)
print("Feature Importances:
```

```
", coefficients)
print(f"Intercept: {model.intercept_}")
# Plot residuals
residuals = y_test - y_pred
plt.figure(figsize=(8, 6))
plt.scatter(y_test, residuals, alpha=0.6)
plt.axhline(0, color='red', linestyle='--')
plt.title("Residuals Plot")
plt.xlabel("Actual Values")
plt.ylabel("Residuals")
plt.show()
# Predicted vs. Actual scatterplot
plt.figure(figsize=(8, 6))
plt.scatter(y_test, y_pred, alpha=0.6, color='blue')
plt.plot([min(y_test), max(y_test)], [min(y_test), max(y_test)], color='red', linestyle='--')
plt.title("Predicted vs. Actual")
plt.xlabel("Actual Values")
plt.ylabel("Predicted Values")
plt.show()
```

Code Appendix