

Case Study 1 - Superconductors

Jessica McPhaul

Pre-Session Questions for Case Study 1

Understanding the Problem

1. Problem Scope:

- What is the main goal of this project?
- Why is it important to predict the temperature at which materials become superconductors rather than just identifying if they are superconductors?

2. Model Requirements:

- What does it mean for a model to be “interpretable”?
- How will the interpretability of the model affect the usefulness of its predictions for the scientists?

3. Potential Challenges:

- What difficulties might arise in predicting a continuous variable (temperature) from material composition data?
 - How might the complexity of the data (e.g., chemical compositions) impact model performance?
-

Exploring the Dataset

4. Data Features:

- What types of variables would you expect in the dataset (categorical, numerical, etc.)?
- How could the presence of highly correlated features (e.g., different measures of material composition) influence model performance?

5. Data Integrity:

- What strategies would you use to check for missing or inconsistent data in the dataset?
- If there were missing values, how would you handle them in a linear regression context?

6. Data Normalization:

- Why is it important to normalize or scale data before using linear regression?
 - How might the lack of normalization affect model interpretability?
-

Feature Engineering and Model Building

7. Feature Importance:

- How can you determine which features are the most important for predicting the target variable?
- What methods could be used to assess and communicate feature importance to the scientists?

8. Feature Transformations:

- What role might feature transformations (e.g., variable substitution, squaring, or logarithmic transformations) play in improving model performance?

9. Linear Assumptions:

- What assumptions about the data does a linear regression model make?
 - How would you test whether these assumptions hold for this dataset?
-

General Application

10. Interpreting Results:

- Beyond predicting temperature, how could the model's results guide scientists in designing new materials?
- What additional information might the scientists need to validate the model's predictions?

11. Alternative Models:

- If linear regression fails to produce accurate or interpretable results, what alternative methods could you use?
 - How would you decide between a simpler interpretable model and a more complex black-box model?
-

Optional Questions for Deep Thinking

12. Domain Knowledge:

- How might incorporating domain-specific knowledge (e.g., chemical or physical principles) improve model performance or interpretability?
- What challenges might arise when working with domain experts to enhance the model?

13. Bias and Variance:

- What trade-offs might you face between bias and variance in the context of this problem?
 - How would you address overfitting or underfitting in this scenario?
-

Case Study Report: Superconductors Analysis

Problem Statement The objective of this case study is to analyze a dataset containing physical and chemical properties of materials and predict their critical temperature ('critical_temp') for superconductivity. The analysis involves preprocessing the data, building a predictive model, and interpreting the results to gain insights into the factors influencing superconductivity.

The dataset contains 81 features and a target variable ('critical_temp'). These features represent various physical and chemical attributes such as atomic mass, electron affinity, and thermal conductivity, among others.

Preprocessing Steps

1. Normalization:

- All features were normalized using `StandardScaler` to ensure consistent scales across the dataset. This step is crucial for models sensitive to feature scaling.

2. Handling Missing Values:

- The dataset contained no missing values, as verified by `data.isnull().sum()`.

3. Train-Test Split:

- The dataset was split into training (80%) and testing (20%) sets to evaluate the model's performance on unseen data.
-

Model Development

1. Model Selection:

- Linear Regression was chosen as the initial model to predict the critical temperature. This model provides a straightforward way to interpret feature importance through coefficients.

2. Training:

- The training set was used to fit the model, and the coefficients and intercept were determined.

3. Evaluation:

- The testing set was used to evaluate the model's performance. Metrics such as Mean Squared Error (MSE) and R^2 were calculated.
-

Results

1. Evaluation Metrics:

- Mean Squared Error (MSE): 0.257
- R^2 Score: 0.738

2. Visualizations:

- **Residuals Plot:** The residuals are distributed around zero, suggesting a reasonably good fit, though some heteroscedasticity is evident.
- **Predicted vs. Actual Plot:** The predicted values align closely with the actual values, further confirming the model's performance.

3. Feature Importance:

- Top Positive Contributors:
 - `wtd_mean_atomic_radius` (2.43)
 - `entropy_Valence` (0.84)
 - `std_ElectronAffinity` (0.82)
 - Top Negative Contributors:
 - `wtd_gmean_atomic_radius` (-2.60)
 - `entropy_fie` (-1.12)
 - `wtd_mean_FusionHeat` (-0.85)
-

Discussion and Recommendations

1. Scientific Insights:

- The weighted mean atomic radius is the most significant positive contributor to critical temperature. This aligns with scientific findings that atomic structure plays a crucial role in superconductivity.
- Entropy-related features indicate that disorder within the material's properties significantly influences superconducting behavior.

2. Recommendations:

- Further studies could focus on optimizing material compositions based on key features like atomic radius and electron affinity.
 - Non-linear models such as Random Forests or Gradient Boosting can be explored for better performance.
-

Conclusion The analysis demonstrated the utility of a Linear Regression model in predicting critical temperatures for superconductors. While the model performed well with an R^2 of 0.738, further refinement using advanced models could enhance prediction accuracy. The feature importance analysis provided meaningful scientific insights, paving the way for future research into material properties and superconductivity.

```

# Import necessary libraries
import pandas as pd
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
from sklearn.linear_model import LinearRegression
from sklearn.metrics import mean_squared_error, r2_score
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import seaborn as sns

# Load the dataset
data = pd.read_csv('superconductors_data.csv')

# Display basic dataset information
print(data.info())
print(data.describe())

# Check for missing values
missing_values = data.isnull().sum()
print("Missing Values:
", missing_values)

# Normalize the dataset
scaler = StandardScaler()
normalized_data = pd.DataFrame(scaler.fit_transform(data), columns=data.columns)

# Define features and target
target_column = 'critical_temp'
X = normalized_data.drop(columns=[target_column])
y = normalized_data[target_column]

# Split into training and testing sets
X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size=0.2, random_state=42)

# Initialize the linear regression model
model = LinearRegression()

# Train the model
model.fit(X_train, y_train)

# Make predictions
y_pred = model.predict(X_test)

# Evaluate performance
mse = mean_squared_error(y_test, y_pred)
r2 = r2_score(y_test, y_pred)
print(f"Mean Squared Error: {mse}")
print(f"R^2 Score: {r2}")

# Extract coefficients and intercept
coefficients = pd.DataFrame({'Feature': X.columns, 'Coefficient': model.coef_})
coefficients.sort_values(by='Coefficient', ascending=False, inplace=True)
print("Feature Importances:

```

```

", coefficients)
print(f"Intercept: {model.intercept_}")

# Plot residuals
residuals = y_test - y_pred
plt.figure(figsize=(8, 6))
plt.scatter(y_test, residuals, alpha=0.6)
plt.axhline(0, color='red', linestyle='--')
plt.title("Residuals Plot")
plt.xlabel("Actual Values")
plt.ylabel("Residuals")
plt.show()

# Predicted vs. Actual scatterplot
plt.figure(figsize=(8, 6))
plt.scatter(y_test, y_pred, alpha=0.6, color='blue')
plt.plot([min(y_test), max(y_test)], [min(y_test), max(y_test)], color='red', linestyle='--')
plt.title("Predicted vs. Actual")
plt.xlabel("Actual Values")
plt.ylabel("Predicted Values")
plt.show()

```

Code Appendix 1. Title Page: - **Title:** Case Study Report: Superconductors Analysis - **jessica mcphaul** - **qtw** - **7333** - **Dr. Slater** - **Jan 5 2025**

2. Introduction: - **Problem Statement:** Summarize the objective of analyzing the dataset to predict the critical temperature for superconductivity.

3. Methodology: - **Preprocessing Steps:** - **Normalization:** Describe the use of `StandardScaler` for feature scaling. - **Handling Missing Values:** State that no missing values were found. - **Train-Test Split:** Explain the 80-20 split for training and testing sets. - **Model Development:** - **Model Selection:** Justify the choice of Linear Regression. - **Training:** Outline the fitting process on the training set. - **Evaluation:** Mention the metrics used, such as Mean Squared Error (MSE) and R^2 .

4. Results: - **Evaluation Metrics:** - Present the MSE and R^2 scores. - **Visualizations:** - **Residuals Plot:** Describe the distribution of residuals. - **Predicted vs. Actual Plot:** Comment on the alignment between predicted and actual values. - **Feature Importance:** - **Top Positive Contributors:** List features with the highest positive coefficients. - **Top Negative Contributors:** List features with the highest negative coefficients.

5. Discussion and Recommendations: - **Scientific Insights:** Interpret the significance of key features. - **Recommendations:** Suggest further studies and potential model improvements.

6. Conclusion: - Summarize the effectiveness of the Linear Regression model and propose future directions.

7. Code Appendix: - Include the Python code used for data analysis and modeling.

Formatting Tips: - **Font:** Use a standard, legible font such as Times New Roman or Arial, size 12. - **Margins:** Set one-inch margins on all sides. - **Spacing:** Use double-spacing throughout the document. - **Headings:** Apply consistent heading styles to organize sections clearly. - **Page Numbers:** Include page numbers in the footer or header. - **References:** If applicable, cite any external sources using the required citation style (e.g., APA, MLA).