QuickStart Guide
Exercise 1

Table of Cases

---

1 Exercise 1

Compile this document with (xe/lua)latex, biber, (xe/lua)latex.

The further compilation, splitindex and (xe/lua)latex, to produce the Table of Cases, will be described in Exercise 2, where the parameters used by splitindex will be explained.

2 Result

`\lawcite` with the built-in styles:

`\lawcite (MLR)`	*Donoghue* v. *Stevenson*[1]
`\lawcite (McGill)` ...	Text[2]
`\lawcite (Indigo)` ...	*United States* v. *Detroit Timber & Lumber Company*, 200 US 321 (1906)
`\lawcite (AGLC)`	Text[3]
`\lawcite (Default)` ..	Text[4]

The built-in styles with `\setallabove`:

`\lawcite (MLR)`	*Donoghue* v. *Stevenson* [1932] AC 562 (HL)
`\lawcite (McGill)` ...	*Donoghue v Stevenson*, [1932] AC 562 (HL)
`\lawcite (Indigo)` ...	*United States* v. *Detroit Timber & Lumber Company*, 200 US 321 (1906)
`\lawcite (AGLC)`	*Donoghue v Stevenson* [1932] AC 562 (HL)
`\lawcite (Default)` ..	*Donoghue v Stevenson* [1932] AC 562 (HL)

Recent cases:

Aus

Applicant S270/2019 v Minister for Immigration and Border Protection [2020] HCA 32

---

[1] [1932] AC 562 (HL).

[2] *Donoghue v Stevenson*, [1932] AC 562 (HL).

[3] *Donoghue v Stevenson* [1932] AC 562 (HL).

[4] *Donoghue v Stevenson* [1932] AC 562 (HL).

Can

Michel v Graydon, 2020 SCC 24

UK

Revenue and Customs v Parry [2020] UKSC 35

US

Little v. Reclaim Idaho, USSC, No. 20A18

Reported cases:

Aus

Royal Botanic Gardens and Domain Trust v South Sydney City Council [2002] HCA 5, (2002) 186 ALR 289

Can

Babcock v Canada (Attorney General), 2002 SCC 57, [2002] 3 SCR 3

UK

Cox v Ergo Versicherung AG [2014] UKSC 22, [2014] AC 1379

US

Pittsburgh Melting Co v. Totten, 248 US 1 (1918)

US-ND

State v. Birchfield, 2016 ND 182, 885 NW 2d 62 (NDSC)

–oooOooo–   2