

QuickStart Guide

Exercise 1

Table of Cases

	<i>Page</i>
<i>Applicant S270/2019 v Minister for Immigration and Border Protection</i> , [2020] HCA 32	2
<i>Babcock v Canada (Attorney General)</i> , 2002 SCC 57, [2002] 3 SCR 3 .	2
<i>Cox v Ergo Versicherung AG</i> , [2014] UKSC 22, [2014] AC 1379	3
<i>Donoghue v Stevenson</i> , [1932] AC 562	1, 2
<i>Donoghue v. Stevenson</i> , [1932] AC 562	2
<i>Donoghue v. Stevenson</i> [1932] AC 562	1
<i>Little v. Reclaim Idaho</i> , USSC, No. 20A18,	2
<i>Michel v Graydon</i> , 2020 SCC 24	2
<i>Pittsburgh Melting Co v. Totten</i> , 248 US 1	3
<i>Revenue and Customs v Parry</i> , [2020] UKSC 35	2
<i>Royal Botanic Gardens and Domain Trust v South Sydney City Council</i> , [2002] HCA 5, (2002) 186 ALR 289	2
<i>State v. Birchfield</i> , 2016 ND 182, 885 NW 2d 62	3
<i>United States v. Detroit Timber & Lumber Company</i> , 200 US 321 .	1, 2

1 Exercise 1

Compile this document with (xe/lua)latex, biber, (xe/lua)latex.

The further compilation, splitindex and (xe/lua)latex, to produce the Table of Cases, will be described in Exercise 2, where the parameters used by splitindex will be explained.

2 Result

`\lawcite` with the built-in styles:

```
\lawcite (MLR) ..... Donoghue v. Stevenson1
\lawcite (McGill) ... Text2
\lawcite (Indigo) ... United States v. Detroit Timber & Lumber
Company, 200 US 321 (1906)
\lawcite (AGLC) ..... Text3
\lawcite (Default) .. Text4
```

The built-in styles with `\setallabove`:

```
\lawcite (MLR) ..... Donoghue v. Stevenson [1932] AC 562 (HL)
\lawcite (McGill) ... Donoghue v Stevenson, [1932] AC 562 (HL)
\lawcite (Indigo) ... United States v. Detroit Timber & Lumber
Company, 200 US 321 (1906)
\lawcite (AGLC) ..... Donoghue v Stevenson [1932] AC 562 (HL)
\lawcite (Default) .. Donoghue v Stevenson [1932] AC 562 (HL)
```

Recent cases:

¹[1932] AC 562 (HL).

²*Donoghue v Stevenson*, [1932] AC 562 (HL).

³*Donoghue v Stevenson* [1932] AC 562 (HL).

⁴*Donoghue v Stevenson* [1932] AC 562 (HL).

Aus

Applicant S270/2019 v Minister for Immigration and Border Protection
[2020] HCA 32

Can

Michel v Graydon, 2020 SCC 24

UK

Revenue and Customs v Parry [2020] UKSC 35

US

Little v. Reclaim Idaho, USSC, No. 20A18

Reported cases:

Aus

Royal Botanic Gardens and Domain Trust v South Sydney City Council
[2002] HCA 5, (2002) 186 ALR 289

Can

Babcock v Canada (Attorney General), 2002 SCC 57, [2002] 3 SCR 3

UK

Cox v Ergo Versicherung AG [2014] UKSC 22, [2014] AC 1379

US

Pittsburgh Melting Co v. Totten, 248 US 1 (1918)

US-ND

State v. Birchfield, 2016 ND 182, 885 NW 2d 62 (NDSC)

–0000000–