McGill Citation Test

Abstract

This document tests the (\lawcite) command against the McGill legal citation guidelines.

Contents

1	Cases	5
2	Statutes2.1 Regulations	
3	Law Journals	11

Table of Cases

 \rightarrow References are to page numbers.

Alliance of Canadian Cinema Television and Radio Artists v Canadian Broadcasting Corporation, 91 CLLC 16
Case Concerning Military and Paramilitary Activities in and against Nicaragua (Nicaragua v United States of America), [1986] ICJ Rep No 14
Clarke Institute of Psychiatry v Ontario Nurses' Assn (Adusei Grievance), 95 LAC (4th) 154
Clearbrook Ironworks Ltd v Letourneau, 2006 FCA 42 9
Massachusetts v Environmental Protection Agency, (2007) 549 US 497, 127 S Ct 1438
McLean v Pilon, 1978 CanLII 237, 7 BCLR 99 7, 8 McLean v Pilon, 7 BCLR 99 6, 7
R v Cole, 2012 SCC 53
Tulk v Moxhay, [1848] 1 H & Tw 105 9
VDN Cable Inc, on behalf of a corporation to be incorporated, Toronto, Ontario, 2005-1, online: CRTC <www.crtc.gc.ca> [perma.cc/LF5F 5MM3]</www.crtc.gc.ca>
Table of Statutes
ightarrow References are to page numbers.
Criminal Code, RSC 1985, c C-46

Fair Trading Act, RSA 2000, c F-2		
Law of Property Act, 1969 (UK)		
Safe Drinking Water Act, 42 USC §300f (1974)		
Territorial Lands Act, RSC 1985, c T-7		
Table of Regulations		
ightarrow References are to page numbers.		
Alberta Forest Land Use and Management Regulations, Alta Reg 197/1970		
Migratory Birds Regulations, CRC, c 1035 10		
NB Reg 2006-23		
Polar Bear Pass Withdrawal Order, SOR/84-409 10		

1 Cases

Available commands: key Ex 1 \lawcite{key} footer, single key \lawcite{cole} Text¹ key postnote Ex 2 **\lawcite**[postnote]{key} footer, single key, single cite \lawcite[\nopp at 67]{cole} Text² *prenote* key Ex 3 **\lawcite**[prenote][]{key} footer, single key \lawcite[See also][]{cole} Text³ prenote key postnote Ex 4 \lawcite[prenote][postnote]{key} footer, single key, single cite \lawcite[See also][\nopp at 67]{cole} Text⁴ ¹*R v Cole*, 2012 SCC 53. ${}^{2}R v Cole$, 2012 SCC 53 at 67. ³See also *R v Cole*, 2012 SCC 53. ⁴See also *R v Cole*, 2012 SCC 53 at 67.

key1 key2 ...

\lawcitesfoot{key1,key2}

footer, multi key

\lawcitesfoot{cole,mcleanbclr}

Text5

key1,key2 postnote

key1,key2 postnote

\lawcitesfoot[postnote]{key1,key2}

\lawcitesfoot[\addcomma\addspace both on point]{cole,mcleanbclr}
Text⁶

footer, multi key, single cite

prenote key1,key2

Lx 7

\lawcitesfoot[prenote][]{key1,key2}

footer, multi key

\lawcitesfoot[See also][]{cole,mcleanbclr}
Text⁷

prenote key1,key2 postnote

Lx 8

\lawcitesfoot[prenote][postnote]{key1,key2}

footer, multi key, single cite

\lawcitesfoot[See also][\addcomma\addspace both on point]{cole,mcleanbclr}
Text⁸

⁵R v Cole, 2012 SCC 53; McLean v Pilon (1978), 7 BCLR 99.

⁶R v Cole, 2012 SCC 53; McLean v Pilon (1978), 7 BCLR 99, both on point.

⁷See also *R v Cole*, 2012 SCC 53; *McLean v Pilon* (1978), 7 BCLR 99.

⁸See also *R v Cole*, 2012 SCC 53; *McLean v Pilon* (1978), 7 BCLR 99, both on point.

overall-pre prenote key1 postnote, prenote key2 postnote overall-post

Ex 9

\lawcitesfoot(overall prenote)(overall postnote)[prenote][postnote]{key1} [prenote][postnote]{key2}

footer, multi key, multi cite

\lawcitesfoot(There are two further cases\addcomma)(\addcomma\addspace but neither case directly applies)[a criminal matter][at para 42]{cole}[and also][101]{mcleanbclr}

Text⁹

Corresponding inline commands

\lawcitesfoot \lawcitesinline
\lawcitesfootrr \lawcitesinlinerr

- \rightarrow There are two further cases, a criminal matter *R v Cole*, 2012 SCC 53 at para 42, and also *McLean v Pilon* (1978), 7 BCLR 99 p. 101 (SC), but neither case directly applies
- \rightarrow See firstly *McLean v Pilon* (1978), 1978 CanLII 237 at para 42, and secondly 7 BCLR 99 at 101 (SC)

Thre is also:

⁹There are two further cases, a criminal matter *R v Cole*, 2012 SCC 53 at para 42; and also *McLean v Pilon* (1978), 7 BCLR 99 p. 101, but neither case directly applies.

key1 key2 ...
\lawcitesfootrr{key1,key2}

Ex 10

footer, multi key, parallel, multi cite

\lawcitesfootrr{mcleanlii,mcleanbclr} Text¹⁰

key1 postnote, key2 postnote

Ex 11

\lawcitesfootrr[postnote]{key1}[postnote]{key2}

footer, multi key, parallel, multi cite

 $\label{lawcites} $$ \operatorname{longp} at para 42]{mcleanlii}[\nopp at 101]{mcleanbclr}$

Text¹¹

prenote key1, prenote key2

Ex 12

\lawcitesfootrr[prenote][]{key1}[prenote][]{key2}

footer, multi key, parallel, multi cite

\lawcitesfootrr[See firstly][]{mcleanlii}[and secondly][]{mcleanbclr}
Text¹²

 $prenote \; key 1 \; postnote, prenote \; key 2 \; postnote$

Ex 13

\lawcitesfootrr[prenote][postnote]{key1}[prenote][postnote]{key2}

footer, multi key, parallel, multi cite

Text¹³

¹⁰McLean v Pilon (1978), 1978 CanLII 237; 7 BCLR 99.

¹¹McLean v Pilon (1978), 1978 CanLII 237 at para 42; 7 BCLR 99 at 101.

¹²See firstly McLean v Pilon (1978), 1978 CanLII 237; and secondly 7 BCLR 99.

 $^{^{13}}$ See firstly *McLean v Pilon* (1978), 1978 CanLII 237 at para 42; and secondly 7 BCLR 99 at 101.

Re Sarg Oils Ltd, 2011 ABERCB 32

Clarke Institute of Psychiatry v Ontario Nurses' Assn (Adusei Grievance) (2001), 95 LAC (4th) 154. (OLRB)

Tulk v Moxhay, [1848] 1 H & Tw 105

Massachusetts v Environmental Protection Agency, (2007) 549 US 497, 127 S Ct 1438

Case Concerning Military and Paramilitary Activities in and against Nicaragua (Nicaragua v United States of America), [1986] ICJ Rep No 14

Alliance of Canadian Cinema Television and Radio Artists v Canadian Broadcasting Corporation (1990), 91 CLLC 16. (CLRB)

VDN Cable Inc, on behalf of a corporation to be incorporated, Toronto, Ontario (January 2005), 2005-1, online: CRTC <www.crtc.gc.ca> [perma.cc/LF5P-5MM3]

R v Syncrude Canada Ltd, 2010 ABPC 154, 2010 CarswellAlta 981. (WL Can)

R v Crete (18 April 1991), Ottawa 97/03674. (Ont Prov Ct)

Clearbrook Ironworks Ltd v Letourneau, 2006 FCA 42 at para 3, Sexton JA

2 Statutes

Using the citeref field in the @statute bibentry is the more flexible method since it can process both (Canadian) statutes and regulations; the 'traditional' statute fields can still be used, but operate only at the level of statutes, and regulations that look like statutes.

(using citeref field) *Criminal Code*, RSC 1985, c C-46, s 515 provides that ...

(using statute bibentry fields) *Criminal Code*, RSC 1985, c C-46, s 515 provides that ...

Family Law Act, SA 2003, c F-4.5

Territorial Lands Act, RSC 1985, c T-7, s 3

Fair Trading Act, RSA 2000, c F-2

To display the jurisdiction for non-Canadian statutes, do:

 $\verb|\toggletrue| \{ statjurisdiction \} | \textbf{Or } \verb|\toggletrue| \} | \textbf{Or$

Law of Property Act, 1969 (UK)

\togglefalse{statjurisdiction} or \setstatjurisoff

Safe Drinking Water Act, 42 USC §300f (1974)

This last uses the semantic structure of the @statute bibentry:

to store

The year value 1974 could just as easily have been stored in the jurisdiction field, with no note field, to produce an identical result.

2.1 Regulations

NB Reg 2006-23

Polar Bear Pass Withdrawal Order, SOR/84-409

Migratory Birds Regulations, CRC, c 1035, s 9

Alberta Forest Land Use and Management Regulations, Alta Reg 197/1976, s 2

2.2 Currently not covered

- Bills
- Constitutions and Charters
- Treaties, Agreements, and Accords

3 Law Journals

"it has been argued 14 that, stylistically, dissents are often looser than majority judgments." 15

---0000000---

¹⁴By Lawrence Friedman, Robert Kagan, Bliss Cartwright, Stanton Wheeler, 'State Supreme Courts': A Century of Style and Citation, (1981) 33 *Stanford Law Review* 773 785 (covering 16 state supreme courts in the period 1870-1970), as cited by Russell Smyth.

¹⁵Russell Smyth, 'What do Intermediate Appellate Courts Cite? A Quantitative Study of the Citation Practice of Australian State Supreme Courts', (1999) 21 *Adelaide Law Review* 51 59.