

# CS 663 : Digital Image Processing : Assignment 4

Siddharth Saha [170100025], Tezan Sahu [170100035]

Due Date :- 16rd October, 2019

## Question 5

### Question:

Consider a set of  $N$  vectors  $\mathcal{X} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}$  each in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , with average vector  $\bar{x}$ . We have seen in class that the direction  $e$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^N \|x_i - \bar{x} - (e \cdot (x_i - \bar{x}))e\|^2$  is minimized, is obtained by maximizing  $e^t C e$ , where  $C$  is the covariance matrix of the vectors in  $\mathcal{X}$ . This vector  $e$  is the eigenvector of matrix  $C$  with the highest eigenvalue. Prove that the direction  $f$  perpendicular to  $e$  for which  $f^t C f$  is maximized, is the eigenvector of  $C$  with the second highest eigenvalue. For simplicity, assume that all non-zero eigenvalues of  $C$  are distinct and that  $\text{rank}(C) > 2$ .

### Solution:

We use Lagrange Multipliers to solve this constrained optimization problem. Let:

$$F(f) = f^t C f - \lambda_1 (f^t f - 1) - \lambda_2 (f^t e)$$

Taking gradient of  $F(f)$  wrt  $f$  and setting it to 0 to find the optima, we get:

$$Cf - \lambda_1 f - \lambda_2 e = 0 \quad (1)$$

$e$  is a unit vector. Thus, pre-multiplying by  $e^t$ , we get:

$$\begin{aligned} e^t C f - \lambda_1 e^t f - \lambda_2 e^t e &= 0 \\ e^t C f - \lambda_1 e^t f - \lambda_2 &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Now,  $e^t C f = (C^t e)^t f$

But we know that  $C$  is symmetric, ie,  $C^t = C$

Thus, we have:

$$e^t C f = (C e)^t f = \mu_1 e^t f \quad (3)$$

Here,  $\mu_1$  is the largest eigenvalue of  $C$ , corresponding to eigenvector  $e$ . We also said that  $e$  is perpendicular to  $f$ , ie,  $e^t f = 0$ . Substituting this & (3) in (2), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_1 - \lambda_1) e^t f - \lambda_2 &= 0 \\ \therefore \lambda_2 &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Substituting (4) in (1), we get:

$$Cf = \lambda_1 f$$

This clearly shows that  $f$  is an eigenvector of  $C$ , with eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$ . Now,  $f^t C f = \lambda_1$  and  $f^t C f$  had to be maximized. It is also given that non-zero eigenvalues of  $C$  are distinct.

Thus,  $\lambda_1$  must be the second largest eigenvalue of  $C$